JEMS

Gabriele Benedetti · Jungsoo Kang

A local contact systolic inequality in dimension three

Abstract. Let α be a contact form on a connected closed three-manifold Σ. The systolic ratio of α is defined as $\rho_{\text{sys}}(\alpha) := \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\alpha)} T_{\min}(\alpha)^2$, where $T_{\min}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Vol}(\alpha)$ denote the minimal period of periodic Reeb orbits and the contact volume. The form α is said to be Zoll if its Reeb flow generates a free S^1-action on Σ. We prove that the set of Zoll contact forms on Σ locally maximises the systolic ratio in the C^3-topology. More precisely, we show that every Zoll form α_* admits a C^3-neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ in the space of contact forms such that $\rho_{\text{sys}}(\alpha) \leq \rho_{\text{sys}}(\alpha_*)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$, with equality if and only if α is Zoll.

1. Introduction

Let Σ be a connected closed manifold of dimension $2n + 1$ and let $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ be the set of contact forms on it, that is, the elements $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ are one-forms on Σ such that the $(2n + 1)$-form $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$ is nowhere vanishing. This property implies that there exists a unique vector field R_α on Σ determined by the relations $d\alpha(R_\alpha, \cdot) = 0$ and $\alpha(R_\alpha) = 1$. The vector field R_α is called the *Reeb vector field* and the associated flow Φ^α the *Reeb flow*. Periodic orbits of Φ^α are fundamental objects in contact and symplectic geometry. The Weinstein conjecture, which asserts that every contact form on a closed manifold possesses at least one periodic orbit [Wei79], has played a prominent role in the field. The conjecture has been established in many particular situations, most notably when Σ is three-dimensional [Tau07]. In these cases a more refined question arises: What can be said about the period of the orbits that one finds? A natural problem is, namely, to give an explicit upper bound on $T_{\min}(\alpha)$, the minimal period of periodic orbits of Φ^α, in terms of some geometric quantity associated with α. Following [ÁPB14], we use here the contact

G. Benedetti: Mathematisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 205, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; e-mail: gbenedetti@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

J. Kang: Research Institute in Mathematics, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Gwanak-Gu, Seoul 08826, South Korea; e-mail: jungsoo.kang@snu.ac.kr

volume (other choices are possible and can lead to different results, as in [AFM17])

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha) := \int_\Sigma \alpha \wedge (\mathrm{d}\alpha)^n > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

and consider the *systolic ratio*

$$\rho_{\mathrm{sys}} : \mathcal{C}(\Sigma) \to (0, \infty], \quad \rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha) := \frac{T_{\min}(\alpha)^{n+1}}{\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha)}.$$

Inside $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ one can consider the subset $\mathcal{C}(\xi)$ of contact forms defining a given co-oriented contact structure ξ on Σ. This means that ξ is a co-oriented hyperplane field such that $\ker \alpha = \xi$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\xi)$. Following the breakthrough result in dimension three obtained by Abbondandolo, Bramham, Hryniewicz and Salomão [ABHS19], Sağlam [Sag18] showed that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\xi)} \rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha) = +\infty,$$

i.e. the systolic ratio does not admit a *global upper bound* on $\mathcal{C}(\xi)$, for any contact structure ξ in any dimension.

Such bound might hold, however, if one takes a special subclass of contact forms in $\mathcal{C}(\xi)$. For instance, a celebrated theorem of Viterbo [Vit00, Theorem 5.1] (see also [AAMO08]) asserts that the systolic ratio is bounded from above on the set of contact forms on S^{2n+1} arising from convex embeddings into $\mathbb{R}^{2(n+1)}$. Another distinguished subclass is given by the canonical contact forms on the unit tangent bundle of closed Riemannian or Finsler manifolds. This is the setting where systolic geometry originated and has been hitherto extensively studied (see [Ber03, Chapter 7.2]).

In a similar vein, for a general Σ, one is led to study the local behaviour of ρ_{sys} around its critical set. This direction of inquiry was initiated in [ÁPB14] by Álvarez-Paiva and Balacheff, who showed that Crit ρ_{sys} is exactly the set of Zoll contact forms.

Definition 1.1. A contact form α on a manifold Σ is called *Zoll* of period $T(\alpha) > 0$ if the flow Φ^α induces a free $\mathbb{R}/T(\alpha)\mathbb{Z}$-action (all orbits are periodic and have prime period $T(\alpha)$). We write $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$ for the set of all Zoll contact forms on Σ.

Once a Zoll form α_* is given, it is easy to deform it through a path $s \mapsto \alpha_s$ of Zoll forms with $\alpha_0 = \alpha_*$. We can just set $\alpha_s := T_s \Psi_s^* \alpha_*$, where $s \mapsto \Psi_s$ is any isotopy of Σ and $s \mapsto T_s$ a path of positive numbers. By a theorem of Weinstein [Wei74], these represent all possible deformations of α_* through Zoll contact forms.

While the local structure of $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$ is well understood, describing when $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$ is nonempty and investigating its global structure are more subtle issues. In this regard, a classical construction by Boothby and Wang represents a useful tool [BW58, Theorems 2 and 3]. From Definition 1.1, it follows that if α_* is Zoll of period 1, the quotient by the action of the Reeb flow yields an oriented S^1-bundle $\mathfrak{p} : \Sigma \to M$, where M is a closed manifold of dimension $2n$ and $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. The Zoll contact form α_* becomes a connection form for $\mathfrak{p}$, while the two-form $\mathrm{d}\alpha_*$ descends to a symplectic form ω_* on M, representing

minus the Euler class of $\mathfrak{p}$. Conversely, given a symplectic manifold (M, ω_*) such that the cohomology class of ω_* is integral, one can construct an oriented S^1-bundle $\mathfrak{p} : \Sigma \to M$ with a connection form α_* satisfying $d\alpha_* = \mathfrak{p}^*\omega_*$, so that α_* is a Zoll form of period 1 on Σ.

The Boothby–Wang construction tells us exactly which connected three-manifolds admit a Zoll contact form: they are total spaces of non-trivial oriented S^1-bundles over connected oriented closed surfaces. In this case, an easy topological argument shows that the diffeomorphism type of the quotient M and the Euler number of $\mathfrak{p}$ depend only on Σ and not on α_*. In particular, minus the Euler number equals $|H_1^{\mathrm{tor}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})|$, the cardinality of the torsion subgroup of the first integral homology of Σ. Hence, as shown in [ÁPB14, Proposition 3.3], we have the identity

$$\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha_*) = \frac{1}{|H_1^{\mathrm{tor}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})|}.$$

The next result classifies Zoll contact forms on Σ up to diffeomorphisms and up to isotopies. When Σ is SO(3) or S^3, the diffeomorphism classification was carried out in [ABHS17, Theorem B.2] and [ABHS18, Proposition 3.9].

Proposition 1.2. *Let Σ be the total space of a non-trivial orientable S^1-bundle over a connected orientable closed surface.*

(1) *If α and α' are Zoll contact forms on Σ, then there is a diffeomorphism $\Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ and a positive constant $T > 0$ such that*

$$\Psi^*\alpha' = T\alpha.$$

(2) *The space $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$ has exactly two connected components.*

We provide a proof of the proposition together with a detailed description of the connected components of $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$ in Section 2.

Actually, the results in [ÁPB14] go beyond the characterisation of Crit ρ_{sys} and imply that if $s \mapsto \alpha_s$ is a smooth deformation of $\alpha_* \in \mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$ with $\alpha_0 = \alpha_*$, then $s \mapsto \rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha_s)$ attains a strict maximum at 0, provided the deformation is not tangent in $s = 0$ to all orders to $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$. On the other hand, by Weinstein's theorem, if the deformation is contained in $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$, then $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha_s) = \rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha_*)$ for all s. As communicated to us by the authors, an implicit goal in [ÁPB14] was to answer the following question on a sharp *local upper bound* for ρ_{sys}.

Question 1.3 (Local contact systolic inequality). *Let α_* be a Zoll contact form on a connected closed manifold Σ of dimension $2n + 1$ and let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Does there exist a C^k-neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ of α_* in the set of contact forms on Σ such that*

$$\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha) \leq \rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha_*), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{U},$$

and equality holds if and only if α is a Zoll form?

For Zoll Riemannian metrics on a compact rank one symmetric space, an analogous question was formulated in [Bal06, ÁPB14]. This Riemannian question is answered positively for S^2 with $k = 2$ in [ABHS17].

In their seminal paper [ABHS18], Abbondandolo, Bramham, Hryniewicz, and Salomão give a positive answer to Question 1.3 with $k = 3$ when Σ is the three-sphere (or more generally, by means of a simple covering argument, when the base M is the two-sphere). Moreover, they give a negative answer to the question in dimension three if one replaces the C^k-closeness of contact forms with the C^0_{loc}-closeness of the Reeb flows.

In the present paper, building on their beautiful result, we answer Question 1.3 affirmatively with $k = 3$ for every closed three-manifold admitting a Zoll contact form (so, compared with [ABHS18], here the base M can be an arbitrary orientable closed surface), including a statement regarding the *diastolic ratio*

$$\rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha) := \frac{T_{\max}(\alpha)^{n+1}}{\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha)},$$

where $T_{\max}(\alpha)$ is the maximal period of *prime* periodic orbits of Φ^α. If α is Zoll, then $T_{\min}(\alpha) = T(\alpha) = T_{\max}(\alpha)$ so that $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha) = \rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha)$. To get a stronger result, for every free-homotopy class $\mathfrak{h}$ of loops in Σ, we also define the minimal and maximal period of prime periodic orbits of Φ^α in the class $\mathfrak{h}$ and we denote them by $T_{\min}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ and $T_{\max}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$, respectively. Finally, we write $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ for the corresponding systolic and diastolic ratios. Clearly, $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha) \le \rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) \le \rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) \le \rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let α_* be a Zoll contact form on a connected closed three-manifold Σ, and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the free-homotopy class of the prime periodic orbits of Φ^{α_*}. There exists a C^2-neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathrm{d}\alpha_*$ in the space of exact two-forms on Σ such that, for every contact form α on Σ with $\mathrm{d}\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$, we have*

$$\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) \le \frac{1}{|H_1^{\mathrm{tor}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})|} \le \rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$$

and equality holds in one (or equivalently both) of these inequalities if and only if α is Zoll. In particular, Zoll contact forms are strict local maximisers of the systolic ratio in the C^3-topology.

Remark 1.5. This result can be used to prove a systolic inequality for magnetic flows on closed oriented surfaces, as discussed in [BK19].

Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.4. The strategy of the proof closely follows the one in [ABHS18]. We divide the proof into two parts, corresponding to Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

In the *first part* we start by assuming without loss of generality that all prime orbits of α_* have period 1, the form α is C^2-close to α_* and $\mathrm{d}\alpha$ is C^2-close to $\mathrm{d}\alpha_*$. Then, we show that there exists a real number T with $1 < T < 2$ such that the set $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ of prime periodic orbits γ of Φ^α in the class $\mathfrak{h}$ with period $T(\gamma) \le T$ is not empty (see Proposition 3.4). Moreover, given $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$, we construct a global surface of section $N \to \Sigma$ for Φ^α, which is diffeomorphic to M with an open disc removed and such that its boundary covers the orbit γ $|H_1^{\mathrm{tor}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})|$ times (see Section 3.3). If λ is the restriction of α to N, then $\mathrm{d}\lambda$ is symplectic in the interior $\mathring{N}$ and vanishes of order 1 at

the boundary ∂N. The first-return time, a priori only defined on $\mathring{N}$, extends to a function $\tau : N \to (0, \infty)$, which is C^1-close to the constant 1. The first-return map, a priori only defined on $\mathring{N}$, extends to a diffeomorphism $\varphi : N \to N$, which is C^1-close to id_N. Moreover, $\varphi^* \lambda - \lambda = \mathrm{d}\sigma$, where $\sigma := \tau - T(\gamma)$ is a C^1-small function, called the *action* of φ. The volume of α is related to the Calabi invariant $\mathrm{CAL}(\varphi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_N \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\lambda$ of the map φ through the formula

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha) = \int_N \tau \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_N (\sigma + T(\gamma)) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = 2\mathrm{CAL}(\varphi) + |H_1^{\mathrm{tor}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})| \, T(\gamma)^2.$$

Furthermore, every fixed point $q \in \mathring{N}$ of φ yields a periodic orbit $\gamma_q \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ with period

$$T(\gamma_q) = \sigma(q) + T(\gamma).$$

In particular, when α is not Zoll, $\varphi \neq \mathrm{id}_N$. The properties of the return time and the return map are collected in Theorem 3.13. As a consequence, in Corollary 3.14 we argue that Theorem 1.4 is proven if we take γ to have minimal, respectively maximal, period among orbits in $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$, and are able to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi \neq \mathrm{id}_N, \ \mathrm{CAL}(\varphi) \leq 0 &\implies \exists q_- \in \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi), \ \sigma(q_-) < 0, \\
\varphi \neq \mathrm{id}_N, \ \mathrm{CAL}(\varphi) \geq 0 &\implies \exists q_+ \in \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi), \ \sigma(q_+) > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Indeed, if we take $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ with minimal period and assume $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) \geq \frac{1}{|H_1^{\mathrm{tor}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})|}$, then $\mathrm{CAL}(\varphi) \leq 0$. But if α is not Zoll, the first implication in (1.2) yields $\gamma_{q_-} \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ with $T(\gamma_{q_-}) < T(\gamma)$. This contradiction proves $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) < \frac{1}{|H_1^{\mathrm{tor}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})|}$ for a contact form α which is not Zoll. The inequality for $\rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ follows analogously.

In the *second part* of the proof, we establish the implications (1.2). When N is the two-disc, these implications were already shown to hold in [ABHS18, Corollary 5]. The key step there is a formula for the Calabi invariant in terms of the generating function of φ [ABHS18, Proposition 2.20]. Instead of finding such a formula for general N, we show the implications (1.2) by constructing a path $t \mapsto \varphi_t$ of $\mathrm{d}\lambda$-Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of N with $\varphi_0 = \mathrm{id}_N$ and $\varphi_1 = \varphi$, which is generated by a quasi-autonomous Hamiltonian $H : N \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ (see Proposition 4.15). We recall from [BP94] that a function H is *quasi-autonomous* if there exist $q_{\min}, q_{\max} \in N$ such that

$$\min_{q \in N} H(q, t) = H(q_{\min}, t), \quad \max_{q \in N} H(q, t) = H(q_{\max}, t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

In particular, $q_{\min}$ and $q_{\max}$ are fixed points of φ if they lie in $\mathring{N}$. In order to exhibit such a path, we construct a Weinstein neighbourhood of the diagonal in $(N \times N, (-\mathrm{d}\lambda) \oplus \mathrm{d}\lambda)$ (see Proposition 4.1). This yields a generating function $G : N \to \mathbb{R}$ for φ. Let $[0, \epsilon) \times S^1 \subset N$ be a collar neighbourhood of the boundary with radial coordinate R. At this point, crucially using the fact that $\mathrm{d}\lambda$ vanishes at ∂N of order 1 in the radial direction, we can show (see Proposition 4.10) that the generating function belongs to

$$\mathbb{G} := \Big\{ G : N \to \mathbb{R} \ \Big| \ G = 0 \text{ on } \partial N, \ G \text{ is } C^2\text{-small on } N,$$
$$\tfrac{1}{R}\mathrm{d}G \text{ is } C^1\text{-small on } [0, \epsilon) \times S^1 \Big\}.$$

Conversely, every $G \in \mathbb{G}$ is the generating function of some diffeomorphism $\varphi_G : N \to N$ which is C^1-close to the identity (see Proposition 4.12). Therefore, since the set $\mathbb{G}$ is star-shaped with respect to the zero function, the Hamilton–Jacobi equation (see (4.32)) tells us that, for every $\varphi = \varphi_G$, the Hamiltonian function $H : N \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ associated with the path $t \mapsto \varphi_{tG}$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is quasi-autonomous. Once the existence of a quasi-autonomous Hamiltonian is settled, the implications (1.2) follow (see Corollary 4.16), as already observed in [ABHS18, Remark 2.8]. Indeed, we can rewrite the Calabi invariant of φ and the action of $q_{\min}$ (and similarly of $q_{\max}$), provided it lies in $\mathring{N}$, as

$$\mathrm{CAL}(\varphi) = \int_{N \times [0,1]} H \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \wedge \mathrm{d}t, \quad \sigma(q_{\min}) = \int_0^1 H(q_{\min}, t) \, \mathrm{d}t. \qquad (1.3)$$

This finishes the second part of the proof and the whole sketch.

Remark 1.6. Relations (1.3) suggest that one could interpret the implications (1.2) as a local systolic (resp. diastolic) inequality for quasi-autonomous Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Such an inequality yields an upper (resp. lower) bound on the minimal (resp. maximal) action of a contractible fixed point in terms of the Calabi invariant. The bound can be readily proven for closed symplectic manifolds in arbitrary dimension. On the other hand, Reeb flows and Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms are two special incarnations of the characteristic foliation of an odd-symplectic form (also known as a Hamiltonian structure [CM05]) on an oriented circle bundle over a closed symplectic manifold. These observations prompted us to formulate a conjectural systolic inequality for odd-symplectic forms, which we discuss in [BK20].

2. Classification of Zoll contact forms in dimension three

This section is devoted to establishing Proposition 1.2. For a clear exposition, we divide the proof into two lemmas. In the first one, we show that all Zoll contact forms on Σ are isomorphic. This was proved in [ABHS17, Theorem B.2] when $\Sigma = \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and in [ABHS18, Proposition 3.9] when $\Sigma = S^3$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Σ be a connected closed three-manifold. Let α and α' be two Zoll contact forms on Σ with unit period. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that*

$$\Psi^* \alpha' = \alpha.$$

Proof. The Reeb flows of α and α' yield S^1-actions on Σ and let $\mathfrak{p} : \Sigma \to M$ and $\mathfrak{p}' : \Sigma \to M'$ be the associated oriented S^1-bundles. We write e and e' for minus the real Euler class of $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$. Let us orient M and M' through the forms ω and ω', where $\mathrm{d}\alpha = \mathfrak{p}^*\omega$ and $\mathrm{d}\alpha' = \mathfrak{p}'^*\omega'$. By a standard topological argument, the surfaces M and M' have the same genus and $\langle e, [M] \rangle = \langle e', [M'] \rangle$. As the Euler number $\langle e, [M] \rangle$ is a complete invariant for principal S^1-bundles over oriented surfaces, there exists an S^1-equivariant diffeomorphism $\Psi_1 : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that $\mathfrak{p}' \circ \Psi_1 = \psi_1 \circ \mathfrak{p}$ for some orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\psi_1 : M \to M'$. As a result, if $\alpha_1 := \Psi_1^* \alpha'$, then there exists a

one-form η on M such that $\alpha_1 = \alpha + \mathfrak{p}^*\eta$ and $d\alpha_1 = \mathfrak{p}^*\omega_1$, where $\omega_1 := \psi_1^*\omega'$. We now construct a diffeomorphism $\Psi_2 : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ with $\Psi_2^*\alpha_1 = \alpha$, so that $\Psi := \Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1$ is the desired map. Using a stability argument, we seek an isotopy $\Phi_u : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ generated by a vector field X_u such that

$$\Phi_u^*\alpha_u = \alpha, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\alpha_u := \alpha + u\mathfrak{p}^*\eta$ for all $u \in [0, 1]$. We will then set $\Psi_2 := \Phi_1$. We observe that $\omega_u := (1-u)\omega + u\omega_1$ is a path of symplectic forms on M, as ψ_1 preserves the orientation. Differentiating (2.1) with respect to u, we see that (2.1) is satisfied once X_u is chosen as the vector field in $\ker \alpha_u$ with the property that $d\mathfrak{p}(X_u) = \bar{X}_u$, where $\bar{X}_u$ is the unique vector field on M satisfying the relation $\iota_{\bar{X}_u}\omega_u = -\eta$. $\qquad\square$

Recall that $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$ is the space of Zoll contact forms on Σ. Let $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ be an isotopy class of co-oriented contact structures on Σ, and let $\mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ be the set of all Zoll forms defining some element in $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. We denote by $-\boldsymbol{\xi}$ the isotopy class obtained by reversing the co-orientation of the contact structures in $\boldsymbol{\xi}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let Σ denote the total space of a non-trivial orientable S^1-bundle over a connected closed orientable surface.*

(1) *If Σ is either S^3 or $\mathbb{RP}^3$, then $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$ has exactly two connected components $\mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathrm{st}})$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\overline{\mathrm{st}}})$. Here $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathrm{st}}$ is the isotopy class of the standard contact structure and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\overline{\mathrm{st}}}$ the isotopy class obtained from $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathrm{st}}$ by applying an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism.*
(2) *If Σ is neither S^3 nor $\mathbb{RP}^3$, then $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$ has exactly two connected components $\mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_+)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_-)$. Here $\boldsymbol{\xi}_+$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_-$ are two distinct isotopy classes with $\boldsymbol{\xi}_- = -\boldsymbol{\xi}_+$.*

Proof. Let us fix a Zoll contact form α on Σ with unit period and bundle map $\mathfrak{p} : \Sigma \to M$. We consider any other Zoll form α' with unit period on Σ and we distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $M = S^2$. Here Σ is the lens space $L(p, 1)$ for some $p \geq 1$. Lemma 2.1 yields a diffeomorphism $\Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ with the property $\alpha' = \Psi^*\alpha$. Suppose that Σ is either S^3 or $\mathbb{RP}^3$ and let $\bar{\Upsilon} : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a diffeomorphism of Σ reversing the orientation. By Cerf's Theorem (see [Cer68], and [Bon83, Théorème 3] or [HR85, Theorem 5.6]), Ψ is isotopic either to the identity or to $\bar{\Upsilon}$, thus showing that α' is homotopic either to α or to $\bar{\Upsilon}^*\alpha$ within $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$.

