Growing trees from compact subgroups

Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Timothée Marquis, and Colin D. Reid

Abstract. We establish a new connection between local and large-scale structure in compactly generated totally disconnected locally compact (t.d.l.c.) groups G, finding a sufficient condition for G to have more than one end in terms of its compact subgroups. The condition actually results in an action of a quotient group G/N on a tree with faithful micro-supported action on the boundary, where N is compact, and is closely related to the Boolean algebra formed by the centralisers of the subgroups of G/N with open normaliser. As an application, we find a sufficient condition, given a one-ended t.d.l.c. group G, for all direct factors of open subgroups of G to be trivial or open.

This is not our world with trees in it. It's a world of trees, where humans have just arrived.

(Richard Powers, The Overstory, 2018)

1. Introduction

A recurring theme in the theory of totally disconnected locally compact (t.d.l.c.) groups is the relationship between local structure, that is, properties evident in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of the identity, and global or large-scale properties, for instance, in the sense of quasi-isometry invariants of the group, or "commability" in the sense of [9]. The connection between the local structure and large-scale properties is looser than for Lie groups, but there are still nontrivial relationships between the two. The goal of this article is to investigate a certain aspect of this relationship for general compactly generated t.d.l.c. groups: we provide an algebraic criterion on the local structure of a t.d.l.c. group ensuring that the group has infinitely many ends and, hence, that it acts on a tree with compact open edge stabilisers. An application is given in another article by the same authors, showing that many one-ended locally compact Kac–Moody groups are locally indecomposable: see [6].

A fundamental example of local structure is the *structure lattice* $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ of a t.d.l.c. group, introduced in [7]. This is the poset $\mathcal{LN}(G) = \mathrm{LN}(G)/\sim_o$, where $\mathrm{LN}(G)$ is the set of closed *locally normal* subgroups (subgroups with open normaliser) of G ordered by inclusion and $H \sim_o K$ if $H \cap K$ is open in H and K. Note that $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ is equipped with

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22D05; Secondary 20E08. Keywords. Locally compact groups, actions on trees, micro-supported actions.

an action of G by conjugation, which we also carry over to conjugation-invariant subsets of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$. To avoid some complications, it is useful to assume that G is [A]-semisimple, meaning that the subgroup QZ(G) of elements with open centraliser is trivial and that G has no nontrivial abelian locally normal subgroups. We set

$$LC(G) := \{C_G(K) \mid K \in LN(G)\},\$$

 $\mathcal{LC}(G) := LC(G)/\sim_o.$

When G is [A]-semisimple, then LC(G) is a Boolean algebra called the (global) centraliser lattice of G; its elements are pairwise inequivalent under \sim_o , so it is isomorphic as a Boolean algebra to the (local) centraliser lattice $\mathcal{LC}(G)$. There is also a subalgebra $\mathcal{LD}(G)$ of $\mathcal{LC}(G)$, the local decomposition lattice, which consists of those elements of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ represented by direct factors of open subgroups. In particular, we say G is locally indecomposable if $\mathcal{LD}(G)$ is trivial, and faithful locally decomposable if G acts faithfully on $\mathcal{LD}(G)$. When G is [A]-semisimple, having faithful action on $\mathcal{LC}(G)$ is equivalent to the existence of a faithful action on a compact totally disconnected Hausdorff space X that is micro-supported, meaning that for every nondense subset Y of X, there is $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ that fixes Y pointwise.

An interesting class to consider in this context is the class S of nondiscrete, compactly generated, topologically simple t.d.l.c. groups. In the class S, unlike in the class of simple Lie groups, the local structure does not determine the global structure: Smith [20] exhibited a family of 2^{\aleph_0} pairwise nonisomorphic groups in S that are locally isomorphic to one another and all faithful locally decomposable. However, it is the case that all groups $G \in S$ are [A]-semisimple, and that G acts faithfully on any G-invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{LC}(G)$ other than the trivial one $\{0,\infty\}$ (there could be none).

The space $\mathcal{E}G$ of *ends* of a locally compact group G is a large-scale invariant; see [10, Definition 8.B.12] and Section 2.2 for the precise definition of $\mathcal{E}G$ in use in this article. A result of Abels [1] ensures that an analogue of Stallings' splitting theorem holds for compactly generated t.d.l.c. groups: such a group has infinitely many ends if and only if it has a continuous unbounded action on a tree with compact open edge stabilisers (see also Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.6 below). Moreover, 2-ended groups have a restricted and well-understood algebraic structure, from which it follows that a group in $G \in \mathcal{S}$ has either one end or infinitely many ends.

To avoid ambiguity, we will refer to edges of graphs as arcs when taking account of orientation, i.e., the arc from v to w is distinct from the arc from w to v. Many examples of groups in S, including Smith's examples, have been constructed as groups acting arc-geometrically on a leafless tree, meaning with compact open arc stabilisers and preserving no proper subtree. As mentioned above, for a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group, having such an action is equivalent to having more than one end. Write S_{∞} for the infinitely-ended groups in S, and $S_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}}$ for the locally decomposable groups in S. Neither of S_{∞} and $S_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}}$ contains the other: for example, $PSL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is infinitely-ended but locally indecomposable, whereas Neretin's groups are one-ended (see [13, Corollary 9.0.12]; an alternative

proof of that fact can be deduced from the main result of [12]) but locally decomposable. There are also groups in S that are in neither S_{∞} nor $S_{\mathcal{LD}}$. For example, given a simple algebraic group G over a local field k, the associated group $S = G(k)^+/Z \in S$ is locally indecomposable (see [8, Appendix A]). However, S acts geometrically on a building X with apartments isometric to \mathbb{R}^{ℓ} , where ℓ is the k-rank of G [3]; if $\ell \geq 2$, then the apartments are one-ended, so X is one-ended (e.g., [6, Lemma 5.21]) and hence S is one-ended.

Nevertheless, there is a large overlap between \mathcal{S}_{∞} and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}}$ in the known examples, and for certain geometric constructions they have been shown to be equivalent. An example of such a construction occurs in [4], where the equivalence between having infinitely many ends and being locally decomposable is nonvacuous, in the sense that some of the groups in \mathcal{S} obtained in [4] are one-ended and not locally decomposable, while others are infinitely-ended and locally decomposable. More generally, except in the setting of algebraic groups over local fields, it is difficult to show that a group G in \mathcal{S} is locally indecomposable, and even more difficult to show G has no micro-supported action on the Cantor space. In fact, to date, the majority of constructions of groups in \mathcal{S} are based on Tits' property (P) [21] or its generalisations based on the double commutator lemma (see [8, Proposition I]), which often directly imply local decomposability, or at least a micro-supported action.

In the present article, we establish a sufficient condition for a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G to act arc-geometrically on a tree T, such that the action on an associated compact boundary $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$ (see Section 2.2) is micro-supported. To simplify the presentation, here we assume that the only compact normal subgroup of G is the trivial subgroup $\{1\}$; for the full statement without this assumption, see Section 4.1.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a t.d.l.c. group without nontrivial compact normal subgroup, and let K be an infinite compact subgroup of G. We say K is a TMS subgroup of G if there is a compact open subgroup U of G with the following properties:

- (a) For all conjugates V of U in G, the set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq U$ but $gKg^{-1} \nleq V$ has compact closure.
- (b) The set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \le U$ does not have compact closure.

The letters TMS stand for *tree micro-supported*. The definition and choice of terminology are motivated by the following results, where a *half-tree* of a tree T is a proper subtree of T that is joined to the rest of T by a single edge.

Proposition 1.2 (See Proposition 4.6). Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group acting faithfully and arc-geometrically on a leafless tree T. Suppose that for some half-tree T' of T, the pointwise fixator K of T' in G fixes only finitely many arcs of $T \setminus T'$. Then K is a TMS subgroup of G.

Theorem 1.3 (See Theorem 4.2). Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group without nontrivial compact normal subgroup. Suppose that G has a TMS subgroup K. Then G is [A]-semisimple. Moreover, G acts faithfully on a leafless tree T, such that the pointwise

fixator of every half-tree contains a conjugate of K, and such that one of the following holds:

- (i) T is locally finite, and G acts vertex-transitively with compact open stabilisers on T, fixing a unique end;
- (ii) G preserves no end or proper subtree of T, and G has compact open arc stabilisers on T.

In either case, the action of G on $\mathcal{E}G$ is faithful and nondiscretely micro-supported.

We remark that in case (i), the group G is a *focal hyperbolic group* in the sense of [5]. The totally disconnected focal hyperbolic groups are also studied by Willis in [22], who calls them *scale groups*. They are formed as an ascending HNN extension over a compact open subgroup. In case (ii), the tree need not be locally finite; moreover, the normal subgroup $\overline{\langle gKg^{-1} \mid g \in G \rangle}$ is topologically simple by a theorem of Möller and Vonk [16, Theorem 2.4].

The following consequence of Theorem 1.3 is immediate, since by definition, a group with a TMS subgroup cannot be compact.

Corollary 1.4. Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group without nontrivial compact normal subgroup. If G has a TMS subgroup, then G has infinitely many ends.

Proposition 1.2 may be viewed as a partial converse to Theorem 1.3. More interestingly, we can express some sufficient conditions to have a TMS subgroup in terms of the local structure of G. In particular, we have the following when G is *locally of finite quotient type*, meaning that there is a compact open subgroup U of G such that U has only finitely many discrete quotients of each order.

Theorem 1.5. Let G be a nontrivial compactly generated t.d.l.c. group, with $QZ(G) = \{1\}$ and with no nontrivial compact normal subgroups, such that G is locally of finite quotient type. Suppose that there is a nonempty G-invariant subset G of $\mathcal{L}D(G) \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ such that G is compact for every G of G and such that every nonzero element of the subalgebra G generated by G lies above some nonzero element of G. Then there is G is such that G is a TMS subgroup of G, and G falls under case (ii) of Theorem 1.3.

