J. Eur. Math. Soc. 17, 819-835

DOI 10.4171/JEMS/519

© European Mathematical Society 2015



Slim Ibrahim · Nader Masmoudi · Kenji Nakanishi

Trudinger–Moser inequality on the whole plane with the exact growth condition

Received October 7, 2012 and in revised form February 8, 2013

Abstract. The Trudinger–Moser inequality is a substitute for the (forbidden) critical Sobolev embedding, namely the case where the scaling corresponds to L^{∞} . It is well known that the original form of the inequality with the sharp exponent (proved by Moser) fails to hold on the whole plane, but a few modified versions are available. We prove a more precise version of the latter, giving *necessary and sufficient conditions* for boundedness, as well as for compactness, in terms of the growth and decay of the nonlinear function. It is tightly related to the ground state of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (or the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation), for which the range of the time phase (or the mass constant) as well as the energy is given by the best constant of the inequality.

Keywords. Sobolev critical exponent, Trudinger–Moser inequality, concentration compactness, nonlinear Schrödinger equation, ground state

1. Introduction

There are several extensions of the critical Sobolev embedding

$$\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^{2d/(d-2)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \tag{1.1}$$

from $d \ge 3$ to d = 2, where the simple limit estimate fails:

$$H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \not\subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{1.2}$$

One way is to replace the right hand side by BMO, Besov, Triebel–Lizorkin or Morrey– Campanato spaces of the same type (scaling). These all take into account possible oscillations of functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If one is more interested in possible growth, another substitute is given by the Trudinger–Moser inequality [38, 31, 32, 25]:

New York, NY 10012, USA; e-mail: masmoudi@courant.nyu.edu

K. Nakanishi: Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan; e-mail: n-kenji@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35J20, 46E35, 35Q55

S. Ibrahim: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria,

PO Box 3060 STN CSC, Victoria, BC, V8P 5C3, Canada; e-mail: ibrahim@math.uvic.ca N. Masmoudi: Courant Institute for Mathematical Sciences, New York University,

Proposition 1.1. For any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with bounded measure $|\Omega| < \infty$, there exists a constant $\kappa(\Omega) > 0$ such that

$$u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le 1 \implies \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi |u|^2} - 1) \, dx \le \kappa(\Omega).$$
 (1.3)

Moreover, this fails if 4π is replaced with any $\alpha > 4\pi$. The constant $\kappa(\Omega)$ is bounded by $|\Omega|$, but in general unbounded as $|\Omega| \to \infty$.

The goal of this paper is to give a more precise version of this inequality in the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 , with necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of the growth of general non-linear functionals (not only for $e^{\alpha|u|^2} - 1$). Before stating our result, let us first recall the following two versions of the Trudinger–Moser inequality on \mathbb{R}^2 . The first one is for smaller exponents.

Proposition 1.2. For any $\alpha < 4\pi$, there exists a constant $c_{\alpha} > 0$ such that

$$u \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2}), \, \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} \leq 1 \, \Rightarrow \, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (e^{\alpha |u|^{2}} - 1) \, dx \leq c_{\alpha} \|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2}.$$
(1.4)

Moreover, this fails if α is replaced with 4π .

One can normalize to $||u||_{L^2} = 1$ by scaling. This version was proved in [9], using the symmetric decreasing rearrangement as Moser did [31]. The necessity of $\alpha < 4\pi$ was proved in [1], also using Moser's example.

The second version is to strengthen the condition on $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ to the whole $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ norm. Then the value $\alpha = 4\pi$ becomes admissible.

Proposition 1.3. *There exists a constant* $\kappa > 0$ *such that*

$$u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \ \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le 1 \ \Rightarrow \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi |u|^2} - 1) \, dx \le \kappa.$$
 (1.5)

Moreover, this fails if 4π is replaced with any $\alpha > 4\pi$.

This version was proved in [34], again by Moser's argument, while the failure for $\alpha > 4\pi$ is clear from the sharpness in the previous two propositions.

In short, the failure of the original Trudinger–Moser (1.3) on \mathbb{R}^2 can be overcome either by weakening the exponent $\alpha = 4\pi$ or by strengthening the norm $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$. It is worth noting, however, that proving these two estimates on \mathbb{R}^2 is considerably easier than the critical case 4π on Ω , which suggests that there is some room for improvement, even though they are "sharp" in their formulations.

Then a natural question arises:

What if we keep both the conditions
$$\alpha = 4\pi$$
 and $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le 1$? (1.6)

Our answer to this question is to weaken the exponential nonlinearity as follows:

Proposition 1.4. There exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$u \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2}), \ \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} \leq 1 \ \Rightarrow \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{e^{4\pi|u|^{2}} - 1}{(1+|u|)^{2}} \, dx \leq c \|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2}.$$
(1.7)

Moreover, this fails if the power 2 in the denominator is replaced with any p < 2.

Obviously this implies Proposition 1.2. It is less obvious that it also implies Proposition 1.3, but indeed this follows by the Hölder inequality only: see Section 6. Hence Proposition 1.4 can be regarded as a unified improvement of those two previous versions, while it can be easily deduced from part (B) of our full Theorem 1.5 below, by taking

$$2\pi K = 1, \quad g(u) = \frac{e^{4\pi |u|^2} - 1}{(1+|u|)^2}.$$
(1.8)

The following theorem completely determines the growth order, not only among exponentials and power functionals, but for general functions, in terms of necessary and sufficient conditions, both for boundedness and for compactness.

Theorem 1.5. For any Borel function $g : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$, define a functional G by

$$G(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varphi(x)) \, dx. \tag{1.9}$$

Then for any K > 0 we have the following conditions.

