DOI 10.4171/JEMS/494



Oscar García-Prada · Jochen Heinloth · Alexander Schmitt

On the motives of moduli of chains and Higgs bundles

Received August 2, 2012 and in revised form November 22, 2012

Abstract. We take another approach to Hitchin's strategy of computing the cohomology of moduli spaces of Higgs bundles by localization with respect to the circle action. Our computation is done in the dimensional completion of the Grothendieck ring of varieties and starts by describing the classes of moduli stacks of chains rather than their coarse moduli spaces.

As an application we show that the *n*-torsion of the Jacobian acts trivially on the middledimensional cohomology of the moduli space of twisted SL_n -Higgs bundles of degree coprime to *n* and we give an explicit formula for the motive of the moduli space of Higgs bundles of rank 4 and odd degree. This provides new evidence for a conjecture of Hausel and Rodríguez-Villegas. Along the way we find explicit recursion formulas for the motives of several types of moduli spaces of stable chains.

In this article we take another approach to implement Hitchin's strategy [25, §7] of computing the cohomology of the moduli space M_n^d of stable Higgs bundles of rank *n* and degree *d* on a curve *C* by localization with respect to the circle action, assuming that *n* and *d* are coprime.

In order to handle different possible choices of cohomology theories in a uniform way, we want to compute the classes of these spaces in the (dimensional completion) of the Grothendieck ring of varieties \widehat{K}_0 (Var) (see Section 1) and give the result in a form that allows us—whenever *C* is defined over the complex numbers—to read off the Hodge polynomials of the spaces without further effort.

Before explaining our strategy let us give an overview of the main results we obtain as an application of our approach. We show (Theorem 1 in §5) that in the case of coprime rank and degree the *n*-torsion points of the Jacobian of *C* act trivially on the middle-dimensional cohomology of the space of twisted SL_n -Higgs bundles. This answers a question of T. Hausel which was motivated by the conjecture of [20].

Also we give an explicit formula (Theorem 2 in §7) for the motive of the space of semistable Higgs bundles of rank 4 and odd degree. We implemented this formula in

O. García-Prada: Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, calle Nicolás Cabrera, 15, Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain; e-mail: oscar.garcia-prada@icmat.es

J. Heinloth: Universität Duisburg-Essen, Fachbereich Mathematik,

Universitätsstrasse 2, 45117 Essen, Germany; e-mail: Jochen.Heinloth@uni-due.de

A. Schmitt: Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik,

Arnimallee 3, D-14195 Berlin, Germany; e-mail: alexander.schmitt@fu-berlin.de

Maple and checked that for genus ≤ 21 the result confirms the conjecture of Hausel and Rodríguez-Villegas [19] on the Poincaré polynomial of this space.

Along the way we obtain recursive formulas for the motives of spaces of α -semistable chains of several types. In particular, one could apply our method to give a recursive description of the motive of the space of stable U(n, 1)-Higgs bundles. This application will be given elsewhere.

Let us now explain our strategy to obtain these results. It is known—we will recall this in Section 2—that the class $[M_n^d] \in \widehat{K}_0(\text{Var})$ can be expressed in terms of classes of moduli spaces of stable chains of vector bundles on *C*. Here a chain is simply a collection $(\mathcal{E}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_r)$ of vector bundles together with morphisms $\phi_i : \mathcal{E}_i \to \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots r$. For chains one usually considers a stability criterion depending on parameters $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ and the notion of stability for Higgs bundles corresponds to a particular choice of α .

Our strategy to compute the classes of the moduli spaces of chains of vector bundles is somewhat similar to the computation of the number of points of the moduli space of stable vector bundles given by Harder and Narasimhan [17] and the computation of the cohomology of this space over the complex numbers given by Atiyah and Bott [4]: In a first step we want to compute the motive of the whole stack of chains. The second step is then to study the Harder–Narasimhan stratification of the space of chains, i.e., the stratification according to the different types of canonical destabilizing subchains. As in the case of vector bundles, the Harder–Narasimhan strata are fibered over spaces of semistable chains of lower rank, for which we know the motive by induction.

In order to deduce the motive of the strata we need to describe these fibrations. Quite surprisingly the fibrations turn out to be smooth whenever the stability parameter α is larger than or equal to the stability parameter needed for the application to moduli of Higgs bundles (Lemma 4.6). For other stability parameters this property would fail in general and this may indicate why the strategy to compute the cohomology by variation of the stability parameter turned out to be so difficult.

For the first step of our strategy, we make use of a result of Behrend and Dhillon [5]. They observed that the calculation of the cohomology of the stack of vector bundles on a curve given in [7] can be interpreted in \hat{K}_0 (Var). For stacks of arbitrary chains of vector bundles we define a stratification into pieces that we can compute explicitly in terms of the classes of moduli stacks of vector bundles (Proposition 4.13 and Corollary 4.10). The formulas turn out to be very simple.

Let us immediately remark that to apply our programme in general, one has to overcome the problem that although we can compute the pieces of the stratification, the summation over all strata does not always converge in $\widehat{K}_0(Var)$. If the summation does converge, the above approach immediately gives a recursion formula for the class of the moduli stack of semistable chains and this is how we find our recursive formulas.

Further, in the cases needed to compute the cohomology of the space of Higgs bundles of rank 4 we show how to overcome the convergence problem by a truncation procedure. In particular we find a formula for the class of the stack of semistable chains of rank (2, 2) (Proposition 6.13). For this example previous methods failed to compute the cohomology.

Let us briefly review the structure of the article. In Section 1 we recall the definition of the Grothendieck ring of varieties and a variant that contains the classes of algebraic stacks with affine stabilizer groups. We recall basic results on motivic zeta functions of varieties and the class of the moduli space of bundles on a curve that was calculated by Behrend and Dhillon. We end the section by explaining how to read off results on mixed Hodge polynomials from our formulas.

In Section 2 we collect some known results on Higgs bundles and in particular explain how the computation of the class of the moduli space of stable Higgs bundles reduces to computations for moduli spaces of (holomorphic) chains.

After these preliminary sections we introduce (in Section 3) two general ingredients that will be the key to our computations. First, we recall how to compute the class of stacks classifying extensions of various types of objects, and second, we give the class of the space of modifications of a family of vector bundles.

The core of the article is then contained in Sections 4 to 6. In Section 4 we describe our general strategy to compute the class of moduli spaces of chains. In Section 5 we give the application to the middle-dimensional cohomology of the space of twisted SL_n -Higgs bundles.

In Section 6 we apply our general strategy to do explicit calculations. We first consider cases where our general strategy carries through without additional effort to produce recursive formulas for moduli spaces of chains. We then show how in all chain types needed in order to deduce the class of the moduli space of Higgs bundles of rank 4 one can solve the convergence problem mentioned above. The computation of the class of $[M_4^1]$ is then given in Section 7. Here we restrict ourselves to Higgs bundles in order to reduce the number of parameters involved, but the same arguments can also be used to obtain similar formulas for moduli spaces of bundles with a Higgs field taking values in a line bundle \mathcal{L} with deg(\mathcal{L}) > 2g - 2.

For completeness we have included an appendix containing a quick computation of the classes of $[M_2^1]$ and $[M_3^1]$. The class of $[M_2^1]$ is implicitly already contained in Hitchin's original article [25]. The Poincaré polynomial of $[M_3^1]$ has been computed by Gothen [14] and probably his argument could also be refined to compute the motive; the corresponding Hodge polynomial can be found in [10].

Finally we would like to point out that since this article was written, we found a general procedure to solve the convergence problem [13], so that the methods of this article can also be applied to compute classes of Higgs bundles of higher rank.

Notation. Throughout the article we will fix a smooth projective, geometrically connected curve C, defined over a field k. We will furthermore assume that there exists a line bundle of degree 1 on C.

For a vector $\underline{n} = (n_i) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ we denote $|\underline{n}| := \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$.

Contents

1.	Recollection on $K_0(Var)$ and classes of algebraic stacks	2620
2.	Recollection on Higgs bundles	2623
3.	The basic ingredients of our calculations: extensions and modifications	2625

4. Moduli stacks of chains: general results	629
5. Application to Higgs bundles with fixed determinant	637
6. Moduli stacks of chains: recursion formulas and examples	640
7. Application: Higgs bundles of rank 4 and odd degree	661
8. Appendix: Higgs bundles of rank 2 and 3	665
References	667

1. Recollection on $\widehat{K}_0(Var)$ and classes of algebraic stacks

To explain the basic setup in which we do our calculations we need to recall the definition of the motive of a local quotient stack from the article [5] by Behrend and Dhillon.¹ At the end of this section we collect the formulas needed to read off the mixed Hodge polynomials from our formulas.

Our main reason for using motives rather than Hodge polynomials is that our computations only make use of geometric decompositions of the moduli spaces and thus it is natural to write our formulas in terms that reflect the underlying geometry.

1.1. The ring $\widehat{K}_0(Var)$

We denote by $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_k)$ the Grothendieck ring of varieties over k, i.e., the free abelian group generated by isomorphism classes [X] of (quasi-projective) varieties subject to the relation $[X] = [X \setminus Z] + [Z]$ whenever $Z \subset X$ is a closed subvariety. (See [32, Section 2] for background on this ring.)

One denotes the class of the affine line by $\mathbb{L} := [\mathbb{A}^1] \in K_0(\operatorname{Var}_k)$. Also for any quasiprojective variety X we denote its symmetric powers by $\operatorname{Sym}^i(X) = X^{(i)}$. As observed in [29] one can extend this to classes in $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_k)$ by setting

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{n}([X] + [Y]) := \sum_{i+j=n} [\operatorname{Sym}^{i}(X)][\operatorname{Sym}^{j}(Y)].$$

In $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_k)[1/\mathbb{L}]$ we have the filtration defined by the subgroups generated by classes $[X]\mathbb{L}^{-m}$ with $\dim(X) - m \leq -n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed. The completion of $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_K)$ according to this filtration is called the *dimensional completion* of $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_k)[1/\mathbb{L}]$; we denote it by $\widehat{K}_0(\operatorname{Var})$.

Before defining the motive of an algebraic stack with affine stabilizer groups, observe that $[GL_n] = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\mathbb{L}^n - \mathbb{L}^k)$, by the usual argument that the first column of an invertible matrix is an arbitrary element of $\mathbb{A}^n - \{0\}$, the second then gives a factor $\mathbb{A}^n - \mathbb{A}^1$ and so on. Since in $\widehat{K}_0(\text{Var})$ we have $(\mathbb{L}^n - 1)^{-1} = \mathbb{L}^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-kn}$, we see that $[GL_n]$ is an invertible element in $\widehat{K}_0(\text{Var})$.

Now suppose a stack \mathcal{M} is a quotient stack defined by an action of GL_n on a scheme X, i.e., $\mathcal{M} \cong [X/GL_n]$. (Unfortunately the standard notation for quotient stacks

¹ Other authors have considered similar definitions: see Joyce [26], Toën [39] and Ekedahl [12].

uses the same type of brackets [] that are used for classes in $\widehat{K}_0(Var)$.) Then Behrend and Dhillon define its class as

$$[\mathcal{M}] := \frac{[X]}{[\mathsf{GL}_n]} \in \widehat{K}_0(\text{Var}).$$

It turns out that this definition does not depend on the choice of a presentation of $\mathcal{M} = [X/GL_n]$, because GL_n bundles are locally trivial in the Zariski topology.

In particular, for a quotient by an affine group G one can choose a faithful representation $G \to \operatorname{GL}_n$ and write any quotient as $[X/G] = [X \times^G \operatorname{GL}_n/\operatorname{GL}_n]$. Similarly one can then define the class of a stack which is stratified by locally closed substacks which are quotient stacks. This also makes sense for stacks which are only locally of finite type, as long as they possess a stratification $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$, where for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ only finitely many \mathcal{M}_{α} are of dimension $\geq n$.

All stacks occurring in this article will admit a stratification into locally closed substacks that are of the form $[X/GL_n]$. This follows for example from a theorem of Kresch [28, Proposition 3.5.9], by which it suffices to check that the stabilizer groups of all objects are affine.

Example 1.1 (Behrend–Dhillon [5]). Using the argument of [7], Behrend and Dhillon calculate the motive of the stack Bun_n^d classifying vector bundles of rank *n* and degree *d* on a smooth projective curve *C*: Denote by

$$Z(C,t) := \sum_{k \ge 0} [C^{(k)}]t^k$$

the zeta function of C and denote by Pic^0 the Jacobian of C. Then Behrend and Dhillon [5] show

$$[\operatorname{Bun}_n^d] = \mathbb{L}^{(n^2 - 1)(g - 1)} \frac{[\operatorname{Pic}^0]}{\mathbb{L} - 1} \prod_{k=2}^n Z(C, \mathbb{L}^{-k}).$$

Notation. We will often drop the degree and denote by Pic the Jacobian of *C* and by <u>Pic</u> the stack of line bundles of degree 0, so that we have $\underline{\text{Pic}} \cong \text{Pic} \times B\mathbb{G}_m$ and therefore $[\underline{\text{Pic}}] = \frac{[\underline{\text{Pic}}]}{|\underline{\text{r}}-1|}$.

1.2. Zeta functions and their relation to Hodge polynomials

To compare our formulas with more classical formulas, we need to recall several facts on zeta functions from [27] and [21].

- (1) For any variety X its zeta function is the formal power series $Z(X, t) = \sum [X^{(n)}]t^n$. The relation defining $\widehat{K}_0(Var)$ implies that the zeta function is multiplicative: for $Y \subset X$ closed we have $Z(X, t) = Z(Y, t)Z(X \setminus Y, t)$.
- (2) For the curve C define $h^1(C) := [C] 1 \mathbb{L}$ and set²

$$P(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{2g} \operatorname{Sym}^{i} h^{1}(C) t^{i}.$$

² In terms of cohomology, Sym^{*i*} becomes the graded symmetric power, i.e., Sym^{*i*} $h^1(C)$ corresponds to the exterior power of the first cohomology group.

Then

$$Z(C, t) = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t)(1-\mathbb{L}t)}, \quad [\operatorname{Pic}] = \sum_{i=0}^{2g} \operatorname{Sym}^{i} h^{1}(C) = P(1).$$

Thus we get $[\operatorname{Bun}_2^d] = \mathbb{L}^{3g} \frac{P(1)P(\mathbb{L}^{-2})}{(\mathbb{L}^{-1})^2(\mathbb{L}^{2}-1)}$. Using the functional equation [21, Section 3]

$$P\left(\frac{1}{t\mathbb{L}}\right) = \mathbb{L}^{-g} t^{-2g} P(t)$$

for $t = \mathbb{L}$, we can simplify this to

$$[\operatorname{Bun}_2^d] = \frac{P(1)P(\mathbb{L})}{(\mathbb{L}-1)^2(\mathbb{L}^2-1)}$$

(3) For any variety X and N, $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M} X^{(k)} \mathbb{L}^{Nk} = \operatorname{Coeff}_{t^0} \frac{Z(X, t) \mathbb{L}^{NM}}{(1 - t \mathbb{L}^{-N}) t^M}.$$

This follows simply by expanding $\frac{1}{1-t\mathbb{L}^{-N}}$ as a geometric series. Since we will need it later, let us give a simple application of these facts:

Example 1.2. The class $[(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{(l)}] \in \widehat{K}_0(\text{Var})$ is given by

$$[(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{(l)}] = \operatorname{Coeff}_{t^{l}} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} P(\mathbb{L}^{i}t)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} ((1 - \mathbb{L}^{i}t)(1 - \mathbb{L}^{i+1}t))}$$

Proof. Since $[\mathbb{P}^{n-1}] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [\mathbb{A}^i]$, (1) yields $Z(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, t) = \prod_i Z(C \times \mathbb{A}^i, t) = \prod_i Z(C, \mathbb{L}^i t)$. Together with (2) and (3) this implies the claimed formula.

The preceding formulas will allow us to read off the compactly supported Hodge polynomial of the moduli spaces we study from their classes in $\widehat{K}_0(\text{Var})$. First note that the *E*-polynomial (see, e.g., [19, §2]) can be viewed as a map $E: K_0(\text{Var}) \to \mathbb{Z}[u, v]$, because for any closed subvariety $Y \subset X$ the long exact sequence for cohomology with compact supports implies that $E(X) = E(X \setminus Y) + E(Y)$.

Since $E(\mathbb{L}) = uv$, this map extends to a map

$$E: \widehat{K}_0(\operatorname{Var}) \to \mathbb{Z}[u, v]\left[\left[\frac{1}{uv}\right]\right],$$

taking values in Laurent series in $(uv)^{-1}$.

Example 1.3. For the polynomial $P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2g} \text{Sym}^i h^1(C)t^i$ defined above the description of the cohomology of symmetric products due to Macdonald [33] shows

$$E(P(t)) = (1 - tu)^g (1 - tv)^g$$
 and $E(Z(C, t)) = \frac{(1 - tu)^g (1 - tv)^g}{(1 - t)(1 - tuv)}$

Our formulas will be given in terms of P(t), $[C^{(i)}]$ and \mathbb{L} , so the above formulas will suffice to read off the *E*-polynomial of the moduli spaces from their class in \widehat{K}_0 (Var). If the cohomology of a variety X is pure, e.g., if X smooth and projective, then the *E*-polynomial determines the Hodge polynomial by the formula

$$H(X, u, v, t) = (uvt^2)^{\dim(X)} E\left(-\frac{1}{ut}, -\frac{1}{vt}\right).$$

In this case the Poincaré polynomial of X is given by

$$P(X,t) = t^{2\dim(X)} E\left(-\frac{1}{t}, -\frac{1}{t}\right).$$

2. Recollection on Higgs bundles

In this section we collect the basic definitions on moduli spaces of Higgs bundles as well as how their topology is determined by the topology of moduli spaces of chains. For the convenience of the reader we briefly sketch the main arguments; more details can be found in [20, Section 2 and 9].

A Higgs bundle is a pair $(\mathcal{E}, \theta : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_C)$, where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on C, θ is an \mathcal{O}_C -linear map and Ω_C is the sheaf of differentials on C. We will denote by \mathcal{M}_n^d the moduli stack of Higgs bundles of rank n and degree d on C. In this stack we can consider the open substack $\mathcal{M}_n^{d,ss}$ of semistable Higgs bundles, i.e., those (\mathcal{E}, θ) such that for all subsheaves $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ with $\theta(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_C$ we have $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{E})$, where as usual $\mu(\mathcal{F})$ is the ratio between the degree and the rank of \mathcal{F} . A Higgs bundle is called *stable* if this last inequality is strict for all proper $(\mathcal{F}, \theta_{|\mathcal{F}}) \subsetneq (\mathcal{E}, \theta)$. Stability is also an open condition, so stable Higgs bundles define an open substack $\mathcal{M}_n^{d,\text{stable}} \subset \mathcal{M}_n^{d,\text{ss}}$.

The stack of stable Higgs bundles turns out to be smooth. This follows from deformation theory (see Nitsure [37] or Biswas and Ramanan [8]), showing that the first order infinitesimal deformations of a Higgs bundle (\mathcal{E}, θ) are given by the cohomology of the complex $(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E}) \to \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_C)$. For a stable Higgs bundle, the only automorphisms are scalar automorphisms, so that H^0 of this complex is 1-dimensional, and by Serre duality the same holds for H^2 . Also the Euler characteristic of $H^*(C, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E})$ End(\mathcal{E}) $\otimes \Omega_C$) is $-2n^2(g-1)$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_n^{d, \text{stable}}$ is a smooth stack of dimension $2n^2(g-1)+1$ and it is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe

over its coarse moduli space M_n^d , which is therefore smooth of dimension $2n^2(g-1)+2$.

Finally we have to recall the Hitchin map

$$f: \mathcal{M}_n^d \to \mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(C, \Omega_C^i),$$

given by $f(\mathcal{E}, \theta) = (\operatorname{tr} \bigwedge^{i} \theta) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H^{0}(C, \Omega_{C}^{i})$, i.e., f maps a Higgs bundle (\mathcal{E}, θ) to the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of θ . If (n, d) = 1, Nitsure [37] also proved that the induced map on the coarse moduli space $M_n^d \to \mathcal{A}$ is proper.

The moduli space of Higgs bundles has an action of \mathbb{G}_m , given by multiplication of scalars on the Higgs field θ . The Hitchin map f becomes equivariant with respect to this action, if we let \mathbb{G}_m act by the character $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^i$ on the subspace $H^0(C, \Omega_C^i) \subset \mathcal{A}$.

We collect the known properties of the Białynicki-Birula decomposition with respect to this action in the following proposition (which has been observed in [20, Section 9]):

Proposition 2.1. Let n, d be a fixed pair of coprime, positive integers.

- (1) The fixed point scheme $(M_n^d)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ of the \mathbb{G}_m action on M_n^d is a disjoint union of connected, smooth schemes F_i contained in the special fibre $f^{-1}(0)$ of the Hitchin map.
- (2) There are \mathbb{G}_{m} -subvarieties $F_{i}^{+}, F_{i}^{-} \subset M_{n}^{d}$ such that F_{i} is a closed subscheme of F_{i}^{\pm} and F_{i}^{\pm} is a Zariski locally trivial fibration over F_{i} , with fibres isomorphic to affine spaces. For any $x \in F^{i}$ we have $T_{x}(F_{i}^{\pm}) = T_{x}(M)^{0} \oplus T_{x}(M)^{\pm}$ where $T_{x}(M)^{0,+,-}$ are the weight spaces of the tangent space at x with weights 0, positive or negative respectively.
- (3) We have $M_n^d = \bigcup F_i^+$ and $(f^{-1}(0)) = \bigcup F_i^-$ and for all points $x \in (M_n^d)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ we have dim $(T_x(M)^+) = \frac{1}{2} \dim(M_n^d)$. In particular the closure of the F_i^- in M_n^d are the irreducible components of $f^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. The first part of the lemma is a direct consequence of the Białynicki-Birula decomposition theorem ([6, Theorem 4.1] for algebraically closed k and [24, Theorem 5.8] in general). The varieties F_i^+ consist of those points such that $\lim_{t\to 0} t.x \in F_i$ and $F_i^$ consists of the points such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} t.x \in F_i$.

Next we use the fact that according to Nitsure [37] the Hitchin map is proper. Since we know that \mathbb{G}_m acts with positive weights on \mathcal{A} , this implies that the F_i have to be contained in the special fibre of the fibration. Moreover, every point of M_n^d is contained in exactly one F_i^+ . Similarly, every point in the special fibre has to be contained in some F_i^- and outside the special fiber the limit $\lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1} x$ does not exist.

As observed by Hausel and Thaddeus [20], the claim on the dimension of $T_x(M)^+$ is a consequence of Laumon's result [30] that the downward flow is Lagrangian. In particular, this implies that all the F_i^- are smooth of dimension $\frac{1}{2} \dim M_n^d$.

