DOI 10.4171/JEMS/316



Simeon Ball

# On sets of vectors of a finite vector space in which every subset of basis size is a basis

Received May 4, 2010 and in revised form December 6, 2010

**Abstract.** It is shown that the maximum size of a set *S* of vectors of a *k*-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , with the property that every subset of size *k* is a basis, is at most q + 1 if  $k \le p$ , and at most q + k - p if  $q \ge k \ge p + 1 \ge 4$ , where  $q = p^h$  and *p* is prime. Moreover, for  $k \le p$ , the sets *S* of maximum size are classified, generalising Beniamino Segre's "arc is a conic" theorem.

These results have various implications. One such implication is that a  $k \times (p + 2)$  matrix, with  $k \leq p$  and entries from  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , has k columns which are linearly dependent. Another is that the uniform matroid of rank r that has a base set of size  $n \geq r + 2$  is representable over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  if and only if  $n \leq p + 1$ . It also implies that the main conjecture for maximum distance separable codes is true for prime fields; that there are no maximum distance separable linear codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , of dimension at most p, longer than the longest Reed–Solomon codes. The classification implies that the longest maximum distance separable linear codes by the characteristic of the field, are Reed–Solomon codes.

In the autumn of 2008 while I was visiting Budapest, together with Andras Gács, we formulated the coordinate free version of Segre's lemma of tangents (Lemma 2.1) which is fundamental to this article. I dedicate this work to Andras, whose humour, enthusiasm and brilliance I am grateful to have known.

# 1. Introduction

Let S be a set of vectors of the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that every subset of S of size k is a basis.

In this article we shall prove an upper bound on the size of S and for  $k \le p$ , where  $q = p^h$  and p is prime, we shall prove that the largest examples are equivalent to the following example.

#### Example 1.1. The set

$$S = \{(1, t, t^2, \dots, t^{k-1}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, \dots, 0, 1)\},\$$

is a set of size q + 1. It is easily shown that S has the required property by checking that the  $k \times k$  Vandermonde matrix formed by k vectors of S has non-zero determinant.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 51E21, 15A03, 94B05, 05B35.

S. Ball: Departament Matemàtica Aplicada IV, Universitat Politècnica Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain; e-mail: simeon@ma4.upc.edu

The following upper bound is easily proved.

**Lemma 1.2.** A set S of vectors of the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that every subset of S of size k is a basis, has size at most q + k - 1.

*Proof.* Consider the (k - 2)-dimensional subspace U spanned by k - 2 vectors of S. Each of the q + 1 hyperplanes containing U contains at most one other vector of S. Thus,  $|S| \le k - 2 + q + 1$ .

In 1947, Bose [3] noted that if  $p \ge k = 3$  then  $|S| \le q + 1$ , and in the article [18] from 1955, Segre proved that if  $p \ge k = 3$  then equality in the bound was only attained by examples equivalent to Example 1.1. In 1952, Bush [4] proved the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.3.** A set S of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ ,  $k \ge q$ , such that every subset of S of size k is a basis, has size at most k + 1. Moreover, a set S which attains the bound is equivalent to

 $\{(\lambda_1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, \ldots, 0, \lambda_k), (1, \ldots, 1)\}.$ 

Proof. After a suitable choice of basis, we can assume that

$$S \supseteq S' = \{(\lambda_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, \lambda_k), (1, \dots, 1)\}$$

for some  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ . Suppose there is an  $x \in S \setminus S'$ . Since  $k \ge q$  either there are two coordinates, the *i*-th and the *j*-th say, of *x* which are the same, or one of the coordinates, the *i*-th say, is zero. In the first case the hyperplane defined by the equation  $X_i = X_j$  contains *k* vectors of *S*. In the second case, the hyperplane  $X_i = 0$  contains *k* vectors of *S*. In both cases, this is a contradiction, so S = S'.

The main conjecture for maximum distance separable codes (which we shall define in Section 9) in the terminology of this section is the following. This was essentially proposed by Segre [19] in 1955, although as a question rather than a conjecture; see also MacWilliams and Sloane [14].

**Conjecture 1.4.** A set S of vectors of the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that every subset of S of size  $k \leq q$  is a basis, has size at most q + 1, unless q is even and k = 3 or k = q - 1, in which case it has size at most q + 2.

In this article we shall prove the conjecture for all  $k \le p + 1$ , where  $q = p^h$  and p is prime, which will prove the conjecture in its entirety for q prime.

The conjecture is known to be true for all  $q \le 27$ , for all  $k \le 5$  and  $k \ge q - 3$  and for k = 6, 7, q - 4, q - 5 with some exceptions (see [11]).

For p = 2 and k = 3, one can add the vector (0, 1, 0) to Example 1.1 and obtain an example with q + 2 vectors. For these parameters, such a set of q + 2 vectors is called a *hyperoval*, and these have been extensively studied. There are many examples known which are not equivalent (up to change of basis and field automorphisms) to Example 1.1, the first of which were discovered by Segre [20], [21] and subsequently by Glynn [8], Payne [16], Cherowitzo [5], Cherowitzo, Penttila, Pinneri and Royle [7], and Cherowitzo, O'Keefe and Penttila [6].

The only other known examples of size q + 1, which are not equivalent to the previous ones, are the following.

Example 1.5 (Glynn [9]). The set

$$S = \{(1, t, t^{2} + \eta t^{6}, t^{3}, t^{4}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_{9}\} \cup \{(0, \dots, 0, 1)\}$$

is a set of 10 vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_{9}^{5}$  with the required property if  $\eta$  is chosen such that  $\eta^{4} = -1$ .

Example 1.6 (Hirschfeld [10]). The set

 $S = \{ (1, t, t^{2^r}, t^{2^r+1}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q \} \cup \{ (0, \dots, 0, 1) \},\$ 

is a set of q + 1 vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^4$  and has the required property when  $q = 2^h$  and (r, h) = 1.

