

# On the Bott Cannibalistic Classes

By

Koichi HIRATA\* and Akira KONO\*\*

## §1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group,  $V$  a (complex) representation of  $G$  and  $\lambda_V \in K_G(V)$  the Thom class. Since  $K_G(V)$  is a free  $R(G)$ -module generated by  $\lambda_V$  (cf. [1]), there is an element  $\theta_k(V) \in R(G)$  such that  $\psi^k(\lambda_V) = \theta_k(V) \cdot \lambda_V$ . The element  $\theta_k(V)$  is called the Bott cannibalistic class of  $V$ .

By  $K_G^*(\ )$  we denote the  $RO(G)$ -graded equivariant  $K$ -theory. Since  $\theta_k(V)$  is not a unit of  $R(G)$ , the Adams operation  $\psi^k$  is not always a (stable) cohomology operation (cf. section 3). So the purpose of this paper is to show the following:

For a finite group  $G$ ,  $\psi^k$  is a cohomology operation of  $K_G^*(\ ) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{k}]$  if and only if  $(|G|, k) = 1$  (for details see Theorem 3.1).

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we show that the element  $\theta_k(V)$  is a unit of  $R(G) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{k}]$  if  $(|G|, k) = 1$ . In the next section the main theorem is proved.

If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, then Atiyah and Tall showed that  $\theta_k(V)$  is a unit of  $R(G) \otimes Z_p^\wedge$  (cf. [2]).

## §2. The Bott Cannibalistic Classes

First recall the following properties of  $\theta_k(V)$  (see [2]):

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be representations of  $G$  and  $\varepsilon: R(G) \rightarrow Z$  the augmentation. Then*

- (i)  $\theta_k(V+W) = \theta_k(V)\theta_k(W)$ ,
- (ii)  $\theta_k(V) = 1 + V + \cdots + V^{k-1}$  if  $\dim V = 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\varepsilon(\theta_k(V)) = k^n$  if  $\dim V = n$ .

---

Communicated by N. Shimada, March 12, 1982.

\* Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.

\*\* Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.

From now on we assume that  $G$  is a finite group,  $N, k$  integers such that  $(N, k) = 1$  and  $|G|$  divides  $N$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $V$  be a one dimensional representation of  $G$ . Then there exists a polynomial  $f_{N,k}(x) \in Z[\frac{1}{k}][x]$  such that*

$$\theta_k(V)f_{N,k}(V) = 1$$

in  $R(G) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{k}]$ .

To prove Proposition 2.2 we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a (commutative) ring (with unity) such that  $k$  is invertible and  $r \in R$ . If  $r^N = 1$ , then there exists  $f_{N,k}(x) \in Z[\frac{1}{k}][x]$  such that*

$$(1 + r + \dots + r^{k-1})f_{N,k}(r) = 1.$$

*Proof of Proposition 2.2.* Since  $V^N = 1$  and  $\theta_k(V) = 1 + V + \dots + V^{k-1}$  by Lemma 2.1,  $\theta_k(V)f_{N,k}(V) = 1$  by Lemma 2.3.

*Proof of Lemma 2.3.* Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $N$ -th root of 1 then we have

(i)  $1 + \zeta^i + \dots + \zeta^{(N-1)i} = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N-1$

and

(ii)  $\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 - \zeta^i) = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 - \zeta^{ti}) \neq 0$  if  $(t, N) = 1$ .

If we denote the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \dots & x_{N-1} \\ x_{N-1} & x_0 & \dots & x_{N-2} \\ & & \dots & \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

by  $A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$  then

$$\det A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} (x_0 + \zeta^i x_1 + \dots + \zeta^{i(N-1)} x_{N-1}).$$

Since  $(k, N) = 1$ , we can write  $k = sN + t$  ( $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) with  $1 \leq t \leq N-1$  and  $(t, N) = 1$ .

Put  $u_i = s + 1$  if  $0 \leq i < t$  and  $u_i = s$  if  $t \leq i \leq N-1$ . Then

$$1 + r + \dots + r^{k-1} = u_0 + u_1 r + \dots + u_{N-1} r^{N-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det A(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{N-1}) &= \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} (u_0 + \zeta^i u_1 + \dots + \zeta^{i(N-1)} u_{N-1}) \\ &= k \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 + \zeta^i + \dots + \zeta^{i(t-1)} + s(1 + \zeta^i + \dots + \zeta^{i(N-1)})) \\ &= k \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 + \zeta^i + \dots + \zeta^{i(t-1)}) = k \left( \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 - \zeta^{ti}) \right) / \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (1 - \zeta^i) \right) \right) = k. \end{aligned}$$

Since the determinant is a unit of  $Z[\frac{1}{k}]$ , there exists  $a_i \in Z[\frac{1}{k}]$  such that

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1})A(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{N-1}) = (1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Putting  $f_{N,k}(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{N-1} x^{N-1}$ , we have

$$(1 + r + \dots + r^{k-1})f_{N,k}(r) = 1. \tag{Q. E. D.}$$

Define  $h_{N,k}^{(n)}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in Z[\frac{1}{k}][X_1, \dots, X_n]$  by

$$h_{N,k}^{(n)}(\sigma_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \sigma_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{N,k}(x_i),$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric function. Then we have

