J. Eur. Math. Soc. 14, 107-126

DOI 10.4171/JEMS/297



Lars Allermann

Tropical intersection products on smooth varieties

Received November 22, 2009 and in revised form March 5, 2010

Abstract. In analogy to [AR07, Chapter 9] we define an intersection product of tropical cycles on tropical linear spaces L_k^n , i.e. on tropical fans of the type max $\{0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}^{n-k} \\ \mathbb{R}^n$. Afterwards we use this result to obtain an intersection product of cycles on every smooth tropical variety, i.e. on every tropical variety that arises from gluing such tropical linear spaces. In contrast to classical algebraic geometry these products always yield well-defined cycles, not just cycle classes. Using these intersection products we are able to define the pull-back of a tropical cycle along a morphism between smooth tropical varieties. In the present article we stick to the definitions, notions and concepts introduced in [AR07].

1. Intersection products on tropical linear spaces

In this section we will give a proof that tropical linear spaces L_k^n admit an intersection product. Therefore we show at first that the diagonal in the Cartesian product $L_k^n \times L_k^n$ of such a linear space with itself is a sum of products of Cartier divisors. Given two cycles *C* and *D* we can then intersect the diagonal with $C \times D$ and define the product $C \cdot D$ to be the projection thereof.

Throughout the section e_1, \ldots, e_n will always be the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and $e_0 := -e_1 - \cdots - e_n$.

We begin the section with our basic definitions:

Definition 1.1 (Tropical linear spaces). For $I \subsetneq \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ let σ_I be the cone generated by the vectors e_i , $i \in I$. We denote by L_k^n the *tropical fan* consisting of all cones σ_I with $I \subsetneq \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ and $|I| \le k$, whose maximal cones all have weight one (cf. [AR07, Example 3.9]). The fan L_k^n is a representative of the *tropical linear space* max $\{0, x_1, ..., x_n\}^{n-k} \cdot \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 1.2. Let $C \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a tropical cycle and let the map $i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be given by $x \mapsto (x, x)$. Then the push-forward cycle

$$\Delta_C := i_*(C) \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is called the *diagonal* of $C \times C$.

L. Allermann: Fachbereich Mathematik, TU Kaiserslautern, Postfach 3049, 67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany; e-mail: allerman@mathematik.uni-kl.de

In order to express the diagonal in $L_k^n \times L_k^n$ by means of Cartier divisors we first have to refine $L_k^n \times L_k^n$ in such a way that the diagonal is a subfan of this refinement:

Definition 1.3. Let F_k^n be the refinement of $L_k^n \times L_k^n$ that arises recursively from $L_k^n \times L_k^n$ as follows: Let $M := (L_k^n \times L_k^n)^{(2k)}$ be the set of maximal cones in $L_k^n \times L_k^n$. If a cone $\sigma \in M$ is generated by

$$\left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right), \left(\frac{0}{-e_i}\right), v_3, \ldots, v_{2k}$$

for some *i* and we have

$$v_j \in \left\{ \left(\frac{-e_{\mu}}{-e_{\mu}}\right), \left(\frac{-e_{\mu}}{0}\right), \left(\frac{0}{-e_{\mu}}\right) \mid \mu = 0, \dots, n \right\}$$

then replace the cone σ by the two cones spanned by

$$\left(\frac{-e_i}{-e_i}\right), \left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right), v_3, \ldots, v_{2k}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{-e_i}{-e_i}\right), \left(\frac{0}{-e_i}\right), v_3, \ldots, v_{2k},$$

respectively. Repeat this process until there are no more cones in M that can be replaced. The fan F_k^n is then the set of all faces of all cones in M.

The next lemma provides a technical tool needed in the proofs of the subsequent theorems:

Lemma 1.4. Let *F* be a complete and smooth fan in \mathbb{R}^n (in the sense of toric geometry) and let the weight of every maximal cone in *F* be 1. Moreover, let h_1, \ldots, h_r , $r \leq n$, be rational functions on \mathbb{R}^n that are linear on every cone of *F*. Then the intersection product $h_1 \cdots h_r \cdot F$ is given by

$$h_1 \cdots h_r \cdot F = \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-r} F^{(i)}, \omega_{h_1 \cdots h_r}\right)$$

with some weight function $\omega_{h_1 \cdots h_r}$ on the cones of dimension n - r.

Let $\tau \in F^{(n-r)}$ be a cone in F such that for all maximal cones $\sigma \in F^{(n)}$ with $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ there exists some index $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ such that h_i is identically zero on σ . Then

$$\omega_{h_1\cdots h_r}(\tau)=0.$$

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on r. For r = 1 we are in the situation that h_1 is identically zero on every maximal cone adjacent to τ . Hence $\omega_{h_1}(\tau) = 0$. Now let r > 1. Using the induction hypothesis we can conclude that $|h_1 \cdots h_{r-1} \cdot F| \subseteq \bigcup_{\sigma \in S} \sigma$, where

$$S := \{ \sigma \in F^{(n)} \mid \text{none of } h_1, \dots, h_{r-1} \text{ is identically zero on } \sigma \}.$$

Our assumption above then implies that h_r must be identically zero on every cone in

$$\{\sigma \in F^{(n)} \mid \tau \subseteq \sigma \text{ and none of } h_1, \ldots, h_{r-1} \text{ is identically zero on } \sigma\}$$

and thus $\omega_{h_1\cdots h_r}(\tau) = 0.$

Notation 1.5. Let *F* be a simplicial fan in \mathbb{R}^n and let *u* be a generator of a ray r_u in *F*. By abuse of notation we also denote by *u* the unique rational function on |F| that is linear on every cone in *F*, that has value 1 at *u* and that is identically zero on all rays of *F* other than r_u .

If not stated otherwise, vectors considered as Cartier divisors will from now on always denote rational functions on the complete fan F_n^n .

Notation 1.6. Let *C* be a tropical cycle and let $h_1, \ldots, h_r \in \text{Div}(C)$ be Cartier divisors on *C*. If

$$P(x_1, ..., x_r) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r \le d} \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_r} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r}$$

is a polynomial in variables x_1, \ldots, x_r we denote by $P(h_1, \ldots, h_r) \cdot C$ the intersection product

$$P(h_1,\ldots,h_r)\cdot C := \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_r\leq d} (\alpha_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}h_1^{i_1}\cdots h_r^{i_r}\cdot C).$$

In the following theorem we give a description of the diagonal $\triangle_{L_{n-k}^n}$ by means of Cartier divisors on our fan F_n^n :

Theorem 1.7. The fan

$$\left(\left(\frac{-e_1}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)\right) \cdots \left(\left(\frac{-e_n}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \cdot F_n^n$$

is a representative of the diagonal $\triangle_{L_{n-k}^n}$.