As we shall see in Lemma 5.1, every example of a set S in  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  gives an example of a set of size |S| with the required property in  $\mathbb{F}_q^{|S|-k}$ . Thus, for example, the hyperovals give rise to examples of size q + 2 in  $\mathbb{F}_q^{q-1}$ , subsets of size q + 1 of the hyperovals give rise to examples of size q + 1 in  $\mathbb{F}_q^{q-2}$  and Example 1.6 gives rise to an example of size q + 1 in  $\mathbb{F}_q^{q-3}$ .

There are many upper bounds known on |S|, similar to those mentioned below. For a complete list, see [11], and also [12]. Relevant to this article we know from Voloch [24] that if  $3 \le k \le q/45 + c_1$  and q is prime then  $|S| \le q + 1$ , where  $c_1$  is a constant. Also relevant is the following from Segre [22] (with an improved constant Thas [23]), which is improved upon here for q the square of a prime: If  $3 \le k \le \sqrt{q}/4 + c_2$  and q is an odd square then  $|S| \le q + 1$ , where  $c_2$  is a constant. In Voloch [25], the bound  $|S| \le q + 1$  is proven for an odd  $q = p^{2e+1}$ ,  $e \ge 1$  and  $3 \le k < \sqrt{pq}/4 - 29p/16 + 4$ .

In all of the above if k is one less than the upper bound and |S| = q + 1 then S is equivalent to Example 1.1. All classifications of |S| = q + 1 use Segre's theorem [18], mentioned before, that states that if |S| = q + 1 and  $p \ge k = 3$  then S is equivalent to Example 1.1.

In [22] Segre uses the lemma of tangents, which we shall reprove in Section 2, to prove that for k = 3 and q even, there is an algebraic curve of degree t = q + k - 1 - |S| which in the dual space contains all the vectors corresponding to tangent hyperplanes. For q odd, he proves that there is an algebraic curve of degree 2t which in the dual space contains all the vectors corresponding to tangent hyperplanes, and that the intersection numbers with the hyperplanes, dual to the vectors of S, are 2. This curve extends to an algebraic hypersurface in higher dimensions, as proven in [2].

Let  $q = p^h$ , where p is a prime.

In Section 6, using the lemmas in the following sections, we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.7.** A set S of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that every subset of S of size k is a basis, has size at most  $q + k + 1 - \min(k, p)$ , where  $k \le q$ .

Furthermore, in Section 7, we shall prove the following generalisation of Segre's theorem.

**Theorem 1.8.** If  $p \ge k$  then a set S of q + 1 vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that every subset of S of size k is a basis, is equivalent to Example 1.1.

In Section 8, we shall see that Theorem 1.8 leads to the following slight improvement on Theorem 1.7 in the case q > k > p.

**Theorem 1.9.** A set S of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that every subset of S of size  $k \ge 4$  is a basis, where q > k > p, has size at most q + k - p.

Finally, we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.10.** A set S of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that every subset of S of size k is a basis, where  $3 \leq q - p + 1 \leq k \leq q - 2$ , has size at most q + 1. Moreover, in the case of equality S is equivalent to Example 1.1.

# 2. Segre's lemma of tangents

Let *S* be a set of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that every subset of *S* of size *k* is a basis.

In this section we prove a coordinate free version of Segre's lemma of tangents [22]. By the proof of Lemma 1.2, for every subset *C* of *S* of size k - 2, there is a set  $L_C$  of

$$t = q + k - 1 - |S|$$

hyperplanes  $\Sigma$  with  $\Sigma \cap S = C$ .

Let  $H_C$  be a set of t linear maps such that for each hyperplane  $\Sigma \in L_C$ , there is a linear map  $f \in H_C$  with

$$\Sigma = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_a^k \mid f(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let  $T_C(u)$ , a function from  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , called the *tangent function at C*, be defined by

$$T_C(u) = \prod_{f \in H_C} f(u).$$

Note that the tangent function is defined up to scalar factor; it is not important which scalar multiple we use.

**Lemma 2.1.** If k = 3 and  $x, y, z \in S$  then

$$T_{\{x\}}(y)T_{\{y\}}(z)T_{\{z\}}(x) = (-1)^{t+1}T_{\{x\}}(z)T_{\{z\}}(y)T_{\{y\}}(x).$$

*Proof.* With respect to the basis  $\{x, y, z\}$  the tangent function  $T_{\{x\}}$  is the evaluation of a polynomial of degree *t*,

$$\prod (a_{23}X_2 + a_{32}X_3),$$

for some  $a_{ij}$ .

For all  $d = (d_1, d_2, d_3) \in S \setminus \{x, y, z\}$ , the subspace  $\langle x, d \rangle$  is defined by the equation  $d_3X_2 - d_2X_3 = 0$ . The q-1 two-dimensional subspaces containing x, but not containing y or z, are defined by the equations

$$X_2 - \alpha X_3 = 0,$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ . Since the product of the non-zero elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is -1, it follows that

$$\prod \frac{d_2}{d_3} \prod \frac{(-a_{32})}{a_{23}} = -1,$$

where the first product is taken over all  $d \in S \setminus \{x, y, z\}$  and the second product is taken over the hyperplanes in  $L_{\{x\}}$ .

Note that  $\prod a_{23} = T_{\{x\}}(y)$  and  $\prod a_{32} = T_{\{x\}}(z)$ , and so the above implies

$$T_{\{x\}}(z) \prod d_2 = (-1)^{t+1} T_{\{x\}}(y) \prod d_3$$

Multiplying this equation with the corresponding equations for y and for z gives

$$T_{\{x\}}(z)T_{\{z\}}(y)T_{\{y\}}(x)\prod d_1d_2d_3 = (-1)^{3t+3}T_{\{x\}}(y)T_{\{y\}}(z)T_{\{z\}}(x)\prod d_1d_2d_3,$$

which yields the conclusion.