This implies that the class of $[M_n^d] \in \widehat{K}_0(\text{Var})$ can be computed in a very simple way from the classes of the F_i . This was observed in [20, Proposition 9.1] in terms of *E*-polynomials:

Corollary 2.2 (Hausel–Thaddeus [20]). Write $N := \frac{1}{2} \dim M_n^d = n^2(g-1) + 1$. Then

$$[M_n^d] = \mathbb{L}^N \sum_i [F_i] \in \widehat{K}_0(\text{Var}).$$

In order to make use of this result we need to recall the modular description of the fixed point strata of Lemma 2.1, due to Hitchin and Simpson (see [20, Lemma 9.2]): If (\mathcal{E}, θ) is a fixed point of the \mathbb{G}_m action, $(\mathcal{E}, \theta) \cong (\mathcal{E}, \lambda\theta)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$. Then either $\theta = 0$, or if $\theta \neq 0$ then the automorphism group of \mathcal{E} contains a copy of \mathbb{G}_m . Hence $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i$ decomposes as a direct sum of weight spaces for this action and $\theta : \mathcal{E}_i \to \mathcal{E}_{i-1} \otimes \Omega_C$. This implies that each F_i is a moduli space of bundles \mathcal{E}_i together with maps $\phi_i : \mathcal{E}_i \to \mathcal{E}_{i-1} \otimes \Omega$, such that the corresponding Higgs bundle $(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i, \bigoplus \phi_i)$ is stable. These are called *moduli spaces of stable chains* and we will recall their properties in more detail in Section 4. The main part of this paper will be devoted to the computation of the classes of these moduli spaces.

3. The basic ingredients of our calculations: extensions and modifications

Our calculations rely on two basic results, which we would like to explain in this section. Firstly, an observation already contained in SGA 4 [3, Exposé XVIII 1.4] allows us to compute the class of spaces of extensions of bundles, or more generally of extensions of chains whenever the extension problem is unobstructed. Secondly, we can compute the class of the stack of modifications of vector bundles. As any morphism of bundles $\mathcal{E}_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{E}_0$ can be viewed as an extension of vector bundles ker $(\phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi)$, followed by a modification Im $(\phi) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi)^{\text{sat}}$ (here we denoted by Im $(\phi)^{\text{sat}}$ the saturation of the image) and another extension of vector bundles Im $(\phi)^{\text{sat}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0/\text{Im}(\phi)^{\text{sat}}$, the above ingredients will allow us to describe moduli spaces of chains.

3.1. Stacks classifying extensions of objects

In the following we will often consider stacks parameterizing extensions of bundles or chains. In order to compute their motives we will apply the following result, which appeared in SGA 4 [3, Exposé XVIII, Proposition 1.4.15] (the statement as below can also be found in [22]):

Proposition 3.1. Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack, \mathcal{E}_0 , \mathcal{E}_1 vector bundles on \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{E}_0 \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{E}_1$ a morphism. Viewing \mathcal{E}_0 as an affine group scheme over \mathcal{X} acting on \mathcal{E}_1 via d we form the quotient stack $[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0]$. Then for any affine scheme $T \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{X}$ over \mathcal{X} the category $[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0](T)$ is equivalent to

$$[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0](T) \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Objects = H^1(T, t^*(\mathcal{E}_0 \to \mathcal{E}_1)) = \operatorname{coker}(t^*\mathcal{E}_0(T) \to t^*\mathcal{E}_1(T)), \\ Morphisms = H^0(T, t^*(\mathcal{E}_0 \to \mathcal{E}_1)) = \operatorname{ker}(t^*\mathcal{E}_0(T) \to t^*\mathcal{E}_1(T)) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Stacks of the form $[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0]$ as occurring in the above proposition are called *vector bundle stacks*. The above proposition shows that quasi-isomorphic complexes \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} define equivalent stacks. If the stack \mathcal{X} is locally of finite type, we will also call a stack over \mathcal{X} a vector bundle stack if its restriction to any substack $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ of finite type is of the form $[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0]$ for some vector bundles \mathcal{E}_i on \mathcal{U} .

As an illustration of how we will apply the above proposition let us recall a wellknown application. Denote by Coh_n^d the stack of coherent sheaves of rank *n* and degree *d* on *C*. Denote by $\operatorname{Ext}((n'', d''), (n', d'))$ the stack classifying extensions $\mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}''$ of coherent sheaves with $(\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}'), \operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{F}')) = (n', d')$ and $(\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}''), \operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{F}'')) = (n'', d'')$.

Corollary 3.2. The forgetful map $p: \underline{Ext}((n'', d''), (n', d')) \to \operatorname{Coh}_{n'}^{d'} \times \operatorname{Coh}_{n''}^{d''}$ defines a vector bundle stack of relative dimension n'n''((g-1) + d''/n'' - d'/n'). In particular $\underline{Ext}((n'', d''), (n', d'))$ is smooth and irreducible.

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{F}'_{univ} and \mathcal{F}''_{univ} the universal sheaves on $\operatorname{Coh}_{n'}^{d'} \times C$ and $\operatorname{Coh}_{n''}^{d''} \times C$. Denote by p_{ij} the projection on the *i*, *j* factor of $\operatorname{Coh}_{n'}^{d'} \times \operatorname{Coh}_{n''}^{d''} \times C$.

We claim that for any substack $U \subset \operatorname{Coh}_{n'}^{d'} \times \operatorname{Coh}_{n''}^{d''}$ of finite type the complex $\mathbf{R}_{p_{12,*}}(\mathcal{H}om(p_{23}^*\mathcal{F}''_{univ}, p_{13}^*\mathcal{F}'_{univ}))|U$ is quasi-isomorphic to a complex $[\mathcal{E}_0 \to \mathcal{E}_1]$ where \mathcal{E}_0 and \mathcal{E}_1 are vector bundles. This holds since for any bounded family of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}om(p_{23}^*\mathcal{F}'', p_{13}^*\mathcal{F}')$ on *C* there exists $d \gg 0$ such that for any closed point $p \in C$ the sheaves $\mathcal{F}(d \cdot p)$ have no higher cohomology. But then for $p, q \in C$ the complex $p_{12,*}(\mathcal{F}(d \cdot p) \oplus \mathcal{F}(d \cdot q) \to \mathcal{F}(d(p + q)))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}_{p_{12,*}}(\mathcal{H}om(p_{23}^*\mathcal{F}'', p_{13}^*\mathcal{F}'))$.

By Proposition 3.1 we know that $[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0]$ is isomorphic to $\underline{\text{Ext}}((n'', d''), (n', d'))|U$. The relative dimension of the morphism is $\text{rk }\mathcal{E}_1 - \text{rk }\mathcal{E}_2$, which by the Riemann–Roch formula is n'n''(g-1) + (n'd'' - n''d').

The classes of vector bundle stacks are easy to compute in $\widehat{K}_0(Var)$:

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1$ are as in Proposition 3.1, that \mathcal{X} is a local quotient stack, which defines a class in \widehat{K}_0 (Var), and that $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1$ are of constant rank. Then

$$[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0] = [\mathcal{X}]\mathbb{L}^{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}_1) - \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}_0)} \in \widehat{K}_0(\mathrm{Var}).$$

Proof. First, the conclusion holds if X is a scheme: Stratify $X = \bigcup X_i$ so that over each X_i the map $\phi|_{X_i}$ is of constant rank and both \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_0 are trivial. By the proposition we can, over each X_i , replace the complex $\mathcal{E}_0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}_1$ by ker $(d) \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{E}_1/\text{Im}(d)$. In this case

$$[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0|_{X_i}] = [X_i] \times [\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}_1/\operatorname{Im}(d))}] \times [\operatorname{Spec}(k)/\mathbb{G}_a^{\operatorname{rk}(\ker(d))}] = [X_i]\mathbb{L}^{\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}_1)-\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}_0)}.$$

To prove the conclusion for a local quotient stack it suffices to consider the case where $\mathcal{X} = [X/GL_n]$ is a global quotient. Let $p: X \to [X/GL_n]$ denote the canonical projection. Note that the automorphism groups of all objects of $[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0]$ are affine, so that by Kresch's result [28, Proposition 3.5.9] the stack $[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0]$ is again a local quotient stack. Write $[\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0] = \bigcup [F_i/GL_{n_i}]$ for some schemes F_i and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. This decomposition induces a decomposition

$$[p^*\mathcal{E}_1/p^*\mathcal{E}_0] \cong X \times_{[X/\mathsf{GL}_n]} [\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0] = \bigcup X \times_{[X/\mathsf{GL}_n]} [F_i/\mathsf{GL}_{n_i}].$$

Moreover, $F_i \times_{[X/\mathsf{GL}_n]} X \to F_i$ is a GL_n torsor and

$$F_i \times_{[X/\mathsf{GL}_n]} X = [p^* \mathcal{E}_1 / p^* \mathcal{E}_0] \times_{[\mathcal{E}_1 / \mathcal{E}_0]} F_i \to X \times_{[X/\mathsf{GL}_n]} [F_i / \mathsf{GL}_{n_i}]$$

is a GL_{n_i} torsor. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0] &= \sum_i \frac{[F_i]}{[\mathsf{GL}_{n_i}]} = \sum_i \frac{[F_i][\mathsf{GL}_n]}{[\mathsf{GL}_{n_i}][\mathsf{GL}_n]} = \sum_i \frac{[F_i \times [X/\mathsf{GL}_n] X]}{[\mathsf{GL}_{n_i}][\mathsf{GL}_n]} \\ &= \frac{1}{[\mathsf{GL}_n]} \sum_i [X \times_{[X/\mathsf{GL}_n]} [F_i/\mathsf{GL}_{n_i}]] = \frac{[p^* \mathcal{E}_1/p^* \mathcal{E}_0]}{[\mathsf{GL}_n]} \\ &= \frac{[X] \mathbb{L}^{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}_1) - \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}_0)}}{[\mathsf{GL}_n]} = [\mathcal{X}] \mathbb{L}^{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}_1) - \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.4. As a simple case of our problem, let us compute the motive of the stack of stable bundles of rank 2 and degree d.

The Harder–Narasimhan stratum $\operatorname{Bun}_2^{d,\mu=l}$ of those bundles having a subbundle of degree l > d/2 is the stack classifying extensions $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Q}$ with deg $(\mathcal{L}) = l$ and deg $(\mathcal{Q}) = d - l$. Thus we can apply Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 to find

$$[\operatorname{Bun}_{2}^{d,\mu=l}] = \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d-2l}[\operatorname{Bun}_{1}^{l}][\operatorname{Bun}_{1}^{d-l}] = \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d-2l}\frac{P(1)^{2}}{(\mathbb{L}-1)^{2}}$$

where the last equality uses 1.2. Thus for the class of the stack of semistable bundles we find

$$\begin{aligned} [\operatorname{Bun}_{2}^{d,\operatorname{ss}}] &= [\operatorname{Bun}_{2}^{d}] - \sum_{l > d/2} [\operatorname{Bun}_{2}^{d,\mu=l}] = \frac{P(1)P(\mathbb{L})}{(\mathbb{L}-1)^{2}(\mathbb{L}^{2}-1)} - \sum_{l > d/2} \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d-2l} \frac{P(1)^{2}}{(\mathbb{L}-1)^{2}} \\ &= \frac{P(1)(P(\mathbb{L}) - \mathbb{L}^{g-1+(d \operatorname{mod} 2)}P(1))}{(\mathbb{L}-1)^{2}(\mathbb{L}^{2}-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

If one applies P_{Hodge} to this class in case *d* is odd, this formula gives a polynomial divided by $P_{\text{Hodge}}(\mathbb{L}-1)$, corresponding to the fact that the stack is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over the coarse moduli space.

We would like to point out that the same method would also allow us to compute the class of the coarse moduli space of stable bundles (see [11]) in case the degree is even, by further discarding the strictly semistable bundles.

Remark 3.5. For general *n* one can write $[\operatorname{Bun}_n^{d,ss}] = [\operatorname{Bun}_n^d] - \bigcup HN$ -strata. Corollary 3.2 then gives a recursive formula for $[\operatorname{Bun}_n^{d,ss}]$. Namely the Harder–Narasimhan strata are indexed by partitions $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$ and $d = \sum d_i$ with $d_i/n_i > d_{i+1}/n_{i+1}$ for all *i*. The class of such a stratum is given by

$$\mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i< j} n_i n_j (g-1) + \sum_{i< j} d_j n_i - d_i n_j} \prod_{i=1}^s [\operatorname{Bun}_{n_i}^{d_i, \operatorname{ss}}].$$

This recursive formula has been solved by Zagier [40] and Laumon and Rapoport [31], who formulated the result in terms of the Poincaré series in their article and used cohomology instead of cohomology with compact supports. Their argument shows

 $[\operatorname{Bun}_n^{d,\operatorname{ss}}]$

$$=\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^{s-1}\sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}+\dots+n_{s}\\n_{i}>0}}\prod_{i=0}^{s}[\operatorname{Bun}_{n_{i}}^{0}]\mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i< j}n_{i}n_{j}(g-1)}\prod_{i=1}^{s-1}\frac{\mathbb{L}^{(n_{i}+n_{i+1})\frac{(n_{1}+\dots+n_{i})d \operatorname{mod} n}{n}}}{\mathbb{L}^{n_{i}+n_{i+1}}-1}$$

Details on the computation using cohomology with compact supports can be found in [38].

3.2. The class of the stack of modifications of bundles

The second basic ingredient for our computation is the class of the stack of modifications. We write $\operatorname{Hecke}_{n,d}^{l}$ for the stack classifying $(\mathcal{E}_{1} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{E}_{0})$ such that \mathcal{E}_{i} are vector bundles of rank *n*, degree $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{E}_{0}) = d$, $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{E}_{1}) = d - l$ and $\operatorname{rank}(\phi) = n$. This is usually called the stack of *Hecke modifications* of length *l*.

Also for any family of vector bundles \mathcal{E} of rank *n* parameterized by a scheme of finite type (or a stack of finite type with affine stabilizer groups) *T* we will write $\text{Hecke}(\mathcal{E}/T)^l$ for the stack classifying modifications $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ with \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}' a torsion sheaf of length *l*.

The argument of [7] implicitly contains the next result. We give a slightly different argument, since we need to work in $\hat{K}_0(Var)$ and we will need a result over a general base.

Proposition 3.6. The class of the stack of Hecke modifications is

 $[\operatorname{Hecke}_{n,d}^{l}] = [\operatorname{Bun}_{n}^{d}] \times [(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{(l)}] \in \widehat{K}_{0}(\operatorname{Var}).$

Similarly [Hecke $(\mathcal{E}/T)^l$] = $[T] \times [(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{(l)}].$

Proof. Since Hecke^{*l*}_{*n,d*} parameterizes pairs $\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_0$ we have a canonical morphism

gr: Hecke^{*l*}_{*n,d*}
$$\rightarrow$$
 Bun^{*d*}_{*n*} $\times C^{(l)}$, $(\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0) \mapsto (\mathcal{E}_0, \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{E}_1))$.

We first compute the fibres of gr. For a point $P \in C$ and $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Bun}_n^d$ we denote $F_{\mathcal{E},lP} := \text{gr}^{-1}(\mathcal{E}, lP)$.

Claim. $[\operatorname{gr}^{-1}(\mathcal{E}, lP)] = [\operatorname{Sym}^{l} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}].$

Although this is probably known, for the sake of completeness we will give an inductive proof. For n = 1 the map gr is an isomorphism, so the claim is clear.

In general, choose a trivialization $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{O}_{C,P}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C,P}^{\oplus n}$ and chose a local parameter *t* at *P* in order to obtain an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P \cong k[[t]]$. In particular the first summand of $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}^{\oplus n}$ defines a subbundle $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{E}$ and we can stratify the space of modifications $\mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}$ according to the length *k* of the image of \mathcal{L} in $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1$:

The space of all such extensions is fibred over the space of modifications of \mathcal{E}'' of length l - k. The fibres are defined by the extensions of \mathcal{T}_{l-k} by $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}(-kP)$ together with a choice of a map q.

The extensions are classified by $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{T}_{l-k}, \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}(-kP))$, which is a vector bundle stack of rank 0 over the space parameterizing the torsion sheaves \mathcal{T}_l . The choices of q form a torsor under Hom $(\mathcal{E}'', \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}(-kp))$, which is a vector space of dimension k(n-1). Thus we find $[F_{\mathcal{E},lP}] = \sum_{k=0}^{l} [F_{\mathcal{E}'',(l-k)P}] \mathbb{L}^{k(n-1)}$.

On the other hand, by Remark 1.2 we have

$$Sym^{l}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})] = Coeff_{t^{l}} \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-\mathbb{L}t)\cdots(1-\mathbb{L}^{n-1}t)}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{l} Coeff_{t^{l-k}} \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-\mathbb{L}t)\cdots(1-\mathbb{L}^{n-2}t)} \mathbb{L}^{k(n-1)}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{l} \mathbb{L}^{k(n-1)} [Sym^{l-k} \mathbb{P}^{n-2}].$$

This proves the claim.

1

An arbitrary point of $C^{(l)}$ is an effective divisor $D = \sum m_i P_i$ with $\sum m_i = l$ and $P_i \neq P_j$ for $i \neq j$. So the partitions $l = \sum m_i$ with $m_1 \ge \cdots \ge m_r$ define a stratification $C_{\underline{m}}^{(l)}$ of $C^{(l)}$. In order to compute the class [Hecke $_{n,d}^l$] we stratify this stack accordingly.

To deduce the result for families $(\mathcal{E}, D = \sum m_i P_i) \in \operatorname{Bun}_n^d \times C_m^{(l)}(T)$ parameterized by some scheme *T* we note that Zariski locally over *T* we may choose local parameters at P_i . Also after replacing *T* by a GL_n^r -bundle over *T* we may assume that there exist trivializations of \mathcal{E} at the points P_i . These local trivializations define an isomorphism of the fibre $\operatorname{gr}^{-1}(T)$ and $T \times \prod_i F_{\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}, m_i P_i}$. Thus we find

$$[\operatorname{gr}^{-1}(T)] = [T] \times \prod_{i} [\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{i}}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})]$$

Similarly if $[T/GL_n]$ is any substack of finite type of $Bun_n^d \times C_{\underline{m}}^{(d)}$ we can deduce the same formula for this substack, because the map gr is representable and $gr^{-1}([T/GL_n]) = [gr^{-1}(T)/GL_n]$.

To conclude, observe that the fibre of the projection $p : (C \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{(l)} \to C^{(l)}$ over a point $x \in C_m^{(l)}$ is isomorphic to $\prod_i \operatorname{Sym}^{m_i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, since the stabilizer in S_l of a preimage of x in $C^{(l)}$ is isomorphic to $\prod S_{m_i}$. Since \mathbb{P}^{n-1} is stratified by affine spaces and the permutation action is linear on the strata, this implies (see [16, Lemma 4.4])

$$[p^{-1}(C_{\underline{m}}^{(l)})] = \prod_{i} [\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{i}}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})] \cdot [C_{\underline{m}}^{(l)}] \in \widehat{K}_{0}(\operatorname{Var}).$$

Thus the sum over all strata $C_m^{(l)}$ can be written as

$$[\operatorname{Hecke}_{n,d}^{l}] = [\operatorname{Bun}_{n}^{d}] \times [(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{(l)}].$$

4. Moduli stacks of chains: general results

After recalling some basic definitions on chains ([1], [2]), we will prove in this section that the Harder–Narasimhan strata in the moduli stacks of chains are vector bundle stacks

over moduli stacks of chains of smaller rank. Moreover we construct another stratification of the stack of chains such that we can compute the classes of the strata in \hat{K}_0 (Var). These are the key results needed to implement our strategy for the computation of the motives of the spaces of semistable chains.

4.1. Stability of chains and basic properties of the moduli stack

A (*holomorphic*) chain on C is a collection $((\mathcal{E}_i)_{i=0,...,r}, (\phi_i)_{i=1,...,r})$, where \mathcal{E}_i are vector bundles on C and $\phi_i : \mathcal{E}_i \to \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ are morphisms of \mathcal{O}_C -modules. We will often abbreviate $((\mathcal{E}_i)_{i=0,...,r}, (\phi_i)_{i=1,...,r})$ as \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} .

The rank of a chain is defined as $rk(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) = (rk(\mathcal{E}_i))_{i=0,...,r}$ and the degree is defined as $deg(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) := (deg(\mathcal{E}_i))_{i=0,...,r}$. Given $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} = (\mathcal{E}_i, \phi_i)$ we will denote the compositions of the ϕ_i by $\phi_{ij} := \phi_i \circ \cdots \circ \phi_j$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}$ the moduli stack of chains of rank \underline{n} and degree \underline{d} . Write $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}$ for the open substack such that $\phi_i \neq 0$ whenever $n_i n_{i-1} \neq 0$. To show that the stack $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}$ is an algebraic stack, locally of finite type, we only have to observe that the forgetful map $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}} \to \prod_{i=0}^{r} \operatorname{Bun}_{n_i}^{d_i}$ is representable. This holds, because the fibres parameterize morphisms of sheaves.

Given $(\alpha_i)_{i=0,\dots,r} \in \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ the $\underline{\alpha}$ -slope of a chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} is defined as

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) = \mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) := \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}_{i})}{|\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})|} (\mu(\mathcal{E}_{i}) + \alpha_{i}),$$

where $\mu(\mathcal{E}_i) := \deg(\mathcal{E}_i)/\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}_i)$ is the slope of the vector bundle \mathcal{E}_i and the summand is read as 0 if $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}_i) = 0$. Note that the α -slope is a convex combination of $\mu(\mathcal{E}_i) + \alpha_i$. Since the slope only depends on the numerical invariants for fixed rank and degree $\underline{n}, \underline{d}$, we also write $\mu(\underline{n}, \underline{d})$ for the corresponding α -slope.

A chain is called α -(*semi*)*stable* if for all proper subchains $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$ we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) (\leq) \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}),$$

where we use the standard notation (\leq) to abbreviate that the inequality \leq defines semistability, whereas for stability, we require strict inequality.

Remark 4.1. Any chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} defines a Higgs bundle $\mathcal{E} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{E}_{i} \otimes \Omega^{i-r}$ with Higgs field $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega$ given by the sum of the ϕ_{i} . This Higgs bundle is (semi)stable if and only if the chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} is α -(semi)stable for the parameter $\alpha = (0, 2g - 2, ..., r(2g - 2))$.

Note that—as in the case of vector bundles—given an extension $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} \to \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \to \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}$ of chains of rank \underline{n}' and \underline{n}'' we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_i) = \frac{n'_i}{n_i} \mu(\mathcal{E}'_i) + \frac{n''_i}{n_i} \mu(\mathcal{E}''_i), \quad \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) = \frac{|\underline{n}'|}{|\underline{n}|} \mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) + \frac{|\underline{n}''|}{|\underline{n}|} \mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}).$$

So the slope of an extension is a convex combination of the slope of the constituents. As for stability of vector bundles, this property immediately implies the following properties of stability of chains:

Lemma 4.2. (1) A chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} is semistable if and only if for any quotient $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}''$ we have $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'')$.

- (2) If \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} , \mathcal{F}_{\bullet} are semistable with $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{F}_{\bullet})$ then $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}_{\bullet}) = 0$.
- (3) For every chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} there is a canonical Harder–Narasimhan flag of subchains $0 \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(1)} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(h)} = \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$ such that $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(1)}) > \cdots > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(h)})$ and the subquotients $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(i)}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(i-1)}$ are semistable.

For any chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} we will denote by $\mu_{\max}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) := \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(1)})$ the maximal slope of subchains of \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} and by $\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) := \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(h)}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(h-1)})$ the minimal slope of quotients of \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} . We will denote by $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\alpha-ss} \subset \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}$ the substack of α -semistable chains. The same argument as for vector bundles shows that this is an open substack and that its complement is the disjoint union of the Harder-Narasimhan strata, i.e., the constructible substacks of those \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} such that the $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{(i)}$ are of some fixed rank and degree.