Proof. First of all, note that

$$\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)$$

is a representation of the tropical polynomial max{ $0, x_1, ..., x_n$ }, where $x_1, ..., x_n$ are the coordinates of the first factor of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Applying [AR07, Lemma 9.6] we obtain

$$\left[\left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \cdot F_n^n\right] = [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n].$$

By [AR07, Lemma 9.4] we conclude that $\triangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n] = i_*([L_{n-k}^n]) = \triangle_{L_{n-k}^n}$ and hence it suffices to show that $[X] = \triangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for

$$X := \left(\left(\frac{-e_1}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) \right) \cdots \left(\left(\frac{-e_n}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) \right) \cdot F_n^n.$$

Therefore, let $\sigma = \langle r_1, \ldots, r_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \in X^{(n)}$ be a cone not contained in $|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}|$. We will show that the weight of σ in *X* has to be zero. W.l.o.g. we can assume that

$$r_1 \notin D := \left\{ \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0} \right), \dots, \left(\frac{-e_n}{-e_n} \right) \right\}$$

Moreover, let

$$T := \left\{ \left(\frac{-e_1}{0}\right), \dots, \left(\frac{-e_n}{0}\right) \right\} \text{ and } B := \left\{ \left(\frac{0}{-e_1}\right), \dots, \left(\frac{0}{-e_n}\right) \right\}$$

We distinguish two cases:

Case 1. First, we assume that

$$r_i \notin \left\{ \left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right), \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By adding globally linear functions to the given rational functions we can rewrite the above intersection product as $X = \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \cdot F_n^n$, where

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) & \text{if } \left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right) \notin \{r_1, \dots, r_n\} \\ \left(\frac{0}{-e_i}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Now we apply Lemma 1.4: If the weight of σ in X is non-zero there must be at least one cone

$$\widetilde{\sigma} = \langle r_1, \ldots, r_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R} \ge 0} \in F'_n$$

such that all rational functions $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$ are non-zero on $\tilde{\sigma}$. We study three subcases:

(a) There are vectors $r_i \in T$ and $r_j \in B$: Then we need to have both vectors $\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)$ among the v_{μ} in order that all functions φ_i be non-zero on $\tilde{\sigma}$. But there is no cone in F_n^n containing these two vectors.

- (b) $r_1 \in T$ (or $r_1 \in B$) and $r_j \in D$ for some j and $r_i \in T \cup D$ (or $r_i \in B \cup D$) for all i: As there is no cone in F containing $\left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{0}{-e_i}\right)$ for any i, we need $\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)$ among the v_{μ} to have all φ_i non-zero on $\widetilde{\sigma}$. Moreover, if $\left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right) \notin \{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$ then we must have $\left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right) \in \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. But there is no cone in F_n^n containing $\left(\frac{-e_n}{0}\right), \ldots, \left(\frac{-e_n}{0}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)$. (Analogously for B, but with φ_i defined the other way around.)
- (c) All vectors r_i are contained in T (or in B): In this case we need $\left(\frac{0}{-e_1}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)$ among the v_{μ} to have all functions φ_i non-zero, but again there is no such cone. (Analogously for B, but with φ_i defined the other way around.)

Case 2. Now we assume that

$$r_1 = \left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) \left(\text{or } r_1 = \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) \right).$$

As before we rewrite the intersection product as $X = \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \cdot F_n^n$ with φ_i defined as above and apply Lemma 1.4: If $\left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right) \notin \{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$ then $\varphi_i = \left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)$ and we

need $\left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)$ among the v_{μ} to have all φ_i non-zero on $\widetilde{\sigma}$. But as there is no cone in F_n^n containing $\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)$ we must have $\left(\frac{-e_i}{0}\right) \in \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. Hence all the vectors $\left(\frac{-e_1}{0}\right), \ldots, \left(\frac{-e_n}{0}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)$ must be contained in $\{r_1, \ldots, r_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$; but there is no such cone in F_n^n . (Analogously for $r_1 = \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)$, but with φ_i defined the other way around.)

So far we have proven that our intersection cycle X is contained in the diagonal $\triangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. As the diagonal is irreducible we can then conclude by [GKM07, Lemma 2.21] that $[X] = \lambda \cdot \triangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for some integer λ . Thus our last step in this proof is to show that $\lambda = 1$: Let $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$ be the rational functions given above. We obtain the following equality of cycles in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \cdot [\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n] = \left(\left(\frac{-e_1}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) \right) \cdots \left(\left(\frac{-e_n}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) \right) \cdot [\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n]$$
$$= \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right)^n \cdot [\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n] = \{0\} \times \{0\}.$$

As $\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \cdot [\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n] = \lambda \cdot \triangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, by [AR07, Definition 9.3 and Remark 9.9] we obtain the equality

$$\lambda \cdot \{0\} = \lambda \cdot (\{0\} \cdot \mathbb{R}^n) = \pi_*(\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \cdot (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n)) = \pi_*(\{0\} \times \{0\})) = 1 \cdot \{0\}$$

of cycles in \mathbb{R}^n . This finishes the proof.

Our next step is to derive a description of the diagonal $\triangle_{L_{n-k}^n}$ on $L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n$ from our description on F_n^n :

Theorem 1.8. The intersection product in Theorem 1.7 can be rewritten as

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \cdot F_n^n$$

for some Cartier divisors $h_{i,j}$ on F_n^n .

We prepare the proof of the theorem by the following lemma:

Lemma 1.9. Let $C \in Z_l(L_{n-k}^n)$ be a subcycle of L_{n-k}^n . Then the following intersection products are zero:

(a)
$$\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) \cdot (C \times \mathbb{R}^n),$$

(b) $v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_{n-k+r}} \cdot (C \times \mathbb{R}^n),$

(c)
$$\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^s \cdot v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_{n-k-s+r}} \cdot (C \times \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where r, s > 0 and the vectors

$$v_{ij} \in \left\{ \left(\frac{-e_1}{0}\right), \dots, \left(\frac{-e_n}{0}\right), \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right) \right\}$$

are pairwise distinct.

Proof. (a)&(b) In both cases, a cone that can occur in the intersection product with nonzero weight has to be contained in a cone of F_n^n that is contained in $|L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n|$ and that contains the vectors $\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)$, $\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)$ or $v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_{n-k+r}}$, respectively. But there are no such cones.

