

Derivations in C^* -Algebras Commuting with Compact Actions

By

Akio IKUNISHI*

Abstract

Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system such that G is compact, and let δ be a closed $*$ -derivation in A commuting with α . If $A^\alpha \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta) \subset \ker \delta$ and A^α is contained in the center of A , then δ is the generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group of $*$ -automorphisms of A commuting with α .

§1. Introduction.

We shall consider the problem of whether a closed $*$ -derivation in a C^* -algebra commuting with an action of a locally compact group is the generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group of $*$ -automorphisms of the C^* -algebra.

S. Sakai [14] first proposed this question and proved that a non-zero closed derivation in $C(\mathbf{T})$ commuting with translations by elements of \mathbf{T} is a constant multiple of differentiation. The same result for $C_0(\mathbf{R})$ has been obtained by C. J. K. Batty [4] and F. Goodman [8]. F. Goodman [9] and H. Nakazato [13] generalized it to every locally compact group and compact group, respectively. In [9] the case that a locally compact group acts on its homogeneous space has also been considered.

In the present paper we answer this problem in the affirmative for every ergodic action of a compact group. We shall also give some related results on non-ergodic actions of compact groups.

§2. Notation and Preliminaries

Let α be a strongly continuous representation of a locally compact group G on a Banach space X which is bounded. Then α induces a continuous representation of $L^1(G)$ on X defined as follows: for $f \in L^1(G)$, $a \in X$ and $\phi \in X^*$,

$$\langle \alpha(f)a, \phi \rangle = \int f(g) \langle \alpha_g(a), \phi \rangle dg,$$

where dg denotes a left Haar measure of G ; see [3].

Communicated by H. Araki, December 28, 1981.

* Department of Applied Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152, Japan.

Suppose that G is compact. Let γ be an element of \hat{G} , the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible continuous unitary representations of G , and let $U^r \in \gamma$. Since the representation space of U^r is of finite dimension, U^r_g may correspond to a unitary matrix $(u^r_{ij}(g))$, so that u^r_{ij} are continuous on G . $\text{Tr}(U^r)$, denoted by χ_γ , is called the character of γ and coincides with $\sum_i u^r_{ii}$. We denote $\alpha(\bar{\chi}_\gamma)X$ by $X^\alpha(\gamma)$ and call this a minimal spectral subspace. $C(G)^\lambda(\gamma)$ is spanned by u^r_{ij} and $C(G)$ is topologically spanned by $C(G)^\lambda(\gamma)$ with all γ in \hat{G} , where λ denotes the left regular representation of G on $C(G)$. It follows from these facts that the $X^\alpha(\gamma)$ span X . If, moreover, X is a $*$ -algebra and the α_g are $*$ -automorphisms, then $\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} X^\alpha(\gamma)$ is a dense $*$ -subalgebra of X , because $\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} C(G)^\lambda(\gamma)$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of $C(G)$; see [11]. If T is a closed linear mapping in X commuting with α , then T commutes with $\alpha(\bar{\chi}_\gamma)$ and hence $\alpha(\bar{\chi}_\gamma)\mathcal{D}(T) \subset X^\alpha(\gamma) \cap \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $T(\alpha(\bar{\chi}_\gamma)\mathcal{D}(T)) \subset X^\alpha(\gamma)$. Moreover the $\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} X^\alpha(\gamma) \cap \mathcal{D}(T)$ is dense in the Banach space $\mathcal{D}(T)$, that is, a core for T .

By a C^* -dynamical system we mean a triplet (A, G, α) of a C^* -algebra A , a locally compact group G and a strongly continuous representation α of G by $*$ -automorphisms of A . If G is compact, then $\int \alpha_g dg$ is a faithful projection of norm one onto the fixed-point algebra A^α under α , denoted by E .