This lemma generalises to higher dimensions in the following way.

**Lemma 2.2.** For all distinct vectors  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, ..., y_{k-3}$  of *S*,

$$T_{\{x_1\}\cup Y}(x_2)T_{\{x_2\}\cup Y}(x_3)T_{\{x_3\}\cup Y}(x_1) = (-1)^{t+1}T_{\{x_1\}\cup Y}(x_3)T_{\{x_3\}\cup Y}(x_2)T_{\{x_2\}\cup Y}(x_1),$$

where  $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_{k-3}\}.$ 

*Proof.* It suffices to apply Lemma 2.1 in the quotient space  $\mathbb{F}_q^k/\langle Y \rangle$ . Alternatively, this can be proved in the same way as Lemma 2.1, working with the basis  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-3}\}$ .

# 3. Interpolation of the tangent function

In this section an equation involving the function  $T_Y$  is obtained by interpolation.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If*  $|S| \ge k + t > k$  *then for any disjoint subsets*  $Y = \{y_1, ..., y_{k-2}\}$  *and E of S with* |E| = t + 2*,* 

$$0 = \sum_{a \in E} T_Y(a) \prod_{z \in E \setminus \{a\}} \det(a, z, y_1, \dots, y_{k-2})^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $E = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{t+2}\}$ . With respect to the basis  $B = \{a_1, a_2, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-2}\}$ , the tangent function  $T_Y$  is the evaluation of a homogeneous polynomial in two variables of degree *t*.

Since  $\{a_j, a_\ell, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-2}\}$  is a basis for all  $j \neq \ell$ , by interpolation at  $a_1, \ldots, a_{t+1}$ ,

$$T_Y(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{t+1} T_Y(a_j) \prod_{\substack{\ell=1\\ \ell \neq j}}^{t+1} \frac{\det(x, a_\ell, y_1, \dots, y_{k-2})}{\det(a_j, a_\ell, y_1, \dots, y_{k-2})},$$

which gives

*t*⊥1

$$T_Y(a_{t+2}) \prod_{m=1}^{t+1} \det(a_{t+2}, a_m, y_1, \dots, y_{k-2})^{-1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{t+1} T_Y(a_j) \prod_{\substack{\ell=1\\\ell\neq j}}^{t+2} \det(a_j, a_\ell, y_1, \dots, y_{k-2})^{-1}.$$

# 4. Combinations of the interpolation equation

The aim of this section is to combine the equation in Lemma 3.1 for  $E' = (E \setminus E_1) \cup Y_1$ and  $Y' = (Y \setminus Y_1) \cup E_1$  for various  $Y_1 \subseteq Y$  and  $E_1 \subseteq E$ , to prove the following.

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $|S| \ge k + t > k$  then for any disjoint subsets  $Y = \{y_1, ..., y_{k-2}\}$  and E of S with |E| = t + 2, and  $r \le \min(k - 1, t + 2)$ ,

$$0 = \sum_{a_1,\dots,a_r \in E} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_{i+1}}(y_i)} \right) T_{\theta_r}(a_r) \prod_{z \in (E \cup Y) \setminus (\theta_r \cup \{a_r\})} \det(a_r, z, \theta_r)^{-1},$$

where  $\theta_i = (a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, y_i, \ldots, y_{k-2})$  is an ordered sequence and the sum is over all ordered sequences  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  of distinct elements of E.

Moreover, the r! terms in the sum for which  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\} = A$ , for some r-element subset A of E, are the same.

*Proof.* We first prove the final claim by showing that transposing  $a_j$  and  $a_{j+1}$ , j = 1, ..., r-1, does not affect the expression in the sum. Since all permutations on r letters are generated by transpositions (1 2), (2 3), ..., (r-1 r), this will suffice.

For j = 1, ..., r - 2, writing  $L_r = (E \cup Y) \setminus (\theta_r \cup \{a_r\})$ , the expression in the sum is

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_{i+1}}(y_i)}\right) \left(\prod_{i=j+2}^r \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_i}(y_{i-1})}\right) \left(\frac{T_{\theta_j}(a_j)T_{\theta_{j+1}}(a_{j+1})}{T_{\theta_{j+1}}(y_j)}\right) \prod_{z \in L_r} \det(a_r, z, \theta_r)^{-1},$$

which is equal to

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_{i+1}}(y_i)}\right) \left(\prod_{i=j+2}^r \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_i}(y_{i-1})}\right) \left((-1)^{t+1} \frac{T_{\theta_j}(a_{j+1})T_{\{a_1,\dots,a_{j-1},a_{j+1},y_{j+1},\dots,y_{k-2}\}}(a_j)}{T_{\{a_1,\dots,a_{j-1},a_{j+1},y_{j+1},\dots,y_{k-2}\}}(y_j)}\right) \times (-1)^{t+1} \prod_{z \in L_r} \det(a_r, z, a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, a_j, a_{j+2}, \dots, a_{r-1}, y_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{k-2})^{-1},$$

by Lemma 2.2, and this is precisely the term in the sum corresponding to the sequence  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, a_j, a_{j+2}, \ldots, a_r)$ . For j = r - 1, exactly the same argument works, the only difference being the position of  $a_r$  in the determinants.

Now we prove the main part of the lemma by induction.

For r = 1, this is Lemma 3.1.