(c) By (a) and [AR07, Lemma 9.7] we can rewrite the intersection product as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{0}{-e_0} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-e_0}{-e_0} \end{pmatrix}^s \cdot v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_{n-k-s+r}} \cdot (C \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{0}{-e_0} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-e_0}{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-e_0}{-e_0} \end{pmatrix} \right)^s \cdot v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_{n-k-s+r}} \cdot (C \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{0}{-e_0} \end{pmatrix} \cdot v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_{n-k-s+r}} \cdot \left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-e_0}{0} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-e_0}{-e_0} \end{pmatrix} \right)^s \cdot C \right] \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{0}{-e_0} \end{pmatrix} \cdot v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_{n-k-s+r}} \cdot \left[\max\{0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}^s \cdot C \right] \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which is zero by (b) as $\max\{0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}^s \cdot C$ is contained in L_{n-k-s}^n . *Proof of Theorem 1.8.* By Theorem 1.7 we have the representation

$$\Delta_{L_{n-k}^{n}} = \left(\left(\frac{-e_{1}}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right) \right) \cdots \left(\left(\frac{-e_{n}}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right) \right)$$
$$\cdot \underbrace{\left(\left(\frac{-e_{0}}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right) \right)^{k} \cdot [F_{n}^{n}]}_{=[L_{n-k}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}]}$$
$$= \left(\left(\frac{-e_{1}}{0} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{-e_{n}}{0} \right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right)^{n} \right) \cdot [L_{n-k}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}].$$

By Lemma 1.9(b) all the summands containing $\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^s$ with s < k are zero. Hence we can rewrite the intersection product as

$$\Delta_{L_{n-k}^n} = \left[\left(\frac{-e_1}{0} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{-e_{n-k}}{0} \right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right)^{n-k} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0} \right) \right)^k - A \right]$$
$$\cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n],$$

where *A* contains all the summands we added too much. Thus all the summands of *A* are of the form

$$\alpha \cdot v_1 \cdots v_{n-s-t} \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^s \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^t$$

for some integer α , vectors $v_i \in \left\{ \left(\frac{-e_1}{0}\right), \ldots, \left(\frac{-e_n}{0}\right) \right\}$ and powers $1 \le t \le k, 0 \le s \le n$. By Lemma 1.9(b)&(c) such a summand applied to $[L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n]$ is zero if s < k and only those summands remain in A that have $t \ge 1, s \ge k$. Let

$$S := \alpha \cdot v_1 \cdots v_{n-s-t} \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^s \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^t$$

be one of the remaining summands. By Lemma 1.9(a) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot v_1 \cdots v_{n-s-t} \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^s \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^t \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n] \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^t \binom{t}{j} \cdot \alpha \cdot v_1 \cdots v_{n-s-t} \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^s \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^j \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)^{t-j}\right) \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n] \\ &= \left(\alpha \cdot v_1 \cdots v_{n-s-t} \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^s \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^t\right) \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n] \\ &= \left[\left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \\ &\cdot \left(\alpha \cdot v_1 \cdots v_{n-s-t} \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^{s-k} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^t\right) - B_S\right] \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n],\end{aligned}$$

where B_S again contains all the summands we added too much. Thus all the summands of B_S are of the form

$$S' := \beta \cdot \binom{t}{t'} \cdot v_1 \cdots v_{n-s-t} \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^{s-s'} \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^{s'} \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)^{t'} \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^{t-t'}$$

for some integer β and powers $1 \le s' \le k$, $0 \le t' \le t$. If s - s' < k we group all corresponding summands together as

$$\beta \cdot v_1 \cdots v_{n-s-t} \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^{s-s'} \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^{s'} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^t.$$

This product applied to $[L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n]$ is zero by Lemma 1.9(b)&(c). Moreover, all summands S' with $s - s' \ge k$ and t' > 0 yield zero on $[L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n]$ by Lemma 1.9(a). Thus only those summands S' are left in B_S that are of the form

$$S' = \beta' \cdot v_1 \cdots v_{n-s-t} \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^{s-s'} \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^{t+s'}$$

with $s - s' \ge k$ and $s' \ge 1$. Applying this process inductively to all summands with t = 1, ..., n - k - 1 in which we could not factor out $\left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k$ yet, we can

by and by increase the power of $\left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)$ in all remaining summands until finally only one summand $\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -e_0 \end{array}\right)^k \left(\begin{array}{c} e_0 \\ -e_0 \end{array}\right)^{n-k}$

$$\gamma \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^k \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^{n-1}$$

is left. But

$$\gamma \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^k \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^{n-k} \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n]$$
$$= \gamma \cdot \left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^{n-k} \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n]$$

as

$$\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^i \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^{k-i} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^{n-k} \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n]$$
$$= \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^i \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^{k-i} \cdot [L_0^n \times \mathbb{R}^n] = 0$$

for all i < k by Lemma 1.9(b) and

$$\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^k \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right)^j \cdot \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^{n-k-j} \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n] = 0$$

for all j > 0 by Lemma 1.9(a). This proves the claim.

Example 1.10. We perform the steps described in the proof of Theorem 1.8 for the case n = 3, k = 2: By Theorem 1.7 we have the representation

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{L_1^3} &= \left(\left(\frac{-e_1}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_2}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_3}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) \right) \\ & \cdot \underbrace{\left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0} \right) \right)^2 \cdot [F_3^3]}_{=[L_1^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3]} \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{\left(\frac{-e_1}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{-e_2}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{-e_3}{0} \right)}_{=0 \text{ by Lemma 1.9(b)}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{-e_1}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{-e_2}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right)}_{=0 \text{ by Lemma 1.9(b)}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\left(\frac{-e_1}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{-e_3}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right)}_{=0 \text{ by Lemma 1.9(b)}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{-e_2}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{-e_3}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right)}_{=0 \text{ by Lemma 1.9(b)}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{-e_1}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{-e_2}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{-e_3}{0} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right)^3 \right) \\ &\cdot [L_1^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3]. \end{split}$$

Now we factor out $\left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^2$ and subtract all summands we do not need:

$$\begin{split} \triangle_{L_{1}^{3}} &= \left(\left(\frac{-e_{1}}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_{2}}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_{3}}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right) \right)^{2} \cdot [L_{1}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}] \\ &- \left(\underbrace{\left(\frac{-e_{1}}{0} \right) \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right)^{2}}_{=0 \text{ by } 1.9(\text{b})} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{-e_{2}}{0} \right) \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right)^{2}}_{=0 \text{ by } 1.9(\text{b})} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{-e_{3}}{0} \right) \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right)^{2}}_{=0 \text{ by } 1.9(\text{b})} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right) \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right)^{2}}_{=0 \text{ by } 1.9(\text{b})} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right) \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right) \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right) \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right) \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right) \\ &+ \underbrace{2 \left(\frac{-e_{3}}{0} \right) \left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right) \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right)}_{=0 \text{ by } 1.9(\text{b})} + 2 \left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right) \right) \cdot [L_{1}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}]. \\ &= 0 \text{ by } 1.9(\text{b}) \end{split}$$

