



Laurent Baratchart · Alexander Borichev · Slah Chaabi

Pseudo-holomorphic functions at the critical exponent

Received September 23, 2013

Abstract. We study Hardy classes on the disk associated to the equation $\bar{\partial}w = \alpha\bar{w}$ for $\alpha \in L^r$ with $2 \leq r < \infty$. The paper seems to be the first to deal with the case $r = 2$. We prove an analog of the M. Riesz theorem and a topological converse to the Bers similarity principle. Using the connection between pseudo-holomorphic functions and conjugate Beltrami equations, we deduce well-posedness on smooth domains of the Dirichlet problem with weighted L^p boundary data for 2D isotropic conductivity equations whose coefficients have logarithm in $W^{1,2}$. In particular these are not strictly elliptic. Our results depend on a new multiplier theorem for $W_0^{1,2}$ -functions.

Keywords. Pseudo-holomorphic functions, Hardy spaces, conjugate Beltrami equation, nonstrictly elliptic equations, Dirichlet problem

1. Introduction

Pseudo-holomorphic functions of one complex variable, i.e. solutions to a $\bar{\partial}$ equation whose right hand side is a real linear function of the unknown variable, are perhaps the simplest generalization of holomorphic functions. They received early attention in [41, 11] and extensive treatment in [6, 42] when the coefficients are L^r -summable, $r > 2$. While [6] takes on a function-theoretic viewpoint, [42] dwells on integral equations and leans to applications to geometry, elasticity and hydrodynamics. Recent developments and applications to various boundary value problems can be found in [31, 15]. Hardy classes for such functions were introduced in [35] and subsequently considered in [27, 28, 29, 5] in the range of exponents $1 < p < \infty$; see [14, 30, 16, 4] for further generalizations to multiply connected domains. The connection between pseudo-holomorphic functions and conjugate Beltrami equations makes pseudo-holomorphic Hardy classes a convenient framework to solve Dirichlet problems with L^p boundary data for isotropic conductivity equations [5, 14, 4]. These are also instrumental in [17, 18, 19, 16] to approach certain inverse boundary problems.

L. Baratchart, S. Chaabi: INRIA Sophia-Antipolis, BP 93, 06902 Sophia-Antipolis Cedex, France; e-mail: Laurent.Baratchart@inria.fr, slah.chaabi@laposte.net

A. Borichev: Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, 39, rue F. Joliot-Curie, 13453 Marseille, France; e-mail: alexander.borichev@math.cnrs.fr

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 30G20; Secondary 30E25, 35J56, 46E35

As reported in [7], I. N. Vekua stressed on several occasions an interest in developing the theory for L^r coefficients when $1 < r \leq 2$. However, solutions then need no longer be continuous, which has apparently been an obstacle to such extensions; see [7, 36] for classes of coefficients that ensure such continuity. The present paper seems to be the first to deal with the critical exponent $r = 2$. We develop a theory of pseudo-holomorphic Hardy spaces on the disk in the range $1 < p < \infty$, prove existence of L^p boundary values, and give an analog of the M. Riesz theorem in this context. As a byproduct, we obtain a Liouville-type theorem. We also develop a topological parametrization by holomorphic Hardy functions which is new even for $r > 2$. We apply our result to well-posedness of the Dirichlet problem with weighted L^p boundary data for 2D conductivity equations whose coefficients have logarithm in $W^{1,2}$. In particular these are not bounded away from zero nor infinity and no strict ellipticity occurs, which makes for results of a novel type. Accordingly, solutions may be locally unbounded.

As in previous work on pseudo-holomorphic functions, we make extensive use of the Bers similarity principle, but in our case it requires a thorough analysis of smoothness and boundedness properties of exponentials of $W^{1,2}$ functions which is carried out in a separate appendix. There we prove a theorem, one of the main technical results of the paper, asserting that the exponential of a $W_0^{1,2}$ function in the disk is a multiplier from the space of functions with L^p maximal function on the unit circle to the space of functions satisfying a Hardy condition of order p on the unit disk. This would have higher dimensional analogs, but we make no attempt at developing them and stick to dimension 2 throughout the paper.

In Section 2 we introduce main notation and discuss numerous facts on Sobolev spaces we use later on. In Section 3 we formulate the classical similarity principle (factorization) for pseudo-holomorphic functions. A converse statement is given in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to pseudo-holomorphic Hardy spaces; we give there a topological converse to the similarity principle. In Section 6 we obtain a generalization of the M. Riesz theorem on the conjugate operator. Section 7 contains an application of our results to the conductivity equation with exp-Sobolev coefficients. Finally, several technical results and a multiplier theorem are contained in the appendix, Section 8.

2. Notation and preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{C} \sim \mathbb{R}^2$ be the complex plane and $\overline{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We designate by $\mathbb{T}_{\xi,\rho}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\xi,\rho}$ respectively the circle and the open disk centered at ξ of radius ρ . We simply write $\mathbb{T}_\rho, \mathbb{D}_\rho$ when $\xi = 0$, and if $\rho = 1$ we omit the subscript. If f is a function on \mathbb{D}_ρ , we often denote by f_ρ the function on \mathbb{D} defined by $f_\rho(\xi) := f(\rho\xi)$. Given $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\gamma \in (0, \pi/2)$, we let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\xi,\gamma}$ indicate the open cone with vertex ξ and opening 2γ , symmetric with respect to the line $(0, \xi)$. We define $\Gamma_{\xi,\gamma} = A_{\xi,\gamma} \cup \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\sin \gamma}$, where $A_{\xi,\gamma}$ is the bounded component of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\xi,\gamma} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\sin \gamma}$.

A complex-valued function f on \mathbb{D} has *nontangential limit* ℓ at ξ if $f(z)$ tends to ℓ as $z \rightarrow \xi$ inside $\Gamma_{\xi,\gamma}$ for every γ . The *nontangential maximal function* of f (with open-

ing 2γ) is the real-valued map $\mathcal{M}_\gamma f$ on \mathbb{T} given by

$$\mathcal{M}_\gamma f(\xi) := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D} \cap \Gamma_{\xi, \gamma}} |f(z)|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{2.1}$$

For $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ and f a function on a set containing E , we let $f|_E$ indicate the restriction of f to E . We write $|E|$ for the planar Lebesgue measure of E , as no confusion can arise with complex modulus. The differential of that measure is denoted interchangeably by

$$dm(z) = dx dy = (i/2) dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \quad z = x + iy.$$

When $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an open set, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the space of C^∞ -smooth complex-valued functions with compact support in Ω , equipped with the usual topology.¹ Its dual $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ is the space of distributions on Ω . For $p \in [1, \infty]$, we let $L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with respect to dm ; we sometimes consider their subspaces of real-valued functions $L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega)$. The space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ consists of functions in $L^p(\Omega)$ whose first distributional derivatives lie in $L^p(\Omega)$, with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\partial f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Here ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ stand for the usual complex derivatives:

$$\partial f := \partial_z f = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y)f \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} f := \partial_{\bar{z}} f = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y)f, \quad z = x + iy.$$

Setting $\nabla f := (\partial_x f, \partial_y f)$ to mean the (\mathbb{C}^2 -valued) gradient of f , observe that the pointwise relation $\|\nabla f\|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 = 2|\partial f|_2^2 + 2|\bar{\partial} f|_2^2$ holds. Note also the identities $\bar{\partial} f = \bar{\partial} \tilde{f}$ and $\Delta = 4\partial\bar{\partial}$, where Δ is the Euclidean Laplacian. By Weyl’s lemma [20, Theorem 24.9], the distributions $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ such that $\Delta u = 0$ are exactly the harmonic functions on Ω . Consequently, the distributions $\psi \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ such that $\bar{\partial}\psi = 0$ are exactly the holomorphic functions on Ω . The space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is dense in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$, and in general we let $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ indicate the closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The space $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ identifies with the Lipschitz-continuous functions on Ω [40, Section V.6.2].

We also introduce the spaces $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ of distributions whose restriction to any relatively compact open subset $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ lies in $L^p(\Omega_0)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$ respectively. They are topologized by the family of seminorms $\|f_{\Omega_n}\|_{L^p(\Omega_n)}$ and $\|f_{\Omega_n}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_n)}$, where $\{\Omega_n\}$ is a sequence of relatively compact open subsets exhausting Ω .

Below we indicate some properties of Sobolev functions, most of them standard. They are valid on bounded Lipschitz domains (i.e. domains Ω whose boundary $\partial\Omega$ is locally isometric to the graph of a Lipschitz function).

- For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, every $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the restriction to Ω of some $\tilde{f} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In fact, there is a continuous linear map

$$E : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad \text{such that} \quad (Ef)|_{\Omega} = f \tag{2.2}$$

¹ That is, the inductive topology of subspaces \mathcal{D}_K consisting of functions supported by the compact set K , each \mathcal{D}_K being topologized by uniform convergence of all derivatives [38, Section I.2].

(the extension theorem [12, Proposition 2.70]). When $\Omega = \mathbb{D}_\rho$, we may simply set $(Ef)|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}_\rho}(z) = \varphi(z)f(\rho^2/\bar{z})$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\varphi|_{\mathbb{D}_\rho} \equiv 1$. The extension theorem entails that smooth functions on $\bar{\Omega}$ are dense in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- For $p > 2$, $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ embeds continuously in the space of Hölder-smooth functions with exponent $1 - 2/p$ on Ω , in particular functions in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ extend continuously to $\bar{\Omega}$, and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is an algebra where multiplication is continuous and derivatives can be computed by the chain rule. For $1 \leq p < 2$ the embedding is in $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ with $p^* = 2p/(2 - p)$, while $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is embedded in all $L^\ell(\Omega)$, $\ell \in [1, \infty)$ (the Sobolev embedding theorem [1, Theorems 4.12, 4.39]).
- For $p \leq 2$ the embedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^\ell(\Omega)$ is compact when $\ell \in [1, p^*)$ (the Rellich–Kondrashov theorem [1, Theorem 6.3]); $p^* = \infty$ for $p = 2$.
- If $g \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ has derivatives in $L^p(\Omega)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$, then $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ [12, Theorem 6.74].² Moreover, there exists $C = C(\Omega, p)$ such that

$$\|g - g_\Omega\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\partial g\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\partial}g\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \quad \text{with} \quad g_\Omega := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega g \, dm \quad (2.3)$$

(the Poincaré inequality [43, Theorem 4.2.1]). Let $C_1 = C_1(p)$ be a number for which (2.3) holds for $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$; it is easily seen by homogeneity that if $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, $\rho > 0$, and $g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{D}_{\xi,\rho})$, then

$$\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbb{D}_{\xi,\rho}|} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\xi,\rho}} |g - g_{\mathbb{D}_{\xi,\rho}}|^p \, dm \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \rho^{1-2/p} (\|\partial g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D}_{\xi,\rho})} + \|\bar{\partial}g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D}_{\xi,\rho})}). \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, if $p = 2$ and $\partial g, \bar{\partial}g \in L^2(\Omega)$, then the right hand side of (2.4) is bounded and arbitrarily small as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, thereby showing that g lies in $\text{VMO}(\Omega)$, the space of functions with vanishing mean oscillation on Ω [10].

- $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -functions need not be continuous nor even locally bounded when $p \leq 2$; however, if $p > 1$, their non-Lebesgue points form a set of Bessel $B_{1,p}$ -capacity zero [43, Theorem 3.10.2]. Such sets are very thin: not only do they have measure zero but also their Hausdorff $H^{2-p+\varepsilon}$ -dimension is zero for each $\varepsilon > 0$ [43, Theorem 2.6.16]. When speaking of pointwise values of $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we pick a representative such that $f(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{\mathbb{D}_{z,\varepsilon}}$ outside a set of $B_{1,p}$ -capacity zero. At such a z , f is said to be *strictly defined*.
- If $L^\lambda(\partial\Omega)$ is understood with respect to arclength, then $W^{1,\lambda}(\partial\Omega)$ is naturally defined using local coordinates since any Lipschitz-continuous change of variable preserves Sobolev classes [43, Theorem 2.2.2]. Each $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $1 < p \leq \infty$ has a trace on $\partial\Omega$ (denoted again by f or sometimes by $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} f$ for emphasis), which lies in the Sobolev space $W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)$ of nonintegral order.³ The latter is a real interpolation

² The proof given there for bounded C^1 -smooth Ω carries over to the Lipschitz case.

³ We leave out the case $p = 1$ where the trace is merely defined in $L^1(\partial\Omega)$. The space $W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)$ coincides with the Besov space $B_p^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)$, but we need not introduce Besov spaces here.

space between $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$, with the norm given by [1, Theorem 7.47]

$$\|g\|_{W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)} = \|g\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} + \left(\int_{\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega} \frac{|g(t) - g(t')|^p}{(\Lambda(t, t'))^p} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \right)^{1/p}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\Lambda(t, t')$ indicates the length of the arc (t, t') on $\partial\Omega$. Note that $|t - t'| \sim \Lambda(t, t')$ since $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz. The trace operator defines a continuous surjection from $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ onto $W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)$ [24, Theorem 1.5.1.3]. The pointwise definition of $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} f$ Λ -a.e. is based on the extension theorem and the fact that the non-Lebesgue points of Ef (see (2.2)) have Hausdorff H^1 -measure zero [43, Remark 4.4.5]. Of course $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} f$ coincides with the restriction $f|_{\partial\Omega}$ whenever f is smooth on $\bar{\Omega}$. The subspace of functions with zero trace is none but $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Since the integral on the right hand side of (2.5) does not change if we add a constant to g , it follows from (2.3) by the continuity of the trace operator that

$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega} \frac{|g(t) - g(t')|^p}{(\Lambda(t, t'))^p} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \right)^{1/p} \leq C(\|\partial g\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\partial} g\|_{L^p(\Omega)}), \quad (2.6)$$

where the constant C depends on Ω and p .

A variant of the Poincaré inequality involving the trace is as follows: whenever $E \subset \partial\Omega$ has arclength $\Lambda(E) > 0$, there is $C > 0$ depending only on p, Ω and E such that

$$\left\| g - \int_E \text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} g \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\partial g\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\partial} g\|_{L^p(\Omega)}). \quad (2.7)$$

This follows immediately from the continuity of the trace operator, the Rellich–Kondrashov theorem, and [43, Lemma 4.1.3].

- For $p \in (1, \infty)$ the trace operator has a continuous section [24, Theorem 1.5.1.3], that is, for each $\psi \in W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)$, there is $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|g\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\psi\|_{W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)}, \quad \text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} g = \psi, \quad (2.8)$$

with $C = C(\Omega, p)$. If we assume that Ω is C^1 -smooth and not just Lipschitz, then the function g in (2.8) can be chosen to be harmonic in Ω (elliptic regularity theory [26, p. 165 & Theorem 1.3]).⁴

- The nonintegral version of the Sobolev embedding theorem [1, Theorem 7.34] asserts that $W^{1-1/\beta,\beta}(\partial\Omega)$ embeds continuously in $L^{\beta/(2-\beta)}(\partial\Omega)$ if $1 < \beta < 2$, while $W^{1/2,2}(\partial\Omega)$ embeds in $L^\ell(\partial\Omega)$ for all $\ell \in [1, \infty)$. The corresponding generalization of the Rellich–Kondrashov theorem [12, Theorem 4.54] is as follows: if $1 < \beta \leq 2$, then $W^{1-1/\beta,\beta}(\partial\Omega)$ embeds compactly in $L^\ell(\partial\Omega)$ for $\ell < \beta/(2 - \beta)$.

⁴ In fact, elliptic regularity holds for $1 < p < \infty$ as soon as $\partial\Omega$ is locally the graph of a function with VMO derivative [33, Theorem 1.1]. If $\partial\Omega$ is only Lipschitz-smooth, then the range of p has to be restricted in a manner that depends on the Lipschitz constant (see [26, 33]).

- When $p \in (2, \infty)$, the nonlinear map $f \mapsto e^f$ is bounded and continuous from $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into itself: this follows from the Taylor expansion of \exp because $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is an algebra. When $p = 2$ this property no longer holds, but still $f \mapsto e^f$ is continuous and bounded from $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ into $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for each $q \in [1, 2)$; in particular $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} e^f = e^{\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} f}$ exists in $W^{1-1/q,q}(\partial\Omega)$ for $1 < q < 2$. This is the content of Proposition 8.4 that we could not locate in the literature.
- We use at some point the Sobolev space $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ of functions in $L^p(\Omega)$ whose first distributional derivatives lie in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\partial f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

When $p \leq 2$, the Rellich–Kondrashov theorem implies that $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $W^{1,\ell}(\Omega)$ for $\ell \in [1, p^*)$.

Given a bounded domain Ω and $h \in L^p(\Omega)$, $1 < p < \infty$, let \tilde{h} denote the extension of h by 0 off Ω . The Cauchy integral operator applied to \tilde{h} defines a function $\mathcal{C}(h) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ given by

$$\mathcal{C}(h)(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \frac{h(t)}{z-t} dm(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{h(\xi)}{\xi-z} d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.9}$$

Indeed, $\mathcal{C}(h)$ lies in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C})$ by Fubini’s theorem. Furthermore, $z \mapsto 1/(\pi z)$ is a fundamental solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ operator and it follows that $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{C}(h) = \tilde{h}$ in the sense of distributions. On the other hand (see [2, Theorem 4.3.10 and the remark thereafter]), the complex derivative $\partial\mathcal{C}(h)$ is given by the singular integral

$$\mathcal{B}(h)(z) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega \setminus D(z,\varepsilon)} \frac{h(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^2} dm(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{2.10}$$

which is the so-called *Beurling transform* of \tilde{h} . By a result of Calderón and Zygmund (see [2, Theorem 4.5.3]) this transform maps $L^p(\mathbb{C})$ continuously into itself, and altogether we conclude that $\mathcal{C}(h) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{C})$, as announced.