Suppose now that Σ is neither S^3 nor $\mathbb{RP}^3$. Then, a $\mathfrak{p}$-fibre is not homotopic to itself with reverse orientation. Therefore, α and $-\alpha$ are not homotopic in $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a diffeomorphism $\Upsilon_- : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that $\Upsilon_-^*\alpha = -\alpha$. In particular, Υ_- changes the orientation of the fibres and is not isotopic to the identity. By [Bon83, Théorème 3] or [HR85, Theorem 5.6] again, the map Ψ is isotopic either to the identity or to Υ_-. Hence, α' is homotopic either to α or to $-\alpha$ within $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$.

Case 2: $M \neq S^2$. The long exact sequence of homotopy groups shows that a $\mathfrak{p}$-fibre is not homotopic to itself. Therefore, α and $-\alpha$ are not homotopic within $\mathcal{Z}(\Sigma)$. Moreover, [Wal67, Satz 5.5] implies that there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ isotopic to the identity and such that $\Psi^*\alpha'$ is an S^1-connection for $\mathfrak{p}$ or for $\mathfrak{p}$ with reversed orientation. The stability argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that $\Psi^*\alpha'$ is homotopic to α or $-\alpha$.

We finally observe that if Σ is not S^3 or $\mathbb{RP}^3$, then $\boldsymbol{\xi}_+$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_-$ are not isotopic. To see this, we use the last statement of [Mas08, Theorem D]. The fact that $\Sigma \neq S^3, \mathbb{RP}^3$ is equivalent to $\langle e, [M] \rangle > \chi(M)$, and implies the hypothesis $-b - r < 2g - 2$ therein, where $b = \langle e, [M] \rangle$, $r = 0$ and $2g - 2 = -\chi(M)$. Therefore, one only needs to check that the twisting number $t(\boldsymbol{\xi}_+)$ defined in [Mas08, p. 1730] is -1. If we suppose that α has period 1, then it is an S^1-connection for $\mathfrak{p}$ with $d\alpha = \mathfrak{p}^*\omega$ and there exists a positively immersed disc $D^2 \hookrightarrow M$ whose lift to the universal cover of M is embedded and such that $\int_{D^2} \omega = 1$. One readily sees that the horizontal lift of the boundary of D^2 traversed in the negative direction is a $\boldsymbol{\xi}_+$-Legendrian curve in Σ, which is isotopic to an oriented $\mathfrak{p}$-fibre and has twisting number -1. $\square$

3. A global surface of section for contact forms near Zoll ones

Let us start by fixing some notation which will be used below. As before, we set $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let Σ be a connected closed three-manifold and let α_* be a Zoll contact form on Σ with unit period (see Definition 1.1). Let R_* denote the Reeb vector field of α_*. Since α_* is Zoll, R_* induces a free S^1-action on Σ and yields an oriented S^1-bundle $\mathfrak{p} : \Sigma \to M$, where M is the quotient of Σ by the action and $\mathfrak{p}$ is the canonical projection. We write $\mathfrak{h}$ for the free-homotopy class of the oriented $\mathfrak{p}$-fibres. Throughout this section, we fix auxiliary Riemannian metrics on Σ and M, in order to compute the distance between points and between diffeomorphisms, and the norm of sections of vector bundles over these manifolds. The space M is a connected closed surface having a symplectic form ω_* satisfying

$$d\alpha_* = \mathfrak{p}^*\omega_*.$$

We endow M with the orientation induced by ω_*.

Let g_{st} and i be the standard scalar product and complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$, respectively. If $a > 0$ is an arbitrary positive number, we denote by B (respectively B') the closed Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$ of radius a (respectively $a/2$). We write $x = (x_1, x_2)$ for a point in B and let $\lambda_{st} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(x_1 dx_2 - x_2 dx_1)$ be the standard Liouville form (up to a constant) on B. We consider the trivial bundle $\mathfrak{p}_{st} : B \times S^1 \to B$ and we write ϕ for the fibre coordinate. We set

$$\alpha_{st} := d\phi + \mathfrak{p}_{st}^*\lambda_{st}, \qquad R_{st} := \partial_\phi.$$

We now define a finite Darboux covering for M. For this purpose, let $Z \subset \Sigma$ be a finite set of points. We consider S^1-equivariant embeddings

$$\mathfrak{D}_z : B \times S^1 \to \Sigma, \qquad \mathfrak{D}_z(0, 0) = z, \qquad \forall z \in Z.$$

This means that there are corresponding embeddings

$$\mathfrak{d}_q : B \to M, \qquad \mathfrak{d}_q(0) = q, \qquad \forall q \in \mathfrak{p}(Z),$$

such that

$$\mathfrak{p} \circ \mathfrak{D}_z = \mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{p}(z)} \circ \mathfrak{p}_{st}, \qquad \forall z \in Z.$$

We write $\Sigma_z := \mathfrak{D}_z(B \times S^1)$, $\Sigma'_z := \mathfrak{D}_z(B' \times S^1)$, and $M_q := \mathfrak{d}_q(B)$, $M'_q := \mathfrak{d}_q(B')$. Finally, we denote by $(x_z, \phi_z) \in B \times S^1$ the coordinates given by $\mathfrak{D}_z$. By the compactness of Σ, we see that if a is small enough, the following three properties can be assumed to hold:

$$
\begin{align}
&(\textbf{DF1}) \quad M = \bigcup_{q \in \mathfrak{p}(Z)} M'_q, \\
&(\textbf{DF2}) \quad \exists d_* > 0, \quad \text{dist}(M'_q, M \setminus M_q) > d_*, \quad \forall q \in \mathfrak{p}(Z), \tag{3.1} \\
&(\textbf{DF3}) \quad \mathfrak{D}_z^* \alpha_* = \alpha_{\text{st}}, \quad \forall z \in Z.
\end{align}
$$

In this section, we define a neighbourhood of $d\alpha_*$ in the space of exact two-forms on Σ with special properties. The elements of the neighbourhood will be exterior differentials of contact forms whose Reeb flow has a distinguished set of periodic Reeb orbits, which can be used to construct a global surface of section for the flow.

3.1. A distinguished class of periodic Reeb orbits

For any contact form α on Σ, let R_α be its Reeb vector field. Let $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ denote the set of prime periodic orbits of the Reeb flow Φ^α of α. For all $T \in (0, \infty)$, we also denote by $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$ the subset of $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ whose elements have period less than or equal to T. We write $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ for the subset of $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$, whose elements are in the class $\mathfrak{h}$, that is, they are freely homotopic to an oriented $\mathfrak{p}$-fibre. We abbreviate $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) := \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) \cap \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$.

If $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha)$, we write $T(\gamma)$ for the period of γ and define the auxiliary one-periodic curves

$$
\gamma_{\text{rep}}, \bar{\gamma} : S^1 \to \Sigma, \quad \gamma_{\text{rep}}(u) := \gamma(uT(\gamma)), \quad \bar{\gamma}(u) := \Phi_u^{\alpha*}(\gamma(0)).
$$

We define

$$
T_{\min}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) := \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})} T(\gamma), \quad T_{\max}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) := \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})} T(\gamma).
$$

We now explore how much information on the Reeb dynamics is already encoded in the exterior differential of the contact form.

Lemma 3.1. *Let α_1 and α_2 be contact forms such that $d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_2$. The forms $\alpha_1 \wedge d\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2 \wedge d\alpha_2$ induce the same orientation on Σ and $\text{Vol}(\alpha_1) = \text{Vol}(\alpha_2)$. Moreover, there is a bijection between $\mathcal{P}(\alpha_1)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\alpha_2)$ which preserves the oriented support of curves. The bijection is period-preserving when restricted to $\mathcal{P}(\alpha_1, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\alpha_2, \mathfrak{h})$. If α_1 is Zoll, then α_2 is also Zoll, and $T(\alpha_1) = T(\alpha_2)$.*

Proof. Since $d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_2$, we have $R_{\alpha_2} = \frac{1}{\alpha_2(R_{\alpha_1})} R_{\alpha_1}$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + \eta$ for some closed one-form η. We orient Σ so that $\alpha_2 \wedge d\alpha_2$ is positive and compute

$$
\text{Vol}(\alpha_2) = \int_\Sigma \alpha_2 \wedge d\alpha_2 = \int_\Sigma \alpha_1 \wedge d\alpha_1 + \int_\Sigma \eta \wedge d\alpha_2 = \int_\Sigma \alpha_1 \wedge d\alpha_1 = \text{Vol}(\alpha_1).
$$

In particular, the orientations induced by α_1 and by α_2 coincide. Therefore, for all $z \in \Sigma$,

$$\Phi^{\alpha_2}_{t_2(t_1, z)}(z) = \Phi^{\alpha_1}_{t_1}(z), \quad t_2(t_1, z) := \int_0^{t_1} (t \mapsto \Phi^{\alpha_1}_t(z))^* \alpha_2,$$

so that $t_1 \mapsto t_2(t_1, z)$ is strictly increasing. Hence, Φ^{α_1} and Φ^{α_2} have the same trajectories, up to an orientation-preserving reparametrisation, and we have a bijective correspondence between $\mathcal{P}(\alpha_1)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\alpha_2)$ preserving the oriented support of periodic orbits. Let $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha_1, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\gamma_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha_2, \mathfrak{h})$ be corresponding periodic orbits. Since the homology class of γ_1 and γ_2 is torsion, the fact that η is closed implies

$$T(\gamma_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}/T(\gamma_2)\mathbb{Z}} \gamma_2^* \alpha_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}/T(\gamma_1)\mathbb{Z}} \gamma_1^* \alpha_1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}/T(\gamma_2)\mathbb{Z}} \gamma_2^* \eta = T(\gamma_1) + 0.$$

Finally, if α_1 is Zoll with period T_1, then α_2 is also Zoll with period $T_2 := t_2(T_1, z)$ (independent of $z \in \Sigma$), as $t_1 \mapsto t_2(t_1, z)$ is increasing. Since every prime periodic orbit of Φ^{α_1} has torsion homology class, we conclude as above that $T_2 = T_1$.   □

On the space of one-forms α on Σ we consider the norm $\| \cdot \|_{C^3_-}$ defined by

$$\|\alpha\|_{C^3_-} := \|\alpha\|_{C^2} + \|d\alpha\|_{C^2}.$$

There is a constant $C_{\mathfrak{D}} > 0$ depending only on Σ and the Darboux family such that for every one-form α on Σ,

$$\frac{1}{C_{\mathfrak{D}}} \|\alpha\|_{C^3_-} \leq \max_{z \in Z} \|\mathfrak{D}_z^* \alpha\|_{C^3_-} \leq C_{\mathfrak{D}} \|\alpha\|_{C^3_-}. \tag{3.2}$$

For every $\epsilon > 0$, we denote the C^3_--ball with centre α_* and radius ϵ by

$$\mathcal{B}(\epsilon) := \{\alpha \text{ one-form on } \Sigma \mid \|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} < \epsilon\}.$$

The next result shows why it is natural to consider the C^3_--norm for our purposes.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for all one-forms α' on Σ, there is a one-form α on Σ with the property that*

$$d\alpha = d\alpha', \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, \ \|d\alpha' - d\alpha_*\|_{C^2} < \epsilon \implies \alpha \in \mathcal{B}(C_0 \epsilon).$$

Proof. By standard elliptic arguments (see for instance [Nic07, Chapter 10]), there exists a constant $C_0' > 0$ such that for any exact two-form Ω on Σ, we can find a one-form η_Ω with

$$d\eta_\Omega = \Omega, \quad \|\eta_\Omega\|_{C^2} \leq C_0' \|\Omega\|_{C^2}.$$

Setting $\alpha := \alpha_* + \eta_{d\alpha' - d\alpha_*}$ and applying the above fact to $\eta_{d\alpha' - d\alpha_*}$, we have $d\alpha = d\alpha'$ and

$$\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^2} \leq C_0' \|d\alpha' - d\alpha_*\|_{C^2}, \quad \|d\alpha - d\alpha_*\|_{C^2} = \|d\alpha' - d\alpha_*\|_{C^2}.$$

The statement follows with $C_0 := C_0' + 1$.   □

We can now proceed to study the Reeb dynamics for one-forms in the sets $\mathcal{B}(\epsilon)$.

Lemma 3.3. *There exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ with the following properties. Every $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon_0)$ is a contact form, and if $z' \in \Sigma$, $z \in Z$ and $T \in (0, \infty)$ are such that the integral curve $t \mapsto \Phi_t^\alpha(z')$ lies in Σ_z for all $t \in [0, T]$, then the curve $\gamma_{z'} := (x_{z'}(t), \phi_{z'}(t)) = \mathfrak{D}_z^{-1}(\Phi_t^\alpha(z'))$ satisfies*

$$\|\dot\gamma_{z'} - R_{st}\|_{C^2} \le C_1 \|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, if $\widehat\phi_{z'} : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\widehat\phi_{z'}(0) = 0$ is a lift of $\phi_{z'} - \phi_{z'}(0)$, then

$$|x_{z'}(t) - x_{z'}(0)| \le C_1 t \|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}, \quad |\widehat\phi_{z'}(t) - t| \le C_1 t \|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. If α is a one-form on Σ and we set $\alpha_z := \mathfrak{D}_z^*\alpha$, then the estimate (3.2) yields

$$\|\alpha_z - \alpha_{st}\|_{C_-^3} \le C_{\mathfrak{D}} \|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}. \tag{3.5}$$

If $\epsilon_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small, then every $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon_0)$ is a contact form and there exists $A > 0$ such that

$$\|R_{\alpha_z} - R_{st}\|_{C^2} \le A \|\alpha_z - \alpha_{st}\|_{C_-^3}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon_0). \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, using (3.5), we have

$$\|R_{\alpha_z} - R_{st}\|_{C^2} \le A C_{\mathfrak{D}} \|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}. \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore, we just need to estimate the left-hand side of (3.3) against $\|R_{\alpha_z} - R_{st}\|_{C^2}$. We know that $\dot\gamma_{z'} = R_{\alpha_z}(\gamma_{z'})$, which yields $\|\dot\gamma_{z'} - R_{st}\|_{C^0} \le \|R_{\alpha_z} - R_{st}\|_{C^2}$. For the higher derivatives, we just observe that $\|\dot\gamma_{z'}\|_{C^0}$ is uniformly bounded by $1 + A C_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\dot\gamma_{z'} - R_{st}) = \ddot\gamma_{z'} = d_{\gamma_{z'}} R_{\alpha_z} \cdot \dot\gamma_{z'} = d_{\gamma_{z'}}(R_{\alpha_z} - R_{st}) \cdot \dot\gamma_z,$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\dot\gamma_{z'} - R_{st}) = d^2_{\gamma_{z'}} R_{\alpha_z}(\dot\gamma_{z'}, \dot\gamma_{z'}) + d_{\gamma_{z'}} R_{\alpha_z} \cdot \ddot\gamma_{z'}$$

$$= d^2_{\gamma_{z'}}(R_{\alpha_z} - R_{st})(\dot\gamma_{z'}, \dot\gamma_{z'}) + d_{\gamma_{z'}}(R_{\alpha_z} - R_{st})\frac{d}{dt}(\dot\gamma_{z'} - R_{st}).$$

This shows (3.3). Finally, integrating $\dot\phi_{z'}$ and $\dot x_{z'}$ and using (3.3), we obtain (3.4). □

Proposition 3.4. *There exist $C_2 > 0$, and for all real numbers T in the interval $(1, 2)$, a radius $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1(T) \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon_1)$ the following properties are true:*

(i) *A periodic orbit γ of Φ^α belongs to the set $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$ if and only if for all $z \in Z$ such that $\gamma(0) \in \Sigma_z'$, γ is contained in Σ_z and γ_{rep} is homotopic to $\bar\gamma$ within Σ_z. In this case, if we set $\gamma_z := \mathfrak{D}_z^{-1} \circ \gamma$, $\bar\gamma_z := \mathfrak{D}_z^{-1} \circ \bar\gamma$, then*

$$|T(\gamma) - 1| \le C_2 \|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}, \quad \|\gamma_{z,rep} - \bar\gamma_z\|_{C^3} \le C_2 \|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}.$$

(ii) *The set $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ is compact, non-empty and coincides with $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$.*

Proof. We claim that item (i) holds with

$$\epsilon_1 := \frac{1}{2C_1} \min\{d_*, 2 - T, T - 1\}, \quad C_2 := 10C_1.$$

Moreover, if a periodic curve γ is contained in Σ_z, then we can write $\gamma = (x_\gamma, \phi_\gamma)$ in the coordinates $\mathfrak{D}_z$. Moreover, if $\widehat{\phi}_\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the unique lift of $\phi_\gamma - \phi_\gamma(0)$ such that $\widehat{\phi}_\gamma(0) = 0$, then $\widehat{\phi}_\gamma(T(\gamma)) = 1$ if and only if γ_{rep} is homotopic to $\bar{\gamma}$ within Σ_z.

Let us now assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon_1)$ and that $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$. Let us take $z \in Z$ such that $\gamma(0) \in \Sigma'_z$. Since $T < 2$, inequalities (3.4) and (**DF2**) imply that γ is contained in Σ_z. By (3.3), we see that

$$\dot{\phi}_\gamma \geq 1 - |1 - \dot{\phi}_\gamma| \geq 1 - \|\dot{\gamma}_z - R_{\mathrm{st}}\|_{C^2} > 1 - C_1\epsilon_1 \geq 1/2 > 0.$$

Hence, $\widehat{\phi}_\gamma(T(\gamma)) > 0$. On the other hand, using (3.4) and the fact that $\epsilon_1 \leq \frac{2-T}{2C_1}$, we get

$$\widehat{\phi}_\gamma(T(\gamma)) < T(\gamma) + C_1 T \epsilon_1 \leq T + 2C_1\epsilon_1 \leq 2.$$

Since $\widehat{\phi}_\gamma(T(\gamma))$ is an integer, we conclude that $\widehat{\phi}_\gamma(T(\gamma)) = 1$.