A linear mapping δ in a C^* -algebra A is said to be a derivation if its domain $\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ is a dense subalgebra of A and if $\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$ for any $a, b \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$. A derivation δ is said to be a $*$ -derivation if $\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ is self-adjoint and $\delta(a^*) = \delta(a)^*$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$. If a $*$ -derivation is a generator in A , then it is clearly the generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group of $*$ -automorphisms of A .

Let ϕ be a G -invariant state of A and $\{\pi_\phi, \mathcal{H}_\phi, \xi_\phi\}$ the GNS-representation associated with ϕ . By α^ϕ and U^ϕ we denote a strongly continuous representation of G on $\pi_\phi(A)$ and a continuous unitary representation of G on \mathcal{H}_ϕ such that $\alpha^\phi_g \circ \pi_\phi = \pi_\phi \circ \alpha_g$, $U^\phi_g a \xi_\phi = \alpha^\phi_g(a) \xi_\phi$ and $\alpha^\phi_g(a) = U^\phi_g a U^{\phi*}_g$ for any $a \in \pi_\phi(A)$. We note that the fixed-point algebra of $\pi_\phi(A)$ under α^ϕ coincides with $\pi_\phi(A^\alpha)$, provided that G is compact.

If δ is a derivation in A and ϕ is a state of A with $\phi \circ \delta = 0$, then δ induces a derivation δ_ϕ in $\pi_\phi(A)$ with the domain $\pi_\phi(\mathcal{D}(\delta))$ such that $\delta_\phi(\pi_\phi(a)) = \pi_\phi(\delta(a))$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$. In fact, for any $a \in \ker \pi_\phi \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta)$, $b \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$ and $c \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pi_\phi(\delta(a))\pi_\phi(b)\xi_\phi | \pi_\phi(c)\xi_\phi) \\ &= \phi(c^*\delta(a)b) = \phi(\delta(c^*ab) - c^*a\delta(b) - \delta(c^*)ab) \\ &= -\phi(c^*a\delta(b)) - \phi(\delta(c^*)ab) \\ &= -(\pi_\phi(a)\pi_\phi(\delta(b))\xi_\phi | \pi_\phi(c)\xi_\phi) - (\pi_\phi(a)\pi_\phi(b)\xi_\phi | \pi_\phi(\delta(c^*)^*)\xi_\phi) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\delta(\ker \pi_\phi \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta)) \subset \ker \pi_\phi$.

Throughout this paper, let (A, G, α) denote a C^* -dynamical system with a

compact group G .

§ 3. Derivations Commuting with Ergodic Actions

Lemma 3.1. *Let δ be a derivation in A commuting with α and E such that $A^\alpha \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta) \subset \ker \delta$.*

Then every G -invariant state ϕ of A is invariant under δ , i. e., $\phi \circ \delta = 0$, and hence δ and δ_ϕ are closable and these closures are derivations in A and $\pi_\phi(A)$ commuting with α and α^ϕ , respectively.

Proof. The assumption implies that for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$,

$$\phi(\delta(a)) = \phi \circ E(\delta(a)) = \phi(\delta(E(a))) = 0.$$

Since there is a separating family of G -invariant states of A , it follows from [13] Corollary 2.3 that δ is closable. It is clear that the closure of δ is a derivation in A commuting with α . These facts are therefore valid for δ_ϕ .

Q. E. D.

Theorem 3.2. *Let δ be a closed *-derivation in A commuting with α such that $A^\alpha \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta) \subset \ker \delta$. Suppose that for any $\gamma \in \hat{G}$, $A^\alpha(\gamma)$ is of finite dimension.*

Then δ is a generator.