The discussion above shows in particular that $\varphi := \mathcal{C}(h)|_{\Omega}$ lies in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and that

$$\|\partial\varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\partial}\varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \|\mathcal{B}(h)|_{\Omega}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|h\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c\|h\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

where c depends only on p . In addition, it is a consequence of Fubini’s theorem that $\|\varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq 6 \text{diam } \Omega \|h\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ [2, Theorem 4.3.12]. Therefore, we have

$$\|\mathcal{C}(h)\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|h\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \tag{2.11}$$

where C depends only on p and Ω . Moreover, if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}_R$, then $\mathcal{C}(h)$ coincides on Ω with the convolution of \tilde{h} with $z \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{D}_{2R}}(z)/z$, where χ_E denotes the characteristic function of a set E . Therefore $\partial\mathcal{C}(\varphi)|_{\Omega} = \mathcal{C}(\partial\varphi)|_{\Omega}$ whenever $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, and by a density argument it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{C}(h)\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|h\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}, \quad h \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \tag{2.12}$$

for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and some $C = C(p, \Omega)$.

Properties of the Cauchy transform make it a basic tool to integrate $\bar{\partial}$ -equations in Sobolev classes. In this connection, we record the following facts.

- Given a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$, a distribution $A \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}A = a$ if and only if $A = \mathcal{C}(a) + \Phi$ where Φ is holomorphic in Ω . This follows from the relation $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{C}(a) = a$ and Weyl's lemma. By (2.11), A belongs to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if Φ does. By localization, it follows that if $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, then $f \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$.
- Given a bounded C^1 -smooth simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$, for every $\psi \in W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique $A \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\bar{\partial}A = a$ with $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} \text{Re}(e^{i\theta_0}A) = \psi$, and $\int_{\partial\Omega} \text{Im}(e^{i\theta_0}A) = \lambda$. Moreover, there exists C depending only on p and Ω such that

$$\|A\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|a\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)} + |\lambda|). \tag{2.13}$$

To see this, it suffices, in view of (2.11) and the previous remark, to consider the case $a = 0$. Clearly, we may also assume that $\theta_0 = 0$. By elliptic regularity, there is a unique $u \in W^{1,p}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega)$, harmonic in Ω and such that $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} u = \psi$. Moreover, u satisfies $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\psi\|_{W^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)}$. As Ω is simply connected, integrating the conjugate differential yields a so-called harmonic conjugate to u , that is, a real-valued harmonic function v such that $A := u + iv$ is holomorphic in Ω . Since u and v are real, the Cauchy–Riemann equations give $|\partial v| = |\bar{\partial}v| = |\partial u|$. Hence, we have $v \in W^{1,p}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega)$. Clearly v is unique up to an additive constant, and if $\int_{\partial\Omega} v = \lambda$ we deduce from (2.7) that $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_1\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + c_1|\lambda|$ so that (2.13) holds (with $a = 0$), as desired.

When $h \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$ has unbounded support, definition (2.9) of the Cauchy transform is no longer suitable. Instead, one renormalizes the kernel and defines

$$\mathcal{C}_2(h)(z) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{z-t} + \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}}(t)}{t} \right) h(t) dm(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $h \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$, the integral in (2.14) converges for a.e. $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by Fubini's theorem and the Schwarz inequality. In fact, the function $\mathcal{C}_2(h)$ belongs to the space $\text{VMO}(\mathbb{C})$ [2, Theorem 4.3.9]. Furthermore, $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{C}_2(h) = h$ and $\partial\mathcal{C}_2(h) = \mathcal{B}(h)$ [2, Theorem 4.3.10]. In particular, $\mathcal{C}_2(h)$ lies in $W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C})$ and the map $h \mapsto \mathcal{C}_2(h)$ maps $L^2(\mathbb{C})$ continuously into $W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C})$.

In Section 8.1 we prove the following estimate, valid for some absolute constant C :

$$\|\mathcal{C}_2(h)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_R)}/R \leq C(1 + (\log R)^{1/2})\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_R)}, \quad R \geq 1. \tag{2.15}$$

Hereafter, all classes of functions we consider are embedded in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for some $p \in (1, \infty)$, and solutions to differential equations are understood in the distributional sense.

On the disk, we often use the elementary fact that if $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{D})$, then f_ρ converges to f in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{D})$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$.

Here and later on we use the same symbols (like C) to denote different constants.

3. Pseudo-holomorphic functions

Pseudo-holomorphic functions on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ are those functions Φ that satisfy an equation of the form

$$\bar{\partial}\Phi(z) = a(z)\overline{\Phi(z)} + b(z)\Phi(z), \quad z \in \Omega. \tag{3.1}$$

We restrict ourselves to the case where Ω is bounded and $a, b \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some $r \in [2, \infty)$. Accordingly, we only consider solutions Φ which belong to $L^\gamma_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for some $\gamma > r/(r - 1)$, so that, by Hölder’s inequality, the right hand side of (3.1) defines a function in $L^\lambda_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for some $\lambda > 1$. As a consequence, Φ belongs to $W^{1,\lambda}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$.

Let $B \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ be such that $\bar{\partial}B = b$. A simple computation (using Proposition 8.4 if $r = 2$) shows that Φ satisfies (3.1) if and only if $w := e^{-B}\Phi$ satisfies

$$\bar{\partial}w = \alpha\bar{w}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\alpha := ae^{-2i\text{Im}B}$ has the same modulus as a . Note (again from Proposition 8.4 for $r = 2$) that $w \in W^{1,\lambda'}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for some $\lambda' > 1$. Therefore, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, w lies in $L^{\gamma'}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for some $\gamma' > 2$, and so (3.2) is a simpler but equivalent form of (3.1) which is the one we shall really work with.

We need a factorization principle which goes back to [41], and was called by Bers the *similarity principle* (similarity to holomorphic functions, that is). It was extensively used in all works mentioned above. We provide a proof because we include the case $r = 2$ and discuss normalization issues when Ω is smooth.

Lemma 3.1 (Bers similarity principle). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded domain, $\alpha \in L^r(\Omega)$ for some $r \in [2, \infty)$, and $w \in L^\gamma_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ be a solution to (3.2) with $\gamma > r/(r - 1)$. Then:*

- (i) *The function w admits a factorization of the form*

$$w = e^s F, \quad z \in \Omega, \tag{3.3}$$

where F is holomorphic in Ω , $s \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ with

$$\|s\|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\alpha\|_{L^r(\Omega)}, \tag{3.4}$$

and C depends only on r and Ω .

- (ii) *Assume in addition that Ω is C^1 -smooth. If $w \not\equiv 0$ and we fix some $\lambda, \theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi \in W^{1-1/r,r}_{\mathbb{R}}(\partial\Omega)$, then s can be uniquely chosen in (3.3) so that $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} \text{Re}(e^{i\theta_0}s) = \psi$ and $\int_{\partial\Omega} \text{Im}(e^{i\theta_0}s) = \lambda$. In this case, there is a constant C depending only on r and Ω such that*

$$\|s\|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\alpha\|_{L^r(\Omega)} + \|\psi\|_{W^{1-1/r,r}(\partial\Omega)} + |\lambda|). \tag{3.5}$$

- (iii) *Either $w \equiv 0$ or $w \neq 0$ a.e. on Ω .⁵ Moreover, $w \in W^{1,r}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ if $r > 2$ and $w \in W^{1,q}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [1, 2)$ if $r = 2$.*

⁵ In fact, more is true: if $r > 2$, then e^s never vanishes and w has at most countably many zeros, namely those of F . If $r = 2$, w is strictly defined and nonzero outside a set of Bessel $B_{1,2}$ -capacity zero (containing the zeros of F and the non-Lebesgue points of s).

Proof. We pointed out already that $w \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\ell}(\Omega)$ for some $\ell > 1$. Set by convention $\bar{w}(\xi)/w(\xi) = 0$ if $w(\xi) = 0$, and let $s := \mathcal{C}(\alpha\bar{w}/w)|_{\Omega}$. Then $s \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial}s = \alpha\bar{w}/w$, and (2.11) yields (3.4). To show that $F = e^{-s}w$ is in fact holomorphic, we compute

$$\bar{\partial}(e^{-s}w) = e^{-s}(-\bar{\partial}s w + \bar{\partial}w) = e^{-s}\left(-\frac{\alpha\bar{w}}{w}w + \alpha\bar{w}\right) = 0,$$

where the use of the Leibniz and the chain rules is justified by Proposition 8.4 if $r = 2$. This proves (i).

Since s is finite a.e. on Ω (actually outside of a set of $B_{1,2}$ -capacity zero), e^s is a.e. nonzero and so is w unless the holomorphic function F is identically zero. If $r > 2$, then $e^s \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$, and since F is locally smooth we find that $w \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r}(\Omega)$; if $r = 2$, it follows from Proposition 8.4 that $e^s \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [1, 2)$, and thus $w = e^s F$ lies in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$. This proves (iii).

Finally, if Ω is C^1 -smooth and $w \not\equiv 0$ (hence $w \neq 0$ a.e. by the above argument), there exists a unique $s \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ satisfying the equations $\bar{\partial}s = \alpha\bar{w}/w$, $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega} \text{Re}(e^{i\theta_0}s) = \psi$, $\int_{\partial\Omega} \text{Im}(e^{i\theta_0}s) = \lambda$, and (2.13) yields (3.5). Moreover, if (3.3) holds for some $s \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and some holomorphic F , we find upon differentiating that $\bar{\partial}s = \alpha\bar{w}/w$, therefore factorization (3.3) is unique with the aforementioned conditions. This proves (ii). \square

A weak converse to the similarity principle is as follows: if $s \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and F is holomorphic on Ω , then $w = e^s F$ satisfies (3.2) with $\alpha := \bar{\partial}s e^s F / (e^s \bar{F}) \in L^r(\Omega)$. This remark shows that, in general, we cannot expect solutions of (3.2) to lie in $L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ when $r = 2$.

4. Holomorphic parametrization

When $r > 2$, it follows from [42, Theorem 3.13] that for each holomorphic function F on Ω and each $\alpha \in L^r(\Omega)$, there is $\Phi \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ such that $w := \Phi F$ satisfies (3.2). In this section we improve this assertion to a strong converse of the similarity principle, valid for $2 \leq r < \infty$, which leads to a parametrization of pseudo-holomorphic functions by holomorphic functions. We state the result for the disk, which is our focus in the present paper, but we mention that it carries over at once to Dini-smooth⁶ simply connected domains, granted the conformal invariance of equation (3.2) pointed out in [4, Section 3.2].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{D})$ for some $r \in [2, \infty)$, and let $F \not\equiv 0$ be holomorphic on \mathbb{D} . Choose $\psi \in W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1-1/r,r}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a unique $s \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $w = e^s F$ is a solution of (3.2) with $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Im } s = \psi$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re } s = \lambda$. Moreover, (3.5) holds with some C depending only on r .*

From the proof of the theorem, we also obtain the following variant thereof.

⁶ A domain is *Dini-smooth* if its boundary has a parametrization with Dini-continuous derivative. Conformal maps between such domains have derivatives that extend continuously up to the boundary.

Corollary 4.2. *Theorem 4.1 remains valid if, instead of $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Im} s = \psi$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Re} s = \lambda$, we prescribe $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Re} s = \psi$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Im} s = \lambda$.*

Before establishing Theorem 4.1, we need to take a closer look at the pairs s, F for which (3.3) and (3.2) hold. We do this in the following subsection.

4.1. Arguments of pseudo-holomorphic functions

Let $w \in L^{\gamma}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfy (3.2), $\gamma > r/(r-1)$, and consider the factorization (3.3) provided by Lemma 3.1. Locally around points where F does not vanish, w has a Sobolev-smooth argument, unique modulo $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, which is given by $\arg w = \arg F + \operatorname{Im} s$. Since $\log F$ is harmonic and $\bar{\partial}s = \alpha\bar{w}/w$, we deduce that around such points $\Delta \log w = 4\partial(\alpha e^{-2i \arg w})$. In particular, $\arg w$ satisfies the nonlinear (yet quasilinear) equation

$$\Delta \arg w = 4 \operatorname{Im}(\partial(\alpha e^{-2i \arg w})),$$

and moreover $\log |w|$ is determined by $\arg w$ up to a harmonic function that turns out to be completely determined by (3.2). The lemma below depends on this observation but avoids speaking of $\arg F$ (which may not be globally defined if F has zeros).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{D})$ for some $r \in [2, \infty)$ and let F be a non-identically zero holomorphic function in \mathbb{D} . If we set $\beta := \alpha\bar{F}/F$, then a function $s \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ is such that $w := e^s F$ satisfies (3.2) if and only if $\bar{\partial}s = \beta e^{-2i \operatorname{Im} s}$. This is equivalent to saying that $s = \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2$ where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in W^{1,r}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfy the relations*

$$\Delta \varphi_2 = 4 \operatorname{Im}(\partial(\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2})), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\varphi_1 = \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{C}(\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2}) + v, \tag{4.2}$$

where v is a harmonic conjugate to the harmonic function $u \in W^{1,r}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} u = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{C}(\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2}) - \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi_2$.

Proof. Using Proposition 8.4 to justify the computation in case $r = 2$, we find that $s \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ with $w = e^s F$ satisfies (3.2) if and only if $\bar{\partial}s - \beta e^{\bar{s}-s} = 0$. With the notation $\varphi_1 := \operatorname{Re} s$ and $\varphi_2 := \operatorname{Im} s$ this is equivalent to

$$\bar{\partial}\varphi_1 = \beta \exp(-2i\varphi_2) - i\bar{\partial}\varphi_2, \quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in W^{1,r}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}). \tag{4.3}$$

Solving this $\bar{\partial}$ -equation for φ_1 using the Cauchy operator, we can rewrite (4.3) as

$$\varphi_1 = \mathcal{C}(\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2}) - i\varphi_2 + A, \quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in W^{1,r}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D}), \tag{4.4}$$

where A is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} . Since $\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2} \in L^r(\mathbb{D})$ we deduce that $\mathcal{C}(\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2}) \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$, hence φ_1, φ_2 belong to $W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if A does. Therefore, given $\varphi_2 \in W^{1,r}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D})$, equation (4.4) gives rise to a real-valued φ_1 in $W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if the holomorphic function A lies in $W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ and satisfies the relation

$$-\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{C}(\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2}) + \varphi_2 = \operatorname{Im} A. \tag{4.5}$$

By the discussion after (2.13) such an A exists if and only if the left hand side of (4.5) is harmonic; since Δ commutes with taking the imaginary part, this condition amounts to

$$\Delta\varphi_2 - 4 \operatorname{Im}(\partial\bar{\partial}\mathcal{C}(\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2})) = \Delta\varphi_2 - 4 \operatorname{Im}(\partial(\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2})) = 0,$$

which is (4.1). Then, by (4.5), $\operatorname{Im} A$ is the harmonic function $h \in W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ having trace $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}}\varphi_2 - \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}}\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{C}(\beta e^{-2i\varphi_2}) \in W^{1-1/r,r}(\mathbb{T})$. Consequently, $\operatorname{Re} A = \operatorname{Im}(iA)$ must be a harmonic conjugate to $-h = u$, and taking real parts in (4.4) yields (4.2). \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1

4.2.1. Existence part. In this subsection, we prove existence of s in the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Note that (3.5) will automatically hold by Lemma 3.1(ii) applied with $\theta_0 = -\pi/2$. Let $A \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ be holomorphic in \mathbb{D} with $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}}\operatorname{Re} A = \psi$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}}\operatorname{Im} A = -\lambda$. Writing $e^s F = e^{s-iA}(e^{iA}F)$, we see that we may assume $\psi = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ upon replacing F by $e^{iA}F$. In addition, upon changing α to $\alpha\bar{F}/F$, we can further suppose that $F \equiv 1$ thanks to (4.1) and (4.2).

We first deal with the case $r = 2$ and begin with fairly smooth α , say $\alpha \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Consider the following (nonlinear) operator G_α acting on $\varphi \in W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$:

$$G_\alpha(\varphi)(z) := -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log \left| \frac{1 - \bar{z}t}{z - t} \right| \operatorname{Im}(\partial(\alpha(t)e^{-2i\varphi(t)})) dm(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $|e^{-2i\varphi}| = 1$ and $\alpha \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, we deduce from Proposition 8.4 that $\partial(\alpha e^{-2i\varphi}) \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, therefore the above integral exists for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by the Schwarz inequality. In fact, $G_\alpha(\varphi)$ is the Green potential of $4 \operatorname{Im}(\partial(\alpha e^{-2i\varphi}))$ in \mathbb{D} , that is, its distributional Laplacian is $4 \operatorname{Im}(\partial(\alpha e^{-2i\varphi}))$ and its value on \mathbb{T} is zero (cf. [2, Section 4.8.3]). To prove existence of s subject to the conditions $\psi = 0$, $\lambda = 0$, and $F \equiv 1$, it suffices by Lemma 4.3 to verify that G_α has a fixed point in $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. First, we check that G_α is compact from $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ into itself, meaning that it is continuous and maps bounded sets to relatively compact ones.