But by Lemma 1.9(a)&(b) we have the following equation for the last summand:

$$-2\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^2 \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right) \cdot [L_1^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3] = -2\left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)^2\right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right) \cdot [L_1^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3]$$

Hence altogether we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{L_1^3} &= \left(\left(\frac{-e_1}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_2}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_3}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) - 2 \left(\frac{-e_0}{0} \right) - 2 \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0} \right) \right) \\ & \cdot \left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0} \right) \right)^2 \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0} \right) \right)^2 \cdot \left[\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \right]. \end{split}$$

Corollary 1.11. The Cartier divisors $h_{i,j}$ from Theorem 1.8 provide the following description of the diagonal $\triangle_{L_{n-k}^n}$:

$$\Delta_{L_{n-k}^n} = \sum_{i=1}^r h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k} \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n].$$

Proof. Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be the coordinates of the first factor and y_1, \ldots, y_n the coordinates of the second factor of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Applying [AR07, Lemma 9.6] we conclude that

$$\left[\left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \cdot \left(\left(\frac{-e_0}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \cdot F_n^n\right]$$

= $\left[\max\{0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}^k \cdot \max\{0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}^k \cdot F_n^n\right] = \left[L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n\right]$

and hence by Theorems 1.7 and 1.8,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k} \cdot [L_{n-k}^{n} \times L_{n-k}^{n}] = \triangle_{L_{n-k}^{n}}.$$

Remark 1.12. As Lemma 1.9 does not only hold on $L_{n-k}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ but also on any $C \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with *C* a subcycle of L_{n-k}^n , the proof of Theorem 1.8 indeed shows that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \cdot (C \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$
$$= \left(\left(\frac{-e_1}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)\right) \cdots \left(\left(\frac{-e_n}{0}\right) + \left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right)\right) \cdot (C \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all cycles $C \in Z_l(L_{n-k}^n)$. Using [AR07, Corollary 9.8] we conclude that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_0}\right) + \left(\frac{-e_0}{-e_0}\right)\right)^k \cdot (C \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdot (C \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \Delta_C$$

for all such cycles C.

Corollary 1.13. Let $\sigma \in L_{n-k}^n$, let $x \in \sigma$ and let $U \subseteq S_{\sigma} = \bigcup_{\sigma' \in L_{n-k}^n: \sigma' \supseteq \sigma} (\sigma')^{ri}$ be an open subset of $|L_{n-k}^n|$ containing x. Moreover, let F be the open fan $F := \{-x + \sigma \cap U \mid \sigma \in L_{n-k}^n\}$ and \widetilde{F} the associated tropical fan. Then there are Cartier divisors $h'_{i,j}$ on $\widetilde{F} \times \widetilde{F}$ such that

$$\Delta_{[\widetilde{F}]} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h'_{i,1} \cdots h'_{i,n-k} \cdot [\widetilde{F} \times \widetilde{F}].$$

Proof. To obtain the Cartier divisors $h'_{i,j}$ we just have to restrict the Cartier divisors $h_{i,j}$ from Corollary 1.11 to the open set $U \times U$, translate them suitably and extend them from $F \times F$ to the associated tropical fan $\widetilde{F} \times \widetilde{F}$.

Example 1.14. The following figure shows two fans associated to open subsets of L_2^3 as in Corollary 1.13:



Lemma 1.15. Let $C \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $D \in Z_l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be tropical cycles such that there exist representations of the diagonals \triangle_C and \triangle_D as sums of products of Cartier divisors on $C \times C$ and $D \times D$, respectively. Then there also exists a representation of $\triangle_{C \times D}$ as a sum of products of Cartier divisors on $(C \times D)^2$.

Proof. Let $\triangle_C = \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi_{i,1} \cdots \varphi_{i,k} \cdot (C \times C)$ and $\triangle_D = \sum_{i=1}^s \psi_{i,1} \cdots \psi_{i,l} \cdot (D \times D)$. Moreover, let $\pi_x, \pi_y : (\mathbb{R}^n)^4 \to (\mathbb{R}^n)^2$ be given by $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto (x_1, x_2)$ and $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto (y_1, y_2)$, respectively. Then

$$\Delta_{C\times D} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \pi_x^* \varphi_{i,1} \cdots \pi_x^* \varphi_{i,k}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \pi_y^* \psi_{i,1} \cdots \pi_y^* \psi_{i,l}\right) \cdot (C \times D)^2. \qquad \Box$$

Now we are ready to define intersection products on all spaces on which we can express the diagonal by means of Cartier divisors:

Definition 1.16 (Intersection products). Let $C \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a tropical cycle and assume that there are Cartier divisors $\varphi_{i,j}$ on $C \times C$ such that

$$\Delta_C = \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi_{i,1} \cdots \varphi_{i,k} \cdot (C \times C).$$

Moreover, let $\pi : C \times C \to C$ be the morphism given by $(x, y) \mapsto x$. Then we define the intersection product of subcycles of *C* by

$$Z_{k-l}(C) \times Z_{k-l'}(C) \to Z_{k-l-l'}(C),$$

$$(D_1, D_2) \mapsto D_1 \cdot D_2 := \pi_* \Big(\sum_{i=1}^r \varphi_{i,1} \cdots \varphi_{i,k} \cdot (D_1 \times D_2) \Big).$$

We use the rest of this section to prove that this intersection product is independent of the representation of the diagonal used and that it has all the properties we expect—at least for those spaces we are interested in:

Lemma 1.17. Let $C \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a tropical cycle, $D \in Z_{k-l}(C)$, $E \in Z_{k-l'}(C)$ be subcycles, let $\varphi \in \text{Div}(C)$ be a Cartier divisor and $\pi : C \times C \to C$ the morphism given by $(x, y) \mapsto x$. Then

$$(\varphi \cdot D) \times E = \pi^* \varphi \cdot (D \times E).$$

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as for [AR07, Lemma 9.6].

Corollary 1.18. Let $C \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a tropical cycle that admits an intersection product as in Definition 1.16, let $D \in Z_{k-l}(C)$, $E \in Z_{k-l'}(C)$ be subcycles and let $\varphi \in \text{Div}(C)$ be a Cartier divisor. Then

$$(\varphi \cdot D) \cdot E = \varphi \cdot (D \cdot E).$$

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as for [AR07, Lemma 9.7].