Conversely, we assume that $\gamma = (x_\gamma, \phi_\gamma) \subset \Sigma_z$ and that γ_{rep} is homotopic to $\bar{\gamma}$ inside Σ_z and prove that $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$. The curve γ is prime since $\widehat{\phi}_\gamma(T(\gamma)) = 1$ has no non-trivial integer divisor. Substituting $t = T(\gamma)$ in the second inequality in (3.4) yields

$$|T(\gamma) - 1| \leq C_1 T(\gamma)\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-}. \tag{3.8}$$

Using $\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} < \epsilon_1$, we solve for $T(\gamma)$ and get $T(\gamma) < (1 - C_1\epsilon_1)^{-1}$. This implies that $T(\gamma) \leq T$ since

$$1 - C_1\epsilon_1 \geq 1 - \frac{T-1}{2} \geq 1 - \frac{T-1}{T} = \frac{1}{T}.$$

We suppose that $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$ and prove the estimates in item (i). The first inequality comes from (3.8) using $T(\gamma) < 2$ and $C_2 \geq 2C_1$. For the second inequality, exploiting (3.4) and (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\gamma_{z,\mathrm{rep}}(s) - \bar{\gamma}_z(s)| &\leq |x_\gamma(sT(\gamma))| + |\widehat{\phi}_\gamma(sT(\gamma)) - s| \\
&\leq C_1\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} T(\gamma) + |\widehat{\phi}_\gamma(sT(\gamma)) - sT(\gamma)| + |T(\gamma) - 1|s \\
&\leq C_1\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} T(\gamma) + C_1\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} T(\gamma) + |T(\gamma) - 1| \\
&\leq 6C_1\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-}.
\end{aligned}$$

The higher derivatives can be bounded through (3.3) and (3.8):

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma_{z,\mathrm{rep}}}{\mathrm{d}s} - \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{\gamma}_z}{\mathrm{d}s} \right\|_{C^2} &\leq \|T(\gamma)(\dot{x}_\gamma, \dot{\phi}_\gamma)_{\mathrm{rep}} - R_{\mathrm{st}}\|_{C^2} \\
&\leq T\|(\dot{x}_\gamma, \dot{\phi}_\gamma - 1)_{\mathrm{rep}}\|_{C^2} + |T(\gamma) - 1| \\
&\leq T \cdot T^2 \|(\dot{x}_\gamma, \dot{\phi}_\gamma - 1)\|_{C^2} + 2C_1\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} \\
&\leq 2^3 C_1 \|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} + 2C_1\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us prove (ii). From [Gin87, Section III] or [ÁPB14, Section 3.2], up to shrinking ϵ_1, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon_1)$ there exists a differentiable function $S_\alpha : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that Crit S_α is the union of the supports of the orbits $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$ is non-empty as Crit S_α is non-empty. The set $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha)$ is also compact by the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, as its elements have uniformly bounded periods, and Σ is compact. Finally, by item (i) we have $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha) = \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$. $\qquad\square$

3.2. Bringing the Reeb flow to normal form

In this subsection, we show that if α lies in $\mathcal{B}(\epsilon_1)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$, we can suppose that γ is a given flow line of R_*, up to rescaling α and applying a diffeomorphism of Σ.

Lemma 3.5. *There is a constant $C_3 > 0$ with the following property. For all $z_0, z_1 \in \Sigma$, there exists an S^1-equivariant diffeomorphism $\Psi_{z_0,z_1} : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ isotopic to the identity with*

$$\Psi_{z_0,z_1}(z_0) = z_1, \qquad \Psi_{z_0,z_1}^* \alpha_* = \alpha_*, \qquad \|d\Psi_{z_0,z_1}\|_{C^2}, \|d(\Psi_{z_0,z_1}^{-1})\|_{C^2} \leq C_3.$$

Proof. We start with a local construction. Let $K_0 : B \to [0, 1]$ be a function which is equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of B' and whose support is contained in the interior of B. For every $(x', \phi') \in B' \times S^1$, let $\hat{\phi}' \in [0, 1)$ be a lift of ϕ'. We define

$$K_1 : B \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad K_1(x) := \hat{\phi}' + g_{\mathrm{st}}(x, ix').$$

We let $K_{x'} : B \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function $K_{x'} := K_0 K_1$ and Φ_t^X the flow on $B \times S^1$ generated by the unique vector field X such that

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{st}}(X) = K_{x'} \circ \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{st}}, \qquad \iota_X d\alpha_{\mathrm{st}} = -d(K_{x'} \circ \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{st}}).$$

Thus, $K_{x'} \circ \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{st}}$ is the contact Hamiltonian of Φ_t^X according to [Gei08, Section 2.3]. The vector field X is compactly supported and an application of Moser's trick shows that

$$(\Phi_t^X)^* \alpha_{\mathrm{st}} = \alpha_{\mathrm{st}}, \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.9}$$

The flow Φ_t^X lifts the Hamiltonian flow of the function $K_{x'}$ with respect to ω_{st} on B. Moreover, since the curve $t \mapsto (tx', 0)$ is α_{st}-Legendrian and $K_{x'}(tx') = \hat{\phi}'$, we see that

$$\Phi_t^X(0, 0) = (tx', t\widehat{\phi}') \in B' \times S^1, \qquad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Then the map $\Psi_{B,(x',\phi')} := \Phi_1^X$ is a compactly supported diffeomorphism of $B \times S^1$ sending $(0, 0)$ to (x', ϕ') and there exists a positive constant C', independent of (x', ϕ'), such that

$$\|d\Psi_{B,(x',\phi')}\|_{C^2}, \|d(\Psi_{B,(x',\phi')}^{-1})\|_{C^2} \leq C'. \tag{3.10}$$

This completes the local construction. For the global argument, we observe that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ independent of z_0, z_1 and a chain of points

$$(z_u) \subset \Sigma, \qquad u \in U := \{ju_1 \mid j = 0, \ldots, m\}, \qquad u_1 := 1/m,$$

such that

$$\forall u \in U \setminus \{1\}, \ \exists y_u \in Z, \quad z_u, z_{u+u_1} \in \Sigma'_{y_u}.$$

We construct Ψ_{z_0,z_1} as the composition of m maps $\Psi_{z_u,z_{u+u_1}} : \Sigma \to \Sigma$, $u \in U \setminus \{1\}$. Consider the trivialisation $\mathfrak{D}_{y_u} : B \times S^1 \to \Sigma_{y_u}$ and define

$$\Psi_{z_u,z_{u+u_1}} : \Sigma \to \Sigma, \qquad \Psi_{z_u,z_{u+u_1}} := \mathfrak{D}_{y_u} \circ (\Psi_{B,\mathfrak{D}_{y_u}^{-1}(z_{u+u_1})} \circ \Psi_{B,\mathfrak{D}_{y_u}^{-1}(z_u)}^{-1}) \circ \mathfrak{D}_{y_u}^{-1}.$$

The lemma follows from (3.9) and (**DF3**) together with (3.10) and the classical estimate on the C^2-norm of the differential of a composition of maps. In particular, the constant C_3 that we find depends only on Σ and the Darboux family. $\qquad\square$

Definition 3.6. Let us fix a reference point $z_* \in Z$ with $q_* := \mathfrak{p}(z_*)$ and define $\gamma_* : S^1 \to \Sigma$ to be the prime periodic orbit of R_* passing through z_* at time 0. We say that a contact form α is *normalised* if $\gamma_* \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha)$. For every $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, we define the set

$$\mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon) := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon) \mid \alpha \text{ is normalised}\}.$$

Definition 3.7. Let c be a positive number and $\Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ a diffeomorphism. For every contact form α on Σ, we write $\alpha_{c,\Psi} := \frac{1}{c}\Psi^*\alpha$, so that $\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha) = c^2 \mathrm{Vol}(\alpha_{c,\Psi})$ and we have a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\alpha) &\to \mathcal{P}(\alpha_{c,\Psi}), \\ \gamma &\mapsto \gamma_{c,\Psi}, \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{cases} \gamma_{c,\Psi}(s) := (\Psi^{-1} \circ \gamma)(cs), \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \\ T(\gamma_{c,\Psi}) = \frac{1}{c}T(\gamma). \end{cases}$$

The next result is analogous to [ABHS18, Proposition 3.10].

Proposition 3.8. *Let T be a number in $(1, 2)$. For every $\epsilon_2 \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, there is $\epsilon_3 \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ (depending on ϵ_2 and T) with the following properties. For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon_3)$ and all $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$, there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ isotopic to the identity such that*

$$\alpha_{T(\gamma),\Psi} \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_2), \ \gamma_{T(\gamma),\Psi} = \gamma_*.$$

Furthermore, the bijection $\mathcal{P}(\alpha) \to \mathcal{P}(\alpha_{T(\gamma),\Psi})$ restricts to a bijection $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) \to \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha_{T(\gamma),\Psi}, \mathfrak{h})$.

Proof. Let α be an element of $\mathcal{B}(\epsilon_3)$ for some $\epsilon_3 \le \epsilon_1$ to be determined later on, and let γ be a periodic orbit in $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$. Here the constant ϵ_1 is given by Proposition 3.4. We apply Lemma 3.5 with $z_0 = z_*$ and $z_1 = \gamma(0)$ and get a diffeomorphism $\Psi_1 := \Psi_{z_*,\gamma(0)} : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ and a constant C_3 satisfying the properties described therein. We abbreviate $\alpha_1 := \Psi_1^*\alpha$. We get some $C' \ge 1$ depending on C_3 such that

$$\|\alpha_1 - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3} \le C'\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}. \tag{3.11}$$

The periodic curve $\gamma_1 := \Psi_1^{-1} \circ \gamma$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha_1, \mathfrak{h})$ and has period $T(\gamma)$. As $\gamma_1(0) = z_*$, we have $\bar{\gamma}_1 = \gamma_*$. If $\epsilon_3 \le \frac{1}{C''}\epsilon_1$, then $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\epsilon_1)$ and Proposition 3.4 implies that $\gamma_1 \in \Sigma_{z_*}$ and

$$\|(\gamma_1)_{z_*,\mathrm{rep}} - (\gamma_*)_{z_*}\|_{C^3} \le C''\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}, \quad C'' := C_2 C'. \tag{3.12}$$

We write $(\gamma_1)_{z_*,\text{rep}} = (x_1, \phi_1)$ in the coordinates given by $\mathfrak{D}_{z_*}$. If ϵ_3 is small enough, from (3.12) we see that $\|x_1\|_{C^0} < 1/2$ and the map $\phi_1 : S^1 \to S^1$ is a diffeomorphism of degree 1. In particular, there exists a unique map $\Delta\phi_1 : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ which lifts $\phi_1 - \text{id}_{S^1}$. We define a diffeomorphism $\Psi_{2,z_*} : B \times S^1 \to B \times S^1$ by

$$\Psi_{2,z_*}(x, s) = \big(x + K(|x|)x_1(s), s + K(|x|)\Delta\phi_1(s)\big), \quad \forall(x, s) \in B \times S^1,$$

where $K : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is equal to 1 on $[0, 1/2]$ and equal to 0 close to 1. By (3.12), we have

$$\|\Psi_{2,z_*} - \text{id}_{B \times S^1}\|_{C^3} \le C''\|K\|_{C^3}\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-},$$

which also implies

$$\|\mathrm{d}\Psi_{2,z_*}\|_{C^2} \le 1 + C''\|K\|_{C^3}\tfrac{1}{C'}\epsilon_1. \tag{3.13}$$

Since Ψ_{2,z_*} is compactly supported in the interior of $B \times S^1$, we can define $\Psi_2 : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ as $\Psi_2 := \mathfrak{D}_{z_*} \circ \Psi_{2,z_*} \circ \mathfrak{D}_{z_*}^{-1}$ inside Σ_{z_*} and as the identity in $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_{z_*}$. We have $\Psi_2 \circ \gamma_* = \gamma_{1,\text{rep}}$, and thanks to (3.13), we see that $\|\mathrm{d}\Psi_2\|_{C^2}$ is bounded by a constant depending only on the Darboux family and on $C''\|K\|_{C^3}\tfrac{1}{C'}\epsilon_1$. Therefore, there is also a constant $C''' > 0$ depending on the same quantities such that

$$\|\Psi_2^*(\alpha_1 - \alpha_*)\|_{C^3_-} \le C'''\|\alpha_1 - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-}. \tag{3.14}$$

We define

$$\Psi := \Psi_1 \circ \Psi_2 : \Sigma \to \Sigma, \quad \epsilon_2' := \min\left\{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1, \frac{1}{C_2}\frac{T-1}{T+1}\right\},$$

and prove that $\alpha_{T(\gamma),\Psi}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_2')$ provided ϵ_3 is suitably small. We take

$$\delta_0 := \frac{\epsilon_2'}{(T+1)C_{\mathfrak{D}}},$$

and let $\delta_1 > 0$ be such that

$$\|\Psi_{2,z_*} - \text{id}_{B \times S^1}\|_{C^3} \le \delta_1 \implies \|(\Psi_{2,z_*})^*\alpha_{\text{st}} - \alpha_{\text{st}}\|_{C^3_-} \le \delta_0. \tag{3.15}$$

We assume further that

$$\epsilon_3 \le \min\left\{\frac{\delta_1}{C''\|K\|_{C^3}}, \frac{1}{C_2}\frac{T-1}{T+1}\right\}.$$

This implies that $\|\Psi_{2,z_*} - \text{id}_{B \times S^1}\|_{C^3} \le \delta_1$ and we compute

$$\|\alpha_{T(\gamma),\Psi} - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} \le \left|\frac{1}{T(\gamma)} - 1\right|\|\alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} + \frac{1}{T(\gamma)}\|\Psi^*\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-}.$$

For the first summand of the right-hand side, we first estimate $T(\gamma)^{-1} \le \tfrac{1}{2}(T+1)$ and then

$$\left|\frac{1}{T(\gamma)} - 1\right|\|\alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} \le \frac{T+1}{2}C_2\epsilon_3\|\alpha_*\|_{C^3_-}.$$

For the second summand, we estimate

$$\|\Psi^*\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} \leq \|\Psi_2^*(\alpha_1 - \alpha_*)\|_{C^3_-} + \|\Psi_2^*\alpha_* - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-}$$
$$\leq C'''\|\alpha_1 - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} + C_{\mathfrak{D}}\|(\Psi_{2,z_*})^*\alpha_{\mathrm{st}} - \alpha_{\mathrm{st}}\|_{C^3_-}$$
$$\leq C'C'''\epsilon_3 + C_{\mathfrak{D}}\delta_0,$$

where we have used (3.2), (3.11), (3.14), and (3.15). Using the definition of δ_0 and putting the computations together, we find that

$$\|\alpha_{T(\gamma),\Psi} - \alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} \leq \frac{T+1}{2}(C_2\|\alpha_*\|_{C^3_-} + C'C''')\epsilon_3 + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_2'.$$

The quantity on the right is smaller than $\epsilon_2' \leq \epsilon_2$ if ϵ_3 is small enough. Finally, we compute

$$\gamma_{T(\gamma),\Psi} = \Psi^{-1} \circ \gamma_{\mathrm{rep}} = \Psi_2^{-1} \circ \Psi_1^{-1} \circ \gamma_{\mathrm{rep}} = \Psi_2^{-1} \circ \gamma_{1,\mathrm{rep}} = \gamma_*.$$

Let us now deal with the second part of the statement. Let $\widetilde{\gamma} \mapsto \widetilde{\gamma}_{T(\gamma),\Psi}$ be the bijection between $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\alpha_{T(\gamma),\Psi})$ introduced in Definition 3.7. Let us assume that $T(\widetilde{\gamma}) \leq T$. Since $\epsilon_3 \leq \epsilon_1$ we can use Proposition 3.4(i), and from $C_2\epsilon_3 \leq \frac{T-1}{T+1}$ we see that

$$T(\widetilde{\gamma}_{T(\gamma),\Psi}) = \frac{T(\widetilde{\gamma})}{T(\gamma)} \leq \frac{1 + C_2\epsilon_3}{1 - C_2\epsilon_3} \leq T.$$

Assume, conversely, that $T(\widetilde{\gamma}_{T(\gamma),\Psi}) \leq T$. Since $\epsilon_2' \leq \epsilon_1$, we can use Proposition 3.4(i) to find that

$$T(\widetilde{\gamma}) = T(\widetilde{\gamma}_{T(\gamma),\Psi})T(\gamma) \leq (1 + C_2\epsilon_2')(1 + C_2\epsilon_3) \leq \frac{2T}{T+1}\frac{2T}{T+1} = T\frac{4T}{(T+1)^2} \leq T. \qquad \square$$

3.3. Preparing the surface of section

As in the previous subsection, let z_* be a reference point on Σ with $q_* := \mathfrak{p}(z_*)$ and set

$$\check{M} := M \setminus \{q_*\}.$$

Let $e \in H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$ be minus the real Euler class of $\mathfrak{p}$ and

$$t_\Sigma := \langle e, [M] \rangle > 0,$$

where, as observed in the introduction, $\langle e, [M] \rangle = |H_1^{\mathrm{tor}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})|$. We define the annulus

$$\mathbb{A} := [0, a) \times S^1.$$

We consider the inclusion $\mathfrak{i}_1 : \mathring{\mathbb{A}} \to \mathbb{A}$, where $\mathring{\mathbb{A}} = (0, a) \times S^1$, and the map

$$\mathfrak{i}_2 : \mathring{\mathbb{A}} \to \check{M}, \quad \mathfrak{i}_2(r, \theta) = \mathfrak{d}_{z_*}(re^{2\pi i\theta}),$$

where we identify the domain of $\mathfrak{d}_{z_*}$ with a subset of the complex plane. We glue together $\mathbb{A}$ and $\check{M}$ along the maps $\mathfrak{i}_1$ and $\mathfrak{i}_2$ to get a smooth compact surface N with the same

genus as M and one boundary component denoted by ∂N. Thus, we have the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathring{\mathbb{A}} & \xrightarrow{\;i_2\;} & \check{M} \\
{\scriptstyle i_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{A} & \longrightarrow & N
\end{array}
$$

such that $\check{M}$ is diffeomorphic to the interior $\mathring{N} = N \setminus \partial N$ and $\mathbb{A}$ to a collar neighbourhood of ∂N. On $\check{M}$ we have the orientation given by ω_*, while on $\mathbb{A}$ the one given by $dr \wedge d\theta$. These two orientations glue together to an orientation of N, since i_1 and i_2 are orientation-preserving. Using the usual convention of putting the outward normal first, we see that the orientation induced on ∂N is given by $-d\theta$. As for M and Σ, we fix on N some auxiliary Riemannian metric to compute norms of sections, and distances between points and between diffeomorphisms. In particular, we write the C^1-distance on the space of diffeomorphisms from N to itself as

$$
\mathrm{dist}_{C^1} : \mathrm{Diff}(N) \times \mathrm{Diff}(N) \to \mathbb{R}.
$$

Consider now the map

$$
S_{\mathbb{A}} : \mathbb{A} \to \Sigma, \qquad S_{\mathbb{A}}(r, \theta) = \mathfrak{D}_{z_*}(re^{2\pi i\theta}, -t_\Sigma \theta),
$$

and observe that $S_{\mathbb{A}}(0, \theta) = \gamma_*(-t_\Sigma \theta)$ for all $\theta \in S^1$, so that

$$
d_{(0,\theta)} S_{\mathbb{A}} \cdot \partial_\theta = -t_\Sigma R_*. \tag{3.16}
$$

The map $S_{\mathbb{A}} \circ i_2^{-1} : i_2(\mathring{\mathbb{A}}) \to \Sigma$ is a local section of the bundle $\mathfrak{p}$ with a singularity of order $-t_\Sigma$ at q_*. Since $-t_\Sigma$ is the Euler number of $\mathfrak{p}$, this section extends to a section on $\check{M}$ and yields a map $S_{\check{M}} : \check{M} \to \Sigma$. By the commutativity of the diagram above, we get a map $S : N \to \Sigma$ fitting into the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbb{A} & \\
\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle S_{\mathbb{A}}} \\
N \xrightarrow{\quad S \quad} & & \Sigma \\
\searrow & & \nearrow{\scriptstyle S_{\check{M}}} \\
 & \check{M} &
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, $S_{\check{M}}^* d\alpha_* = (\mathfrak{p} \circ S_{\check{M}})^* \omega_* = \omega_*$, and $S_{\mathbb{A}}^* d\alpha_* = r\,dr \wedge d\theta$. In particular, $S^* d\alpha_*$ is a two-form on N which is symplectic on the interior of N and vanishes of order 1 at the boundary of N. The one-form

$$
\lambda_* := S^* \alpha_*
$$

is a primitive for $S^* d\alpha_*$ such that

$$
\lambda_*|_{\mathbb{A}} = (\mathfrak{D}_{z_*}^{-1} \circ S_{\mathbb{A}})^* (d\phi + \mathfrak{p}_{st}^* \lambda_{st}) = \left(-t_\Sigma + \tfrac{1}{2}r^2\right) d\theta.
$$

If α is a normalised form, so that $R_\alpha = R_*$ on $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(q_*)$, we set

$$
\lambda := S^* \alpha,
$$

and by (3.16), we have

$$\lambda|_{T(\partial N)} = \lambda_*|_{T(\partial N)}, \quad d\lambda = 0 \text{ at } \partial N. \tag{3.17}$$

Proposition 3.9. *For every $\epsilon_4 > 0$, there exists $\epsilon_5 \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ such that if $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_5)$ then there exist a map $\zeta : N \to N$ isotopic to the identity and a function $b : N \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:*

(i) *Triviality at the boundary:* $\quad \zeta|_{\partial N} = \mathrm{id}_{\partial N}, \quad b|_{\partial N} = 0.$

(ii) C^1-*smallness:* $\quad \max\{\mathrm{dist}_{C^1}(\zeta, \mathrm{id}_N), \|b\|_{C^1}\} < \epsilon_4.$