Lemma 4.4. *If $\alpha \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, then the operator G_α is bounded and continuous from $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ into $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,2}(\mathbb{D})$ and it is compact from $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ into itself.*

Proof. To prove the desired boundedness and continuity, we observe from (8.17) and the dominated convergence theorem that the map $\varphi \mapsto \operatorname{Im}(\partial(\alpha e^{-2i\varphi}))$ is bounded and continuous from $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ into $L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore it suffices to prove the boundedness from $L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{D})$ into $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{2,2}(\mathbb{D})$ of the linear potential operator

$$P(\psi) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log \left| \frac{1 - \bar{z}t}{z - t} \right| \psi(t) dm(t).$$

The latter is a consequence of the properties of the Cauchy and Beurling transforms listed in Section 2 [2, Section 4.8.3]. Compactness of G_α from $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ into $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ now follows

from compactness of the embedding of $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{D})$ into $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ asserted by the Rellich–Kondrashov theorem. \square

Since G_α is compact on $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, a sufficient condition for it to have a fixed point is given by the Leray–Schauder theorem [22, Theorem 11.3]: there is a number M for which the a priori estimate $\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq M$ holds whenever $\varphi \in W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ satisfy

$$\varphi = \varepsilon G_\alpha(\varphi). \tag{4.7}$$

Now, if (4.7) is true, then (4.1) is satisfied with $\beta = \varepsilon\alpha$ and φ instead of φ_2 . Therefore by Lemma 4.3, there exist $\varphi_{1,\varepsilon} \in W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ and $s_\varepsilon := \varphi_{1,\varepsilon} + i\varphi$ such that

$$\bar{\partial}e^{s_\varepsilon} = \varepsilon\alpha \overline{e^{s_\varepsilon}}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1(ii) with $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$, $F \equiv 1$, $s = s_\varepsilon$, $\psi \equiv 0$, $\theta_0 = -\pi/2$ and $\lambda = 0$, we find from (3.5) that for some absolute constant C ,

$$\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|s_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq \varepsilon C \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} =: M.$$

Thus, G_α indeed has a fixed point, which settles the case $r = 2$ and $\alpha \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.

Next, we relax our restriction on α and assume only that it belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{D})$. Let (α_n) be a sequence in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$ that converges to α in $L^2(\mathbb{D})$. By the first part of the proof, there is a sequence $(s_n) \subset W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\text{Im tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_n = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_n = 0$, satisfying $\bar{\partial}e^{s_n} = \alpha_n \overline{e^{s_n}}$ as well as (cf. (3.5))

$$\|s_n\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \|\alpha_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq C'. \tag{4.8}$$

By the Rellich–Kondrashov theorem we can find a subsequence, again denoted by (s_n) , converging pointwise and in all $L^q(\mathbb{D})$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, to some function s . By dominated convergence, the functions $\bar{\partial}s_n = \alpha_n e^{-2i \text{Im} s_n}$ converge to $\alpha e^{-2i \text{Im} s}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{D})$. Thus, applying (2.13) with $A = s_n - s_m$, $a = \bar{\partial}s_n - \bar{\partial}s_m$, $\theta_0 = -\pi/2$, $\psi \equiv 0$, and $\lambda = 0$, we conclude that (s_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ which must therefore converge to s . Hence $s \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, $\text{Im tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s = 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re} s = 0$, and $\bar{\partial}s = \alpha e^{-2i \text{Im} s}$. By Lemma 4.3, this establishes existence of s when $r = 2$.

Suppose finally that $\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{D})$ for some $r > 2$. A fortiori $\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, so by what precedes there is $s \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\text{Im tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s = 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re} s = 0$, and $\bar{\partial}e^s = \alpha \overline{e^s}$. To see that in fact $s \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$, we apply Proposition 8.4 to get $\bar{\partial}s = \alpha e^{-2i \text{Im} s} =: a \in L^r(\mathbb{D})$. Then, equation (2.13) implies that s is the unique function $A \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying $\text{Im tr}_{\mathbb{T}} A = 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re} A = 0$, and $\bar{\partial}A = a$. \square

4.2.2. Uniqueness part. In this subsection we establish uniqueness of s in the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Clearly, it is enough to consider $r = 2$. Consider two functions $w_1 = e^{s_1} F$ and $w_2 = e^{s_2} F$ satisfying (3.2) on \mathbb{D} with $s_j \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Im} s_j = \psi$, and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re} s_j = \lambda$ for $j = 1, 2$. We define

$$s := s_1 - s_2 \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$$

and we must prove that $s \equiv 0$. First we estimate the $\bar{\partial}$ -derivative of s :

Lemma 4.5. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that, for a.e. $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have*

$$|\bar{\partial}s(z)| \leq C |\operatorname{Im} s(z)| |\alpha(z)|. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Setting $\beta := \alpha \bar{F} / F$ and using again Lemma 4.3, we find that $\bar{\partial}s_j = \beta e^{-2i \operatorname{Im} s_j}$. Hence, $\bar{\partial}s = \beta e^{-2i \operatorname{Im} s} (1 - e^{2i \operatorname{Im} s})$, and (4.9) follows at once. \square

Next, we extend the function s outside of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$ by reflection:

$$s(z) := \overline{s(1/\bar{z})}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}. \tag{4.10}$$

Observe that since s is real-valued on \mathbb{T} , this extension yields $s \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ (see, for example, [12, Theorem 2.54]).

Lemma 4.6. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that, for a.e. $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$,*

$$|\bar{\partial}s(z)| \leq C \frac{|\operatorname{Im} s(z)|}{|z|^2} |\alpha(1/\bar{z})|. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Setting $\zeta = 1/\bar{z} =: U(z)$ and applying the chain rule, we get (since $\partial U = 0$)

$$\partial(s(1/\bar{z})) = ((\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}s) \circ U) \partial \bar{U} = -\frac{1}{z^2} \bar{\partial}s(1/\bar{z}).$$

Thus,

$$\bar{\partial}s(z) = \overline{\partial(s(1/\bar{z}))} = -\overline{\left(\frac{\bar{\partial}s(1/\bar{z})}{z^2}\right)}, \quad |z| > 1,$$

and applying Lemma 4.5 gives (4.11) in view of (4.10). \square

From the previous two lemmas we derive the inequality

$$|\bar{\partial}s(z)| \leq C \frac{|\operatorname{Im} s(z)|}{1 + |z|^2} |\alpha(Q(z))|, \quad \text{a.e. } z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{4.12}$$

where $Q(z)$ is equal to z if $|z| \leq 1$ and to $1/\bar{z}$ otherwise. Since $\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, it follows from (4.12) and the change of variable formula that $\bar{\partial}s/s \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$.

Recall now definition (2.14). We introduce two auxiliary functions ψ, ϕ on \mathbb{C} :

$$\psi := \mathcal{C}_2(\bar{\partial}s/s), \quad \phi := \exp(-\psi). \tag{4.13}$$

Since $\bar{\partial}s/s \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$, we know that $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\bar{\partial}\psi = \bar{\partial}s/s$. Consider the function $s\phi$ on \mathbb{C} . By Proposition 8.4, we compute from (4.13) using the Leibniz rule that $\bar{\partial}(s\phi) = 0$, hence $s\phi$ is an entire function. We claim that

$$\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{R} \int_{\mathbb{T}_R} \log^+ |s\phi(\xi)| |d\xi| - \frac{1}{2} \log R \right) < 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Indeed, taking into account (4.10) and the fact that $s|_{\mathbb{D}} \in L^\ell(\mathbb{D})$ for all $1 \leq \ell < \infty$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we deduce from Jensen’s inequality upon choosing $\ell > 48\pi$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{R < \rho < 2R} \int_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \log^+ |s(\rho e^{i\theta})| \rho \, d\rho \, d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{12\pi}{\ell} \frac{4R^2}{3\pi} \int_{1/(2R) < \rho < 1/R} \int_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \log^+ (|s(\rho e^{i\theta})|^\ell) \rho \, d\rho \, d\theta \\ & \leq \frac{12\pi}{\ell} \log \left[\frac{4R^2}{3\pi} \int_{1/(2R) < \rho < 1/R} \int_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \max\{1, |s(\rho e^{i\theta})|^\ell\} \rho \, d\rho \, d\theta \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \log R + C \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

for some $\delta > 2$ and some $C > 0$, whenever $R \geq 1$.

On the other hand, it follows from (2.15) and the Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_{R < \rho < 2R} \int_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} |\psi(\rho e^{i\theta})| \rho \, d\rho \, d\theta & \leq \frac{\|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_{2R})}}{\sqrt{\pi} R} \\ & = O((\log R)^{1/2}), \quad R \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Since $\log^+ |s\phi| \leq \log^+ |s| + |\psi|$, claim (4.14) easily follows from (4.15) and (4.16).

Since $\log |s\phi|$ is subharmonic on \mathbb{C} , for $|z| < R$ we have

$$2\pi \log |s\phi(z)| \leq \frac{R + |z|}{R - |z|} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |s\phi(Re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta \leq \frac{R + |z|}{R - |z|} \frac{1}{R} \int_{\mathbb{T}_R} \log^+ |s\phi(\xi)| \, |d\xi|$$

(see [37, Theorem 2.4.1]), so by (4.14) there exists a sequence $\rho_n \rightarrow \infty$ for which $\sup_{\mathbb{T}_{\rho_n}} |s\phi| = O(\rho_n^{1/2})$. Therefore, by an easy modification of Liouville’s theorem, $s\phi$ must be a constant.

More generally, (4.12) remains valid if we replace s by $s - a$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, entailing that

$$\left| \frac{\bar{\partial}s(z)}{s(z) - a} \right| \leq C \left| \frac{\alpha(Q(z))}{1 + |z|^2} \right| \in L^2(\mathbb{C}), \quad \text{a.e. } z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.17}$$

Thus, reasoning as before, we deduce that there is a complex-valued function b such that

$$(s(z) - a)\phi_a(z) \equiv b(a), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.18}$$

with

$$\psi_a := \mathcal{C}_2(\bar{\partial}s/(s - a)), \quad \phi_a := \exp(-\psi_a).$$

Fix $R > 1$. By (4.17), Corollary 8.6, and Proposition 8.4, the sets $\{\phi_a|_{\mathbb{D}_R}\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{\phi_a^{-1}|_{\mathbb{D}_R}\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}}$ are bounded in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D}_R)$ for $q \in [1, 2)$, hence also in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ by the trace and the Sobolev embedding theorems. Fix $A > 0$ such that $\Lambda(\{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : |s(\xi)| \leq A\}) = \lambda > 0$. For each $\delta > 0$ we can cover the interval $[-A, A]$ by $N \leq A/\delta + 1$ open intervals of length 2δ , hence there exists $a = a(\delta) \in [-A, A]$ with $\Lambda(E_a) \geq \lambda\delta/(A + \delta)$, where

$E_a = \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : |s(\xi) - a| \leq \delta\}$. (We use the fact that s is real-valued on \mathbb{T} .) Moreover, we observe from (4.18) and the Schwarz inequality that

$$|b(a)|\Lambda(E_a) = \int_{E_a} |s(\xi) - a| |\phi_a(\xi)| d\Lambda(\xi) \leq \delta \|\phi_a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \Lambda(E_a)^{1/2}.$$

This lower bound on $\Lambda(E_a)$ now gives

$$|b(a)| \leq \delta^{1/2} \sqrt{(A + \delta)/\lambda} \sup_{|a| \leq A} \|\phi_a\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})},$$

implying that $b(a(\delta)) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. By compactness, we can pick a sequence $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $a_n := a(\delta_n) \rightarrow c \in [-A, A]$. Considering the equalities $s - a_n = b(a_n)\phi_{a_n}^{-1}$ and taking into account the boundedness of $\{\phi_{a_n}^{-1}\}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{D}_R)$, we find that $s \equiv c$ on \mathbb{D}_R . Since R is arbitrary, s is constant on \mathbb{C} , and actually $s \equiv 0$ because $\int_{\mathbb{T}} s = 0$. \square

A similar argument gives the following result which seems to be of independent interest.

Theorem 4.7. *If $s \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies*

$$|\bar{\partial}s(z)| \leq |\text{Im } s(z)|g(z)$$

for some nonnegative function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$, and if

$$\int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}} \frac{|s(\xi)|^\ell d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}}{|\xi|^4} < \infty$$

for some $\ell > 48\pi$, then $\text{Im } s$ is of constant sign a.e. in \mathbb{C} .

The example $s(z) = i + (1 + |z|)^{-\beta}$, $\beta > 0$ shows that, under these conditions, s is not necessarily a constant. On the other hand, the value 48π is not necessarily sharp.

It is interesting to compare this result with known Liouville-type theorems like [3, Proposition 3.3] and [2, Theorem 8.5.1].

Sketch of proof of Theorem 4.7. For any real d , equality (4.18) entails for small $\delta > 0$ that $m\{\xi \in \mathbb{D} : |\text{Im } s(\xi)| < \delta, |\text{Re } s(\xi) - d| < \delta\} \leq c\delta^5 / \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} |b(a)|^5$ with c independent of d ; therefore, $m\{\xi \in \mathbb{D} : |\text{Im } s(\xi)| < \delta, |\text{Re } s(\xi)| < 1/\delta\} \leq c\delta^3 / \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} |b(a)|^5$. Since $s \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, by the John–Nirenberg theorem we have $m\{\xi \in \mathbb{D} : |s(\xi)| > 1/\delta\} \leq c\delta^3$. Finally, if $\text{Im } s$ changes sign in \mathbb{D} , then by the Hölder inequality we obtain $m\{\xi \in \mathbb{D} : |\text{Im } s(\xi)| < \delta\} \geq c\delta^2$. As a result, letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} |b(a)| = 0$. \square

4.3. Proof of Corollary 4.2

Uniqueness of s is established as in Theorem 4.1, except that the right hand side of (4.10) now has a minus sign because s is pure imaginary on \mathbb{T} . Note also that (3.5) holds by Lemma 3.1(ii) applied with $\theta_0 = 0$.

Passing to existence of s , the argument given early in Subsection 4.2.1 applies with obvious modifications to show that we may assume $\psi = 0, \lambda = 0$ and $F \equiv 1$. Moreover, it is enough to prove the result when $r = 2$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$, for then the passage to $\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ and subsequently to $r > 2$ is as in the theorem.

So, let us set $r = 2$, fix $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$, and write $s(\psi, \lambda, F)$ to emphasize the dependence on ψ, λ and F of the function $s \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ whose existence and uniqueness is asserted by Theorem 4.1. For $u \in W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$, we denote by $E(u) \in W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ the harmonic extension of u , i.e. $E(u)$ is harmonic and $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} E(u) = u$. We write $H(u) \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ for the holomorphic function such that $\text{Im } H(u) = E(u)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re } H(u) = 0$. Observe that $\alpha \exp(-2iE(u))$ lies in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$; therefore, the operator $G_{\alpha e^{-2iE(u)}}$ defined by (4.6) is compact from $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ into itself by Lemma 4.4. In the course of the proof of Theorem 4.1, we showed that it has a unique fixed point which is none but $\text{Im } s(0, 0, e^{H(u)}) =: \mathcal{F}(u)$. Furthermore, by (3.5), we have $\|\mathcal{F}(u)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C\|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}$ for some absolute constant C .

Lemma 4.8. *The (nonlinear) operator $u \mapsto \mathcal{F}(u)$ acts compactly from $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$ into $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. Pick a sequence (u_n) converging to u in $W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$. By elliptic regularity, $H(u_n)$ converges to $H(u)$ in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, and in particular $\|H(u_n) + \mathcal{F}(u_n)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}$ is bounded independently of n . Moreover, by (4.6) and the definition of \mathcal{F} , we see that

$$\mathcal{F}(u_n) = G_{\alpha e^{-2iE(u_n)}}(\mathcal{F}(u_n)) = G_{\alpha}(E(u_n) + \mathcal{F}(u_n)); \tag{4.19}$$

hence, Lemma 4.4 implies that $(\mathcal{F}(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is relatively compact in $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. Let some subsequence, again denoted by $(\mathcal{F}(u_n))$, converge to φ in $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. Then $(E(u_n) + \mathcal{F}(u_n))$ converges to $E(u) + \varphi$ in $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, therefore by (4.19) and the continuity of G_{α} we obtain $\varphi = G_{\alpha e^{-2iE(u)}}(\varphi)$. This means that $\varphi = \mathcal{F}(u)$, hence the latter is the only limit point of $(\mathcal{F}(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which proves the continuity of \mathcal{F} .

If we assume only that $\|u_n\|_{W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})}$ is bounded independently of n , then elliptic regularity still implies that $\|E(u_n)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}$ is bounded, hence $(E(u_n) + \mathcal{F}(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is again bounded in $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. As before, it follows that $(\mathcal{F}(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is relatively compact in $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, as desired. \square

Given $u \in W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$, let $\tilde{u} := -\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re } H(u)$ denote the *conjugate function* of u . That is, \tilde{u} is the trace of the harmonic conjugate of $E(u)$ that has zero mean on \mathbb{T} . Write $\mathcal{M} \subset W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$ for the subspace of functions with zero mean. By (2.13), the map $u \mapsto \tilde{u}$ is continuous from $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$ into \mathcal{M} , and since $\tilde{\tilde{u}} = -u + \int_{\mathbb{T}} u$, it is a homeomorphism of \mathcal{M} . Pick $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $\varphi := \text{Im } s(u, 0, 1)$. Since $s(u, 0, 1) - H(u) = s(0, 0, e^{H(u)})$, we have $\varphi = E(u) + \mathcal{F}(u)$. Set for simplicity $R(u) := \mathcal{C}(\alpha \exp\{-2i(E(u) + \mathcal{F}(u))\})$. Applying the trace and conjugate operators to (4.2), we see that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re } s(u, 0, 1) = 0$ if and only if

$$u = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Im} \left(R(u) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(u) \right) - \overbrace{\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re} \left(R(u) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(u) \right)}^{\sim}. \tag{4.20}$$

Let $B(u)$ denote the right hand side of (4.20). To complete the proof, it remains to show that the (nonlinear) operator B has a fixed point u_0 in \mathcal{M} . Then the function $s(u_0, 0, 1)$ would satisfy the conditions of the corollary.