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $G$  and  $k$  an integer such that  $(|G|, k) = 1$ . Then*

$$\theta_k(V)h_{N,k}^{(n)}(A^1(V), \dots, A^n(V)) = 1$$

in  $R(G) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{k}]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{C_\alpha\}$  be all cyclic subgroups of  $G$ , then the restriction

$$R(G) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha} R(C_\alpha)$$

is a monomorphism. Since  $\theta_k, f_{N,k}$  and  $h_{N,k}^{(n)}$  commute with restrictions, we may assume that  $G$  is cyclic. Since every irreducible representation of a cyclic group is one dimensional,  $V$  is a sum of one dimensional representations:  $V = V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_n$ . Note that

$$A^i(V) = \sigma_i(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n)$$

and  $\theta_k(V) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_k(V_i)$ . Then Theorem 2.4 is an easy consequence of Proposition 2.2. Q. E. D.

**Corollary 2.5.** *The element  $\theta_k(V)$  is a unit of  $R(G) \otimes Z[\frac{1}{k}]$  if  $(|G|, k) = 1$ .*

§3. Proof of the Main Theorem

If  $(|G|, k) = 1$ , then we can extend the Adams operation  $\psi^k$  over  $K_G^*(\ )[\frac{1}{k}]$  by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} \tilde{K}_G(\Sigma^\omega \wedge X_+)[\frac{1}{k}] @>\theta_k(\omega)^{-1}\psi^k>> \tilde{K}_G(\Sigma^\omega \wedge X_+)[\frac{1}{k}] \\ @V{\cdot\lambda_\omega}VV @VV{\cdot\lambda_\omega}V \\ K_G(X)[\frac{1}{k}] @>\psi^k>> K_G(X)[\frac{1}{k}], \end{CD}$$

where  $\omega$  is the regular representation of  $G$ .

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $\text{Ind}_H^G: R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$  the induction homomorphism. Recall that  $K_G(G/H) = R(H)$  and  $K_G(G/G) = R(G)$ . Following Nishida [5],  $\text{Ind}_H^G$  is the transfer

$$p_*: K_G(G/H) \longrightarrow K_G(G/G)$$

for  $p: G/H \rightarrow G/G$  and every transfer commutes with cohomology operations. So if  $\psi^k: K_G^*(\ )[\frac{1}{k}] \rightarrow K_G^*(\ )[\frac{1}{k}]$  is a cohomology operation,  $\text{Ind}_H^G \circ \psi^k = \psi^k \circ \text{Ind}_H^G: R(H)[\frac{1}{k}] \rightarrow R(G)[\frac{1}{k}]$ . Moreover since  $R(G)$  is torsion free,  $\text{Ind}_H^G \circ \psi^k = \psi^k \circ \text{Ind}_H^G: R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$  if  $\psi^k$  is a cohomology operation of  $K_G^*(\ )[\frac{1}{k}]$ -theory.

Now we can prove the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $k$  an integer. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(|G|, k) = 1$ ,
- (ii) for any representation  $V$  of  $G$ ,  $\theta_k(V)$  is a unit of  $R(G)[\frac{1}{k}]$ ,
- (iii)  $\psi^k: K_G^*(\ )[\frac{1}{k}] \rightarrow K_G^*(\ )[\frac{1}{k}]$  is a cohomology operation

and

- (iv)  $\text{Ind}_H^G \circ \psi^k = \psi^k \circ \text{Ind}_H^G: R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$  for any subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Clearly it remains to prove that (iv) implies (i). Suppose (iv) is true and a prime  $p$  divides  $(|G|, k)$ . Then there exists  $g_1 \in G$  such that  $g_1$  is of order  $p$  (cf. [3]). Put  $H = \{1\}$ , then  $\text{Ind}_H^G(1) = \omega$ . Note that

$$\chi_\omega(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & \text{if } g = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $g \in G$  and  $\chi_\omega$  is the character of the regular representation  $\omega$  of  $G$  (cf. [6]). Now we have

$$\chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G \circ \psi^k(1)}(g_1) = \chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G(1)}(g_1) = \chi_\omega(g_1) = 0$$

and

$$\chi_{\psi^k \circ \text{Ind}_H^G(1)}(g_1) = \chi_{\psi^k(\omega)}(g_1) = \chi_\omega(g_1^k) = \chi_\omega(1) = |G|.$$

This shows that  $\text{Ind}_H^G \circ \psi^k \neq \psi^k \circ \text{Ind}_H^G$ , which contradicts to (iv). Q. E. D.

### References

- [1] Atiyah, M. F., Bott periodicity and the index of elliptic operators, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2), **19** (1968), 113–140.
- [2] Atiyah, M. F. and Tall, D. O., Group representations,  $\lambda$ -rings and the  $J$ -homomorphism, *Topology*, **8** (1969), 253–297.
- [3] Hall, M., *The theory of groups*, Macmillan, 1959.
- [4] Kono, A., Induced representations of compact Lie groups and the Adams operations, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **17** (1981), 553–556.
- [5] Nishida, G., The transfer homomorphism in equivariant generalized cohomology theories, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **18** (1978), 435–451.
- [6] Serre, J-P., *Représentation linéaires des groupes finis*, Hermann, 1971.