For r = 2, the equation in Lemma 3.1 for  $E' = (E \setminus \{b\}) \cup \{y_1\}$  and  $Y' = (Y \setminus \{y_1\}) \cup \{b\}$ , for some  $b \in E$ , is

$$0 = T_{\phi_1}(y_1) \prod_{z \in E \setminus \{b\}} \det(y_1, z, \phi_1)^{-1} + \sum_{a_1 \in E \setminus \{b\}} T_{\phi_1}(a_1) \prod_{z \in E' \setminus \{a_1\}} \det(a_1, z, \phi_1)^{-1},$$

where  $\phi_1 = (b, y_2, \dots, y_{k-2})$ . Multiply this equation by  $T_Y(b)/T_{\phi_1}(y_1)$  and note that when we sum over  $b \in E$ , the sum of the first terms, after rearranging the order of the vectors in the determinants, is zero by Lemma 3.1. Hence

$$0 = \sum_{b \in E} \sum_{a_1 \in E \setminus \{b\}} \frac{T_Y(b)}{T_{\phi_1}(y_1)} T_{\phi_1}(a_1) \prod_{z \in (E \setminus \{b, a_1\}) \cup \{y_1\}} \det(a_1, z, \phi_1)^{-1}.$$

Letting b play the role of  $a_1$  and replacing  $a_1$  by  $a_2$  we have

$$0 = \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in E} \frac{T_{\theta_1}(a_1)}{T_{\theta_2}(y_1)} T_{\theta_2}(a_2) \prod_{z \in (E \setminus \{a_1, a_2\}) \cup \{y_1\}} \det(a_2, z, \theta_2)^{-1},$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

Now assume that the equation holds for some *r*, where  $2 \le r \le \min(k - 2, t + 1)$ , and consider this equation for  $E' = (E \setminus \{b\}) \cup \{y_r\}$  and  $Y' = (Y \setminus \{y_r\}) \cup \{b\}$ , for some  $b \in E$ . Defining

$$\phi_i = (a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, y_i, \ldots, y_{r-1}, b, y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{k-2})$$

for  $i \ge 1$ , and

$$\psi_i = (y_r, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, y_i, \dots, y_{r-1}, b, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{k-2})$$

for  $i \ge 2$ , the equation is

$$0 = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_r \in E \setminus \{b\}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{T_{\phi_i}(a_i)}{T_{\phi_{i+1}}(y_i)} \right) T_{\phi_r}(a_r) \prod_{z \in (E \cup Y) \setminus (\phi_r \cup \{a_r\})} \det(a_r, z, \phi_r)^{-1} + r \sum_{a_2, \dots, a_r \in E \setminus \{b\}} \frac{T_{\phi_1}(y_r)}{T_{\psi_2}(y_1)} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{r-1} \frac{T_{\psi_i}(a_i)}{T_{\psi_{i+1}}(y_i)} \right) T_{\psi_r}(a_r) \prod_{z \in (E \cup Y) \setminus (\psi_r \cup \{a_r\})} \det(a_r, z, \psi_r)^{-1}$$

where in the second sum we have combined the terms corresponding to

$$(y_r, a_2, \ldots, a_r), (a_1, y_r, a_3, \ldots, a_r), \ldots, (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1}, y_r),$$

since they are all equal, as proved in the first part of the proof.

Now multiply this equation by  $T_{\theta_1}(b)/T_{\phi_1}(y_r)$ , and note that if we sum over  $b \in E$  then the second sum, after changing the order of the vectors in the determinants, is the original expression, which, by induction, is zero.

Hence

$$0 = \sum_{b \in E} \frac{T_{\theta_1}(b)}{T_{\phi_1}(y_r)} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_r \in E \setminus \{b\}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{T_{\phi_i}(a_i)}{T_{\phi_{i+1}}(y_i)} \right) T_{\phi_r}(a_r) \prod_{z \in (E \cup Y) \setminus (\phi_r \cup \{a_r\})} \det(a_r, z, \phi_r)^{-1} det(a_r, z, \phi_r)^{-1} det(a_r,$$

Now let *b* play the role of  $a_1$ , replace  $(y_r, y_1, \ldots, y_{r-1})$  by  $(y_1, \ldots, y_r)$  and  $(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$  by  $(a_2, \ldots, a_{r+1})$ . This gives

$$0 = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{r+1} \in E} \frac{T_{\theta_1}(a_1)}{T_{\theta_2}(y_1)} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{T_{\theta_{i+1}}(a_{i+1})}{T_{\theta_{i+2}}(y_{i+1})} \right) T_{\theta_{r+1}}(a_{r+1}) \\ \times \prod_{z \in (E \cup Y) \setminus (\theta_{r+1} \cup \{a_{r+1}\})} \det(a_{r+1}, z, a_2, \dots, a_r, a_1, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{k-2})^{-1},$$

which, rearranging the order of the vectors in the determinant, implies

$$0 = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{r+1} \in E} \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_{i+1}}(y_i)} \right) T_{\theta_{r+1}}(a_{r+1}) \prod_{z \in (E \cup Y) \setminus (\theta_{r+1} \cup \{a_{r+1}\})} \det(a_{r+1}, z, \theta_{r+1})^{-1}.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** If  $|S| \ge k + t > k$  then for any disjoint subsets  $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_{k-2}\}$  and E of S with |E| = t + 2 and E an ordered sequence, and  $r \le \min(k - 1, t + 2)$ ,

$$0 = r! \sum_{a_1 < \dots < a_r \in E} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_{i+1}}(y_i)} \right) T_{\theta_r}(a_r) \prod_{z \in (E \cup Y) \setminus (\theta_r \cup \{a_r\})} \det(a_r, z, \theta_r)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* This simply combines the two claims in Lemma 4.1.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.7 for  $|S| \ge k + t$ . In the next section, we prove Lemma 5.1, which we shall need for the case  $|S| \le k + t - 1$ .

# **5.** Construction of *S'*

In this section, we construct a set S', of |S| vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{|S|-k}$ , such that every subset of S' of size |S|-k is a basis. This we shall need to prove Theorem 1.7 in the case  $|S| \le k+t-1$ .