To prove existence of a fixed point, we claim first that B is compact from \mathcal{M} into itself. Indeed, by elliptic regularity, E is linear and bounded from $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$ into $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, while \mathcal{F} is compact by Lemma 4.8. A fortiori, $E + \mathcal{F}$ is bounded and continuous from \mathcal{M} into $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, as $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$, it follows from (8.17) and the dominated convergence theorem that $h \mapsto \alpha \exp(-2ih)$ is bounded and continuous from $W_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ into $W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. In addition, we see from (2.12) that \mathcal{C} is bounded and linear from $W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ into $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{D})$, hence compact into $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ by the Rellich–Kondrashov theorem. Finally, by the trace theorem, $g \mapsto \text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Im}(g - \int_{\mathbb{T}} g)$ is linear and bounded from $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ into \mathcal{M} . Since the conjugate operator is linear and bounded on \mathcal{M} , and composition with bounded continuous maps preserves compactness, the claim follows.

Appealing now to the Leray–Schauder theorem, we know that B has a fixed point if we can find a constant M such that $\|u\|_{W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})} \leq M$ whenever $u = \varepsilon B(u)$ for some $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. However, such a u must be equal to $\text{Im} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_{\varepsilon}$, where $s_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ has pure imaginary trace with zero mean on \mathbb{T} and $e^{s_{\varepsilon}}$ satisfies (3.2) with α replaced by $\varepsilon\alpha$. Thus, from Lemma 3.1(ii) applied with $\theta_0 = 0$, we conclude that $M = C\|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}$ will do for some absolute constant C .

5. Hardy spaces on the disk

5.1. Holomorphic Hardy spaces

For $p \in [1, \infty)$, let $H^p = H^p(\mathbb{D})$ be the Hardy space of holomorphic functions f on \mathbb{D} with

$$\|f\|_{H^p} := \sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \tag{5.1}$$

The space H^∞ consists of bounded holomorphic functions endowed with the sup norm. We refer to [13, 21] for the following standard facts on holomorphic Hardy spaces.

Each $f \in H^p$ has a nontangential limit at a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, which is also the $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ limit of $f_\rho(\xi) := f(\rho\xi)$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$ and whose norm matches the supremum in (5.1). Actually $\|f_\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ is nondecreasing in ρ , hence instead of (5.1) we could as well have set⁷

$$\|f\|_{H^p} := \sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} |f(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \tag{5.2}$$

⁷ In fact (5.1) expresses the fact that $|f|^p$ has a harmonic majorant, whereas (5.2) bounds the L^p -norm of f on curves tending to the boundary; the first condition defines the Hardy space and the second the so-called Smirnov space. These coincide when harmonic measure and arclength are comparable on the boundary [13, Chapter 10], [25], which is the case for smooth domains. The name ‘‘Hardy space’’ is then more common.

where the integral is now with respect to arclength. As usual, we keep the same notation for f and its nontangential limit when no confusion can arise, or write sometimes $f|_{\mathbb{T}}$ to emphasize that the nontangential limit lives on \mathbb{T} . Note that $f|_{\mathbb{T}}$ coincides with $\text{tr } f$ when $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{D})$ [5]. Each function in H^p is both the Cauchy and the Poisson integral of its nontangential limit. As regards the nontangential maximal function, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in H^p$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\gamma f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}, \tag{5.3}$$

where the constant C depends only on γ and p [21, Chapter II, Theorem 3.1].

The traces of H^p -functions on \mathbb{T} are exactly those functions in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ whose Fourier coefficients of negative index do vanish. In particular, if $f \in H^p$ and $f|_{\mathbb{T}} \in L^q(\mathbb{T})$, then $f \in H^q$. It is obvious from Fubini’s theorem that $H^p \subset L^p(\mathbb{D})$, but actually one can state more:

$$\|f\|_{L^\lambda(\mathbb{D})} \leq C\|f\|_{H^p}, \quad p \leq \lambda < 2p, \tag{5.4}$$

where $C = C(p, \lambda)$; for a proof see [13, Theorem 5.9]. A sequence $(z_l) \subset \mathbb{D}$ is the zero set of a nonzero H^p function, taking into account multiplicities, if and only if it satisfies the *Blaschke condition*

$$\sum_l (1 - |z_l|) < \infty. \tag{5.5}$$

A nonnegative function $h \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ is of the form $|f|$ for some nonzero $f \in H^p$ if and only if $\log h \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. This entails that a nonzero H^p function cannot vanish on a subset of strictly positive Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} .

For $1 < p < \infty$ and for every $\psi \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ there exists $g \in H^p$ such that $\text{Re } g = \psi$ on \mathbb{T} [21, Chapter III]. Such a g is unique up to an additive pure imaginary constant, and if we normalize it so that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Im } g = 0$, then $\|g\|_{H^p} \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ with $C = C(p)$. In fact $g = u + iv$ on \mathbb{D} , where u is the Poisson integral of ψ and v is the Poisson integral of

$$\tilde{\psi}(e^{i\theta}) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < |\theta - t| < \pi} \frac{\psi(e^{it})}{\tan(\frac{\theta - t}{2})} dt, \tag{5.6}$$

which is the *conjugate function* of ψ . This definition carries over to $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ the conjugation operator $\psi \mapsto \tilde{\psi}$ already introduced on $W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T})$ after the proof of Lemma 4.8. It is a theorem of M. Riesz that the conjugation operator maps $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ continuously into itself. By elliptic regularity, it is also continuous from $W^{1-1/p,p}(\mathbb{T})$ into itself.

When $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, the conjugate function $\tilde{\psi}$ is still defined pointwise almost everywhere via (5.6) but it does not necessarily belong to $L^1(\mathbb{T})$.

For $p \in (1, \infty)$, a nonnegative function $\mathfrak{w} \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ is said to satisfy the *Muckenhoupt condition* A_p if

$$\{\mathfrak{w}\}_{A_p} := \sup_I \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I \mathfrak{w} d\Lambda \right) \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I \mathfrak{w}^{-1/(p-1)} d\Lambda \right)^{p-1} < \infty, \tag{5.7}$$

where the supremum is taken over all arcs $I \subset \mathbb{T}$. A theorem of Hunt, Muckenhoupt and

Wheeden [21, Chapter VI, Theorem 6.2] asserts that \mathfrak{w} satisfies condition A_p if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\tilde{\phi}|^p \mathfrak{w} \, d\Lambda \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi|^p \mathfrak{w} \, d\Lambda, \quad \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{T}), \tag{5.8}$$

where C depends only on $\{\mathfrak{w}\}_{A_p}$. In (5.8), the assumption $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ is just a means to ensure that $\tilde{\phi}$ is well defined.

5.2. Pseudo-holomorphic Hardy spaces

Given $\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{D})$ for some $r \in [2, \infty)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$, we define the Hardy space $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ of those $w \in L_{\text{loc}}^\gamma(\mathbb{D})$ with $\gamma > r/(r - 1)$ that satisfy (3.2) and such that

$$\|w\|_{G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})} := \sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} |w(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \tag{5.9}$$

Denote by \mathcal{H}^p the Banach space of complex measurable functions f on \mathbb{D} such that $\text{ess sup}_{0 < \rho < 1} \rho \|f_\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} < \infty$. Then $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is identified with a real subspace of \mathcal{H}^p . The fact that this subspace is closed (hence a Banach space in its own right) is a part of Theorem 5.1 below. Note that if $w \in L_{\text{loc}}^\gamma(\mathbb{D})$ satisfies (3.2), then $w \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})$ for $q \in [1, 2)$ by Lemma 3.1; hence the integral in (5.9) is indeed finite for each ρ by the trace theorem. Clearly $G_0^p(\mathbb{D}) = H^p$, but $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is not a complex vector space when $\alpha \not\equiv 0$. Spaces $G_\alpha^1(\mathbb{D})$ and $G_\alpha^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ could be defined similarly, but we shall not consider them.

For $r > 2$, such classes of functions were apparently introduced in [35] and subsequently considered in [27, 28, 29, 5, 14, 16, 4]. In contrast to these studies, our definition is modeled after (5.2) rather than (5.1), that is, integral means in (5.9) are with respect to arclength⁸ and *not* normalized arclength. This is not important when $r > 2$, but becomes essential⁹ if $r = 2$.

Below, we do consider the case $r = 2$ and stress topological connections with holomorphic Hardy spaces which are new even when $r > 2$ (see Theorem 5.1(iii)).

By Lemma 3.1, each solution to (3.2) in $L_{\text{loc}}^\gamma(\mathbb{D})$, $\gamma > r/(r - 1)$, factors as $w = e^s F$ where

$$\|s\|_{W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C(r) \|\alpha\|_{L^r(\mathbb{D})} \tag{5.10}$$

and F is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} . Moreover, if $w \not\equiv 0$, one can impose $\text{Im tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Re } s = 0$ or $\text{Re tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Im } s = 0$ to get unique factorization. To distinguish between these two factorizations, we write $w = e^{s^\tau} F^\tau$ in the first case, and $w = e^{s^i} F^i$ in the second one; that is, s^τ is real on \mathbb{T} and s^i is pure imaginary there. If $w \equiv 0$, we

⁸ Thus, it would be more appropriate to call $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ a pseudo-holomorphic Smirnov space.

⁹ When $r = 2$, w may fail to satisfy condition (5.1) even though it meets (3.2) and (5.9). The problem lies with *small* values of r , as w need not be locally bounded on \mathbb{D} .

set $F^v = F^i = 0$ and do not define s^v and s^i . When $w \neq 0$ (hence w is nonzero a.e.), it follows from the proof of Lemma 3.1 that if we let

$$\mathcal{R}(\beta)(z) := -\overline{\mathcal{C}(\beta)(1/\bar{z})} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{z\bar{\beta}(\xi)}{1-\bar{\xi}z} d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}, \quad \beta \in L^r(\mathbb{D}), z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (5.11)$$

then s^v is given by

$$s^v = \mathcal{C}(\alpha\bar{w}/w) - \mathcal{R}(\alpha\bar{w}/w), \quad (5.12)$$

while s^i is given by

$$s^i = \mathcal{C}(\alpha\bar{w}/w) + \mathcal{R}(\alpha\bar{w}/w). \quad (5.13)$$

Indeed, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{R}(\alpha\bar{w}/w)$ is a holomorphic function in $W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ having zero mean on \mathbb{T} and assuming conjugate values to $-\mathcal{C}(\alpha\bar{w}/w)$ there.

From (5.10) which is valid both for s^v and s^i we find that if $r > 2$ then

$$\|e^{\pm s^v}\|_{W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C(r, \|\alpha\|_{L^r(\mathbb{D})}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|e^{\pm s^i}\|_{W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C(r, \|\alpha\|_{L^r(\mathbb{D})}). \quad (5.14)$$

For $r = 2$ and for $1 < q < 2$, we only deduce from (5.10) and Proposition 8.4 that

$$\|e^{\pm s^v}\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C(q, \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|e^{\pm s^i}\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C(q, \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \quad (5.15)$$

- When $r > 2$, we conclude from (5.14) and the Sobolev embedding theorem that $e^{\pm s^v}$ and $e^{\pm s^i}$ are continuous and bounded independently of w on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence, w belongs to $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if F^v or F^i lies in H^p (in which case both do). This way $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ inherits many properties of H^p . In particular, each $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ has a nontangential limit a.e. on \mathbb{T} , denoted again by w or $w_{\mathbb{T}}$ for emphasis, which is also the limit of w_ρ as $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover, $\|w_{\mathbb{T}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ is a norm equivalent to (5.2) on $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$, and we might as well have used (5.1) to define the latter. Also, from Theorem 4.1, we infer that condition (5.5) characterizes the zeros of non-identically vanishing functions in $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$.¹⁰
- If $r = 2$, all we conclude a priori from (5.15), Lemma 8.7, and Hölder's inequality is that F^v and F^i belong to $\bigcap_{1 \leq \ell < p} H^\ell$ if $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$. In the other direction, $w \in \bigcap_{1 \leq \ell < p} G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ if F^v or F^i lies in H^p . To clarify the matter, one should realize that factorizations $w = e^{s^v} F^v$ and $w = e^{s^i} F^i$ no longer play equivalent roles, for it may happen that $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $F^v \notin H^p$. In fact, if we let

$$w(z) := \frac{1}{\log(3/|z-1|)(z-1)^{1/p}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (5.16)$$

we get

$$\left| \frac{\bar{\partial} w(z)}{w(z)} \right| = (2|z-1| \log(3/|z-1|))^{-1};$$

¹⁰ When $r = 2$, this property has no simple analog since w is only defined $B_{1,2}$ -quasi-everywhere.

hence, $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ with $\alpha := \bar{\partial}w/\bar{w} \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, but the factorization

$$w(z) = e^{\log \log(3/|z-1|)-a} e^a (z-1)^{-1/p}, \quad a := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \log(3/|z-1|) d\Lambda(z),$$

is such that $F^c = e^a (z-1)^{-1/p} \notin H^p$.

On the other hand, $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $F^i \in H^p$. Assume indeed that $0 \neq w \in G_\alpha^p$. Since $F^i \in H^\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell < p$ and $e^{s_\rho^i}$ converges to e^{s^i} in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})$ for all $q \in [1, 2)$ by Proposition 8.4, it follows from Lemma 8.7 and Hölder's inequality that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} w_\rho$ converges as $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$ to $e^{\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s^i} F_{|\mathbb{T}}^i$ in $L^\lambda(\mathbb{T})$, for every $\lambda \in [1, p)$. Moreover, as $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} w_\rho$ remains bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ by (5.9), it converges weakly there to $e^{\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s^i} F_{|\mathbb{T}}^i$ when $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$, since this is the only weak limit possible granted the convergence of $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} w_\rho$ in $L^\lambda(\mathbb{T})$. In particular, $e^{\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s^i} F_{|\mathbb{T}}^i \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$, and since $|e^{\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s^i}| \equiv 1$ we conclude that $F_{|\mathbb{T}}^i \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$, and hence $F^i \in H^p$. Conversely, if $F^i \in H^p$, then w satisfies (5.9) by Corollary 8.11.

The fact that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} w_\rho$ converges strongly in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$, and not just weakly as we showed above, is a part of the next theorem, whose assertion (iii) is new even for $r > 2$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{D})$ with $2 \leq r < \infty$ and fix $p \in (1, \infty)$.*

(i) *Each $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ has a trace $w_{\mathbb{T}}$ on \mathbb{T} given by*

$$w_{\mathbb{T}} := \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1^-} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} w_\rho \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{T}). \tag{5.17}$$

When $r > 2$, the function $w_{\mathbb{T}}$ is also the nontangential limit of w a.e. on \mathbb{T} .

(ii) *For some $C > 0$ depending only on $|\alpha|$ and p we have*

$$\|w_{\mathbb{T}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|w\|_{G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \|w_{\mathbb{T}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}, \tag{5.18}$$

and $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is a real Banach space on which $\|w_{\mathbb{T}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ is a norm equivalent to (5.9).

(iii) *The map $w \mapsto F^i$ is a homeomorphism from $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ onto H^p . When $r > 2$, the map $w \mapsto F^c$ is also such a homeomorphism.*

(iv) *If $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $w_{\mathbb{T}} \in L^q(\mathbb{T})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty)$, then $w \in G_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D})$. A nonnegative function $h \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ is such that $h = |w_{\mathbb{T}}|$ for some nonzero $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $\log h \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$.*

Proof. If $r > 2$, all the properties except (iii) follow from their H^p -analogs via the continuity and uniform boundedness of $e^{\pm s^c}$ or $e^{\pm s^i}$ discussed earlier in this section (see also [35, 27, 5, 4]).

We postpone the proof of (iii) and assume for now that $r = 2$. Take $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \{0\}$ and set $s = s^i$, $F = F^i$ to simplify notation. To prove (5.17) we need to verify that given a sequence $(\rho_n) \subset (0, 1)$ tending to 1, one can extract a subsequence (ρ_{n_k}) such that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} w_{\rho_{n_k}}$ converges to $e^{\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} F_{|\mathbb{T}}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Since s_ρ converges to s in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, we infer from Lemma 8.7 that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_\rho$ converges to $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s$ in $L^\ell(\mathbb{T})$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$, for all $\ell \in [1, \infty)$. Moreover, as we pointed out before the

theorem, $F \in H^p$, and hence $(F_\rho)_{|\mathbb{T}}$ converges to $F_{|\mathbb{T}}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$. Extracting if necessary a subsequence from (ρ_n) (still denoted by (ρ_n)), we can assume that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_{\rho_n}$ (resp. $(F_{\rho_n})_{|\mathbb{T}}$) also converges pointwise a.e. on \mathbb{T} to $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s$ (resp. $F_{|\mathbb{T}}$). Now, Corollary 8.11, applied with ps instead of s , implies that $\|e^{ps_\rho} F_\rho\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ is uniformly bounded as $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$. Therefore, as the weak limit coincides with the pointwise limit when both exist by Egoroff's theorem, there is a subsequence (ρ_{n_k}) such that $(e^{p \text{Re } s_{\rho_{n_k}}} |F_{\rho_{n_k}}|)$ converges weakly to $|F|$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$. If we let $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, this means that for each test function $\Theta \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{T})$ we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{p \text{Re } s_{\rho_{n_k}}(z)} |F_{\rho_{n_k}}(z)| |\Theta(z)| |dz| - \int_{\mathbb{T}} |F(z)| |\Theta(z)| |dz| \right| = 0. \tag{5.19}$$

Set $\Theta_k = |F_{\rho_{n_k}}|^{p-1} \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{T})$. Convergence of $(F_\rho)_{|\mathbb{T}}$ to $F_{|\mathbb{T}}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ implies easily that Θ_k converges to $|F|^{p-1}$ in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{T})$. In view of (5.19), this yields

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{p \text{Re } s_{\rho_{n_k}}(z)} |F_{\rho_{n_k}}(z)|^p |dz| - \int_{\mathbb{T}} |F(z)|^p |dz| \right| = 0.$$

Therefore, $\|\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} w_{\rho_{n_k}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = \|e^{\text{Re } s_{\rho_{n_k}}} F_{\rho_{n_k}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ tends to $\|e^{\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} F_{|\mathbb{T}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = \|F_{|\mathbb{T}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$. However, from the discussion before the theorem, we know that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} w_{\rho_{n_k}}$ converges weakly to $e^{\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} F_{|\mathbb{T}}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$, so by uniform convexity of $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ the convergence must in fact be strong because, as we have just shown, the norm of the weak limit is the limit of the norms [9, Theorem 3.32]. This proves (i).