Note that for any $c \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{0=1,...,r}$ and $\alpha + c := (\alpha_i + c)_{i=0,...,r}$ define the same stability condition. Also if we denote $\overline{\alpha} := (-\alpha_{r-i})_{0=1,...,r}$ then we have

$$\mu_{\overline{\alpha}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{\vee}) = -\mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}).$$

Lemma 4.3. Dualizing gives an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}(n_0,\ldots,n_r)_{(d_0,\ldots,d_r)}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}} \cong \mathcal{M}(n_r,\ldots,n_0)_{(-d_r,\ldots,-d_0)}^{\overline{\alpha}-\mathrm{ss}}.$$

In particular for $\alpha_i := i(2g - 2)$ we have

$$\mathcal{M}(n_0,\ldots,n_r)_{(d_0,\ldots,d_r)}^{\alpha\text{-ss}} \cong \mathcal{M}(n_r,\ldots,n_0)_{(-d_r,\ldots,-d_0)}^{\alpha\text{-ss}}$$

Proof. The first claim is immediate from the equivalent characterization of stability given in Lemma 4.2(1). The second follows, because in this case $\overline{\alpha} + r(2g - 2) = \alpha$.

Given $\underline{n}, \underline{d}$, a parameter α is called *critical* (for $\underline{n}, \underline{d}$) if there exist strictly semistable chains of rank <u>n</u> and degree <u>d</u>. Otherwise α is called *non-critical*.

Let us call α good if for any chain \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} occurring as a subquotient $\mathcal{E}^i_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}^{i-1}_{\bullet}$ in the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of a chain of rank n and degree d the following holds:

- (1) Whenever \mathcal{E}'_i and \mathcal{E}'_{i-1} are non-zero then ϕ_i is non-zero, and (2) the set $\{0 \le i \le r \mid \mathcal{E}'_i \ne 0\}$ is an interval in \mathbb{Z} .

Note that any chain \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} violating one of the above conditions can be written as $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} = \mathcal{E}^1_{\bullet} \oplus \mathcal{E}^2_{\bullet}$ such that $\mathcal{E}^{1,2}_{\bullet}$ are both non-trivial and for all *i* either \mathcal{E}^1_i or \mathcal{E}^2_i is 0.

Thus we see that a parameter α is good if the α_i are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , because in that case $\mu(\mathcal{E}^1) \neq \mu(\mathcal{E}^2)$. In particular this implies that if α is not critical, then there is a good, non-critical α' such that $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_d^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}} = \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_d^{\alpha'-\mathrm{ss}}$.

4.2. Extensions of chains and the classes of Harder-Narasimhan strata

Note that one can embed the category of chains into an abelian category, by allowing the \mathcal{E}_i to be coherent sheaves instead of vector bundles. In this category one can then do homological algebra (see, e.g., [15]).

In this section it will further be useful to consider more generally chains $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} = (\mathcal{E}_i, \phi_i)$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that only finitely many \mathcal{E}_i are non-zero. We will extend any chain $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} = ((\mathcal{E}_i)_{i=0,...r}, (\phi_i)_{i=1,...,r})$ by putting $\mathcal{E}_i := 0$ for all i < 0 and all i > r. Similarly we will allow stability parameters $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Notation. Given chains $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}$ we denote by $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$ the group of homomorphisms of chains, by $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$ the set of isomorphism classes of extensions $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} \to \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \to \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}$ and by $\operatorname{Ext}(\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$ the stack of such extensions. In particular we have

$$\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') = [\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') / \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}')].$$

For chains $\mathcal{E}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}^h_{\bullet}$ we denote by $\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}^h_{\bullet}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}^1_{\bullet})$ the stack of iterated extensions, i.e., chains \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} together with a filtration $0 = \mathcal{F}^0_{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{F}^1_{\bullet} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}^h_{\bullet} = \mathcal{E}$ and isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}^i_{\bullet}/\mathcal{F}^{i-1}_{\bullet} \cong \mathcal{E}^i_{\bullet}$. Similarly, fixing given ranks \underline{n}^i and degrees \underline{d}^i , we denote by $\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\underline{n}^h, \ldots, \underline{n}^1)_{\underline{d}^h, \ldots, \underline{d}^1}$ the stack of chains \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} together with a filtration \mathcal{F}^i_{\bullet} such that $(\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}^i_{\bullet}/\mathcal{F}^{i-1}_{\bullet}), \operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{F}^i_{\bullet}/\mathcal{F}^{i-1}_{\bullet})) = (\underline{n}^i, \underline{d}^i)$. Also we will denote by

$$\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\underline{n}^h,\ldots,\underline{n}^1)_{\underline{d}^h,\ldots,\underline{d}^1}^{\operatorname{gr}\alpha\operatorname{-ss}} \subset \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\underline{n}^h,\ldots,\underline{n}^1)_{\underline{d}^h,\ldots,\underline{d}^1}$$

the open substack of filtered chains such that the subquotients $\mathcal{F}^i_{\bullet}/\mathcal{F}^{i-1}_{\bullet}$ are α -semistable.

We need the following basic result, which can be found in [2, Proposition 3.1 and 3.5]:

Proposition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'$ be chains. Then we have a long exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') \to \bigoplus_{i} \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{i}'', \mathcal{E}_{i}') \to \bigoplus_{i} \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{i}'', \mathcal{E}_{i-1}')$$
$$\to \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') \to \bigoplus_{i} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{i}'', \mathcal{E}_{i}') \to \bigoplus_{i} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{E}_{i}'', \mathcal{E}_{i-1}') \to \operatorname{Ext}^{2}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') \to 0.$$

If the ϕ_i'' are injective for all *i* or the ϕ_i' are generically surjective for all *i*, then $\operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') = 0$.

The above proposition is most useful if the Ext²-term vanishes. We will need another criterion to show this. To this end, we recall that the long exact sequence computing Ext-groups in the category of chains is obtained from the cohomology of the complex of sheaves

$$\bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}''_{i}, \mathcal{E}'_{i}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}''_{i}, \mathcal{E}'_{i-1})$$

on *C*, where the differential is given by $(f_i) \mapsto (f_{i-1} \circ \phi''_i - \phi'_i \circ f_i)$. In particular the last group in the sequence of Proposition 4.4 is $\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$. Moreover, we can apply Serre duality to this sequence and find that

$$\mathbb{H}^{i}\Big(C,\bigoplus_{i}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}_{i}'',\mathcal{E}_{i}')\to\bigoplus_{i}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}_{i}'',\mathcal{E}_{i-1}')\Big)^{\vee}$$
$$\cong\mathbb{H}^{2-i}\Big(C,\bigoplus_{i}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}_{i-1}',\mathcal{E}_{i}''\otimes\Omega_{C})\to\bigoplus_{i}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}_{i}',\mathcal{E}_{i}''\otimes\Omega_{C})\Big).$$

The complex occurring in the second hypercohomology group is the one computing $\operatorname{Ext}^{2-i}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet-1} \otimes \Omega)$, where $\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet-1}$ is the chain obtained by shifting the chain \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet} by placing \mathcal{E}''_i in degree i - 1, so that the bundles of the resulting chains may be non-zero for $-1 \le i \le r - 1$. This implies:³

Lemma 4.5. Let \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} , \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} be chains. Then

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{2}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}')^{\vee} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet-1}'' \otimes \Omega_{C}).$$

In our applications we will mostly be interested in the case where $\alpha_i = (2g - 2)i$. In this case the following lemma is the key to our computation of the Harder–Narasimhan strata:

Lemma 4.6. Suppose $\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1} \ge 2g - 2$ for all *i*.

Let E'_•, E''_• be chains of slope μ_{min}(E'_•) > μ_{max}(E''_•). Then Ext²(E''_•, E'_•) = 0.
 If E_• is an α-stable chain, then Ext²(E_•, E_•) = 0.

Proof. Let us prove (1). The preceding lemma says that the statement is equivalent to the vanishing of

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet-1} \otimes \Omega_C).$$

The image of a morphism $f: \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} \to \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet-1} \otimes \Omega_C$ is a quotient of \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} . Thus this image is of slope $> \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu_{\max}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$. Also $f(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet+1})$ is a subchain of $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} \otimes \Omega_C$, and we have

$$\mu(f(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet+1})) = \mu(f(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})) + \sum_{i} \frac{\operatorname{rk}(f(\mathcal{E}_{i}))}{|\operatorname{rk}(f(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}))|} (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i}) \ge \mu(f(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})) + 2g - 2$$
$$> \mu_{\max}(\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}) + 2g - 2 = \mu_{\max}(\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet} \otimes \Omega_{C}).$$

This is a contradiction.

For (2) the same argument shows that any element of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}_{\bullet-1} \otimes \Omega_C)$ must be an isomorphism, which cannot exist, since $\mathcal{E}_{-1} = 0$.

Remark 4.7. One should compare the above lemma with [2, Proposition 3.5], which gives a similar statement. Note however that statement (2) cannot be generalized to a pair of stable chains \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} , \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet} of equal slope if $\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1} = 2g - 2$, e.g., the chains $\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet} :=$

³ S. Mozgovoy independently observed this lemma.

 $(\mathcal{O} \to 0)$ and $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} = (0 \to \Omega_C)$ are both stable, since they are of rank 1, they have equal slope, but $\operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}, \Omega) = H^0(\mathcal{O})^{\vee}$ is not trivial.

In particular, in (iii) of loc. cit. the condition imposed by Lemma 4.5 saying that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet} \otimes \Omega_C) = 0$ has to be added. This is for example satisfied if one of the chains is stable and all $\mathcal{E}'_i, \mathcal{E}''_i$ are nontrivial. The same applies to [9, Proposition 3.6(2)].

The above lemma allows us to describe the Harder-Narasimhan strata of chains:

Proposition 4.8. Let α be a stability parameter and $(\underline{n}^i, \underline{d}^i)_{i=1,\dots,h}$ be ranks and degrees of chains. Suppose that

 $\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1} \ge 2g - 2$ for $k = 1, \dots, r$, $\mu(\underline{n}^i, \underline{d}^i) > \mu(\underline{n}^{i+1}, \underline{d}^{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, h-1$. Then the forgetful map

$$\operatorname{gr}: \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\underline{n}^h, \dots, \underline{n}^1)_{\underline{d}^h, \dots, \underline{d}^1}^{\operatorname{gr}\alpha - \operatorname{ss}} \to \prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^i)_{\underline{d}^i}^{\alpha - \operatorname{ss}}$$

is smooth and its fibres are affine spaces of dimension $\chi = \sum_{i < j} \chi_{ij}$, where

$$\chi_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \left(n_k^j n_k^i (g-1) - n_k^j d_k^i + n_k^i d_k^j \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{r} \left(n_k^j n_{k-1}^i (g-1) - n_k^j d_{k-1}^i + n_{k-1}^i d_k^j \right)$$

Moreover in $\widehat{K}_0(Var)$ we have

$$[\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\underline{n}^{h},\ldots,\underline{n}^{1})_{\underline{d}^{h},\ldots,\underline{d}^{1}}^{\operatorname{gr}\alpha\operatorname{-ss}}] = \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i< j}\chi_{ij}}\prod_{i=1}^{h} [\mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^{i})_{\underline{d}^{i}}^{\alpha\operatorname{-ss}}]$$

Proof. Let us denote the projections from the product $\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\underline{n}^{h-1}, \ldots, \underline{n}^1)_{\underline{d}^{h-1}, \ldots, \underline{d}^1}^{\operatorname{gr}\alpha\operatorname{-ss}} \times \mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^h)_{\underline{d}^h}^{\alpha\operatorname{-ss}} \times C$ onto the *i*-th factor by p_i and similarly denote by p_{ij} the projection onto the product of the *i*-th and *j*-th factors. Denote by $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet, \operatorname{univ}}, \mathcal{E}^h_{\bullet, \operatorname{univ}}$ the universal chains on $\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\underline{n}^{h-1}, \ldots, \underline{n}^1)_{\underline{d}^{h-1}, \ldots, \underline{d}^1}^{\operatorname{gr}\alpha\operatorname{-ss}} \times C$ and $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^2)_{\underline{d}^2}^{\alpha\operatorname{-ss}} \times C$. Since $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet, \operatorname{univ}}$ has a filtration with semistable subquotients of slope greater than $\mu(\mathcal{E}^h_{\bullet, \operatorname{univ}})$, Lemma 4.6 implies that

$$\mathbf{R}p_{12,*}\Big(\bigoplus_{i}\mathcal{H}om(p_{23}^{*}\mathcal{E}_{i,\mathrm{univ}}^{h}, p_{13}^{*}\mathcal{E}_{i,\mathrm{univ}}^{\prime}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i}\mathcal{H}om(p_{23}^{*}\mathcal{E}_{i,\mathrm{univ}}^{h}, p_{13}^{*}\mathcal{E}_{i-1,\mathrm{univ}}^{\prime})\Big)$$

is a complex with cohomology only in degrees 0, 1. As in the proof of Corollary 3.2, this complex can be represented by a complex of vector bundles $\mathcal{F}_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{F}_2$, and since its cohomology is only in degree 0, 1, it is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \ker(d_1)$. This is a complex of vector bundles of length 2, to which we can apply Proposition 3.1, showing that the vector bundle stack $[\ker(d_1)/\mathcal{F}_0]$ is isomorphic to $\underline{\operatorname{Ext}(n^h, \ldots, n^1)}_{\underline{d}^h, \ldots, \underline{d}^1}^{\operatorname{grass}}$. By the Riemann–Roch formula the dimension of the fibres of gr is $\sum_{j=1}^{h-1} \chi_{jh}$. The result now follows by induction on *h*.

4.3. A stratification of the stack of chains with simple strata

Next, we want to define—for any $\underline{n}, \underline{d}$ —a stratification of the stack of chains $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_d$ such that classes of the strata can be computed using the results of Section 3.

Any chain $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} = (\mathcal{E}_r \to \cdots \to \mathcal{E}_0)$ has a canonical subchain

$$F^{1}\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} = (\mathcal{E}_{r} \to \phi_{r}(\mathcal{E}_{r})^{\mathrm{sat}} \to \cdots \to \phi_{1r}(\mathcal{E}_{r})^{\mathrm{sat}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$$

in which all maps are generically surjective. We call this subchain the saturation of \mathcal{E}_r in \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} and write $(\mathrm{rk}(F^{1}\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}), \mathrm{deg}(F^{1}\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})) =: (\underline{n}', \underline{d}')$. Also we set $\underline{l} := (\mathrm{deg}(F^{1}\mathcal{E}_{i}) - \mathrm{deg}(F^{1}\mathcal{E}_{i}))$ $\deg(\phi_{i+1}(\mathcal{E}_{i+1})))_{i=0,...r-1}$.

For fixed $\underline{n}', \underline{d}', \underline{l}$, the stack of those chains such that the saturation of \mathcal{E}_r in \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} is of rank \underline{n}' and with degrees $\underline{d}', \underline{l}$ is a locally closed substack $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{n}',\underline{d}',\underline{l}'} \subset \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}$.

For any chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} , the quotient $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/F^{1}\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} = (0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{r-1}/\phi_{r}(\mathcal{E}_{r})^{\text{sat}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{r-1}/\phi_{r}(\mathcal{E}_{r})^{\text{sat}}$ $\mathcal{E}_0/\phi_{1r}(\mathcal{E}_r)^{\text{sat}}$ is a chain of shorter length. This has a corresponding subchain, the saturation of $\mathcal{E}_{r-1}/\phi_r(\mathcal{E}_r)^{\text{sat}}$. Inductively this defines a filtration

$$F^1 \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \subset \cdots \subset F^{r+1} \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} = \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$$

such that the subquotients $F^i \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} / F^{i-1} \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$ are chains of length r - i + 1 in which all maps are generically surjective. Proposition 4.4 will therefore allow us to describe the substacks of chains such that the rank and degree of the chains in this filtration are constant.

Given \underline{n} , \underline{d} and \underline{l} , let us denote by $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\text{gen-surj}}$ the stack of chains of rank \underline{n} and degree \underline{d} such that all maps ϕ_i are generically surjective, and denote by $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{d,l}^{\text{gen-surj}}$ the locally closed substack of $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_d^{\text{gen-surj}}$ defined by the condition $l_i = \deg(\mathcal{E}_i)$ – $\deg(\phi_i(\mathcal{E}_{i+1})).$

Lemma 4.9. The stack $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d},\underline{l}}^{\text{gen-surj}}$ is non-empty if and only if $n_r \ge n_{r-1} \ge \cdots \ge n_0$, $l_i \ge 0$ and for all i such that $n_i = n_{i+1}$ we have $l_i = d_i - d_{i+1}$. If these conditions are satisfied, the stack $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d},\underline{l}}^{\text{gen-surj}}$ is smooth and connected.

Let

$$\chi_i := \begin{cases} (n_{i+1}n_i - n_i^2)(g-1) + n_{i+1}d_i - n_id_{i+1} & \text{if } n_{i+1} \neq n_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } n_{i+1} = n_i. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$[\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d},\underline{l}}^{\text{gen-surj}}] = \text{Bun}_{n_0} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} [\text{Bun}_{n_{i+1}-n_i}] [(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n_i-1})^{(l_i)}] \mathbb{L}^{\sum \chi_i - n_{i+1}l_i} \in \widehat{K}_0(\text{Var}).$$

Proof. For any chain in the substack write $\mathcal{K}_i := \ker(\phi_i), \mathcal{Q}_i := \operatorname{Im}(\phi_i)$. Then we have $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{K}_i) = n_i - n_{i-1}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{K}_i) = d_i - (d_{i-1} - l_{i-1})$. Thus the stack classifies a collection of extensions $(\mathcal{K}_i \to \mathcal{E}_i \to \mathcal{Q}_i)$ together with Hecke modifications $\mathcal{Q}_i \subset \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ of length l_{i-1} .

For fixed \mathcal{K}_i , \mathcal{Q}_i the dimension of the stack of extensions of \mathcal{Q}_i by \mathcal{K}_i is

$$rk(\mathcal{K}_i) rk(\mathcal{Q}_i)(g-1) - (rk(\mathcal{Q}_i) \deg(\mathcal{K}_i) - rk(\mathcal{K}_i) \deg(\mathcal{Q}_i))$$

= $(n_i - n_{i-1})n_{i-1}(g-1) - (n_{i-1}(d_i - d_{i-1} + l_{i-1}) - (n_i - n_{i-1})(d_{i-1} - l_{i-1}))$
= $(n_i n_{i-1} - n_{i-1}^2)(g-1) + n_i d_{i-1} - n_i l_{i-1} - n_{i-1} d_i = \chi_i - n_i l_{i-1}.$

Recall that for a family of bundles \mathcal{E} parameterized by some space T we defined $\text{Hecke}(\mathcal{E}/T)^l$ to be the space of all modifications of length l of \mathcal{E} , i.e., the fibred product

Since p is a smooth fibration with connected fibres, we see that if T is connected $\text{Hecke}(\mathcal{E}/T)^l$ is connected as well. This proves the claimed connectedness.

Using the formula for [Hecke(\mathcal{E}/T)] (Proposition 3.6) we find

$$[(\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d},\underline{l}}^{\text{gen-surj}}] = [\operatorname{Bun}_{n_0}] \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} [\operatorname{Bun}_{n_{i+1}-n_i}] [(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n_i-1})^{(l_i)}] \mathbb{L}^{\sum \chi_i - n_{i+1}l_i},$$

which is the claimed formula.

Corollary 4.10. The stack $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\text{gen-surj}}$ is non-empty if and only if $n_r \ge n_{r-1} \ge \ldots \ge n_0$, and for all *i* such that $n_i = n_{i-1}$ we have $d_i \le d_{i-1}$.

If these conditions are satisfied, we have

$$[\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\text{gen-surj}}] = \mathbb{L}^{\sum \tilde{\chi}_i}[\text{Bun}_{n_0}] \prod_{i: n_{i+1} \neq n_i} [\text{Bun}_{n_{i+1}}] \prod_{i: n_{i+1} = n_i} [(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n_i - 1})^{(d_i - d_{i+1})}],$$

where

$$\tilde{\chi}_i = \begin{cases} n_{i+1}d_i - n_id_{i+1} + n_{i+1}n_i(1-g) & \text{if } n_{i+1} \neq n_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } n_{i+1} = n_i. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Summing the formula obtained in Lemma 4.9 over all $l_i \ge 0$ we find

$$[\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\text{gen-surj}}] = \mathbb{L}^{\sum \chi_i}[\operatorname{Bun}_{n_0}] \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} [\operatorname{Bun}_{n_{i+1}-n_i}] \prod_{i: n_{i+1}=n_i} [(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n_i-1})^{(d_i-d_{i+1})}]$$
$$\cdot \prod_{i: n_{i+1}-n_i>0} Z(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n_i-1}, \mathbb{L}^{-n_{i+1}}).$$

Moreover we know $Z(C \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1}, t) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} Z(C, \mathbb{L}^i t)$ and we have the formula $[\operatorname{Bun}_m] = \mathbb{L}^{(m^2-1)(g-1)}[\operatorname{\underline{Pic}}] \prod_{i=2}^m Z(C, \mathbb{L}^{-i})$. Putting these two formulas together, we obtain $[\operatorname{Bun}_m] = \mathbb{L}^{(2km-k^2)(g-1)}[\operatorname{Bun}_{m-k}]Z(C \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1}, \mathbb{L}^{-m})$. To conclude, we just substitute this expression for $m = n_{i+1}, k = n_i$ in the above formula for $[\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\operatorname{gen-surj}}]$.

Remark 4.11. In case $n_r > \cdots > n_1 > n_0$ the above formula reduces to

$$[\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\text{gen-surj}}] = \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i d_{i-1} - n_{i-1} d_i + n_i n_{i-1}(1-g)} \prod_{n=0}^{r} [\text{Bun}_{n_i}].$$

This formula looks quite surprising, because in this case the class of $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\text{gen-surj}}$ is equal to the class of a vector bundle over $\prod_{i=0}^{r} \text{Bun}_{n_i}^{d_i}$ of rank equal to the Euler characteristic of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_{i-1})$, although the forgetful map $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\text{gen-surj}} \to \prod_{i=0}^{r} \text{Bun}_{n_i}$ is far from being a bundle for any \underline{d} .

Remark 4.12. By duality (Lemma 4.3) the class of the stack of chains such that all morphisms ϕ_i are injective is also given by the expression given in Corollary 4.10.

Lemma 4.9 also allows us to define the stratification we are looking for. Given $\underline{n}, \underline{d}$, we consider partitions $\underline{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \underline{n}^i$ such that $n_k^i = 0$ for k > n - i, so $n_r^0 = n_r$, $n_{r-1}^1 = n_{r-1} - n_{r-1}^0$, etc. Let $(\underline{d}^i)_{i=1,...r}$ with $\sum_i \underline{d}^i = \underline{d}$ be such that $\underline{n}^i, \underline{d}^i$ satisfy the condition of Corollary 4.10. We call $\underline{n}^i, \underline{d}^i$ satisfying these conditions a *partition for the stratification by saturations*. Given such a partition let us denote $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})\underline{d}^{(\underline{n}^i,\underline{d}^i)} \subset \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})\underline{d}$ the locally closed substack of chains \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} such that $(\underline{n}^i, \underline{d}^i) = (\operatorname{rk}(F^i \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/F^{i-1} \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}), \operatorname{deg}(F^i \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/F^{i-1} \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}))$.