The hypothesis that G_{α} is compact is not a local condition, but seems unavoidable given the known examples. Specifically, the coloured Neretin groups $G = \mathcal{N}_{\text{Alt}(2n+5)}$ $(n \geq 0)$ constructed by Lederle in [15] are in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{LD}}$ and are locally of finite quotient type, but they can also be shown to be one-ended by a similar proof to [13, Theorem 8.2.6]; in these examples, G_{α} is not compact because it contains isomorphic copies of G itself. Moreover, the group $\mathcal{N}_{\text{Alt}(2n+5)}$ contains as an open subgroup the Burger–Mozes group $U(\text{Alt}(2n+5))^+$, which belongs to \mathcal{S}_{∞} ; so, even in the class \mathcal{S} , there is no purely local criterion for the number of ends.

Theorem 1.5, or rather a special case of its contrapositive (see Corollary 4.9), will be applied in a subsequent article to a large family of groups in 8 (obtained as completions of Kac–Moody groups over finite fields) that were already known to be one-ended, in order to show that they are locally indecomposable.

A known obstacle to weakening the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 is the existence of groups in S that are faithful micro-supported, but act geometrically on well-behaved one-ended spaces; see [4]. However, in the more restrictive context of Theorem 1.5, where we only consider the decomposition lattice, it would be consistent with known examples to omit the hypothesis that G is locally of finite quotient type and the conclusion that G falls under case (ii) of Theorem 1.3. This leads us to the following.

Question 1.6. Suppose G and Q satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.5, except that G is not locally of finite quotient type. Is rist_G(α) a TMS subgroup of G for some $\alpha \in Q$?

Structure of the article

In Section 2, we recall some general structure theory of t.d.l.c. groups. In Section 3, we introduce arc-geometric actions on trees and recall a general construction due to Dicks—Dunwoody, which can be applied in the context of a multi-ended t.d.l.c. group G to obtain an arc-geometric action of G on a tree. Finally, in Section 4, we prove the main results about sources and consequences of TMS subgroups.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Local structure theory and dynamics on Stone spaces

Let G be a t.d.l.c. group. A subgroup of G is called *locally normal* if it has open normaliser. A *local direct factor* of G is a closed subgroup K, such that some open subgroup O of G splits as a topological group as a direct product $O = K \times L$. Note that every local direct factor is locally normal. We say G is *locally indecomposable* if every local direct factor of G is discrete or open.

The *quasi-centre* QZ(G) of G consists of all elements of G with open centraliser. A t.d.l.c. group G is [A]-semisimple if $QZ(G) = \{1\}$ and G has no nontrivial abelian locally normal subgroups.

Assume for the moment that $QZ(G) = \{1\}$. Then we define the (local) decomposition lattice $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}(G)$ to be the poset of local direct factors of G ordered by inclusion, modulo the relation \sim_o of local equivalence, where $H \sim_o K$ if $H \cap U = K \cap U$ for some compact open subgroup U of G. The poset $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}(G)$ is then naturally equipped with an action of G by automorphisms, which is induced by the conjugation action of G on its local direct factors. We define the centraliser lattice $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}(G)$ to be the poset of centralisers of locally normal subgroups, modulo local equivalence.

Theorem 2.1 ([7, Theorem I]). Let G be a t.d.l.c. group.

- (i) Suppose $QZ(G) = \{1\}$. Then $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}(G)$ is a Boolean algebra.
- (ii) Suppose G is [A]-semisimple. Then $\mathcal{LC}(G)$ is a Boolean algebra containing $\mathcal{LD}(G)$ as a subalgebra.

Let A be a Boolean algebra; write 0 for the smallest element and ∞ for the largest element of A. A partition of A is a finite set of pairwise disjoint elements of A with join ∞ . We require all actions on Boolean algebras and topological spaces to be by automorphisms and homeomorphisms respectively. A Boolean algebra A has an associated Stone space $\mathfrak{S}(A)$, where the points are the ultrafilters $\xi: A \to \{0, 1\}$ of A, and the topology is generated by sets of the form $\{\xi \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A}) \mid \xi(\alpha) = 1\}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Given an action of a group G on A, and given $\alpha \in A$, we define the rigid stabiliser rist_G(α) to be the subgroup consisting of all $g \in G$ such that, whenever $\beta \in A$ is such that $\beta > \alpha$, then $g\beta = \beta$. Analogously, given an action of a group G on a space X, the rigid stabiliser $\operatorname{rist}_G(Y)$ of $Y \subseteq X$ is the pointwise fixator of $X \setminus Y$. An action of a group G on a Boolean algebra A, respectively a topological space X, is micro-supported if rist_G (a) acts nontrivially for all $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$, respectively for all nonempty open $a \subseteq X$. In the case of a t.d.l.c. group, we say the action is nondiscretely micro-supported if in addition, the kernel of the action of $rist_G(a)$ is not open in $rist_G(a)$, so that every open subgroup of G also has micro-supported action. An action of a group G on a Boolean algebra A, respectively a totally disconnected topological space X, is locally decomposable if $\prod_{a \in \mathcal{P}} \operatorname{rist}_G(a)$ is open whenever \mathcal{P} is a partition of \mathcal{A} , respectively a clopen partition of X.

We say a t.d.l.c. group G is faithful locally decomposable, respectively faithful microsupported, if it has a faithful locally decomposable, respectively faithful nondiscretely micro-supported, action on a Boolean algebra. We then have a universal G-action of this kind, as shown in [7].

Theorem 2.2 (See [7, Theorem 5.18]). Let G be a t.d.l.c. group with a compact open subgroup U.

- (i) Suppose G has a faithful micro-supported action on some Boolean algebra A. Then the following are equivalent:
 - (a) $QZ(G) = \{1\}.$
 - (b) The action of U is micro-supported, and every nontrivial normal subgroup of G has nontrivial intersection with U.
 - (c) G is [A]-semisimple, and A is G-equivariantly isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{LC}(G)$. Indeed, the set of rigid stabilisers of the action on A forms a subalgebra of the global centraliser lattice of G.
- (ii) Up to a G-equivariant isomorphism of Boolean algebras, every faithful locally decomposable action of G (if there are any) occurs as the action of G on a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}(G)$.

Given a group G acting on a locally compact topological space X, a nonempty subset Y is *compressible* under the action if for nonempty open Z there exists $g \in G$ such that gY is contained in Z. The action is *extremely proximal* if every proper compact subspace is compressible. We make analogous definitions for a group acting on a Boolean algebra via the Stone space.

2.2. Cayley-Abels graphs, ends and trees

A graph Γ consists of a vertex set $V\Gamma$, an arc (also known as a directed edge) set $A\Gamma$, origin and terminus functions $o_{\Gamma}, t_{\Gamma}: A\Gamma \to V\Gamma$, and edge reversal $\overline{(-)}_{\Gamma}: A\Gamma \to A\Gamma$. (We suppress the subscripts when the graph in question is clear from context.) In this article, all graphs will be simplicial graphs, meaning that $o(a) \neq t(a)$ for all arcs a, and the map $a \mapsto (o(a), t(a))$ from $A\Gamma$ to $V\Gamma \times V\Gamma$ is injective; where convenient, we will simply identify an arc a with the ordered pair $\{o(a), t(a)\}$. For the purposes of geometric properties, we also identify a connected graph with its usual geometric realisation; in particular, a connected graph carries a natural metric on its vertices. A *bounded* set is one that has finite diameter in the metric.

Given a connected graph Γ , we can associate compact totally disconnected spaces as follows. Given $A \subseteq V\Gamma$, let δA (or $\delta^{\Gamma} A$ if the choice of graph Γ is not clear from context) be the set of arcs $a \in A\Gamma$ such that $o(a) \notin A$ and $t(a) \in A$; we say A is almost separated if δA is finite. More generally, in a metric space one has the following coarse geometry concept: A is coarsely almost separated if and only if, for all d > 0, the set $\delta_d A$ of points p such that $p \notin A$ but $d(p,A) \leq d$ is bounded. The two notions of almost separation agree on connected locally finite graphs.

One sees that the set of almost separated subsets of Γ is closed under finite intersections, finite unions and complements, so it forms a Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}\Gamma$. (The coarsely almost separated sets also form a Boolean algebra \mathcal{A} , however if Γ is not locally finite, then $\mathcal{B}\Gamma$ can be properly contained in \mathcal{A} .) If Γ itself is unbounded, the bounded almost separated subsets form an ideal of $\mathcal{B}\Gamma$; write $\overline{\mathcal{B}\Gamma}$ for the quotient of $\mathcal{B}\Gamma$ by this ideal. We then have associated Stone spaces $\overline{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{B}\Gamma)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}\Gamma = \mathfrak{S}(\overline{\mathcal{B}\Gamma})$; equivalently, $\overline{\mathcal{E}}\Gamma$ can be regarded as the closed subspace of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{B}\Gamma)$ consisting of the ultrafilters that are zero on bounded subsets. (If Γ itself is bounded, we can take $\overline{\mathcal{E}}\Gamma := \emptyset$.) Given $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}\Gamma$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}\Gamma$, we will say " ξ is in A" or "A is in ξ " interchangeably to mean $\xi(A) = 1$.

Using coarse almost separation, one sees that if Γ is locally finite, then $\overline{\mathcal{E}}\Gamma$ is a coarse geometric invariant of the graph; in other words, it is preserved by passing to a cobounded subset with a large-scale equivalent metric. In addition, the space $\overline{\mathcal{E}}\Gamma$ is naturally homeomorphic to the usual notion of the space of ends $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ of a geodesic metric space, as defined by equivalence classes of rays (see for instance [2, Chapter I.8, Proposition 8.29]).

A tree is a simply connected graph; a subtree is a nonempty subgraph that is also a tree. Given a tree T and an arc e of T, we define the associated half-tree T_e to be the induced graph on the vertices v of the tree such that d(t(e), v) < d(o(e), v); in particular, note

that T_e is an almost separated set, with $\delta T_e = \{e\}$. It is sometimes useful to distinguish within $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$ the subspace of (geometric) ends $\mathcal{E}T$ of T, consisting of those $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}T$ containing an infinite descending sequence of half-trees; these correspond in a natural way to equivalence classes of geodesic rays in the tree.