П

Proposition 1.19. Let $D \in Z_l(L_{n-k}^n)$ be a subcycle. Then

$$D \cdot [L_{n-k}^n] = [L_{n-k}^n] \cdot D = D \quad on \ L_{n-k}^n$$

Proof. Let $\pi_i : L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n \to L_{n-k}^n$ be the morphism given by $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_i$. By Remark 1.12,

$$D \cdot [L_{n-k}^{n}] = (\pi_{1})_{*} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k} \cdot (D \times [L_{n-k}^{n}]) \right)$$

= $(\pi_{1})_{*} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k} \right) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{0}{-e_{0}} \right) + \left(\frac{-e_{0}}{-e_{0}} \right) \right)^{k} \cdot (D \times \mathbb{R}^{n}) \right)$
= $(\pi_{1})_{*} (\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \cdot (D \times \mathbb{R}^{n})) = (\pi_{1})_{*} (\Delta_{D}) = D.$

Furthermore, let $\phi : L_k^n \times L_k^n \to L_k^n \times L_k^n$ be the morphism induced by $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. Obviously,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r h_{i,1}\cdots h_{i,n-k}\right)\cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n] = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \phi^* h_{i,1}\cdots \phi^* h_{i,n-k}\right)\cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n].$$

If $\pi_{ij}: (L_{n-k}^n)^4 \to (L_{n-k}^n)^2$ is the morphism given by $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (x_i, x_j)$ and

$$\Delta := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \pi_{13}^{*} h_{i,1} \cdots \pi_{13}^{*} h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \pi_{24}^{*} h_{i,1} \cdots \pi_{24}^{*} h_{i,n-k}\right)$$

we conclude by [AR07, Proposition 7.7] and [AR07, Lemma 9.6] that

$$\begin{split} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi^* h_{i,1} \cdots \phi^* h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot (D \times [L_{n-k}^n]) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi^* h_{i,1} \cdots \phi^* h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot \left((D \times [L_{n-k}^n]) \cdot ([L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n])\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi^* h_{i,1} \cdots \phi^* h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot (\pi_{12})_* \left(\triangle \cdot ((D \times [L_{n-k}^n]) \times ([L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n]))\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi^* h_{i,1} \cdots \phi^* h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot (\pi_{12})_* (\triangle_{D \times [L_{n-k}^n]}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi^* h_{i,1} \cdots \phi^* h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot (\pi_{34})_* (\triangle_{D \times [L_{n-k}^n]}) \\ &= (\pi_{34})_* \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \pi_{34}^* \phi^* h_{i,1} \cdots \pi_{34}^* \phi^* h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot \triangle \cdot ((D \times [L_{n-k}^n]) \times ([L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n]))\right) \end{split}$$

$$= (\pi_{34})_* \left(\triangle \cdot (D \times [L_{n-k}^n]) \times \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \phi^* h_{i,1} \cdots \phi^* h_{i,n-k} \right) \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n] \right) \right)$$
$$= (\pi_{34})_* \left(\triangle \cdot (D \times [L_{n-k}^n]) \times \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^r h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k} \right) \cdot [L_{n-k}^n \times L_{n-k}^n] \right) \right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^r h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k} \right) \cdot (D \times [L_{n-k}^n]).$$

Hence we deduce that

$$D \cdot [L_{n-k}^{n}] = (\pi_{1})_{*}(\Delta_{D}) = (\pi_{2})_{*}(\Delta_{D}) = (\pi_{2})_{*}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot (D \times [L_{n-k}^{n}])\right)$$
$$= (\pi_{2})_{*}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \phi^{*}h_{i,1} \cdots \phi^{*}h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot (D \times [L_{n-k}^{n}])\right)$$
$$= (\pi_{1})_{*}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i,1} \cdots h_{i,n-k}\right) \cdot ([L_{n-k}^{n}] \times D)\right) = [L_{n-k}^{n}] \cdot D.$$

Remark 1.20. We can prove in the same way that $[L_{n-k}^n \times L_{m-l}^m] \cdot D = D$ for all subcycles D of $L_{n-k}^n \times L_{m-l}^m$ and even that $[L_{n_1-k_1}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times L_{n_r-k_r}^{n_r}] \cdot D = D$ for all $r \ge 1$ and all subcycles D of $L_{n_1-k_1}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times L_{n_r-k_r}^{n_r}$. Moreover, restricting the intersection products to open subsets of $|L_k^n|$ or $|L_{n_1-k_1}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times L_{n_r-k_r}^{n_r}|$, respectively, implies that $X \cdot D = D$ for all subcycles $D \in Z_l(X)$ if $X \in \{[\widetilde{F}], [\widetilde{F}_1 \times \cdots \times \widetilde{F}_r]\}$ where $\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{F}_i$ are tropical fans associated to open subsets of some $|L_k^n|$ as in Corollary 1.13.

Proposition 1.21. Let $C \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a tropical cycle that admits an intersection product as in Definition 1.16 and let $D, D' \in Z_l(C), E \in Z_{l'}(C)$ be subcycles. Then

$$(D+D') \cdot E = D \cdot E + D' \cdot E.$$

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as for [AR07, Theorem 9.10(b)].

Proposition 1.22. Let $C \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a tropical cycle that admits an intersection product as in Definition 1.16 and let $D \in Z_l(C)$ be a subcycle of C. Moreover, let $E \in Z_{l'}(C)$ be a subcycle such that there are Cartier divisors $\psi_{i,j} \in \text{Div}(C)$ with

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \psi_{i,1} \cdots \psi_{i,k-l'} \cdot C = E.$$

If additionally $C \cdot D = D$ then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \psi_{i,1} \cdots \psi_{i,k-l'} \cdot D = E \cdot D.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as for [AR07, Corollary 9.8].

Remark 1.23. The meaning of Proposition 1.22 is the following: If $X \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a tropical cycle such that the diagonal Δ_X can be written as a sum of products of Cartier divisors as in Definition 1.16 and additionally $(X \times X) \cdot Y = Y$ for all subcycles Y of $X \times X$ then we can apply Proposition 1.22 with $C := X \times X$ and $E := \Delta_X$ to deduce that the definition of the intersection product is independent of the choice of the Cartier divisors describing the diagonal. In particular we have well-defined intersection products on $L_k^n, L_{k_1}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times L_{k_r}^{n_r}, \widetilde{F}$ and $\widetilde{F_1} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{F_r}$ for all tropical fans $\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{F_i}$ associated to an open subset of some $|L_k^n|$ as in Corollary 1.13.

Theorem 1.24. Let $C \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a tropical cycle that admits an intersection product as in Definition 1.16 such that additionally $(C \times C) \cdot D = D$ for all subcycles D of $C \times C$. Moreover, let $E, E' \in Z_l(C), F \in Z_{l'}(C)$ and $G \in Z_{l''}(C)$ be subcycles. Then

(a)
$$E \cdot F = F \cdot E$$
,
(b) $(E \cdot F) \cdot G = E \cdot (F \cdot G)$.

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as for [AR07, Theorem 9.10(a)&(c)].