(iii) *Uniformisation:* $\quad \zeta^*\lambda - \lambda_* = db.$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_5)$, for some $\epsilon_5 \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ to be determined. For all $u \in [0, 1]$, we define $\lambda_u := \lambda_* + u(\lambda - \lambda_*)$. On $\mathbb{A}$, we get

$$\lambda - \lambda_* = c_1 dr + c_2 d\theta, \quad d\lambda_* = r dr \wedge d\theta, \quad d\lambda = f dr \wedge d\theta, \quad d\lambda_u = (r + u(f - r))dr \wedge d\theta,$$

for some functions $c_1, c_2, f : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$. By (3.17), we have $c_2(0, \theta) = 0$ and $f(0, \theta) = 0$. Define the auxiliary function

$$c_3 : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad c_3(r, \theta) := c_2(r, \theta) - \int_0^r \partial_\theta c_1(r', \theta) \, dr'.$$

From the definition of c_1, c_2, c_3 and f, we have the chain of identities

$$(\partial_r c_3)dr \wedge d\theta = (\partial_r c_2 - \partial_\theta c_1)dr \wedge d\theta = d(\lambda - \lambda_*) = d\lambda - d\lambda_* = (f - r)dr \wedge d\theta,$$

which implies

$$\partial_r c_3 = f - r.$$

As a result, $c_3(0, \theta) = 0$, $\partial_r c_3(0, \theta) = 0$ and there exists a function $\hat{c}_3 : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $c_3 = r\hat{c}_3$, $\hat{c}_3|_{\partial N} = 0$, and a function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f = r\hat{f}$, defined by

$$\hat{c}_3(r, \theta) := \int_0^1 \partial_r c_3(vr, \theta) \, dv = \int_0^1 (f(vr, \theta) - vr) \, dv, \quad \hat{f}(r, \theta) := \int_0^1 \partial_r f(vr, \theta) \, dv.$$

In particular,

$$d\lambda_u = r(1 + u(\hat{f} - 1))dr \wedge d\theta \tag{3.18}$$

and we have the estimate

$$\max\{\|\hat{c}_3\|_{C^2}, \|\hat{f} - 1\|_{C^1}\} \le \|f - r\|_{C^2}. \tag{3.19}$$

We now look for paths $u \mapsto \zeta_u$ and $u \mapsto b_u$ with $\zeta_0 = \mathrm{id}_N$ and $b_0 = 0$ such that

$$\zeta_u^*\lambda_u - db_u = \lambda_*$$

so that, for $u = 1$, we get a solution to item (iii) in the statement. Let X_u denote the vector field generating ζ_u and set $a_u := \frac{d}{du} b_u$. By differentiating the equation above with respect to u, we find that such an equation can be solved for ζ_u and b_u if and only if

$$(\lambda - \lambda_*) + \iota_{X_u} d\lambda_u + d(\lambda_u(X_u)) - d(a_u \circ \zeta_u^{-1}) = 0.$$

Introducing an auxiliary function $h : N \to \mathbb{R}$, we see that (X_u, a_u) is a solution if and only if

$$
\begin{cases}
\iota_{X_u} d\lambda_u = -(\lambda - \lambda_*) + dh, \\
a_u = (\lambda_u(X_u) + h) \circ \zeta_u.
\end{cases}
\tag{3.20}
$$

We define

$$
\mathbb{A}' := [0, a/2) \times S^1
$$

and choose $h := h_{\mathbb{A}} \cdot K$, where $K : N \to [0, 1]$ is a bump function which is equal to 1 on $\mathbb{A}'$ and its support is contained in $\mathbb{A}$, and $h_{\mathbb{A}} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
h_{\mathbb{A}}(r, \theta) := \int_0^r c_1(r', \theta) \, dr'.
$$

This function has the crucial property that

$$
\lambda - \lambda_* - dh = c_1 dr + c_2 d\theta - c_1 dr - \left(\int_0^r \partial_\theta c_1(r', \theta) \, dr' \right) d\theta = r\hat{c}_3 \, d\theta \quad \text{on } \mathbb{A}', \tag{3.21}
$$

which implies that the first equation in (3.20) admits a smooth solution X_u. Indeed, on the annulus $\mathbb{A}'$, we divide both sides of the equation by r, and using (3.18), (3.21) we get

$$
X_u = -\frac{\hat{c}_3}{1 + u(\hat{f} - 1)} \partial_r.
$$

On $N \setminus \mathbb{A}'$, X_u is uniquely determined by the fact that $d\lambda_u|_{N \setminus \mathbb{A}'}$ is symplectic. The vector X_u vanishes at ∂N, since $\hat{c}_3$ vanishes there, as observed before. This shows that ζ_u is the identity at the boundary. If we choose ϵ_5 small, we see that the C^2-norms of c_1, c_2 and $f - r$ are small, and consequently, also the C^2-norm of h. By (3.19), we conclude that the C^1-norm of X_u is small as well. As a consequence, also $\mathrm{dist}_{C^1}(\zeta_u, \mathrm{id}_N)$ is small. Therefore, by defining $\zeta := \zeta_1$ and taking ϵ_5 small enough, we get $\mathrm{dist}_{C^1}(\zeta, \mathrm{id}_N) < \epsilon_4$. We can now define a_u through the second equation in (3.20). From the estimates on λ, X_u, ζ_u and h, we see that if ϵ_5 is small then $\|a_u\|_{C^1} < \epsilon_4$, and the same is true for $b := b_1$. Since h and X_u vanish at the boundary, we also have $a_u|_{\partial N} = 0$, and as $b_0|_{\partial N} = 0$, the function b vanishes at the boundary as well. □

3.4. The open book decomposition and the first return map

Combining the map S with the Reeb flow of α_*, we get a rational open book for Σ:

$$
\Xi : N \times S^1 \to \Sigma, \quad (q, s) \mapsto \Phi_s^{\alpha_*}(S(q)).
$$

If $\mathfrak{i}_N : N \hookrightarrow N \times S^1$ is the canonical embedding $\mathfrak{i}_N(x) = (x, 0)$, then $S = \Xi \circ \mathfrak{i}_N$. On the collar neighbourhood $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$ of $\partial(N \times S^1)$, Ξ has the coordinate expression

$$
\Xi_{\mathbb{A}} : \mathbb{A} \times S^1 \to B \times S^1, \quad ((r, \theta), s) \mapsto (re^{2\pi i \theta}, s - t_\Sigma \theta). \tag{3.22}
$$

The restricted map $\mathring{\Xi} : \mathring{N} \times S^1 \to \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(\check{M}) = \Sigma \setminus \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(q_*)$ is a diffeomorphism, and $\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha_*$ is a contact form on $\mathring{N} \times S^1$ with Reeb vector field $R_{\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha_*} = \partial_s$, which smoothly extends to the whole $N \times S^1$. If we write $\mathfrak{i}_{\partial N \times S^1} : \partial N \times S^1 \to N \times S^1$ for the standard embedding of the boundary, the map $\Xi \circ \mathfrak{i}_{\partial N \times S^1} : \partial N \times S^1 \to \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(q_*) \subset \Sigma$ has the coordinate expression $(\theta, s) \mapsto (s - t_\Sigma\theta)$. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{d}(\Xi \circ \mathfrak{i}_{\partial N \times S^1}) \cdot \partial_\theta = -t_\Sigma R_*, \quad \mathrm{d}(\Xi \circ \mathfrak{i}_{\partial N \times S^1}) \cdot \partial_s = R_*. \tag{3.23}$$

If α is a normalised contact form, we define the pull-back form

$$\beta := \Xi^*\alpha.$$

The next result is the analogue of [ABHS18, Proposition 3.6].

Proposition 3.10. *If α is a normalised contact form, then:*

(i) *We have*

$$\mathfrak{i}_{\partial N \times S^1}^*\beta = \mathrm{d}s - t_\Sigma\mathrm{d}\theta, \quad \mathrm{d}\beta|_{\partial N \times S^1} = 0.$$

By the latter identity we mean that $\mathrm{d}\beta_z(\xi) = 0$ for all $z \in \partial N \times S^1$ and $\xi \in T_z(N \times S^1)$.

(ii) *The Reeb vector field $R_{\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha}$ of $\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha$ on $\mathring{N} \times S^1$ smoothly extends to a vector field R_β on the whole $N \times S^1$, so that, at every point in $\partial(N \times S^1)$, R_β is tangent to $\partial(N \times S^1)$.*

(iii) *If we denote by Φ^β the flow of R_β, we have*

$$\beta(R_\beta) = 1, \quad \iota_{R_\beta}\mathrm{d}\beta = 0, \quad (\Phi_t^\beta)^*\beta = \beta, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(iv) *For every $\epsilon_6 > 0$, there exists $\epsilon_7 \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, independent of α, such that*

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_7) \implies \|R_\beta - \partial_s\|_{C^1} < \epsilon_6.$$

Proof. By (3.23), the equality $\alpha(R_\alpha) = 1$, and the fact that $R_\alpha = R_*$ on $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(q_*)$ since α is normalised, we get the first equality in item (i). Since $\partial N \times S^1$ has codimension 1 in $N \times S^1$, to prove the second equality it is enough to show that for all vectors $v \in T(\partial N \times S^1)$, we have $\iota_v\mathrm{d}\beta = 0$. As v is a linear combination of ∂_θ and ∂_s, this follows again from (3.23) and the fact that R_α annihilates $\mathrm{d}\alpha$.

Now we prove (ii). We set $\alpha_{z_*} := \mathfrak{D}_{z_*}^*\alpha$, which is a contact form on $B \times S^1$ with corresponding Reeb vector field R_{z_*}. Using coordinates $(x, \phi) \in B \times S^1$, we have the splitting

$$R_{z_*}(x, \phi) = R_{z_*}^x(x, \phi) + R_{z_*}^\phi(x, \phi)\partial_\phi.$$

Since R_α is tangent to $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(q_*)$, we have $R_{z_*}^x(0, \phi) = 0$, and therefore there exists a matrix-valued function W_{z_*} such that

$$R_{z_*}^x(x, \phi) = W_{z_*}(x, \phi) \cdot x, \quad \|W_{z_*}\|_{C^1} \leq \|R_{z_*}^x\|_{C^2},$$

by Lemma 4.8. We can then write $R_{z_*}^x$ in polar coordinates on $(B \setminus \{0\}) \times S^1$ as

$$R_{z_*}^x(re^{2\pi i\theta}, \phi) = g_{\mathrm{st}}\big(W_{z_*}(re^{2\pi i\theta}, \phi) \cdot re^{2\pi i\theta}, e^{2\pi i\theta}\big)\partial_r$$
$$+ g_{\mathrm{st}}\bigg(W_{z_*}(re^{2\pi i\theta}, \phi) \cdot re^{2\pi i\theta}, \frac{ie^{2\pi i\theta}}{r}\bigg)\partial_\theta.$$

In particular, if we set

$$\begin{cases} R_{z_*}^r(r, \theta, \phi) := g_{\mathrm{st}}\big(W_{z_*}(re^{2\pi i\theta}, \phi) \cdot re^{2\pi i\theta}, e^{2\pi i\theta}\big), \\ R_{z_*}^\theta(r, \theta, \phi) := g_{\mathrm{st}}\big(W_{z_*}(re^{2\pi i\theta}, \phi) \cdot e^{2\pi i\theta}, ie^{2\pi i\theta}\big), \end{cases}$$

then $R_{z_*}^r \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A}$ and $R_{z_*}^\theta \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A}$ are smooth functions on $\mathbb{A} \times S^1 \subset N \times S^1$ with

$$\max\{\|R_{z_*}^r \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A}\|_{C^1}, \|R_{z_*}^\theta \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A}\|_{C^1}\} \leq (1 + \|\mathrm{d}\Xi_\mathbb{A}\|_{C^0})\|R_{z_*}^x\|_{C^2}. \qquad (3.24)$$

Differentiating formula (3.22), we get

$$\mathrm{d}\Xi_\mathbb{A} \cdot \partial_r = \partial_r, \quad \mathrm{d}\Xi_\mathbb{A} \cdot \partial_\theta = \partial_\theta - t_\Sigma \partial_\phi, \quad \mathrm{d}\Xi_\mathbb{A} \cdot \partial_s = \partial_\phi.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$R_\beta := (R_{z_*}^r \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A})\partial_r + (R_{z_*}^\theta \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A})\partial_\theta + (t_\Sigma R_{z_*}^\theta \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A} + R_{z_*}^\phi \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A})\partial_s$$

is the desired extension of $R_{\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha}$ in the collar neighbourhood $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$ of $\partial N \times S^1$. As $R_{z_*}^r \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A}$ vanishes at $r = 0$, the extended vector field is tangent to $\partial N \times S^1$ and (ii) is proven.

By the very definition of the Reeb vector field, $(\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha)(R_{\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha}) = 1$ and $\iota_{R_{\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha}}\mathrm{d}(\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha) = 0$. By continuity of the extended vector field R_β, the first two relations in (iii) follow. The third one is a consequence of the first two and Cartan's formula. Point (iii) is established.

We assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_7)$, for some ϵ_7 to be determined independently of α and we prove (iv) by estimating $\|R_\beta - \partial_s\|_{C^1}$ separately on $\overline{N \setminus \mathbb{A}} \times S^1$ and $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$. Since $\overline{(N \setminus \mathbb{A})} \times S^1$ is compact, there exists a constant $C' > 0$ depending on $\|\mathrm{d}\mathring{\Xi}\|_{C^2}$ but not on α such that

$$\|\mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha - \mathring{\Xi}^*\alpha_*\|_{C_-^3} \leq C'\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3}.$$

Therefore, as in (3.6), $\|R_\beta - \partial_s\|_{C^2}$ is smaller than ϵ_6 on $\overline{(N \setminus \mathbb{A})} \times S^1$ if ϵ_7 is small enough. On $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$, there is some $C'' > 0$ for which we have the inequality

$$\|R_\beta - \partial_s\|_{C^1} \leq C'' \max\{\|R_{z_*}^r \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A}\|_{C^1}, \|R_{z_*}^\theta \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A}\|_{C^1}, \|R_{z_*}^\phi \circ \Xi_\mathbb{A} - 1\|_{C^1}\}$$
$$\leq C''(1 + \|\mathrm{d}\Xi_\mathbb{A}\|_{C^0})\|R_{z_*} - R_{\mathrm{st}}\|_{C^2}$$
$$\leq C''(1 + \|\mathrm{d}\Xi_\mathbb{A}\|_{C^0})A_2 C_{\mathfrak{D}}\|\alpha - \alpha_*\|_{C_-^3},$$

where we have used (3.24), the equality $R_{\mathrm{st}} = \partial_\phi$ and inequality (3.7). This proves that $\|R_\beta - \partial_s\|_{C^1}$ is smaller than ϵ_6 on $\mathbb{A} \times S^1$ if ϵ_7 is small enough. $\qquad \square$

We can now show that S is a global surface of section for Φ^α with certain properties.

Definition 3.11. Let Φ be a flow on Σ without rest points and N_1 a compact surface. A map $S_1 : N_1 \to \Sigma$ is a *global surface of section* for Φ if the following properties hold:

- The map $S_1|_{\mathring{N}_1}$ is an embedding and the map $S_1|_{\partial N_1}$ is a finite cover onto its image.
- The surface $S_1(\mathring{N}_1)$ is transverse to the flow Φ and $S_1(\partial N_1)$ is the support of a finite collection of periodic orbits of Φ.
- For each $z \in \Sigma \setminus S_1(\partial N_1)$, there are $t_- < 0 < t_+$ such that $\Phi_{t_-}(z)$, $\Phi_{t_+}(z)$ lie in $S_1(\mathring{N}_1)$.

Before stating the proposition, we introduce the following notation. Let $q \in \partial N \cong S^1$ and denote by $-q \in \partial N$ its antipodal point. By

$$\int_q^{q'} \lambda_*, \quad q' \in \partial N \setminus \{-q\}, \tag{3.25}$$

we mean the integral of λ_* over any path connecting q and q' within $\partial N \setminus \{-q\}$. This number does not depend on the choice of the path.

Proposition 3.12. *Let T be a real number in the interval $(1, 2)$. For all $\epsilon_8 > 0$, there exists $\epsilon_9 \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ with the following properties. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_9)$, then $S : N \to \Sigma$ is a global surface of section for Φ^α with the first return time admitting an extension to the boundary*

$$\tau : N \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \tau(q) := \inf\{t > 0 \mid \Phi_t^\beta(q, 0) \in N \times \{0\}\},$$

and the first return map admitting an extension to the boundary

$$P : N \to N, \quad (P(q), 0) := \Phi_{\tau(q)}^\beta(q, 0).$$

Moreover, the following properties hold:

(i) *C^1-smallness:* $\max\{\mathrm{dist}_{C^1}(P, \mathrm{id}_N), \|\tau - 1\|_{C^1}\} < \epsilon_8$.

(ii) *Normalisation:* $\tau(q) = 1 + \displaystyle\int_q^{P(q)} \lambda_*, \quad \forall q \in \partial N$.

(iii) *Exactness:* $P^*\lambda = \lambda + d\tau$.

(iv) *Volume:* $\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha) = \displaystyle\int_N \tau \, d\lambda$.

(v) *Fixed points:* $q \in \mathring{N} \implies [q \in \mathrm{Fix}(P) \iff \gamma_q(t) := \Phi_t^\alpha(S(q)) \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})]$.

(vi) *Period:* $q \in \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(P) \implies T(\gamma_q) = \tau(q)$.

(vii) *Zoll case:*   *If α is Zoll, then $P = \mathrm{id}_N$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon_6 \in (0, 1)$, which we will take small enough depending on ϵ_8, and let ϵ_7 be the number associated with ϵ_6 in Proposition 3.10. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_7)$, then $1 - \epsilon_6 < ds(R_\beta) < 1 + \epsilon_6$. This implies at once that $S : N \to \Sigma$ is a global surface of section. In particular, if $q \in N$, there exists a smallest positive time $\tau(q)$ such that $\Phi_{\tau(q)}^\beta(q, 0)$ belongs to $N \times \{0\}$. We estimate the return time more precisely as $(1 + \epsilon_6)^{-1} < \tau(q) < (1 - \epsilon_6)^{-1}$. In particular, if ϵ_6 is small enough, then

$$\max \tau < T < 2 \min \tau. \tag{3.26}$$

Shrinking ϵ_6 further if necessary, we also get $\|\tau - 1\|_{C^1} < \epsilon_8$ from Proposition 3.10(iv).

We define the return point $P(q)$ by the equation $(P(q), 0) = \Phi^\beta_{\tau(q)}(q, 0)$. Again by Proposition 3.10(iv), we can achieve $\mathrm{dist}_{C^1}(P, \mathrm{id}_N) < \epsilon_8$ if ϵ_6 is small enough, so that item (i) is established. Let $q \in \mathring{N}$ and let us prove the statement in square brackets in item (v). If $q \in \mathrm{Fix}(P)$, then γ_q is prime, since it intersects $S(N)$ only once, and has period $\tau(q)$. By (3.26), we have $\tau(q) < T$. Hence, by Proposition 3.4(ii) the curve γ_q belongs to $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$. Suppose conversely that γ_q has period $T(\gamma_q) \leq T$. If $q \neq P(q)$, then we would get the contradiction

$$T(\gamma_q) \geq \tau(q) + \tau(P(q)) \geq 2 \min \tau > T.$$

This establishes items (v) and (vi), at once. Let us assume that α is Zoll. Since $\gamma_* \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$, if $q \in \mathring{N}$ then the orbit γ_q belongs to $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ and satisfies

$$T(\gamma_q) = T(\gamma_*) = 1 < T.$$

By item (v), we conclude that $q \in \mathrm{Fix}(P)$. This shows $\mathring{N} \subset \mathrm{Fix}(P)$, and by continuity $\mathrm{Fix}(P) = N$. Thus, $P = \mathrm{id}_N$ and item (vii) holds.

Let $q \in \partial N$ and denote by $\delta_q : [0, \tau(q)] \to \partial N \times S^1$ the curve $\delta_q(t) = \Phi^\beta_t(q, 0)$. Using coordinates (θ, s) on $\partial N \times S^1$, we can write $\delta_q(s) = (\theta_q(t), s_q(t))$, so that $\theta_q : [0, \tau(q)] \to \partial N$ is a path between $\theta_q(0) = q$ and $\theta_q(\tau(q)) = P(q)$, and $s_q(0) = 0$, $s_q(\tau(q)) = 1$. We compute

$$\tau(q) = \int_0^{\tau(q)} \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^{\tau(q)} \delta_q^*(\mathrm{i}^*_{\partial N \times S^1}\beta) = \int_0^{\tau(q)} \delta_q^*(\mathrm{d}s - t_\Sigma \mathrm{d}\theta)$$
$$= \int_0^{\tau(q)} (\mathrm{d}s_q + \theta_q^*(-t_\Sigma \mathrm{d}\theta)) = 1 + \int_0^{\tau(q)} \theta_q^* \lambda_*$$

and the integral of λ_* over θ_q is equal to $\int_q^{P(q)} \lambda_*$, as θ_q is short if ϵ_6 is small enough. This establishes item (ii). Therefore, we can choose $\epsilon_9 := \epsilon_7$ in the statement of the corollary.