Proposition 4.13. For any $\underline{n}, \underline{d}$, the set of substacks $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{(\underline{n}^i, \underline{d}^i)} \subset \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}$ indexed by partitions for the stratification by saturations defines a stratification of $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}$. Moreover in $\widehat{K}_0(\text{Var})$ we have

$$[\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{(\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i})}] = \mathbb{L}^{\chi((\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i})_{i=0,\ldots,r})} \prod_{i=0}^{r} [\mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^{i})_{\underline{d}^{i}}^{\text{gen-surj}}],$$

where

$$\chi((\underline{n}^{i}, \underline{d}^{i})_{i=0,...,r}) = \sum_{i$$

Proof. This holds, because by Proposition 4.4 the canonical map

gr:
$$\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{(\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i})} \to \prod_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^{i})_{\underline{d}^{i}}^{\text{gen-surj}}$$

is a composition of vector bundle stacks and their dimension is given by the Riemann–Roch formula. $\hfill \Box$

5. Application to Higgs bundles with fixed determinant

In this section we give an application of Lemma 4.9, namely we prove that the action of the *n*-torsion points of Pic_{C}^{0} on the middle-dimensional cohomology of the space of rank *n* Higgs bundles with fixed determinant is trivial. This answers a question of T. Hausel,

which was motivated by the mirror symmetry conjecture of [20]. The result will not be used in the rest of our article, but gives an instance where the results of the previous section can be applied without evaluating explicit formulas. In order to simplify the exposition we will assume in this section that the characteristic of the ground field does not divide *n*. We denote our cohomology theory by $H^*(\mathcal{M})$, which means singular cohomology in case $k = \mathbb{C}$ and étale cohomology with \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -coefficients otherwise.

For a line bundle $L \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)$ we define

$$\mathcal{M}_{n}^{L} := \left((\mathcal{E}, \phi \colon \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{C}, \psi \colon \det(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\cong} L) \mid \operatorname{tr}(\phi) = 0 \right)$$

to be the stack of Higgs bundles of rank n with fixed determinant L.

Tensoring with line bundles defines an action of the group $\Gamma := \text{Pic}^0(C)[n]$ of *n*-torsion points on this stack and this action respects the semistable locus $\mathcal{M}_n^{L,\text{ss}}$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $(n, \deg(L)) = 1$. Then the action of Γ on $\mathcal{M}_n^{L,ss}$ induces the trivial action on $H^{\dim(\mathcal{M}_n^L)}(\mathcal{M}_n^{L,ss})$.

Proof. As in the case of Higgs bundles without fixed determinant (Section 2) the assumption $(n, \deg(L)) = 1$ implies that $\mathcal{M}_n^{L,ss}$ is smooth, so that $H^{\dim(\mathcal{M}_n^L)}(\mathcal{M}_n^{L,ss}) \cong H_c^{\dim(\mathcal{M}_n^L)}(\mathcal{M}_n^{L,ss})^{\vee}$. Moreover, the fixed point strata F_i^L of the \mathbb{G}_m action on $\mathcal{M}_n^{L,ss}$ are projective and we again have

$$[\mathcal{M}_n^{L,\mathrm{ss}}] = \mathbb{L}^{\dim(\mathcal{M}_n^L)} \sum_i [F_i^L].$$

In particular this implies that

$$H_c^{\dim(\mathcal{M}_n^L)}(\mathcal{M}_n^{L,\mathrm{ss}}) = \bigoplus_i H_c^0(F_i^L) = \bigoplus_i H^0(F_i^L).$$

To prove the theorem it is therefore sufficient to show that the action of Γ is trivial on the set of connected components of the fixed point strata. As in the case of Higgs bundles, the fixed points of the \mathbb{G}_m action can be described as moduli spaces of semistable chains with fixed determinant. We will denote these spaces by $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_d^{L,ss}$ and write $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_d^L$ for the corresponding stacks of all (not necessarily semistable) chains with fixed determinant *L*.

As in the previous section, the spaces $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{L}$ come equipped with a natural stratification defined by the saturation of the images of the bundles \mathcal{E}_i . This stratification is obtained by pull-back from the stratification of the stack of chains with arbitrary determinant.

Let us fix a partition $\underline{n}^{i}, \underline{d}^{i}$ of $\underline{n}, \underline{d}$ for the stratification by generic rank and fix moreover \underline{l}^{i} satisfying the numerical conditions of Lemma 4.9. Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})\underline{\underline{n}}_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}^{i}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})\underline{\underline{n}}_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i}}$ the substack such that $F^{i}\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/F^{i-1}\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^{i})\underline{\underline{n}}_{\underline{d}}^{en-\mathrm{surj}}$ for all *i*. The action of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)$ on $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{d}$ respects these substacks. Also, by Lemma 4.9 the stacks $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^i)_{\underline{d}^i,\underline{l}^i}^{\text{gen-surj}}$ are smooth and connected, and by Proposition 4.4 the map

$$\operatorname{gr}\colon \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{(\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}^{i})} \to \prod_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^{i})_{\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}^{i}}^{\operatorname{gen-surj}}$$

is a composition of vector bundle stacks. Thus the stacks $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{(\underline{n}^i,\underline{d}^i,\underline{l}^i)}$ are smooth and connected as well.

We define $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{(\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}^{i}),L}$ to be the analogous stack of chains with fixed determinant, i.e., the stack $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{d}^{(\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}^{i}),L}$ is the fibre over *L* of the determinant map

$$\det\colon \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{(\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}_{i})} \to \underline{\operatorname{Pic}}$$

The action of $\Gamma = \text{Pic}^{0}(C)[n]$ respects these strata. We claim that it is sufficient to show that Γ acts trivially on the set of irreducible components of each stratum. This holds, because the generic point of any irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{L,\text{ss}}$ has to lie in some stratum and since stability is an open condition it then must be a generic point of the stratum, which will be invariant under Γ .

By our description of $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_d^{(\underline{n}^i,\underline{d}^i,\underline{l}_i)}$ the map det factorizes Γ -equivariantly as

$$\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{(\underline{n}^{i},\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}_{i})} \xrightarrow{p_{1}} \prod_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^{i})_{\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}^{i}}^{\text{gen-surj}} \xrightarrow{p_{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{r} \prod_{k=0}^{r-i} \text{Bun}_{k-n_{k-1}^{i}}^{d_{k}^{i}-d_{k-1}^{i}-l_{k}^{i}} \times \prod C^{(l_{k}^{i})}$$
$$\xrightarrow{p_{3}} \prod_{i=0}^{r} \prod_{k=0}^{r-i} \text{Pic}^{d_{k}^{i}-d_{k-1}^{i}-l_{k}^{i}} \times \text{Pic}^{l_{k}^{i}} \xrightarrow{m} \text{Pic}^{d}.$$

Here, p_1 is given by mapping \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} to the subquotients $F^i \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} / F^{i-1} \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$ of the filtration given by the saturation of the constituents $\mathcal{E}_r, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_1$. This map is a composition of vector bundle stacks, in particular its fibres are irreducible, so it is sufficient to prove that Γ acts trivially on the irreducible components of the fibres of $m \circ p_3 \circ p_2$.

The map p_2 is the product of the natural maps

$$\operatorname{gr}\colon \mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^{i})_{\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}^{i}}^{\operatorname{gen-surj}} \to \prod_{k=0}^{r-i} \operatorname{Bun}_{n_{k}^{i}-n_{k-1}^{i}}^{d_{k}^{i}-d_{k-1}^{i}-l_{k}^{i}} \times \prod C^{(l_{k}^{i})}$$

sending a chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} to $(\mathcal{E}_0, \ker(\phi_k)_{k=1,...,r-i}, \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{E}_{k-1}/\phi_k(\mathcal{E}_k))_{k=1,...,r-i})$, where we write $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{E}_{k-1}/\phi_k(\mathcal{E}_k))$ for the divisor defined by the torsion sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{k-1}/\phi_k(\mathcal{E}_k)$. This map is a composition of vector bundle stacks and Hecke modifications, so again we are reduced to showing that Γ acts trivially on the set of irreducible components of the fibres of $m \circ p_3$.

The map p_3 is the product of the natural maps det: $\operatorname{Bun}_m^e \to \operatorname{Pic}^e$ and $C^{(e)} \to \operatorname{Pic}^e$, which have irreducible fibres as well.

Finally, the map *m* is the map reconstructing the determinant of \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} out of the graded pieces defined by the p_i . It is of the form $m: (L_1, \ldots, L_N) \mapsto \bigotimes_{i=1}^N L_i^{k_i}$, so this map

has irreducible fibres if and only if $gcd(k_1, ..., k_N) = 1$. We claim that this condition is satisfied, because of our coprimality assumption on rank and degree of the corresponding Higgs bundles. This is elementary, but slightly tedious:

Let us first consider a single factor:

det:
$$\mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^{i})_{\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}^{i}}^{\text{gen-surj}} \xrightarrow{p_{2} \circ p_{1}} \prod_{i=0}^{r-i} \operatorname{Pic}^{d_{k}^{i}-d_{k-1}^{i}-l_{k}^{i}} \times C^{(l_{i})} \xrightarrow{m} \operatorname{Pic}.$$

For a chain $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^{i})_{\underline{d}^{i},\underline{l}^{i}}^{\text{gen-surj}}$ write $K_{j} := \ker(\phi_{j}), K_{0} := \mathcal{E}_{0}$ and let D_{j} denote the divisor defined by the torsion sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{j-1}/\phi_{j}(\mathcal{E}_{j})$. Then

$$\det\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_j\right) = \bigotimes_{j=0}^r \det(K_j)^{r+1-j} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}(-D_j)^{r+1-j}.$$

Suppose $k \ge 1$ divides all exponents occurring in this expression for $K_j \ne 0$ and $D_j \ne 0$, i.e., suppose k | r + 1 - j for all j such that $K_j \ne 0$ or $D_j \ne 0$. Since

$$\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_{j}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (r+1-j) \operatorname{rk}(K_{j}),$$

this implies $k \mid \mathrm{rk}(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i)$. Moreover,

$$\deg\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_j\right) = \sum_{j=0}^r (r+1-j) \deg(K_j) + \sum_{j=1}^r (r+1-j) \deg(D_j),$$

thus $k \mid \deg(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i)$.

Finally the degree of the corresponding Higgs bundle is

$$\deg\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_{j} \otimes \Omega^{-(r-j)}\right) = \deg\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_{j}\right) - \sum_{j=0}^{r} (r-j)(2g-2)\left(\sum_{l=0}^{j} \operatorname{rk}(K_{l})\right)$$
$$= \deg\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_{j}\right) - \sum_{j=0}^{r} (2g-2)\operatorname{rk}(K_{j})\frac{(r-j)(r-j+1)}{2}$$

Therefore we also have $k \mid \deg(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i \otimes \Omega^{-(r-i)})$.

Taking the product over all factors $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n}^i)_{\underline{d}^i,\underline{l}^i}^{\text{gen-surj}}$ shows that if *k* divides all the exponents occurring in the map *m*, then *k* has to divide *n* and deg(*L*) so that k = 1.

6. Moduli stacks of chains: recursion formulas and examples

In this section we will explain our strategy to compute the cohomology of moduli spaces of chains by giving several examples. Whenever the stack of chains of fixed invariants defines a class in \widehat{K}_0 (Var), our strategy immediately gives recursion formulas for the

class of the space of α -semistable chains whenever α satisfies $\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i \ge 2g - 2$. We will say that α is greater than or equal to $(0, 2g-2, \ldots, r(2g-2))$, the stability parameter occurring in the study of Higgs bundles, if this condition is satisfied. We will begin with examples where this strategy works without further effort.

In general the stack of chains will have infinitely many strata of the same dimension, so that the sum over all strata does not converge. However, we know a priori that the stack of stable chains of fixed rank and degree is of finite type. In particular the convergence problem only stems from the fact that only finitely many strata will contain stable chains. We use this observation in some examples, in order to avoid this convergence problem.

In particular, we will explicitly work out the recursion formulas in the cases needed for our application to Higgs bundles of rank 4 and odd degree. In this case the stability parameter for the corresponding chains is $\alpha = (0, 2g - 2, ..., r(2g - 2))$, and since there are no strictly semistable Higgs bundles this stability parameter will not be a critical value. Thus we may as well replace α by α_+ such that $\alpha_+ - \alpha > 0$ is irrational and small. This will be helpful, because α might not be good for the spaces occurring in the Harder–Narasimhan strata.

We use this only to simplify our formulas. We could as well use α , but then we would have to include chains for which some of the maps are 0, which would increase the length of the formulas.

6.1. Stacks of semistable chains of rank (m, 1, ..., 1)

For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, m > 1 and degrees $\underline{d} = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_r)$ the stack $\mathcal{M}(m, 1, \dots, 1)_{\underline{d}}$ of chains such that all ϕ_i are non-zero is empty unless $d_r \leq d_{r-1} \leq \cdots \leq d_1$, and if this condition on \underline{d} holds we have (Remark 4.12)

$$[\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(m, 1, \dots, 1)_{\underline{d}}] = \mathbb{L}^{(d_0 - md_1) + m(1 - g)}[\operatorname{Bun}_m][\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}] \prod_{i=2}^{r} [C^{(d_i - d_{i-1})}].$$
(6.1)

Also the stack $\mathcal{M}(m, 1, ..., 1)_{\underline{d}}$ is stratified by the substacks defined by the condition $\phi_i = 0$ for $i \in I$ where $I \subset \{1, ..., r\}$. To specify a subset I is equivalent to the choice of an ordered partition $r + 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (r_i + 1)$, where the r_i are given by the length of the subchains with $\phi_i \neq 0$. Thus we find

$$[\mathcal{M}(m, 1, \dots, 1)_{\underline{d}}] = \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} \sum_{\substack{r_i \ge 0\\\sum_{i=1}^k r_i + 1 = r+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} [\mathcal{M}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{r_j + 1 \text{ times}})_{\underline{d}}] [\mathcal{M}(n, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{r_k \text{ times}})_{\underline{d}}].$$

Here the index <u>d</u> on the right hand side of the formula refers to the corresponding subset of the degrees d_i .

Example 6.1 (Rank (*m*, 1)).

$$[\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(m, 1)] = \mathbb{L}^{-m(g-1)+d_0-md_1}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][\operatorname{Bun}_m],$$
$$[\mathscr{M}(m, 1)] = (\mathbb{L}^{-m(g-1)+d_0-md_1} + 1)[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][\operatorname{Bun}_m].$$

Next, we want to apply the general recursive procedure in order to compute the class of $\mathcal{M}(m, 1, ..., 1)^{\alpha-ss}$. We have to study the Harder–Narasimhan strata, assuming that the stability parameter α satisfies $\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i \geq 2g - 2$ and we will furthermore assume that α is good.

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{M}(m, 1, ..., 1)_{\underline{d}}$ be a chain and let $(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{h} = \mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$ be its Harder– Narasimhan flag. Since α is good and the subquotients $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{i}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{i-1}$ are semistable we find that

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{i}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{i-1}) = \begin{cases} (m_{0}^{i}, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) & \text{if } m_{0}^{i} \neq 0, \\ (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) & \text{if } m_{0}^{i} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Removing the 0 constituents of the subquotient we see that any such subquotient is a chain of rank (m', 1, ..., 1) of possibly shorter length.

The type of the flag is therefore given by a partition $m_0^1 + \cdots + m_0^h = m_0$ with $m_0^i \ge 0$, given by the ranks of the subquotients $\mathcal{E}_0^i/\mathcal{E}_0^{i-1}$, a partition $r = r^1 + \cdots + r^h$ with $r^i \ge 0$ giving the length of the sequences of 1's in the rank of the subquotients together with an index l_i , specifying the starting index, i.e., we set $l_i := \min\{l \mid \mathcal{E}_l^i/\mathcal{E}_l^{i+1} \neq 0\}$ and

$$r_i := \begin{cases} \max\{l > 0 \mid \mathcal{E}_l^i / \mathcal{E}_l^{i+1} \neq 0\} - l_i + 1 & \text{if } \exists l > 0 \text{ such that } \mathcal{E}_l^i / \mathcal{E}_l^{i+1} \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

These data satisfy $l_i = 0$ if $n_0^i \neq 0$, and for all $1 \leq j \leq r$ there is exactly one index *i* such that $l_i \leq j < l_i + r_i$. Summing up, the Harder–Narasimhan strata are indexed by partitions of n_0 and *r* together with starting indices \underline{l} and a set of degrees \underline{d}^i such that $\underline{d} = \sum \underline{d}^i$.

In order to write down our formulas we use $\mathcal{M}(m, \underline{1}_k)$ to denote the stack of chains $\mathcal{E}_k \to \cdots \to \mathcal{E}_0$ of rank $(m, 1, \ldots, 1)$. For m = 0 it is isomorphic to the stack of chains $\mathcal{E}_{k-1} \to \cdots \to \mathcal{E}_0$ of rank $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

Further, for a type $(m_0^i, r_i, l_i, \underline{d}^i)$ of a Harder–Narasimhan stratum occurring in $\mathcal{M}(m, 1, ..., 1)_d$ we denote the corresponding stratum by $\mathcal{M}(m, \underline{1}_r)^{(m_0^i, r_i, l_i, \underline{d}^i)}$.

Proposition 6.2. Fix a degree \underline{d} and let α be a good stability parameter satisfying $\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i \geq 2g - 2$. Let $(m_0^i, r_i, l_i, \underline{d}^i)$ be the type of a Harder–Narasimhan stratum occurring in $\mathcal{M}(m, 1, ..., 1)_{\underline{d}}$. Then

$$[\mathcal{M}(m,\underline{1}_r)^{(m_0^i,r_i,l_i,\underline{d}^i)}] = \prod_{i< j} \mathbb{L}^{\chi_{ij}}[\mathcal{M}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}(m_0^i,\underline{1}_{r_i})][\mathcal{M}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}(m_0^j,1_{r_j})],$$

where χ_{ij} is the Euler characteristic computed in Proposition 4.8.

In particular this gives a recursive formula for

$$[\mathcal{M}(m,\underline{1}_r)^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}] = [\mathcal{M}(m,\underline{1}_r)] - \sum_{(m_0^i,r_i,l_i,\underline{d}^i) \ HN-type} [\mathcal{M}(m,\underline{1}_r)^{(m_0^i,r_i,l_i,\underline{d}^i)}]$$

Remark 6.3. In the same way one can obtain a recursion formula for the space of semistable chains of rank $(m_0, 1, ..., 1, m_r)$ for any $m_0, m_r \ge 1$ with any $r \ge 2$. Let us evaluate this recursion formula for m = 2 and m = 3. After tensoring with a fixed line bundle we may assume that d = 1 in both cases:

Example 6.4 (Rank (2, 1)). Let $\alpha = (0, \sigma)$ be a good stability parameter with $\sigma \ge 2g - 2$.

(1) $\mathcal{M}(2, 1)_{d_0, 0}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}$ is empty unless $\sigma/2 \le d_0 \le 2\sigma$. If these inequalities hold then

$$\begin{split} [\mathcal{M}(2,1)_{d_{0},0}^{\alpha\text{-ss}}] &= [\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(2,1)_{d_{0},0}] - \sum_{l=\lceil (2d_{0}-\sigma)/3\rceil}^{\infty} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{2} [C^{(l)}] \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_{0}-2l} \\ &- \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil (2d_{0}-\sigma)/3\rceil-1} [C^{(l)}] \mathbb{L}^{l} \\ &= [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil (2d_{0}-\sigma)/3\rceil-1} [C^{(l)}] (\mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_{0}-2l} - \mathbb{L}^{l}). \end{split}$$

(2) $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(2, 1)_{d_0,0}^{\mu_{\min}>h}$ is empty unless $\min\{\sigma, d_0, (d_0 + \sigma)/3\} > h$. If this holds then

$$\begin{split} [\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(2,1)_{d_{0},0}^{\mu_{\min}>h}] &= [\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(2,1)_{d_{0},0}] - \sum_{l=\lceil d_{0}-h\rceil}^{\infty} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{2} [C^{(l)}] \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_{0}-2l} - \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor 2h-\sigma \rfloor} [C^{(l)}] \mathbb{L}^{l} \\ &= [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{2} \Big(\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil d_{0}-h\rceil-1} [C^{(l)}] \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_{0}-2l} - \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor 2h-\sigma \rfloor} [C^{(l)}] \mathbb{L}^{l} \Big). \end{split}$$

Proof. To prove (1) first note that since α is good for any semistable triple $\mathcal{E}_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathcal{E}_0$ the map ϕ_1 is non-trivial. Therefore \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} contains $0 \to \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_1$ as subtriples. Thus there are no semistable triples unless $d_0/2 \le (d_0 + \sigma)/3 \Leftrightarrow d_0 \le 2\sigma$ and $\sigma/2 \le (d_0 + \sigma)/3 \Leftrightarrow \sigma/2 \le d_0$. This proves the bounds on d_0 .

Let us now list the Harder–Narasimhan strata according to the rank of the last step $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} := \mathcal{E}^{h-1}_{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$. Since $\mathcal{M}(2, 1)^{\alpha-ss}_{d_0,0} \subset \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(2, 1)$ we only need to determine the intersection of the Harder–Narasimhan strata with $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(2, 1)$. We write $\underline{d}' := \deg(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$.

Type (1, 1). The bounds on the degree are

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow \frac{d'_0 + \sigma}{2} > \frac{d_0 + \sigma}{3} \Leftrightarrow d'_0 > \frac{2d_0 - \sigma}{3}.$$

In this case the Harder–Narasimhan stratum is one of the strata $\mathcal{M}(2, 1)_l$. Its class is $\mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+d_0-2d'_0}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2[C^{(d'_0)}]$. Thus the sum over all these strata is

$$\sum_{l=d_0'>(2d_0-\sigma)/3} \mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+d_0-2l} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(l)}]$$

Type (1, 0). $\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$ implies $d'_0 > (d_0 + \sigma)/3$. The quotient $\mathcal{E}_1 \xrightarrow{\phi'} \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{E}'_0$ has to be semistable. This implies $\phi'' \neq 0$ and $0 \leq d_0 - d'_0 < \sigma$. Here the second condition is implied by $(d_0 + \sigma)/3 < d'_0$, since $d_0 \leq 2\sigma$. The dimension of $\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$ is $d_0 - d'_0$.

Thus the class of a stratum is $\mathbb{L}^{d_0-d'_0}[\underline{\text{Pic}}]^2[C^{(d_0-d'_0)}]$ and putting $l = d'_0 - \lfloor (d_0 + \sigma)/3 \rfloor$ we find that the sum over all strata is

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil (2d_0-\sigma)/3\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^l[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2[C^{(l)}].$$

This proves (1).

For the second part we only have to adjust the inequalities. First, any triple has the quotients $\mathcal{E}_1 \to 0$ and $0 \to \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{E}_1$. This implies that there are no triples with $\mu_{\min} > h$ unless $\sigma > h$ and $d_0 > h$. Also we need $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) > h$. Moreover the Harder–Narasimhan strata of rank (1, 1) are in $\mathcal{M}(2, 1)^{\mu_{\min} > h}$ unless $d_0 - d'_0 \le h$, i.e., $d'_0 \ge d_0 - h$. For rank (1, 0) we only need to discard the strata with $(d_0 - d'_0 + \sigma)/2 \le h$, i.e., $d_0 - d'_0 \le 2h - \sigma$. This proves (2).