- (B) Boundedness: The following conditions are equivalent:
 - (1) $\overline{\lim}_{|u|\to\infty} e^{-2|u|^2/K} |u|^2 g(u) < \infty$ and $\overline{\lim}_{u\to0} |u|^{-2} g(u) < \infty$.
 - (2) There exists a constant $C_{g,K} > 0$ such that

$$\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \ \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \le 2\pi K \ \Rightarrow \ G(\varphi) \le C_{g,K} \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2.$$
(1.10)

Moreover, if (1) fails then there exists a sequence $\varphi_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying

$$\|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 < 2\pi K, \quad \|\varphi_n\|_{L^2} \to 0, \quad G(\varphi_n) \to \infty \quad (n \to \infty).$$
 (1.11)

(C) Compactness: The following conditions are equivalent:

- (3) $\lim_{|u|\to\infty} e^{-2|u|^2/K} |u|^2 g(u) = 0$ and $\lim_{u\to0} |u|^{-2} g(u) = 0$. (4) For any sequence of radial $\varphi_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying $\|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\pi K$ and weakly converging to some $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have $G(\varphi_n) \to G(\varphi)$.

Moreover, if (3) fails then there exists a sequence of radial $\varphi_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying $\|\nabla \varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 < 2\pi K$, converging to 0 weakly in H^1 , and $G(\varphi_n) > \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Remark 1. 1) This theorem shows that the true threshold for the L^2 Trudinger–Moser inequality in the whole space under the condition $\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq 1$ is given by the functional in (1.7), and loss of compactness happens only for it.

2) As we will see in the proof, the concentration sequence constructed to show the necessity of (3) is very different from those in the higher dimensional case—it must contain a nontrivial tail going to the spatial infinity which is the main contribution to L^2 , even though the main contribution to G is the concentrating part. This is also different from the concentration in the original Trudinger–Moser inequality (1.3) (see [27]).

3) In the Orlicz space corresponding to $g(u) \sim e^{\alpha |u|^2}$, [5] gives a more precise description of concentration compactness, in terms of the profile decomposition. However, the above phenomena for $g(u) \sim e^{2|u|^2/K} |u|^{-2}$ do not seem to be observable in a linear setting such as in the Orlicz space.

4) For the original Trudinger–Moser inequality (1.3), the exponent 4π can be improved if it is allowed to depend on $||u||_{L^2}/||\nabla u||_{L^2}$. Precisely, it is proved in [2] that

$$\sup_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} = 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi \|u\|^2 (1 + \alpha \|u\|_{L^2}^2)} - 1) \, dx < \infty \tag{1.12}$$

if and only if α is less than the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on Ω . Our inequality (1.7) does not admit such improvement because of scaling invariance.

In Section 2, we prove the necessity of (1) and (3) in Theorem 1.5, by constructing sequences φ_n obtained by rescaling Moser's example. In Section 3, we study the optimal growth of a function in the exterior of the ball when the L^2 and \dot{H}^1 norms are given. This is used in proving the sufficiency or the main part of Theorem 1.5 in Section 4.

2. Proof of the necessity of (1) and (3): Moser's example

First we consider the much easier case with the condition as $u \to 0$. Let $\varphi_n(x)$ be a sequence of radial functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defined by

$$\varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} a_n & (|x| < R_n), \\ a_n(1 - |x| + R_n) & (R_n < |x| < R_n + 1), \\ 0 & (|x| > R_n + 1), \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

for some sequences $a_n \to 0$ and $R_n \to \infty$ to be chosen later. We have

$$\|\varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 \sim a_n^2 R_n^2, \quad \|\nabla\varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2 \sim a_n^2 R_n, \quad G(\varphi_n) \ge \pi R_n^2 g(a_n).$$
(2.2)

If (1) is violated by $\overline{\lim}_{u\to 0} |u|^{-2}g(u) = \infty$, then we can find a sequence $a_n \searrow 0$ such that $g(a_n) \ge n|a_n|^2$. Let $R_n = a_n^{-1/2} + a_n^{-1}n^{-1/4}$. Then $R_n \to \infty$, $a_n R_n \to 0$ and $G(\varphi_n) \ge na_n^2 R_n^2 \to \infty$.

If (3) is violated by $\overline{\lim}_{u\to 0} |u|^{-2}g(u) > 0$, then we can find a sequence $a_n \searrow 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $g(a_n) \ge \delta |a_n|^2$. Let $R_n = 1/a_n$. Then $R_n \to \infty$, $a_n R_n = 1$, $a_n^2 R_n \to 0$ and $G(\varphi_n) \ge \delta a_n^2 R_n^2 \ge \delta$.

It remains to treat the case where the condition for $|u| \to \infty$ fails. First, we recall the following fundamental example of Moser. Let f_{α} be defined by:

$$f_{\alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \ge 1, \\ -\frac{\log |x|}{\sqrt{2\alpha\pi}} & \text{if } e^{-\alpha} \le |x| \le 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} & \text{if } |x| \le e^{-\alpha}, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha > 0$. One can also write f_{α} as

$$f_{\alpha}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \mathbf{L}\left(\frac{-\log|x|}{\alpha}\right),\tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\mathbf{L}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \le 0, \\ t & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } t \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Straightforward computations show that $||f_{\alpha}||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \frac{1}{4\alpha}(1 - e^{-2\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\alpha}$ and $||\nabla f_{\alpha}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 1$.

In order to fit this example into our estimate on \mathbb{R}^2 , we need to make sure that the L^2 norm does not go to zero. This requires a rescaling of Moser's example. Choose sequences $1 \ll b_k \nearrow \infty$ and $K_k \nearrow K$ such that

$$c_k := e^{-2b_k^2/K_k} b_k^2 g(b_k) \to \lim_{|u| \to \infty} e^{-2|u|^2/K} |u|^2 g(u),$$
(2.4)

and let $R_k = e^{-b_k^2/K_k}$. We define a radial function $\psi_k(r) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$\psi_k(r) = \begin{cases} b_k & (r < R_k), \\ b_k \frac{|\log r|}{|\log R_k|} & (R_k \le r < 1), \\ 0 & (r \ge 1). \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

Then we have

$$\|\nabla\psi_k\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\pi b_k^2 \int_{R_k}^1 \frac{dr}{r|\log R_k|^2} = 2\pi K_k < 2\pi K,$$

$$\|\psi_k\|_{L^2}^2 \sim \frac{2\pi b_k^2}{|\log R_k|^2} = \frac{2\pi K_k^2}{b_k^2}, \quad G(\psi_k) \ge 2\pi R_k^2 g(b_k) = \frac{2\pi c_k}{b_k^2},$$

(2.6)

and so $G(\psi_k) \|\psi_k\|_{L^2}^{-2} \ge c_k/K^2$.