Proof. We shall prove that $\|(1 + \lambda\delta)(a)\| \geq \|a\|$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Let ϕ be a G -invariant state of A , so that $\phi \circ \delta = 0$ by Lemma 3.1. Defining H by $Ha\xi_\phi = -i\delta_\phi(a)\xi_\phi$, it is easy that H is a symmetric operator commuting with U^ϕ and $\delta_\phi(a) = \overline{[i\bar{H}, a]}$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\phi)$. Since $\pi_\phi(\alpha(\bar{\lambda}_\gamma)a)\xi_\phi = U^\phi(\bar{\lambda}_\gamma)(\pi_\phi(a)\xi_\phi)$ for any $a \in A$, $U^\phi(\bar{\lambda}_\gamma)\pi_\phi(A)\xi_\phi$ is of finite dimension, and so coincides with $\mathcal{A}_\phi^{U^\phi}(\gamma)$. Since $U^\phi(\bar{\lambda}_\gamma)\mathcal{D}(\bar{H})$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}(\bar{H})$ and dense in $\mathcal{A}_\phi^{U^\phi}(\gamma)$, we have $\mathcal{A}_\phi^{U^\phi}(\gamma) \subset \mathcal{D}(\bar{H})$. Hence, by the injectivity of $1 + i\bar{H}$, $(1 + i\bar{H})\mathcal{A}_\phi^{U^\phi}(\gamma) = \mathcal{A}_\phi^{U^\phi}(\gamma)$ or $(1 + i\bar{H}) \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \mathcal{A}_\phi^{U^\phi}(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \mathcal{A}_\phi^{U^\phi}(\gamma)$. Since $\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \mathcal{A}_\phi^{U^\phi}(\gamma)$ is dense in \mathcal{A}_ϕ , it follows that \bar{H} is self-adjoint. $(\text{Ad } e^{it\bar{H}})$ is therefore a σ -weakly continuous one-parameter group of *-automorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\phi)$. Denoting by δ' its generator, we have $\|(1 + \lambda\delta')(a)\| \geq \|a\|$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta')$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Since $\delta_\phi(a) = \overline{[i\bar{H}, a]} = \delta'(a)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\phi)$, we have $\|\pi_\phi((1 + \lambda\delta)(a))\| \geq \|\pi_\phi(a)\|$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.

Now there exists a separating family (ϕ_t) of G -invariant states of A , so that $\bigoplus_t \pi_{\phi_t}$ is faithful. It follows from the above facts that for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 + \lambda\delta)(a)\| &= \|\bigoplus_t \pi_{\phi_t}((1 + \lambda\delta)(a))\| \\ &= \sup_t \|\pi_{\phi_t}((1 + \lambda\delta)(a))\| \\ &\geq \sup_t \|\pi_{\phi_t}(a)\| \\ &= \|a\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha(\bar{\lambda}_\gamma)\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ is dense in $A^\alpha(\gamma)$ and $A^\alpha(\gamma)$ is of finite dimension, $A^\alpha(\gamma) =$

$\alpha(\bar{\lambda}_\gamma)\mathcal{D}(\delta)\subset\mathcal{D}(\delta)$. For any $\lambda\in\mathbf{R}$, since $1+\lambda\delta$ is injective, we have $(1+\lambda\delta)A^\alpha(\gamma)=A^\alpha(\gamma)$ and so $(1+\lambda\delta)\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ is dense in A . Thus it follows from the Hille-Yoshida theorem that δ is a generator. Q. E. D.

Corollary 3.3. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 3.2, if δ is a closed derivation in A commuting with α such that $A^\alpha\cap\mathcal{D}(\delta)\subset\ker\delta$, then the *-derivations $1/2(\delta+\delta^*)$ and $1/2i(\delta-\delta^*)$ are pregenerators and δ coincides with the closure of $1/2(\overline{\delta+\delta^*})+1/2(\overline{\delta-\delta^*})$, where $\delta^*(a)=\delta(a^*)^*$ for $a\in\mathcal{D}(\delta)^*$.*

Proof. As in Theorem 3.2, we obtain $\sum_{\gamma\in\hat{G}}A^\alpha(\gamma)\subset\mathcal{D}(\delta)$. Since $\sum_{\gamma\in\hat{G}}A^\alpha(\gamma)$ is a dense *-subalgebra of A , $1/2(\delta+\delta^*)$ and $1/2i(\delta-\delta^*)$ are densely defined *-derivations in A commuting with α and E . By Lemma 3.1, they are closable and so pregenerators. Since $\sum_{\gamma\in\hat{G}}A^\alpha(\gamma)$ is a common core of all closed linear mappings commuting with α , δ is the closure of $1/2(\overline{\delta+\delta^*})+1/2(\overline{\delta-\delta^*})$. Q. E. D.