The following is well-known and is included only for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 5.1.** Given a set S of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  such that every subset of S of size k is a basis, we can construct a set S', of |S| vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{|S|-k}$ , such that every subset of S' of size |S| - k is a basis.

*Proof.* Let *G* be the  $k \times n$  matrix whose columns are the vectors of *S*, where n = |S|. For any non-zero  $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ , the row vector  $y^t G$  has at most k - 1 zero coordinates since no hyperplane contains *k* vectors of *S*. Hence  $y^t G$  has at least n - k + 1 non-zero coordinates.

Let *H* be an  $(n - k) \times n$  matrix of rank n - k with  $HG^t = 0$ . The kernel of *H* has dimension *k* and so does  $\{G^t y \mid y \in \mathbb{F}_q^k\}$ . Hence, all non-zero vectors in the kernel of *H* have at least n - k + 1 non-zero coordinates.

Suppose that *H* has n-k columns that are linearly dependent. Then there is a non-zero  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{a}^{n}$  with at most n-k non-zero coordinates and with Hx = 0, a contradiction.

Thus, we can define S' to be the columns of H.

If S is taken to be Example 1.1 then it is an exercise to show that

$$S' = \{(1, t, t^2, \dots, t^{q-k}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, \dots, 0, 1)\}.$$

#### 6. Proof of Theorem 1.7

The case k = 2 is trivial and so we can assume  $k \ge 3$ .

By Lemma 5.1 we can construct a subset S' of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{k'}$  of size |S|, where k' = |S| - k, with the property that every subset of S' of size k' is a basis.

If both  $|S| \le k + t$  and  $|S'| \le k' + t'$  then, since k + k' = |S| = |S'|, we have  $k' \le t$  and  $k \le t'$ , which implies

$$|S| \le q - 1 + \min(t' - t, t - t') \le q - 1.$$

If not then, without loss of generality, we can assume  $|S| \ge k + t$  and apply Lemma 4.2. Assume that  $t \le k - 3$  and consider the equation in Lemma 4.2 with r = t + 2.

The sum has just one term, and the equation becomes

$$0 = (t+2)! \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t+1} \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_{i+1}}(y_i)} \right) T_{\theta_{t+2}}(a_{t+2}) \prod_{z \in Y \setminus \{\theta_{t+2} \cup \{a_{t+2}\}\}} \det(a_{t+2}, z, \theta_{t+2})^{-1}.$$

With the possible exception of the (t+2)! all the expressions in this product are non-zero. Hence (t+2)! = 0, which gives  $t \ge p - 2$ .

Therefore  $t \ge \min(k-2, p-2)$  and since |S| = q + k - 1 - t it follows that

$$|S| \le q + k + 1 - \min(k, p).$$

# 7. Classification of the largest subsets for $k \le p$

In Theorem 1.7 we proved the bound  $|S| \le q + 1$  for  $k \le p$ . In this section, we prove that if |S| = q + 1 and  $k \le p$  then S is equivalent to Example 1.1.

**Lemma 7.1.** If  $p \ge k \ge 3$  and  $q \ge 2k - 2$ , then for any ordered basis  $E = (e_1, \ldots, e_k) \subset S$ , where |S| = q + 1, and  $Y \subseteq S \setminus E$  of size k - 2,

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} T_{E \setminus \{e_j, e_k\}}(e_k) T_{E \setminus \{e_j, e_k\}}(e_j)^{-1} \prod_{z \in Y} z_j^{-1} + (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{z \in Y} z_k^{-1},$$

where  $z = (z_1, ..., z_k)$  are the coordinates of z with respect to E.

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2, with r = t + 1 = k - 1,

$$0 = (k-1)! \times \sum_{a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1} \in E} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_{i+1}}(y_i)} \right) T_{\theta_{k-1}}(a_{k-1}) \prod_{z \in (E \cup Y) \setminus (\theta_{k-1} \cup \{a_{k-1}\})} \det(a_{k-1}, z, \theta_{k-1})^{-1}.$$

Let

$$c_j = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \frac{T_{\theta_i}(a_i)}{T_{\theta_{i+1}}(y_i)}\right) T_{\theta_{k-1}}(a_{k-1}),$$

where  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}) = E \setminus \{e_j\}$ . The above equation, after rearranging the order of the vectors in the determinant, is

$$0 = (k-1)! \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \prod_{z \in Y \cup \{e_j\}} \det(E \setminus \{e_j\}, z)^{-1},$$

It is a simple matter to check that

$$c_{k-1}c_k^{-1} = T_{\{e_1,\dots,e_{k-2}\}}(e_k)T_{\{e_1,\dots,e_{k-2}\}}(e_{k-1})^{-1}$$

To calculate  $c_j c_k^{-1}$ , note that according to Lemma 4.1, we can arrange the sequence  $(e_1, \ldots, e_{k-1})$  as  $(e_1, \ldots, e_{j-1}, e_{j+1}, \ldots, e_{k-1}, e_j)$ , which changes the value of the determinants by  $(-1)^{(k-1)(k-1-j)}$ , but does not alter the overall expression in the sum corresponding to this sequence. Therefore, replacing k - 1 by j in the above gives

$$c_j c_k^{-1} = (-1)^{(k-1)(k-1-j)} T_{E \setminus \{e_j, e_k\}}(e_k) T_{E \setminus \{e_j, e_k\}}(e_j)^{-1}$$

Let  $z = (z_1, ..., z_k)$  be the coordinates of z with respect to the basis  $E = (e_1, ..., e_k)$ and note that

$$\det(E \setminus \{e_j\}, z)^{-1} = (-1)^{k-j} z_j^{-1}.$$

Dividing the equation above through by  $(k - 1)!c_k$ , we find that for  $p \ge k$ ,

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} T_{E \setminus \{e_j, e_k\}}(e_k) T_{E \setminus \{e_j, e_k\}}(e_j)^{-1} (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{z \in Y} z_j^{-1} + \prod_{z \in Y} z_k^{-1}.$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.8.