Now let $\varphi_k = \psi_k(x/S_k)$, where we choose $S_k = b_k$ if (3) fails (i.e. if $c_k > 0$), and $S_k = o(b_k)$ such that $S_k^2 c_k/b_k^2 \to \infty$ if (1) fails (i.e. if $c_k = \infty$). In both cases φ_k is bounded in H^1 and satisfies

$$\|\nabla\varphi_k\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\nabla\psi_k\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\pi K_k < 2\pi K,$$

$$\|\varphi_k\|_{L^2}^2 = S_k^2 \|\psi_k\|_{L^2}^2 \sim 2\pi K_k^2 \frac{S_k^2}{b_k^2}, \quad G(\varphi_k) \ge 2\pi R_k^2 g(b_k) = \frac{2\pi c_k S_k^2}{b_k^2}.$$
 (2.7)

Moreover, $\varphi_k(x) \to 0$ for every $x \neq 0$, because $|\varphi_k(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ if $|x| \geq S_k e^{-\varepsilon b_k} = o(1)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This ends the proof for the necessity of (1) and (3).

3. Radial Trudinger–Moser and optimal descending

In this section, we prove the following theorem that will be used in the proof of point (2) of the main theorem 1.5. This can be regarded as the exponential version of the radial Sobolev inequality.

Theorem 3.1. There exists a constant C > 0 such that for any radial $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and any K, R > 0,

$$\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^{2}(|x|>R)}^{2} \leq 2\pi K \implies \frac{e^{2\varphi(R)^{2}/K}}{\varphi(R)^{2}/K^{2}} \leq C \|\varphi/R\|_{L^{2}(|x|>R)}^{2} \text{ or } \varphi(R)^{2} \leq K.$$
(3.1)

Note that the second case is as if the (false) critical radial Sobolev inequality

$$\varphi \in H^1_{\text{radial}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \implies \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(|x|>R)} \lesssim \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}$$
(3.2)

were recovered. Hence the first case is essential for the Trudinger–Moser type inequalities, for which the function e^{φ^2}/φ is optimal. More precisely, we have

Theorem 3.2. Let

$$\mu(h) := \inf\{\|\varphi\|_{L^2(|x|>1)} \mid \varphi \in H^1_{\text{radial}}, \ \varphi(1) = h, \ \|\varphi_r\|_{L^2(|x|>1)}^2 \le 2\pi\}$$
(3.3)

for h > 1, where $\varphi_r = \partial_r \varphi$. Then $\mu(h) \sim e^{h^2} / h$ for h > 1.

Obviously, the first theorem follows from the second one, by rescaling. To prove the latter, we consider the discrete version:

$$\mu_d(h) := \inf\{\|a\|_{(e)} \mid \|a\|_1 = h, \ \|a\|_2 \le 1\}$$
(3.4)

for h > 1, where the norms of any sequence $a = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are defined by

$$\|a\|_{p}^{p} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n}|^{p}, \quad \|a\|_{(e)}^{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{2n} a_{n}^{2}.$$
(3.5)

Lemma 3.3. *We have* $\mu(h) \sim \mu_d(h)$ *for* h > 1*.*

Proof. μ_d is naturally obtained by optimizing the energy for given values on the lattice.

For $\mu(h)$, it suffices to consider radial $\varphi \in H^1$ satisfying $\varphi_r \le 0 \le \varphi$. Let $h_k = \varphi(e^k)$ and $a_k = h_k - h_{k+1}$ for k = 0, 1, 2... We can optimize the energy on each interval $[e^k, e^{k+1}]$ by replacing φ with

$$\psi(r) = a_k |\log(e^{-k-1}r)| + h_{k+1} \quad (e^k \le r \le e^{k+1}).$$
(3.6)

Then $\psi(1) = h_0 = \varphi(1) = h$ and

$$\int_{e^k}^{e^{k+1}} \psi_r(r)^2 r \, dr = a_k^2 = (\varphi(e^k) - \varphi(e^{k+1}))^2 \le \int_{e^k}^{e^{k+1}} \varphi_r(r)^2 r \, dr, \qquad (3.7)$$

where the last inequality follows from the Schwarz inequality. For the L^2 norm we have

$$\|\psi\|_{L^{2}(r>1)}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k}^{2} e^{2k} \lesssim h_{0}^{2} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(r>1)}^{2},$$
(3.8)

where h_0^2 is estimated by using the energy as follows. For $1 < r < e^{1/4}$ we have

$$\varphi(1) - \varphi(r) \le \int_{r}^{1} \varphi_{r}(s) \, ds \le \sqrt{\int_{1}^{e^{1/4}} \varphi_{r}^{2} r \, dr \, \int_{1}^{e^{1/4}} \frac{dr}{r}} \le \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.9}$$

which implies $\varphi(r) \ge h_0/2$ since $h_0 = h > 1$, and so

$$h_0^2 \lesssim \int_1^{e^{1/4}} \varphi^2 r \, dr \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2.$$
 (3.10)

Hence for $\mu(h)$ it suffices to consider such ψ . Moreover we have

$$\|a\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\psi_{r}\|_{L^{2}(|x|>1)}^{2}/(2\pi) \leq 1, \quad \|a\|_{1} = h_{0} = h,$$
(3.11)

and

$$\|\psi\|_{L^{2}(r>1)}^{2} \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} h_{j}^{2} e^{2j} = \sum_{j} \sum_{k,l\geq j} a_{k} a_{l} e^{2j}$$
$$= \sum_{k,l} a_{k} a_{l} \sum_{j\leq \min(k,l)} e^{2j} \sim \sum_{k\leq l} a_{k} a_{l} e^{2k} \sim \|a\|_{(e)}^{2}, \quad (3.12)$$

where \lesssim for the last equivalence follows from the Young inequality on \mathbb{Z} .