If either $A=C(G/H)$ and α is the left regular representation, where H is a closed subgroup of G , or G is abelian and α is ergodic, then it is well known that each minimal spectral subspace is of finite dimension. In fact, in the former, $\dim A^\alpha(\gamma)\leq(\dim\gamma)^2$ because $C(G/H)$ can be embedded in $C(G)$. In the latter, each minimal spectral subspace is zero or one dimensional. But, for any ergodic action α , [12] Proposition 2.1 assures $\dim A^\alpha(\gamma)\leq(\dim\gamma)^2$. Therefore we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *Let δ be a closed *-derivation in A commuting with α . If α is ergodic, then δ is a generator.*

In particular, for abelian groups we have the following:

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that A has a unit, α is ergodic and G is abelian. If δ is a closed derivation in A commuting with α , then there exists a unique homomorphism d of $(\ker\alpha)^\perp$ into \mathbf{C} such that δ is the multiplication by $id(\gamma)$ on $A^\alpha(\gamma)$ with $\gamma\in(\ker\alpha)^\perp$; moreover, when δ is a *-derivation, δ is the generator of (α_{g_t}) for some continuous one-parameter subgroup (g_t) of G .*

Conversely, if d is a homomorphism of $(\ker\alpha)^\perp$ into \mathbf{C} , then there exists a unique closed derivation δ in A such that δ is the multiplication by $id(\gamma)$ on $A^\alpha(\gamma)$ with $\gamma\in(\ker\alpha)^\perp$.

Proof. Since α is ergodic, if $\gamma\in\text{sp}\alpha$, then $A^\alpha(\gamma)=Cu_\gamma$ for some unitary u_γ . Since $A^\alpha(\gamma)A^\alpha(\gamma')\subset A^\alpha(\gamma+\gamma')$, $\text{sp}\alpha$ is a subgroup of \hat{G} . Therefore, by [6] Lemma 2.3.8, we have $\text{sp}\alpha=(\ker\alpha)^\perp$. With $d(\gamma)=-i\delta(u_\gamma)u_\gamma^*$, d is a homomorphism of $\text{sp}\alpha$ into \mathbf{C} . For, $u_\gamma u_{\gamma'}\in A^\alpha(\gamma+\gamma')$ and $\delta(u_\gamma u_{\gamma'})=u_\gamma\delta(u_{\gamma'})+\delta(u_\gamma)u_{\gamma'}=i(d(\gamma)+d(\gamma'))u_\gamma u_{\gamma'}$.

If γ is a *-derivation, then d is real-valued, and hence, $e^{itd}\in(\text{sp}\alpha)^\wedge\cong G/\ker\alpha$ for any $t\in\mathbf{R}$. Therefore, by [2] Lemma B, there exists a continuous one-

parameter subgroup (g_t) of G such that $\langle g_t, \gamma \rangle = e^{itd(\gamma)}$. δ is then the generator of (α_{g_t}) . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\delta}u_\gamma &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{t^n}{n!} \delta^n(u_\gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{t^n}{n!} (id(\gamma))^n u_\gamma \\ &= e^{itd(\gamma)}u_\gamma = \langle g_t, \gamma \rangle u_\gamma = \alpha_{g_t}(u_\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that d is a homomorphism of $\text{sp } \alpha$ into \mathcal{C} . Defining δ on $\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} A^\alpha(\gamma)$ by $\delta(\sum_\gamma \lambda_\gamma u_\gamma) = i \sum_\gamma d(\gamma) \lambda_\gamma u_\gamma$, we see easily that δ is a derivation commuting with α and the projection E . By Lemma 3.1, δ is closable and can be uniquely extended to a closed derivation in A commuting with α . Q.E.D.