We now prove items (iii) and (iv) by considering the map

$$Q : [0, 1] \times N \to N \times S^1, \quad Q(t, q) := \Phi^\beta_{t\tau(q)}(\mathrm{i}_N(q)),$$

where $\mathrm{i}_N : N \hookrightarrow N \times S^1$ is the canonical embedding. Its differential is given by

$$\mathrm{d}_{(t,q)}Q = \mathrm{d}_{(t,q)}(t\tau) \otimes R_\beta(Q(t,q)) + \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}_N(q)}\Phi^\beta_{t\tau(q)} \cdot \mathrm{d}_q \mathrm{i}_N.$$

Hence, using Proposition 3.10(iii) we compute

$$Q^*\beta = \beta_Q\big(\mathrm{d}(t\tau) \otimes R_\beta(Q) + \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}_N}\Phi^\beta_{t\tau} \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathrm{i}_N\big) = \mathrm{d}(t\tau)\beta(R_\beta) + (\Phi^\beta_{t\tau} \circ \mathrm{i}_N)^*\beta$$
$$= \mathrm{d}(t\tau) + \mathrm{i}^*_N(\Phi^\beta_{t\tau})^*\beta = \mathrm{d}(t\tau) + \mathrm{i}^*_N\beta = \mathrm{d}(t\tau) + \lambda.$$

We define $\mathrm{i}_1 : N \to [0, 1] \times N$ by $\mathrm{i}_1(q) = (1, q)$ and observe that $Q \circ \mathrm{i}_1 = \mathrm{i}_N \circ P$. Therefore,

$$P^*\lambda = P^*\mathrm{i}^*_N\beta = (\mathrm{i}_N \circ P)^*\beta = (Q \circ \mathrm{i}_1)^*\beta = \mathrm{i}^*_1 Q^*\beta = \mathrm{i}^*_1(\mathrm{d}(t\tau) + \lambda) = 1\mathrm{d}\tau + \lambda.$$

This establishes item (iii). We calculate the volume of α pulling back by $\Xi \circ Q$:

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha) = \int_{N \times S^1} \beta \wedge \mathrm{d}\beta = \int_{[0,1] \times N} (\mathrm{d}(t\tau) + \lambda) \wedge \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_{[0,1] \times N} \mathrm{d}(t\tau) \wedge \mathrm{d}\lambda$$

$$= \int_{[0,1] \times N} \mathrm{d}(t\tau\,\mathrm{d}\lambda) = \int_N 1\,\tau\,\mathrm{d}\lambda - \int_N 0\,\tau\,\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

which yields item (iv). $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

3.5. Reduction to a two-dimensional problem

Putting together all the results of this section, we are able to translate the systolic-diastolic inequality into a statement for maps on N. We recall the set-up. Let α_* be a Zoll contact form on a closed three-manifold Σ with associated bundle $\mathfrak{p} : \Sigma \to M$. Let $S : N \to \Sigma$ be a global surface of section for the Reeb flow Φ^α of $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_9)$ as described at the beginning of Section 3.3 and in Proposition 3.12. Let $\lambda_* = S^* \alpha_*$ and recall that $t_\Sigma = \langle e, [M] \rangle$. The next result is the analogue of [ABHS18, Lemma 3.7 & Proposition 3.8]

Theorem 3.13. *For any* $T \in (1, 2)$ *and* $\epsilon_{10} > 0$, *there is* $\epsilon_{11} > 0$ *such that for all contact forms* α' *with* $\|\mathrm{d}\alpha' - \mathrm{d}\alpha_*\|_{C^2} < \epsilon_{11}$, *the set* $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$ *is compact and non-empty. Moreover for every* $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$, *there exist a diffeomorphism* $\varphi : N \to N$ *and a function* $\sigma : N \to \mathbb{R}$ *with the following properties:*

(i) *C^1-smallness:* $d(\varphi, \mathrm{id}_N)_{C^1} < \epsilon_{10}$.

(ii) *Normalisation:* $\sigma(q) = \displaystyle\int_q^{\varphi(q)} \lambda_*, \quad \forall q \in \partial N.$

(iii) *Exactness:* $\varphi^* \lambda_* = \lambda_* + \mathrm{d}\sigma$.

(iv) *Volume:* $\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha') - t_\Sigma T(\gamma)^2 = T(\gamma)^2 \displaystyle\int_N \sigma\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_*$.

(v) *Fixed points:*   *There is a map* $\mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi) \to \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}), \ q \mapsto \gamma_q,$
                          such that $T(\gamma_q) = T(\gamma)(1 + \sigma(q))$.

(vi) *Zoll case:*   *If* α' *is Zoll, then* $\varphi = \mathrm{id}_N$.

Proof. Let C_0 be the constant given by Lemma 3.2 and let $\epsilon_{11} \le \frac{1}{C_0}\epsilon_1$ be some positive real number, which will be determined in the course of the proof depending on T and ϵ_{10}. If α' is a contact form with $\|\mathrm{d}\alpha' - \mathrm{d}\alpha_*\|_{C^2} < \epsilon_{11}$, then Lemma 3.2 yields a contact form $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(C_0\epsilon_{11})$ with $\mathrm{d}\alpha = \mathrm{d}\alpha'$. Since $C_0\epsilon_{11} \le \epsilon_1$, by Lemma 3.1 we have a period-preserving bijection $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}) \to \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ and by Proposition 3.4(ii) the set $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h})$ is compact and non-empty.

We fix henceforth an element $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$. If $\epsilon_2 \in (0, \epsilon_1]$ is an auxiliary number, we can find a corresponding $\epsilon_3 \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ according to Proposition 3.8 such that if $\epsilon_{11} \le \epsilon_3$ then there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that $\alpha_{T(\gamma), \Psi} \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_2)$ and the map

$\widetilde{\gamma} \mapsto \widetilde{\gamma}_{T(\gamma), \Psi}$ of Definition 3.7 restricts to a bijection $\mathcal{P}_T(\alpha, \mathfrak{h}) \to \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha_{T(\gamma), \Psi}, \mathfrak{h})$. Thus, we get a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}) &\to \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha_{c, \Psi}, \mathfrak{h}), \\ \gamma' &\mapsto \gamma'_{T(\gamma), \Psi}, \end{aligned} \qquad T(\gamma') = T(\gamma) T(\gamma'_{T(\gamma), \Psi}). \tag{3.27}$$

We now choose an auxiliary $\epsilon_4 > 0$ and get a corresponding $\epsilon_5 \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ from Proposition 3.9, such that if $\epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon_5$ then there exist $\zeta : N \to N$ and $b : N \to \mathbb{R}$ associated with $\alpha_{T(\gamma), \Psi} \in \mathcal{B}_*(\epsilon_2)$ satisfying the properties therein. Finally, let $\epsilon_8 > 0$ be another auxiliary number and consider $\epsilon_9 \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, the number given by Proposition 3.12, so that, if $\epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon_9$, the statements therein hold for $\alpha_{T(\gamma), \Psi}$, the associated return time $\tau : N \to \mathbb{R}$ and return map $P : N \to N$.

Now we set

$$\varphi : N \to N, \; \varphi := \zeta^{-1} \circ P \circ \zeta, \quad \sigma : N \to \mathbb{R}, \; \sigma := \tau \circ \zeta - b \circ \varphi + b - 1.$$

First of all, we observe that by choosing ϵ_8 and ϵ_4 small enough, we obtain item (i). Then $\varphi|_{\partial N} = P|_{\partial N}$ and $\sigma|_{\partial N} = \tau|_{\partial N} - 1$, so that item (ii) follows from Proposition 3.12(ii). As far as item (iii) is concerned, we compute

$$\varphi^* \lambda_* = \zeta^* P^* \lambda - \varphi^* db = \zeta^*(\lambda + d\tau) - d(b \circ \varphi) = \lambda_* + d(b + \tau \circ \zeta - b \circ \varphi) = \lambda_* + d\sigma.$$

For item (iv), we recall from Lemma 3.1, Definition 3.7 and Proposition 3.12(iv) that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha') = \mathrm{Vol}(\alpha) = T(\gamma)^2 \, \mathrm{Vol}(\alpha_{T(\gamma), \Psi}) = T(\gamma)^2 \int_N \tau \, d\lambda,$$

and we will show that

$$\int_N \tau \, d\lambda = \int_N \sigma \, d\lambda_* + t_\Sigma. \tag{3.28}$$

We can compute the integral of $\sigma \, d\lambda_*$ as

$$\int_N \sigma \, d\lambda_* = \int_N (\tau \circ \zeta) \, d\lambda_* - \int_N (b \circ \varphi) \, d\lambda_* + \int_N b \, d\lambda_* - \int_N d\lambda_*.$$

We deal with the first summand. The map ζ preserves the orientation on N, as it is isotopic to the identity, and satisfies $d\lambda_* = \zeta^*(d\lambda)$. Hence,

$$\int_N (\tau \circ \zeta) \, d\lambda_* = \int_N (\tau \circ \zeta) \, \zeta^*(d\lambda) = \int_N \zeta^*(\tau \, d\lambda) = \int_N \tau \, d\lambda.$$

The second and third summands cancel out. Indeed, as φ preserves $d\lambda_*$, we get

$$\int_N (b \circ \varphi) \, d\lambda_* = \int_N (b \circ \varphi) \, \varphi^*(d\lambda_*) = \int_N \varphi^*(b \, d\lambda_*) = \int_N b \, d\lambda_*.$$

We deal with the last summand. By Stokes' Theorem, the fact that $\lambda_*|_{\partial N} = -t_\Sigma d\theta$ and that the induced orientation on ∂N is given by $-d\theta$, we get

$$\int_N d\lambda_* = \int_{\partial N} \lambda_* = -\int_0^1 -t_\Sigma \, d\theta = t_\Sigma.$$

Plugging the last three identities into the computation above, we arrive at (3.28).

We move to item (v). We take $q \in \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi)$ and observe that $\zeta(q) \in \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(P)$. By Proposition 3.12(v), there exists a periodic orbit $\gamma_q' \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha_{T(\gamma),\Psi}, \mathfrak{h})$ through $S(\zeta(q))$ with period $\tau(\zeta(q)) \leq T$. We denote by $\gamma_q \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$ the orbit assigned to γ_q' by the bijection given in (3.27), so that $T(\gamma_q) = T(\gamma)\tau(\zeta(q))$. Finally, we observe that

$$\tau(\zeta(q)) = 1 + \sigma(q) + b(\varphi(q)) - b(q) = 1 + \sigma(q) + b(q) - b(q) = 1 + \sigma(q).$$

The implication in item (vi) follows at once, since α' is Zoll if and only if $\alpha_{T(\gamma),\Psi}$ is Zoll by Lemma 3.1, and moreover $P = \mathrm{id}_N$ if and only if $\varphi = \mathrm{id}_N$. $\square$

Corollary 3.14. *Suppose that we can choose ϵ_{10} in Theorem 3.13 so that, with the corresponding $\epsilon_{11} > 0$, we have the following implications for a pair (φ, σ) as above:*

$$\varphi \neq \mathrm{id}_N, \int_N \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_* \leq 0 \implies \exists q_- \in \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi), \ \sigma(q_-) < 0,$$
$$\varphi \neq \mathrm{id}_N, \int_N \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_* \geq 0 \implies \exists q_+ \in \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi), \ \sigma(q_+) > 0,$$
(3.29)

Then Theorem 1.4 holds taking $\mathcal{U} := \{\Omega \text{ exact two-form on } \Sigma \mid \|\Omega - \mathrm{d}\alpha_*\|_{C^2} < \epsilon_{11}\}$.

Proof. Let α' be a contact form such that $\mathrm{d}\alpha' \in \mathcal{U}$ as defined in the statement. If α' is Zoll, the conclusion follows from Proposition 1.2. Thus, we assume that α' is not Zoll and we want to prove that $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}) < 1/t_\Sigma < \rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$. We first prove the inequality for the systolic ratio. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}) \geq 1/t_\Sigma$ and let $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$ be such that

$$T(\gamma) = T_{\min}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}),$$
(3.30)

where $T_{\min}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$ is the minimal period of prime periodic $\Phi^{\alpha'}$-orbits in the class $\mathfrak{h}$. The orbit γ exists by Theorem 3.13. Thus, the assumption $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}) \geq 1/t_\Sigma$ implies

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\alpha') - t_\Sigma T(\gamma)^2 \leq 0.$$
(3.31)

Theorem 3.13 assigns to γ the pair (φ, σ) with the properties listed therein. In particular, by Theorem 3.13(iv) and (3.31) above, we have

$$\int_N \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_* \leq 0.$$

As α' is not Zoll, $\varphi \neq \mathrm{id}_N$ and we can use the first implication in (3.29) to produce a point $q_- \in \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi)$ with $\sigma(q_-) < 0$. By Theorem 3.13(v), this yields an element $\gamma_{q_-} \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$ with $T(\gamma_{q_-}) < T(\gamma)$, which contradicts (3.30). This proves $\rho_{\mathrm{sys}}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}) < 1/t_\Sigma$.

The inequality with the diastolic ratio is analogously established. Suppose for contradiction that $\rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}) \leq 1/t_\Sigma$. This time we take $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$ satisfying

$$T(\gamma) = T_{\max}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}).$$
(3.32)

If the pair (φ, σ) is associated with γ, the assumption $\rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}) \leq 1/t_\Sigma$ implies

$$\int_N \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_* \geq 0.$$

The second implication in (3.29) and Theorem 3.13.(v) yield an orbit $\gamma_{q_+} \in \mathcal{P}_T(\alpha', \mathfrak{h})$ with $T(\gamma_{q_+}) > T(\gamma)$. This contradicts (3.32) and proves $\rho_{\mathrm{dia}}(\alpha', \mathfrak{h}) > 1/t_\Sigma$. □

In view of the last result, we only need to prove the implications (3.29) above to establish Theorem 1.4. This will be done in the next section.

4. Surfaces with a symplectic form vanishing at the boundary

For $a > 0$, we recall the notation for the annuli $\mathbb{A} = [0, a) \times S^1$, $\mathbb{A}' = [0, a/2) \times S^1$, where $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. As before, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a manifold, we write $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}$ for the interior of $\mathcal{M}$.

   In this section, N will denote a connected oriented compact surface with one boundary component. We fix a collar neighbourhood of the boundary $\mathfrak{i}_\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{A} \to N$ with positively oriented coordinates $(r, \theta) \in \mathbb{A}$, where $r = 0$ corresponds to ∂N. Hence, we have the identification $S^1 \cong \partial N$ and the orientation induced by N on ∂N is given by the one-form $-\mathrm{d}\theta$.

   On N, we consider a one-form λ such that $\mathrm{d}\lambda$ is a positive symplectic two-form on $\mathring{N}$ and

$$\lambda_\mathbb{A} := \mathfrak{i}_\mathbb{A}^* \lambda = \left(-k + \tfrac{1}{2} r^2\right) \mathrm{d}\theta,$$

where, by Stokes' Theorem, $k = \int_N \mathrm{d}\lambda > 0$. In particular, $\mathrm{d}\lambda_\mathbb{A} = r \mathrm{d}r \wedge \mathrm{d}\theta$ vanishes of order 1 at $r = 0$. The pair (N, λ) is an instance of an *ideal Liouville domain*, a notion due to Giroux [Gir20].

4.1. A neighbourhood theorem

In this subsection, we will develop a version of the Weinstein neighbourhood theorem for the diagonal

$$\Delta_N \subset (N \times N, (-\mathrm{d}\lambda) \oplus \mathrm{d}\lambda).$$

More precisely, we will consider the zero section

$$\mathcal{O}_N \subset (\mathrm{T}^*N, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{\mathrm{can}})$$

in the standard cotangent bundle of N and look for an exact symplectic map $\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathrm{T}^*N$ from a neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}$ of Δ_N in $N \times N$, so that $\mathcal{W} \circ \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N} = \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_N}$, where

$$\mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N} : N \hookrightarrow N \times N, \ \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N}(q) = (q, q), \quad \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_N} : N \hookrightarrow \mathrm{T}^*N, \ \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q) = (q, 0),$$

are the canonical inclusions of the diagonal and the zero section after the natural identifications of these sets with N. We start by giving an explicit construction of $\mathcal{W}$ on $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$.

   Let us endow the product $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$ with coordinates (r, θ, R, Θ) so that the diagonal is $\Delta_\mathbb{A} := \{r = R, \ \theta = \Theta\}$. We make the identification $\mathrm{T}^*\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and let $(\rho, \vartheta, p_\rho, p_\vartheta)$ be the corresponding coordinates on $\mathrm{T}^*\mathbb{A}$. We consider an open neighbourhood $\mathbb{Y}$ of $\Delta_\mathbb{A}$ defined as

$$\mathbb{Y} := \left\{ (r, \theta, R, \Theta) \in \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \ \middle| \ |\theta - \Theta| < 1/2 \right\}$$

and define the auxiliary sets

$$\mathbb{Y}' := \mathbb{Y} \cap (\mathbb{A}' \times \mathbb{A}'), \quad \partial \mathbb{Y} := \mathbb{Y} \cap (\partial \mathbb{A} \times \partial \mathbb{A}).$$

We have a well-defined difference function

$$\mathbb{Y} \to (-1/2, 1/2), \quad (r, \theta, R, \Theta) \mapsto \theta - \Theta.$$

We consider the map $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}} : \mathbb{Y} \to \mathrm{T}^*\mathbb{A}$ given in coordinates by

$$\begin{cases} \rho = R, \\ \vartheta = \theta, \\ p_\rho = R(\theta - \Theta), \\ p_\vartheta = \frac{1}{2}(R^2 - r^2), \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

so that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}} \circ i_{\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}} = i_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}}$. The restriction $\mathcal{W}_{\mathring{\mathbb{A}}} := \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}|_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}} : \mathring{\mathbb{Y}} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathring{\mathbb{Y}})$ is a diffeomorphism with inverse given by

$$\begin{cases} r = \sqrt{\rho^2 - 2p_\vartheta}, \\ \theta = \vartheta, \\ R = \rho, \\ \Theta = \theta - \dfrac{p_\rho}{\rho}. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

We also consider the restriction $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}'} := \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}|_{\mathbb{Y}'} : \mathbb{Y}' \to \mathrm{T}^*\mathbb{A}'$. Its image has the following expression, which will be useful later on:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}'}(\mathbb{Y}') = \left\{ (\rho, \vartheta, p_\rho, p_\vartheta) \in \mathrm{T}^*\mathbb{A}' \mid p_\rho \in \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\rho, \tfrac{1}{2}\rho\right), \ p_\vartheta \in \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\rho^2 - \tfrac{a^2}{4}\right), \tfrac{1}{2}\rho^2\right] \right\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Finally, let us define the function

$$K_{\mathbb{A}} : \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad K_{\mathbb{A}}(r, \theta, R, \Theta) := \left(k - \tfrac{1}{2}R^2\right)(\theta - \Theta), \tag{4.4}$$

and set $K_{\mathbb{A}'} := K_{\mathbb{A}}|_{\mathbb{Y}'} : \mathbb{Y}' \to \mathbb{R}$. We have $K_{\mathbb{A}}|_{\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}} = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}^* \lambda_{\mathrm{can}} = (-\lambda_{\mathbb{A}}) \oplus \lambda_{\mathbb{A}} - \mathrm{d}K_{\mathbb{A}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}^* \lambda_{\mathrm{can}} &+ \lambda_{\mathbb{A}} \oplus (-\lambda_{\mathbb{A}}) \\ &= R(\theta - \Theta)\mathrm{d}R + \tfrac{1}{2}(R^2 - r^2)\mathrm{d}\theta + \left(-k + \tfrac{1}{2}r^2\right)\mathrm{d}\theta - \left(-k + \tfrac{1}{2}R^2\right)\mathrm{d}\Theta \\ &= (\theta - \Theta)\mathrm{d}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}R^2\right) + \tfrac{1}{2}R^2\mathrm{d}(\theta - \Theta) - k\mathrm{d}(\theta - \Theta) \\ &= (\theta - \Theta)\mathrm{d}\left(-k + \tfrac{1}{2}R^2\right) + \left(-k + \tfrac{1}{2}R^2\right)\mathrm{d}(\theta - \Theta) = -\mathrm{d}K_{\mathbb{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, for all $q \in \mathbb{A}$, $(\lambda_{\mathrm{can}})_{(q,0)} = 0$, we also deduce

$$\mathrm{d}_{(q,q)} K_{\mathbb{A}} = ((-\lambda_{\mathbb{A}}) \oplus \lambda_{\mathbb{A}})_{(q,q)}. \tag{4.6}$$

Finally, if $i_{\partial\mathbb{Y}} : \partial\mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is the natural inclusion, from (4.5) we conclude that

$$i_{\partial\mathbb{Y}}^*((-\lambda_\mathbb{A}) \oplus \lambda_\mathbb{A}) = d(K_\mathbb{A} \circ i_{\partial\mathbb{Y}}). \tag{4.7}$$

Indeed, $(\mathcal{W}_\mathbb{A} \circ i_{\partial\mathbb{Y}})^*\lambda_{can} = 0$ from the explicit formula for $\mathcal{W}_\mathbb{A}$ given in (4.1) and the fact that both r and R vanish on $\partial\mathbb{Y}$.