Now, Theorem 3.2, and hence Theorem 3.1, follows from

Lemma 3.4. For h > 1 we have

$$\mu_d(h) \sim e^{h^2}/h.$$
 (3.13)

This is essentially achieved by constant sequences of finite length, corresponding to Moser's function in the continuous version. The (*e*) norm determines the fall-off or the length of the sequence, and then optimization of the embedding $\ell^2 \subset \ell^1$ on the finite length forces it to be a constant.

Proof. Since $\mu_d(h)$ is increasing in h, it suffices to show $\mu_d(\sqrt{n}) \sim e^n/\sqrt{n}$ for all integer n. Now \leq is easily seen by choosing $a = (1, ..., 1)/\sqrt{n}$, so we consider \geq . Suppose for contradiction that for some $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $n \gg 1$ and some sequence a we have

$$||a||_2 \le 1, \quad ||a||_1 = \sqrt{n}, \quad ||a||_{(e)}^2 \le \varepsilon^2 e^{2n}/n.$$
 (3.14)

From the last condition we get

$$n \le j \implies |a_j| \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}} e^{n-j},$$
 (3.15)

and so letting $a'_j = a_j$ for $j \le n$ and $a'_j = 0$ for j > n, we get

$$\|a'\|_{1} \ge \|a\|_{1} - \sum_{j>n} |a_{j}| \ge \sqrt{n} - \frac{C\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}}.$$
(3.16)

Then by the support of a' we have

$$n - C\varepsilon \le \|a'\|_1^2 = n\|a'\|_2^2 - \sum_{j,k \le n} (a_j - a_k)^2/2 \le n - \sum_{j,k \le n} (a_j - a_k)^2/2, \quad (3.17)$$

hence

$$\sum_{j,k\le n} (a_j - a_k)^2 \lesssim \varepsilon.$$
(3.18)

Choose $m \le n$ so that $\min_{j \le n} |a_j| = |a_m|$. Then from the above estimate we get

$$\|a'\|_{1} - n|a_{m}| \le \|a_{j} - a_{m}\|_{\ell^{1}(j \le n)} \le \sqrt{n} \|a_{j} - a_{m}\|_{\ell^{2}(j \le n)} \lesssim \sqrt{n\varepsilon}.$$
 (3.19)

Combining this with (3.16), we get

$$|a_m| \gtrsim \sqrt{n}/n = 1/\sqrt{n},\tag{3.20}$$

provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Since $|a_n| \ge |a_m|$, we obtain $||a||_{(e)} \gtrsim e^n / \sqrt{n}$, which yields a contradiction. Hence, we deduce that $\mu_d(h)^2 \sim e^{2h^2} / h^2$.

4. Proof of (2) and (4) of Theorem 1.5

To prove (2) of Theorem 1.5, it suffices to show that

$$G(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \min(|\varphi|^2, |\varphi|^{-2}) e^{2|\varphi|^2} dx \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2$$
(4.1)

for all nonnegative, radially decreasing $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying $\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\pi$. Here we took K = 1. Fix such a radial function $\varphi(x) = \varphi(r)$ and let $g(s) = \min(|s|^2, |s|^{-2})e^{2|s|^2}$.

Choose $R_0 > 0$ such that $\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(r>R_0)}^2 = 2\pi K_0$, where $K_0 = \kappa \in (2/3, 1)$ is a constant which will be determined later, below (4.25). It is obvious by scaling invariance that R_0 depends on φ , but we do not need any bound on R_0 . Let

$$R := \inf\{r > 0 \mid \varphi(r) \le 1\}.$$
(4.2)

Then the desired estimate (4.1) in the region $\{r > R\}$ follows from $g(\varphi) \leq \varphi^2 \leq 1$, which is enough if R = 0. Otherwise we have R > 0 and $\varphi(R) = 1$.

First we dispose of the easiest case $\varphi(R_0)^2 \le K_0$, the second case in Theorem 3.1. Then $R < R_0$ since $K_0 < 1$. Hence in the region $\{r < R\}$, by the Schwarz inequality,

$$\varphi(r) - 1 = \int_{r}^{R} |\varphi_{r}| \, dr \le \sqrt{(1 - K_{0}) \log(R/r)},\tag{4.3}$$

and so, setting $\hat{K} := 1 - K_0/2$, we have

$$\frac{\varphi(r)^2}{\hat{K}} \le \frac{(\varphi(r)-1)^2}{1-K_0} + \frac{1}{\hat{K}-(1-K_0)} \le \log(R/r) + \frac{2}{K_0},\tag{4.4}$$

where we have used the Young inequality $(a + b)^2/(\alpha + \beta) \le a^2/\alpha + b^2/\beta$. Thus we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{R} e^{2\varphi(r)^{2}} r \, dr \lesssim \int_{0}^{R} (R/r)^{2\hat{K}} r \, dr \lesssim R^{2} \le 2 \int_{0}^{R} \varphi(r)^{2} r \, dr, \tag{4.5}$$

since $\varphi(r) \ge 1$ for $r \le R$. The implicit constants can be chosen respectively as

$$e^{2(2-\kappa)/\kappa}, \quad 1/\kappa.$$
 (4.6)

Combining the above estimate with that on r > R, we deduce the desired (4.1) in the case $\varphi(R_0)^2 \le K_0$.