§ 4. Non Ergodic Cases

Throughout this section, let δ denote a closed *-derivation in A commuting with α .

We first of all note that $A^\alpha \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta) \subset \ker \delta$ implies $A^\alpha \subset \ker \delta$ and that a G -invariant state of A is the only one if α is ergodic. The former is derived from $A^\alpha = \overline{E(\mathcal{D}(\delta))}$ and the closedness of $\ker \delta$, and the latter from the fact that $\phi(a)1 = E(a)$ for any G -invariant state ϕ and any $a \in A$.

Lemma 4.1. *The following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a separating family of G -ergodic states of A for which α^ϕ is ergodic on $\pi_\phi(A)$;*
- (ii) *α^ϕ is ergodic for any G -ergodic state ϕ of A ;*
- (iii) *A^α is contained in the center of A .*

If one of the above conditions is satisfied, then the set \mathcal{E} of all G -ergodic states of A is closed in the state space of A , and hence locally compact with respect to $\sigma(A^, A)$ -topology, and A^α is isomorphic to $C_0(\mathcal{E})$ in a natural way; moreover the function $\mathcal{E} \ni \phi \rightarrow \|\pi_\phi(a)\|$ is upper semi-continuous for any $a \in A$.*

Proof. Let (ϕ_t) be a separating family of G -ergodic states of A such that α^{ϕ_t} is ergodic, so that $\bigoplus_t \pi_{\phi_t}$ is faithful. Then for any $a \in A^\alpha$ and $b \in A$, we have

$$\bigoplus_t \pi_{\phi_t}(ab) = \bigoplus_t \phi_t(a) \pi_{\phi_t}(b) = \bigoplus_t \pi_{\phi_t}(ba),$$

and so $ab = ba$. Hence (i) implies (iii).

Suppose the condition (iii). If ϕ is a G -ergodic state of A , then $\pi_\phi(A)' \cap \{U_g^\phi | g \in G\}' = \mathcal{C}1$ and so $\pi_\phi(A^\alpha) \subset \mathcal{C}1$. Since $\pi_\phi(A^\alpha)$ coincides with the fixed point algebra of $\pi_\phi(A)$ under α^ϕ , we obtain that α^ϕ is ergodic.

The set of all G -invariant states of A is separating, and so also is the set of all G -ergodic states of A . Thus the conditions (i)-(iii) are equivalent.

Suppose the condition (iii), so that A^α is commutative. The transposed mapping of E is a weakly continuous and affine bijection between the compact

set of positive forms on A^α of norm ≤ 1 and the compact set of G -invariant positive forms on A of norm ≤ 1 . Therefore \mathcal{E} is homeomorphic to the spectrum of A^α , so that \mathcal{E} is locally compact and A^α is isomorphic to $C_0(\mathcal{E})$. Moreover, considering the C^* -algebra obtained from A by the adjunction of the unit, it follows that \mathcal{E} is closed in the state space of A .

For each $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$ we have that $\{\phi \circ \pi_\phi \mid \phi \text{ is a state of } \pi_\phi(A)\}$ coincides with the intersection of ${}^tE^{-1}(\phi)$ and the state space of A , where tE denotes the transposed mapping of E in A^* . If, in fact, a state ψ of A belongs to ${}^tE^{-1}(\phi)$, then $\int \psi(\alpha_g(a)) dg = \phi \circ E(a) = \phi(a) = 0$ for any positive element $a \in \ker \pi_\phi$. Since the function $g \rightarrow \psi(\alpha_g(a))$ is continuous and non-negative, it follows that $\psi(a) = 0$ for any positive element $a \in \ker \pi_\phi$ and also for any $a \in \ker \pi_\phi$, and so that $\psi = \psi' \circ \pi_\phi$ for some state ψ' of $\pi_\phi(A)$.