We finish this section with an example showing that even curves intersecting in the expected dimension can have negative intersections:

Example 1.25. Let $C, D \in Z_1(L_2^3)$ be the curves shown in the figure. We want to compute the intersection $C \cdot D$. By Proposition 1.22 the easiest way to do this is to write one of the curves as $\psi \cdot [L_2^3]$ for some Cartier divisor ψ on L_2^3 .



Let *F* be the refinement of L_2^3 arising by dividing the cones $\langle -e_1, -e_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$ and $\langle -e_0, -e_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$ into the cones $\langle -e_1, -e_1 - e_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$, $\langle -e_2, -e_1 - e_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$ and $\langle -e_0, -e_0 - e_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$, $\langle -e_3, -e_0 - e_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$, respectively. Then

$$\psi := \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -1\\-1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a rational function on F. As shown in [AR07, Example 3.10] we have $\psi \cdot [L_2^3] = C$.

Hence we calculate

$$C \cdot D = \psi \cdot D = \left(\psi \begin{pmatrix} -2\\ -3\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \psi \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ 2\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \psi \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \psi \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \cdot \{0\}$$
$$= (-2 + 0 + 1 - 0) \cdot \{0\} = -1 \cdot \{0\}.$$

Remark 1.26. This result is remarkable for the following reason: Our ambient space L_2^3 arises as a so-called *modification* of \mathbb{R}^2 (cf. [M06], [M07]). Varieties that are connected by a series of modifications are called *equivalent* by G. Mikhalkin and are expected to have similar properties. But the above example shows that there is a big difference between \mathbb{R}^2 and L_2^3 even though they are equivalent: On \mathbb{R}^2 there is no negative intersection product of curves, on L_2^3 there is.

2. Intersection products on smooth tropical varieties

In this section we use our results from Section 1 to define an intersection product on smooth tropical varieties, i.e. on varieties with tropical linear spaces as local building blocks:

Definition 2.1 (Smooth tropical varieties). An abstract tropical variety *C* is called a *smooth variety* if it has a representative $(((X, |X|), \omega_X), \{\Phi_\sigma\})$ such that all the maps

$$\Phi_{\sigma}: S_{\sigma} = \bigcup_{\sigma' \in X^*, \, \sigma' \supset \sigma} (\sigma')^{ri} \xrightarrow{\sim} |F_{\sigma}| \subseteq |\widetilde{F}_{\sigma}|$$

(cf. [AR07, Definition 5.4]) map into tropical fans $\widetilde{F_{\sigma}} = \widetilde{F_1^{\sigma}} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{F_{r_{\sigma}}^{\sigma}}$ where the $\widetilde{F_i^{\sigma}}$ are tropical fans associated to open subsets of some $|L_{k_{\sigma,i}}^{n_{\sigma,i}}|$ as in Corollary 1.13.

Remark 2.2. Note that the existence of such a representative $(((X, |X|), \omega_X), \{\Phi_{\sigma}\})$ for *C* implies that all representatives of *C* have the required property.

Example 2.3. The following figures show two examples of smooth tropical varieties:



Definition 2.4. Let *C* be an abstract tropical cycle, *D* a subcycle of *C* with representative *X* and $U \subseteq |C|$ an open subset. We denote by $X \cap U$ the *open tropical polyhedral complex*

$$X \cap U := (\{ \sigma \cap U \mid \sigma \in X \}, |X| \cap U)$$

and by $[X \cap U]$ its equivalence class modulo refinements. As this class only depends on the class of X we can define $D \cap U := [X \cap U]$.

Remark 2.5. If we are given an open covering $\{U_1, \ldots, U_r\}$ of *C* and open tropical polyhedral complexes $D_1 \cap U_1, \ldots, D_r \cap U_r$ such that $D_i \cap U_i \cap U_j = D_j \cap U_i \cap U_j$ we can glue $D_1 \cap U_1, \ldots, D_r \cap U_r$ to obtain a cycle $D \in Z_*(C)$.

Definition 2.6 (Intersection products). Let *C* be a smooth tropical variety and let $(((X, |X|), \omega_X), \{\Phi_{\sigma}\})$ be a representative of *C* as in Definition 2.1. Moreover, let *D*, *E* be subcycles of *C*. We construct local intersection products as follows: For every $\sigma \in X$ we can regard $(D \cap S_{\sigma})$ and $(E \cap S_{\sigma})$ as open tropical cycles in \widetilde{F}_{σ} via the map Φ_{σ} . Let $\widetilde{D \cap S_{\sigma}}$ and $\widetilde{E \cap S_{\sigma}}$ be any tropical cycles in \widetilde{F}_{σ} restricting to $D \cap S_{\sigma}$ and $E \cap S_{\sigma}$. As we have an intersection product on \widetilde{F}_{σ} by Remark 1.23 we can define the intersection

$$(D \cdot_{\sigma} E) \cap S_{\sigma} := \left((\widetilde{D} \cap S_{\sigma}) \cdot (\widetilde{E} \cap S_{\sigma}) \right) \cap S_{\sigma}.$$

Note that $(D \cdot_{\sigma} E) \cap S_{\sigma}$ does not depend on the choice of the cycles $D \cap S_{\sigma}$ and $E \cap S_{\sigma}$. Since $\{S_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in X\}$ is an open covering of |C| and the local intersection products $(D \cdot_{\sigma} E) \cap S_{\sigma}, \sigma \in X$, are compatible by the lemma below, we can glue them to obtain a global intersection cycle $D \cdot E \in Z_*(C)$.

Lemma 2.7. For the local intersection products in Definition 2.6 we have

$$(D \cdot_{\sigma} E) \cap S_{\sigma} \cap S_{\sigma'} = (D \cdot_{\sigma'} E) \cap S_{\sigma} \cap S_{\sigma'}.$$

Proof. By definition we have an integer linear map

$$|\widetilde{F}_1| \supseteq \Phi_{\sigma}(S_{\sigma} \cap S_{\sigma'}) \xrightarrow{f} \Phi_{\sigma'}(S_{\sigma} \cap S_{\sigma'}) \subseteq |\widetilde{F}_2|$$

with integer linear inverse f^{-1} , where $\widetilde{F}_1, \widetilde{F}_2$ are the tropical fans generated by $\Phi_{\sigma}(S_{\sigma} \cap S_{\sigma'})$ and $\Phi_{\sigma'}(S_{\sigma} \cap S_{\sigma'})$, respectively. Let C_1, C_2 be subcycles of \widetilde{F}_1 . We have to show that

$$C_1 \cdot C_2 = (f^{-1})_* (f_*(C_1) \cdot f_*(C_2)).$$

If π is the respective projection on the first factor we obtain, by Proposition 1.22 and Remark 1.23,

$$(f^{-1})_*(f_*(C_1) \cdot f_*(C_2)) = (f^{-1})_* \left(\pi_* \left(\Delta_{\widetilde{F}_2} \cdot (f_*(C_1) \times f_*(C_2)) \right) \right) \\ = \pi_* \left((f^{-1} \times f^{-1})_* \left(\Delta_{\widetilde{F}_2} \cdot (f_*(C_1) \times f_*(C_2)) \right) \right) \\ = \pi_* \left((f^{-1} \times f^{-1})_* \left((f \times f)_* (\Delta_{\widetilde{F}_1}) \cdot (f \times f)_* (C_1 \times C_2) \right) \right) \\ = \pi_* (\Delta_{\widetilde{F}_1} \cdot C_1 \times C_2) = C_1 \cdot C_2. \square$$

Remark 2.8. Lemma 2.7 also implies that further refinements of the representative $(((X, |X|), \omega_X), \{\Phi_{\sigma}\})$ of *C* do not change the result $D \cdot E$. Hence the intersection product is well-defined.