We can now state the neighbourhood theorem. The proof will be an adaptation of [MS98, Theorem 3.33] (with a different sign convention).

Proposition 4.1. *There exist an open neighbourhood $\mathcal{N} \subset N \times N$ of the diagonal Δ_N, a map $\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{N} \to T^*N$, and a function $K : \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:*

(i) *The set $\mathcal{N}$ contains $\mathbb{Y}'$. If we write $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N})$, then $\mathring{\mathcal{T}} \subset T^*\mathring{N}$ is an open neighbourhood of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathring{N}}$ and the restriction $\mathcal{W}|_{\mathring{\mathcal{N}}} : \mathring{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathring{\mathcal{T}}$ is a diffeomorphism.*

(ii) $\mathcal{W}^*\lambda_{can} = (-\lambda) \oplus \lambda - dK.$

(iii) $\mathcal{W} \circ i_{\Delta_N} = i_{\mathcal{O}_N}, \; \mathcal{W}|_{\mathbb{Y}'} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}'}.$

(iv) $K \circ i_{\Delta_N} = 0, \; K|_{\mathbb{Y}'} = K_{\mathbb{A}'}.$

(v) *If $\partial\mathbb{Y}' := \mathbb{Y}' \cap (\partial N \times \partial N)$ and $i_{\partial\mathbb{Y}'} : \partial\mathbb{Y}' \to N \times N$ is the inclusion, then*

$$d(K \circ i_{\partial\mathbb{Y}'}) = i_{\partial\mathbb{Y}'}^*((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda).$$

Proof. Let us denote by (q, p) the points in $T^*\mathbb{A} \cong \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, where $q = (\rho, \vartheta)$ and $p = (p_\rho, p_\vartheta)$. Let $g_\mathbb{A}$ and $g_{T^*\mathbb{A}}$ be the standard metrics on $\mathbb{A}$ and $T^*\mathbb{A}$:

$$g_\mathbb{A} := d\rho^2 + d\vartheta^2, \quad g_{T^*\mathbb{A}} := d\rho^2 + d\vartheta^2 + dp_\rho^2 + dp_\vartheta^2.$$

Then the metric $g_{T^*\mathbb{A}}$ is compatible with the canonical symplectic form $d\lambda_{can}$:

$$g_{T^*\mathbb{A}} = d\lambda_{can}(J_{T^*\mathbb{A}} \cdot, \cdot),$$

where $J_{T^*\mathbb{A}} : T(T^*\mathbb{A}) \to T(T^*\mathbb{A})$ is the standard complex structure given by

$$J_{T^*\mathbb{A}}\partial_\rho = \partial_{p_\rho}, \quad J_{T^*\mathbb{A}}\partial_\vartheta = \partial_{p_\vartheta}, \quad J_{T^*\mathbb{A}}\partial_{p_\rho} = -\partial_\rho, \quad J_{T^*\mathbb{A}}\partial_{p_\vartheta} = -\partial_\vartheta.$$

If $(q, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}$, then we have the horizontal and vertical embeddings

$$d_q i_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}} : T_q\mathbb{A} \to T_{(q,0)}(T^*\mathbb{A}), \quad T_q^*\mathbb{A} \to T_{(q,0)}(T^*\mathbb{A}), \; p \mapsto p^*,$$

so that if $\sharp : T_q^*\mathbb{A} \to T_q\mathbb{A}$ is the metric duality given by $g_\mathbb{A}$, then

$$p^* = J_{T^*\mathbb{A}} \cdot d_q i_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}} \cdot p^\sharp, \quad \forall p \in T_q^*\mathbb{A}.$$

We now combine this formula with the fact that, for every $(q, p) \in T^*\mathbb{A}$, the ray $t \mapsto (q, tp)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is a geodesic for $g_{T^*\mathbb{A}}$ with initial velocity p^*. Thus, if $\exp^{T^*\mathbb{A}}$ denotes the exponential map of $g_{T^*\mathbb{A}}$, we arrive at

$$(q, p) = \exp_{i_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}}(q)}^{T^*\mathbb{A}}(J_{T^*\mathbb{A}} \cdot d_q i_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}} \cdot p^\sharp). \tag{4.8}$$

We consider the pulled-back objects $g_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}} := \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{A}^* g_{T^*\mathbb{A}}$ and $J_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}} := \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{A}^* J_{T^*\mathbb{A}}$. In particular, $\mathcal{W}_\mathbb{A}$ is a local isometry between $g_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}}$ and $g_{T^*\mathbb{A}}$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{W}_\mathbb{A}^*(d\lambda_{can}) = ((-d\lambda_\mathbb{A}) \oplus d\lambda_\mathbb{A})$ by (4.5), we see that the structure $J_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}}$ is compatible with $(-d\lambda) \oplus d\lambda$,

since $J_{\mathrm{T}^*\mathbb{A}}$ is compatible with $\mathrm{d}\lambda_{\mathrm{can}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathbb{A}} = \mathfrak{i}_{\mathbb{A}}^*\lambda$, that is,

$$((-\mathrm{d}\lambda) \oplus \mathrm{d}\lambda)|_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}} = g_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}}(J_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}}\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,).$$

Furthermore, using (4.8), we can compute the pre-image of a point $(q, p) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathring{\mathbb{Y}})$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}(q, p) &= \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}\big(\exp_{\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}}(q)}^{\mathrm{T}^*\mathbb{A}}(J_{\mathrm{T}^*\mathbb{A}} \cdot \mathrm{d}_q \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}} \cdot p^{\sharp})\big) \\
&= \exp_{\mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}}(q)}^{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}}\big(\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}}(q)}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1} \cdot J_{\mathrm{T}^*\mathbb{A}} \cdot \mathrm{d}_q \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}} \cdot p^{\sharp}\big) \\
&= \exp_{\mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}}(q)}^{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}}\big(J_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}} \cdot \mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}}(q)}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}_q \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}} \cdot p^{\sharp}\big)\big) \\
&= \exp_{\mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}}(q)}^{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}}(J_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}} \cdot \mathrm{d}_q \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}} \cdot p^{\sharp}). \qquad (4.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

The space of almost complex structures which are compatible with the symplectic form $((-\mathrm{d}\lambda) \oplus \mathrm{d}\lambda)|_{\mathring{N} \times \mathring{N}}$ is contractible. Therefore, we can find an almost complex structure J on $\mathring{N} \times \mathring{N}$ which is compatible with $((-\mathrm{d}\lambda) \oplus \mathrm{d}\lambda)|_{\mathring{N} \times \mathring{N}}$ and such that

$$J|_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}'} = J_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}}|_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}'}. \qquad (4.10)$$

We denote by g the corresponding metric on $\mathring{N} \times \mathring{N}$ which satisfies

$$g|_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}'} = g_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}}|_{\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}'}. \qquad (4.11)$$

We write $g_N := \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N}^* g$ for the restricted metric on N. We observe that $g_N|_{\mathring{\mathbb{A}}'} = g_{\mathbb{A}}|_{\mathring{\mathbb{A}}'}$, and therefore we denote the metric duality given by g_N also by $\sharp : \mathrm{T}^*N \to \mathrm{T}N$. Let us consider the set $\mathcal{T}_1$ of all the points $(q, p) \in \mathrm{T}^*\mathring{N}$ with the property that the g-geodesic starting at time 0 from $\mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N(q)}$ with direction $J \cdot \mathrm{d}_q \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N} \cdot p^{\sharp}$ is defined up to time 1. We claim that

$\mathcal{T}_1$ is a fibrewise star-shaped neighbourhood of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathring{N}}$ and it contains $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}')$.   (4.12)

The second assertion follows from (4.9)–(4.11). For the first one, we see from the homogeneity of the geodesic equation that $\mathcal{T}_1$ contains $\mathcal{O}_{\mathring{N}}$, and it is fibre-wise star-shaped around $\mathcal{O}_{\mathring{N}}$. Finally, since $\mathcal{T}_1 \setminus \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}')$ is bounded away from $\partial(\mathrm{T}^*N)$, the set $\mathcal{T}_1$ is a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathring{N}}$. We define the map

$$\Upsilon : \mathcal{T}_1 \to N \times N, \quad \Upsilon(q, p) := \exp_{\mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N}(q)}^g(J \cdot \mathrm{d}_q \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N} \cdot p^{\sharp}).$$

It satisfies

$$\Upsilon|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}')} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1}, \quad \Upsilon \circ \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_N} = \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N}. \qquad (4.13)$$

If $q \in \mathring{N}$, the differential of Υ at $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)$ in the direction $u = p^* + \mathrm{d}_q \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_N} \cdot v \in \mathrm{T}_{\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}\mathrm{T}^*N$, where $p \in \mathrm{T}_q^*N$ and $v \in \mathrm{T}_q N$, is given by

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}\Upsilon \cdot u = J \cdot \mathrm{d}_q \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N} \cdot p^{\sharp} + \mathrm{d}_q \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N} \cdot v.$$

If we abbreviate $\Omega = (-d\lambda) \oplus d\lambda$, we claim that $(\Upsilon^*\Omega)_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)} = (d\lambda_{\text{can}})_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}$ for all $q \in \mathring{N}$. For $u_1, u_2 \in T_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}T^*N$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon^*\Omega(u_1, u_2) &= \Omega(J \cdot d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot p_1^\sharp + d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot v_1, \, J \cdot d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot p_2^\sharp + d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot v_2) \\
&= \Omega(J \cdot d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot p_1^\sharp, d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot v_2) - \Omega(J \cdot d_q i_{\Delta_N} p_2^\sharp, d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot v_1) \\
&= g(d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot p_1^\sharp, d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot v_2) - g(d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot p_2^\sharp, d_q i_{\Delta_N} \cdot v_1) \\
&= (i_{\Delta_N}^* g)(p_1^\sharp, v_2) - (i_{\Delta_N}^* g)(p_2^\sharp, v_1) \\
&= g_N(p_1^\sharp, v_2) - g_N(p_2^\sharp, v_1) = p_1(v_2) - p_2(v_1) = d\lambda_{\text{can}}(u_1, u_2), \quad (4.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the second equality we use the fact that Δ_N is Lagrangian and J is a symplectic endomorphism.

We now take the first steps in constructing the function $K : \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{R}$. We abbreviate $\Lambda := (\Upsilon^{-1})^*((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda)$. This is a one-form on $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset T^*\mathring{N}$ and satisfies

$$
i_{\mathcal{O}_N}^* \Lambda = i_{\Delta_N}^*((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda) = -\lambda + \lambda = 0.
$$

We consider any $K_1 : \mathcal{T}_1 \to N$ satisfying, for all $q \in \mathring{N}$,

$$
K_1 \circ i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q) = 0, \quad d_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}K_1 = \Lambda_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}. \quad (4.15)
$$

For example, we can set

$$
K_1(q, p) := \int_0^1 \Lambda_{(q,tp)}(p^*) \, dt.
$$

The first property in (4.15) is immediate and it implies that

$$
d_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}K_1 \cdot d_q i_{\mathcal{O}_N} \cdot v = 0 = \Lambda_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}(d_q i_{\mathcal{O}_N} \cdot v).
$$

Thus, we just need to check the second property on vertical tangent vectors $p^* \in T_{(q,0)}(T^*N)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}K_1 \cdot p^* &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{K_1(q, sp) - K_1(q, 0)}{s} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^1 \Lambda_{(q,tsp)}(sp^*) \, dt \\
&= \lim_{s \to 0} \int_0^1 \Lambda_{(q,tsp)}(p^*) \, dt = \Lambda_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}(p^*).
\end{aligned}
$$

At this point, we turn our attention to $N \times N$. First, we can shrink $\mathcal{T}_1$ in such a way that (4.12) still holds and that Υ is a diffeomorphism onto its image $\Upsilon(\mathcal{T}_1)$. We define the open neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}$ of Δ_N by

$$
\mathcal{N} := \Upsilon(\mathcal{T}_1) \cup \mathbb{Y}'
$$

and the map $\mathcal{W}_1 : \mathcal{N} \to T^*N$ obtained by gluing:

$$
\mathcal{W}_1|_{\Upsilon(\mathcal{T}_1)} = \Upsilon^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{W}_1|_{\mathbb{Y}'} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{A}'}. \quad (4.16)
$$

Such a map is well defined because of (4.13) and satisfies $\mathcal{W}_1 \circ i_{\Delta_N} = i_{\mathcal{O}_N}$. Let $\chi : \mathcal{N} \to [0, 1]$ be a cut-off function which is equal to 0 in a neighbourhood of $\mathbb{Y}'$ and equal to 1 on $\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathbb{Y}$. We set

$$K_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad K_{\mathcal{N}} := \chi \cdot (K_1 \circ \mathcal{W}_1) + (1 - \chi) \cdot K_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

We readily see that

$$K_{\mathcal{N}} \circ i_{\Delta_N} = 0, \quad K_{\mathcal{N}}|_{\mathbb{Y}'} = K_{\mathbb{A}}|_{\mathbb{Y}'}. \tag{4.17}$$

Furthermore, for all $q \in \mathring{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} K_{\mathcal{N}} &= \chi \cdot \mathcal{W}_1^*(d_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)} K_1) + (1 - \chi) \cdot d_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} K_{\mathbb{A}} \\
&= \chi \cdot \mathcal{W}_1^*(\Lambda_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)}) + (1 - \chi) \cdot ((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda)_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} \\
&= \chi \cdot ((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda)_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} + (1 - \chi) \cdot ((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda)_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} \\
&= ((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda)_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use $K_1 \circ \mathcal{W}_1 \circ i_{\Delta_N}(q) = 0 = K_{\mathbb{A}} \circ i_{\Delta_N}(q)$ in the first equality. while the second equality follows from (4.6) and (4.15). Since $(\lambda_{\text{can}})_{i_{\mathcal{O}_N}(q)} = 0$, we deduce

$$(\mathcal{W}_1^* \lambda_{\text{can}})_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} = ((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda)_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} - d_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} K_{\mathcal{N}}. \tag{4.18}$$

The rest of the proof follows Moser's argument. We set

$$\Lambda_t := t(\mathcal{W}_1^* \lambda_{\text{can}} + dK_{\mathcal{N}}) + (1 - t)((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

By (4.5), (4.16), and (4.17), we have

$$\Lambda_t = (-\lambda) \oplus \lambda \quad \text{on } \mathbb{Y}'. \tag{4.19}$$

Moreover, for all $q \in \mathring{N}$, by (4.14) and (4.18),

$$(d\Lambda_t)_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} = ((-d\lambda) \oplus d\lambda)_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)}, \quad (\Lambda_t)_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)} = ((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda)_{i_{\Delta_N}(q)}. \tag{4.20}$$

In particular $d\Lambda_t$ is non-degenerate on $\Delta_{\mathring{N}}$. Therefore, up to shrinking the neighbourhood away from $\mathbb{Y}'$, we can assume that $d\Lambda_t$ is non-degenerate on $\mathring{\mathcal{N}}$. Let X_t be a time-dependent vector field and L_t a time-dependent function on $\mathring{\mathcal{N}}$ defined by

$$\iota_{X_t} d\Lambda_t = -\frac{d\Lambda_t}{dt}, \quad L_t := -\int_0^t \Lambda_{t'}(X_{t'}) \circ \Phi_{t'} \, dt',$$

where Φ_t is the flow of X_t. By (4.19), we see that X_t and L_t vanish on $\mathring{\mathbb{Y}}'$ and we can extend them trivially to the whole $\mathcal{N}$. Relations (4.20) imply that X_t and L_t vanish on $\Delta_{\mathring{N}}$. In particular, Φ_t is the identity map on Δ_N, and up to shrinking the neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}$ away from $\mathbb{Y}'$, we can suppose that Φ_t is defined up to time 1. For all $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi_t^* \Lambda_t + dL_t) = \Phi_t^* \left(\iota_{X_t} d\Lambda_t + d(\Lambda_t(X_t)) + \frac{d\Lambda_t}{dt} \right) + d\left(\frac{dL_t}{dt} \right) = 0.$$

Together with $\Phi_0^* \Lambda_0 + dL_0 = \Lambda_0$, this implies $\Phi_1^* \Lambda_1 + dL_1 = \Lambda_0$. Hence,

$$\Phi_1^* \mathcal{W}_1^* \lambda_{\text{can}} = (-\lambda) \oplus \lambda - d(L_1 + K_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \Phi_1),$$

and properties (i) and (ii) in the statement follow with

$$\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{W}_1 \circ \Phi_1, \quad K := L_1 + K_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \Phi_1.$$

Properties (iii) and (iv) hold as well, since they are satisfied by $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $K_{\mathcal{N}}$ and we have shown that $\Phi_1|_{\Delta_N} = \mathrm{id}$, $\Phi_1|_{\mathbb{Y}'} = \mathrm{id}$ and $L_1|_{\Delta_N} = 0$, $L_1|_{\mathbb{Y}'} = 0$. Property (v) follows from (iv) and (4.7). □

4.2. Exact diffeomorphisms C^1-close to the identity

Let $\mathbb{E}$ denote the set of all exact diffeomorphisms $\varphi : N \to N$, that is, $\varphi^*\lambda - \lambda$ is an exact one-form. From now on we endow $\mathbb{E}$ with the uniform C^1-topology, whose associated distance function we denote by dist_{C^1}. For $\epsilon > 0$, we consider the open ball around id_N of radius ϵ,

$$\mathbb{E}(\epsilon) := \{\varphi \in \mathbb{E} \mid \mathrm{dist}_{C^1}(\varphi, \mathrm{id}_N) < \epsilon\}.$$

If $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}$, we write $\Gamma_\varphi : N \to N \times N$ for its graph $\Gamma_\varphi(q) = (q, \varphi(q))$, and we have $\Gamma_\varphi(\partial N) \subset \partial N \times \partial N$. There is $\epsilon_* > 0$ such that all $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ enjoy the following properties:

(a) $\Gamma_\varphi(N) \subset \mathcal{N}$.
(b) If $\pi_N : \mathrm{T}^*N \to N$ is the foot-point projection, then the map

$$\nu_\varphi : N \to N, \quad \nu_\varphi := \pi_N \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \Gamma_\varphi,$$

is a diffeomorphism. Indeed, ν_φ is C^1-close to id_N if the same is true for φ. Henceforth, we write ν instead of ν_φ when the map φ is clear from the context.
(c) We have the inclusions

$$\varphi(\mathbb{A}'') \subset \mathbb{A}', \quad \nu^{-1}(\mathbb{A}'') \subset \mathbb{A}',$$

where $\mathbb{A}'' := [0, a/4] \times S^1$.

If $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$, then we can write its restriction to $\mathbb{A}''$ as

$$\varphi(r, \theta) = (R_\varphi(r, \theta), \Theta_\varphi(r, \theta)).$$

By (4.1), the restriction of ν to $\mathbb{A}''$ reads

$$\nu(r, \theta) = (R_\varphi(r, \theta), \theta), \tag{4.21}$$

which implies that

$$\nu_\varphi|_{\partial N} = \mathrm{id}_{\partial N}.$$

Let $i_{\partial N} : \partial N \to N$ be the inclusion and observe that $\Gamma_\varphi \circ i_{\partial N}$ takes values in $\partial \mathbb{Y}'$. Therefore, taking the pull-back by $\Gamma_\varphi \circ i_{\partial N}$ in Proposition 4.1(v), we get

$$\mathrm{d}(K \circ \Gamma_\varphi \circ i_{\partial N}) = i_{\partial N}^*(\varphi^*\lambda - \lambda).$$

With this relation we can single out a special primitive of $\varphi^*\lambda - \lambda$ called the *action* of $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$. It is the unique C^1-function $\sigma : N \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\text{(i)} \ \varphi^*\lambda - \lambda = \mathrm{d}\sigma, \quad \text{(ii)} \ \sigma|_{\partial N} = K \circ \Gamma_\varphi|_{\partial N}. \tag{4.22}$$

Remark 4.2. We observe that the normalisation of σ at the boundary coincides with the one considered in (3.25) and Theorem 3.13. Indeed, we have the explicit formulas $\lambda = -k\mathrm{d}\theta$ on ∂N and $K(0, \theta, 0, \Theta) = k(\theta - \Theta)$ on $\partial \mathbb{Y}'$, and for all $\theta_0 \in S^1 \cong \partial N$,

$$K \circ \Gamma_\varphi(\theta_0) = -k(\Theta_\varphi(\theta_0) - \theta_0) = -k \int_{\theta_0}^{\varphi(\theta_0)} \mathrm{d}\theta = \int_{\theta_0}^{\varphi(\theta_0)} \lambda.$$

We describe the tangent space of $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$. For this purpose we introduce a space of functions.

