© 2021 European Mathematical Society Published by EMS Press. This work is licensed under a [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.

Russell Lyons · Yuval Peres

Poisson boundaries of lamplighter groups: proof of the Kaimanovich–Vershik conjecture

Received July 28, 2016

Abstract. We answer positively a question of Kaimanovich and Vershik from 1979, showing that the final configuration of lamps for simple random walk on the lamplighter group over \mathbb{Z}^d ($d \geq 3$) is the Poisson boundary. For $d \geq 5$, this had been shown earlier by Erschler (2011). We extend this to walks of more general types on more general groups.

Keywords. Random walks, free metabelian group, entropy, harmonic functions

1. Introduction

Suppose that Γ is a countable infinite group and μ is a probability measure on Γ whose support generates Γ (as a group). A function $f : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *harmonic* if $f(x) =$ $\sum_{z} \mu(z) f(xz)$ for all $x \in \Gamma$. If all bounded harmonic functions are constant, then (Γ, μ) is said to have the *Liouville property*. A general theory for the non-Liouville case was initiated by [Furstenberg](#page-25-0) [\(1963,](#page-25-0) [1971a,](#page-25-1)[b\)](#page-26-0), who defined the notion of Poisson boundary to describe the set of bounded harmonic functions. Such harmonic functions are closely linked to the μ -walk, which is the Markov chain with transition probabilities $p(x, y) :=$ $\mu(x^{-1}y)$. Earlier work on boundaries for general Markov chains is due to [Blackwell](#page-24-0) [\(1955\)](#page-24-0), [Feller](#page-25-2) [\(1956\)](#page-25-2), [Doob](#page-25-3) [\(1959\)](#page-25-3), [Hunt](#page-26-1) [\(1960\)](#page-26-1), and [Feldman](#page-25-4) [\(1962\)](#page-25-4); a special case for groups was established by [Dynkin and Maljutov](#page-25-5) [\(1961\)](#page-25-5).

[Rosenblatt](#page-27-1) [\(1981\)](#page-27-1) and [Kaimanovich and Vershik](#page-26-2) [\(1983\)](#page-26-2) (announced in [Vershik and](#page-27-2) [Kaimanovich](#page-27-2) [\(1979\)](#page-27-2)) proved a conjecture of [Furstenberg](#page-26-3) [\(1973\)](#page-26-3) that Γ is amenable iff there is a symmetric μ whose support generates Γ such that (Γ, μ) is Liouville. Another open question had been whether there exists an amenable group with a symmetric non-Liouville measure. To answer this, [Vershik and Kaimanovich](#page-27-2) [\(1979\)](#page-27-2) and [Kaimanovich](#page-26-2) [and Vershik](#page-26-2) [\(1983\)](#page-26-2) utilized certain restricted wreath products $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$, now commonly called *lamplighter groups*, where $\mathbb{Z}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/(2\mathbb{Z})$ is referred to as the *lamp group* and \mathbb{Z}^d

R. Lyons: Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, 831 E. 3rd St., Bloomington, IN 47405-7106, USA; e-mail: rdlyons@indiana.edu, https://rdlyons.pages.iu.edu/

Y. Peres: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Kent State University, Mathematics and Computer Science Building 233, Summit Street, Kent, OH 44242, USA; e-mail: yperes@gmail.com, https://yuvalperes.com/

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): Primary 20F69, 60B15, 60J50; Secondary 43A05, 20F65

as the *base group*. These are solvable (hence amenable) groups of exponential growth. To define them more generally, let $\mathfrak L$ and Λ be two groups. Then $\mathfrak L$ λ is the semidirect product $(\sum_{z \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}) \rtimes \Lambda$, where Λ acts on $\sum_{z \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}$ by

$$
(x\Phi)(z) := \Phi(x^{-1}z).
$$

Thus, if (Φ, x) , $(\Psi, y) \in \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L} \times \Lambda$, then