Our last step consists in proving basic properties of our intersection product:

Theorem 2.9. Let C be a smooth tropical variety, let $D, D' \in Z_l(C), E \in Z_{l'}(C)$ and $F \in Z_{l''}(C)$ be subcycles and let $\varphi \in \text{Div}(C)$ be a Cartier divisor on C. Then the following equalities hold in $Z_*(C)$:

(a) $C \cdot D = D$, (b) $D \cdot E = E \cdot D$, (c) $(D + D') \cdot E = D \cdot E + D' \cdot E$, (d) $(D \cdot E) \cdot F = D \cdot (E \cdot F)$, (e) $\varphi \cdot (D \cdot E) = (\varphi \cdot D) \cdot E$.

If moreover $D = (\sum_{i=1}^{r} \varphi_{i,1} \cdots \varphi_{i,l}) \cdot C$ for some Cartier divisors $\varphi_{i,j} \in \text{Div}(C)$ then

$$D \cdot E = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varphi_{i,1} \cdots \varphi_{i,l} \cdot E.$$

Proof. The statements follow immediately from the definition of the intersection product and the corresponding statements in Section 1. \Box

3. Pull-backs of cycles on smooth varieties

We will now use the intersection product defined in Section 2 to introduce pull-backs of tropical cycles along morphisms between smooth tropical varieties.

Definition 3.1 (Pull-back). Let *X* and *Y* be smooth tropical varieties of dimension *m* and *n*, respectively, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of tropical cycles. Moreover, let $\pi : X \times Y \to X$ be the projection onto the first factor and let $\gamma_f : X \to X \times Y$ be the morphism given by $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$. We denote by $\Gamma_f := (\gamma_f)_* X$ the graph of *f*. For a cycle $C \in Z_{n-k}(Y)$ we define its *pull-back* $f^*C \in Z_{m-k}(X)$ to be

$$f^*C := \pi_*(\Gamma_f \cdot (X \times C)).$$

The easiest non-trivial, but nevertheless important example of a pull-back is the following:

Example 3.2. Let *C* and *D* be smooth tropical cycles and let $p : C \times D \to D$ be the projection on the second factor. We want to calculate the pull-back p^*E for a cycle $E \in Z_k(D)$: The map γ_p from Definition 3.1 is then just given by $\gamma_p : C \times D \to C \times D \times D : (x, y) \mapsto (x, y, y)$ and the map $\pi : C \times D \times D \to C \times D$ is the projection to the first two factors. Hence we can conclude that $\Gamma_p = C \times \Delta_D$. Moreover,

let $\pi^1 : C \times D \times D \to C$ be the projection to the first factor and $\pi^2 : C \times D \times D \to D$ be the projection to the second factor. We obtain, by Definition 3.1,

$$p^*E = \pi_*(\Gamma_p \cdot (C \times D \times E)) = \pi_*((C \times \Delta_D) \cdot (C \times D \times E))$$
$$= \pi_*^1(C \cdot C) \times \pi_*^2(\Delta_D \cdot (D \times E)) = C \times E.$$

The pull-back has the following basic properties:

Theorem 3.3. Let X, Y and Z be smooth tropical varieties and let $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ be morphisms of tropical cycles. Moreover, let $C, C' \in Z_*(Y)$ and $D \in Z_*(X)$ be subcycles. Then

(a) $f^*Y = X$, (b) $\operatorname{id}_Y^* C = C$, (c) $\operatorname{if} C = \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_r \cdot Y$ then $f^*C = f^*\varphi_1 \cdots f^*\varphi_r \cdot X$, (d) $C \cdot f_*D = f_*(f^*C \cdot D)$, (e) $(g \circ f)^*C = f^*g^*C$, (f) $f^*(C \cdot C') = f^*C \cdot f^*C'$.

Proof. Throughout the proof, let $\pi^X, \pi_X, \pi^1, \pi_1, \pi^Y, \pi_Y, \pi^2, \pi_2, \pi^{X,Y}, \pi_{X,Y}, \pi^{1,2}, \pi_{1,2}$ and so forth be the projections to the respective factors.

(a)&(b) By the definition of pull-back

$$f^*Y = \pi^X_*(\Gamma_f \cdot (X \times Y)) = \pi^X_*(\Gamma_f) = X$$

and

$$\mathrm{id}_Y^* C = \pi_*^1(\Gamma_{\mathrm{id}_Y} \cdot (Y \times C)) = \pi_*^1(\triangle_Y \cdot (Y \times C)) = Y \cdot C = C.$$

(c) We have

$$f^*C = \pi^X_*(\Gamma_f \cdot (X \times (\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_r \cdot Y))) = \pi^X_*(\pi^*_2 \varphi_1 \cdots \pi^*_2 \varphi_r \cdot \Gamma_f \cdot (X \times Y))$$
$$= \pi^X_*(\pi^*_2 \varphi_1 \cdots \pi^*_2 \varphi_r \cdot \Gamma_f).$$

By the definition of intersection product (see [AR07, Definitions 3.4 and 6.5]) this last line is equal to

$$f^*\varphi_1\cdots f^*\varphi_r\cdot X.$$

(d) Let $\pi_X : X \times Y \to X$ be the projection on *X*. By Example 3.2 we know that $\pi_X^* D = D \times Y$. As the diagonal Δ_X can locally be expressed by Cartier divisors we can apply [AR07, Proposition 7.7] and statement (c) locally to deduce that for all subcycles *E* of $X \times Y$,

$$D \cdot \pi_*^X E = \pi_*^1(\Delta_X \cdot (D \times \pi_*^X E)) = \pi_*^1(\Delta_X \cdot (\operatorname{id} \times \pi^X)_*(D \times E))$$