Therefore, in the rest of the proof, we assume that $\varphi(R_0)^2 > K_0$, which is the main case. The region $r > R_0$ is subcritical and easily estimated by the same argument as above. Since the region r > R is already estimated, it suffices to consider the case $R_0 < R$. Then in the region $\{R_0 < r < R\}$, we argue in the same way as in (4.3)–(4.5),

putting $K' := (1 + K_0)/2 < 1$:

$$\varphi(r) - 1 = \int_{r}^{R} |\varphi_{r}| dr \leq \sqrt{K_{0} \log(R/r)},$$

$$\frac{\varphi(r)^{2}}{K'} \leq \frac{(\varphi(r) - 1)^{2}}{K_{0}} + \frac{1}{K' - K_{0}} \leq \log(R/r) + \frac{2}{1 - K_{0}},$$

$$\int_{R_{0}}^{R} e^{2\varphi(r)^{2}} r dr \lesssim \int_{R_{0}}^{R} (R/r)^{2K'} r dr \lesssim R^{2} - R_{0}^{2} \leq 2 \int_{R_{0}}^{R} \varphi(r)^{2} r dr.$$
(4.7)

The above implicit constants can be chosen respectively as

$$e^{2(1+\kappa)/(1-\kappa)}, \quad \frac{1}{1-\kappa}.$$
 (4.8)

Combining the above estimate with that for r > R, we obtain

$$\int_{|x|>R_0} g(\varphi(x)) \, dx \lesssim \int_{|x|>R_0} \varphi(x)^2 \, dx = 2\pi \, M_0. \tag{4.9}$$

Now we proceed to the main part $r < R_0$. Let

.

$$R_{j} = R_{0}e^{-j}, \quad h_{j} = \varphi(R_{j}), \quad a_{j} = \sqrt{\int_{R_{j}}^{R_{j-1}} |\varphi_{r}|^{2}r \, dr},$$

$$K_{j} = \int_{R_{j}}^{\infty} |\varphi_{r}|^{2}r \, dr, \quad M_{0} = \int_{R_{0}}^{\infty} \varphi^{2}r \, dr.$$
(4.10)

Then Theorem 3.1 gives

$$\frac{e^{2h_0^2/K_0}}{h_0^2}R_0^2 \lesssim M_0/K_0^2 \sim M_0.$$
(4.11)

By the monotone convergence theorem, we may assume that φ is constant on $|x| < R_N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it suffices to show that

.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{e^{2h_j^2}}{h_j^2} R_j^2 \lesssim M_0.$$
(4.12)

First we derive a bound for each *j*. By the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$h_j - h_{j-1} = \int_{R_j}^{R_{j-1}} |\varphi_r| \, dr \le a_j, \tag{4.13}$$

and so, using that $K_j = K_{j-1} + a_j^2$, we get

$$h_j^2 \le (h_{j-1} + a_j)^2 = \frac{K_j h_{j-1}^2}{K_{j-1}} + K_j - \frac{(a_j h_{j-1} - K_{j-1})^2}{K_{j-1}} \le \frac{K_j h_{j-1}^2}{K_{j-1}} + K_j.$$
(4.14)

Hence,

$$\frac{h_j^2}{K_j} \le \frac{h_{j-1}^2}{K_{j-1}} + 1.$$

Now, we define H_j and ξ_j by

$$H_0 = h_0, \quad H_j = H_{j-1} + a_j, \quad \xi_j = H_j^2 / K_j.$$
 (4.15)

Then we have

$$h_j \le H_j, \quad \xi_j \le \xi_{j-1} + 1 \le \xi_0 + j,$$
(4.16)

which implies that

$$\eta_j := e^{2H_j^2/K_j} R_j^2 = e^{2(\xi_j - j)} R_0^2$$
(4.17)

is decreasing in j. This is not sufficient to sum over j, for which we have to sharpen the above estimate.

The idea is to exploit the room given by the factor $1/K_j$ in the exponential to show that the sum (4.12) is essentially dominated by the first term η_0 . The possible growth of the denominator h_j^2 will not play any role for the summability and so h_j^2 can be replaced by h_0^2 .

Let $J = \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and define

$$A := \{ j \in J \mid a_j H_{j-1} \le K_{j-1} - K_j/3 \}, \quad B := J \setminus A.$$
(4.18)

On *A*, the sequence η_j decays fast enough to be summed without using the factor $1/K_j$, while on *B*, the decrease of $1/K_j$ is effective enough to supply the summability.

Indeed, for $j \in A$ we have, by the same computation as in (4.14),

$$\xi_j \le \xi_{j-1} + 8/9, \tag{4.19}$$

whereas for $j \in B$ we have

$$a_j^2 \gtrsim \frac{K_{j-1}^2}{H_{j-1}^2} = \frac{K_{j-1}}{\xi_{j-1}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\xi_0 + j - 1}.$$
 (4.20)

For the sum over *A* we have

$$\sum_{j \in A} \eta_j \le \sum_{k=1}^{\#A} e^{-2k/9} \eta_0 \lesssim \eta_0 = e^{2h_0^2/K_0} R_0^2, \tag{4.21}$$

and so

$$\sum_{j \in A} \frac{e^{2H_j^2/K_j}}{h_j^2} R_j^2 \lesssim \frac{e^{2h_0^2/K_0}}{h_0^2} R_0^2 \lesssim M_0.$$
(4.22)

To bound the sum over *B*, let a, a + 1, ..., b be any maximal consecutive sequence in *B*. Then for any $j \in \{a, ..., b\}$ we have

$$\xi_j \le \xi_a + j - a, \quad a_{j+1}^2 \ge \frac{\delta}{\xi_a + j - a},$$
(4.23)

for some fixed constant $\delta > 0$. Now let

$$\zeta_j := K_j (\xi_a + j - a) - j. \tag{4.24}$$

Then we have $H_j^2 - j \le \zeta_j$ and

$$\zeta_j - \zeta_{j-1} = a_j^2 (\xi_a + j - 1 - a) + K_j - 1 \ge \delta + \kappa - 1.$$
(4.25)

Now we choose κ sufficiently close to 1 so that the right hand side is greater than $\delta/2$. Then we have

$$\sum_{j=a}^{b} e^{2H_j^2} R_j^2 \le \sum_{j=a}^{b} e^{2\zeta_j} R_0^2 \le \sum_{k=0}^{b-a} e^{2\zeta_b - \delta k} R_0^2 \lesssim e^{2\zeta_b} R_0^2 \le \eta_a \le \eta_{a-1},$$
(4.26)

and so

$$\sum_{j\in B} e^{2H_j^2} R_j^2 \lesssim \eta_0 + \sum_{j\in A} \eta_j \lesssim \eta_0, \qquad (4.27)$$

which implies, together with (4.22), the desired estimate in (2).

