

## Remark to the Previous Paper "Ergodic Decomposition of Quasi-Invariant Measures"

*Dedicated to Professor Hisaaki Yoshizawa on his 60th birthday*

By

Hiroaki SHIMOMURA\*

In [1] the author derived a canonical decomposition of measures on  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$  which was listed as Theorem 4.2. Under the same notations as in [1], it states that for any probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$ , there exist a family of tail-trivial probability measures  $\{\mu^\tau\}_{\tau \in \mathbf{R}^1}$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$  and a measurable map  $p$  from  $(\mathbf{R}^\infty, \mathfrak{B}_\infty)$  to  $(\mathbf{R}^1, \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^1))$  such that  $\mu(B \cap p^{-1}(E)) = \int_E \mu^\tau(B) d\mu(\tau)$  for all  $E \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^1)$  and for all  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$ . Moreover if  $\mu$  is  $\mathbf{R}_0^\infty$ -quasi-invariant, then  $\{\mu^\tau\}_{\tau \in \mathbf{R}^1}$  also can be chosen as  $\mathbf{R}_0^\infty$ -quasi-invariant measures. Starting from this fundamental fact, we proceeded to the following general problem. Let  $\mathbf{R}_0^\infty \subset \Phi \subset \mathbf{R}^\infty$ , and  $\Phi$  be a complete separable metric linear topological space whose topology is stronger than the usual topology of  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ . If  $\mu$  is  $\Phi$ -quasi-invariant, then does the same hold for almost all  $\mu^\tau$ ? In the case that  $\mathbf{R}_0^\infty$  is not dense in  $\Phi$ , it was easily shown that this problem is negative in general. However in the case that  $\mathbf{R}_0^\infty$  is dense in  $\Phi$ , it was left as an open problem. In this paper we shall show that it is also negative, even if  $\Phi = l^2$ , by constructing a suitable  $\mu$ .

First of all, we shall introduce some necessary notations for our discussions. For a general probability measure  $p$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$ , we put  $p_t(B) = p(B-t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}^\infty$  and for all  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$ . And we call a set  $T_p \equiv \{t \in \mathbf{R}^\infty \mid p_t \text{ is equivalent with } p\}$  the admissible set for  $p$ . Let  $g$  be the canonical Gaussian measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$ . That is,  $g$  is the product-measure of 1-dimensional Gaussian measures with mean 0 and variance 1. It is well known that  $T_g = l^2$ . (For example, see [2].) And let  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure on the interval  $(0, 1]$ . We shall sometimes write  $d\tau$  in place of  $d\lambda$ . Using indicator functions  $\chi_{n,k}(\tau)$  of the intervals  $\left(\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right]$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots, k=1, \dots, n$ ), we define a map  $\phi(\tau) = (\phi_h(\tau))_h$  from  $(0, 1]$  to  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ ,  $\phi_h(\tau) = \{\sqrt{n} \chi_{n,k}(\tau) + 1\} \tau$ , if  $h = 2^{-1}n(n-1) + k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ). It is easy to see that  $\int_0^1 \phi_h^2(\tau) d\tau \leq 4$ . Hence,

---

Communicated by H. Araki, March 2, 1982.

\* Department of Mathematics, Fukui University, Fukui 910, Japan.

(1) for any fixed  $a=(a_n)_n \in l^2$ ,  $\sum_{h=1}^\infty a_h^2 \phi_h^2(\tau) < \infty$  for  $\lambda$ -a. e.  $\tau$ .

Next using a map  $V_\tau; x=(x_h)_h \in \mathbf{R}^\infty \mapsto (\phi_h(\tau)^{-1}x_h)_h \in \mathbf{R}^\infty$ , we consider a image measure  $V_\tau g$  for each  $\tau \in (0, 1]$ . The admissible set of  $V_\tau g$  becomes,  $T_{V_\tau g} = V_\tau T_g = V_\tau l^2 = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^\infty \mid \sum_{h=1}^\infty \phi_h^2(\tau)x_h^2 < \infty\} \subset l^2$ . Therefore from (1),

(2) for any fixed  $a=(a_n)_n \in l^2$ ,  $a \in T_{V_\tau g}$  holds for  $\lambda$ -a. e.  $\tau$ .

However  $\{\phi_h(\tau)\}_h$  is not a bounded sequence, so

(3) for any  $\tau \in (0, 1]$ ,  $T_{V_\tau g} \subsetneq l^2$ .