Next, we observe by the absolute continuity of $|\alpha|^2 dm$ that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\omega(\varepsilon) > 0$ for which $\|\alpha\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega(\varepsilon)} \cap \mathbb{D})} < \varepsilon$ as soon as $Q_{\omega(\varepsilon)}$ is a cube of sidelength $\omega(\varepsilon)$. Thus, in view of (5.13), we can apply Proposition 8.5 to $\beta := \alpha \bar{w}/w$ and obtain a strictly positive function $\tilde{\omega}$ on \mathbb{R}^+ , depending only on $|\alpha|$, such that

$$\|\partial s\|_{L^2(Q_{\tilde{\omega}(\eta)} \cap \mathbb{D})} + \|\bar{\partial} s\|_{L^2(Q_{\tilde{\omega}(\eta)} \cap \mathbb{D})} < \eta \tag{5.20}$$

whenever $Q_{\tilde{\omega}(\eta)}$ is a cube of sidelength $\tilde{\omega}(\eta)$. A fortiori, (5.20) holds with $\text{Re } s$ instead of s . Now, picking any $\gamma \in (0, \pi/2)$ and recalling that $|w_{\mathbb{T}}| = |F_{|\mathbb{T}}|$ because $\text{Re } s \in W_{0, \mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, we deduce from (5.3) and Theorem 8.10 applied to $f = \text{Re } s$ and $g = e^{i \text{Im } s} F$ that the right inequality in (5.18) holds. On the other hand, the left inequality is obvious from (5.17).

To show that $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is a Banach space, consider a sequence $(w_n) \subset G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ converging in \mathcal{H}^p to some function w . We must prove that $w \in G_\alpha^p$. We can assume $w \neq 0$, therefore $w_n \neq 0$ for n large enough. Convergence in \mathcal{H}^p being stronger than in $L^p(\mathbb{D})$, a fortiori w_n converges to w in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{D})$, and moreover some subsequence, again denoted by w_n , converges pointwise a.e. to w . Furthermore, if we write $w_n = e^{s_n} F_n$ where we mean as before that $s_n = s_n^i$ and $F_n = F_n^i$, we deduce from (5.15) that the sequence (e^{s_n}) is bounded in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})$ for each $q \in (1, 2)$. Therefore, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, (e^{s_n}) is bounded in $L^\ell(\mathbb{D})$ for each $\ell \in [1, \infty)$. In addition, since $|e^{\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_n}| \equiv 1$, it follows from (5.18) that (F_n) is bounded in H^p , hence also in $L^\ell(\mathbb{D})$ for each $\ell \in (1, 2p)$ by (5.4). Altogether, by Hölder's inequality, (w_n) is bounded in $L^\gamma(\mathbb{D})$ for some $\gamma > 2$. Consequently, some subsequence converges weakly in $L^\gamma(\mathbb{D})$, and since the weak limit

coincides with the pointwise limit, if it exists, we conclude that the weak limit is w . In particular, $w \in L^t(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, by Hölder's inequality, $(\alpha \bar{w}_n)$ is bounded in $L^t(\mathbb{D})$ for some $t > 1$, and arguing as before we find that some subsequence (again denoted by $(\alpha \bar{w}_n)$) converges weakly to $\alpha \bar{w}$ there. Thus, passing to the distributional limit in the relation $\bar{\partial} w_n = \alpha \bar{w}_n$, we obtain (3.2) so that $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$. This proves (ii).

We already know from Theorem 4.1 and the discussion before Theorem 5.1 that the map $w \mapsto F^i$ is bijective from $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ to H^p . Since $|w_{\mathbb{T}}| = |F^i|_{\mathbb{T}}$, it is clear from (5.18) that this map and its inverse are continuous at 0. Let now w_n converge to $w \neq 0$ in $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ and write $w_n = e^{s_n^i} F_n^i$, $w = e^{s^i} F^i$.

We claim that some subsequence of F_n^i converges to F^i in H^p and this establishes continuity of the map at every point. As $F_n^i|_{\mathbb{T}}$ is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ by (5.18), some subsequence converges weakly there to $\Phi|_{\mathbb{T}}$ for some $\Phi \in H^p$. Thus, replacing w_n by a subsequence (again denoted by w_n), we may assume by the Cauchy formula that F_n^i converges locally uniformly to Φ on \mathbb{D} . Note that $\Phi \neq 0$ for otherwise, in view of (5.15), w_n would converge to the zero distribution, contradicting that $w \neq 0$. In particular, $\alpha \bar{F}_n^i / F_n^i$ converges in $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ to $\alpha \bar{\Phi} / \Phi$ by the dominated convergence theorem. Since $\bar{\partial} s_n^i = \alpha \bar{F}_n^i / F_n^i \exp(-2i \operatorname{Im} s_n^i)$ by Lemma 4.3 and $\|s_n^i\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}$ is uniformly bounded by (5.10), we can argue as we did after (4.8) (set $\alpha_n \equiv \alpha \bar{F}_n^i / F_n^i$ and $\theta_0 = 0$ in the discussion there) to conclude that a subsequence, again denoted by s_n^i , converges to some $\sigma \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma = 0$, both a.e. and in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. Refining the sequence if necessary, we can further assume that w_n converges a.e. to w . Taking pointwise limits we get $w = e^\sigma \Phi$, hence $\sigma = s^i$ and $\Phi = F^i$ by the uniqueness part of Corollary 4.2. Thus, $F|_{\mathbb{T}}$ is the weak limit of $F_n^i|_{\mathbb{T}}$, and since $\|F^i\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = \|w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ is the limit of $\|F_n^i\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} = \|w_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$, the convergence in fact takes place in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$, thereby proving the claim.

Conversely, let $w_n = e^{s_n^i} F_n^i$ be a sequence in $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ such that F_n^i converges to $\Phi \neq 0$ in H^p . By Corollary 4.2, $\|s_n^i\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}$ is bounded uniformly in n , and, as before, a subsequence, again denoted by s_n^i , converges in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ to some σ such that $\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma = 0$. Refining the sequence if necessary, we can assume in view of the trace theorem that $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_n^i$ converges pointwise a.e. on \mathbb{T} to $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma$. By dominated convergence, $(w_n)_{\mathbb{T}}$ tends to $e^{\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma} \Phi|_{\mathbb{T}}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$. Using (5.18) we find that w_n converges in $G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ to some $w = e^{s^i} F^i$, and by the continuity proven before we conclude that $\Phi = F^i$. This proves (iii) when $r = 2$. That both $w \mapsto F^i$ and $w \mapsto F^r$ are homeomorphisms when $r > 2$ is similar but easier because then $s \rightarrow e^s$ is bounded and continuous from $W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ into $W^{1,r}(\mathbb{D}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.

Finally, (iv) follows from the corresponding properties of H^p functions, the fact that $w \in G_\alpha^p$ if and only if $F^i \in H^p$, and the equality $|w_{\mathbb{T}}| = |F^i|_{\mathbb{T}}$. \square

Remark 5.2. When $r = 2$, $w_{\mathbb{T}}$ in Theorem 5.1 is not necessarily the nontangential limit of w . Indeed, if $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{D}$ is nontangentially dense on \mathbb{T} , then the function $s(z) := \sum_n 2^{-n} \log(\log(2/|z - z_n|))$ lies in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ so that $e^s \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ with $\alpha := \bar{\partial} s$ by Lemma 8.7. Yet, e^s is not even nontangentially bounded at a single $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$.

6. The generalized conjugation operator

The M. Riesz theorem may be rephrased as follows. Given $\psi \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$, the problem of finding a holomorphic function f in \mathbb{D} such that $\text{Re tr}_{\mathbb{T}} f_{\rho}$ tends to ψ in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ has a solution in H^p which is unique up to an additive imaginary constant. In fact, if we normalize it to have mean $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi / (2\pi) + ic$ on \mathbb{T} , then $f|_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi + i\tilde{\psi} + ic$ and we have $\|f\|_{H^p} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} + |c|)$ for some C depending only on p .

The corresponding problem for pseudo-holomorphic functions, i.e. for solutions to (3.2) when $\alpha \neq 0$, turns out to have a similar answer in G^p_{α} as long as $\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{D})$ for some $r \geq 2$. When $r > 2$ this was essentially proven in [27] (see also [5] and [4]). More precisely:

Theorem 6.1 ([27], [5], [4]). *Let $\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{D})$ with $2 < r \leq \infty$ and $1 < p < \infty$. For every $\psi \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a unique $w \in G^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\text{Re } w_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Im } w_{\mathbb{T}} = c$. Moreover, $\|w\|_{G^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} + |c|)$, where C depends only on p and r .*

Theorem 6.1 generalizes the M. Riesz theorem: for every $\psi \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a unique $\psi_c^{\sharp} \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ (a generalized conjugate of ψ) such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi_c^{\sharp} = c$ and $\psi + i\psi_c^{\sharp} = w_{\mathbb{T}}$ for some $w \in G^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, $\|\psi_c^{\sharp}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} + |c|)$. The theorem below extends this result to the case $r = 2$ where solutions to (3.2) may be locally unbounded.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $1 < p < \infty$. For every $\psi \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a unique $w \in G^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\text{Re } w_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Im } w_{\mathbb{T}} = c$. Moreover,*

$$\|w\|_{G^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} + |c|), \tag{6.1}$$

where C depends only on p and $|\alpha|$.

Proof. We first show existence. Assume that ψ and c are not both zero; otherwise $w \equiv 0$ will do.

Let (α_n) be a sequence of functions in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ converging to α in $L^2(\mathbb{D})$. By Theorem 6.1, for every n there exists $w_n \in G^p_{\alpha_n}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\text{Re } w_n|_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Im } w_n = c$. Notation being as in Section 5.2, let us write $w_n = e^{s_n^{\tau}} F_n^{\tau}$ where $s_n^{\tau} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ is real with zero mean on \mathbb{T} , while $F_n^{\tau} \in H^p$. Below, we drop the superscript τ for simplicity.

It follows from (5.10) that $\|s_n\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C_0 \|\alpha_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}$ for some absolute constant C_0 , hence $\|s_n\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}$ is bounded uniformly in n . In view of the Rellich–Kondrashov theorem, we can find a subsequence, again denoted by (s_n) , converging to some function s both pointwise on \mathbb{D} and in $L^{\ell}(\mathbb{D})$ for all $\ell \in [1, \infty)$. By the trace theorem and the non-integral version of the Rellich–Kondrashov theorem, we may further assume that $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_n$ converges to some function h both pointwise a.e. on \mathbb{T} and in $L^{\ell}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover, convergence of α_n to α in $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ entails, because of (5.15), that $e^{\pm s_n}$ are bounded in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})$, independently of n and ψ , for each $q \in [1, 2)$. So, invoking again the trace and the Rellich–Kondrashov theorems, we may assume upon refining s_n further that $e^{\pm \text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_n}$ converges to their pointwise limits $e^{\pm h}$ in $L^{\ell}(\mathbb{T})$, for all $\ell \in [1, \infty)$.

Thus, by Hölder’s inequality, $\operatorname{Re}(F_n)|_{\mathbb{T}} = e^{-\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_n} \psi$ converges to $e^{-h} \psi$ in $L^\lambda(\mathbb{T})$ for any $\lambda \in [1, p)$. Continuity of the conjugate operator now implies that $\operatorname{Re}(F_n)|_{\mathbb{T}}$ in turn converges to $\widetilde{e^{-h} \psi}$ in $L^\lambda(\mathbb{T})$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Im} w_n = c$, we see by inspection that $\operatorname{Im}(F_n)|_{\mathbb{T}} = \operatorname{Re}(F_n)|_{\mathbb{T}} + c_n$ where the constant c_n is such that

$$c_n \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_n} + \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s_n} \widetilde{\operatorname{Re}(F_n)|_{\mathbb{T}}} = c. \tag{6.2}$$

The first integral in (6.2) converges to $\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^h > 0$, and the second to $\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^h \widetilde{e^{-h} \psi}$ by Hölder’s inequality. Therefore, (c_n) converges to

$$c_0 := \left(c - \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^h \widetilde{e^{-h} \psi} \right) / \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^h, \tag{6.3}$$

and consequently $(F_n)|_{\mathbb{T}}$ converges to

$$F_{\mathbb{T}} := e^{-h} \psi + i \widetilde{e^{-h} \psi} + i c_0 \tag{6.4}$$

in $L^\lambda(\mathbb{T})$, for all $\lambda \in [1, p)$. Thus, F_n converges in H^λ to F , the Poisson integral of $F_{\mathbb{T}}$. Note that F is not identically zero; otherwise, $\psi \equiv 0$ and $c = 0$, contrary to our initial assumption.

The above argument and the dominated convergence theorem show that the functions $\alpha_n e^{-2i \operatorname{Im} s_n} \bar{F}_n / F_n$ converge to $\alpha e^{-2i \operatorname{Im} s} \bar{F} / F$ in $L^2(\mathbb{D})$. Next, $\bar{\partial} s_n = \alpha_n e^{-2i \operatorname{Im} s_n} \bar{F}_n / F_n$. By Lemma 4.3, applying (2.13) with $A = s_n - s_m, a = \bar{\partial} s_n - \bar{\partial} s_m, \theta_0 = -\pi/2, \psi \equiv 0$, and $\lambda = 0$, we conclude that (s_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, which must therefore converge to s . Hence, $s \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ and $h = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s$. Since in the limit $\bar{\partial} s = (\alpha \bar{F} / F) e^{-2i \operatorname{Im} s}$, we see from Lemma 4.3 that $w := e^s F$ satisfies (3.2). Moreover, if we write $w = e^{s^\tau} F^\tau$ in the notation of Section 5.2, we find that $s^\tau = s$ and $F^\tau = F$ because s inherits from s_n the properties $\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Re} s = 0$. As $F \in H^\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in [1, p)$, we further deduce from the discussion before (5.16) that $w \in G_\alpha^\lambda$ for all such λ . By inspection of (6.4) we get

$$w_{\mathbb{T}} = e^{\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} F|_{\mathbb{T}} = e^{\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} (e^{-\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} \psi + i \widetilde{e^{-\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} \psi} + i c_0) = \psi + i (e^{\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} \widetilde{e^{-\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} \psi} + e^{\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} c_0), \tag{6.5}$$

where we use the fact that $h = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s$ is real-valued. In particular, (6.5) entails $\operatorname{Re} w_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi$.

To show that $w \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$, we must prove in view of Theorem 5.1 that $w_{\mathbb{T}} \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$. To do so, note that $\psi \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ by assumption, and $e^{\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} c_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ by the trace and Sobolev embedding theorems. Furthermore, $p \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s \in W^{1/2,2}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \operatorname{VMO}(\mathbb{T})$ by (8.13). By Lemma 8.2, $e^{p \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s}$ satisfies condition A_p . Thus, using (5.8), we obtain

$$\|e^{\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} \widetilde{e^{-\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s} \psi}\|_p^p \leq C'' \|\psi\|_p^p, \quad C'' = C''(\{e^{p \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s}\}_{A_p}); \tag{6.6}$$

in view of (6.5) we have $w_{\mathbb{T}} \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$. This gives the existence part of Theorem 6.2.

As for uniqueness, let $w_1, w_2 \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ be two solutions. Set $v := w_1 - w_2 \in G_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$, so that $\operatorname{Re} v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Im} v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$. If we write $v = e^{\sigma^\tau} \Phi^\tau$, we observe that

$\operatorname{Re}(\Phi^\nu)_{|\mathbb{T}} \equiv 0$, and hence the H^λ function Φ^ν , $1 \leq \lambda < p$, is a pure imaginary constant, say ζ . Thus, $v = \zeta e^s$ and the relations $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Im} v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{\operatorname{tr} s} \sigma > 0$ give $\zeta = 0$, so that $v = 0$, as desired.

Finally, we verify (6.1). By (5.18), it suffices to prove that

$$\|w_{\mathbb{T}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} + |c|),$$

where C depends only on p . By (6.6), (6.5), (6.3) and Hölder’s inequality, we need only establish that $\|e^{\operatorname{tr} s}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$, $\{e^{p \operatorname{tr} s}\}_{A_p}$, and $1/\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{\operatorname{tr} s}$ are bounded from above independently of ψ . We pointed out earlier in the proof that e^{s_n} is bounded in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})$, independently of n and ψ , for each $q \in [1, 2)$. Since s_n tends to s in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, boundedness of $\|e^{\operatorname{tr} s}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ follows from Proposition 8.4 and the (nonintegral version of) the Sobolev embedding theorem. Next, (5.10) yields $\|s\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C_0 \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}$ for some absolute constant C_0 . Thus, using concavity of \log , the Schwarz inequality, and the trace theorem, we find for some absolute constant C_1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{s(\xi)} |d\xi|\right) &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} s(\xi) |d\xi| \\ &\geq -\|s\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \geq -C_1 \|s\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \geq -C_0 C_1 \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}, \end{aligned}$$

showing that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{\operatorname{tr} s} \geq \exp\{-C_0 C_1 \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}\}$.