Now let *G* be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group. An action of *G* on a metric space *X* is called *geometric* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) The action is *isometric*: G acts by isometries.
- (b) The action is *proper*: For all $n < \infty$ and $x \in X$, the set of $g \in G$ such that d(x, gx) < n is a neighbourhood of the identity with compact closure.
- (c) The action is *cobounded* (the term *cocompact* is used if X is locally compact): There is a distance n such that for all $x, y \in X$, there exists $g \in G$ such that d(x, gy) < n.

A metric space equipped with a geometric action of G is called a G-metric space, and a G-metric on a G-set is a metric with respect to which the action of G is geometric. All proper geodesic G-metric spaces have the same quasi-isometry type, which is also the quasi-isometry type of G as defined intrinsically; indeed, if G acts geometrically on the proper geodesic metric space M, then $g \mapsto gm$ is a quasi-isometry for any $m \in M$. (See [10, Sections 4.B and 4.C].) In particular, we can define the *space of ends* $\mathcal{E}G$ of G to be $\overline{\mathcal{E}}M$, where M is any proper geodesic G-metric space. There is then a natural induced action of G on $\mathcal{E}G$; from the construction, it is clear that G acts by homeomorphisms.

With t.d.l.c. groups, it is useful to think about geometric actions more combinatorially. A G-metric g-maph is a connected locally finite graph equipped with a geometric action of G with respect to the graph metric, and a g-eometric G-set is a set X equipped with a permutation action of G, such that point stabilisers are compact open and G has finitely many orbits. A G-metric G-set is a connected locally finite simple graph G equipped with an action of G by isometries that is vertex-transitive, with compact open vertex stabilisers. In particular, every Cayley-Abels graph is a G-metric graph.

Lemma 2.3 (See, e.g., [8, Section 4.1]). Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group, let U be a compact open subgroup of G, and let A be a compact symmetric subset of G such that $G = \langle U, A \rangle$. Then there is a finite symmetric subset B of G such that

$$BU = UB = UBU = UAU$$
.

Moreover, for any such subset B, we have $G = \langle B \rangle U$, and the coset space G/U is the set of vertices of a Cayley–Abels graph Γ for G, where gU is adjacent to hU in Γ if and only if $gU \neq hU$ and $Uh^{-1}gU \subseteq UBU$.

Any transitive geometric G-set is of the form G/U for some compact open subgroup U of G; Lemma 2.3 then supplies a Cayley-Abels graph Γ with $V\Gamma = G/U$. It is then straightforward to see that given any geometric G-set X, there is a geometric G-graph Γ with $V\Gamma = X$ (restricting to a Cayley-Abels graph on each G-orbit of vertices),

yielding a G-metric on X. In particular, the space of ends $\mathcal{E}G$ of any compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G is realised as a G-space by $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ for a Cayley–Abels graph Γ of G.

2.3. Local finiteness properties

Definition 2.4. Say a profinite group U is of *finite quotient type* if for each natural number n, there are only finitely many open normal subgroups of U of index n. Say a t.d.l.c. group G is *locally of finite quotient type* if every compact open subgroup of G is of finite quotient type.

To determine if G is locally of finite quotient type, it suffices to consider a single compact open subgroup.

Lemma 2.5. Let G be a t.d.l.c. group. Suppose there is some compact open subgroup U of G of finite quotient type. Then for every compact open subgroup V of G and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many open subgroups of V of index n. In particular, G is locally of finite quotient type.

Proof. Every open subgroup of U of index n contains an open normal subgroup of index dividing n!. Consequently, there are only finitely many open subgroups of U of index n.

Now consider an arbitrary compact open subgroup V of G. Given an open subgroup W of V of index n, we see that W contains an open subgroup of U of index at most $n|U:U\cap V|$. Thus for a fixed n, there are only finitely many such subgroups W of V.

We note a property of groups that are locally of finite quotient type that will be useful later.

Lemma 2.6. Let G be a nontrivial compactly generated t.d.l.c. group that is locally of finite quotient type with $QZ(G) = \{1\}$ and such that $\bigcap_{g \in G} gOg^{-1} = \{1\}$ for some open subgroup $O \subseteq G$. Then each compact open subgroup U of G has only finitely many direct factors.

Proof. Let U be a compact open subgroup of G. Since $\bigcap_{g \in G} gOg^{-1} = \{1\}$, it follows by [8, Proposition 4.6] that the composition factors of U are of bounded order; let k be the largest order that occurs. Then U has only finitely many quotients of order at most k, and hence only finitely many simple quotients. In any profinite group P, a standard argument shows that any proper closed normal subgroup is contained in an open normal subgroup Q such that P/Q is simple; by considering the simple quotients of U, we see that U cannot be written as an infinite direct product of nontrivial profinite groups. By [7, Proposition 4.11], it follows that U has only finitely many direct factors.

Remark 2.7. A profinite group U is *topologically finitely generated* if it has a dense finitely generated subgroup; it is then easy to deduce that U has only finitely many open subgroups of each index. Given a pro-p group, having finite quotient type (indeed, having only finitely many open normal subgroups of index p) is equivalent to being topologically

finitely generated, since the quotient by the Frattini subgroup is elementary abelian. For general profinite groups, on the other hand, a finite quotient type is strictly more general than topological finite generation. For example, every just infinite profinite group is of finite quotient type (see, for instance, [17, Corollary 2.5]), whereas there are examples (see for instance [23]) of (hereditarily) just infinite profinite groups that are not topologically finitely generated.

3. Arc-geometric actions of totally disconnected locally compact groups on trees

In this section, we analyse the space of ends of a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group via actions on trees. This approach is well known, and finds its origin in the analogue of Stallings' theorem in this context, which is due to Abels [1]. Moreover, an analysis along similar lines can also be found in the article [14, §3] of Krön–Möller, which was in turn inspired by the Dicks–Dunwoody approach (see [11]) to the space of ends of an abstract group. However, the authors of the present article found it useful to give a new presentation of the ideas, in particular, in order to phrase the results in terms of arc-geometric actions and to highlight the dynamics of the action on $\mathcal{E}G$.

A group acting on a tree, preserving no proper subtree, has one of a few possible structures. The next proposition is already known in some form (indeed, similar results are known in greater generality, see, for example, [5, §§3.A–3.B]), but we could not find a clear reference for the result as stated here, so we include a proof for clarity.

Proposition 3.1. Let T be a tree with more than two vertices, and let $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(T)$. Suppose that G preserves no proper subtree of T. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- (i) T is a line and G is either cyclic or infinite dihedral, acting geometrically on T.
- (ii) G fixes exactly one end $\xi \in \mathcal{E}T$ and has the form $P \rtimes \langle l \rangle$, where l is a translation towards ξ , and the P-orbits on VT are exactly the horospheres around ξ . Consequently, the action of G on $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T \setminus \{\xi\}$ is extremely proximal.
- (iii) G has no fixed points in $\mathcal{E}T$, and for all pairs (a,b) of arcs of T there is $g \in G$ such that $T_{ga} \subseteq T_b$. Consequently, the action of G on $\mathcal{E}T$ is faithful, and the action on $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$ is extremely proximal.

Moreover, in cases (ii) and (iii) then $\overline{E}T$ is infinite and perfect (that is, it has no isolated points) and G acts faithfully on ET; moreover, every nontrivial normal subgroup of G has unbounded orbits on T.

Proof. If T is a line, then the fact that G preserves no proper subtree means that G contains a translation. It is then clear that G is a cyclic or infinite dihedral group acting geometrically, as in (i). We may now assume T is not a line; the hypotheses ensure T is leafless, so T has more than two ends. Since T is leafless, it is also easy to see that every half-tree belongs to a geometric end of T, so $\mathcal{E}T$ is dense in $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$.

Suppose G fixes an end ξ ; if G fixed another end ξ' , then G would fix the line between them, which is a proper subtree of T. Thus ξ is unique. Let $\beta: VT \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a function such that if a is an arc pointing towards ξ , then $\beta(o(a)) - \beta(t(a)) = 1$. By considering vertices along two geodesic rays from some initial vertex v, where one ray represents ξ and the other represents an end other than ξ , we see that β is surjective. Let $r:[0,\infty)\to T$ be a geodesic ray representing ξ . Since G fixes ξ , given $g \in G$ there exist n_0 and t such that gr(n) = r(n+t) for all $n \ge n_0$. From the graph structure, we have $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and then one sees that in fact $\beta(v) - \beta(gv) = t$ for all $v \in VT$. Thus $G = P \rtimes \langle l \rangle$, where l is either trivial or a translation towards ξ , and P is the setwise stabiliser of each of the horospheres $\beta^{-1}(n)$ around ξ . We can moreover rule out the case that l is trivial by noting that P preserves a proper subtree, namely, the subtree spanned by $\beta^{-1}(-\mathbb{N})$. Now let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that P acts intransitively on $\beta^{-1}(n)$, say X_n is a proper nonempty Pinvariant subset. We see that l translates along an axis L, and then the union of the P-orbit of L is a G-invariant subtree T' such that $VT' \cap \beta^{-1}(n)$ is contained in either X_n or its complement in $\beta^{-1}(n)$. In either case, we have a proper G-invariant subtree, contradicting our hypothesis. So in fact, P acts transitively on $\beta^{-1}(n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let Y and Z be nonempty compact open subspaces of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T\setminus\{\xi\}$. We see that $Y\subseteq\overline{T_a}$ and $\overline{T_b}\subseteq Z$ for some half-trees T_a and T_b of T, such that $\xi\not\in\mathcal{E}T_a$. From the fact that P acts transitively on every horosphere, we see that it acts minimally on $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T\setminus\{\xi\}$. In particular, writing ξ' for the end of L other than ξ , we see that there is $g\in P$ such that $g\xi'\in\mathcal{E}T_b$. We then have $gl^{-n}g^{-1}T_a\subseteq T_b$, and hence $gl^{-n}g^{-1}Y\subseteq Z$, for some $n\geq 0$. Thus the action on $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T\setminus\{\xi\}$ is extremely proximal.