*Proof of Theorem 1.8.* The case k = 2 is trivial and so we can assume  $k \ge 3$ .

By Lemma 5.1 and the comment immediately thereafter, we can assume that  $q + 1 \ge 2k$ .

Suppose that *S* contains the basis  $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ . Let *U* be a subset of  $S \setminus E$  of size k - 2 and suppose that  $x \in S \setminus (U \cup E)$ .

For  $u_i \in U$ , apply Lemma 7.1 with  $Y = (U \cup \{x\}) \setminus \{u_i\}$ . This gives

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} T_{E \setminus \{e_j, e_k\}}(e_k) T_{E \setminus \{e_j, e_k\}}(e_j)^{-1} (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{z \in U \setminus u_i} z_j^{-1} x_j^{-1} + \prod_{z \in U \setminus u_i} z_k^{-1} x_k^{-1}.$$

Define a  $(k - 2) \times k$  matrix A whose *ij*-th entry is

$$\prod_{z\in U\setminus u_i} z_j^{-1}.$$

The matrix obtained from A by multiplying the *j*-th column of A by  $\prod_{z \in U} z_j$  is the matrix whose *i*-th row is  $u_i$ . Since  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{k-2}\}$  is a subset of S these vectors are linearly independent, and so this matrix, and hence A, has rank k - 2. Therefore, the solution to this system of equations has a two-dimensional kernel and there are *n* and  $\ell$  such that for all other *m*,

$$x_m^{-1} = \beta_m x_n^{-1} + \epsilon_m x_\ell^{-1}$$

for some  $\beta_m$  and  $\epsilon_m$ .

The solutions to this equation for  $i = m, n, \ell$  are

$$x_i = \gamma_i (y - \alpha_i)^{-1}$$

for some  $\gamma_i$  and  $\alpha_i$ , where  $y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{\alpha_m, \alpha_n, \alpha_\ell\}$ , and  $x_i = \gamma_i$ .

We conclude that, after the change of coordinates in which  $x_i$  replaced by  $\gamma_i^{-1}x_i$ , and replacing the vectors  $\lambda_i^{-1}e_i$  by  $e_i$  for i = 1, ..., k,

$$S = \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\} \cup \{((x - \alpha_1)^{-1}, \ldots, (x - \alpha_k)^{-1}) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}\} \cup \{(1, \ldots, 1)\}.$$

This implies S is equivalent to the set

$$\{(g(x)(x-\alpha_1)^{-1},\ldots,g(x)(x-\alpha_k)^{-1}) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}\}$$

where  $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (x - \alpha_i)$ , which is equivalent to Example 1.1, since the polynomials  $g(x)/(x - \alpha_i)$  generate the vector space of polynomials of degree at most k - 1, as do the monomials in Example 1.1.

#### 8. Proofs of Theorems 1.9 and 1.10

Now, using Theorem 1.8, we can prove Theorem 1.9.

*Proof of Theorem 1.9.* For k = p + 1 this follows immediately from Theorem 1.8 and Kaneta–Maruta's theorem [13], which states that if every *S* of size q + 1 in  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ , k < q, with the property that every subset of *S* of size *k* is a basis, is equivalent to Example 1.1, then every set *S'* of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{k+1}$  such that every subset of *S'* of size k + 1 is a basis, has size at most q + 1.

For  $k \ge p+2$ , let  $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_{k-p-1}\}$  be distinct elements of *S* and note that  $S' = S/\langle Y \rangle$  is a set of |S| - k + p + 1 vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_q^{p+1}$  such that every subset of *S'* of size p+1 is a basis.

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.10.

*Proof of Theorem 1.10.* Suppose that *S* is a set of q + 2 vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ , where  $q - p + 1 \le k \le q - 2$ , such that every subset of *S* of size *k* is a basis. By Lemma 5.1, we can

construct a set S' of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{q+2-k}$  such that every subset of size q+2-k is a basis. This contradicts Theorem 1.9, since  $4 \le q+2-k \le p+1$ . Hence  $|S| \le q+1$ . Suppose that S is a set of q+1 vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ , where  $q-p+1 \le k \le q-2$ , such that

Suppose that *S* is a set of q + 1 vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ , where  $q - p + 1 \le k \le q - 2$ , such that every subset of *S* of size *k* is a basis. By Lemma 5.1, we can construct a set *S'* of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{q+1-k}$  such that every subset *S'* of size q + 1 - k is a basis. By Theorem 1.8, since  $3 \le q + 1 - k \le p$ , *S'* is equivalent to Example 1.1, which implies, by the comment after Lemma 5.1, that so is *S*.

#### 9. Consequences for maximum distance separable codes

In this section we shall list some consequences of the previous theorems for maximum distance separable codes.

Let U be the k-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{[S]}$  generated by the rows of the matrix whose columns are the vectors of S and let u be a non-zero vector of U. Since no hyperplane contains k vectors of S, at most k - 1 of the coordinates of u are zero.

Define the *weight* of a vector u, with respect to a basis, to be the number of coordinates of u that are non-zero. All the non-zero vectors of U have weight at least |S| - k + 1.

A *linear code* of length *n* and minimum distance *d* is a *k*-dimensional subspace *U* of  $\mathbb{F}_{a}^{n}$  in which every non-zero vector has weight at least *d*, with respect to a fixed basis.

Thus, we have seen that S gives rise to a linear code of length |S|, dimension k and minimum distance |S| - k + 1, and vice versa.