Finally, to majorize $\{e^{p \operatorname{tr} s}\}_{A_p}$ independently of ψ , it suffices by Lemma 8.2 to prove that $M_{\operatorname{tr} s}(J)$ (see definition (8.8)) can be made arbitrarily small as $\Lambda(J) \rightarrow 0$, uniformly with respect to ψ , as J ranges over open arcs on \mathbb{T} . Let ω be a strictly positive function on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\|\alpha\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega(\varepsilon)} \cap \mathbb{D})} < \varepsilon$ as soon as $Q_{\omega(\varepsilon)}$ is a square of sidelength $\omega(\varepsilon)$. By (5.12) and Proposition 8.5, there is a strictly positive function $\tilde{\omega}$ on $(0, \infty)$, depending only on ω , such that (5.20) holds. Now, if $\Lambda(J) < 1$, it is elementary to check that $R(J, \Lambda(J))$ (cf. definition (8.29)) is contained in a square of sidelength $\Lambda(J)$. Therefore, if we pick $\Lambda(J) < \min\{1/2, \tilde{\omega}(\eta)\}$, we deduce from (8.13) and Lemma 8.9 that $M_{\operatorname{tr} s}(J) \leq C_1 \eta$, where C_1 is an absolute constant. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.2. \square

7. Dirichlet problem for \exp - $W^{1,2}$ conductivity

The following connection between pseudo-holomorphic functions and conductivity equations is instrumental in [3] and was investigated in the context of pseudo-holomorphic Hardy spaces in [5, 4] when $r > 2$. We start by a 2D isotropic conductivity equation with \exp -Sobolev smooth coefficient:

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad \log \sigma \in W^{1,r}(\Omega), \quad r \in [2, \infty). \quad (7.1)$$

When $r > 2$, the assumption that $\log \sigma \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ simply means that $\sigma \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and that $0 < c < \sigma$ (strict ellipticity). If $r = 2$, then σ lies in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [1, 2)$ by Proposition 8.4, but it is not necessarily bounded away from zero nor infinity, which makes this case particularly interesting because (7.1) may no longer be strictly elliptic.

Set $\nu := (1 - \sigma)/(1 + \sigma)$ and consider the conjugate Beltrami equation

$$\bar{\partial} f = \nu \bar{\partial} \bar{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad -1 \leq \nu \leq 1, \quad \operatorname{arctanh} \nu \in W^{1,r}(\Omega), \quad r \in [2, \infty), \quad (7.2)$$

where the assumptions on ν correspond to those on σ given in (7.1). The fact that $\sigma \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [1, 2)$ implies easily that the same holds for ν . If we restrict ourselves to solutions $f \in L^{\gamma}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ for some $\gamma > r/(r - 1)$ and write $f = u + iv$ to separate the real and the imaginary parts, we find that (7.2) is equivalent to the generalized Cauchy–Riemann system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x v = -\sigma \partial_y u, \\ \partial_y v = \sigma \partial_x u, \end{cases} \quad (7.3)$$

whose compatibility condition is the conductivity equation (7.1). Hence, (7.2) is a means to rewrite (7.1) as a complex equation of the first order. Now, if we set

$$w := \frac{f - \nu \bar{f}}{\sqrt{1 - \nu^2}} = \sigma^{1/2} u + i \sigma^{-1/2} v, \quad \alpha = \bar{\partial} \log \sigma^{1/2} \in L^r,$$

then a straightforward computation using (7.3) shows that (3.2) holds. Note that any constant c solves (7.2), the corresponding solution in (3.2) being $\sigma^{1/2} \operatorname{Re} c + i \sigma^{-1/2} \operatorname{Im} c$.

The preceding discussion makes the study of (7.2) essentially equivalent to that of (3.2), (7.1). In particular, Theorem 6.2 translates into the following result that seems to be the first to describe a class of non-strictly elliptic equations with unbounded coefficients for which the Dirichlet problem is well-posed with (weighted) L^p boundary data.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\sigma \geq 0$ be such that $\log \sigma \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, and fix $p \in (1, \infty)$. For every ψ such that $\psi \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma^{1/2} \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$, there exists a unique solution u to (7.1) such that*

$$\sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} |u(\xi)|^p \sigma^{p/2}(\xi) |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} < \infty \quad (7.4)$$

and $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}}(u_\rho \sigma_\rho^{1/2}) = \psi \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma^{1/2}$ in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover, the supremum in (7.4) is less than $C \|\psi \sigma^{1/2}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$ for some $C = C(p, \sigma)$.

8. Appendix

8.1. Mean growth of Cauchy transforms

In this subsection we prove estimate (2.15). First, we evaluate $\mathcal{C}_2(h)_{\mathbb{D}_R}$, the mean of $\mathcal{C}_2(h)$ over \mathbb{D}_R , when $h \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$ and $R \geq 1$. To this end, we use the following identity (see [2, Section 4.3.2]):

$$\mathcal{C}(\chi_{\mathbb{D}_R})(t) = \begin{cases} \bar{t} & \text{if } |t| \leq R, \\ R^2/t & \text{if } |t| > R. \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

If h has compact support, we deduce from (2.14), (8.1) and Fubini’s theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_2(h)_{\mathbb{D}_R} &= \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_R} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{h(t)}{z-t} dm(t) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}} \frac{h(t)}{t} dm(t) \right) dm(z) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_R} h(t)\bar{t} dm(t) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}_R} \frac{h(t)}{t} dm(t) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}} \frac{h(t)}{t} dm(t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_R} h(t)\bar{t} dm(t) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1 \leq |t| \leq R} \frac{h(t)}{t} dm(t). \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

By a density argument, (8.2) holds for every $h \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$. Next, by (8.2) and the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|\mathcal{C}_2(h)_{\mathbb{D}_R}| \leq \frac{\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C})}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C})} (\log R)^{1/2}, \quad R \geq 1. \tag{8.3}$$

On the other hand, by the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\|\mathcal{C}_2(h) - \mathcal{C}_2(h)_{\mathbb{D}_R}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_R)} \leq C_R (\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_R)} + \|\mathcal{B}(h)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_R)}) \leq 2C_R \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C})}, \tag{8.4}$$

where C_R is the best constant in (2.3) for $p = 2$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{D}_R$. Finally, since

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{C}_2(h)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_R)}}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \leq \frac{\|\mathcal{C}_2(h) - \mathcal{C}_2(h)_{\mathbb{D}_R}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}_R)}}{\sqrt{\pi R}} + |\mathcal{C}_2(h)_{\mathbb{D}_R}|,$$

(2.15) follows from (8.3), (8.4) and the fact that $C_R = RC_1$ by homogeneity.

8.2. Functions of vanishing mean oscillation

The space $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ of functions with *bounded mean oscillation* on the unit circle consists of the functions $h \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\|h\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{T})} := \sup_I \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I |h(t) - h_I| d\Lambda(t) < \infty, \quad h_I := \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I h(t) d\Lambda(t), \tag{8.5}$$

where Λ indicates arclength and I ranges over all subarcs of \mathbb{T} . Note that $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{T})}$ is a genuine norm modulo additive constants only. The space $\text{VMO}(\mathbb{T})$ of functions with *vanishing mean oscillation* is the subspace of $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ consisting of those h for which

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\Lambda(I) < \varepsilon} \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I |h(t) - h_I| d\Lambda(t) = 0. \tag{8.6}$$

Actually, $\text{VMO}(\mathbb{T})$ is the closure in $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ of the set of continuous functions [21, Chapter VI, Corollary 1.3 & Theorem 5.1]. The John–Nirenberg theorem asserts that there exist absolute constants C, c such that, for every $h \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$, every arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$, and any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\frac{\Lambda(\{\xi \in I : |h(\xi) - h_I| > \lambda\})}{\Lambda(I)} \leq C \exp\left(\frac{-c\lambda}{\|h\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{T})}}\right); \tag{8.7}$$

in fact one can take $C = e$ and $c = 1/(2e)$ (see [23, Theorem 7.1.6]¹¹). We also need a quantitative version of the so-called integral form of the John–Nirenberg inequality.¹² Given $h \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and an arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$, let us define

$$M_h(I) := \sup_{I' \subset I} \frac{1}{\Lambda(I')} \int_{I'} |h - h_{I'}| d\Lambda, \tag{8.8}$$

where the supremum is taken over all subarcs $I' \subset I$.

Lemma 8.1. *If $h \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{T}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ is an arc, then*

$$\int_I e^{|h|/(4eM_h(I))} d\Lambda \leq (1 + e)\Lambda(I)e^{|h_I|/(4eM_h(I))}. \tag{8.9}$$

Proof. Inspecting the standard proof of the John–Nirenberg inequality that uses recursively the Calderón–Zygmund decomposition on dyadic subdivisions of I [21, Chapter VI, Theorem 2.1], one checks that (8.7) remains valid if we replace $\|h\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{T})}$ by $M_h(I)$:

$$\frac{\Lambda(\{\xi \in I : |h(\xi) - h_I| > \lambda\})}{\Lambda(I)} \leq C \exp\left(\frac{-c\lambda}{M_h(I)}\right). \tag{8.10}$$

Pick $c' \in (0, c)$ with c as in (8.10), and set $g := c'|h - h_I|/M_h(I)$. We compute as in [23, Corollary 7.1.7]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^g d\Lambda &= 1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I (e^g - 1) d\Lambda \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_0^\infty e^\lambda \Lambda(\{\xi \in I : g(\xi) > \lambda\}) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Fubini’s theorem. Using (8.10) to estimate the distribution function of g , we find that

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{c'|h-h_I|/M_h(I)} d\Lambda = \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^g d\Lambda \leq 1 + C \int_0^\infty e^\lambda e^{-c\lambda/c'} d\lambda = 1 + \frac{C}{c/c' - 1}.$$

Choosing $C = e$, $c = 1/(2e)$, and $c' = 1/(4e)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{|h-h_I|/(4eM_h(I))} d\Lambda \leq 1 + e, \tag{8.11}$$

from which (8.9) follows at once. □

By definition, $M_h(I)$ tends to zero uniformly with $\Lambda(I)$ if $h \in \text{VMO}(\mathbb{T})$, and then Lemma 8.1 makes it clear that in this case $e^h \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ for every $p \in [1, \infty)$.

When $h \in \text{VMO}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$, where the subscript “ \mathbb{R} ” means “real-valued” as usual, it is well known that e^h satisfies condition A_p given in (5.7) for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. This follows for instance from (8.11) and [21, Chapter VI, Corollary 6.5]. Below, we record for later use a specific estimate for the A_p norm in terms of (8.8).

¹¹ The argument there is given on the line but it applies mutatis mutandis to the circle.

¹² When $M_h(I)$ gets replaced by $\sup_{I' \subset I} ((\Lambda(I'))^{-1} \int_{I'} |h - h_{I'}|^2 d\Lambda)^{1/2}$ (a different but in fact equivalent quantity), the sharp constants in (8.9) were obtained in [39].

Lemma 8.2. *Let $h \in \text{VMO}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let $\eta = \eta(h, p) > 0$ be so small that $4eM_h(I) \max(1, 1/(p - 1)) \leq 1$ for every arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $\Lambda(I) < \eta$. Then*

$$\{e^h\}_{A_p} := \sup_I \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^h d\Lambda \right) \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{-h/(p-1)} d\Lambda \right)^{p-1} \leq C \tag{8.12}$$

where C depends only on η, p , and $\|e^h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}$.

Proof. If we set $p' = p/(p - 1)$, then $1/(p - 1) = p' - 1$ and it follows easily from the definition that $\{e^h\}_{A_p} = \{e^{-h/(p-1)}\}_{A_{p'}}^{(p-1)}$. Therefore we may assume that $p \geq 2$.

Now, the left hand side of (8.12) can be rewritten as

$$\sup_I \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{h-h_I} d\Lambda \right) \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{-(h-h_I)/(p-1)} d\Lambda \right)^{p-1}.$$

If $\Lambda(I) < \eta$, then $4eM_h(I) < 1$ and $4eM_h(I)/(p - 1) < 1$, thus by (8.11) and Hölder’s inequality we have

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{h-h_I} d\Lambda \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{|h-h_I|/(4eM_h(I))} d\Lambda \right)^{4eM_h(I)} \leq (1 + e)^{4eM_h(I)} \leq 1 + e$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{-(h-h_I)/(p-1)} d\Lambda \right)^{p-1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{|h-h_I|/(4eM_h(I))} d\Lambda \right)^{4eM_h(I)} \leq 1 + e.$$

This shows that (8.12) holds with $C = (1 + e)^2$ when the supremum is restricted to those I of length less than η . On the other hand, if $\Lambda(I) \geq \eta$, then obviously

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^h d\Lambda \leq \eta^{-1} \|e^h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}$$

and likewise, taking into account that $p \geq 2$ and using Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{-h/(p-1)} d\Lambda \right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I e^{|h|} d\Lambda \leq \eta^{-1} \|e^{|h|}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Thus, (8.12) holds with $C = (\|e^{|h|}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})}/\eta)^2$ in this case. □

When Γ is a Jordan curve locally isometric to a Lipschitz graph, the definitions of $\text{BMO}(\Gamma)$, $\text{VMO}(\Gamma)$, and condition A_p on Γ which are modeled after (8.5), (8.6), and (5.7) coincide with the standard ones [8, Section 2.5].¹³ Lemmas 8.1 and 8.2 carry over mechanically to this more general setting, but the significance of condition A_p with respect to the weighted L^p continuity of the conjugate operator is no longer the same if

¹³ In the standard definition, arcs $I \subset \Gamma$ are replaced by sets of type $\mathbb{D}(\xi, \rho) \cap \Gamma$ with $\xi \in \Gamma$. It is in this form that condition A_p is necessary and sufficient for weighted L^p boundedness of the singular Cauchy integral operator on Γ (see [8, Chapter 5]).

Γ is nonsmooth.¹⁴ Such considerations are not needed in this paper, but we make use at some point of the following estimate showing that $W^{1/2,2}(\Gamma)$ embeds contractively in $VMO(\Gamma)$ [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I |h - h_I| d\Lambda &\leq \frac{1}{(\Lambda(I))^2} \int_{I \times I} |h(t) - h(t')| d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_{I \times I} \frac{|h(t) - h(t')|}{\Lambda(t, t')} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \\ &\leq \left(\int_{I \times I} \frac{|h(t) - h(t')|^2}{(\Lambda(t, t'))^2} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|h\|_{W^{1/2,2}(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

where the next to last step uses the Schwarz inequality. Note that if $h \in W^{1/2,2}(\Gamma)$, then

$$\|h\|_{W^{1/2,2}(I)} := \left(\int_{I \times I} \frac{|h(t) - h(t')|^2}{(\Lambda(t, t'))^2} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \right)^{1/2}$$

tends to 0 as $\Lambda(I) \rightarrow 0$ by the absolute continuity of $\frac{|h(t)-h(t')|^2}{(\Lambda(t,t'))^2} d\Lambda(t)d\Lambda(t')$.

8.3. Exp-summability of Sobolev functions at the critical exponent

Given a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, the Trudinger–Moser inequality [34] asserts that

$$\sup_{\substack{h \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ \|\partial h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial} h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 1/2}} \int_{\Omega} e^{4\pi|h|^2} dm \leq C_{TM}|\Omega| \tag{8.14}$$

for some absolute constant C_{TM} . Now, given a nonzero $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, set for simplicity $N_1(f) := (2\|\partial f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\|\bar{\partial} f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)^{1/2}$ and let further $f_1 = f/N_1(f)$. For each $\xi \in \Omega$ such that $f(\xi)$ is defined, we have either $|f(\xi)| \leq N_1^2(f)/(4\pi)$ or $\exp(|f(\xi)|) < \exp(4\pi|f_1(\xi)|^2)$. Thus, applying (8.14) with $h = f_1$, we deduce for $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ a fortiori that

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{|f|} dm \leq |\Omega| \left(C_{TM} + \exp\left(\frac{\|\partial f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{2\pi} \right) \right). \tag{8.15}$$

Lemma 8.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded and Lipschitz open set. Then there exist $C_1 = C_1(\Omega)$ and $C_2 = C_2(\Omega)$ such that, for every $\ell \in [1, \infty)$ and $f \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$,*

$$\|e^{|f|}\|_{L^\ell(\Omega)} \leq C_1 \exp(C_2 \ell \|f\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2). \tag{8.16}$$

¹⁴ Even if we restrict ourselves to *constant* weights (which certainly satisfy A_p for all $p \in (1, \infty)$), the conjugate operator is generally L^p -continuous for a restricted range of p only. This follows from [32, Theorem 2.1] and the fact that the Szegő projection has the same weighted L^p type as the conjugate operator on \mathbb{T} .

Proof. Let $\Omega_1 \supset \bar{\Omega}$ be open and, say, $|\Omega_1| \leq 2|\Omega|$. Pick $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to have support in Ω_1 , values in $[0, 1]$, and to be identically 1 on Ω . By the extension theorem, there exists $\tilde{f} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\tilde{f}|_{\Omega} = f$ and $\|\tilde{f}\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}$, where $C = C(\Omega)$. Then $h := \ell\varphi\tilde{f}$ lies in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_1)$ and satisfies

$$\|\partial h\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial} h\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2 \leq \ell^2 C' \|f\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2,$$

where C' depends on C and φ . Applying (8.15) to h , we find on setting $C_2 = C'/(2\pi)$ that

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\ell|f|} dm \leq \int_{\Omega_1} e^{|h|} dm \leq (e^{\ell^2 C_2 \|f\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2} + C_{\text{TM}})|\Omega_1|,$$

which yields (8.16) upon setting $C_1 := 2(1 + C_{\text{TM}})|\Omega|$. □

With the help of Lemma 8.3, we now prove that e^f is fairly smooth when $f \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Recall that a (possibly nonlinear) operator between Banach spaces is said to be *bounded* if it maps bounded sets into bounded sets.