Remark 6.5. Using Example 1.3 we can read off the Hodge polynomial of $\mathcal{M}(2, 1)^{ss}$ from the above formula and thereby obtain a rather short proof of the main result of [35].

Example 6.6 (Rank (3, 1)). Let $\alpha = (0, \sigma)$ be a good stability parameter satisfying $\sigma \ge 2g - 2$. Then the space $\mathcal{M}(3, 1)^{\alpha-ss}$ is empty unless $\sigma \le d_0 \le 3\sigma$. If these inequalities hold, we have

$$\begin{split} [\mathcal{M}(3,1)_{d_{0},0}^{\alpha\text{-ss}}] &= [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][\operatorname{Bun}_{3}]\mathbb{L}^{d_{0}-3(g-1)} \\ &- [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{2}[\operatorname{Bun}_{2}] \left(\frac{\mathbb{L}^{2d_{0}-2\lfloor(3d_{0}-\sigma)/4\rfloor}}{(\mathbb{L}^{2}-1)} + \sum_{l=\lfloor(d_{0}+\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma/2\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-3l+2d_{0}-(g-1)} \right) \\ &- [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][\operatorname{Bun}_{2}] \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil (d_{0}-\sigma)/2\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{2l}[C^{(l)}] + \sum_{l=\lfloor(d_{0}-\sigma)/2\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-3l+d_{0}+2g-2}[C^{(l)}] \right) \\ &+ [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3} \left(\sum_{k=\lfloor(d_{0}-\sigma)/2\rfloor+1}^{\infty} [C^{(k)}]\mathbb{L}^{-2k} \sum_{l=\lfloor(3d_{0}-\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{2d_{0}+3g-3-2l} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=\lfloor(d_{0}-\sigma)/2\rfloor+1}^{\infty} [C^{(k)}]\mathbb{L}^{-2k} \sum_{l=\lfloor(d_{0}+\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma/2)} \mathbb{L}^{2g-2+2d_{0}-3l} \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil (d_{0}-\sigma)/2\rceil-1} [C^{(k)}]\mathbb{L}^{-2k} \sum_{l=\lfloor(3d_{0}-\sigma)/4\rfloor-k+1}^{2g-2+2d_{0}-3l} \mathbb{L}^{2g-2+2d_{0}-3l} \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil (d_{0}-\sigma)/2\rceil-1} [C^{(k)}]\mathbb{L}^{k} \sum_{l=\lfloor(3d_{0}-\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_{0}-2l} \right). \end{split}$$

Proof. This is a bit tedious, but not difficult. As before, since α is good for any semistable triple $\mathcal{E}_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathcal{E}_0$, the map ϕ_1 is non-trivial. Therefore \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} contains $0 \to \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_1$ as subtriples. Thus there are no semistable triples unless $d_0/3 \le (d_0 + \sigma)/4 \Leftrightarrow d_0 \le 3\sigma$ and $\sigma/2 \le (d_0 + \sigma)/4 \Leftrightarrow \sigma \le d_0$. This proves the bounds on d_0 .

We will group the Harder–Narasimhan strata according to the rank of the last step of the HN-filtration $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} := \mathcal{E}^{h-1}_{\bullet} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$. In particular $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ must be semistable. We write $\deg(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) =: \underline{d}'$. Finally, to add the contributions of the Harder–Narasimhan strata we will—as in the computation of $[\operatorname{Bun}_n^{d,ss}]$ —write each stratum as

(extensions of all chains) – (contribution of the unstable locus).

As in the case of vector bundles, there are cancellations between unstable contributions of different strata. Thus, it will be useful to use the same parameterizations in each occurrence.

Type (2, 1). The bounds on the degree are

C

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') < \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d_0 - d_0' < \frac{d_0 + \sigma}{4} \Leftrightarrow d_0' > \frac{3d_0 - \sigma}{4}$$

and $\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = d_0 - d'_0$. By Proposition 4.8 we have

$$\dim \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}',\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') = 2(g-1) - d_0' + 2(d_0 - d_0') = 2d_0 - 3d_0' + 2g - 2.$$

From Example 6.4 we know that the conditions on $\mathcal{M}(2, 1)_{d'_0, 0}^{\mu_{\min} > d_0 - d'_0}$ to be non-empty are $\min\{\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}), \mu(\mathcal{E}_1 \to 0), \mu(0 \to \mathcal{E}'_0/\mathcal{E}_1)\} > d_0 - d'_0 = \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$. This condition is automatically satisfied for the first two terms, because their slope is $> \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$, and it also holds for the last because $\mu(0 \to \mathcal{E}'_0/\mathcal{E}_1) \le \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) < \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$ implies that $\mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_1$ is destabilizing, which we excluded. Thus the contribution of the strata is

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l_0'=\lfloor(3d_0-\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{2d_0-3d_0'+2g-2}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(2,1)_{d_0',0}^{\mu_{\min}>d_0-d_0'}] \\ \stackrel{(6.4)}{=} \sum_{k=\lfloor(3d_0-\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{2d_0+2g-2-3k}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(2,1)_{k,0}] \\ -\sum_{k=\lfloor(3d_0-\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=2k-d_0}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{2d_0+3g-3-2k-2l}[C^{(l)}][\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^3 \\ -\sum_{k=\lfloor(3d_0-\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{2d_0-2k-\sigma} \mathbb{L}^{2d_0+2g-2-3k+l}[C^{(l)}][\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^3 \\ \stackrel{(6.1)}{=} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{2d_0}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2[\underline{\operatorname{Bun}}_2]}{(\mathbb{L}^2-1)\mathbb{L}^2\lfloor^{(3d_0-\sigma)/4\rfloor}} \\ -\sum_{k=\lfloor(d_0-\sigma)/2\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=\lfloor(3d_0-\sigma)/4\rfloor+1-k}^{\lfloor(d_0-k)/2\rfloor} \mathbb{L}^{2d_0+3g-3-4k-2l}[C^{(k)}][\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^3 \\ -\sum_{k=0}^{\lceil(d_0-\sigma)/2\rceil-1} \sum_{l=\lfloor(3d_0-\sigma)/4\rfloor+1-k}^{\lfloor(d_0-k)/2\rfloor-1} \mathbb{L}^{2d_0+2g-2-3l-2k}[C^{(k)}][\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^3. \end{split}$$

(In the second step we substituted $l \rightarrow k, k \rightarrow l + k$.)

Type (2, 0). The bounds of the degrees are

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') < \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') \Leftrightarrow \frac{d_0 - d_0' + \sigma}{2} < \frac{d_0'}{2} \Leftrightarrow d_0' > \frac{d_0 + \sigma}{2}.$$

Further $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ must be semistable, so we need $0 \le d_0 - d'_0 \le \sigma \Leftrightarrow d_0 - \sigma \le d'_0 \le d_0$. Note that $d_0 - \sigma \le (d_0 + \sigma)/2 \Leftrightarrow d_0 \le 3\sigma$ is automatic, so that we end up with

$$\frac{d_0+\sigma}{2} < d_0' \le d_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') > \frac{d_0-d_0'+\sigma}{2}.$$

We have

 $\dim \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = 2(g-1) + 2(d_0 - d'_0) - d'_0 - (2(g-1) - d'_0) = 2d_0 - 2d'_0.$

Thus the sum over the strata contributes $(l := d_0 - d'_0)$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil (d_0-\sigma)/2\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{2l}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][C^{(l)}][\operatorname{Bun}_2^{d_0-l,\mu_{\min}>(l+\sigma)/2}]$$

$$\stackrel{(3.4)}{=} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][\operatorname{Bun}_2] \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil (d_0-\sigma)/2\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{2l}[C^{(l)}]$$

$$- [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^3 \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil (d_0-\sigma/2)\rceil-1} \sum_{k=\lfloor d_0-(3l+\sigma)/2\rfloor+1}^{\infty} [C^{(l)}]\mathbb{L}^{d_0+l-2k+g-1}.$$

Type (1, 1). The bounds on the degree are

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') < \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') \iff \frac{d_0 - d_0'}{2} < \frac{d_0' + \sigma}{2} \iff d_0' > \frac{d_0 - \sigma}{2}.$$

Furthermore we need $\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow \min\{(d'_0 + \sigma)/2, \sigma\} > (d_0 - d'_0)/2$. The condition $\sigma > (d_0 - d'_0)/2$ is automatic, because $d_0 - 2\sigma - (d_0 - \sigma)/2 = (d_0 - 3\sigma)/2 \le 0$. Thus the only condition is

$$d_0' > \frac{d_0 - \sigma}{2}.$$

The space of extensions is of dimension $2g - 2 + d_0 - 3d'_0$. Thus the contribution of the strata is

$$\sum_{l=\lfloor (d_0-\sigma)/2 \rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{2g-2+d_0-3l}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][C^{(l)}][\operatorname{Bun}_2^{d_0-l,\operatorname{ss}}]$$

$$\stackrel{(3.4)}{=} \mathbb{L}^{2g-2+d_0}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][\operatorname{Bun}_2] \sum_{l=\lfloor (d_0-\sigma)/2 \rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-3l}[C^{(l)}]$$

$$-\sum_{l=\lfloor (d_0-\sigma)/2 \rfloor+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=\lfloor (d_0-l)/2 \rfloor+1}^{\infty} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^3[C^{(l)}]\mathbb{L}^{3g-3+2d_0-4l-2k}$$

Type (1, 0). The bounds on the degree are

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') < \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') \Leftrightarrow d_0' > \frac{d_0 - d_0' + \sigma}{3} \Leftrightarrow d_0' > \frac{d_0 + \sigma}{4}.$$

Also $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ must be semistable. By Example 6.4 the space of these semistable triples is non-empty only if $\sigma/2 \leq d_0 - d'_0 \leq 2\sigma$ or equivalently $d_0 - 2\sigma \leq d'_0 \leq d_0 - \sigma/2$. However $d_0 - 2\sigma > (d_0 + \sigma)/4 \Leftrightarrow d_0 > 3\sigma$, which we already discarded. Thus we find the condition

$$\frac{d_0 + \sigma}{4} < d'_0 \le d_0 - \frac{\sigma}{2}.$$

Finally dim $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = 2(g-1) + d_0 - 3d'_0 - (g-1-d'_0) = g-1 + d_0 - 2d'_0$. Thus the contribution of the strata is

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+d_{0}}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}] & \sum_{l=\lfloor(d_{0}+\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma/2\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-2l}[\mathcal{M}(2,1)_{d_{0}-l,0}^{\alpha-ss}] \\ &= \mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+d_{0}}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}] \sum_{l=\lfloor(d_{0}+\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma/2\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-2l}[\mathcal{M}(2,1)_{d_{0}-l,0}] \\ &- \sum_{l=\lfloor(d_{0}+\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma/2\rceil-1} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3} \sum_{k=\lceil (2d_{0}-2l-\sigma)/3\rceil}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{2g-2+2d_{0}-3l-2k}[C^{(k)}] \\ &- \sum_{l=\lfloor(d_{0}+\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma/2\rceil-1} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil (2d_{0}-2l-\sigma)/3\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_{0}-2l+k}[C^{(k)}] \\ &= \mathbb{L}^{2d_{0}-(g-1)}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{2}[\operatorname{Bun}_{2}] \sum_{l=\lfloor(d_{0}+\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma/2\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-3l} \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=\lfloor\max\{(d_{0}+\sigma)/4,d_{0}-(3k+\sigma)/2\rfloor]+1}^{q_{0}-\sigma/2} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3} \mathbb{L}^{2g-2+2d_{0}-3l-2k}[C^{(k)}] \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil (d_{0}-\sigma)/2\rceil-1} \sum_{l=\lfloor(d_{0}+\sigma)/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-(3k+\sigma)/2\rfloor]-1} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3} \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_{0}-2l+k}[C^{(k)}]. \end{split}$$

Adding up the above terms we find the claimed formula.

Example 6.7 (Chains of rank (2, 1, 1)). Assume for simplicity that the stability parameter is of the form $\alpha = (0, \sigma, 2\sigma)$, that α is good (e.g., σ is irrational) with $\sigma \ge 2g - 2$ and \underline{d} is such that $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{M}(2, 1, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\alpha-\text{ss}}$ is empty unless

$$d_2 \le d_1, d_0 < 2\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}), \quad d_0 + d_1 < 3\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) - \sigma, \quad d_2 + 2d_1 < 3\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) - 3\sigma.$$

If these inequalities hold then

$$[\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{\underline{d}}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}] = [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(d_1-d_2)}] \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil d_0-d_1-\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})\rceil-1} (\mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_0-2d_1-2l} - \mathbb{L}^l) [C^{(l)}]$$

Proof. Every chain $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \in \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(2, 1, 1)$ has subchains $(0 \to 0 \to \mathcal{E}_0)$ of slope $d_0/2$, $(0 \to \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_0)$ of slope $\frac{d_0+d_1+\sigma}{3}$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_1)$ of slope $\frac{d_2+2d_1+3\sigma}{3}$. This proves the claimed inequalities.

If the inequalities hold, we already excluded destabilizing subchains of rank (2, 1, 0), (2, 0, 0) and (1, 1, 0).

If $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} = \mathcal{E}^{h-1}_{\bullet}$ is of rank (1, 1, 1) we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') = d_0 - d_0' < \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d_0' - d_1 > d_0 - d_1 - \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}')$$

The last inequality is automatic, since the quotients of \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} are $(\mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow 0)$, which are of slope > $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$. A Harder–Narasimhan stratum of this type contributes

$$\mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_0-2d_1-2(d'_0-d_1)}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2[C^{(d_1-d_2)}][C^{(d'_0-d_1)}]$$

For $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{h-1}$ to be of rank (1, 0, 0) we need $d'_0 > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$ and the quotient $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ has to be semistable. This holds if and only if $d_1 \leq d_0 - d'_0$, because the quotients $(\mathcal{E}_2 \to 0 \to 0)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_1 \to 0)$ are of slope $\geq \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$. Thus we find

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) < d'_0 \le d_0 - d_1.$$

Finally dim $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = d_0 - d_1 - d'_0$. So the class of such a stratum is

$$[\operatorname{Pic}]^{2}[C^{(d_{1}-d_{2})}][C^{(d_{0}-d_{0}'-d_{1})}]\mathbb{L}^{d_{0}-d_{1}-d_{0}'}]$$

Thus using 6.1 we find

$$[\mathcal{M}(2, 1, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\alpha-ss}] = [\mathcal{M}(2, 1, 1)_{\underline{d}}] - \sum [\text{Harder-Narasimhan strata}]$$

$$= \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_0-2d_1}[\underline{\text{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(d_1-d_2)}] \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} [C^{(l)}] \mathbb{L}^{-2l}$$

$$- \mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_0-2d_1}[\underline{\text{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(d_1-d_2)}] \sum_{l>d_0-d_1-\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})} [C^{(l)}] \mathbb{L}^{-2l}$$

$$- [\underline{\text{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(d_1-d_2)}] \sum_{l>\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})} \mathbb{L}^{d_0-d_1-l} [C^{(d_0-d_1-l)}]$$

$$= [\underline{\text{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(d_1-d_2)}] \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil d_0-d_1-\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})\rceil-1} (\mathbb{L}^{g-1+d_0-2d_1-2l} - \mathbb{L}^l) [C^{(l)}]. \quad \Box$$

Example 6.8 (Rank (1,1,1,1)). $\mathcal{M}(1, 1, 1, 1)^{\underline{\alpha}$ -ss for $\alpha = (0, \sigma, 2\sigma, 3\sigma)$ is non-empty if and only if:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1) & d_0 \geq d_1 \geq d_2 \geq d_3, \\ (2) & d_0 + d_1 + d_2 \leq 3d_3 + 6\sigma, \\ (3) & d_0 + d_1 \leq d_2 + d_3 + 4\sigma, \\ (4) & 3d_0 \leq d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + 6\sigma. \end{array}$

If these conditions hold, and α is non-critical, then

$$[\mathcal{M}(1, 1, 1, 1)^{\sigma-\text{ss}}] = [\text{Pic}][C^{(d_0-d_1)}][C^{(d_1-d_2)}][C^{(d_2-d_3)}]$$

Proof. The first condition is a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{M}(1, 1, 1, 1)$ to be non-empty. The possible subchains of \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} are $0 \to \mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_0, 0 \to 0 \to \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_0$ and $0 \to \cdots \to \mathcal{E}_0$. These give the other conditions.

The second part follows immediately from the first, since in this case all chains in $\mathcal{M}(1, 1, 1, 1)$ are semistable and this stack classifies chains of line bundles with non-zero maps between them.

6.2. Chains of rank (n, ..., n) for $d_{i-1} - d_i < \alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}$

In this section we give another case where we can obtain an inductive formula for any rank. For our application to Higgs bundles of rank 4 we only need the case of chains of rank (2, 2), but this has a natural generalization for chains of rank (n, ..., n), which does not require an extra effort. We therefore formulate the result in the more general case.

The following proposition improves [9, Proposition 6.4] and also extends the result to chains.

Proposition 6.9. Fix $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and write $\underline{n} = (n, ..., n)$. Fix a degree $\underline{d} = (d_0, ..., d_r)$ with $d_r \leq d_{r-1} \leq \cdots \leq d_0$ and $\underline{\alpha}$ a stability parameter. Suppose that for all i > 0 we have $d_{i-1} - d_i < \alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}$.

- (1) For any α semistable chain of rank <u>n</u> and degree <u>d</u> all maps φ_i are injective, i.e., *M*(<u>n</u>)^{<u>α</u>-ss}_{<u>d</u>} ⊂ *M*(<u>n</u>)^{gen-surj}_{<u>d</u>}.

 (2) Suppose *E*_• ∈ *M*(<u>n</u>)^{gen-surj}_{<u>d</u>} is a chain with Harder–Narasimhan flag *E*¹_• ⊂ ··· ⊂
- (2) Suppose $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})^{\text{gen-surj}}_{\underline{d}}$ is a chain with Harder–Narasimhan flag $\mathcal{E}^{1}_{\bullet} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}^{h}_{\bullet} = \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$. Then for any j we have $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}^{j}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}^{j-1}_{\bullet}) = (m_{j}, \ldots, m_{j})$ for some $m_{j} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. To show (1) suppose \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} was a semistable chain with $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{ker}(\phi_i)) = m < n$ for some *i*. Then $\mathcal{K}_{\bullet} = (\cdots 0 \to \operatorname{ker}(\phi_i) \to 0 \cdots)$ and $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} := (\mathcal{E}_r \to \cdots \to \mathcal{E}_i \to \mathcal{E}_i / \operatorname{ker}(\phi_i) \to \mathcal{E}_{i-2} \to \cdots \to \mathcal{E}_0)$ are subchains of \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} . Denote deg(ker(ϕ_i)) =: *k*. Thus we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{K}_{\bullet}) = \frac{k}{m} + \alpha_i \le \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) = \frac{\sum_j d_j + n \sum \alpha_j}{(r+1)n}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (r+1)nk \le m \sum_j d_j + mn \sum \alpha_j - m(r+1)n\alpha_i$$

and

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = \frac{\sum_{j \neq i-1} d_j + d_i - k + n \sum \alpha_j - m\alpha_{i-1}}{(r+1)n - m} \le \frac{\sum_j d_j + n \sum \alpha_j}{(r+1)n} \\ \Leftrightarrow (r+1)n(d_i - d_{i-1} - m\alpha_{i-1}) + m\left(\sum_j d_j + n \sum_j \alpha_j\right) \le (r+1)nk$$

This implies

$$(r+1)n(d_i - d_{i-1} - m\alpha_{i-1}) \le -m(r+1)n\alpha_i \Leftrightarrow \alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1} \le \frac{d_{i-1} - d_i}{m}$$

This contradicts our assumption.

The proof of (2) is by induction. Suppose $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} = \mathcal{E}^i_{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$ was a destabilizing subchain

such that not all \mathcal{E}_k^i have equal rank. We will denote by $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'' := \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'$ the quotient chain. By assumption all maps ϕ_i' are injective, so that $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') = \underline{n}'$ with $n_r' \leq \cdots \leq n_0' \leq n$.

Let *i* be the minimal integer such that $n'_i < n'_{i-1}$. Then $\mathcal{K}_{\bullet} := (0 \to \cdots \to \ker(\phi''_i) \to 0 \to \cdots)$ is a subchain of \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} and $\mathcal{Q}_{\bullet} := (\cdots 0 \to \mathcal{E}'_{i-1}/\mathcal{E}'_i \to 0 \cdots)$ is a quotient of \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} . Thus we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{Q}_{\bullet}) \ge \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu_{\max}(\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}) \ge \mu(\mathcal{K}_{\bullet})$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d'_{i-1} - d'_i}{n'_{i-1} - n'_i} + \alpha_{i-1} > \mu(\mathcal{K}_{\bullet}) &\geq \frac{d''_i - d''_{i-1}}{n''_i - n''_{i-1}} + \alpha_i \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{d'_{i-1} - d'_i}{n'_{i-1} - n'_i} + \alpha_{i-1} > \frac{d_i - d_{i-1} + d'_{i-1} - d'_i}{n'_{i-1} - n'_i} + \alpha_i \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{d_{i-1} - d_i}{n'_{i-1} - n'_i} > \alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which again contradicts our assumption.

This proposition allows us to deduce the following recursion formula for the motive of $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_d^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}$:

Corollary 6.10. Let $\underline{n} = (n, ..., n)$ be constant. If $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{d}$ satisfy $d_{i-1} - d_i < \alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}$ for all i > 0 then

$$[\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}] = [\mathrm{Bun}_{n}^{d_{0}}] \prod_{i=1}^{r} [\mathrm{Sym}^{d_{i-1}-d_{i}}(C \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})] - \Big(\sum_{\underline{m},\underline{e},\underline{k}} \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{k< j} \chi_{kj}} \prod_{j} [\mathcal{M}(\underline{m}_{j})_{\underline{e_{j}}}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}]\Big),$$

where the sum runs over all partitions $n = \sum_{j=1}^{l} m_j$, $\underline{d} = \sum_j \underline{e}^{(j)}$ such that for all i, jwe have $e_i^{(j)} \leq e_{i-1}^{(j)}$ and for $\mu_j := \sum_i e_i^{(j)} / (rm_j)$ we have $\mu_1 > \cdots > \mu_l$. We have written $\chi_{kj} = m_j m_k (g-1) + \sum_{i=0}^r (m_k e_i^{(j)} - m_j e_i^{(k)}) - \sum_{i=1}^r (m_k e_i^j - m_j e_{i-1}^{(k)})$.

Proof. From Proposition 6.9 we know that under our assumption on α all semistable chains are contained in the substack of chains such that the ϕ_i have full rank and moreover for any such chain all subquotients of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration also satisfy this condition.

Thus we have

$$\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}} = \mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\mathrm{gen-surj}} - \bigcup$$
 Harder–Narasimhan strata

The Harder-Narasimhan strata are given by rank and degrees as claimed. Since in all occurring subquotients the morphisms ϕ are injective, we can apply Proposition 4.4 to conclude that these strata are (iterated) vector bundle stacks over $\prod_i \mathcal{M}(\underline{m}^{(j)})_{e^{(j)}}^{\alpha-ss}$. The dimension of the fibres are

$$\dim \underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}^{j}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}^{j-1}_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}^{k}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}^{k-1}_{\bullet}) = m_{j}m_{k}(g-1) + \sum_{i=0}^{r}(m_{k}e^{(j)}_{i} - m_{j}e^{(k)}_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{r}(m_{k}e^{j}_{i} - m_{j}e^{(k)}_{i-1}).$$

This proves the corollary.