The remaining case is that G fixes no ends of T. Let a and b be arcs of T. Since G does not fix an end, we see that there is $k \in G$ such that a and ka point away from each other, that is, T_a and T_{ka} are disjoint. Now suppose that H is the set of $h \in G$ such that $o(ha) \in T_b$ and $ha \notin \{b, \overline{b}\}$. Then $H \neq \emptyset$ by [21, Lemme 4.1]; taking $h \in H$, if T_{ha} is not contained in T_b , then $T_{\overline{ha}} \subseteq T_b$ and hence $T_{hka} \subseteq T_b$. Thus there is $g \in G$ such that $T_{ga} \subseteq T_b$.

To show the action is extremely proximal, it suffices to show, for any two proper nonempty clopen subsets Y and Z of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$, that there is $g \in G$ such that $gY \subseteq Z$. As before, we have $Y \subseteq \overline{T_a}$ and $\overline{T_b} \subseteq Z$ for some half-trees T_a and T_b of T. By the previous paragraph, there is then $g \in G$ such that $T_{ga} \subseteq T_b$, from which it follows that $gY \subseteq Z$. We have now shown that the action of G on $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$ is extremely proximal, proving (iii).

Now suppose we are in case (ii) or (iii); let B be the set of vertices of T of degree at least 3. In either case, it is clear that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$ is infinite. In case (ii), we see that some horosphere, say $\beta^{-1}(0)$, intersects B; it then follows that $\beta^{-1}(mn) \subseteq B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where m is the translation length of l, so $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$ is perfect. In case (iii), $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$ is perfect because it is a compact minimal G-space, so again the convex hull of B is the whole tree. Now let $g \in G$ act trivially on $\mathcal{E}T$. Then given $v \in B$, there are ends ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 forming the corners of an ideal triangle of T, such that v is the unique point lying on all three sides of the triangle; in particular, g fixes B pointwise. Since the convex hull of B is T, we deduce that g = 1, as required. Given a normal subgroup N with bounded orbits on T, we see

that N fixes a vertex or inverts an edge; the fact that G preserves no subtree then implies that N is trivial.

Given a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G acting on a leafless tree T, we say the action is arc-geometric if the arcs form a geometric G-set. This situation can be characterised as follows.

Lemma 3.2 (See also [14, Theorem 11]). Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group acting on a leafless tree T.

- (i) The action is arc-geometric if and only if G has compact open arc stabilisers and preserves no proper subtree.
- (ii) Suppose that the action of G on T is arc-geometric. Then there is a G-metric graph Γ with vertex set $V\Gamma = AT$ where for all arcs (a,b) of Γ , either $b = \overline{a}$ or $o_T(a) = o_T(b)$. Moreover, for almost separated set X in VT, the set A_TX of all arcs of T between vertices of X is almost separated in Γ , so we have a G-equivariant quotient map $EG \to \overline{E}T$.

Proof. Suppose the action is arc-geometric; by definition, G has compact open arc stabilisers. Now let T' be a proper G-invariant subtree. Then since T is leafless, there is some geodesic ray r of T such that r(0) is a vertex of T', but thereafter r is outside T'. In particular, we see that the distance from r(n) to T' tends to infinity, meaning that the arcs in the image of r lie in infinitely many G-orbits, a contradiction. Thus G preserves no proper subtree.

Conversely, suppose that G has compact open arc stabilisers and preserves no proper subtree of T. After taking a quotient with compact kernel, we may assume G acts faithfully on T. By [19, Proposition 6.6], one sees that G has finitely many orbits on AT, and G_v is compactly generated for each vertex v. Thus G has arc-geometric action on T. Consider now the action of G_v on $o^{-1}(v)$: given $a,b\in o^{-1}(v)$, then a and b are in the same G-orbit if and only if they are in the same G_v -orbit, and $G_a=(G_v)_a$. Thus $o^{-1}(v)$ is a geometric G_v -set. Now take v_1,\ldots,v_k to be representatives of the G-orbits on VT, and for $1 \le i \le k$ make a connected locally finite graph Γ_{v_i} with vertex set $o^{-1}(v_i)$, such that G_{v_i} acts geometrically on Γ_{v_i} . We then define a graph Γ with vertex set AT and arcs

$$A\Gamma = \bigcup_{a \in AT} (a, \overline{a}) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \bigcup_{g \in G} gA\Gamma_{v_i}.$$

It is then easy to see that Γ is connected, locally finite and G-invariant, so that G acts on Γ geometrically. By construction, if (a,b) is an arc of Γ , then either $b=\overline{a}$ or $o_T(a)=o_T(b)$.

Let X be an almost separated set of vertices in T, and let A_TX be the set of arcs $a \in AT$ such that $\{o_T(a), t_T(a)\} \subseteq X$. Consider an arc (a, b) of Γ such that $a \notin A_TX$ and $b \in A_TX$. Then $b \neq \overline{a}$, so $o_T(a) = o_T(b)$. However, the only way a can be outside A_TX while having the same origin as an arc in A_TX is if $o_T(a) \in X$ and $t_T(a) \notin X$, in other words, $a \in \delta^TX$. Then by the fact that Γ is locally finite, there are only finitely

many possibilities for the pair (a, b), so $\delta^{\Gamma} X^*$ is finite. Thus $A_T X$ is almost separated as a subset of $V\Gamma$. We deduce that

$$\{A_T X \mid X \subseteq VT \text{ almost separated}\}$$

is a subset \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{B}\Gamma$. Note also that if X is bounded, then actually it is finite and consists of vertices of finite degree, so A_TX is also finite. Conversely, if AX is bounded, then it is finite; since AX includes all but finitely many of the T-arcs incident with X, we deduce that X is finite. Moreover, we find that for any almost separated sets $X_1, X_2 \subseteq VT$, then $A_T(X_1 \cup X_2)$ has finite difference with $A_TX_1 \cup A_TX_2$, and similarly for intersections and complements. Thus the map $X \mapsto A_TX$ induces an injective homomorphism $\iota \colon \overline{\mathcal{B}T} \to \overline{\mathcal{B}\Gamma}$; the dual map $\hat{\iota}$ is then a quotient map from $\overline{\mathcal{E}}\Gamma$ (which can be identified with $\mathcal{E}G$) to $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T$.

In particular, we see that if the compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G has an arc-geometric action on a leafless tree T, then it has at least $|\overline{\mathcal{E}}T|$ ends. Conversely, if G has more than one end, then the space of ends can be approximated using arc-geometric actions. It is useful to divide into three cases:

- (A) G acts geometrically on a line.
- (B) G has a vertex-transitive action on a locally finite tree T with compact open stabilisers, fixing exactly one end.
- (C) G has more than one end but does not satisfy (A) or (B).

In case (A), it is clear that G is compact-by-D, where D is either infinite cyclic or infinite dihedral, and that $|\mathcal{E}G| = 2$. In particular, Lemma 3.2 ensures that (A) and (B) are mutually exclusive.

For case (B), say a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G is a *scale group* if G admits a faithful vertex-transitive action on a locally finite tree T with compact open stabilisers, fixing exactly one end. We say G is an *almost scale group* if G/N is a scale group for some compact normal subgroup N. Every almost scale group is a focal hyperbolic group in the sense of [5]. The results from loc. cit. imply the following characterisation.

Proposition 3.3. Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group. Then G is an almost scale group if and only if it has an arc-geometric action on a leafless tree T, fixing an end, such that T is not a line. If G has such an action, then T is locally finite and $\mathcal{E}G \cong \mathcal{E}T$ as G-spaces.

Moreover, if G/N is a scale group for some compact normal subgroup N, then G has exactly one fixed point in $\mathcal{E}G$, and N is the largest compact normal subgroup of G.

Proof. If G/N is a scale group for some compact normal subgroup N, then G clearly has the specified action on a locally finite tree and also on its space of ends. Since G/N acts vertex-transitively on an infinitely ended tree, its unique compact normal subgroup is trivial, so N is the largest compact normal subgroup of G.

Conversely, suppose G admits an arc-geometric action on a leafless tree T, fixing an end ξ , such that T is not a line. Then by Lemma 3.2, the action preserves no proper subtree, so we are in case (ii) of Proposition 3.1, with $G = P \rtimes \langle l \rangle$. If a is an arc pointing towards the fixed end ξ , then $G_a = G_{o(a)}$. Moreover, the fact that P acts transitively on each horosphere implies that $G_{o(a)}$ must act transitively on $o^{-1}(o(a)) \setminus \{a\}$. Since $G_{o(a)}$ is compact and arc stabilisers are open, we deduce that $o^{-1}(o(a))$ is finite, and thus the tree is locally finite, and hence a G-metric graph, ensuring that $\mathcal{E}G \cong \mathcal{E}T$ as G-spaces. In particular, G is hyperbolic, hence focal hyperbolic in the sense of [5]. It follows from [5, Lemma 5.1] that G has a largest compact normal subgroup N, and then it follows from [5, Theorems 7.1 (b) and 7.3] that G/N is a scale group.