Proposition 8.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded Lipschitz smooth open set. Fix $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\ell \in [1, \min(p, 2))$. Then the map $(g, f) \mapsto ge^f$ is continuous and bounded from $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ into $W^{1,\ell}(\Omega)$, and derivatives are computed using the Leibniz and the chain rules:*

$$\partial(ge^f) = e^f \partial g + ge^f \partial f, \quad \bar{\partial}(ge^f) = e^f \bar{\partial} g + ge^f \bar{\partial} f. \tag{8.17}$$

In particular, for every $q \in [1, 2)$, the map $f \mapsto e^f$ is continuous and bounded from $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ into $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and so is the map $f \mapsto e^{u\partial\Omega} f$ from $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ into $W^{1-1/q,q}(\partial\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $f \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and let $(f_n), (g_n)$ be two sequences of smooth functions on Ω converging respectively to f and g in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

We claim that e^{f_n} converges to e^f in $L^\ell(\Omega)$ for all $\ell \in [1, \infty)$. To see this, consider first the case of real-valued functions. By the mean-value theorem and convexity of $t \mapsto e^t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |e^f - e^{f_n}|^\ell dm &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f - f_n|^\ell |e^f + e^{f_n}|^\ell dm \\ &\leq \|f - f_n\|_{L^{2\ell}(\Omega)}^\ell \|e^f + e^{f_n}\|_{L^{2\ell}(\Omega)}^\ell, \end{aligned} \tag{8.18}$$

where we use the Schwarz inequality. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, $\|f - f_n\|_{L^{2\ell}(\Omega)}$ tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\|f_n\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}$ tends to $\|f\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}$, hence $\|e^f + e^{f_n}\|_{L^{2\ell}(\Omega)}$ is uniformly bounded by Lemma 8.3, and the right hand side of (8.18) indeed goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Next, if f, f_n are complex-valued, say $f = u + iv$ and $f_n = u_n + iv_n$, we write

$$\|e^f - e^{f_n}\|_{L^\ell(\Omega)} \leq \|e^u(e^{iv} - e^{iv_n})\|_{L^\ell(\Omega)} + \|e^{iv_n}(e^u - e^{u_n})\|_{L^\ell(\Omega)}.$$

By what precedes, the last term on the right hand side tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so does the first one since we can extract pointwise convergent subsequences from any subsequence of v_n and apply the dominated convergence theorem. This proves the claim.

Next, we observe that $g_n e^{f_n}$ is smooth on Ω and

$$\partial(g_n e^{f_n}) = e^{f_n} \partial g_n + g_n e^{f_n} \partial f_n. \tag{8.19}$$

Assume first that $p < 2$. Then, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, (g_n) converges to g in $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ where $p^* = 2p/(2-p) > 2$. From this and the previous claim, we deduce by Hölder's inequality that $(g_n e^{f_n})$ converges to $g e^f$ in $L^\ell(\Omega)$ for $\ell \in [1, p^*)$, hence also in the sense of distributions. By the same token, the right hand side of (8.19) converges to $e^f \partial g + g e^f \partial f$ in $L^\ell(\Omega)$ for each $\ell \in [1, p)$. The case $p = 2$ is similar except that p^* can be taken arbitrarily large, hence the convergence on the right hand side of (8.19) takes place in $L^\ell(\Omega)$ for all $\ell < 2$. If $p > 2$, then g is even bounded, but this does not improve the estimate. Repeating the argument for $\bar{\partial}(g e^f)$ proves that $(g_n e^{f_n})$ converges to $g e^f$ in $W^{1,\ell}$ for $\ell \in [1, \min(p, 2))$ and that (8.17) holds. Hence, the map $(g, f) \mapsto g e^f$ is defined from $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ into $W^{1,\ell}(\Omega)$ and (8.17) is valid. Moreover, by Lemma 8.3 and Hölder's inequality, this map is bounded. Relaxing the smoothness assumption on f_n, g_n and arguing as before shows that it is also continuous. This proves the first assertion of the proposition. On setting $g \equiv 1$, the second assertion follows by the Sobolev embedding and the trace theorems. \square

8.4. Equicontinuity properties of Cauchy transforms

Proposition 8.5. *Let $\beta \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ and let ω be a strictly positive function on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\|\beta\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega(\varepsilon)} \cap \mathbb{D})} < \varepsilon$ whenever $Q_{\omega(\varepsilon)}$ is a square of sidelength $\omega(\varepsilon)$.*

(i) *If we set (cf. (2.9))*

$$\mathcal{C}(\beta)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\beta(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

then there exists a strictly positive function ω_1 on $(0, \infty)$, depending only on ω , such that

$$\|\partial \mathcal{C}(\beta)\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega_1(\eta)})} + \|\bar{\partial} \mathcal{C}(\beta)\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega_1(\eta)})} < \eta \tag{8.20}$$

whenever $Q_{\omega_1(\eta)}$ is a square of sidelength $\omega_1(\eta)$.

(ii) *If we set (cf. (5.11))*

$$\mathcal{R}(\beta)(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{z \bar{\beta}(\xi)}{1 - \bar{\xi} z} d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

then $\mathcal{R}(\beta) \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} and there exists a strictly positive function ω_2 on $(0, \infty)$, depending only on ω , such that

$$\|\partial \mathcal{R}(\beta)\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega_2(\eta)} \cap \mathbb{D})} < \eta$$

whenever $Q_{\omega_2(\eta)}$ is a square of sidelength $\omega_2(\eta)$.

Proof. Since $\beta \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, we know that $\mathcal{C}(\beta) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$. Fix $\eta > 0$ and set $\delta = \min(1/3, \omega(\eta/3), \eta/(6\|\beta\|))$. For any square Q_δ , we have a nested concentric square with parallel sides $Q_{\delta^2} \subset Q_\delta$. Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be the extension of β by 0 off \mathbb{D} . Since $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{C}(\beta) = \tilde{\beta}$, we obtain

$$\|\bar{\partial}\mathcal{C}(\beta)\|_{L^2(Q_{\delta^2})} < \eta/3. \tag{8.21}$$

Next, we write

$$\partial\mathcal{C}(\beta) = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\beta}) = \mathcal{B}(\chi_{Q_\delta}\tilde{\beta}) + \mathcal{B}(\chi_{\mathbb{C}\setminus Q_\delta}\tilde{\beta}) \tag{8.22}$$

where \mathcal{B} indicates the Beurling transform (cf. (2.10)). As \mathcal{B} is an isometry on $L^2(\mathbb{C})$, we get

$$\|\mathcal{B}(\chi_{Q_\delta}\tilde{\beta})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C})} = \|\beta\|_{L^2(Q_\delta \cap \mathbb{D})} < \eta/3. \tag{8.23}$$

Moreover, formula (2.10) and the Schwarz inequality give the pointwise estimate

$$\mathcal{B}(\chi_{\mathbb{C}\setminus Q_\delta}\tilde{\beta})(z) \leq \frac{2}{\delta}\|\beta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}, \quad z \in Q_{\delta^2}.$$

Integrating over Q_{δ^2} yields

$$\|\mathcal{B}(\chi_{\mathbb{C}\setminus Q_\delta}\tilde{\beta})\|_{L^2(Q_{\delta^2})} \leq 2\delta\|\beta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} < \eta/3. \tag{8.24}$$

Inequality (8.20) with $\omega_1(\eta) = \delta$ follows now from (8.21)–(8.24), proving (i).

Consider next $\mathcal{R}(\beta)$. Clearly it is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} and vanishes at 0. Furthermore, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\beta)(z)} = -\mathcal{C}(\beta)(1/\bar{z})$ and since $\mathcal{C}(\beta) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ we get $\mathcal{R}(\beta) \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$.

Once again, fix $\eta > 0$ and set $\delta = \min(\omega_1(\eta)/4, \eta/(16\|\beta\|))$. First, every square Q_δ has diameter at most $1/4$, hence is disjoint from $\mathbb{D}_{1/2}$ if it meets $\mathcal{A}_{3/4} := \{z : 1 \geq |z| \geq 3/4\}$. In this case the reflection ($z \mapsto 1/\bar{z}$) of $Q_\delta \cap \mathbb{D}$ is contained in a square of sidelength $4\delta \leq \omega_1(\eta)$, and since

$$\partial\mathcal{R}(\beta)(z) = \frac{\overline{(\partial(\mathcal{C}\beta))(1/\bar{z})}}{z^2}, \quad z \neq 0,$$

we deduce from (8.20) and the change of variable formula that $\|\partial\mathcal{R}(\beta)\|_{L^2(Q_\delta)} \leq \eta$.

Assume now that $Q_\delta \subset \mathbb{D}_{3/4}$. Differentiating under the integral sign we obtain

$$\partial\mathcal{R}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\bar{\beta}(\xi)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{z\bar{\xi}\bar{\beta}(\xi)}{(1 - \bar{\xi}z)^2} d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi},$$

so that if $z \in \mathbb{D}_{3/4}$, we infer by the Schwarz inequality that $|\partial\mathcal{R}(\beta)(z)| \leq 16\|\beta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}$. Integrating over Q_δ yields

$$\|\partial\mathcal{R}(\beta)\|_{L^2(Q_\delta)} \leq 16\delta\|\beta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq \eta,$$

as desired. It remains to set $\omega_2(\eta) = \delta$. □

Corollary 8.6. *Let $\beta \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$ and let ω be a strictly positive function on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\|\beta\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega(\varepsilon)})} < \varepsilon$ whenever $Q_{\omega(\varepsilon)}$ is a square of sidelength $\omega(\varepsilon)$. If we let (cf. (2.14))*

$$C_2(\beta)(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{z-t} + \frac{\chi_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}}(t)}{t} \right) \beta(t) dm(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

then there exists a strictly positive function ω_1 on $(0, \infty)$, depending only on ω , such that

$$\|\partial C_2(\beta)\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega_1(\eta)})} + \|\bar{\partial} C_2(\beta)\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega_1(\eta)})} < \eta$$

whenever $Q_{\omega_1(\eta)}$ is a square of sidelength $\omega_1(\eta)$.

Proof. This is proved in the same way as (8.20), replacing $\tilde{\beta}$ by β . □

8.5. Integral estimates on circular arcs

Lemma 8.7. *If $f \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})$ for some $q \in (1, 2)$ and $\ell := q/(2 - q)$, then*

$$\sup_{\rho \in (0, 1]} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} |f(\xi)|^\ell |d\xi| \right)^{1/\ell} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})},$$

where $C = C(q)$.

Proof. Set $f_\rho(\xi) := f(\rho\xi)$ so that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} |f(\xi)|^\ell |d\xi| \right)^{1/\ell} = \rho^{1/\ell} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_\rho(\xi)|^\ell |d\xi| \right)^{1/\ell}. \tag{8.25}$$

By the trace theorem and (the nonintegral version of) the Sobolev embedding theorem we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_\rho(\xi)|^\ell |d\xi| \right)^{1/\ell} \leq C \|f_\rho\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})} \tag{8.26}$$

with $C = C(q)$, and from the change of variable formula we deduce for $\rho > 0$ that

$$\|f_\rho\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})} = \rho^{-2/q} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{D}_\rho)} + \rho^{1-2/q} (\|\partial f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{D}_\rho)} + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{D}_\rho)}). \tag{8.27}$$

Since $1/\ell - 2/q = -1$, in view of (8.25)–(8.27) it remains to majorize $\rho^{-1} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{D}_\rho)}$ by $C \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})}$ for some $C = C(q)$. From (2.4) we see that this is equivalent to checking the estimate

$$\rho^{2/q-1} |f_{\mathbb{D}_\rho}| = \left| \frac{1}{\pi \rho^{3-2/q}} \int_{\mathbb{D}_\rho} f dm \right| \leq C_1 \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})}, \quad 0 < \rho \leq 1, \tag{8.28}$$

with $C_1 = C_1(q)$. Now, the Sobolev embedding theorem implies that for some $C_2 = C_2(q)$ we have $\|f\|_{L^{2q/(2-q)}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})}$, and so by Hölder’s inequality,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{D}_\rho} f dm \right| \leq C_2 \pi^{3/2-1/q} \rho^{3-2/q} \|f\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})},$$

which is exactly (8.28) with $C_1 = C_2 \pi^{1/2-1/q}$. □

For $J \subset \mathbb{T}$ an open arc and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we denote by $R(J, \delta)$ the open curvilinear rectangle in \mathbb{D} (an annulus if $J = \mathbb{T}$) defined by

$$R(J, \delta) = \{z : z = \rho\xi, \xi \in J, 1 - \delta < \rho < 1\}. \tag{8.29}$$

Lemma 8.8. *If $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\rho \in (0, 1]$, then for every arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}_\rho$ we have*

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I f(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right| \leq \frac{(1 - \rho)^{1/2}}{(\Lambda(I))^{1/2}} (\|\partial f\|_{L^2(R(J, 1-\rho))} + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{L^2(R(J, 1-\rho))}), \tag{8.30}$$

where $J \subset \mathbb{T}$ is the arc such that $\rho J = I$.

Proof. By density it suffices to prove (8.30) when $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$. If we write $\zeta \in I$ as $\zeta = \rho\xi$ with $\xi \in J$, we get

$$f(\zeta) = - \int_\rho^1 (\partial f(t\xi)\xi + \bar{\partial} f(t\xi)\bar{\xi}) dt$$

and integrating with respect to $|d\zeta| = \rho|d\xi|$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_I f(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right| &= \left| \rho \int_J \int_\rho^1 (\partial f(t\xi)\xi + \bar{\partial} f(t\xi)\bar{\xi}) dt |d\xi| \right| \\ &\leq \int_{R(J, 1-\rho)} (|\partial f(t\xi)| + |\bar{\partial} f(t\xi)|) t dt |d\xi|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m(R(J, 1 - \rho)) = \Lambda(I)(1 - \rho^2)/2$, estimate (8.30) follows from the Schwarz inequality. \square

Lemma 8.9. *Let J be a proper open subarc of \mathbb{T} and let $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$. For every $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ there exists $C > 0$ depending only on δ_0 and $\Lambda(J)/\delta$ such that for all $f \in W^{1,2}(R(J, \delta))$ (cf. definition (8.29)) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\partial R(J, \delta) \times \partial R(J, \delta)} \frac{|f(t) - f(t')|^2}{(\Lambda(t, t'))^2} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq C(\|\partial f\|_{L^2(R(J, \delta))} + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{L^2(R(J, \delta))}). \end{aligned} \tag{8.31}$$

Proof. Pick $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$, and write e^{ia}, e^{ib} for the endpoints of J with $a < b$ and $|a - b| < 2\pi$. The map $\varphi(\rho, \theta) := (\rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta)$ is a diffeomorphism from $R := (1 - \delta, 1) \times (a, b)$ onto $R(J, \delta)$ satisfying $\|D\varphi\| \leq 1$ and $\|(D\varphi)^{-1}\| \leq c/(1 - \delta_0)$, where $D\varphi$ indicates the derivative and $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm. In particular, φ^{-1} extends to a Lipschitz homeomorphism from $\partial R(J, \delta)$ onto ∂R with Lipschitz constant depending only on δ_0 , and by the change of variable formula it is enough to show that if $h := f \circ \varphi$, then

$$\left(\int_{\partial R \times \partial R} \frac{|h(t) - h(t')|^2}{(\Lambda(t, t'))^2} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \right)^{1/2} \leq C(\|\partial h\|_{L^2(R)} + \|\bar{\partial} h\|_{L^2(R)}),$$

where the constant C depends only on $\Lambda(J)/\delta = 2\pi(b - a)/\delta$. The result now follows from the fact that if $p = 2$ and Ω is a rectangle, then the constant in (2.6) depends only on the ratio of sidelengths, a fact which is obvious by homogeneity. \square

8.6. A multiplier theorem

The next theorem is fundamental to our study of G_α^p when $\alpha \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, but is also of independent interest. It is best stated in terms of multipliers. We use the definition (2.1) of the nontangential maximal function $\mathcal{M}_\gamma f$. Denote by $\mathfrak{M}^{\gamma,p}$ the Banach space of complex-valued functions on \mathbb{D} such that $\|\mathcal{M}_\gamma f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} < \infty$. Furthermore, we use the Banach space \mathcal{H}^p of functions satisfying a Hardy condition, introduced in Section 5.2.

Theorem 8.10. *Let $\gamma \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Given $f \in W_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, multiplication by e^f is continuous from $\mathfrak{M}^{\gamma,p}$ into \mathcal{H}^p . More precisely, for any function g on \mathbb{D} , we have*

$$\sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |g(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} < C \|\mathcal{M}_\gamma g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}, \tag{8.32}$$

where C depends on p, γ , and on $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $\|\partial f\|_{L^2(Q_\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{D})} < C'/p$ whenever Q_ε is a square of sidelength ε , with C' depending only on γ .