Denoting by \mathcal{S} the intersection of ${}^tE^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$ and the state space of A , which is locally compact, we deduce from above facts that the self-adjoint portion of A can be embedded isometrically in $C_0(\mathcal{S})$. It follows from the compactness of ${}^tE^{-1}(\phi) \cap \mathcal{S}$ that the function $\mathcal{E} \ni \phi \rightarrow \sup\{|f(\psi)| \mid \psi \in {}^tE^{-1}(\phi) \cap \mathcal{S}\}$ is upper semi-continuous for any continuous function f on \mathcal{S} . Since $\|\pi_\phi(a)\|^2 = \|\pi_\phi(a^*a)\| = \sup\{\psi(\pi_\phi(a^*a)) \mid \psi \text{ is a state of } \pi_\phi(A)\}$, we obtain the upper semi-continuity of the function $\mathcal{E} \ni \phi \rightarrow \|\pi_\phi(a)\|$ for any $a \in A$. Q. E. D.

Recently, Goodman and Jørgensen answered our problem in the affirmative for commutative C^* -algebras, who also proved Theorem 3.4 independently [10]. The following theorem generalizes their result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let δ be a closed $*$ -derivation in A commuting with α . If $A^\alpha \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta) \subset \ker \delta$ and A^α is contained in the center of A , then δ is a generator.*

Proof. For each G -ergodic state ϕ of A , it follows from Lemma 3.1, Theorem 3.4, and Lemma 4.1 that δ_ϕ is a pregenerator, so that $\|(1 + \lambda \delta_\phi)(a)\| \geq \|a\|$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\phi)$ and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 4.1, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have therefore that $\|(1 + \lambda \delta)(a)\| \geq \|a\|$ for any $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$ and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now we show that $(1 + \lambda \delta)\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ is dense in A for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let K be the set of all G -ergodic states ϕ such that $\|\pi_\phi(a)\| \geq \varepsilon$, so that K is compact. For $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$, since δ_ϕ is a pregenerator, $\|\pi_\phi(a) - (1 + \lambda \delta)\pi_\phi(b)\| < \varepsilon$ for some $b \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)$. Hence, by Lemma 4.1, there exists a neighbourhood of ϕ on which $\|\pi_\phi(a - (1 + \lambda \delta)(b))\| < \varepsilon$. Since $A^\alpha \simeq C_0(\mathcal{E})$, there exist a continuous partition (c_i) of unity corresponding to a finite open covering (V_i) of K and a family (b_i) in $\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ such that $c_i \in A^\alpha$, $\sum \phi(c_i) = 1$ on K and $\|\pi_\phi(a - (1 + \lambda \delta)(b_i))\| < \varepsilon$ on V_i . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\phi \in K} \|\pi_\phi(a - (1 + \lambda \delta)(\sum_i b_i c_i))\| \\ &= \sup_{\phi \in K} \|\sum_i \phi(c_i) \pi_\phi(a - (1 + \lambda \delta)(b_i))\| \\ &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\|a - (1 + \lambda\delta)(\sum_i b_i c_i)\| < \varepsilon$. Thus $(1 + \lambda\delta)\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ is dense in A , which implies that δ is a generator. Q. E. D.

Remark. Suppose that A^α is contained in the center of A . Then, by Lemma 4.1 and [12], every G -ergodic state is a trace. Therefore A has a separating family (ϕ_i) of tracial states and $(\bigoplus_i \pi_{\phi_i})(A)''$ is a finite von Neumann algebra. For further results, see [1], [12].