For the computation of rank 4 Higgs bundles we will only need the following special case:

Corollary 6.11. Let $\underline{d} = (d_0, 0)$ with $d_0 < \alpha_1 - \alpha_0$ and d_0 odd. Then

$$[\mathcal{M}(2,2)_{(d_0,0)}^{\alpha\text{-ss}}] = [\operatorname{Bun}_2^{d_0}][(C \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{(d_0)}] - \frac{\mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+(d_0-1)/2}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1}} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 \frac{[(2C)^{(d_0)}]}{2}.$$

Proof. A subtriple $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$ is destabilizing if and only if it is of rank (1, 1) and its degree satisfies:

(1) $\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d'_0 + d'_1 > d_0/2.$ (2) \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} is semistable, so $0 \le d'_0 - d'_1 \le \alpha_1 - \alpha_0.$ (3) $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ is semistable, so $d_0 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 \le d'_0 - d'_1 \le d_0.$

Since $d_0 < \alpha_1 - \alpha_0$ we find $0 \le d'_0 - d'_1 \le d_0$. The dimension of $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})$ is $(g-1) + d_0 - d'_0 - d'_1$. Thus the sum over all Harder–Narasimhan strata of rank (1, 1) is

$$[\mathcal{M}(2,2)_{(1,1)}] = \sum_{k>d_0/2} \sum_{l=0}^{d_0} \mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+d_0-k} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(l)}] [C^{(d_0-l))}]$$

=
$$\sum_{k=(d_0+1)/2} \mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+d_0-k} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 \sum_{e=0}^{(d_0-1)/2} [C^{(e)}] [C^{(d_0-e)}]$$

=
$$\frac{\mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+(d_0-1)/2}}{1-\mathbb{L}^{-1}} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 \frac{[(2C)^{(d_0)}]}{2}.$$

Therefore we find

$$[\mathcal{M}(2,2)_{(d_0,0)}^{\alpha\text{-ss}}] = [\operatorname{Bun}_2^{d_0}][(C \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{(d_0)}] - \frac{\mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+(d_0-1)/2}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1}} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 \frac{[(2C)^{(d_0)}]}{2},$$

which is the claimed formula.

6.3. Chains of rank (2, 2) for $d_0 - d_1 > \alpha_1 - \alpha_0$

To complete the computation for the class of the space of Higgs bundles of rank 4 it remains to compute the class of the space of semistable chains of rank (2, 2) in case $d_0 - d_1 > \alpha_1 - \alpha_0$ and the class of the stack of semistable chains of rank (1, 2, 1). In both situations the stack of all chains of fixed degree does not have a class in \hat{K}_0 (Var). In this section we will show how to handle this problem for chains of rank (2, 2). The case of rank (1, 2, 1), which is a bit simpler, will be done in the next section.

For this section we fix $\alpha = (0, \sigma)$. We will only need to consider chains such that $d_0 + d_1$ is odd. Since we may dualize and tensor with line bundles, we may therefore assume $d_1 = 0$ and $d_0 > \sigma$ odd. In that case we may also assume that $d_0 < 2\sigma$ since otherwise for every chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} the subchain \mathcal{E}_0 is destabilizing. Also, in order to simplify one formula (type $(1, 1) \subset (2, 2)$ below) we assume that $\lfloor \sigma \rfloor$ is even, which will be satisfied in our application to Higgs bundles.

First let us explicitly compute the stratification by generic rank given in Proposition 4.13 in the case of rank (2, 2). Let us write $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{(d_0,0)}^{1,k,l}$ for the space of chains $\mathcal{E}_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{E}_0$ such that $\mathrm{rk}(\phi) = 1$, $\mathrm{deg}(\mathrm{ker}(\phi)) = k$, $\mathrm{deg}(\phi(\mathcal{E}_1)^{\mathrm{sat}}) = l$.

Lemma 6.12.

$$[\mathcal{M}(2,2)_{(d_0,0)}^{1,k,l}] = [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^3 [C^{(l+k)}] \mathbb{L}^{2(g-1)+d_0-2k-2l}.$$

In particular for fixed d_0 this class only depends on k + l. It is non-zero if $k + l \ge 0$.

This implies that the sum over all possible k, l does not converge, so that $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)$ does not define a class in $\widehat{K}_0(\text{Var})$. However, if either k or l is large, then we see that all triples in $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{1,k,l}$ will be unstable, since either $\ker(\phi) \to 0$ or $\mathcal{E}_1 \to \text{Im}(\phi)^{\text{sat}}$ will be a destabilizing subchain. More precisely $\ker(\phi) \to 0$ is destabilizing if $k + \sigma > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 \to \text{Im}(\phi)^{\text{sat}}$ is destabilizing if $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) > d_0 - l$.

We will therefore define the following open substack of $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)$:

$$\mathcal{M}(2,2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}} := \mathcal{M}(2,2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{gen-surj}} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{(k,l): 0 \le k+l \\ k+\sigma < \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) < d_0-l}} \mathcal{M}(2,2)_{\underline{d}}^{1,k,l}.$$

From Lemma 6.12 we see that in this stack the class of $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)^{1,k,l}$ occurs at most for $0 \le k + l < d_0 - \sigma$. For fixed value of k + l = m in this range there are $\lfloor d_0/4 - \sigma/2 \rfloor + \lfloor 3d_0/4 - \sigma/2 \rfloor - m + 1$ such strata. Thus this stack does have a well-defined class in \widehat{K}_0 (Var) which by Lemma 6.12 and Proposition 3.6 is

$$[\mathcal{M}(2,2)^{\text{fin}}_{\underline{d}}]$$

= $[\operatorname{Bun}_{2}^{d_{0}}][(C \times \mathbb{P}^{1})^{(d_{0})}] + [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3} \mathbb{L}^{2(g-1)+d_{0}} \sum_{m=0}^{\lceil d-\sigma \rceil - 1} (d - \lfloor \sigma \rfloor - m) \mathbb{L}^{-2m}[C^{(m)}].$

Finally denote $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)^{\text{out}}_{\underline{d}} := \mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{\underline{d}} - \mathcal{M}(2, 2)^{\text{fin}}_{\underline{d}}$. This is the substack of triples such that either ker(ϕ) \rightarrow 0 or $\mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi)^{\text{sat}}$ is a destabilizing subtriple.

Next we compute the Harder–Narasimhan strata such that the Harder–Narasimhan flag does not contain triples of rank (0, 1) or (1, 2), since only these can intersect $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$. Also destabilizing subtriples of rank (2, 0) cannot occur by our assumption $d_0 < 2\sigma$. We will denote the Harder–Narasimhan flags by $\cdots \subset \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$ and $d'_i = \deg(\mathcal{E}'_i)$ etc.

As before, we group the strata according to the rank of the Harder–Narasimhan flag. For each rank we will first compute the bounds on the degrees given by the characterizing property of the Harder–Narasimhan flag. Then we compute the dimension of the <u>Ext</u>-space from Proposition 4.8. Finally we compute the intersection of the stratum with $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_d^{\text{out}}$.

Type $(2, 1) \subset (2, 2)$. The bounds on the degree <u>d'</u> are

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') < \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow -d_1' + \sigma < \frac{d_0}{4} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \Leftrightarrow d_1' > \frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{d_0}{4}.$$

In order to have $\mathcal{M}(2, 1)_{(d_0, d_1')}^{\alpha-ss} \neq \emptyset$ we need (Example 6.4) $d_1' < d_0/2 - \sigma/4$. Thus we find the bounds

$$\frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{d_0}{4} < d_1' < \frac{d_0}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4}.$$

By Proposition 4.8 we have dim $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') = d_0 - (g - 1).$

We claim that strata of this type are contained in $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$: Since \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} is semistable the morphism ϕ' is not zero, so that $\ker(\phi) \to 0$ injects into $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$. Thus $\ker(\phi)$ cannot be destabilizing. Also if $\operatorname{rank}(\phi) = 1$ then $0 \to \mathcal{E}_0/\operatorname{Im}(\phi)$ is a quotient of \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} , so it is of slope $\geq \mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$, hence it cannot be a destabilizing quotient. Thus these strata contribute

$$\sum_{d_1'=\lfloor\sigma/2-d_0/4\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor d_0-(g-1)} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}][\mathcal{M}(2,1)_{d_0,d_1'}^{\alpha-\operatorname{ss}}].$$

Type $(1, 1) \subset (2, 1) \subset (2, 2)$. The bounds on the degrees are

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow \frac{d''_0 + d'_1 + \sigma}{2} > d_0 - d''_0 \Leftrightarrow d''_0 - d'_1 > \frac{2d_0 - 4d'_1 - \sigma}{3}$$

and

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d_0 - d_0'' > -d_1' + \sigma \Leftrightarrow d_0'' - d_1' < d_0 - \sigma.$$

Thus we find

$$\frac{2d_0 - 4d'_1 - \sigma}{3} < d''_0 - d'_1 < d_0 - \sigma,$$

and in particular $d'_1 > \sigma/2 - d_0/4$.

Semistability of \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'' implies furthermore $0 \le d_0'' - d_1' \le \sigma$. Since $d_0 < 2\sigma$ the right hand inequality follows from the first set of inequalities. Also $(2d_0 - 4d_1' - \sigma)/3 < 0 \Leftrightarrow d_0/2 - \sigma/4 < d_1'$.

We have dim $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = (g-1) + d_0 - 2d''_0$ and as in the previous stratum dim $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = d_0 - (g-1)$. Thus the contribution of the strata is

$$\Big(\sum_{d_1'=\lfloor \sigma/2 - d_0/4 \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor d_0/2 - \sigma/4 \rfloor} \sum_{l=\lfloor (2d_0 - 4d_1' - \sigma)/3 \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor d_0 - \sigma \rfloor} \mathbb{L}^{2d_0 - 2(l+d_1')}[C^{(l)}] \\ + \sum_{d_1'=\lfloor d_0/2 - \sigma/4 \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{2d_0 - 2(l+d_1')}[C^{(l)}] \Big) [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^3.$$

Finally we compute the intersection of these strata with $\mathcal{M}(2,2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{out}}$. First, note that $\ker(\phi) \to 0$ would inject into $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ so this cannot be destabilizing.

If rank(ϕ) = 1 then Im(ϕ)^{sat} = \mathcal{E}_0'' . Thus $\mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_0''$ is a destabilizing chain if $d_0'' > d_0 - \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) = 3d_0/4 - \sigma/2$. Equivalently $d_0'' - d_1' > \sigma/2 - d_0/4 + d_0 - \sigma - d_1'$. Since $d_1' > \sigma/2 - d_0/4$, this implies $d_0'' - d_1' > (2d_0 - 4d_1' - \sigma)/3$. Thus we find the conditions

$$\max\left\{\frac{3d_0}{4} - \frac{\sigma}{2} - d_1', 0\right\} \le d_0'' - d_1' < d_0 - \sigma.$$

The class of the intersection of the stratum with $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{out}}$ is the stack of extensions in $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet})$ that satisfy $\phi(\mathcal{E}_1) \subset \mathcal{E}''_0$, which is equivalent to $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}) \times \underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{E}''_0, \mathcal{E}''_0)$. The dimension of the first Ext-stack is $(g-1) - 2d'_1 - ((g-1) - d'_1 - d''_0) = d''_0 - d'_1$, the dimension of the second is $g - 1 + d_0 - 2d''_0$.

Thus the intersection of the Harder–Narasimhan strata with $\mathcal{M}(2,2)^{\text{out}}_{\underline{d}}$ is

$$\sum_{d_{1}^{\prime}=\lfloor\sigma/2-d_{0}/4\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor 3d_{0}/4-\sigma/2\rfloor} \sum_{l=\lfloor 3d_{0}/4-\sigma/2\rfloor+1-d_{1}}^{\lfloor d_{0}-\sigma\rfloor} \mathbb{L}^{-l-2d_{1}^{\prime}+d_{0}+(g-1)}[C^{(l)}][\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3} + \sum_{d_{1}^{\prime}=\lfloor 3d_{0}/4-\sigma/2\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-l-2d_{1}^{\prime}+d_{0}+(g-1)}[C^{(l)}][\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3}.$$

Type $(1, 0) \subset (1, 1) \subset (2, 1) \subset (2, 2)$. We claim that this cannot occur, because we need $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}''') > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'') \Leftrightarrow d'_1 + \sigma < d''_0$ and $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'') > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') \Leftrightarrow d_0 - d''_0 > -d'_1 + \sigma \Leftrightarrow d''_0 < d_0 + d'_1 - \sigma$. This implies $d'_1 + \sigma < d'_0 < d_0 + d'_1 - \sigma \Rightarrow 2\sigma < d_0$, contradicting our assumption on $d_0 < 2\sigma$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Type } (1,0) \subset (2,1) \subset (2,2). \text{ The bounds on the degrees are } \mu(\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow \\ d''_0 > (d_0 - d''_0 + d'_1 + \sigma)/2 \Leftrightarrow d''_0 > (d_0 + d'_1 + \sigma)/3 \text{ and } \mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow \\ (d'_1 + d_0 - d''_0 + \sigma)/2 > -d'_1 + \sigma \Leftrightarrow d''_0 < 3d'_1 + d_0 - \sigma. \text{ Thus we find} \end{array}$

$$\frac{d_0 + d_1' + \sigma}{3} < d_0'' < 3d_1' + d_0 - \sigma, \quad \text{in particular} \quad \frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{d_0}{4} < d_1'.$$

The quotient $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}$ has to be semistable, i.e., $0 \le d_0 - d''_0 - d'_1 \le \sigma$, equivalently $d_0 - d'_1 - \sigma \le d''_0 \le d_0 - d'_1$. In particular we need $(d_0 + d'_1 + \sigma)/3 < d_0 - d'_1 \Leftrightarrow d'_1 < d_0/2 - \sigma/4$. Thus we find $\sigma/2 - d_0/4 < d'_1 < d_0/2 - \sigma/4$.

Also $d_0 - d'_1 - \sigma > (d_0 + d'_1 + \sigma)/3 \Rightarrow 2d_0 - 4\sigma > 4d'_1 > 2\sigma - d_0 \Rightarrow d_0 > 2\sigma$, which we excluded. Finally $3d'_1 + d_0 - \sigma > d_0 - d'_1 \Leftrightarrow d'_1 > \sigma/4$. Thus we find

$$\frac{d_0 + d'_1 + \sigma}{3} < d''_0 \begin{cases} < 3d'_1 + d_0 - \sigma & \text{if } d_1 \le \sigma/4, \\ \le d_0 - d'_1 & \text{if } d'_1 > \sigma/4. \end{cases}$$

We have dim $\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}''_{\bullet}) = d_0 - d'_0 - d'_1$ and dim $\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = d_0 - (g-1)$. Strata of this type are contained in $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)^{\operatorname{fin}}$, because $\operatorname{ker}(\phi) \to 0$ injects into $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$, so this cannot be destabilizing. Also if $\operatorname{rank}(\phi) = 1$ then \mathcal{E}''_0 has to inject into $\mathcal{E}_0/\operatorname{Im}(\phi)$ because $\mathcal{E}'_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{E}''_0$. So this cannot be a destabilizing quotient.

Thus the contribution of these strata is $(l = d_0 - d_0'' - d_1')$

$$\Big(\sum_{d_1'=\lfloor\sigma/2-d_0/4\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor\sigma/4\rfloor} \sum_{l=\lfloor\sigma\rfloor+1-4d_1'}^{\lceil(2d_0-4d_1'-\sigma)/3\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^l[C^{(l)}] \\ + \sum_{d_1'=\lfloor\sigma/4\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor d_0/2-\sigma/4\rfloor} \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil(2d_0-4d_1'-\sigma)/3\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^l[C^{(l)}] \Big) \mathbb{L}^{d_0-(g-1)}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^3.$$

Type (1, 1) \subset (2, 2). The bounds on the degree are $\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d'_0 + d'_1 > d_0/2$. Moreover \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} and $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ are semistable, so $0 \le d'_0 - d'_1 \le \sigma$ and $0 \le d_0 - d'_0 + d'_1 \le \sigma \Leftrightarrow d_0 - \sigma \le d'_0 - d'_1 \le d_0$. Since $d_0 > \sigma$ we find the bounds

$$d_0 - \sigma \le d'_0 - d'_1 \le \sigma, \quad d'_0 + d'_1 > \frac{d_0}{2}.$$

We have dim $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = (g-1) + d_0 - d'_0 - d'_1$. Strata of this type automatically satisfy rank(ϕ) = 2, so they are contained in $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)^{\text{fin}}$. Thus the sum over all these strata is

$$\sum_{d_1'+d_0'=\lfloor d_0/2 \rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-(d_0'+d_1')+(g-1)+d_0} \sum_{\substack{d_0'-d_1'=d_0-\lfloor \sigma \rfloor \\ d_0'-d_1'\equiv d_0'+d_1' \bmod 2}}^{\lfloor \sigma \rfloor} [C^{(d_0'-d_1')}][C^{(d_0-(d_0'-d_1'))}][\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2$$
$$= \sum_{k>d_0/2} \mathbb{L}^{-k+d_0+(g-1)} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 \sum_{l=0}^{(2\lfloor \sigma \rfloor-d_0-1)/2} [C^{(d_0+1-\lfloor \sigma \rfloor+2l)}][C^{\lfloor \sigma \rfloor-1-2l}]$$

Here the equality uses that $\lfloor \sigma \rfloor$ is even and that d_0 is odd, so that one can simplify the congruence condition in the summation.

Type $(1, 0) \subset (1, 1) \subset (2, 2)$. The bounds on the degrees are $\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d'_0 > d'_1 + \sigma$ and $\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d'_1 + \sigma > (d_0 - d'_0 - d'_1 + \sigma)/2 \Leftrightarrow$

 $d'_1 > (d_0 - d'_0 - \sigma)/3$. So we find

$$\frac{d_0 - d_0' - \sigma}{3} < d_1' < d_0' - \sigma, \quad \text{in particular} \quad \frac{d_0}{4} + \frac{\sigma}{2} < d_0'$$

Also $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ is semistable, thus $0 \le d_0 - d'_0 + d'_1 \le \sigma \Leftrightarrow d'_0 - d_0 \le d'_1 \le d'_0 - d_0 + \sigma$. Since $d_0 < 2\sigma$ the right hand inequality is automatically satisfied. Also $d'_0 - d_0 > (d_0 - d'_0 - \sigma)/3 \Leftrightarrow d'_0 > d_0 - \sigma/4$. Thus we find:

$$d'_{0} - \sigma > d'_{1} \begin{cases} > (d_{0} - d'_{0} - \sigma)/3 & \text{if } d'_{0} \le d_{0} - \sigma/4 \\ \ge d'_{0} - d_{0} & \text{if } d'_{0} > d_{0} - \sigma/4 \end{cases}$$

We have dim $(\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'')) = d_0 - (g-1)$ and dim $(\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}'')) = g-1 - 2d'_1$. Thus the sum over the strata is (set $l = d_0 - d'_0 + d'_1$)

$$\Big(\sum_{d'_{0}=\lfloor d_{0}/4+\sigma/2\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor d_{0}-\sigma/4\rfloor}\sum_{l=\lfloor (d_{0}-d'_{0}-\sigma)/3\rfloor+1+d_{0}-d'_{0}}^{\lfloor d_{0}-\sigma\rfloor}\mathbb{L}^{-2d'_{0}-2l}[C^{(l)}] + \sum_{d'_{0}=\lfloor d_{0}-\sigma/4\rfloor+1}\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor d_{0}-\sigma\rfloor}\mathbb{L}^{-2d'_{0}-2l}[C^{(l)}]\Big)\mathbb{L}^{3d_{0}}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3}.$$

Finally we compute the intersection with $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{out}}$. (We already did the dual case above). Since $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ is semistable, the morphism of this chain is non-trivial. Therefore if rank(ϕ) = 1 then $\mathcal{E}'_0 \neq \text{Im}(\phi)^{\text{sat}}$, so that \mathcal{E}'_0 injects into $\mathcal{E}_0/\text{Im}(\phi)^{\text{sat}}$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_0/\text{Im}(\phi)^{\text{sat}}$ cannot be a destabilizing quotient.

Also if $\phi' = 0$ then $(\mathcal{E}'_1 \to 0) = (\ker(\phi) \to 0)$ is destabilizing if and only if $d'_1 + \sigma > d_0/4 + \sigma/2 \Leftrightarrow d'_1 > d_0/4 - \sigma/2$. Since $(d_0 - d'_0 - \sigma)/3 < d_0/4 - \sigma/2 \Leftrightarrow d_0/4 + \sigma/2 < d'_0$, we find the conditions for d'_1 :

$$d'_0 - \sigma > d'_1 \begin{cases} > d_0/4 - \sigma/2 & \text{if } d'_0 \le d_0/4 + \sigma/2 + (d_0 - \sigma), \\ \ge d'_0 - d_0 & \text{if } d'_0 > d_0/4 + \sigma/2 + (d_0 - \sigma). \end{cases}$$

If these conditions are satisfied, chains in the intersection of the Harder–Narasimhan stratum with $\mathcal{M}(2,2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{out}}$ are given as an extension of $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ by $(0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_0)$ together with an extension of $\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}'_1$ by \mathcal{E}'_1 . We have dim $(\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}'_1, \mathcal{E}'_1)) = g - 1 - 2d'_1$ and dim $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, (0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_0)) = d_0 - 2d''_0 - (-d'_1 - d''_0) = d_0 - d'_0 + d'_1$. In total we find $g - 1 - d'_0 - d'_1 + d_0$.

Thus the sum over the intersections of the Harder–Narasimhan strata with $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{out}}$ is (set $l = d_0 - d_0'' + d_1'$)

$$\Big(\sum_{d'_{0} = \lfloor d_{0}/4 + \sigma/2 \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor d_{0} - \sigma \rfloor} \sum_{l = \lfloor 5d_{0}/4 - \sigma/2 \rfloor + 1 - d'_{0}}^{\lfloor d_{0} - \sigma \rfloor} \mathbb{L}^{(g-1) + 2d_{0} - 2d'_{0} - l} [C^{(l)}] \\ + \sum_{d'_{0} = \lfloor 5d_{0}/4 - \sigma/2 \rfloor + 1} \sum_{l = 0}^{\lfloor d_{0} - \sigma \rfloor} \mathbb{L}^{(g-1) + 2d_{0} - 2d'_{0} - l} [C^{(l)}] \Big) [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^{3}.$$

Type (1, 0) \subset (2, 2). The bounds on the degree are $\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d'_0 > (d_0 + 2\sigma)/4$. The quotient $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'$ has to be semistable of degree $(d_0 - d'_{\bullet})$. This can only be pre-

The quotient $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ has to be semistable of degree $(d_0 - d'_0, 0)$. This can only happen if $\sigma/4 \le d_0 - d'_0 \le \sigma \Leftrightarrow d_0 - \sigma/4 \ge d'_0 \ge d_0 - \sigma$. The right hand inequality is automatic because $d_0 < 2\sigma$. So we find $(d_0 + 2\sigma)/4 < d'_0 \le d_0 - \sigma/4$.