Proof. First, let $\rho \in (0, \sin \gamma)$. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, $\Gamma_{\zeta,\gamma}$ contains \mathbb{T}_ρ and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |g(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \leq \mathcal{M}_\gamma^p g(\zeta) \int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi|.$$

Averaging over $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |g(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{M}_\gamma^p g(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi| \right). \tag{8.33}$$

By Lemma 8.7 applied to e^f in the place of f with $\ell = p$ and $q := 2p/(p + 1)$, we get

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} \leq c_0 \|e^f\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})} \tag{8.34}$$

for some $c_0 = c_0(p)$. Moreover, Lemma 8.3, Proposition 8.4, Hölder’s inequality, and the fact that f is real-valued together imply that for some absolute constants C_1, C_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^f\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{D})} &= \|e^f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{D})} + 2\|\partial f e^f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|e^{|f|}\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{D})} (1 + 2\|\partial f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}) \\ &\leq C_1 (1 + \exp(C_2 p \|\partial f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2)) (1 + \|\partial f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}). \end{aligned} \tag{8.35}$$

By (8.33)–(8.35) we conclude that

$$\sup_{0 < \rho < \sin \gamma} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |g(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} \leq C_0 \|\mathcal{M}_\gamma g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \tag{8.36}$$

for some $C_0 = C_0(p, \|\partial f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})})$.

Assume next that $\rho \geq \sin \gamma$. Now $\Gamma_{\zeta,\gamma}$ cuts out two disjoint open arcs on \mathbb{T}_ρ one of which is centered at $\xi = \rho\zeta$. Denote this arc by A_ξ . Its length $\Lambda(A_\xi)$ is independent of ζ and it is easy to check that $K_1(1 - \rho) \leq \Lambda(A_\xi) \leq K_2(1 - \rho)$ for strictly positive numbers K_1, K_2 depending only on γ . Take an integer N_ρ in the interval $[4\pi\rho/\Lambda(A_\xi), 4\pi\rho/\Lambda(A_\xi) + 1)$, and divide \mathbb{T}_ρ into N_ρ semi-open arcs of equal length,

say $I_{\xi_1}, \dots, I_{\xi_{N_\rho}}$, centered at equidistant points $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{N_\rho} \in \mathbb{T}_\rho$. Set $\zeta_j = \xi_j/\rho \in \mathbb{T}$, and consider the partition of \mathbb{T} into N_ρ semi-open arcs $J_{\zeta_j} := I_{\xi_j}/\rho$ centered at ζ_j . By construction, if $\zeta \in J_{\zeta_j}$, then $I_{\xi_j} \subset \Gamma_{\zeta, \gamma}$. Consequently,

$$\int_{I_{\xi_j}} e^{pf(\xi)} |g(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \leq \mathcal{M}_\gamma^p g(\zeta) \int_{I_{\xi_j}} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi|,$$

and averaging over $\zeta \in J_{\zeta_j}$ gives

$$\int_{I_{\xi_j}} e^{pf(\xi)} |g(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \leq \frac{1}{\Lambda(J_{\zeta_j})} \left(\int_{J_{\zeta_j}} \mathcal{M}_\gamma^p g(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right) \left(\int_{I_{\xi_j}} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi| \right).$$

Since $\Lambda(J_{\zeta_j}) = \Lambda(I_{\xi_j})/\rho$ we deduce upon summing over j that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |g(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \leq \rho \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{M}_\gamma^p g(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right) \sup_{1 \leq j \leq N_\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I_{\xi_j})} \int_{I_{\xi_j}} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi| \right). \tag{8.37}$$

Let $R(J, \delta)$ be defined as in (8.29), and let C be the constant in Lemma 8.9 associated to $\delta_0 = 1 - \sin \gamma$ and $\Lambda(J)/\delta = K_2/(2 \sin \gamma)$; note that C depends only on γ . Since $\Lambda(J_{\zeta_j})/(1 - \rho) \leq K_2/(2 \sin \gamma)$, the arc $J'_{\zeta_j} \subset \mathbb{T}$ of length $(1 - \rho)K_2/(2 \sin \gamma)$ centered at ζ_j does contain J_{ζ_j} . Therefore, $R(J_{\zeta_j}, 1 - \rho)$ is contained in $R(J'_{\zeta_j}, 1 - \rho)$ and I_{ξ_j} is contained in $I'_{\xi_j} := J'_{\zeta_j}/\rho$. Hence, (8.31) a fortiori implies for some K depending only on γ that

$$\left(\int_{I_{\xi_j} \times I_{\xi_j}} \frac{|f(t) - f(t')|^2}{(\Lambda(t, t'))^2} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \right)^{1/2} \leq K (\|\partial f\|_{L^2(R(J'_{\zeta_j}, 1-\rho))} + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{L^2(R(J'_{\zeta_j}, 1-\rho))}). \tag{8.38}$$

Now, it is elementary to check that $R(J'_{\zeta_j}, 1 - \rho)$ is contained in a square of sidelength $K_3(1 - \rho)$ (where K_3 depends only on γ), one side of which is tangent to \mathbb{T} at ζ_j . So, if we let ε_1 be so small that $\|\partial \tilde{f}\|_{L^2(Q_{\varepsilon_1})} < 1/(8Kep)$ whenever Q_{ε_1} is a square of sidelength ε_1 , we get (since f is real-valued)

$$\|\partial f\|_{L^2(R(J'_{\zeta_j}, 1-\rho))} + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{L^2(R(J'_{\zeta_j}, 1-\rho))} \leq \frac{1}{4Kep} \tag{8.39}$$

when $\max(\sin \gamma, 1 - \varepsilon_1/K_3) = \rho_0 \leq \rho < 1$.

Then, from (8.13), (8.38), and (8.39), we see that for all subarcs $I \subset I_{\xi_j}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Lambda(I)} \int_I |f - f_I| d\Lambda &\leq \left(\int_{I \times I} \frac{|f(t) - f(t')|^2}{(\Lambda(t, t'))^2} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{I_{\xi_j} \times I_{\xi_j}} \frac{|f(t) - f(t')|^2}{(\Lambda(t, t'))^2} d\Lambda(t) d\Lambda(t') \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 1/(4ep), \quad \rho_0 \leq \rho < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{8.40}$$

If we let $h := \text{tr}_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} f$, inequality (8.40) asserts that

$$M_h(I_{\xi_j}) \leq 1/(4ep), \quad \rho_0 \leq \rho < 1, \tag{8.41}$$

with $M_h(I_{\xi_j})$ defined by (8.8) where \mathbb{T} is replaced by \mathbb{T}_ρ . By Lemma 8.1, (8.41), and Hölder's inequality, for $\rho \in [\rho_0, 1)$ we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I_{\xi_j})} \int_{I_{\xi_j}} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} \leq (1+e)^{1/p} \exp\left(\left| \frac{1}{\Lambda(I_{\xi_j})} \int_{I_{\xi_j}} f(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right| \right). \tag{8.42}$$

On the other hand, keeping in mind (8.39) and the inclusion $R(J_{\xi_j}, 1-\rho) \subset R(J'_{\xi_j}, 1-\rho)$, an application of (8.30) yields

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Lambda(I_{\xi_j})} \int_{I_{\xi_j}} f(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right| \leq \frac{(1-\rho)^{1/2}}{(\Lambda(I_{\xi_j}))^{1/2}} \frac{1}{4Kep}. \tag{8.43}$$

Set $\rho_1 := \max(\rho_0, K_1/(K_1 + \pi))$, and assume for a while that $\rho \geq \rho_1$; in particular, $\pi\rho/(K_1(1-\rho)) > 1$, and therefore

$$\Lambda(I_{\xi_j}) \geq \frac{2\pi\rho}{1+4\pi\rho/|A_{\xi_j}|} \geq \frac{2\pi\rho}{1+4\pi\rho/(K_1(1-\rho))} \geq \frac{K_1(1-\rho)}{3}. \tag{8.44}$$

Using (8.43) and (8.44), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Lambda(I_{\xi_j})} \int_{I_{\xi_j}} f(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{K_1}Kep}, \quad \rho_1 \leq \rho < 1. \tag{8.45}$$

Plugging (8.45) into the right hand side of (8.42) and using (8.37) now gives

$$\sup_{\rho_1 \leq \rho < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |g(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} \leq (1+e)^{1/p} \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\sqrt{K_1}Kep} \right) \| \mathcal{M}_\gamma g \|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}.$$

To obtain (8.32), it remains to treat the case $\rho \in [\sin \gamma, \rho_1)$ when the latter interval is nonempty. First, in this range of ρ , the first two inequalities in (8.44) imply that

$$\Lambda(I_{\xi_j}) \geq c(\gamma, \rho_1). \tag{8.46}$$

On the other hand, (8.34) and (8.35) give

$$\left(\int_{I_{\xi_j}} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} \leq C_0 \tag{8.47}$$

with C_0 as in (8.36). Therefore by (8.46) and (8.47) we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(I_{\xi_j})} \int_{I_{\xi_j}} e^{pf(\xi)} |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} \leq [c(\gamma, \rho_1)]^{-1/p} C_0, \quad \sin \gamma \leq \rho < \rho_1,$$

and using this in (8.37) completes the proof. □

Corollary 8.11. *If $w = e^s F$, where $s \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ with $\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T}} s \equiv 0$ and $F \in H^p$, then*

$$\sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}_\rho} |w(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Proof. This follows from (5.3) and Theorem 8.10 applied with $f = \operatorname{Re} s$ and $g = e^{i \operatorname{Im} s} F$. \square

References

- [1] Adams, R., Fournier, J.: Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press (2003) [Zbl 1098.46001](#) [MR 2424078](#)
- [2] Astala, K., Iwaniec, T., Martin, G.: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations and Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane. Princeton Univ. Press (2009) [Zbl 1182.30001](#) [MR 2472875](#)
- [3] Astala, K., Päiväranta, L.: Calderón’s inverse conductivity problem in the plane. Ann. of Math. (2) **163**, 265–299 (2006) [Zbl 1111.35004](#) [MR 2195135](#)
- [4] Baratchart, L., Fischer, Y., Leblond, J.: Dirichlet/Neumann problems and Hardy classes for the planar conductivity equation. Complex Variables Elliptic Equations **59**, 504–538 (2014) [Zbl 1293.30086](#) [MR 3177007](#)
- [5] Baratchart, L., Leblond, J., Rigat, S., Russ, E.: Hardy spaces for the conjugate Beltrami equation in smooth domains of the complex plane. J. Funct. Anal. **259**, 384–427 (2010) [Zbl 1196.42022](#) [MR 2644107](#)
- [6] Bers, L.: Theory of Pseudo-Analytic Functions. New York Univ. (1953) [Zbl 0051.31603](#) [MR 0057347](#)
- [7] Bliev, N.: Generalized Analytic Functions in Fractional Spaces. Longman (1997) [Zbl 0888.30029](#) [MR 1477905](#)
- [8] Böttcher, A., Karlovich, Y.: Carleson Curves, Muckenhoupt Weights, and Toeplitz Operators. Progr. Math. 154, Birkhäuser (1997) [Zbl 0889.47001](#) [MR 1484165](#)
- [9] Brezis, H.: Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations. Springer (2011) [Zbl 1220.46002](#) [MR 2759829](#)
- [10] Brezis, H., Nirenberg, L.: Degree theory and BMO; part I: compact manifolds without boundary. Selecta Math. (N.S.) **1**, 197–263 (1995) [Zbl 0852.58010](#) [MR 1354598](#)
- [11] Carleman, T.: Sur les systèmes linéaires aux dérivées partielles du premier ordre à deux variables. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **197**, 471–474 (1933) [JFM 59.0469.03](#)
- [12] Demengel, F., Demengel, G.: Espaces Fonctionnels. Utilisation dans la Résolution des Équations aux Dérivées Partielles. EDP Sciences (2007) [Zbl 1159.46001](#) [MR 2440993](#)
- [13] Duren, P. L.: Theory of H^p Spaces. Pure Appl. Math. 38, Academic Press (1970) [Zbl 0215.20203](#) [MR 0268655](#)
- [14] Efendiev, M., Russ, E.: Hardy spaces for the conjugated Beltrami equation in a doubly connected domain. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **383**, 439–450 (2011) [Zbl 1222.42026](#) [MR 2812394](#)
- [15] Efendiev, M., Wendland, W.: Nonlinear Riemann–Hilbert problems for generalized analytic functions. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **40**, 185–208 (2009) [Zbl 1192.30010](#) [MR 2543556](#)
- [16] Fischer, Y.: Approximation dans des classes de fonctions analytiques généralisées et résolution de problèmes inverses pour les tokamaks. PhD Thesis, Univ. Nice–Sophia Antipolis (2011)

- [17] Fischer, Y., Leblond, J.: Solutions to conjugate Beltrami equations and approximation in generalized Hardy spaces. *Adv. Pure Appl. Math.* **2**, 47–63 (2010) [Zbl 1208.35169](#) [MR 2769309](#)
- [18] Fischer, Y., Leblond, J., Partington, J. R., Sincich, E.: Bounded extremal problems in Hardy spaces for the conjugate Beltrami equation in simply-connected domains. *Appl. Comput. Harmonic Anal.* **31**, 264–285 (2011) [Zbl 1253.30080](#) [MR 2806484](#)
- [19] Fischer, Y., Marteau, B., Privat, Y.: Some inverse problems around the tokamak Tore Supra. *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.* **11**, 2327–2349 (2012) [Zbl 1267.30107](#) [MR 2912750](#)
- [20] Forster, O.: *Lectures on Riemann Surfaces*. Grad. Texts in Math. 81, Springer (1981) [Zbl 0475.30002](#) [MR 0648106](#)
- [21] Garnett, J.: *Bounded Analytic Functions*. Pure Appl. Math. 96, Academic Press (1981) [Zbl 0469.30024](#) [MR 0628971](#)
- [22] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N.: *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer (1983) [Zbl 0562.35001](#) [MR 0737190](#)
- [23] Grafakos, L.: *Modern Fourier Analysis*. Grad. Texts in Math. 250, Springer (2009) [Zbl 1158.42001](#) [MR 2463316](#)
- [24] Grisvard, P.: *Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains*. Pitman (1985) [Zbl 0695.35060](#) [MR 0775683](#)
- [25] Havinson, S., Tumarkin, G.: Classes of analytic functions in multiply connected domains. In: *Research on Contemporary Problems of the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Gos. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moscow, 45–77 (1960) (in Russian); French transl.: *Fonctions d'une variable complexe. Problèmes contemporains*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 37–71 (1962) [Zbl 0116.28604](#) [MR 0118847](#)
- [26] Jerison, D., Kenig, C.: The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains. *J. Funct. Anal.* **130**, 161–219 (1995) [Zbl 0832.35034](#) [MR 1331981](#)
- [27] Klimentov, S. B.: Hardy classes of generalized analytic functions. *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Sev.-Kav. Reg. Estestv. Nauki* **2003**, no. 3, 6–10 (in Russian) [Zbl 1051.30031](#)
- [28] Klimentov, S. B.: Riemann–Hilbert boundary value problem in the Hardy classes of generalized analytic functions. *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Sev.-Kav. Reg. Estestv. Nauki* **2004**, no. 4, 3–5 (in Russian)
- [29] Klimentov, S. B.: Duality theorem for the Hardy classes of generalized analytic functions. In: *Complex Anal., Oper. Theory, Math. Sim., Vladikavkaz Sci. Center of Russian Acad. Sci.*, 63–73 (2006) (in Russian)
- [30] Klimentov, S. B.: Riemann–Hilbert boundary value problem for generalized analytic functions in Smirnov classes. *Global Stoch. Anal.* **1**, 217–240 (2011) [Zbl 1296.35023](#)
- [31] Kravchenko, V. V.: *Applied Pseudoanalytic Function Theory*. *Frontiers in Math.*, Birkhäuser (2009) [Zbl 1182.30002](#) [MR 2510077](#)
- [32] Lanzani, L., Stein, E. M.: Szegő and Bergman projections on non-smooth planar domains. *J. Geom. Anal.* **14**, 63–86 (2004) [Zbl 1046.30023](#) [MR 2030575](#)
- [33] Maz'ya, V., Mitrea, M., Shaposhnikova, T.: The Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains for higher order elliptic systems with rough coefficients. *J. Anal. Math.* **110**, 167–239 (2010) [Zbl 1199.35080](#) [MR 2753293](#)
- [34] Moser, J.: A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **20**, 1077–1092 (1970) [Zbl 0213.13001](#) [MR 0301504](#)
- [35] Musaev, K. M.: On some extreme properties of generalized analytic functions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **203**, 289–292 (1972) (in Russian); English transl.: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **13**, 387–391 (1972) [Zbl 0261.30036](#) [MR 0304674](#)

-
- [36] Otelbaev, M. O.: A contribution to the theory of Vekua's generalized analytic functions. In: Application of the Methods of Functional Analysis to Problems of Mathematical Physics and Numerical Analysis, Akad. Nauk SSSR Sibirsk. Otdel., Inst. Mat., Novosibirsk, 80–99 (1979) (in Russian); English transl. in: Ten Papers on Complex Analysis, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 122, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 105–117 (1984) [Zbl 0552.30034](#) [MR 0584765](#)
- [37] Ransford, T.: Potential Theory in the Complex Plane. London Math. Soc. Student Texts 28, Cambridge Univ. Press (1995) [Zbl 0828.31001](#) [MR 1334766](#)
- [38] Schwartz, L.: Théorie des Distributions. Hermann (1978) [Zbl 0399.46028](#)
- [39] Slavin, L., Vasyunin, V.: Sharp results in the integral-form John–Nirenberg inequality. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363**, 4135–4169 (2011) [Zbl 1223.42001](#) [MR 2792983](#)
- [40] Stein, E. M.: Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions. Princeton Univ. Press (1970) [Zbl 0207.13501](#) [MR 0290095](#)
- [41] Theodorescu, N.: La dérivée aréolaire et ses applications à la physique mathématique. Thèse, Paris (1931)
- [42] Vekua, I. N.: Generalized Analytic Functions. Addison-Wesley (1962) [Zbl 0100.07603](#) [MR 0150320](#)
- [43] Ziemer, W. P.: Weakly Differentiable Functions. Grad. Texts in Math. 120, Springer (1989) [Zbl 0692.46022](#) [MR 1014685](#)