Let *U* be any linear code of length *n*, dimension *k* and minimum distance *d*. Fix any n - d + 1 coordinates and consider two vectors *x*, *y* of *U*. If they agree on the selected coordinates then x - y has weight d - 1, which does not occur since  $x - y \in U$ . Thus, any two vectors of *U* disagree on any n - d + 1 coordinates and therefore  $|U| \le q^{n-d+1}$ . Since  $|U| = q^k$ , it follows that  $k \le n - d + 1$ . This is the *singleton bound* for linear codes. If k = n - d + 1 then the code is called *maximum distance separable*.

Thus, we have seen that S gives rise to a maximum distance separable linear code of length |S| and dimension k. By this construction Example 1.1 gives rise to a Reed–Solomon code.

Suppose that  $q = p^h$  and p is prime. The following corollaries are immediate consequences of Theorems 1.7–1.10 respectively.

**Corollary 9.1.** A linear maximum distance separable code of dimension k over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has length at most

 $q + k + 1 - \min(k, p)$ , where  $k \le q$ .

**Corollary 9.2.** If  $p \ge k$  then a linear maximum distance separable code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension k and length q + 1 is a Reed–Solomon code.

**Corollary 9.3.** If p < k < q then a linear maximum distance separable code of dimension k over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has length at most q + k - p.

**Corollary 9.4.** If 2 < q - p + 1 < k < q - 2 then a linear maximum distance separable code of dimension k over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has length at most q + 1. Moreover, in the case of equality the code is a Reed–Solomon code.

**Remark 9.5.** One can also consider codes that are not necessarily linear (see [14, Chapter 2]). A recent result from Alderson and Gács [1] states that if a linear code can be extended (i.e. we can extend the code to a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$  with minimum distance d + 1) then there is a linear extension of the code. This implies that if the maximum distance separable code can be extended then we can add a vector to the set *S*, while preserving the property that every subset of size *k* is a basis.

## 10. Consequences for projective spaces

An *arc* in the projective space PG(k - 1, q) is a set A of points such that any k points of A span the whole space. Clearly from S we can construct an arc of size |S| by defining

$$A = \{ \langle x \rangle \mid x \in S \},\$$

and vice versa, given an arc A one can construct a set S of vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^k$  of size |A| with the property that every subset of S of size k is a basis.

Suppose that  $q = p^h$  and that p is prime.

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of Theorems 1.7–1.10 respectively.

**Corollary 10.1.** An arc in PG(k-1, q) has at most  $q + k + 1 - \min(k, p)$  points, where  $k \le q$ .

**Corollary 10.2.** If  $p \ge k$  then an arc in PG(k - 1, q) of size q + 1 is a normal rational *curve*.

**Corollary 10.3.** If p < k < q then an arc in PG(k - 1, q) has at most q + k - p points.

**Corollary 10.4.** If 2 < q - p + 1 < k < q - 2 then an arc in PG(k - 1, q) has at most q + 1 points. Moreover, in the case of equality, the arc is a normal rational curve.

#### 11. Consequences for matrices and matroids

Let p be a prime. Theorem 1.7 has the following immediate corollary.

**Corollary 11.1.** For any  $k \times (p+2)$  matrix with  $2 \le k \le p$  and entries from  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , there is a set of k columns which are linearly dependent.

Example 1.1 gives an example of a  $k \times (p + 1)$  matrix which does not have the above property, and Lemma 1.3 implies that the bound  $k \le p$  is essential.

A matroid M = (E, F) is a pair in which E is a set and F is a set of subsets of E, called *independent sets*, such that (1) every subset of an independent set is an independent subset; and (2) for all  $A \subseteq E$ , all maximal independent subsets of A have the same cardinality, called the *rank* of A and denoted r(A). A *basis B* of M is a maximal independent set.

If *E* can be mapped to a subset of vectors of a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  so that  $I \subseteq E$  is an independent set if and only if the vectors of *I* are linearly independent, then the matroid is said to be *representable over*  $\mathbb{K}$ .

The following follows immediately from Theorem 1.7.

**Corollary 11.2.** If, for a matroid M = (E, F) and prime  $p \ge r(E)$ , there is a subset  $S \subseteq E$  of size p + 2 in which every subset of size r(E) is a basis, then M is not representable over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

The maximal independent sets of the *uniform matroid* of rank r are all the r-element subsets of the set E. Theorem 1.7 implies the following, which is the prime case of Conjecture 14.1.5 from Oxley [15]. Note that the reverse implication holds since we can map the elements of E to a subset of the columns of the matrix in Example 1.1.

**Corollary 11.3.** *The uniform matroid of rank r with*  $|E| \ge r+2$  *is representable over*  $\mathbb{F}_p$ *, p prime, if and only if*  $|E| \le p+1$ .

#### 12. Further consequences of the interpolation equation

Lemma 3.1 can be manipulated to give an equation for rational functions  $\phi_R$ , for any subset *R* of *S* of size k + t, such that  $\phi_R(x) = 0$  for any  $x \in S \setminus R$ .

In earlier versions of this manuscript Theorem 12.1 below was used in place of Lemma 3.1. I am indebted to Aart Blokhuis for suggesting the weaker Lemma 3.1, which is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.7. Theorem 12.1 is more useful in general because the tangent functions do not depend on the tangent functions  $T_X$ , for any X containing x.

**Theorem 12.1.** If  $|S| \ge k + t + 1$  then for any disjoint subsets  $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_{k-3}\}$  and  $E = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{t+2}\}$  of S, and distinct d and  $x \in S \setminus \{Y \cup E\}$ ,

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{t+2} T_{Y \cup \{d\}}(a_j) T_{Y \cup \{a_j\}}(d)^{-1} T_{Y \cup \{a_j\}}(x) \prod_{m \neq j} \det(a_j, a_m, y_1, \dots, y_{k-3}, x)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1 with  $y_{k-2} = x$  we have

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{t+2} T_{Y \cup \{x\}}(a_j) \prod_{m \neq j} \det(a_j, a_m, y_1, \dots, y_{k-3}, x)^{-1}.$$

Multiply through by  $(-1)^{t+1}T_{Y\cup\{d\}}(x)T_{Y\cup\{x\}}(d)^{-1}$  and apply Lemma 2.2.