Finally we prove (4) from (3) using the boundedness (B) proved above. By the radial Sobolev inequality we have

$$|\varphi(r)|^2 \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_r\|_{L^2} / r, \tag{4.28}$$

and hence $\varphi_n(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$ uniformly in *n*. Moreover,

$$[\varphi_n]_{R_0}^{R_1} = \int_{R_0}^{R_1} \partial_r \varphi_n \, dr \tag{4.29}$$

converges as $n \to \infty$ for any $0 < R_0 < R_1 < \infty$. Hence $\varphi_n(r) \to \varphi(r)$ at every $r \in (0, \infty)$. By the radial Sobolev inequality and since $g(\varphi) = o(|\varphi|^2)$ as $\varphi \to 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is R > 0 independent of *n* such that

$$\int_{R}^{\infty} g(\varphi_n) r \, dr \le \int_{R}^{\infty} \varepsilon |\varphi_n|^2 r \, dr \lesssim \varepsilon \|\varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.30}$$

By (2) and the fact that $g(\varphi) = o(\exp(2|\varphi|^2)|\varphi|^{-2})$ as $|\varphi| \to \infty$, there is L > 1 independent of *n* such that

$$\int_{|\varphi_n|>L} g(\varphi_n) \, dx \le \int_{|\varphi_n|>L} \varepsilon \exp(2|\varphi_n|^2) |\varphi_n|^{-2} \, dx \lesssim \varepsilon \|\varphi_n\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.31}$$

Now define g^L by

$$g^{L}(u) = \begin{cases} g(u) & (|u| \le L), \\ g(Lu/|u|) & (|u| > L). \end{cases}$$
(4.32)

Then we have

$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} |G(\varphi_n) - G(\varphi)| \lesssim \varepsilon + \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \int_{|x| < R} |g^L(\varphi_n) - g^L(\varphi)| \, dx = \varepsilon, \tag{4.33}$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. This ends the proof of (4).

5. Elliptic equation

Now we consider the existence of solutions for the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$-\Delta Q + cQ = f'(Q), \tag{5.1}$$

for $Q : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, c > 0, and an exponential nonlinearity f. The existence of the ground state, namely the positive radial solution with the least energy among all solutions, has been studied by the ODE technique in [3, 18] including supercritical nonlinearity, and by the variational technique in [6] for subcritical nonlinearity, and in [9] including the critical nonlinearity.

Combining the above more precise Trudinger–Moser inequality with the argument in [22], we deduce the following. Let D be the operator defined by Df(u) = uf'(u).

Theorem 5.1. Assume that $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $f(u) = o(u^2)$ as $u \to 0$, $(D-2)f \ge \varepsilon f \ge 0$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and there exists $\kappa_0 \ge 0$ such that for all $\kappa_+ > \kappa_0 > \kappa_-$,

$$\lim_{|u| \to \infty} Df(u)e^{-\kappa_{+}|u|^{2}} = 0, \quad \lim_{|u| \to \infty} f(u)e^{-\kappa_{-}|u|^{2}} = \infty,$$
(5.2)

and $\lim_{|u|\to\infty} Df(u)/f(u) = \infty$. Then there exists $c_* \in (0,\infty]$ such that there is a positive radial solution Q_c of (5.1) for each $c \in (0, c_*]$, which has the least energy among all solutions. Moreover, $c_* = C_{f,\kappa_0}$ in (2) of Theorem 1.5 when it is finite, while $c_* = \infty$ is equivalent to

$$\overline{\lim_{|u|\to\infty}} f(u)e^{-\kappa_0|u|^2}|u|^2 = \infty.$$
(5.3)

In addition,

$$\kappa_0 \|\nabla Q_c\|_{L^2}^2 \le 4\pi, \tag{5.4}$$

where equality holds if and only if $c = c_*$.

I

The subcritical nonlinearity is covered by taking $\kappa_0 = 0$, while the supercritical nonlinearity such as those in [18, Theorem 6] is also covered by taking $\kappa_0 > 0$. Although it is not easy to fully compare the conditions in [3, 18] with our variational condition, there is certainly a new case, namely when

$$f(u) \gg e^{\kappa_0 |u|^2} / |u|^2, \quad f'(u) = o(e^{\kappa_0 |u|^2}) \quad (|u| \to \infty).$$
 (5.5)

Such a nonlinearity has been investigated for the Dirichlet problem

$$-\Delta u = f'(u) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega$$
(5.6)

on a bounded domain Ω , including the threshold case $f'(u) = e^{\kappa_0 |u|^2}/u$ (see [13]). The ground state for c = 1 was constructed in [9] under the conditions $|f'(u)| \leq e^{4\pi u^2}$, $(D-2)f \geq \varepsilon f$ and, for some $p \in (2, \infty)$,

$$Df(u) \ge \frac{p}{2} |u|^p \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}\right)^{1-p/2} \inf_{\substack{0 \ne u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}} \frac{\|u\|_{H^1}^p}{\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}^p}.$$
(5.7)

It seems difficult to compare this and our condition $1 \leq C_{f,\kappa_0}$.

We omit a proof of the above theorem, for it was completely proved in [22] except for the necessary and sufficient condition for $c_* = \infty$, but it was stated in the form (2) in Theorem 1.5. Note that in [22] we chose $c = \min(1, c_*)$ (with $c_* = C_{TM}^*$ in the notation therein) simply because the mass coefficient of the Klein–Gordon equation, corresponding to c in the static equation (5.1), was fixed to 1, but there was no reason for choosing 1. In other words, we could obviously choose any $c \in (0, c_*)$.