Definition 4.3. We write $\mathbb{V}$ for the vector space of all smooth functions $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$ such that both f and $\mathrm{d}f$ vanish at ∂N. We endow this space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\mathbb{V}$ defined by

$$\|f\|_\mathbb{V} := \|f\|_{C^2} + \left\|\tfrac{1}{r}\mathrm{d}f|_\mathbb{A}\right\|_{C^1}, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{V}.$$

Choosing the restriction to a smaller annulus in the second term above yields an equivalent norm on $\mathbb{V}$. For all $\delta > 0$, we denote by $\mathbb{V}(\delta)$ the open ball of radius δ in $(\mathbb{V}, \|\cdot\|_\mathbb{V})$.

Let φ denote some element in $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ with action σ. First, we take any differentiable path $t \mapsto \varphi_t$ with values in $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ such that $\varphi = \varphi_0$, and write $t \mapsto \sigma_t$ for the corresponding path of actions with $\sigma = \sigma_0$. Let X_t be the C^1-vector field on N uniquely defined by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = X_t \circ \varphi_t. \tag{4.23}$$

The associated Hamiltonian function is defined by

$$H_t : N \to \mathbb{R}, \quad H_t := \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_t}{\mathrm{d}t} \circ \varphi_t^{-1} - \lambda(X_t). \tag{4.24}$$

Differentiating $\varphi_t^* \lambda = \lambda + \mathrm{d}\sigma_t$ with respect to t, we get

$$\iota_{X_t}\mathrm{d}\lambda = \mathrm{d}H_t.$$

From this equation and the fact that $\mathrm{d}\lambda = R\mathrm{d}R \wedge \mathrm{d}\Theta$ vanishes at ∂N, we see that $\mathrm{d}H_t$ vanishes at ∂N. Hence, if we write $X_t = X_t^R \partial_R + X_t^\Theta \partial_\Theta$ on the annulus $\mathbb{A}$, we find

$$X_t^R = \tfrac{1}{R}\partial_\Theta H_t, \quad X_t^\Theta = -\tfrac{1}{R}\partial_R H_t. \tag{4.25}$$

We also observe that $H_t = 0$ at the boundary ∂N since $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda(X_t) \circ \varphi_t$ there. Indeed, from (4.22) and Proposition 4.1(v), we compute at ∂N

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{d}_{\Gamma_{\varphi_t}} K \cdot (0 \oplus X_t) = ((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda)(0 \oplus X_t)|_{\Gamma_{\varphi_t}} = \lambda(X_t) \circ \varphi_t.$$

Therefore, we see that H_t belongs to $\mathbb{V}$ and $\|H_t\|_\mathbb{V}$ is equivalent to $\|X_t\|_{C^1}$.

Conversely, let $H \in \mathbb{V}$ and take any path $t \mapsto H_t$ with values in $\mathbb{V}$ and such that $H_0 = H$. We claim that there is a unique path $t \mapsto X_t$ of C^1-vector fields with $\iota_{X_t}\mathrm{d}\lambda = \mathrm{d}H_t$. The vector fields are well defined away from ∂N, since $\mathrm{d}\lambda$ is symplectic there. On $\mathbb{A}$, instead, they are well defined because of (4.25). Let $t \mapsto \varphi_t$ be the path of

diffeomorphisms obtained by integrating X_t with the condition $\varphi_0 = \varphi$. Differentiating with respect to t, we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\varphi_t^*\lambda) = \varphi_t^*\big(\iota_{X_t}\mathrm{d}\lambda + \mathrm{d}(\lambda(X_t))\big) = \mathrm{d}\big((H_t + \lambda(X_t)) \circ \varphi_t\big)$$

so that all the maps φ_t are exact with some action σ_t. Relation (4.24) is also satisfied since H_t and $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_t}{\mathrm{d}t} \circ \varphi_t^{-1} - \lambda(X_t)$ have the same differential and both vanish at ∂N. We sum up the previous discussion in a lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *There is an isomorphism between the normed spaces*

$$(\mathrm{T}_\varphi \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*), \|\cdot\|_{C^1}) \to (\mathbb{V}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{V}})$$

given by the map $X_0 \mapsto H_0$, *where* X_0 *and* H_0 *are defined in* (4.23) *and* (4.24). $\qquad\square$

4.3. Generating functions

In this subsection, we describe how to build the correspondence between C^1-small exact diffeomorphisms and generating functions in our setting. For a classical treatment, we refer the reader to [MS98, Chapter 9]. Let φ be an exact diffeomorphism in $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$. There exists a one-form $\eta : N \to \mathrm{T}^*N$ such that

$$\mathcal{W} \circ \Gamma_\varphi = \eta \circ \nu.$$

Since λ_{can} has the tautological property $\eta^*\lambda_{\mathrm{can}} = \eta$, we have

$$\nu^*\eta = \nu^*\eta^*\lambda_{\mathrm{can}} = \Gamma_\varphi^*\mathcal{W}^*\lambda_{\mathrm{can}} = \Gamma_\varphi^*((-\lambda) \oplus \lambda - \mathrm{d}K)$$
$$= \varphi^*\lambda - \lambda - \mathrm{d}(K \circ \Gamma_\varphi) = \mathrm{d}(\sigma - K \circ \Gamma_\varphi). \tag{4.26}$$

If we denote the generating function of $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ by

$$G_\varphi : N \to \mathbb{R}, \quad G_\varphi := (\sigma - K \circ \Gamma_\varphi) \circ \nu_\varphi^{-1}, \tag{4.27}$$

we have the equality

$$\mathcal{W} \circ \Gamma_\varphi = \mathrm{d}G_\varphi \circ \nu. \tag{4.28}$$

Henceforth, we will simply write G instead of G_φ when the map φ is clear from the context.

We write the restriction of ν^{-1} to $\mathbb{A}''$ as $\nu^{-1}(\rho, \vartheta) = (r_\varphi(\rho, \vartheta), \vartheta)$, so that, for every $\theta = \vartheta$, the functions $R_\varphi(\cdot, \theta)$ and $r_\varphi(\cdot, \vartheta)$ are inverses of each other. Moreover, since $r_\varphi(0, \vartheta) = 0$, by Taylor's theorem with integral remainder, there exists a function $s_\varphi : \mathbb{A}'' \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$r_\varphi = \rho(1 + s_\varphi).$$

By (4.21), (4.28) and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\rho G(\rho, \vartheta) = \rho\big(\vartheta - \Theta_\varphi(r_\varphi(\rho, \vartheta), \vartheta)\big), \\ \partial_\vartheta G(\rho, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho^2 - r_\varphi^2(\rho, \vartheta)) = -\rho^2\big(\frac{1}{2}s_\varphi^2(\rho, \vartheta) + s_\varphi(\rho, \vartheta)\big). \end{cases} \tag{4.29}$$

Proposition 4.5. *If* $G : N \to \mathbb{R}$ *is the generating function of* $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$, *then*

$$\mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi) = \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Crit}\, G.$$

Moreover, if $z \in \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi)$, *then* $\nu(z) = z$ *and* $\sigma(z) = G(z)$.

Proof. Let $z \in \mathring{N}$. We suppose first that $\varphi(z) = z$. Then, $\Gamma_\varphi(z) \in \Delta_N$, and by Proposition 4.1(iii), we have $\mathcal{W}(\Gamma_\varphi(z)) = \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{O}_N}(z)$, which implies that $\nu(z) = z$ and $d_z G = 0$. Moreover, by (4.27) and Proposition 4.1(iv), we have

$$G(z) = \sigma(\nu^{-1}(z)) - K(\Gamma_\varphi(\nu^{-1}(z))) = \sigma(z) - K \circ \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N}(z) = \sigma(z).$$

Conversely, suppose that z is a critical point G. Then, by (4.28),

$$(z, z) = \mathfrak{i}_{\Delta_N}(z) = \mathcal{W}^{-1}(dG(z)) = \Gamma_\varphi(\nu^{-1}(z)) = (\nu^{-1}(z), \varphi(\nu^{-1}(z))),$$

which implies $\nu^{-1}(z) = z$, and hence $\varphi(z) = z$. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *The generating function G belongs to $\mathbb{V}$. Moreover,*

$$\partial^2_{\rho\rho} G|_{\partial N} = \mathrm{id}_{\partial N} - \Theta_\varphi \circ \mathfrak{i}_{\partial N}.$$

In particular, for every $z \in \partial N$, we have

$$\varphi(z) = z \iff \partial^2_{\rho\rho} G(z) = 0 \iff \sigma(z) = 0.$$

Proof. The vanishing of G at the boundary follows from (ii) in (4.22). To prove the vanishing of the differential of G at the boundary, we just substitute $\rho = 0$ in (4.29). Moreover, dividing the first equation in (4.29) by ρ and letting $\rho \to 0$ we obtain the formula for $\partial^2_{\rho\rho} G$, which also implies the first equivalence above. The second one follows from (4.4) and (4.22). $\square$

By the previous lemma we have a map

$$\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*) \to \mathbb{V}, \quad \mathcal{G}(\varphi) = G_\varphi,$$

whose properties we will study. To this end, we need a definition and two lemmas about functions on $\mathbb{A}$.

Definition 4.7. Fix a positive integer m. Let us denote by $\mathbb{F}$ the space of all smooth functions $\hat{f} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and by $\| \cdot \|_\mathbb{F}$ the norm on $\mathbb{F}$ defined by

$$\|\hat{f}\|_\mathbb{F} := \|\hat{f}\|_{C^0} + \|r d\hat{f}\|_{C^0}, \quad \forall \hat{f} \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_0 \subset \mathbb{F}$ be the subspace of those functions $f : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $f(0, \theta) = 0$ for all $\theta \in S^1$. In this case, there exists a unique $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$f(r, \theta) = r\hat{f}(r, \theta), \quad \forall(r, \theta) \in \mathbb{A}.$$

Lemma 4.8. *The following two statements hold:*

(i) *The map $(\mathbb{F}_0, \| \cdot \|_{C^1}) \to (\mathbb{F}, \| \cdot \|_\mathbb{F})$, $f \mapsto \hat{f}$, is an isomorphism of normed spaces.*
(ii) *Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$, and let $A : U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a C^2-function with $\|A\|_{C^2} < \infty$. If $\mathbb{F}_U$ is the set of all functions $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that the image of $\hat{f}$ is a relatively compact subset of U, then the following map is continuous:*

$$(\mathbb{F}_U, \| \cdot \|_\mathbb{F}) \to (\mathbb{F}, \| \cdot \|_\mathbb{F}), \quad \hat{f} \mapsto A \circ \hat{f}.$$

Proof. By Taylor's theorem with integral remainder, the function $\hat{f}$ is defined as

$$\hat{f}(r, \theta) = \int_0^1 \partial_r f(ur, \theta)\, du. \tag{4.30}$$

Moreover, differentiating the identity $f = r\hat{f}$, we deduce that

$$df = r\, d\hat{f} + \hat{f}\, dr. \tag{4.31}$$

We see from (4.30) that the C^0-norm of $\hat{f}$ is controlled by the C^1-norm of f. Consequently, from (4.31), we conclude that the C^0-norm of $r\, d\hat{f} = df - \hat{f}\, dr$ is also controlled by the C^1-norm of f. On the other hand, we deduce from (4.31) that the C^0-norm of df is controlled by the C^0-norm of $r\, d\hat{f}$ and $\hat{f}$. As f vanishes at $r = 0$, the C^0-norm of f is controlled as well.

Finally, we consider a map $A : U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ as in the statement. Let $\hat{f}_0 \in \mathbb{F}_U$ be fixed and $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{F}_U$ such that $\hat{f}_0 + r(\hat{f} - \hat{f}_0) \in \mathbb{F}_U$ for all $r \in [0, 1]$. This happens if $\hat{f}$ is C^0-close to $\hat{f}_0$ since the images of $\hat{f}_0$ and $\hat{f}$ are relatively compact in U, by assumption. Then we can estimate with the help of the mean value theorem:

$$\|A \circ \hat{f} - A \circ \hat{f}_0\|_{C^0} \leq \|A\|_{C^1} \|\hat{f} - \hat{f}_0\|_{C^0},$$
$$\left\| r\, d(A \circ \hat{f} - A \circ \hat{f}_0) \right\|_{C^0} = \|(r\, d_{\hat{f}} A \cdot d\hat{f} - r\, d_{\hat{f}} A \cdot d\hat{f}_0) + (r\, d_{\hat{f}} A \cdot d\hat{f}_0 - r\, d_{\hat{f}_0} A \cdot d\hat{f}_0)\|_{C^0}$$
$$\leq \|d_{\hat{f}} A \cdot r\, d(\hat{f} - \hat{f}_0)\|_{C^0} + \left\|(d_{\hat{f}} A - d_{\hat{f}_0} A) \cdot r\, d\hat{f}_0\right\|_{C^0}$$
$$\leq \|A\|_{C^1} \|r\, d(\hat{f} - \hat{f}_0)\|_{C^0} + \|A\|_{C^2} \|\hat{f} - \hat{f}_0\|_{C^0} \|r\, d\hat{f}_0\|_{C^0},$$

from which the continuity of the map $\hat{f} \mapsto A \circ \hat{f}$ at $\hat{f}_0$ follows. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.9. *Let $f : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that, for all $\vartheta \in S^1$, we have $f(0, \vartheta) = 0$ and $d_{(0,\vartheta)} f = 0$. Then there exist functions $f_\rho, f_\vartheta : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\partial_\rho f = \rho f_\rho, \quad \partial_\vartheta f = \rho^2 f_\vartheta.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ (independent of f) such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \left\| \frac{1}{\rho} df \right\|_{C^1} \leq \|f_\rho\|_{C^1} + \|f_\vartheta\|_{\mathbb{F}} \leq C \left\| \frac{1}{\rho} df \right\|_{C^1}.$$

Proof. By Taylor's theorem with integral remainder, for all $(\rho, \vartheta) \in \mathbb{A}$, we can write

$$f(\rho, \vartheta) = \rho^2 \hat{\hat{f}}(\rho, \vartheta)$$

for a function $\hat{\hat{f}} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_\rho := 2\hat{\hat{f}} + \rho \partial_\rho \hat{\hat{f}}$, $f_\vartheta := \partial_\vartheta \hat{\hat{f}}$ yield the desired functions. In order to prove the equivalence of the norms, we observe that $\frac{1}{\rho} df = f_\rho d\rho + \rho f_\vartheta d\vartheta$. Thus, $\frac{1}{\rho} df$ is C^1-small if and only if f_ρ is C^1-small and ρf_ϑ is C^1-small. The conclusion now follows from Lemma 4.8(i). $\qquad\square$

Proposition 4.10. *The map* $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*) \to \mathbb{V}$ *is continuous from the* C^1-*topology to the topology induced by* $\| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{V}}$.

Proof. Since we can write $\mathrm{d}G = \mathcal{W} \circ \Gamma_\varphi \circ v^{-1}$, we readily see that the map $\mathcal{G}$ is continuous from the C^1-topology to the topology induced by the C^2-norm. The lemma follows if we can establish the continuity from the C^1-topology to the topology induced by the semi-norm $\left\| \frac{1}{\rho} \mathrm{d}(\,\cdot\,)|_{\mathbb{A}''} \right\|_{C^1}$. If $\pi_{S^1} : \mathbb{A}'' \to S^1$ is the standard projection, then, by (4.29), this amounts to showing that the map

$$\varphi \mapsto \pi_{S^1} - \Theta_\varphi \circ v_\varphi^{-1}$$

is continuous from the C^1-topology to the C^1-topology, and further employing Lemma 4.8(i), that the map

$$\varphi \mapsto -\tfrac{1}{2} s_\varphi^2 - s_\varphi$$

is continuous from the C^1-topology to the $\| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{F}}$-topology. The former map is continuous since $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 \circ f_2$ is continuous from the product C^1-topology to the C^1-topology and

$$\varphi \mapsto \Theta_\varphi, \quad \varphi \mapsto v_\varphi^{-1} = (r_\varphi, \pi_{S^1})$$

are continuous in the C^1-topology. The latter map is continuous since

(a) the map $\varphi \mapsto s_\varphi = \frac{1}{\rho}(r_\varphi - \rho)$ is continuous from the C^1-topology to the $\| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{F}}$-topology by Lemma 4.8(i);
(b) the map $\hat{f} \mapsto A \circ \hat{f}$ with $A(x) = -\frac{1}{2} x^2 - x$ is continuous from the $\| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{F}}$-topology to the $\| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{F}}$-topology by Lemma 4.8(ii).

Putting everything together, we have shown that $\mathcal{G}$ is continuous. $\qquad\square$

It is well known that the map $\mathcal{W}$ translates the standard Hamilton–Jacobi equation for exact Lagrangian graphs in T^*N to the Hamilton–Jacobi equation for C^1-small exact diffeomorphisms. Namely, for every differentiable path $t \mapsto \varphi_t \subset \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ with $v_t := v_{\varphi_t}$ and generated by some $t \mapsto H_t$, the corresponding path $t \mapsto G_t := \mathcal{G}(\varphi_t) \subset \mathbb{V}$ has a smooth pointwise derivative $t \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{d}G_t}{\mathrm{d}t} \subset \mathbb{V}$ which satisfies the Hamilton–Jacobi equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}G_t}{\mathrm{d}t} \circ v_t = H_t \circ \varphi_t. \tag{4.32}$$

By continuity it is enough to show (4.32) on the interior $\mathring{N}$ where $d\lambda$ is symplectic. We define the extended Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H}_t : \mathring{N} \times \mathring{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\widetilde{H}_t(q, Q) := H_t(Q)$. It generates $\tilde{\varphi}_t := \mathrm{id} \times \varphi_t$ on $\mathring{N} \times \mathring{N}$, which is Hamiltonian with respect to $(-d\lambda) \oplus d\lambda$. By definition, $\Gamma_{\varphi_t} = \tilde{\varphi}_t \circ i_{\Delta_{\mathring{N}}}$. Thus, if we write $\psi_t : \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{T}^*\mathring{N}$ for the Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms defined on a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathring{N}} \subset \mathrm{T}^*\mathring{N}$ generated by $\widetilde{H}_t \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}$, we get $\mathrm{d}G_t \circ v_t = \psi_t \circ i_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathring{N}}}$ by (4.28). Hence, G_t solves the classical Hamilton–Jacobi equation with respect to $\widetilde{H}_t \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}$ [Arn89, Section 46D], i.e. $\frac{\mathrm{d}G_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = \widetilde{H}_t \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{d}G_t)$. From the definition of $\widetilde{H}_t$ and identity (4.28), we obtain (4.32).

Remark 4.11. If we endow $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ with the C^2-topology (instead of the coarser C^1-topology), then the map $\mathcal{G}$ becomes of class C^1, and for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ and $H \in \mathbb{V} \cong T_\varphi \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$, we can rephrase the equation in the statement of the proposition as

$$d_\varphi \mathcal{G} \cdot H = H \circ (\varphi \circ \nu^{-1}).$$

Proposition 4.12. *There are $\delta_*, \epsilon_{**} > 0$ and a continuous map $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{V}(\delta_*) \to \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ such that*

(i) $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{**})) \subset \mathbb{V}(\delta_*)$;
(ii) *the map $\mathcal{E}$ is the inverse of $\mathcal{G}$:*

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}(G)) = G, \ \forall G \in \mathbb{V}(\delta_*), \qquad \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}(\varphi)) = \varphi, \ \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{**}).$$

Proof. Let δ_* be a positive number. We first show that if $G \in \mathbb{V}(\delta_*)$, then dG takes values into $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N})$, provided δ_* is small enough. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is a neighbourhood of the zero section away from the boundary of N, we see that $dG(N \setminus \mathbb{A}'')$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}$ if δ_* is small. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{T} \supset \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Y}')$ from Proposition 4.1.(i), we just need to show that $dG(\mathbb{A}'') \subset \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Y}') \cap (T^*\mathbb{A}'')$. Recall from (4.3) the description

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Y}') \cap T^*\mathbb{A}''$$
$$= \big\{ (\rho, \vartheta, p_\rho, p_\vartheta) \in T^*\mathbb{A}'' \mid p_\rho \in \big(-\tfrac{1}{2}\rho, \tfrac{1}{2}\rho\big), \ p_\vartheta \in \big(\tfrac{1}{2}(\rho^2 - a^2/4), \tfrac{1}{2}\rho^2\big] \big\},$$

so that the implication

$$0 \le \rho < a/4 \implies \tfrac{1}{2}(\rho^2 - a^2/4) > -\tfrac{3}{2}\rho^2$$

yields the implication

$$(\rho, \vartheta, p_\rho, p_\vartheta) \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Y}') \cap T^*\mathbb{A}'' \implies p_\vartheta \in \big(-\tfrac{3}{2}\rho^2, \tfrac{1}{2}\rho^2\big].$$

By Lemma 4.9, we have the expressions $\partial_\rho G = \rho G_\rho$ and $\partial_\vartheta G = \rho^2 G_\vartheta$. Therefore, in order to have $dG(\mathbb{A}'') \subset \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Y}') \cap T^*\mathbb{A}''$, we just need $\|G_\rho\|_{C^0(\mathbb{A}'')} < 1/2$ and $\|G_\vartheta\|_{C^0(\mathbb{A}'')} < 1/2$, which are true if δ_* is small, thanks to the inequality in Lemma 4.9 and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{V}}$.