Note that the equation does not depend on the choice of d, since we could multiply by

$$T_{Y\cup\{d\}}(a_1)^{-1}T_{Y\cup\{a_1\}}(d)$$

and apply Lemma 2.2 to eliminate any mention of d.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Peter Sziklai and Zsuzsa Weiner, who were there when we proved Lemma 2.1, and were involved in the initial work on possible applications. I would also like to thank Jack Edmonds for being enthusiastic about Theorem 1.7 and encouraging me to rework and rewrite the proof. Thanks also to my colleagues Eulalia Tramuns for her careful reading of the manuscript and Anna de Mier for drawing my attention to Conjecture 14.1.5 from [15], which led to Corollary 11.3. Above all, I would like to thank Aart Blokhuis and David Glynn for suggestions and comments which led to various improvements.

The author acknowledges the support of the project MTM2008-06620-C03-01 of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education and the project 2009-SGR-01387 of the Catalan Research Council.

#### References

- Alderson, T., Gács, A.: On the maximality of linear codes. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 53, 59–68 (2009) Zbl 1172.94642 MR 2529622
- Blokhuis, A., Bruen, A. A., A. Thas, J.: Arcs in PG(n, q), MDS-codes and three fundamental problems of B. Segre—some extensions. Geom. Dedicata 35, 1—11 (1990) Zbl 0709.51013 MR 1066555
- Bose, R. C.: Mathematical theory of the symmetrical factorial design. Sankhyā 8, 107–166 (1947) Zbl 0038.09601 MR 0026781
- [4] Bush, K. A.: Orthogonal arrays of index unity. Ann. Math. Statist. 23, 426–434 (1952) Zbl 0047.01704 MR 0049146
- [5] Cherowitzo, W. E.: α-flocks and hyperovals. Geom. Dedicata 72, 221–246 (1998) Zbl 0930.51007 MR 1647703
- [6] Cherowitzo, W. E., O'Keefe, C. M., Penttila, T.: A unified construction of finite geometries in characteristic two. Adv. Geom. 3, 1–21 (2003) Zbl 1022.51007 MR 1956585
- [7] Cherowitzo, W. E., Penttila, T., Pinneri, I., Royle, G. F.: Flocks and ovals. Geom. Dedicata 60, 17–37 (1996) Zbl 0855.51008 MR 1376478
- [8] Glynn, D. G.: Two new sequences of ovals in finite Desarguesian planes of even order. In: Combinatorial Mathematics X, L. R. A. Casse (ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1036, Springer, 217–229 (1983) Zbl 0531.51010 MR 0731584
- [9] Glynn, D. G.: The non-classical 10-arc of PG(4, 9). Discrete Math. 59, 43–51 (1986) Zbl 0598.51008 MR 0837953
- [10] Hirschfeld, J. W. P.: Rational curves on quadrics over finite fields of characteristic two. Rend. Mat. 3, 773–795 (1971) Zbl 0239.50012 MR 0314856
- [11] Hirschfeld, J. W. P., Storme, L.: The packing problem in statistics, coding theory and finite projective spaces: update 2000. In: Finite Geometries, Developments Math. 3, Kluwer, 201– 246 (2001) Zbl 1025.51012 MR 2061806
- [12] Hirschfeld, J. W. P., Thas, J. A.: General Galois Geometries. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1991) Zbl 0789.51001 MR 1363259
- [13] Kaneta, H., Maruta, T.: An elementary proof and an extension of Thas' theorem on k-arcs. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 105, 459–462 (1989) Zbl 0688.51007 MR 0985681

- [14] MacWilliams, F. J., Sloane, N. J. A.: The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes. North-Holland (1977) Zbl 0369.94008 MR 0465509(Vol. I) MR 0465510(Vol. II)
- [15] Oxley, J.: Matroid Theory. Oxford Univ. Press, New York (1992) Zbl 0784.05002 MR 1207587
- [16] Payne, S. E.: A new infinite family of generalized quadrangles. Congr. Numer. 49, 115–128 (1985) Zbl 0632.51011 MR 0830735
- [17] Segre, B.: Sulle ovali nei piani lineari finiti. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. 17, 141–142 (1954) Zbl 0057.36301 MR 0071035
- [18] Segre, B.: Ovals in a finite projective plane. Canad. J. Math. 7, 414–416 (1955) Zbl 0065.13402 MR 0071034
- [19] Segre, B.: Curve razionali normali e k-archi negli spazi finiti. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 39, 357– 379 (1955) Zbl 0066.14001 MR 0075608
- [20] Segre, B.: Sui k-archi nei piani finiti di caratteristica due. Rev. Math. Pures Appl. 2, 289–300 (1957) Zbl 0087.35003 MR 0098347
- [21] Segre, B.: Ovali e curve  $\sigma$  nei piani di Galois di caratteristica due. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. **32**, 785–790 (1962) Zbl 0107.38102 MR 0149361
- [22] Segre, B.: Introduction to Galois geometries. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Mem. 8, 133–236 (1967) Zbl 0194.21503 MR 0238846
- [23] Thas, J. A.: Normal rational curves and *k*-arcs in Galois spaces. Rend. Mat. 1, 331–334 (1968)
  Zbl 0188.52604 MR 0240714
- [24] Voloch, J. F.: Arcs in projective planes over prime fields. J. Geom. 38, 198–200 (1990)
  Zbl 0707.51010 MR 1061069
- [25] Voloch, J. F.: Complete arcs in Galois planes of non-square order. In: Advances in Finite Geometries and Designs, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 401–406 (1991) Zbl 0734.51010 MR 1138760