It is worth noting that the compactness (4) of Theorem 1.5 does not seem useful for the above problem, but the compactness on a minimizing sequence comes from the superpower growth $Df(u)/f(u) \rightarrow \infty$ together with a variational constraint (see [22]). A related fact is that the best constants in (1.3) and in (1.5) are not attained by any concentrating sequences (see [10, 15, 34]).

A natural question is what happens if $c > c_*$. We do not have any existence or nonexistence result in this range, but the Pokhozhaev identity yields

$$\langle -\Delta Q + cQ + f'(Q) | x \cdot \nabla Q \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [c|Q|^2 - f(Q)] dx, \qquad (5.8)$$

and so any solution of (5.1) must have supercritical kinetic energy $\kappa_0 \|\nabla Q\|_2^2 > 4\pi$ for the Trudinger–Moser inequality. This implies that the constrained minimization in terms of the energy $E_c(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} [|\nabla \varphi|^2 + c|\varphi|^2] - f(\varphi) \right) dx$,

$$m_c := \inf\{E_c(\varphi) \mid 0 \neq \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \ \langle E'_c(\varphi) \mid x \cdot \nabla \varphi \rangle = 0\},$$
(5.9)

does not have any minimizer, but $m_c = m_{c_*} = 2\pi/\kappa_0 = E_{c_*}(Q_{c_*})$ for any $c > c_*$. See [22] for more details, including other constraints. This leads to a different dynamical picture on the threshold energy m_c for the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation

$$\ddot{u} - \Delta u + cu = f'(u), \tag{5.10}$$

from the standard power nonlinearity $f'(u) = u^p$. See [23] for the details.

6. From TM with the exact growth to TM with H^1

In this section we show how one can derive Proposition 1.3 from our inequality (1.7) using the Hölder inequality only. Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfy $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \leq 1$. The first observation is that by Taylor expansion of exp, there is a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (4\pi |u|^2)^n \, dx \le C_0 (n+1)! \|u\|_{L^2}^2,$$
 (6.1)

hence there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for any $p \ge 1$,

$$||u|^{2}||_{L^{p}} \le C_{1}p||u||_{L^{2}}^{2/p}, \tag{6.2}$$

which is extended to noninteger p by the Hölder inequality.

Next, if $||u||_{H^1}^2 \le 1$ then for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \le \theta, \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \le 1 - \theta.$$
 (6.3)

If we can take $\theta \ge 1/2$, then (1.5) follows from (1.4) applied to $\sqrt{2}u$ with $\alpha = 2\pi$. So we may assume that $\theta < 1/2$. Let $A := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |u(x)| \ge 1\}$. Then by the Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{A} e^{4\pi |u|^{2}} dx \leq \left[\int_{A} \frac{e^{4\pi |u|^{2}/(1-\theta)}}{|u|^{2}/(1-\theta)} dx \right]^{1-\theta} \||u|^{2}/(1-\theta)\|_{L^{(1-\theta)/\theta}(A)}^{1-\theta}, \tag{6.4}$$

where the first term on the right is bounded by (1.7),

$$\int_{A} \frac{e^{4\pi |u|^{2}/(1-\theta)}}{|u|^{2}/(1-\theta)} \, dx \le C_{2} \frac{\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}}{1-\theta} \le C_{2} \frac{\theta}{1-\theta},\tag{6.5}$$

while the second term is bounded by (6.2),

$$\left\|\frac{|u|^2}{1-\theta}\right\|_{L^{(1-\theta)/\theta}(A)} \le C_1 \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \left\|\frac{u}{\sqrt{1-\theta}}\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2\theta}{1-\theta}} \le C_1 \left[\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1-2\theta}{1-\theta}}.$$
 (6.6)

Putting them into (6.4), we obtain

$$\int_{A} e^{4\pi |u|^{2}} dx \lesssim \left[\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right]^{1-\theta} \left[\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\right]^{1-2\theta} \le \left[\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right]^{\theta}, \tag{6.7}$$

which is bounded for $0 < \theta < 1/2$. The estimate on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus A$ is trivial.

Acknowledgments. The first author is thankful to Professor Yoshio Tsutsumi and all members of the Math Department at Kyoto University for their very generous hospitality. The authors are grateful to the referees for their careful reading and pointing out a gap in the original version (the second case of (3.1) was missing).