Now consider a measure  $\mu$  defined by  $\mu(B) = \int_0^1 V_\tau g(B) d\tau$ . We shall derive a canonical decomposition of  $\mu$ . Take an arbitrary  $\tau \in (0, 1]$  and fix it. Then for each  $n$ , there exists a unique  $k_n$  which satisfies  $\chi_{n, k_n}(\tau) = 1$ . Put  $h_n = 2^{-1}n(n-1) + k_n$ . Applying the law of large numbers for  $g$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{2^{-1}n(n+1)}^2}{2^{-1}n(n+1)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{h_1}^2 + \dots + x_{h_n}^2}{2^{-1}n(n+1)} = 0 \quad \text{for } g\text{-a. e. } x.$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sqrt{1}+1)^2 x_{h_1}^2 + \dots + (\sqrt{n}+1)^2 x_{h_n}^2}{2^{-1}n(n+1)} = 0$  for  $V_\tau g$ -a. e.  $x$ .

It follows that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{2^{-1}n(n+1)}^2}{2^{-1}n(n+1)} = \tau^{-2} \quad \text{for } V_\tau g\text{-a. e. } x.$$

Define  $p(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{2^{-1}n(n+1)}^2}{2^{-1}n(n+1)} \right\}^{-1/2}$ , if the limit exists, and  $p(x) = 0$ , otherwise. Then we have  $p(x) = \tau$  for  $V_\tau g$ -a. e.  $x$ , equivalently  $V_\tau g(p^{-1}(E)) = \chi_E(\tau)$  for all  $E \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ . As  $V_\tau g$  is a measure of product-type, so it is tail-trivial. Hence we have  $\mu(B \cap p^{-1}(E)) = \int_E V_\tau g(B) d\tau$  for all  $E \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^1)$  and for all  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$ , and we have reached a canonical decomposition  $[V_\tau g, p]$  of  $\mu$ . Now, from (2) it is obvious that  $\mu$  is  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant (in fact,  $T_\mu = l^2$ ), while (3) shows that  $V_\tau g \equiv \mu^\tau$  is not  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant for any  $\tau \in (0, 1]$ . Therefore by Theorem 4.3 in [1] (corresponding to the uniqueness of canonical decompositions), there does not exist any canonical decompositions of  $\mu$  whose factor measures are almost all  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant. Moreover by Proposition 5.5 in [1],  $\mu$  can never be written as a superposition of  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant and  $l^2$ -ergodic measures.

Finally, we shall add some arguments concerning with a continuity of characteristic function  $\hat{\nu}(a) = \int \exp(i\langle x, a \rangle) d\nu(x)$  of a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$  and the canonical decomposition  $[\nu^\tau, q]$ . It is interesting to observe whether a continuity of  $\hat{\nu}$  will be transmitted to corresponding  $\hat{\nu}^\tau$ 's. However it is also false in general. Such a counterexample is constructed in a similar manner.

This time, we put  $\phi_h(\tau) = \{n^{1/4}\chi_{n,k}(\tau) + 1\} \tau$ ,  $S_\tau$ ;  $x = (x_h)_h \in \mathbf{R}^\infty \mapsto (x_h \phi_h(\tau))_h \in \mathbf{R}^\infty$ , and  $\nu(B) = \int_0^1 S_\tau g(B) d\tau$  for all  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$ . Then we can derive that  $\nu = [S_\tau g, q]$  by a similar argument to the previous one, where  $q(x) = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{2^{-1}n(n+1)}^2}{2^{-1}n(n+1)} \right\}^{1/2}$ , if the limit exists and  $q(x) = 0$ , otherwise. We have  $\widehat{S_\tau g}(a) = \exp(-1/2 \sum_{h=1}^\infty a_h^2 \phi_h^2(\tau))$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{R}_0^\infty$ . As  $\sup_h \phi_h(\tau) = \infty$ , so  $\widehat{S_\tau g}$  is not  $l^2$ -continuous. While,  $|1 - \hat{\nu}(a)| = \int_0^1 \{1 - \exp(-1/2 \sum_{h=1}^\infty a_h^2 \phi_h^2(\tau))\} d\tau \leq 1/2 \int_0^1 \sum_{h=1}^\infty a_h^2 \phi_h^2(\tau) d\tau \leq 2 \sum_{h=1}^\infty a_h^2$ . Hence  $\hat{\nu}$  is  $l^2$ -continuous.

**References**

[ 1 ] Shimomura, H., Ergodic decomposition of quasi-invariant measures, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **14** (1978), 359-381.  
 [ 2 ] Umemura, Y., Measures on infinite dimensional vector spaces, *ibid.*, **1** (1965), 1-47.