= $\pi_*^1((\operatorname{id} \times \pi^X)_*((\operatorname{id} \times \pi^X)^* \Delta_X \cdot (D \times E)))$
= $\pi_*^1((\operatorname{id} \times \pi^X)_*((\Delta_X \times Y) \cdot (D \times E)))$
= $\pi_*^1(\pi_*^{1,2}((\Delta_X \times Y) \cdot (D \times E)))$
= $\pi_*^1(\pi_*^{1,2}(\Delta_{X \times Y} \cdot (D \times Y \times E))) = \pi_*^1((D \times Y) \cdot E) = \pi_*^X(\pi_X^* D \cdot E).$

This implies that

$$f^*C \cdot D = D \cdot \pi^X_*(\Gamma_f \cdot (X \times C)) = \pi^X_*(\pi^*_X D \cdot \Gamma_f \cdot (X \times C))$$
$$= \pi^X_*((D \times Y) \cdot \Gamma_f \cdot (X \times C)) = \pi^X_*(\Gamma_f \cdot (D \times C)).$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that $(f \times id)^* \triangle_Y = \Gamma_f$. As above we conclude that

$$C \cdot f_*D = \pi^1_*(\Delta_Y \cdot (C \times f_*D)) = \pi^1_*((\operatorname{id} \times f)_*((\operatorname{id} \times f)^*\Delta_Y \cdot (C \times D)))$$

= $f_*(\pi^X_*((\operatorname{id} \times f)^*\Delta_Y \cdot (C \times D))) = f_*(\pi^X_*((f \times \operatorname{id})^*\Delta_Y \cdot (D \times C)))$
= $f_*(\pi^X_*(\Gamma_f \cdot (D \times C))) = f_*(f^*C \cdot D).$

(e) Let $\Phi : X \to X \times Y \times Z$ be given by $x \mapsto (x, f(x), g(f(x)))$. An easy calculation shows that $(\Gamma_f \times Z) \cdot (X \times \Gamma_g) = \Phi_* X$. Hence we conclude by (d) that

$$f^*g^*C = \pi^X_* \left(\Gamma_f \cdot \left(X \times \pi^Y_* (\Gamma_g \cdot (Y \times C)) \right) \right) = \pi^X_* \left(\pi^{X,Y}_* ((\Gamma_f \times Z) \cdot (X \times \Gamma_g) \cdot (X \times Y \times C)) \right) = \pi^X_* ((\Gamma_f \times Z) \cdot (X \times \Gamma_g) \cdot (X \times Y \times C)) = \pi^X_* (\Phi_*X \cdot (X \times Y \times C)) = \pi^X_* (\Gamma_{g \circ f} \cdot (X \times C)) = (g \circ f)^*C.$$

(f) Let $\Phi : X \to X \times Y \times Y$ be given by $x \mapsto (x, f(x), f(x))$ and let $\pi^{1,2}, \pi^{1,3} : X \times Y \times Y \to X \times Y$ be the projections to the respective factors. An easy calculation shows that

$$(\Gamma_f \times Y) \cdot (X \times \Gamma_{\mathrm{id}_Y}) = \Phi_* X = \pi_{1,2}^* \Gamma_f \cdot \pi_{1,3}^* \Gamma_f.$$

Hence we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{*}(C \cdot C') &= \pi_{*}^{X} \left(\Gamma_{f} \cdot (X \times (C \cdot C')) \right) = \pi_{*}^{X} \left(\Gamma_{f} \cdot (X \times \pi_{*}^{1}(\Gamma_{idy} \cdot C \times C')) \right) \\ &= \pi_{*}^{X} \left(\Gamma_{f} \cdot \pi_{*}^{1,2}((X \times \Gamma_{idy}) \cdot (X \times C \times C')) \right) \\ &= \pi_{*}^{X} \left(\pi_{*}^{1,2}((\Gamma_{f} \times Y) \cdot (X \times \Gamma_{idy}) \cdot (X \times C \times C')) \right) \\ &= \pi_{*}^{X} \left(\pi_{*}^{1,3}((\Gamma_{f} \times Y) \cdot (X \times \Gamma_{idy}) \cdot (X \times C \times C')) \right) \\ &= \pi_{*}^{X} \left(\pi_{*}^{1,3}(\pi_{1,2}^{*}\Gamma_{f} \cdot \pi_{1,3}^{*}\Gamma_{f} \cdot (X \times C \times C')) \right) \\ &= \pi_{*}^{X} \left(\Gamma_{f} \cdot \pi_{*}^{1,3}((\Gamma_{f} \times Y) \cdot (X \times C \times C')) \right) \\ &= \pi_{*}^{X} \left(\Gamma_{f} \cdot (\pi_{*}^{X}(\Gamma_{f} \cdot (X \times C)) \times C') \right) \\ &= \pi_{*}^{X} \left(\Gamma_{f} \cdot (f^{*}C \times C') \right) = f^{*}C \cdot f^{*}C'. \end{aligned}$$

We finish the section with another important example:

Example 3.4. Let *D* be a smooth tropical variety and let $C \in Z_k(D)$ be a smooth tropical subvariety. Moreover, let $\iota : C \to D$ be the inclusion map. We want to calculate the pullback $\iota^* E$ for a cycle $E \in Z_l(D)$: Let $\pi^C : C \times D \to C$ and $\pi^D : C \times D \to D$ be the projections to the first and second factor and let $\gamma_l : C \to C \times D$ be given by $x \mapsto (x, x)$.

Hence, $\Gamma_{\iota} = (\gamma_{\iota})_* C = \triangle_C$ and by Example 3.2, $(\pi^D)^* E = C \times E$. Thus by Theorem 3.3(d)

$$\iota^* E = \pi^C_* (\Gamma_\iota \cdot (C \times E)) = \pi^C_* (\Delta_C \cdot (C \times E)) = \pi^D_* (\Delta_C \cdot (C \times E))$$
$$= \pi^D_* (\Delta_C \cdot (\pi^D)^* E) = \pi^D_* (\Delta_C) \cdot E = C \cdot E,$$

where $C \cdot E$ is the intersection product on *D*.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my advisor Andreas Gathmann for numerous helpful discussions.

References

- [AR07] Allermann, L., Rau, J.: First steps in tropical intersection theory. Math. Z. 264, 633–670 (2010) Zbl 1193.14074 MR 2591823
- [AR08] Allermann, L., Rau, J.: Tropical rational equivalence. arXiv:0811.2860
- [GKM07] Gathmann, A., Kerber, M., Markwig, H.: Tropical fans and the moduli spaces of tropical curves. Compos. Math. 145, 173–195 (2009) Zbl 1169.51021 MR 2480499
- [M06] Mikhalkin, G.: Tropical geometry and its applications. In: Proc. ICM (Madrid, 2006), 827–852 Zbl 1103.14034 MR 2275625
- [M07] Mikhalkin, G.: Introduction to tropical geometry. In: Notes from the IMPA lectures (2007), arXiv:0709.1049