We have dim $\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) = d_0 - (g - 1)$. Using the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}(1,2)_{d_0-d'_0,0}^{\alpha\text{-ss}} \cong \mathcal{M}(2,1)_{0,d'_0-d_0}^{\alpha\text{-ss}} = \mathcal{M}(2,1)_{2d_0-2d'_0,0}^{\alpha\text{-ss}},$$

we find that the sum over the strata is

$$\mathbb{L}^{d_0 - (g-1)}[\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}] \sum_{d'_0 = \lfloor (d_0 + 2\sigma)/4 \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor d_0 - \sigma/4 \rfloor} [\mathcal{M}(2, 1)_{(2d_0 - 2d'_0, 0)}^{\alpha \operatorname{-ss}}].$$

Strata of this type are contained in $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$, because $(\ker(\phi) \to 0)$ injects into $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$, so this cannot be destabilizing. Also if $\operatorname{rank}(\phi) = 1$, then \mathcal{E}'_0 injects into $\mathcal{E}_0/\operatorname{Im}(\phi)$ and so $0 \to \mathcal{E}_0/\operatorname{Im}(\phi)$ cannot be a destabilizing quotient.

Now we can sum:

Proposition 6.13. Assume that $\alpha = (\sigma, 0)$ is good and that $\lfloor \sigma \rfloor$ is even. Then for $d_0 > \sigma \ge 2g - 2$ we have

$$\begin{split} [\mathcal{M}(2,2)_{d_{0},0}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}] &= [\mathcal{M}(2,2)^{\mathrm{fin}}] - \mathbb{L}^{2d_{0}-3(g-1)}[\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}]^{2}[\mathrm{Bun}_{2}] \Big(\sum_{k=\lfloor\sigma-d_{0}/2\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma/2\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-k}\Big) \\ &- \mathbb{L}^{d_{0}+g-1}[\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}]^{2} \sum_{k=\lfloor d_{0}/2\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-k} \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil \sigma-d_{0}/2\rceil-1} [C^{(d_{0}-\lfloor\sigma\rfloor+2l)}][C^{(\lfloor\sigma\rfloor-2l)}] \\ &- \mathbb{L}^{2d_{0}}[\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}]^{3} \sum_{k=\lfloor d-\sigma/2\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-k} \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-2l}[C^{(l)}] \\ &+ \mathbb{L}^{2d_{0}}[\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}]^{3} \sum_{k=\lfloor \sigma-d_{0}/2\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-2l}[C^{(l)}] \\ &+ \mathbb{L}^{2d_{0}}[\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}]^{3} \sum_{k=\lfloor \sigma-d_{0}/2\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-k} \sum_{l=\lfloor d-\sigma\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-l}[C^{(l)}] \\ &+ \mathbb{L}^{d_{0}+(g-1)}[\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}]^{3} \Big(\sum_{k=\lfloor \sigma-d_{0}/2\rfloor+1}^{\lceil 3d_{0}/2-\sigma\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-l}[C^{(l)}]\Big) \\ &+ \sum_{k=\lfloor 3d_{0}/2-\sigma\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-k} \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{-l}[C^{(l)}]\Big) \\ &+ \mathbb{L}^{d_{0}-(g-1)}[\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}]^{3} \Big(\sum_{k=\lfloor \sigma-d_{0}/2\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}-\sigma\rceil-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil (2d-2k-\sigma)/3\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{l}[C^{(l)}] \\ &- \sum_{k=\lfloor \sigma/2-d_{0}/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil \sigma-4k-\sigma)/3\rceil-1} \sum_{l=\lfloor \sigma-4k\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor l} \mathbb{L}^{l}[C^{(l)}] - \sum_{k=\lfloor \sigma/4\rfloor+1}^{\lceil d_{0}/2-\sigma/4\rceil-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil d_{0}-d_{0}/2\rceil-1} \mathbb{L}^{l}[C^{(l)}]\Big). \end{split}$$

6.4. Stacks of chains of rank (1, 2, 1)

To compute the class of the moduli space of semistable chains of rank (1, 2, 1) and degree d, we can again tensor with a line bundle in order to reduce to the case that $d_2 = 0$. Moreover, for our application we are only interested in stability parameters of the form $\alpha = (0, \sigma, 2\sigma)$, so for simplicity we will only consider such α . Our computation will show that such α are good if σ is irrational. Again we consider the stratification of $\mathcal{M}(1,2,1)_d$ by saturations of the \mathcal{E}_i as defined in Section 4.3. Since α is good, all semistable chains \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} satisfy $\phi_i \neq 0$. Our description of these strata (Proposition 4.13) implies:

Lemma 6.14. (1) We have a decomposition

$$\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(1,2,1)_{d_{0},d_{1},0} = \bigcup_{0 \le l \le d_{0}} \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(1,2,1)_{\underline{d}}^{(1,1,1),(d_{0},l,0)} \cup \bigcup_{l \ge 0} \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(1,2,1)_{\underline{d}}^{(1,1,0),(0,l,0)}$$

(2) $[\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{(1,1,1),(d_0,l,0)}] = [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(l)}] [C^{(d_0-l)}] \mathbb{L}^{d_0-l}.$ (3) $[\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{(1,1,0),(0,l,0)}] = [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(l)}] [C^{(d_0-d_1+l)}] \mathbb{L}^{(g-1)+d_1-2l}.$ This stratum exists for $\max\{0, \bar{d_1} - d_0\} \le l$.

This lemma shows that—just as for $\mathcal{M}(2,2)$ (Section 6.3)—the stack $\mathcal{M}(1,2,1)$ does not define an element in \widehat{K}_0 (Var), because all of the strata with $\phi_1 \circ \phi_2 = 0$ are of the same dimension. However almost all of these are unstable: Set $l = \deg(\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{E}_2)^{\operatorname{sat}})$. Then $\mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow$ Im $(\phi_1)^{\text{sat}} \to 0$ is destabilizing if $(l+3\sigma)/2 > (d_0+d_1)/4 + \sigma \Leftrightarrow l > (d_0+d_1)/2 - \sigma$. Also $\mathcal{E}_2 \to \operatorname{Im}(\phi_1)^{\operatorname{sat}} \to \mathcal{E}_0$ is destabilizing if $(d_0 + d_1)/4 + \sigma > d_1 - l + \sigma \Leftrightarrow l > d_1 - l + \sigma$

 $(3d_1 - d_0)/4.$

Denote

$$\mathcal{M}(1,2,1)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}} := \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le l \le \min\{d_0, \lceil (3d_1 - d_0)/4 \rceil - 1\} \\ \bigcup \\ 0 \le l \le \lceil (d_0 + d_1)/2 - \sigma \rceil - 1}} \mathcal{M}(1,2,1)_{\underline{d}}^{(1,1,1),(0,l,0)}.$$

This is an open substack of $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_d$.

Lemma 6.15. Let $\underline{d} = (d_0, d_1, 0)$, $\underline{\alpha} = (0, \sigma, 2\sigma)$ and suppose that $\sigma \geq 2g - 2$ is irrational. Then $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_d^{\alpha-ss}$ can be non-empty only if

 $d_0 + d_1 \le 4\sigma$, $3d_0 - d_1 \le 4\sigma$, $0 \le d_0 \le 3d_1 \le 5d_0$.

If these inequalities hold, then $\mathcal{M}(1,2,1)_d^{\alpha-ss}$ is non-empty and

$$\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\alpha - \mathrm{ss}} t = [\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\mathrm{fin}}] \\ - [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 \mathbb{L}^{d_0 - (g-1)} \sum_{l=\lfloor (d_1 - d_0)/2 + \sigma \rfloor + 1}^{\min\{d_0, d_1\}} [C^{(d_0 - l)}] [C^{(d_1 - l)}] \\ - [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil (3d_1 - d_0)/4 \rceil - 1} [C^{(l)}] [C^{(d_0 - l)}] \mathbb{L}^l.$$

Proof. To obtain the necessary conditions we first list the ranks of canonical subchains:

Type (1, 2, 0). There are no semistable chains if $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) < \mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow 2\sigma < (d_0 + d_1 + 2\sigma)/3 \Leftrightarrow d_0 + d_1 > 4\sigma$.

Type (1, 0, 0). There are no semistable chains if $\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d_0 > (d_1 + 4\sigma)/3$ $\Leftrightarrow 3d_0 - d_1 > 4\sigma$.

Type (1, 1, 1). We always have a subchain $\mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_0$, so we find the necessary condition $0 \leq 3d_1 - d_0$.

Type (0, 1, 0). Dually to the previous type we always have a subchain $0 \rightarrow \ker(\phi_1) \rightarrow 0$, so we need $d_1 - d_0 \le (d_0 + d_1)/4 \Leftrightarrow 3d_1 \le 5d_0$.

Thus we may assume

$$d_0 + d_1 \le 4\sigma, \quad 3d_0 - d_1 \le 4\sigma, \quad d_0 \le 3d_1 \le 5d_0.$$
 (6.2)

Let us first exclude strata that do not intersect $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$. We list them according to the ranks of $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}^{h-1}$:

Type (1, 1, 1). These strata do not intersect $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$: If $\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$ is of rank (1, 1, 1) and $\phi'_1 \neq 0$, this holds by definition. If $\phi'_1 = 0$ then either $\mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{E}_1^1 \to 0$ or $0 \to 0 \to \mathcal{E}_0$ is a destabilizing subchain. Thus again the chain does not lie in $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{d}^{\text{fin}}$.

Type (0, 1, 1). By definition these strata do not intersect $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_d^{\text{fin}}$.

Finally we list the Harder–Narasimhan strata intersecting $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$. We denote the Harder–Narasimhan flag by $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'' \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}$ and list the strata by specifying the rank of the subchains:

Type (1, 1, 0). The bound on the degree is

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') < \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}') \Leftrightarrow \frac{d_1 - d_1' + 3\sigma}{2} < \frac{d_0 + d_1' + \sigma}{2} \Leftrightarrow d_1' > \frac{d_1 - d_0}{2} + \sigma.$$

Also $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ has to be semistable, i.e., $0 \leq d_1 - d'_1 \leq \sigma \Leftrightarrow d_1 - \sigma \leq d'_1 \leq d_1$ and \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet} has to be semistable, i.e., $0 \leq d_0 - d'_1 \leq \sigma \Leftrightarrow d_0 - \sigma \leq d'_1 \leq d_0$. The lower bounds in these inequalities are automatically satisfied because $d_1 - \sigma > (d_1 - d_0)/2 + \sigma \Leftrightarrow d_1 + d_0 > 4\sigma$ and $d_0 - \sigma > (d_1 - d_0)/2 + \sigma \Leftrightarrow 3d_0 - d_1 > 4\sigma$, which we excluded (6.2). Thus the conditions on d'_1 are

$$\frac{d_1 - d_0}{2} + \sigma < d_1' \le \min\{d_0, d_1\}.$$

We have dim($\underline{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})) = d_0 - (g - 1).$

Finally, we claim that Harder–Narasimhan strata of this form are contained in $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$: If $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1 = 0$, the subchain $\mathcal{E}_2 \to \text{Im}(\phi_2)^{\text{sat}} \to 0$ is a subchain of $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'$, so it cannot be destabilizing. Also the subchain $\mathcal{E}_2 \to \text{Im}(\phi_2)^{\text{sat}} \to \mathcal{E}_0$ cannot be destabilizing because $0 \to 0 \to \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 \to \text{Im}(\phi_1)^{\text{sat}} \to 0$ both have slope $< \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet})$.

Thus the strata contribute

$$\sum_{d_1'=\lfloor (d_1-d_0)/2+\sigma \rfloor+1}^{\min\{d_0,d_1\}} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(d_0-d_1')}] [C^{(d_1-d_1')}] \mathbb{L}^{d_0-(g-1)}.$$

Type $(0, 1, 0) \subset (1, 1, 0)$. The bounds on the degree are

$$d'_1 + \sigma > d_0 > \frac{d_1 - d'_1 + 3\sigma}{2}$$
, i.e., $d'_1 > \max\{d_0 - \sigma, d_1 - 2d_0 + 3\sigma\}$.

Also $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ has to be semistable, so as before $d_1 \ge d'_1 \ge d_1 - \sigma$. Now $d_1 - 2d_0 + 3\sigma \ge d_0 - \sigma$ is automatic because $3d_0 - d_1 \le 4\sigma$ by (6.2). And $d_1 - 2d_0 + 3\sigma \ge d_1 - \sigma \Leftrightarrow d_0 \le 2\sigma$, which is automatic unless $d_1 < d_0$ and in that case we already know $d_1 - \sigma < d_0 - \sigma \le d_1 - 2d_0 + 3\sigma$. Thus the bounds on the degree are

$$d_1 - 2d_0 + 3\sigma < d_1' \le d_1,$$

and this implies $d_0 > 3\sigma/2$.

For an iterated extension of $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'', \mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'$ to lie in $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$ we need the extension of $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'$ by $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}''$ to be non-trivial, since otherwise the last map of the chain \mathcal{E}_{\bullet} would be 0. If this holds, the extension is contained in $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$. First, $\mathcal{E}_{2} \to \text{Im}(\phi_{2})^{\text{sat}}$ has to inject into $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}'$, so it cannot be destabilizing. Second, if $\phi_{1} \circ \phi_{2} \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{E}_{2} \to \text{Im}(\phi_{2})^{\text{sat}} \to \mathcal{E}_{0}$ has to inject into $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}''$, so again it cannot be destabilizing.

Therefore the strata occur only for $d_0 > 3\sigma/2$ and in this case their contribution can be calculated as for the (0, 1, 0)-strata to be $(l = d_1 - d'_1)$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil 2d_0-3\sigma\rceil-1} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(l)}] [C^{(d_0-l)}] \mathbb{L}^l.$$

Type (0, 1, 0). The bound on the degree is

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow d'_1 > \frac{d_0 + d_1}{4}.$$

Also $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ has to be semistable, so that $0 \le d_1 - d'_1 \le d_0$, $d_0 \le (d_1 - d'_1 + 3\sigma)/2$ and $2\sigma \ge (d_0 + d_1 - d'_1 + \sigma)/2$, i.e.,

$$\max\{d_1 - d_0, d_0 + d_1 - 3\sigma\} \le d_1' \le \min\{d_1, d_1 - 2d_0 + 3\sigma\}.$$

We have $d_1 - d_0 \le d_0 + d_1 - 3\sigma \Leftrightarrow d_0 \ge 3\sigma/2$ and $d_1 \ge d_1 - 2d_0 + 3\sigma \Leftrightarrow d_0 \ge 3\sigma/2$. Moreover $(d_0 + d_1)/4 > d_0 + d_1 - 3\sigma \Leftrightarrow d_0 + d_1 \le 4\sigma$ so this is automatic and similarly we already excluded the strata with $d'_1 \le d_1 - d_0$. So we find

$$\left\lfloor \frac{d_0 + d_1}{4} \right\rfloor + 1 \le d_1' \le \min\{d_1, d_1 - 2d_0 + 3\sigma\}.$$

Finally dim($\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}, \mathcal{E}'_{\bullet})) = d_1 - d'_1$.

We claim that any such Harder–Narasimhan stratum is contained in $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$. Since $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$ is semistable we have $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1 \neq 0$, and the subchain $\mathcal{E}_2 \to \text{Im}(\phi_2)^{\text{sat}} \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}_0$ must inject into $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{E}'_{\bullet}$, so it cannot be destabilizing.

Thus the contribution of these strata is

$$\sum_{l=\max\{0,2d_0-3\sigma\}}^{\lceil (3d_1-d_0)/4\rceil-1} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}]^2 [C^{(l)}] [C^{(d_0-l)}] \mathbb{L}^l$$

Adding the above contributions we find the claimed formula. The statement that $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\alpha-\text{ss}}$ is non-empty in this case follows from this formula, since the dimension of each Harder–Narasimhan stratum is strictly smaller than $(g-1)^2 + 2d_0$, which is the dimension of the largest stratum occurring in $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{\underline{d}}^{\text{fin}}$.

7. Application: Higgs bundles of rank 4 and odd degree

From the results of the previous section it is now very easy to deduce the class of M_4^d , the moduli space of stable Higgs bundles of rank 4 and odd degree *d*. This is the aim of this section. In particular the expression we find gives an explicit formula for the Hodge and Poincaré polynomials of M_4^d .

Let us first note that the moduli spaces M_4^d with d odd are all isomorphic, since by tensoring with a line bundle of fixed degree we can reduce to the case that $d = \pm 1$ and dualization gives an isomorphism $M_4^{-1} \cong M_4^1$. So in the following we will assume that d = 1.

We already know from Corollary 2.2 that

$$[M_4^1] = \mathbb{L}^{16(g-1)+1} \sum_j F_j, \tag{7.1}$$

where F_j are moduli spaces of α -semistable chains of some length r, rank \underline{n} and degree \underline{d} with $\sum n_i = 4$, $\sum_{i=0}^r d_i - (r-i)n_i(2g-2) = 1$ and $\alpha = (0, 2g-2, \dots, r(2g-2))$ by Remark 4.1. We used the notation $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})\underline{d}^{\alpha-\text{ss}}$ for the moduli stack of semistable chains and we will write $M(n)_d$ for the corresponding coarse moduli space.

Since semistability implies stability for Higgs bundles if rank and degree are coprime, the same holds for the moduli spaces of chains occurring as fixed point strata in M_4^1 . In particular the stability parameter α is not critical so that we may replace α by a good stability parameter α' defining the same moduli space.

Furthermore, since stable Higgs bundles only admit \mathbb{G}_m as automorphims we know the stack of stable Higgs bundles is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe over its coarse moduli space. This gerbe is trivial, because the rank and degree are coprime (see e.g. [23, Lemma 3.10 and Corollary 3.12]). In particular the restriction of the gerbe to the fixed point strata F_i is still trivial. Therefore, as in Example 3.4 we find that

$$[M(\underline{n})_d^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}] = [\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_d^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}][\mathbb{L}-1]$$

for all stacks of chains occurring in M_4^1 .

For all partitions \underline{n} with $\sum n_i = 4$ we computed the class of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}(\underline{n})_{\underline{d}}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}$ in the previous section and found conditions on \underline{d} such that these spaces are non-empty. Let us list the possible range of \underline{d} for the different partitions $\underline{n} = (n_0, \ldots, n_r)$:

Type (4). Here $d_0 = 1$.

Type (3, 1). Here $d_0 + d_1 - 3(2g - 2) = 1$. By Example 6.6 there are no semistable chains of rank (3, 1) and degree (d_0, d_1) unless $\sigma \le d_0 - 3d_1 \le 3(2g - 2)$. Thus the strata $\mathcal{M}(3, 1)_{(e,0)}^{\alpha-ss}$ contribute for 2g - 2 < e < 3(2g - 2) and $e \equiv (1 - \sigma) \mod 4$.

Type (1, 3). In this case $d_0 + d_1 - (2g - 2) = 1$. Dualizing and tensoring with line bundles we find $\mathcal{M}(1, 3)_{(d_0, d_1)}^{\alpha \cdot ss} \cong \mathcal{M}(3, 1)_{(e=3d_0-d_1, 0)}^{\alpha \cdot ss}$. Thus Example 6.6 shows that there are no semistable triples of degree \underline{d} unless $2g - 2 \le 3d_0 - d_1 \le 3(2g - 2)$. Thus we find strata $\mathcal{M}(1, 3)_{(d_0, d_1)}^{\alpha \cdot ss} \cong \mathcal{M}(3, 1)_{(e=3d_0-d_1, 0)}^{\alpha \cdot ss}$ for $e \equiv -1 - (2g - 2) \mod 4$ and 2g - 2 < e < 3(2g - 2).

Type (2, 2). Here $d_0 + d_1 - 4(g - 1) = 1$. We know (Section 6.3) that there are no α -semistable chains of this degree unless $0 \le d_0 - d_1 < 2(2g - 2)$. As in 6.3 we use that $\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{d_0,d_1}^{\alpha-ss} \cong \mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{(e=d_0-d_1,0)}^{\alpha-ss}$, and $e = d_0 - d_1$ is odd. We thus find that the strata of rank (2, 2) occurring are isomorphic to $[\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{e,0}^{\alpha-ss}]$ with 0 < e < 2(2g - 2) and e odd.

Type (2, 1, 1). We have $d_0 + d_1 + d_2 - 5(2g - 2) = 1$. Write $\overline{d}_0 := d_0 - 2d_2$, $\overline{d}_1 := d_1 - d_2$, so that we need $\overline{d}_0 + \overline{d}_1 + 4d_2 - 5(2g - 2) = 1$, i.e., $\overline{d}_0 + \overline{d}_1 \equiv 1 + (2g - 2) \mod 4$.

In Example 6.7 we have seen there are no semistable chains of rank (2, 1, 1) unless $0 \leq \overline{d}_1, \overline{d}_0 - \overline{d}_1 \leq 3(2g-2), \overline{d}_0 + \overline{d}_1 \leq 5(2g-2), 3(2g-2) \leq 3\overline{d}_0 - 5\overline{d}_1$, i.e., $\overline{d}_0 + \overline{d}_1 \equiv 1 + (2g-2) \mod 4$ and

$$0 \le \overline{d}_1 \le 3g - 3,$$

$$2g - 2 + \frac{5}{3}\overline{d}_1 \le \overline{d}_0 \le \min(3(2g - 2) + \overline{d}_1, 5(2g - 2) - \overline{d}_1).$$

Type (1, 1, 2). Here $d_0 + d_1 + d_2 = 1 + 3(2g - 2)$. This case is dual to the previous one. Writing $e_0 := -d_2 + 2d_0$, $e_1 := -d_1 + d_0$ we find $-(e_0 + e_1) + 4d_0 = 1 + 6g - 6$, i.e., we need $e_0 + e_1 \equiv -1 + 2g - 2 \mod 4$ and in this case the bounds on e_i are the same as the bounds on $\overline{d_i}$ of the previous case.