It remains to give the approximation of $\mathcal{E}G$ using arc-geometric actions on trees in case (C). Say that a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G is of *general infinitely-ended type* if G has more than one end, does not act geometrically on a line, and is not an almost scale group. In this case, we can appeal to a construction by Dicks-Dunwoody. Given a graph Γ and $A \subseteq V\Gamma$, let δA be the set of arcs a such that $o(a) \notin A$ and $o(a) \in A$; notice that δA is finite if and only if o(a) is almost separated. Write $o(a) \in A$ for the subalgebra of $o(a) \in A$ for the subalgebra of $o(a) \in A$ for the subalgebra of $o(a) \in A$ is an ascending sequence of subalgebras with union $o(a) \in A$. By [11, Theorem II.2.20], there is an ascending sequence ($o(a) \in A$) of nonempty o(a)-invariant subsets of $o(a) \in A$ generates $o(a) \in A$ as a subalgebra and has the poset structure of the set of half-trees of a tree: specifically, it carries an order-reversing involution o(a) (which is just complementation in o(a)), such that for all $o(a) \in A$ 0 exactly one of the following holds:

$$A < B$$
, $A = B$, $A > B$, $A < Bc$, $A = Bc$, $A > Bc$,

and such that for all $A, B \in \mathcal{R}_n$ there are only finitely many $C \in \mathcal{R}_n$ such that A < C < B. The elements $A \in \mathcal{R}_n$ can also be chosen so that both A and A^c span connected subgraphs of $V\Gamma$. There is then an associated tree $T^{(n)} = T(\mathcal{R}_n)$ such that the half-trees correspond to the poset \mathcal{R}_n with the same partial order, yielding a G-equivariant embedding $\iota_n \colon \mathcal{B}T^{(n)} \to \mathcal{B}\Gamma$ with image $\mathcal{B}_n\Gamma$. The tree $T^{(n)}$ is also equipped with a canonical G-equivariant map $\varphi_n \colon V\Gamma \to VT^{(n)}$, with the property that $\varphi_n(v)$ belongs to the half-tree corresponding to $A \in \mathcal{R}_n$ if and only if $v \in A$. In particular, note that $\varphi_n(v) \neq \varphi_n(w)$ if and only if v and w are separated by some element of $\mathcal{B}_n\Gamma$. (See for instance [14, §3.1.3].)

Lemma 3.4. Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group, let Γ be a Cayley–Abels graph for G, and let the tree $T^{(n)}$ be as above for some $n \geq 1$. Then the action of G on $T^{(n)}$ preserves no proper subtree. If $T^{(n)}$ has more than one vertex, then the action of G on $T^{(n)}$ is arc-geometric.

Proof. We may assume $T = T^{(n)}$ has more than one vertex. We observe that $\varphi_n(V\Gamma)$ intersects every half-tree of T, since every element of \mathcal{R}_n contains a vertex. Since G acts transitively on $V\Gamma$, it also acts transitively on $\varphi_n(V\Gamma)$; it follows by [21, Lemme 4.1] that G preserves no proper subtree.

Given $a \in AT$, then G_a is the setwise stabiliser of the element $R_a \in \mathcal{R}_n$ corresponding to the half-tree T_a . Since δR_a is bounded, G_a has bounded orbits on Γ ; since G acts geometrically on Γ , it follows that G_a is compact. On the other hand, since δR_a consists of finitely many arcs, G_a is open. Thus by Lemma 3.2, the action is arc-geometric.

We thus obtain an approximation of $\mathcal{E}G$ by boundaries of trees on which G acts arcgeometrically.

Proposition 3.5. Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group of general infinitely-ended type, and let Γ be a Cayley-Abels graph for G. Then G has a unique largest compact normal subgroup N, and the action of G on $E\Gamma$ is extremely proximal with kernel N. Moreover, if we construct the trees $T^{(n)}$ as above, then there is some t such that for all $n \geq t$, the dual of the inclusion map $\iota_n \colon \mathcal{B}T^{(n)} \to \mathcal{B}\Gamma$ restricts to a quotient map $\widehat{\iota}_n^* \colon E\Gamma \to \overline{E}T^{(n)}$. In particular, $EG \cong \varprojlim_{n>t} \overline{E}T^{(n)}$ as G-spaces.

Proof. Consider two proper nonempty clopen subsets Y and Z of $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$. Via Stone duality, Y and Z correspond to elements \overline{A}_Y and \overline{A}_Z of $\overline{\mathcal{B}\Gamma}\setminus\{0,\infty\}$. Choose n large enough that $T=T^{(n)}$ has more than one vertex and \overline{A}_Y and \overline{A}_Z belong to the image of $\mathcal{B}_n\Gamma$ in $\overline{\mathcal{B}\Gamma}$, and take representatives A_Y and A_Z of \overline{A}_Y and \overline{A}_Z respectively in $\mathcal{B}_n\Gamma$. Then $\varphi_n(A_Y)$ and $\varphi_n(A_Z)$ are each described as subsets of $\varphi_n(V\Gamma)$ by taking unions of intersections with finitely many half-trees of T, and hence there are half-trees T_a and T_b of T such that

$$\varphi_n(A_Y) \subseteq T_a$$
 and $T_b \cap \varphi(V\Gamma) \subseteq T_b$.

By Proposition 3.1, we see that $gT_a \subseteq T_b$ for some $g \in G$, which then implies $gA_Y \subseteq A_Z$ (since A_Y and A_Z are saturated with respect to φ_n). Therefore, G has extremely proximal action on $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$. We also see by Proposition 3.1 that if $T^{(n)}$ has more than one vertex, then the kernel N of the action of G on $T^{(n)}$ is the largest compact normal subgroup of G.

Now let t be large enough that $T^{(n)}$ has more than one vertex for all $n \geq t$, and consider the image of $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ under the dual map $\widehat{\iota}_n$ from $\overline{\Gamma}$ to $\overline{T^{(n)}}$ for $n \geq t$. We see that G has extremely proximal, in particular, minimal, action on $\widehat{\iota}_n(\mathcal{E}\Gamma)$ and on $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T^{(n)}$; thus the two subspaces of $\overline{T^{(n)}}$ are either equal or disjoint. Moreover, taking $g \in G$ with hyperbolic action on $T^{(n)}$, then by considering the action of g on $\varphi_n(V\Gamma)$, we see that g has an attracting end ξ on Γ , which is mapped to the attracting end of g on $T^{(n)}$. Thus $\widehat{\iota}_n(\mathcal{E}\Gamma)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}T^{(n)}$ are not disjoint, so they are equal. Since the spaces are compact Hausdorff and $\widehat{\iota}_n$ is continuous, it restricts to a quotient map $\widehat{\iota}_n^* \colon \mathcal{E}\Gamma \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}T^{(n)}$. In particular, identifying $\mathcal{E}\Gamma$ with $\mathcal{E}G$, we recover $\mathcal{E}G$ as the desired inverse limit, and the kernel of the action is again N.

To summarise this section, here are the possible large-scale structures of a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G, regarded from the perspective of the space of ends.

Proposition 3.6. Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group, and let N be the kernel of the action of G on $\mathcal{E}G$. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- (Compact) G is compact and G = N.
- (One-ended) $|\mathcal{E}G| = 1$ and G = N.
- (Two-ended) $|\mathcal{E}G| = 2$ and G has a largest compact normal subgroup K such that $G/K \in \{\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}*\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\}$, which implies, in particular, that G acts geometrically on a line.
- (Focal hyperbolic) & G is homeomorphic to the Cantor set, N is compact and G/N
 is a scale group, fixing exactly one point ξ in & G and acting faithfully and extremely
 proximally on & G \ {ξ}.
- (General infinitely-ended) We have a sequence of trees T_i, such that on each tree, G acts arc-geometrically on T_i and extremely proximally with compact kernel N on ET_i, and EG ≅ lim ET_i as G-spaces.

We remark that if G is noncompact with no infinite cyclic or infinite dihedral quotient (for example, $G \in S$), then only the one-ended and general infinitely-ended cases can occur.

4. TMS subgroups

4.1. A sufficient condition for a micro-supported action on a tree

In this subsection, we establish a sufficient condition for a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G to have an arc-geometric action on a tree with micro-supported action on the boundary. In order to state the theorem, we define a certain kind of subgroup of a t.d.l.c. group.

Definition 4.1. Let G be a t.d.l.c. group, let K be a subgroup of G, and let U be a compact open subgroup of G. We say (K, U) is a TMS pair of G if it has the following properties:

- (a) For each compact normal subgroup N of G, and each G-conjugate V of U, the set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq UN$ but $gKg^{-1} \nleq V$ has compact closure.
- (b) The set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq U$ does not have compact closure.
- (c) For each compact normal subgroup N of G, the index $|K:K\cap N|$ is infinite.

We say $K \leq G$ is a *TMS subgroup* of G if it forms a TMS pair (K, U) with some compact open subgroup U of G.

Here is the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.2. Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group with a compact normal subgroup M, such that there is a TMS subgroup K/M of G/M. Then G has a unique largest compact normal subgroup N and G/N is [A]-semisimple, with faithful action on

 $\mathcal{E}G \cong \mathcal{E}G/N$ such that every nonempty open set contains the support of some G/N-conjugate of K/N. Moreover, one of the following holds:

- (i) G/N is a scale group.
- (ii) We have a sequence of trees $(T_i)_{i\geq 0}$ on which G acts, with the following properties:
 - (a) For each i, the action of G on T_i is arc-geometric, with kernel N and with the support of K confined to some half-tree.

We begin the proof with a series of lemmas, starting with some general observations on the TMS property.

Lemma 4.3. Let G be a t.d.l.c. group, and let (K, U) be a TMS pair for G.

- (i) The subgroup K is infinite with compact closure, so, in particular, it is nondiscrete, and $(g\overline{K}g^{-1}, U)$ is a TMS pair for all $g \in G$.
- (ii) If M is a compact normal subgroup of G, then (KM/M, UM/M) is a TMS pair of G/M.
- (iii) Let V and W be compact open subgroups of G such that V is contained in a conjugate of UN for some compact normal subgroup N of G, and $W \ge \bigcap_{g \in G} gUg^{-1}$. Then the set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \le V$ but $gKg^{-1} \not \le W$ is compact and open, while the set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \le W$ does not have compact closure.

Proof. Throughout the proof, we refer to properties (a), (b) and (c) of the definition of a TMS pair.