Remark. In [10], it was shown that a closed *-derivation δ in the C*-algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ of all compact operators on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a generator if it commutes with an action α of a compact group G on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\alpha \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta) \subset \ker \delta$. This is valid for non-separable Hilbert spaces. The following proof is inspired by Kishimoto.

It suffices to show that the set \mathcal{U} of all unitaries which implement some α_g is weakly compact. Then \mathcal{U} induces an action on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying the same hypothesis as (G, α) . Clearly, the function $U \mapsto UxU^*$ is weakly continuous on the unit ball of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for any operator x of rank one, and hence for any compact operator. Since $\alpha(G)$ is compact with respect to the topology of weak pointwise convergence, it then follows that for any $U \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$, U and U^* implement some α_g , so that \mathcal{U} is a unitary. Therefore \mathcal{U} is weakly compact.

Appendix

A. Kishimoto showed, independent of [5], that for a C*-dynamical system (A, G, α) with a compact abelian group G , a closed *-derivation δ in A commuting with α is a generator if $A^\alpha \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta) \subset \ker \delta$.

We shall describe the outline of its proof. Any element of $A^\alpha(\gamma) \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta)$ can be approximated by such an element a of $A^\alpha(\gamma) \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta)$ that $a = av^*$ for some $v \in A^\alpha(\gamma) \cap \mathcal{D}(\delta)$. For this, consider the following functions f and g , and notice $A^\alpha(\gamma)A^\alpha(\gamma') \subset A^\alpha(\gamma + \gamma')$: $f(t) = 0$ on $[0, \varepsilon]$, $\varepsilon^{-1}(t - \varepsilon)$ on $(\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)$, and 1 on $[2\varepsilon, \infty)$, $g(t) = \varepsilon^{-1}$ on $[0, \varepsilon]$ and t^{-1} on (ε, ∞) . Such an element a is analytic for δ . For, since $av^* \in A^\alpha \subset \ker \delta$, we have $\delta(a) = av^*\delta(v)$ and so $\delta^n(a) = a(v^*\delta(v))^n$. Thus the set of all analytic elements for δ is dense in A . By a slight modification of Theorem 3.2, we then conclude that δ is a generator.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professors S. Sakai, Y. Nakagami, A. Kishimoto and H. Takai for raising the problem and useful suggestions, and to thank Professor A. Kishimoto for permitting him to mention Appendix.

References

- [1] Albeverio, S. and Høegh-Krohn, R., Ergodic actions by compact groups on C^* -algebras, *Math. Zeitschrift*, to appear.
- [2] Araki, H., Kastler, D., Takesaki, M. and Haag, R., Extension of KMS states and chemical potential, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **53** (1977), 97-134.
- [3] Arveson, W., On groups of automorphisms of operator algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **15** (1974), 217-243.
- [4] Batty, C.J.K., Derivations in compact spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [5] Bratteli, O. and Jørgensen, P.E.T., Unbounded derivations tangent to compact groups of automorphisms, to appear.
- [6] Bratteli, O. and Robinson, D.W., *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1979.
- [7] Connes, A., Une classification des facteurs de type III, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **6** (1973), 133-252.
- [8] Goodman, F., Closed derivations in commutative C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **39** (1980), 308-346.
- [9] ———, Translation invariant closed $*$ -derivations, *Pacific J. Math.*, to appear.
- [10] Goodman, F. and Jørgensen, P.E.T., Unbounded derivations commuting with compact group actions, to appear.
- [11] Hewitt, E. and Ross, K.A., *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [12] Høegh-Krohn, R., Landstad, M.B. and Størmer, E., Compact ergodic groups of automorphisms, preprint, Univ. of Oslo, 1980.
- [13] Nakazato, H., Closed $*$ -derivations on compact groups, preprint, 1980.
- [14] Sakai, S., The theory of unbounded derivations in operator algebras, Lecture Notes, Univ. of Copenhagen and Univ. of Newcastle upon Tyne, 1977.