Since $dG(\mathring{N}) \subset \mathring{\mathcal{T}}$, we can consider the map

$$\mathring{\mu} : \mathring{N} \to \mathring{N}, \quad \mathring{\mu} := \pi_1 \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1} \circ dG|_{\mathring{N}}, \tag{4.33}$$

where $\pi_1 : N \times N \to N$ is the projection on the first factor. On the annulus $\mathbb{A}''$, we consider furthermore the map

$$\mu_{\mathbb{A}''} : \mathbb{A}'' \to \mathbb{A}', \quad \mu_{\mathbb{A}''}(\rho, \vartheta) = (\rho\sqrt{1 - 2G_\vartheta(\rho, \vartheta)}, \vartheta).$$

Thanks to (4.2), $\mathring{\mu}$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{A}''}$ glue together to yield a map $\mu_G : N \to N$. We claim that $G \mapsto \mu_G$ is continuous from the topology induced by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{V}}$ to the C^1-topology. We argue separately for $\mathring{\mu}|_{N \setminus \mathbb{A}''}$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{A}''}$. For the former map, the continuity is clear from the expression (4.33) and the fact that $\|G\|_{C^2} \le \|G\|_{\mathbb{V}}$. For the latter map, the continuity is clear

in the second factor, and we only have to deal with the continuity of $G \mapsto \rho \sqrt{1 - 2G_\vartheta}$. By Lemma 4.8, this happens if and only if $G \mapsto \sqrt{1 - 2G_\vartheta}$ is continuous from the $\| \cdot \|_\mathbb{V}$-topology to the $\| \cdot \|_\mathbb{F}$-topology. The latter map is the composition of $G \mapsto G_\vartheta$ with $f \mapsto A \circ f$, where $A : (-1/2, 1/2) \to (0, \infty)$ is defined by $A(x) = \sqrt{1 - 2x}$. The map $G \mapsto G_\varphi$ is continuous from the $\| \cdot \|_\mathbb{V}$-topology to the $\| \cdot \|_\mathbb{F}$-topology by Lemma 4.9. The map $f \mapsto A \circ f$ is continuous from the $\| \cdot \|_\mathbb{F}$-topology to the $\| \cdot \|_\mathbb{F}$-topology by Lemma 4.8(ii). The claim is established.

Thus, taking δ_* small enough, we can assume that $\mu_G : N \to N$ is so C^1-close to the identity that is a diffeomorphism and we write $\nu_G : N \to N$ for its inverse, which satisfies
$$\nu_G(r, \theta) = (R_G(r, \theta), \theta), \quad \forall (r, \theta) \in \mathbb{A}'',$$
for some function $R_G : \mathbb{A}'' \to [0, a/2)$. The map $G \mapsto \nu_G$ is continuous in the C^1-topology.

We now construct a diffeomorphism $\varphi_G : N \to N$. Let $\pi_2 : N \times N \to N$ be the projection on the second factor and set
$$\mathring{\varphi} : \mathring{N} \to \mathring{N}, \quad \mathring{\varphi} := \pi_2 \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{d}G \circ \nu_G|_{\mathring{N}}. \tag{4.34}$$

On the annulus $\mathbb{A}''$, we set
$$\varphi_{\mathbb{A}''} : \mathbb{A}'' \to \mathbb{A}', \quad \varphi_{\mathbb{A}''}(r, \theta) = \big(R_G(r, \theta), \theta - G_\rho(R_G(r, \theta), \theta)\big).$$

Thanks to (4.2), the maps $\mathring{\varphi}$ and $\varphi_{\mathbb{A}''}$ glue together to yield $\varphi_G : N \to N$. We claim that φ is exact. Indeed, from (4.33) and (4.34), we get $\mathcal{W} \circ \Gamma_{\mathring{\varphi}} = \mathrm{d}G \circ \mathring{\nu}$. Since ν_G and φ_G are continuous up to the boundary, we deduce
$$\mathcal{W} \circ \Gamma_{\varphi_G} = \mathrm{d}G \circ \nu_G. \tag{4.35}$$

Repeating the computation as in (4.26), it follows that φ_G is exact with action
$$\sigma_{\varphi_G} := G \circ \nu_G + K \circ \Gamma_{\varphi_G}. \tag{4.36}$$

Therefore, we have a map $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{V}(\delta_*) \to \mathbb{E}$ defined by $\mathcal{E}(G) = \varphi_G$. We claim that this map is continuous. As before, we argue separately for $\mathring{\varphi}|_{N \setminus \mathbb{A}''}$ and $\varphi_{\mathbb{A}''}$. For the former, the continuity follows since we have control on the C^2-norm of G. For the latter, it follows from the continuity of $G \mapsto R_G$ from the $\| \cdot \|_\mathbb{V}$-topology to the C^1-topology, which we have already established; the continuity of $G \mapsto G_\rho$ from the $\| \cdot \|_\mathbb{V}$-topology to the C^1-topology, which follows from Lemma 4.9; and the continuity of $(f_0, f_1) \mapsto f_0 \circ f_1$ from the product C^1-topology into the C^1-topology. The claim is established. In particular, up to shrinking δ_*, we can assume that $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{V}(\delta_*)) \subset \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$. On the other hand, the existence of $\epsilon_{**} > 0$ with the property that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{**})) \subset \mathbb{V}(\delta_*)$ is a consequence of the continuity of $\mathcal{G}$.

Next, we verify that $\mathcal{G}(\varphi_G) = G$. First, recalling that $\pi_N : T^*N \to N$, we see that
$$\nu_{\varphi_G} \overset{(4.28)}{=} \pi_N \circ (\mathcal{W} \circ \Gamma_{\varphi_G}) \overset{(4.35)}{=} \pi_N \circ (\mathrm{d}G \circ \nu_G) = (\pi_N \circ \mathrm{d}G) \circ \nu_G = \nu_G.$$

Therefore, comparing (4.36) with (4.27), we get $\mathcal{G}(\varphi_G) = G_{\varphi_G} = G$.

Finally, let $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{**})$. We show that $\varphi = \mathcal{E}(G_\varphi)$. First, we get

$$v_\varphi^{-1}|_{\mathring{N}} \overset{(4.28)}{=} \pi_1 \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1} \circ dG_\varphi|_{\mathring{N}} \overset{(4.35)}{=} \pi_1 \circ \Gamma_{\varphi_{G_\varphi}} \circ v_{G_\varphi}^{-1}|_{\mathring{N}} = v_{G_\varphi}^{-1}|_{\mathring{N}}.$$

By continuity, this implies $v_\varphi = v_{G_\varphi}$, and we arrive at

$$\varphi|_{\mathring{N}} \overset{(4.28)}{=} \pi_2 \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1} \circ dG_\varphi \circ v_\varphi|_{\mathring{N}} = \pi_2 \circ \mathcal{W}^{-1} \circ dG_\varphi \circ v_{G_\varphi}|_{\mathring{N}} \overset{(4.35)}{=} \pi_2 \circ \Gamma_{\varphi_{G_\varphi}}|_{\mathring{N}} = \varphi_{G_\varphi}|_{\mathring{N}}.$$

By continuity again, $\varphi = \varphi_{G_\varphi} = \mathcal{E}(G_\varphi)$ as required, and the proof is complete. □

4.4. Quasi-autonomous diffeomorphisms

In this subsection, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 using arguments inspired by [ABHS18, Remark 2.8]. We begin with the following well-known lemma whose proof can be found in [MS98, Lemma 10.27] and [ABHS18, Propositions 2.6 & 2.7].

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ be an exact diffeomorphism and let $\sigma : N \to \mathbb{R}$ denote its action. Suppose that there exists a differentiable path $t \mapsto \varphi_t$ in $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ with $\varphi_0 = \mathrm{id}_N$ and $\varphi_1 = \varphi$. Let $t \mapsto H_t \in \mathbb{V}$ be the Hamiltonian associated with that path. Then*

$$\sigma(q) = \int_0^1 [H_t + \lambda(X_t)](\varphi_t(q))\,dt = \int_0^1 (t \mapsto \varphi_t(q))^*\lambda + \int_0^1 H_t(\varphi_t(q))\,dt, \quad \forall q \in N.$$

As a consequence,

$$\int_N \sigma\,d\lambda = 2 \int_0^1 \left(\int_N H_t\,d\lambda \right) dt. \qquad \square$$

We recall that, according to [BP94], a Hamiltonian path $t \mapsto H_t \in \mathbb{V}$, parametrised on some interval I, is called *quasi-autonomous* if there exist a minimiser $q_{\min} \in N$ and a maximiser $q_{\max} \in N$ independent of time, i.e.

$$\min_N H_t = H_t(q_{\min}), \quad \max_N H_t = H_t(q_{\max}), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

A diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ is called quasi-autonomous if there exists a differentiable path $t \mapsto \varphi_t \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ parametrised in $[0, 1]$ with $\varphi_0 = \mathrm{id}_N$, $\varphi_1 = \varphi$, whose associated Hamiltonian $t \mapsto H_t \in \mathbb{V}$ is quasi-autonomous.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$ be quasi-autonomous with associated Hamiltonian $t \mapsto H_t$. The following implications hold:*

$$\exists t_- \in [0, 1], \; H_{t_-}(q_{\min}) < 0 \implies q_{\min} \in \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi) \cap \mathring{N}, \; \sigma(q_{\min}) < 0,$$
$$\exists t_+ \in [0, 1], \; H_{t_+}(q_{\max}) < 0 \implies q_{\max} \in \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi) \cap \mathring{N}, \; \sigma(q_{\max}) < 0.$$

Proof. We only show the first implication. Since $H_{t_-}(q_{\min}) < 0$ and $H_{t_-}|_{\partial N} = 0$, we deduce that $q_{\min} \in \mathring{N}$. Moreover, since $q_{\min}$ minimises H_t for all $t \in [0, 1]$, we see that $d_{q_{\min}} H_t = 0$. Since $d\lambda$ is symplectic on $\mathring{N}$, from $\iota_{X_t} d\lambda = dH_t$ we conclude that

$X_t(q_{\min}) = 0$, which implies that $\varphi_t(q_{\min}) = q_{\min}$. We estimate the action of $q_{\min}$ using Lemma 4.13 and recalling that, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $H_t(q_{\min}) \leq 0$, since H_t vanishes on the boundary:

$$\sigma(q_{\min}) = \int_0^1 [H_t + \lambda(X_t)](\varphi_t(q_{\min})) \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^1 H_t(q_{\min}) \, \mathrm{d}t < 0. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 4.15. *Every $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{**})$ is quasi-autonomous.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.12, the generating function G of φ belongs to $\mathbb{V}(\delta_*)$. Thus, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, the function tG belongs to $\mathbb{V}(\delta_*)$, and again by Proposition 4.12, we can consider the path $t \mapsto \varphi_t := \mathcal{E}(tG) \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_*)$. Let $t \mapsto H_t$ be the associated Hamiltonian. By (4.32), we deduce

$$G = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(tG) = H_t \circ (\varphi_t \circ \nu_t^{-1}), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \tag{4.37}$$

which implies

$$\min H_t = \min G, \quad \max H_t = \max G, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \tag{4.38}$$

Let $q_{\min}$ and $q_{\max}$ be the minimiser and the maximiser of G, respectively. We claim that

$$G(q_{\min}) = H_t(q_{\min}), \quad G(q_{\max}) = H_t(q_{\max}), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \tag{4.39}$$

We give only the argument for $q_{\min}$. If $q_{\min} \in \partial N$, we have $G(q_{\min}) = 0 = H_t(q_{\min})$, as G and H_t belong to $\mathbb{V}$. If $q_{\min} \in \mathring{N}$, then $q_{\min} \in \mathrm{Crit}\, G$. We deduce that $\varphi_t(q_{\min}) = q_{\min} = \nu_t(q_{\min})$, as φ_t and ν_t act as the identity on $\mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Crit}\,(tG) \supset \mathring{N} \cap \mathrm{Crit}\, G$ by Proposition 4.5. The equality $G(q_{\min}) = H_t(q_{\min})$ then follows from (4.37). Now that the claim is established, (4.38) and (4.39) imply that $t \mapsto H_t$ is quasi-autonomous. $\qquad \square$

We are now ready to prove the implications (3.29) in Corollary 3.14, which are the last missing piece to establish the Main Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 4.16. *Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{**})$ be an exact diffeomorphism with action $\sigma : N \to \mathbb{R}$. If $\varphi \neq \mathrm{id}_N$, the following implications hold:*

$$\int_N \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \leq 0 \implies \exists q_- \in \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi) \cap \mathring{N} \text{ with } \sigma(q_-) < 0,$$

$$\int_N \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \geq 0 \implies \exists q_+ \in \mathrm{Fix}(\varphi) \cap \mathring{N} \text{ with } \sigma(q_+) < 0.$$

Proof. The implications follow with $q_- = q_{\min}$, $q_+ = q_{\max}$. We show only the former, the latter being analogous. Suppose that the integral of σ is non-positive. By Proposition 4.15, φ is quasi-autonomous, that is, there exists a quasi-autonomous $t \mapsto H_t$ generating $t \mapsto \varphi_t$ with $\varphi_0 = \mathrm{id}_N$ and $\varphi_1 = \varphi$. By Lemma 4.14, the corollary is established if we show that there exists $t_- \in [0, 1]$ such that $H_{t_-}(q_{\min}) < 0$. Indeed, assume for contradiction that $H_t(q_{\min}) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. This means that $H_t \geq 0$. Furthermore,

as $\varphi \neq \mathrm{id}_N$, there exists $(s, w) \in [0, 1] \times N$ with $H_s(w) > 0$, which, by Lemma 4.13, implies

$$0 < \int_0^1 \left(\int_N H_t \right) \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{2} \int_N \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

From this contradiction we deduce the existence of a t_- as above. $\qquad\square$

References

[ABHS17] Abbondandolo, A., Bramham, B., Hryniewicz, U. L., Salomão, P. A. S.: A systolic inequality for geodesic flows on the two-sphere. Math. Ann. **367**, 701–753 (2017) Zbl 1380.53050 MR 3606452

[ABHS18] Abbondandolo, A., Bramham, B., Hryniewicz, U. L., Salomão, P. A. S.: Sharp systolic inequalities for Reeb flows on the three-sphere. Invent. Math. **211**, 687–778 (2018) Zbl 06838399 MR 3748316

[ABHS19] Abbondandolo, A., Bramham, B., Hryniewicz, U. L., Salomão, P. A. S.: Contact forms with large systolic ratio in dimension three. Ann. Suola. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **19**, 1561–1582 (2019) Zbl 07224210 MR 4050206

[AFM17] Albers, P., Fuchs, U., Merry, W. J.: Positive loops and L^∞-contact systolic inequalities. Selecta Math. (N.S.) **23**, 2491–2521 (2017) Zbl 1383.53057 MR 3703459

[ÁPB14] Álvarez Paiva, J. C., Balacheff, F.: Contact geometry and isosystolic inequalities. Geom. Funct. Anal. **24**, 648–669 (2014) Zbl 1292.53050 MR 3192037

[Arn89] Arnol'd, V. I.: Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics. 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 60, Springer, New York (1989) Zbl 0386.70001 MR 1345386

[AAMO08] Artstein-Avidan, S., Milman, V., Ostrover, Y.: The M-ellipsoid, symplectic capacities and volume. Comment. Math. Helv. **83**, 359–369 (2008) Zbl 1138.53060 MR 2390048

[Bal06] Balacheff, F.: Sur la systole de la sphère au voisinage de la métrique standard. Geom. Dedicata **121**, 61–71 (2006) Zbl 1109.53044 MR 2276235

[BK19] Benedetti, G., Kang, J.: On a systolic inequality for closed magnetic geodesics on surfaces. arXiv:1902.01262 (2019)

[BK20] Benedetti, G., Kang, J.: On a local systolic inequality for odd-symplectic forms. Portugal. Math. **76**, 327–394 (2020) Zbl 07272892 MR 4126278

[Ber03] Berger, M.: A Panoramic View of Riemannian Geometry. Springer, Berlin (2003) Zbl 1038.53002 MR 2002701

[BP94]   Bialy, M., Polterovich, L.: Geodesics of Hofer's metric on the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Duke Math. J. **76**, 273–292 (1994) Zbl 0819.58006 MR 1301192

[Bon83]   Bonahon, F.: Difféotopies des espaces lenticulaires. Topology **22**, 305–314 (1983) Zbl 0526.57009 MR 0710104

[BW58]   Boothby, W. M., Wang, H. C.: On contact manifolds. Ann. of Math. (2) **68**, 721–734 (1958) Zbl 0084.39204 MR 0112160

[Cer68]   Cerf, J., Sur les difféomorphismes de la sphère de dimension trois ($\Gamma_4 = 0$). Lecture Notes in Math. 53, Springer, Berlin (1968) Zbl 0164.24502 MR 0229250

[CM05]   Cieliebak, K., Mohnke, K.: Compactness for punctured holomorphic curves. J. Symplectic Geom. **3**, 589–654 (2005) Zbl 1113.53053 MR 2235856

[Gei08]   Geiges, H.: An Introduction to Contact Topology. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 109, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2008) Zbl 1153.53002 MR 2397738

[Gin87]   Ginzburg, V. L.: New generalizations of Poincaré's geometric theorem. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **21**, no. 2, 16–22, 96 (1987) (in Russian) Zbl 0656.58027 MR 0902290

[Gir20]   Giroux, E.: Ideal Liouville domains, a cool gadget. J. Symplectic Geom. **18**, 769–790 (2020) MR 4142486

[HR85]   Hodgson, C., Rubinstein, J. H.: Involutions and isotopies of lens spaces. In: Knot Theory and Manifolds (Vancouver, BC, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math. 1144, Springer, Berlin, 60–96 (1985) Zbl 0605.57022 MR 0823282

[Mas08]   Massot, P.: Geodesible contact structures on 3-manifolds. Geom. Topol. **12**, 1729–1776 (2008) Zbl 1152.57017 MR 2421139

[MS98]   McDuff, D., Salamon, D.: Introduction to Symplectic Topology. 2nd ed., Oxford Math. Monogr., Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, New York (1998) Zbl 1066.53137 MR 1698616

[Nic07]   Nicolaescu, L. I.: Lectures on the Geometry of Manifolds. 2nd ed., World Sci., Hackensack, NJ (2007) Zbl 1155.53001 MR 2363924

[Sag18]   Saglam, M.: Contact forms with large systolic ratio in arbitrary dimensions. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), to appear; arXiv:1806.01967 (2018)

[Tau07]   Taubes, C. H.: The Seiberg–Witten equations and the Weinstein conjecture. Geom. Topol. **11**, 2117–2202 (2007) Zbl 1135.57015 MR 2350473

[Vit00]   Viterbo, C.: Metric and isoperimetric problems in symplectic geometry. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13**, 411–431 (2000) Zbl 0964.53050 MR 1750956

[Wal67]   Waldhausen, F.: Eine Klasse von 3-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten. I, II. Invent. Math. **3**, 308–333 (1967), and **4**, 87–117 (1967) Zbl 0168.44503 MR 0235576

[Wei74]   Weinstein, A.: Application des opérateurs intégraux de Fourier aux spectres des variétés riemanniennes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **279**, 229–230 (1974) Zbl 0289.35074 MR 0410815

[Wei79]   Weinstein, A.: On the hypotheses of Rabinowitz' periodic orbit theorems. J. Differential Equations **33**, 353–358 (1979) Zbl 0388.58020 MR 0543704