We see by property (b) that K is contained in a compact identity neighbourhood, so it has compact closure, and K is infinite by property (c). It is clear that the properties (a), (b) and (c) are invariant under taking conjugates and taking the closure, so any conjugate of \overline{K} forms a TMS pair with U. This proves (i).

Take a compact normal subgroup M of G and write \widetilde{A} for AM/M, where A is an element or subset of G. It is clear that properties (b) and (c) are satisfied by $(\widetilde{K},\widetilde{U})$ in \widetilde{G} . For property (a), take a compact normal subgroup $\widetilde{N}=N/M$ of \widetilde{G} and a \widetilde{G} -conjugate $\widetilde{V}=\widetilde{h}\widetilde{U}\widetilde{h}^{-1}$ of \widetilde{U} , for some $h\in G$; note that N is a compact normal subgroup of G and $V:=hUh^{-1}$ is a G-conjugate of G. Given G such that G is contained in G but not in G, then G is a G-conjugate of G such that G is particular, G is property (a), the set of elements G is satisfying these conditions has compact closure, and hence the same is true of its image in G. Thus property (a) is satisfied by G, completing the proof of (ii).

For (iii), we first note that the set H of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq V$ but $gKg^{-1} \not\leq W$ is a union of right cosets of the compact open subgroup $V \cap W$; thus H is clopen, and to show H is compact, it suffices to show it has compact closure. By compactness, we see that $W \geq \bigcap_{i=1}^n g_i Ug_i^{-1}$, for some finite subset $\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ of G, and hence by

properties (a) and (b) of a TMS pair, the set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq W$ does not have compact closure. To prove H has compact closure, it is enough to show for $1 \leq i \leq n$ that the set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq V$ but $gKg^{-1} \nleq g_iUg_i^{-1}$ has compact closure. Thus we may assume W is a conjugate of U. We also have $V \leq hUNh^{-1}$ for some $h \in G$, so that H is contained in the set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq hUNh^{-1}$ but $gKg^{-1} \nleq W$; thus we may assume $V = hUNh^{-1}$. Finally, we see from property (a) that $h^{-1}H$ has compact closure (noting that $h^{-1}Wh$ is a conjugate of U), and hence H has compact closure as required, completing the proof of (iii).

Note that given Lemma 4.3 (i), in defining a group with a TMS pair (K, U), it makes little difference whether or not we require K to be compact.

Lemma 4.4. Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group with a subgroup K, and let X be a geometric G-set with some G-metric, such that G acts faithfully. Suppose (K, U) is a TMS pair for some compact open subgroup U such that $\bigcap_{g \in G} gUg^{-1} = \{1\}$.

- (i) X is not quasi-isometric to a line.
- (ii) For all $r \geq 0$ sufficiently large, writing $X^{K,r}$ for the set of points in $x \in X$ such that K fixes pointwise the ball of radius r around x, then $X^{K,r}$ is coarsely almost separated, and both $X^{K,r}$ and $X \setminus X^{K,r}$ are infinite. If X = G/V for some $V \leq U$, we can take all $r \geq 0$.

Proof. Since K has the same fixed points as \overline{K} , we may assume that K is closed, hence compact.

For (i), suppose X is quasi-isometric to a line. Then G has a compact open normal subgroup N such that G/N is cyclic or dihedral. But then $|K:N\cap K|$ is finite, a contradiction.

For (ii), we first consider the case that X = G/V for some open $V \le U$, and the G-metric is given by a Cayley–Abels graph Γ with vertex set G/V and edges of Γ given by (gV, gsV) for $s \in S$, where S is a compact symmetric generating set such that S = VSV. Note that (K, V) is a TMS pair of G by Lemma 4.3 (iii).

Let X^K be the set of fixed points of K on X, and let $V_1 = \bigcap_{s \in S} sVs^{-1}$; since S is compact, V_1 is a finite intersection of conjugates of V. Given $gV \in X^K$ with a neighbouring vertex $w \in X \setminus X^K$, then w = gsV for some $s \in S$. After conjugating, we find that $g^{-1}Kg \leq V$, but $g^{-1}Kg \nleq V_1$. Since (K, V) is a TMS pair, this means g is confined to a compact set, leaving only finitely many possibilities for gV and hence for w. We deduce that X^K is an almost separated set of X.

Suppose for a contradiction that X^K is finite, say $X^K = \{g_1V, \ldots, g_nV\}$; and let $k \in K \setminus \{1\}$. We can then find a compact open subgroup W that is disjoint from $\{g_1^{-1}kg_1, \ldots, g_n^{-1}kg_n\}$; since $\bigcap_{g \in G} gVg^{-1} = \{1\}$, in fact, we can take W to be a finite intersection of G-conjugates of V, including V itself. It then follows that no G-conjugate of W contains K, so W contains no G-conjugate of K, which is incompatible with property (b) of the TMS pair (K, W). Similarly, suppose for a contradiction that $X \setminus X^K$ is finite, say

 $X = X^K \cup \{g_1V, \dots, g_nV\}$. Then the group $K' = K \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n g_iVg_i^{-1}$ is of finite index in K, hence nontrivial, but fixes every point in X. To put this another way, we have

$$N:=\overline{\langle gK'g^{-1}\mid g\in G\rangle}\leq V\leq U,$$

which contradicts the hypothesis $\bigcap_{g \in G} gUg^{-1} = \{1\}$. From these contradictions, we conclude that X^K is infinite with infinite complement. Since X^K is almost separated, for any given $r \geq 0$, we see that $X^{K,r}$ is a cofinite subset of X^K ; thus $X^{K,r}$ is almost separated and both $X^{K,r}$ and $X \setminus X^{K,r}$ are infinite.

In the general case, G acts on X with finitely many orbits and compact open stabilisers. Choose some point $x \in X$; there is then some r_0 such that for all $r \geq r_0$ and $x \in X$, the pointwise fixator $G_{x,r}$ of the ball of radius r around x is contained in U. From the fact that the set of fixed points of K on $G/G_{x,r}$ is infinite with infinite complement, we see that $X^{K,r}$ is infinite with infinite complement. Let r_1 be large enough that every ball of radius r_1 intersects all orbits of G, and let $r \geq r_0 + r_1$. Fix $n \geq 0$, and suppose we have $y \in X^{K,r}$ and $z \in X \setminus X^{K,r}$ with $d_X(y,z) \leq n$. Then there are some points y' and z' within distance r_1 of y and z, respectively, that belong to Gx; say $y' = g_y x$ and $z' = g_z x$. Since y' and z' are within a bounded distance of each other, we can take $g_z = g_y g$, where g is confined to a finite set F_1 , chosen independently of (y,z). There is then a finite subset F_2 of G such that $\bigcap_{f \in F_2} f G_{x,r-r_1} f^{-1}$ fixes all points within distance $r + r_1$ of hx for all $h \in F_1$.

We now have $K \leq G_{y',r-r_1}$, so $g_y^{-1}Kg_y \leq G_{x,r-r_1}$, but $g_y^{-1}Kg_y$ moves a point within distance $r+r_1$ of $g_y^{-1}z'=gx$, so $g_y^{-1}Kg_y \not\leq fG_{x,r-r_1}f^{-1}$ for some $f\in F_2$. Since $(K,G_{x,r-r_1})$ is a TMS pair and F_2 is finite, we see that g_y^{-1} is confined to a compact set, independently of the pair (y,z). Thus z' is confined to a bounded set, and hence also z is confined to a bounded set, showing that $\delta_n X^{K,r}$ is bounded. Thus $X^{K,r}$ is coarsely almost separated.

We next consider the scale group case.

Lemma 4.5. Let G be a scale group with a TMS subgroup K, acting geometrically on the locally finite leafless tree T with fixed end ξ . Then K fixes pointwise a half-tree T' such that $\xi \in \mathcal{E}T'$. Consequently, the action of G on $\mathcal{E}T$ is nondiscretely micro-supported.

Proof. We may quotient out by the kernel of the action on T, and so assume G acts faithfully on T. It is then easy to see that G has no nontrivial compact normal subgroups. For each $v \in VT$, we see that the conjugacy class of G_v forms a base of neighbourhoods of the identity in G; arguing as in Lemma 4.3 (iii), we see that (K, G_v) is a TMS pair.

Let T^K be the fixed subtree of K. We have $G = P \rtimes \langle l \rangle$, where l is a translation towards ξ and the P-orbits on VT are exactly the horospheres around ξ . Since K is compact, it fixes pointwise a ray representing ξ , and hence $\xi \in \mathcal{E}T^K$. By Lemma 4.4 (ii) and the fact that T^K is a subtree, we see that VT^K is an almost separated subset of VT with infinite complement. We can thus take a half-tree $T' = T_a$, with a on the axis of l and pointing towards ξ , such that $t(a) \in VT^K$ and such that no vertex of T_a belongs to

 $\delta_1(VT^K)^c$, ensuring that $T_a \subseteq T^K$; in other words, the support of K is confined to the half-tree $T_{\overline{a}}$. In particular, in the action on $\mathcal{E}T$, the support of K is confined to a compact subset of $\mathcal{E}T \setminus \{\xi\}$. Since G has extremely proximal action on $\mathcal{E}T \setminus \{\xi\}$, it follows that every nonempty open subset of $\mathcal{E}T \setminus \{\xi\}$, and hence also of $\mathcal{E}T$, contains the support of some G-conjugate of K. Since K is nondiscrete and G acts faithfully on $\mathcal{E}T$, we deduce that the action is nondiscretely micro-supported.