S. I. is partially supported by NSERC# 371637-2009 grant and a start up fund from University of Victoria.

N. M is partially supported by an NSF Grant DMS-0703145.

References

- [1] Adachi, S., Tanaka, K.: Trudinger type inequalities in \mathbb{R}^N and their best exponents. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128**, 2051–2057 (2000) Zbl 0980.46020 MR 1646323
- [2] Adimurthi, Druet, O.: Blow-up analysis in dimension 2 and a sharp form of Trudinger-Moser inequality. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 29, 295–322 (2004) Zbl 1076.46022 MR 2038154
- [3] Atkinson, F. V., Peletier, L. A.: Ground states and Dirichlet problems for $-\Delta u = f(u)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **96**, 147–165 (1986) Zbl 0657.35057 MR 0853971
- [4] Bahouri, H., Gérard, P.: High frequency approximation of solutions to critical nonlinear wave equations. Amer. J. Math. 121, 131–175 (1999) Zbl 0919.35089 MR 1705001
- [5] Bahouri, H., Majdoub, M., Masmoudi, N.: On the lack of compactness in the 2D critical Sobolev embedding. J. Funct. Anal. 260, 208–252 (2011) Zbl 1217.46017 MR 2733577
- [6] Berestycki, H., Gallouët, T., Kavian, O.: Équations de champs scalaires euclidiens nonlinéaires dans le plan. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 297, 307–310 (1983) Zbl 0544.35042 MR 0734575
- [7] Berestycki, H., Lions, P.-L.: Nonlinear scalar field equations, I. Existence of ground state. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 82, 313–346 (1983) Zbl 0533.35029 MR 0695535
- [8] Brézis, H., Wainger, S.: A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embeddings and convolution inequalities. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 5, 773–789 (1980) Zbl 0437.35071 MR 0579997
- [9] Cao, D. M.: Nontrivial solution of semilinear elliptic equation with critical exponent in ℝ². Comm. Partial Differential Equations 17, 407–435 (1992) Zbl 0763.35034 MR 1163431
- [10] Carleson, L., Chang, A.: On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser. Bull. Sci. Math. 110, 113–127 (1986) Zbl 0619.58013 MR 0878016
- [11] Cianchi, A.: A sharp embedding theorem for Orlicz–Sobolev spaces. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 45, 39–65 (1996) Zbl 0860.46022 MR 1406683
- [12] de Figueiredo, D. G., Ruf, B.: Existence and non-existence of radial solutions for elliptic equations with critical exponent in ℝ². Comm. Pure Appl. 48, 639–655 (1995) Zbl 0833.35036 MR 1338473
- [13] de Figueiredo, D. G., do Ó, J. M., Ruf, B.: On an inequality by N. Trudinger and J. Moser and related elliptic equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 55, 135–152 (2002) Zbl 1040.35029 MR 1865413
- [14] Edmunds, D. E., Kerman, R., Pick, L.: Optimal Sobolev imbeddings involving rearrangementinvariant quasinorms. J. Funct. Anal. 170, 307–355 (2000) Zbl 0955.46019 MR 1740655
- [15] Flucher, M.: Extremal functions for the Trudinger–Moser inequality in 2 dimensions. Comm. Math. Helv. 67, 471–479 (1992) Zbl 0763.58008 MR 1171306
- [16] Gérard, P.: Oscillations and concentration effects in semilinear dispersive wave equations. J. Funct. Anal. 141, 60–98 (1996) Zbl 0868.35075 MR 1414374
- [17] Gérard, P.: Description du défaut de compacité de l'injection de Sobolev. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 3, 213–233 (1998) Zbl 0907.46027 MR 1632171
- [18] García-Huidobro, M., Manásevich, R., Serrin, J., Tang, M., Yarur, C. S.: Ground states and free boundary value problems for the *n*-Laplacian in *n* dimensional space. J. Funct. Anal. **172**, 177–201 (2000) Zbl 0996.35019 MR 1749871
- [19] Hansson, K.: Imbedding theorems of Sobolev type in potential theory. Math. Scand. 45, 77– 102 (1979) Zbl 0437.31009 MR 0567435
- [20] Hempel, J. A., Morris, G. R., Trudinger, N. S.: On the sharpness of a limiting case of the Sobolev imbedding theorem. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 3, 369–373 (1970) Zbl 0205.12801 MR 0280998

- [21] Ibrahim, S., Majdoub, M., Masmoudi, N.: Double logarithmic inequality with a sharp constant. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135, 87–97 (2007) Zbl 1130.46018 MR 2280178
- [22] Ibrahim, S., Masmoudi, N., Nakanishi, K.: Scattering threshold for the focusing nonlinear Klein–Gordon equation. Anal. PDE 4, 405–460 (2011) Zbl 1270.35132 MR 2872122
- [23] Ibrahim, S., Masmoudi, N., Nakanishi, K.: Threshold solutions in the case of mass-shift for the critical Klein–Gordon equation. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366, 5653–5669 (2014) Zbl 1302.35260 MR 3256178
- [24] Jaffard, S.: Analysis of the lack of compactness in the critical Sobolev embeddings. J. Funct. Anal. 161, 384–396 (1999) Zbl 0922.46030 MR 1674639
- [25] Judovič, V. I.: Some estimates connected with integral operators and with solutions of elliptic equations. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 138, 805–808 (1961) (in Russian) Zbl 0144.14501 MR 0140822
- [26] Lions, P.-L.: The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. II. Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. 1, no. 2, 45–121 (1985) Zbl 0704.49006 MR 0850686
- [27] Lions, P.-L.: The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I. Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. 1, no. 1, 145–201 (1985) Zbl 0704.49005 MR 0834360
- [28] Lions, P.-L.: The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 1, 109–145 (1984) Zbl 0541.49009 MR 0778970
- [29] Lions, P.-L.: The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. II. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 1, 223–283 (1984) Zbl 0704.49004 MR 0778974
- [30] Malý, J., Pick, L.: An elementary proof of sharp Sobolev embeddings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130, 555–563 (2002) Zbl 0990.46022 MR 1862137
- [31] Moser, J.: A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20, 1077– 1092 (1971) Zbl 0213.13001 MR 0301504
- [32] Pokhozhaev, S. I.: The Sobolev embedding in the case pl = n. In: Proc. Tech. Sci. Conf. on Adv. Sci. Research 1964–1965, Mathematics Section, Moskov. Energet. Inst., Moscow, 158–170 (1965) (in Russian)
- [33] Rao, M.-M., Ren, Z.-D.: Applications of Orlicz Spaces. Monographs Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. 250, Dekker (2002) Zbl 0997.46027 MR 1890178
- [34] Ruf, B.: A sharp Trudinger–Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in \mathbb{R}^2 . J. Funct. Anal. **219**, 340–367 (2005) Zbl 1119.46033 MR 2109256
- [35] Runst, T., Sickel, W.: Sobolev Spaces of Fractional Order, Nemytskij Operators, and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. De Gruyter Ser. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 3, de Gruyter, Berlin (1996) Zbl 0873.35001 MR 1419319
- [36] Strauss, W.-A.: Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions. Comm. Math. Phys. 55, 149–162 (1977) Zbl 0356.35028 MR 0454365
- [37] Struwe, M.: Critical points of embeddings of $H_0^{1,n}$ into Orlicz spaces. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **5**, 425–464 (1988) Zbl 0664.35022 MR 0970849
- [38] Trudinger, N. S.: On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications. J. Math. Mech. 17, 473–484 (1967) Zbl 0163.36402 MR 0216286