Together with the previous chains we therefore find

$$\sum_{l=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{\substack{k>\frac{5}{3}l+2g-2\\k+l\equiv 1 \bmod 2}}^{l+6g-6} [\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}] + \sum_{\substack{l=2g-1\\k>\frac{5}{3}l+2g-2\\k+l\equiv 1 \bmod 2}}^{3g-3} \sum_{\substack{l=0-l-l\\k>\frac{5}{3}l+2g-2\\k+l\equiv 1 \bmod 2}}^{10g-10-l} [\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}].$$

Type (1, 2, 1). Here $d_0 + d_1 + d_2 - 4(2g - 2) = 1$. Put $\overline{d}_0 := d_0 - d_2$, $\overline{d}_1 := d_1 - 2d_2$. Then the conditions on the degrees are $\overline{d}_0 + \overline{d}_1 + 4d_1 - 4(2g - 2) = 1$, i.e., we need $\overline{d}_0 + \overline{d}_1 \equiv 1 \mod 4$. By Lemma 6.15 we know that $\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{(\overline{d}_0, \overline{d}_1, 0)}^{\alpha \text{-ss}}$ is non-empty only if

$$3\overline{d}_0 - \overline{d}_1 \le 4(2g-2), \quad \overline{d}_0 + \overline{d}_1 \le 4\sigma, \quad \overline{d}_0 \le 3\overline{d}_1 \le 5\overline{d}_0.$$

We put $\overline{d} := \overline{d}_0 + \overline{d}_1$. Then the above inqualities read

$$3\overline{d} - 4(2g-2) \le 4\overline{d}_1, \quad \overline{d} \le 4(2g-2), \ \overline{d} \le 4\overline{d}_1, \quad \overline{d}_1 \le \frac{5}{8}d.$$

So for $0 \le \overline{d} \le 2(2g-2)$ we have $\overline{d} \le 4d_1 \le 4\overline{d}$ and for $2(2g-2) < \overline{d} < 4(2g-2)$ we have $3d - 4(2g-2) \le 4\overline{d}_1 \le 4\overline{d}$ and $\overline{d} = 4k + 1$, i.e., the strata contribute (here $\overline{d} = 4k + 1, l = \overline{d}_1$)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{g-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{\lfloor \frac{5}{8}(4k+1) \rfloor} [\mathcal{M}(1,2,1)_{(4k+1-l,l,0)}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}] + \sum_{k=g-1}^{2g-3} \sum_{l=3k+1-(2g-2)}^{\lfloor \frac{5}{8}(4k+1) \rfloor} [\mathcal{M}(1,2,1)_{(4k+1-l,l,0)}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}]$$

Type (1, 1, 1, 1). Here $d_0 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 - 6(2g - 2) = 1$. We write $k := d_2 - d_3$, $l := d_1 - d_2$, $m := d_0 - d_1$, so that $4d_3 + 3k + 2l + m - 6(2g - 2) = 1$, i.e., we need $3k + 2l + m \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $k, l, m \ge 0$. For semistable chains to exist we need furthermore (Example 6.8)

$$\begin{aligned} 3k + 2l + m &\leq 6(2g - 2), \\ k + 2l + m &\leq 4(2g - 2), \\ k + 2l + 3m &\leq 6(2g - 2). \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq m \leq 2(2g-2), \\ 0 &\leq 2l \leq \min\{6(2g-2) - 3m, 4(2g-2) - m\}, \\ 0 &\leq 3k \leq \min\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 6(2g-2) - m - 2l, \\ 12(2g-2) - 3m - 3(2l), \\ 18(2g-2) - 9m - 3(2l) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have $6(2g-2) - 3m \le 4(2g-2) - m \Leftrightarrow (2g-2) \le m$ and $12(2g-2) - 3m - 3(2l) \ge 18(2g-2) - 9m - 3(2l) \Leftrightarrow m \ge (2g-2).$

Finally $6(2g-2) - m - 2l \le 12(2g-2) - 3m - 3(2l) \Leftrightarrow 2l \le 3(2g-2) - m$ and $6(2g-2) - m - 2l \le 18(2g-2) - 9m - 3(2l) \Leftrightarrow 2l \le 6(2g-2) - 4m$. Thus Example

6.8 shows that the sum over these strata is

$$\begin{split} [\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}] \Big(\sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \Big(\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil 3(g-1)-m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lfloor 4(g-1)-(m+2l)/3 \rfloor} [C^{(k)}] [C^{(l)}] [C^{(m)}] \\ &+ \sum_{l=\lceil 3g-3-m/2 \rceil}^{\lfloor 4g-4-m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lfloor 8g-8-m-2l} [C^{(k)}] [C^{(l)}] [C^{(m)}] \Big) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2g-1}^{4g-4} \Big(\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil 6g-6-2m \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lfloor 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} [C^{(k)}] [C^{(l)}] [C^{(m)}] \\ &+ \sum_{m=2g-1}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} (12g-12) - 3m-2l \\ &+ \sum_{l=6g-6-2m}^{\lceil 6g-6-2m \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} (12g-12) - 3m-2l \\ &+ \sum_{l=6g-6-2m}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} (12g-12) - 3m-2l \\ &+ \sum_{l=6g-6-2m}^{\lceil 6g-6-2m \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} (12g-12) - 3m-2l \\ &+ \sum_{l=6g-6-2m}^{\lceil 6g-6-2m \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} (12g-12) - 3m-2l \\ &+ \sum_{l=6g-6-2m}^{\lceil 6g-6-2m \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} (12g-12) - 3m-2l \\ &+ \sum_{l=6g-6-2m}^{\lceil 6g-6-2m \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \bmod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\equiv 1 \mod 4}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\le 1}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 3k+2l+m\le 1}}^{\lceil 6g-6-3m/2 \rceil - 1} \sum_{\substack{k$$

Inserting the above inequalities together into formula (7.1) we find:

Theorem 2. The class of the moduli space of stable rank 4 Higgs bundles of odd degree is

$$\begin{split} &[\mathcal{M}_{4}^{1}] = \mathbb{L}^{16(g-1)}(\mathbb{L}-1)\Big([\mathrm{Bun}_{4}^{1,\mathrm{ss}}] + \sum_{k=g-1}^{3g-4} [\mathcal{M}(3,1)_{(2k+1,0)}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] + \sum_{k=0}^{2g-3} [\mathcal{M}(2,2)_{(2k+1,0)}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{g-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{\lfloor\frac{5}{8}(4k+1)\rfloor} [\mathcal{M}(1,2,1)_{(4k+1-l,l,0)}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] + \sum_{k=g-1}^{2g-3} \sum_{l=3k+1-(2g-2)}^{\lfloor\frac{5}{8}(4k+1)\rfloor} [\mathcal{M}(1,2,1)_{(4k+1-l,l,0)}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^{2g-2} \sum_{k=\lfloor\frac{5}{3}l+2g-2\rfloor+1}^{l+6g-6} [\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] + \sum_{l=2g-1}^{2g-3} \sum_{\substack{k=\lfloor\frac{5}{3}l+2g-2\rfloor+1\\k+l\equiv1 \bmod 2}}^{\lfloor\frac{5}{8}(4k+1)\rfloor} [\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] \\ &+ [\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}] \Big(\sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil3(g-1)-m/2\rceil-1} [\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] + \sum_{\substack{l=2g-1}^{3g-3} \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k+l\equiv1 \bmod 2}}^{\lfloor\frac{5}{8}(4k+1)\rfloor} [\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] \\ &+ [\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}] \Big(\sum_{m=0}^{2g-2} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil3(g-1)-m/2\rceil-1} [\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] + \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k+l\equiv1 \bmod 2}}^{2g-1} [\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=\lceil3g-3-m/2\rceil}^{2g-2} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\lceil3(g-1)-m/2\rceil-1} [\mathcal{M}(2,1,1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha\mathrm{-ss}}] [\mathcal{C}^{(k)}] [\mathcal{C}^{(l)}] [\mathcal{C}^{(m)}] \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=6g-3-m/2\rceil}^{4g-4} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{6g-6-m-2l} \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k+2l+m\equiv1 \bmod 4}}^{2g-6-3m/2]} [\mathcal{C}^{(k)}] [\mathcal{C}^{(l)}] [\mathcal{C}^{(m)}] \\ &+ \sum_{m=2g-1}^{\lfloor6g-6-2m} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor6g-6-2m} \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k+2l+m\equiv1 \bmod 4}}^{2g-6-3m-2l} [\mathcal{C}^{(k)}] [\mathcal{C}^{(l)}] [\mathcal{C}^{(m)}] \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k=2g-6-2m+1}}^{\lfloor6g-6-3m/2]} \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k+2l+m\equiv1 \bmod 4}}^{2g-2g-3m-2l} [\mathcal{C}^{(k)}] [\mathcal{C}^{(l)}] [\mathcal{C}^{(m)}] \Big) \Big) \Big). \end{split}$$

The classes $[Bun_4^{1,ss}]$, $[\mathcal{M}(3, 1)_{(2k+1,0)}^{\alpha-ss}]$, $[\mathcal{M}(2, 2)_{(2k+1,0)}^{\alpha-ss}]$, $[\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 1)_{(4k+1-l,l,0)}^{\alpha-ss}]$ and $[\mathcal{M}(2, 1, 1)_{k,l,0}^{\alpha-ss}]$ are given by the formulas in Remark 3.5, Example 6.6, Proposition 6.13, Example 6.7 and Lemma 6.15 for $\alpha = (0, \ldots, r(2g-2))$.

To evaluate the above formula explicitly one has only to note that all summands are given as expressions in terms of the zeta function Z(C, t) of the curve and its coefficients, the symmetric powers $[C^{(i)}]$. For these terms and their corresponding *E*-polynomials we collected explicit expressions in Section 1.2. Since the cohomology of M_4^1 is known to have a pure Hodge structure (see e.g. [18]), one can then read off the Poincaré and Hodge polynomials of M_4^1 using Poincaré duality.

For genus ≤ 21 we evaluated these formulas using Maple and found that the result coincides with the conjectured result for the Poincaré polynomial from [19].

8. Appendix: Higgs bundles of rank 2 and 3

For completeness we give the formulas for the classes in $\widehat{K}_0(Var)$ of the spaces of Higgs bundles of rank n = 2, 3. For n = 2 this is contained in Hitchin's original article, where the result is formulated in terms of the Poincaré polynomial. For n = 3 the formula for the Poincaré polynomial is due to Gothen [14].

Theorem 3. Let M_n^d denote the moduli space of semistable Higgs bundles of rank n and degree d on C. We have

$$\begin{split} & [M_2^1] = \mathbb{L}^{4(g-1)+1} P(1) \left(\frac{P(\mathbb{L}) - \mathbb{L}^g P(1)}{(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{g-1} [C^{(2k-1)}] \right) \\ & = \mathbb{L}^{4(g-1)+1} P(1) \left(\frac{P(\mathbb{L})}{(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)} + \frac{P(1)t^{2g-1}}{(1 - t^2)(\mathbb{L} - 1)} + \frac{1}{2} (Z(C, t) - Z(C, -t)) \right) \Big|_{t=1} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} [M_3^1] &= \mathbb{L}^{9(g-1)-1} P(1) \bigg(\frac{P(\mathbb{L})P(\mathbb{L}^2)}{(\mathbb{L}-1)(\mathbb{L}^2-1)^2(\mathbb{L}^3-1)} - \frac{\mathbb{L}^{2(g-1)}(\mathbb{L}^2+\mathbb{L})P(1)P(\mathbb{L})}{(\mathbb{L}-1)^2(\mathbb{L}^2-1)(\mathbb{L}^3-1)} \\ &+ \frac{P(1)}{\mathbb{L}-1} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{3(g-1)} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor 2k/3 \rfloor} [C^{(l)}](\mathbb{L}^{2(g-1)+k-2l} - \mathbb{L}^l) - \sum_{k=0}^{g-1} \sum_{l=0}^{2k} [C^{(l)}](\mathbb{L}^{2(g-1)+3k-2l} - \mathbb{L}^l) \Big) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{2(g-1)} \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l \neq k \bmod 3}}^{k} [C^{(l)}][C^{(k)}] + \sum_{k=2g-1}^{3(g-1)} \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l \neq k \bmod 3}}^{6(g-1)-2k} [C^{(l)}][C^{(k)}] \Big). \end{split}$$

Proof. We know from Corollary 2.2 that

$$[M_n^1] = \mathbb{L}^{n^2(g-1)+1} \sum_i F_i,$$

where the F_i are the α -semistable chains of some length r, rank \underline{n} and degree \underline{d} with $\sum n_i = n$, $\sum_{i=0}^r d_i - (l-i)n_i(2g-2) = 1$ and $\alpha = (0, 2g-2, \dots, r(2g-2))$ by Remark 4.1.

For n = 2, the fixed point strata for the \mathbb{G}_m action on M_2^1 are $[\overline{\text{Bun}}_2^{1,\text{ss}}]$ (Example 3.4) and spaces of α -semistable chains of rank (1, 1) and degree $d_0 + d_1 = 1 + 2g - 2$, which exist for $0 \le d_0 - d_1 \le 2g - 2$ (see Example 6.8). Using the fact that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{g-1} [C^{(2k+1)}]t^{2k+1} = \frac{1}{2}(Z(C,t) - Z(C,-t)) - \sum_{k=g}^{\infty} [C^{(2k+1)}]$$

and that for N > 2g - 2 we have $[C^{(N)}] = [\underline{\text{Pic}}](\mathbb{L}^{N+1-g} - 1)$, we obtain the claimed formula.

The fixed point strata for n = 3 are of rank (3), (2, 1), (1, 2), (1, 1, 1). The class of Bun₃^{ss} has been computed in Example 3.5. For rank (2, 1) semistable chains of degree $d_0 + d_1 = 1 + 4g - 4$ can occur and from Example 6.4 we know that these are non-empty only if $g - 1 \le d_0 - 2d_1 \le 4g - 4$, i.e., $g - 1 \le 1 + 4(g - 1) - 3d_1 \le 4(g - 1)$.

Similarly, for rank (1, 2) we have semistable chains of degree $d_0 + d_1 = 1 + 2g - 2$ satisfying $g - 1 \le -(d_1 - 2d_0) \le 4g - 4$, i.e. $g - 1 \le -1 - (2g - 2) + 3d_0 \le 4g - 4$.

The sum over these strata is (Example 6.4)

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq 0 \text{ mod }3}}^{3(g-1)} (\mathbb{L}-1)[\mathcal{M}(2,1)_{g-1+k,0}^{\alpha-\mathrm{ss}}] = \frac{P(1)^2}{\mathbb{L}-1} \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq 0 \text{ mod }3}}^{3(g-1)} \sum_{l=0}^{\lceil 2k/3\rceil-1} [C^{(l)}](\mathbb{L}^{2(g-1)+k-2l} - \mathbb{L}^l) \\ &= \frac{P(1)^2}{\mathbb{L}-1} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{3(g-1)} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor 2k/3\rfloor} [C^{(l)}](\mathbb{L}^{2(g-1)+k-2l} - \mathbb{L}^l) - \sum_{k=0}^{g-1} \sum_{l=0}^{2k} [C^{(l)}](\mathbb{L}^{2(g-1)+3k-2l} - \mathbb{L}^l) \Big). \end{split}$$

For rank (1, 1, 1) we find $d_0 + d_1 + d_2 = 1 + 6g - 6$. Write $l = d_1 - d_2$, $k = d_0 - d_1$. For semistable chains of rank (1, 1, 1) and degree (l + k, l, 0) to exist we need $0 \le l, k$ and $2l + k \le 6g - 6$.

Thus the fixed point strata contribute $\mathcal{M}(1, 1, 1)_{l+k, l, 0}$ for $2l + k \equiv 1 \mod 3$ with $0 \leq l, k$ and $2l + k \leq 6g - 6, l + 2k \leq 6g - 6$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2(g-1)} \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l=1-2k \text{ mod } 3}}^{2g-2} [C^{(l)}][C^{(k)}][\underline{\text{Pic}}] + \sum_{\substack{k=2g-1\\k=2g-1}}^{3(g-1)} \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l=1-2k \text{ mod } 3}}^{6(g-1)-2k} [C^{(l)}][\underline{\text{Pic}}] + \sum_{\substack{k=2g-1\\l=2g-1}}^{3(g-1)} \sum_{\substack{k=0\\2k\equiv 1-l \text{ mod } 3}}^{6(g-1)-2l} [C^{(k)}][C^{(l)}][\underline{\text{Pic}}] = [\underline{\text{Pic}}] \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2(g-1)} \sum_{\substack{k=0\\l\neq k \text{ mod } 3}}^{k} [C^{(l)}][C^{(k)}] + \sum_{\substack{k=2g-1\\k=2g-1}}^{3(g-1)} \sum_{\substack{k=0\\l\neq k \text{ mod } 3}}^{6(g-1)-2k} [C^{(l)}][C^{(k)}]\right).$$

This proves the claimed formula.

Acknowledgements. J.H. would like to thank T. Hausel for sharing his insights and stimulating discussions and S. Mozgovoy for helpful remarks and corrections. O.G.P. was partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain) Grant MTM2007-67623. J.H. was partially supported by the GQT-cluster of NWO and NFS grant No. DMS-0635607. A.S. was supported by SFB 647 "Space-Time-Matter". We thank the Newton Institute for ideal working conditions that allowed us to finish this work.

References

- [1] Álvarez-Cónsul, L., García-Prada, O.: Dimensional reduction, SL(2, C)-equivariant bundles and stable holomorphic chains. Int. J. Math. 12, 159–201 (2001) Zbl 1110.32305 MR 1823573
- [2] Álvarez-Cónsul, L., García-Prada, O., Schmitt, A.: On the geometry of moduli spaces of holomorphic chains over compact Riemann surfaces. Int. Math. Res. Papers 2006, art. ID 73597, 82 pp. Zbl 1111.32012 MR 2253535
- [3] Artin, M., Grothendieck, A., Verdier, J. L.: Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3 (SGA 4). Avec la collaboration de P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. Lecture Notes in Math. 305, Springer, Berlin (1973) MR 0354654
- [4] Atiyah, M. F., Bott, R.: The Yang–Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A 308, 523–615 (1983) Zbl 0509.14014 MR 0702806
- [5] Behrend, K., Dhillon, A.: On the motivic class of the stack of bundles. Adv. Math. 212, 617–644 (2007) Zbl 1138.14014 MR 2329314
- [6] Białynicki-Birula, A.: Theorems on actions of algebraic groups. Ann. of Math. 98, 480–497 (1973) Zbl 0275.14007 MR 0366940
- [7] Bifet, E., Ghione, F., Letizia, M.: On the Abel–Jacobi map for divisors of higher rank on a curve. Math. Ann. 299, 641–672 (1994) Zbl 0840.14003 MR 1286890
- [8] Biswas, I., Ramanan, S.: An infinitesimal study of the moduli of Hitchin pairs. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 49, 219–231 (1994) Zbl 0819.58007 MR 1260109
- [9] Bradlow, S. B., García-Prada, O., Gothen, P.: Moduli spaces of holomorphic triples over compact Riemann surfaces. Math. Ann. 328, 299–351 (2004) Zbl 02051450 MR 2030379
- [10] Chuang, W., Diaconescu, D. E., Pan, G.: Wallcrossing and cohomology of the moduli space of Hitchin pairs. Comm. Number Theory Phys. 5, 1–56 (2011) Zbl 1259.14009 MR 2833316
- [11] Del Baño, S.: On the motive of moduli spaces of rank two vector bundles over a curve. Compos. Math. 131, 1–30 (2002) Zbl 1060.14050 MR 1895918
- [12] Ekedahl, T.: The Grothendieck group of algebraic stacks. arXiv:0903.3143 (2009)
- [13] García-Prada, O., Heinloth, J.: The y-genus of the moduli space of PGL_n-Higgs bundles on a curve (for degree coprime to n). Duke Math. J. 162, 2731–2749 (2013) Zbl 06305787 MR 3127812
- [14] Gothen, P.: The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable rank 3 Higgs bundles on a Riemann surface. Int. J. Math. 5, 861–875 (1994) Zbl 0860.14030 MR 1298999
- [15] Gothen, P., King, A.: Homological algebra of twisted quiver bundles. J. London Math. Soc.
 (2) 71, 85–99 (2005) Zbl 1095.14012 MR 2108248
- [16] Göttsche, L.: On the motive of the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface. Math. Res. Lett. 8, 613–627 (2001) Zbl 1079.14500 MR 1879805
- [17] Harder, G., Narasimhan, M. S.: On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves. Math. Ann. 212, 215–48 (1975) Zbl 0324.14006 MR 0364254
- [18] Hausel, T.: Mirror symmetry and Langlands duality in the non-abelian Hodge theory of a curve, In: Geometric Methods in Algebra and Number Theory, Progr. Math. 235, Birkhäuser Boston, 193–217 (2005) Zbl 1099.14026 MR 2166085

- [19] Hausel, T., Rodríguez-Villegas, F.: Mixed Hodge polynomials of character varieties (with an appendix by N. M. Katz). Invent. Math. 174, 555–624 (2008) Zbl 1213.14020 MR 2453601
- [20] Hausel, T., Thaddeus, M.: Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality, and the Hitchin system. Invent. Math. 153, 197–229 (2003) Zbl 1043.14011 MR 1990670
- [21] Heinloth, F.: A note on functional equations for zeta functions with values in Chow motives. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 57, 1927–1945 (2007) Zbl 1154.14018 MR 2377891
- [22] Heinloth, J.: Coherent sheaves with parabolic structures and construction of Hecke eigensheaves for some ramified local systems. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 54, 2235–2325 (2004) Zbl 1137.11347 MR 2139694
- [23] Heinloth, J.: Lectures on the moduli stack of vector bundles on a curve, In: Affine Flag Manifolds and Principal Bundles, A. Schmitt (ed.), Birkhäuser, 123–153 (2010) Zbl 1211.14018 MR 3013029
- [24] Hesselink, W. H.: Concentration under actions of algebraic groups. In: Paul Dubreil and Marie-Paule Malliavin Algebra Seminar, Lecture Notes in Math. 867, Springer, Berlin, 55– 89 (1981) Zbl 0467.14007 MR 0633514
- [25] Hitchin, N. J.: The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 55, 59–126 (1987) Zbl 0634.53045 MR 0887284
- [26] Joyce, D.: Motivic invariants of Artin stacks and 'stack functions'. Quart. J. Math. 58, 345–392 (2007) Zbl 1131.14005 MR 2354923
- [27] Kapranov, M.: The elliptic curve in the S-duality theory and Eisenstein series for Kac–Moody groups. arXiv:math/0001005
- [28] Kresch, A.: Cycle groups for Artin stacks. Invent. Math. 138, 495–536 (1999) Zbl 0938.14003 MR 1719823
- [29] Larsen, M., Lunts, V.: Rationality criteria for motivic zeta functions. Compos. Math. 140, 1537–1560 (2004) Zbl 1076.14024 MR 2098401
- [30] Laumon, G.: Un analogue global du cône nilpotent. Duke Math. J. 57, 647–671 (1988)
 Zbl 0688.14023 MR 0962524
- [31] Laumon, G., Rapoport, M.: The Langlands lemma and the Betti numbers of stacks of Gbundles on a curve. Int. J. Math. 7, 29–45 (1996) Zbl 0871.14028 MR 1369904
- [32] Looijenga, E.: Motivic measures. In: Séminaire Bourbaki, Volume 1999/2000, Astérisque 276, 267–297 (2002) Zbl 0996.14011 MR 1886763
- [33] MacDonald, I. G.: The Poincaré polynomial of a symmetric product. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 58, 563–568 (1962) Zbl 0121.39601 MR 0143204
- [34] Muñoz, V.: Hodge polynomials of the moduli spaces of rank 3 pairs. Geom. Dedicata 136, 17–46 (2008) Zbl 1157.14018 MR 2443341
- [35] Muñoz, V., Ortega, D., Vázquez-Gallo, M.-J.: Hodge polynomials of the moduli spaces of pairs. Int. J. Math. 18, 695–721 (2007) Zbl 1120.14024 MR 2337400
- [36] Muñoz, V., Ortega, D., Vázquez-Gallo, M.-J.: Hodge polynomials of the moduli spaces of triples of rank (2,2). Quart. J. Math. 60, 235–272 (2009) Zbl 1170.14008 MR 2506383
- [37] Nitsure, N.: Moduli space of semistable pairs on a curve. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 62, 275–300 (1991) Zbl 0733.14005 MR 1085642
- [38] de Ronde, J.: Recursie van Poincaré reeksen. Bachelor thesis, Univ. of Amsterdam (2010)
- [39] Toën, B.: Anneaux de Grothendieck des n-champs d'Artin. arXiv:math/0509098 (2005)
- [40] Zagier, D.: Elementary aspects of the Verlinde formula and of the Harder–Narasimhan– Atiyah–Bott formula. In: Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry (Ramat Gan, 1993), Israel Math. Conf. Proc. 9, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 445–462 (1996) Zbl 0854.14020 MR 1360519