We now conclude the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Choose a compact open subgroup U/M of the quotient group G/M such that (K/M, U/M) is a TMS pair for G/M. Let Γ be a Cayley-Abels graph for G/M with vertex set G/U, and let Γ^K be the graph of fixed points of K acting on Γ . Without loss of generality, $M = \bigcap_{g \in G} gUg^{-1}$. Then by Lemma 4.4 (ii), there is an almost separated subset of $V\Gamma$ that is infinite with infinite complement. Moreover, by Lemma 4.4 (i), G/M does not act geometrically on a line. We deduce that G/M acts arcgeometrically on a leafless tree T that is not a line, and we have an action as in case (ii) or (iii) of Proposition 3.1, with compact open arc stabilisers. In particular, the kernel N/M of this action is compact, and, on the other hand, any compact normal subgroup of G has bounded orbits, so is contained in N. Thus N is the largest compact normal subgroup of G, and we note that $\mathcal{E}G \cong \mathcal{E}G/N$ as G-spaces. From now on, we can pass to the quotient G/N, so we assume G has no nontrivial compact normal subgroups; in particular, $M = \{1\}$. We also note via Lemma 4.3 (iii) that (K, V) is a TMS pair for every open subgroup V contained in a conjugate of U.

If G is a scale group, the action of G on $\mathcal{E}G$ is nondiscretely micro-supported by Lemma 4.5, and hence by Theorem 2.2, G is [A]-semisimple. Thus we may assume for the rest of the proof that G is not a scale group. Since G does not act arc-geometrically on a line, we then see by Proposition 3.3 that in every arc-geometric action of G on a leafless tree, G fixes no ends of the tree, so in fact G is of general infinitely-ended type.

Starting from the Cayley-Abels graph Γ for G, form the trees $T_i := T^{(t+i)}$ as in the Dicks-Dunwoody construction, starting from some $t \ge 1$ large enough that T_i has more than one vertex for all $i \ge 0$. By Lemma 3.4, it follows that the action of G on T_i is arcgeometric with no G-invariant subtree. Equip AT_i with a G-metric d_i as in Lemma 3.2. Note that we are in case (iii) of Proposition 3.1, so G has faithful extremely proximal action on the infinite perfect space $\overline{E}T_i$.

Consider the set $(AT_i)^{K,r}$ of $a \in AT_i$ such that K fixes every $b \in AT_i$ with $d_i(a,b) \le r$. By Lemma 4.4 (ii), for $r \ge 0$ sufficiently large, the set $Y = (AT_i)^{K,r}$ is coarsely almost separated, infinite and has infinite complement in AT_i . Considering the metric on AT_i , we see that the span of Y contains a half-tree of T_i , with the result that the support of K on $\overline{E}T_i$ is not dense. Since the action of K on $\overline{E}T_i$ is extremely proximal, it follows that every nonempty open subset of $\overline{E}T_i$ contains the support of some K-conjugate of the nondiscrete subgroup K; thus the action of K on $\overline{E}T_i$ is nondiscretely micro-supported. In particular, K is K is K is a service of K in particular, K is K in K in K in K is K in K is K in K in

4.2. Sources of TMS subgroups

In light of Theorem 4.2, it is interesting to consider sufficient conditions for a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G to have a TMS subgroup. We first note a partial converse to Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 4.6. Let G be a compactly generated t.d.l.c. group with faithful arc-geometric action on a leafless tree T. Let $K = \operatorname{rist}_G(T_a)$ for some arc $a \in AT$, and suppose that K fixes only finitely many arcs of T_a . Then (K, G_a) is a TMS pair.

Proof. Equip AT with a G-metric. The hypothesis ensures that $(AT)^K$ differs from $AT_{\overline{a}}$ by only finitely many elements; thus $(AT)^K$ is almost separated. For property (a) of a TMS subgroup, it is enough to show the following: for any two arcs b_1 and b_2 , the set L_{b_1,b_2} of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq G_{b_1}$ but $gKg^{-1} \not\leq G_{b_2}$ is compact. So fix $b_1, b_2 \in AT$, and let $g \in L_{b_1,b_2}$. Then we see that K fixes $g^{-1}b_1$ but not $g^{-1}b_2$. Thus $g^{-1}b_2 \in \delta_d(AT)^K$, where $d = d(b_1, b_2)$ does not depend on g, so $g^{-1}b_2$ is confined to a finite set, and hence g is confined to a compact set. Thus property (a) for a TMS pair is satisfied.

We now consider the type of action G has on T; note that by Lemma 3.2, G preserves no proper subtree. The fact that K acts nontrivially means that T cannot be a line; it is possible that G fixes at most one end ξ , but since K only fixes finitely many arcs of T_a , we see that ξ cannot be an end of T_a . In either case, we see by Proposition 3.1 that $\overline{\xi}T_a$ is a compressible subspace of $\overline{\xi}T$ under the action of G. From there it is easy to see that the set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq G_a$ does not have compact closure, so property (b) is satisfied. On the other hand, one sees that any compact normal subgroup N of G acts trivially on the tree, from which it follows that $|K:K\cap N|$ is infinite. Thus property (c) is satisfied, and we conclude that (K, G_a) is a TMS pair.

More interesting is to obtain a TMS subgroup without imposing much large-scale structure on G, but more from the local structure of G. Given the conclusions of Theorem 4.2, a necessary condition is that G has a largest compact normal subgroup N and G/N is faithful micro-supported. For convenience, let us take $N = \{1\}$, so we assume G itself is faithful micro-supported and has no nontrivial compact normal subgroups. In particular, via Theorem 2.2, G is [A]-semisimple and acts faithfully on $\mathcal{LC}(G)$. In this context, we find a sufficient condition for $K = \mathrm{rist}_G(\alpha)$ to be a TMS subgroup for $\alpha \in \mathcal{LC}(G)$, with three variants depending on whether or not G is locally of finite quotient type.

Proposition 4.7. Let G be an [A]-semisimple t.d.l.c. group without nontrivial compact normal subgroups, and let $Q \subseteq \mathcal{LC}(G) \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ be nonempty and G-invariant, generating a subalgebra $\mathcal{B} = \langle Q \rangle$. Fix $\alpha \in Q$ and let $K = \mathrm{rist}_G(\alpha)$, with all rigid stabilisers defined with respect to the action on \mathcal{B} . Suppose α and Q satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) Given $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\beta > 0$, there is $0 < \gamma \le \beta$ such that $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$.
- (b) There is $g \in G$ such that $g\alpha < \alpha$.

- (c) For all $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, its stabiliser G_{β} is compact.
- (d) At least one of the following holds:
 - (1) For each natural number n, there are only finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\beta < \alpha$ and

$$|K:K_{\beta}|\leq n$$
.

(2) We have $Q \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}(G)$, and for each $\alpha \in Q$ and natural number n, there are only finitely many $\beta \in Q$ such that $\beta < \alpha$ and

$$|K : \operatorname{rist}_{G}(\beta) C_{K}(\operatorname{rist}_{G}(\beta))| < n$$
.

(3) We have $Q \subseteq \mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}(G)$, and G is locally of finite quotient type.

Then (K, U) is a TMS pair of G for every compact open subgroup U of G.

Proof. From (c), together with the fact that G has no nontrivial compact normal subgroups, we see that G acts faithfully on \mathcal{B} . By [7, Proposition 5.16], for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ the group $\mathrm{rist}_G(\alpha)$ is the largest locally normal subgroup representing α ; in particular, given distinct elements α and β of \mathcal{B} , then $\mathrm{rist}_G(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{rist}_G(\beta)$ do not have any open subgroup in common. Note also that

$$N_G(\operatorname{rist}_G(\alpha)) = G_\alpha$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$; in particular, $N_G(\operatorname{rist}_G(\alpha))$ is compact for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Fix compact open subgroups U and V of G; we claim that the set $H = H_{U,V}$ of $h \in G$ such that $hKh^{-1} \leq U$ but $hKh^{-1} \nleq V$ has compact closure. We may assume that $U \nleq V$. Since U is compact and acts faithfully on \mathcal{B} with finite orbits, there is a base of neighbourhoods of the identity in U consisting of pointwise stabilisers of finite U-invariant subalgebras of \mathcal{B} . Since $U \cap V$ is a neighbourhood of the identity in U, we infer that there is a finite U-invariant subalgebra \mathcal{B}' of \mathcal{B} whose pointwise stabiliser W in U is contained in V; note that W is also the pointwise stabiliser in U of the set $\mathcal{P} = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m\}$ of atoms of \mathcal{B}' . By condition (a), for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ there is $0 < \gamma_i \leq \beta_i$ such that $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{Q}$. Given $1 \leq i \leq m$, write H_i for the set of $g \in G$ such that $gKg^{-1} \leq U$ and $\alpha > g^{-1}\gamma_i$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $|U:L_i| \leq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, where under hypothesis (1), we set $L_i = U_{\gamma_i}$ and under hypothesis (2), we set

$$L_i = \operatorname{rist}_U(\gamma_i) C_U(\operatorname{rist}_U(\gamma_i)).$$

Now consider $g \in H_i$.

Under hypothesis (1), the stabiliser of γ_i in gKg^{-1} has index at most n, so the stabiliser of $g^{-1}\gamma_i$ in K has index at most n. We deduce that there are only finitely many possibilities for $g^{-1}\gamma_i$.

Under hypothesis (2), we have $U \ge gKg^{-1} > \operatorname{rist}_G(\gamma_i)$, so

$$\operatorname{rist}_G(\gamma_i) = \operatorname{rist}_{gKg^{-1}}(\gamma_i) = \operatorname{rist}_U(\gamma_i).$$

After conjugating by g, we see that $\operatorname{rist}_G(g^{-1}\gamma_i) = \operatorname{rist}_K(g^{-1}\gamma_i)$ and

$$|K : \text{rist}_G(g^{-1}\gamma_i)C_K(\text{rist}_G(g^{-1}\gamma_i))| = |K : K \cap g^{-1}L_ig| \le n.$$

Hypothesis (2) then leaves only finitely many possibilities for $g^{-1}\gamma_i$.

Under hypothesis (3), let $W = K \times \mathrm{rist}_U(\alpha^{\perp})$. Given $\beta \in \mathcal{Q}$ and a natural number n' such that $\beta < \alpha$ and

$$|K : \operatorname{rist}_{G}(\beta) C_{K}(\operatorname{rist}_{G}(\beta))| < n',$$

then $\operatorname{rist}_G(\beta)$ is a direct factor of an open subgroup of W of index at most n'. By Lemma 2.6, this leaves only finitely many possibilities for β , so, in fact, hypothesis (2) holds.

In all cases, since G_{γ_i} is compact, we deduce that H_i is compact.

Given $h \in H$, then hKh^{-1} acts nontrivially on \mathcal{P} , so β_i and $k\beta_i$ are disjoint for some $k \in hKh^{-1}$ and $1 \le i \le m$, and hence $h\alpha > \beta_i \ge \gamma_i$. We deduce that

$$H\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m H_i$$
,

thus H has compact closure as claimed. In particular, (K, U) satisfies condition (a) for a TMS pair.

By hypothesis, there is $g \in G$ such that $g\alpha < \alpha$. It then follows that g is not contained in any compact subgroup and $g^n K g^{-n} < K$ for all $n \ge 0$. Taking W to be a compact open subgroup of G containing K, it follows that the set of $h \in G$ such that $hKh^{-1} \le W$ is unbounded; since $H_{W,U}$ has compact closure, the set of $h \in G$ such that $hKh^{-1} \le U$ is also unbounded. Thus (K, U) satisfies condition (b) for a TMS pair.

Finally, by hypothesis G has no finite locally normal subgroups, so K is infinite. Since G has no nontrivial compact normal subgroups, condition (c) for a TMS subgroup is immediate. Thus (K, U) is a TMS pair of G.

To justify some of the hypotheses in Proposition 4.7, we recall the following from [8].

Lemma 4.8 (See [8, Theorem 6.19]). Let G be an [A]-semisimple t.d.l.c. group without nontrivial compact normal subgroups, and let A be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{LD}(G)$ on which G acts faithfully. Then there exist nonzero elements $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ of A, where d is the number of minimal nontrivial closed normal subgroups of G, such that for all $\beta \in A \setminus \{0\}$, there are $g \in G$ and $1 \le i \le d$ such that $g\alpha_i < \beta$. In particular, setting

$$Q = \{g\alpha_i \mid g \in G, 1 \le i \le d\} \quad and \quad \alpha = \alpha_1,$$

then α and Q satisfy conditions (a) and (b) of Proposition 4.7.

The critical conditions in Proposition 4.7 are thus (c) and (d). In particular, we can now prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Since G has no nontrivial compact normal subgroups, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$, we see that G_{α} contains no nontrivial normal subgroup of G. Hence G acts faithfully on $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$. By Theorem 2.2, G is [A]-semisimple. By our present hypotheses, conditions (a), (c) and (d) (3) of Proposition 4.7 are satisfied, and by Lemma 4.8, we can take $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that condition (b) is satisfied. Thus $K = \mathrm{rist}_G(\alpha)$ is a TMS subgroup of G.

By [18, Corollary 1.4], it follows that given a compact open subgroup U of G, then U is not isomorphic to any of its proper open subgroups. In particular, we see that if G acts on a tree with more than two ends and with compact open stabilisers, then G cannot fix any end of the tree. Thus we are in case (ii) of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.5 can be used to give a sufficient condition for a one-ended compactly generated t.d.l.c. group G to be locally indecomposable. The only nonobvious aspect of applying Theorem 1.5 in this manner is in imposing conditions on locally normal subgroups (without direct reference to the decomposition lattice) ensuring that elements of $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}(G)\setminus\{0,\infty\}$ have compact stabilisers.

Corollary 4.9. Let G be a nontrivial compactly generated t.d.l.c. group. Suppose the following: G is one-ended; $QZ(G) = \{1\}$; G has no nontrivial compact normal subgroups; G is locally of finite quotient type; no open subgroup of G has an infinite discrete quotient; and the centraliser of every nontrivial closed locally normal subgroup is compact. Then G is locally indecomposable.

Proof. Let G be locally decomposable, that is, there is some $\alpha \in \mathcal{LD}(G) \setminus \{0, \infty\}$. Then G_{α} is an open subgroup, such that an open normal subgroup of G_{α} splits as a direct product $H = \mathrm{rist}_G(\alpha) \times \mathrm{rist}_G(\alpha^c)$. Since the groups $\mathrm{rist}_G(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{rist}_G(\alpha^c)$ are closed locally normal subgroups that centralise each other, they are both compact, and hence H is compact. Since G_{α} has no infinite discrete quotient, G_{α}/H is finite, so G_{α} is also compact.

Our goal is to invoke Theorem 1.5 with $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}(G) \setminus \{0, \infty\}$. Since G_{α} is compact for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$ and G has no nontrivial compact normal subgroup, it follows that the G-action on the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ is faithful. Therefore, G is [A]-semisimple by Theorem 2.2, and it follows from Lemma 4.8 that all hypotheses of Theorem 1.5 are satisfied. It follows that G has more than one end, contradicting our hypothesis. So we must, in fact, have $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{D}(G) = \{0, \infty\}$.

The authors do not know a general method for proving that, given a t.d.l.c. group G, that the centraliser of every nontrivial closed locally normal subgroup of G is compact. However, such a restriction on centralisers can be proved for many complete geometric Kac–Moody groups, allowing the application of Corollary 4.9; this is done in another article [6].

Funding. Timothée Marquis is a F.R.S.-FNRS Research Associate. Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Timothée Marquis are supported in part by Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (F.R.S.-FNRS) and by Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO) under the EOS programme (project ID 40007542). Colin D. Reid is supported in part by Australian Research Council (ARC) grant FL170100032.

References

- [1] H. Abels, Specker-Kompaktifizierungen von lokal kompakten topologischen Gruppen. *Math. Z.* 135 (1974), 325–361 Zbl 0275.22011 MR 344375
- [2] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, Metric spaces of non-positive curvature. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 319, Springer, Berlin, 1999 Zbl 0988.53001 MR 1744486
- [3] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 41 (1972), 5–251 Zbl 0254.14017 MR 327923
- [4] P.-E. Caprace, Automorphism groups of right-angled buildings: simplicity and local splittings. Fund. Math. 224 (2014), no. 1, 17–51 Zbl 1296.20031 MR 3164745
- [5] P.-E. Caprace, Y. Cornulier, N. Monod, and R. Tessera, Amenable hyperbolic groups. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 17 (2015), no. 11, 2903–2947 Zbl 1330.43002 MR 3420526
- [6] P.-E. Caprace, T. Marquis, and C. D. Reid, Locally normal subgroups and ends of locally compact Kac–Moody groups. *Münster J. Math.* 15 (2022), no. 2, 473–498 Zbl 07641766 MR 4541291
- [7] P.-E. Caprace, C. D. Reid, and G. A. Willis, Locally normal subgroups of totally disconnected groups. Part I: General theory. *Forum Math. Sigma* 5 (2017), article no. e11 Zbl 1379.22002 MR 3659770
- [8] P.-E. Caprace, C. D. Reid, and G. A. Willis, Locally normal subgroups of totally disconnected groups. Part II: Compactly generated simple groups. Forum Math. Sigma 5 (2017), article no. e12 Zbl 1401.22003 MR 3659769
- [9] Y. Cornulier, Commability and focal locally compact groups. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 64 (2015), no. 1, 115–150 Zbl 1387.22006 MR 3320521
- [10] Y. Cornulier and P. de la Harpe, Metric geometry of locally compact groups. EMS Tracts Math. 25, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2016 Zbl 1352.22001 MR 3561300
- [11] W. Dicks and M. J. Dunwoody, Groups acting on graphs. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 17, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989 Zbl 0665.20001 MR 1001965
- [12] A. Genevois, Hyperbolic and cubical rigidities of Thompson's group V. J. Group Theory 22 (2019), no. 2, 313–345 Zbl 1450.20009 MR 3918481
- [13] G. Kerg, On Neretin's group of tree spheromorphisms. 2013, Master's thesis, Université Libre de Bruxelles, https://www.giancarlokerg.com/publications/, visited on 6 December 2023
- [14] B. Krön and R. G. Möller, Analogues of Cayley graphs for topological groups. *Math. Z.* 258 (2008), no. 3, 637–675 Zbl 1134.22003 MR 2369049
- [15] W. Lederle, Coloured Neretin groups. Groups Geom. Dyn. 13 (2019), no. 2, 467–510 Zbl 1456.22008 MR 3950641
- [16] R. G. Möller and J. Vonk, Normal subgroups of groups acting on trees and automorphism groups of graphs. J. Group Theory 15 (2012), no. 6, 831–850 Zbl 1272.20027 MR 2997026
- [17] C. D. Reid, On the structure of just infinite profinite groups. J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 9, 2249–2261 Zbl 1213.20027 MR 2684140

- [18] C. D. Reid, Endomorphisms of profinite groups. Groups Geom. Dyn. 8 (2014), no. 2, 553–564 Zbl 1303.20035 MR 3231228
- [19] C. D. Reid and S. M. Smith, Groups acting on trees with Tits' independence property (P). 2022, arXiv:2002.11766
- [20] S. M. Smith, A product for permutation groups and topological groups. *Duke Math. J.* 166 (2017), no. 15, 2965–2999 Zbl 1380.20029 MR 3712169
- [21] J. Tits, Sur le groupe des automorphismes d'un arbre. In Essays on Topology and Related Topics (Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham), pp. 188–211, Springer, Berlin, 1970 Zbl 0214.51301 MR 299534
- [22] G. A. Willis, Scale groups. 2022, arXiv:2008.05220
- [23] J. S. Wilson, Large hereditarily just infinite groups. J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 2, 248–255 Zbl 1209.20028 MR 2651355

Received 14 December 2021.

Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace

Institut de Recherche en Mathématiques et Physique, Université Catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, boîte L7.01.02, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium; pe.caprace@uclouvain.be

Timothée Marquis

Institut de Recherche en Mathématiques et Physique, Université Catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, boîte L7.01.02, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium; timothee.marquis@uclouvain.be

Colin D. Reid

School of Information and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, University Drive, NSW 2308 Callaghan, Australia; colin@reidit.net