$$
(\Phi, x)(\Psi, y) = (\Phi \cdot (x\Psi), xy).
$$

The interpretation of an element (Φ, x) is that a lamplighter is at x, there is one lamp at each element of Λ , each lamp has a state in \mathfrak{L} , and Φ gives the states of all the lamps. If $\mathfrak L$ and Λ are both finitely generated, then so is their restricted wreath product. To see this, write o for the identity in Λ and id for the identity in $\mathfrak L$. Write **ID** for the function that is equal to id identically on Λ . Also, write δ^s for the element of $\sum_{z \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}$ that equals s at o and equals id elsewhere; thus, $\mathbf{ID} = \delta^{\text{id}}$. If S_1 and S_2 are generating sets for $\mathfrak L$ and Λ , respectively, then an often-used generating set for $\mathfrak{L}\wr\Lambda$ is $\{\delta^{s_1}, o\}$; $s_1 \in S_1\} \cup \{(\mathbf{ID}, s_2)\}$; $s_2 \in S_2$. Multiplying (Φ, x) on the right by a generator (δ^{s_1}, o) changes the state of the lamp at x by s_1 , while multiplying (Φ, x) on the right by a generator (\mathbf{ID}, s_2) moves the lamplighter to xs₂. Since every element of $\sum_{z \in A} \mathfrak{L}$ is the identity of \mathfrak{L} at all but finitely many $z \in \Lambda$, the above set does indeed generate $\mathcal{L} \wr \Lambda$.

Let μ be a finitely supported, symmetric probability measure whose support generates $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$. [Kaimanovich and Vershik](#page-26-2) [\(1983\)](#page-26-2), Proposition 6.4 showed that $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}^d, \mu)$ is Liouville iff $d \le 2$. [Vershik and Kaimanovich](#page-27-2) [\(1979\)](#page-27-2) and [Kaimanovich and Vershik](#page-26-2) [\(1983\)](#page-26-2) also asked for a description of the Poisson boundary for finitely supported μ on the lamplighter groups $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$ when it is nontrivial, which, in the symmetric case, amounts to $d \geq 3$. Moreover, they suggested a natural candidate, namely, $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ with the probability measure given by the final configuration of lamps under the μ -walk. On $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$, the final configuration of lamps, which we will denote by Φ_{∞} , exists because the projection of the walk to the base group \mathbb{Z}^d is transient.

In 2008, a breakthrough was achieved by [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011,](#page-25-6) [2010\)](#page-25-7), who proved that the conjecture of [Vershik and Kaimanovich](#page-27-2) [\(1979\)](#page-27-2) is correct when $d > 5$.

We show here that the conjecture of [Vershik and Kaimanovich](#page-27-2) [\(1979\)](#page-27-2) is correct for all $d > 3$. In fact, we prove the following main result. Say that a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{L} \wr \Lambda$ has *bounded lamp range* if $\{x \in \Lambda : \exists(\Phi, y) \in \mathcal{L} \wr \Lambda \mu(\Phi, y) > 0 \text{ and } \Phi(x) \neq id\}$ is a finite set. This means that one step of the random walk can change the lamp values on only a set of bounded size, which holds, for example, if μ has finite support. Write μ_{base} for the projection of μ on Λ .

Theorem 1.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a nontrivial finite group and Λ be a finitely generated, infinite *group. Let* μ *be a probability measure on* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ *with finite entropy and bounded lamp range, and whose support generates* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$. If μ_{base} *generates a transient random walk* on Λ , then the Poisson boundary is \mathfrak{L}^{Λ} endowed with the law of Φ_{∞} .

It follows readily from known results that if the projected measure μ_{base} generates a recurrent random walk on Λ and (\mathfrak{L}, v) is Liouville for every v whose support generates \mathfrak{L} ,

then the Poisson boundary of $(\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda, \mu)$ is trivial whenever the support of μ generates $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ (see Proposition [4.9\)](#page-18-0). This was proved earlier for abelian lamp groups (Proposition 1.2 in [Kaimanovich](#page-26-4) [\(1983\)](#page-26-4)) and, more generally, nilpotent lamp groups (Theorem 3.1 of [Kaimanovich](#page-26-5) [\(1991\)](#page-26-5)).

Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) is proved in Section [4,](#page-9-0) with various minor strengthenings. Theorems [3.3](#page-8-0) and [4.8](#page-16-0) give settings in which the assumptions that $\mathfrak L$ be finite and that Λ be finitely generated can be removed. The assumptions that $\mathfrak L$ is finite and μ has bounded lamp range are replaced by a second-moment assumption in Theorem [5.1](#page-19-0) when $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Entropy is a key quantity in the study of Poisson boundaries. We are aware of no significant results that identify a nontrivial Poisson boundary in the presence of infinite entropy, although [Forghani and Tiozzo](#page-25-8) [\(2019\)](#page-25-8) manage to reduce finite logarithmic moment to finite entropy on free semigroups.

We introduce an enhanced version of the celebrated entropy criterion of [Kaimanovich](#page-26-6) [\(2000\)](#page-26-6), which has been the key tool for identification of Poisson boundaries. This is presented in Corollary [2.3](#page-5-0) and used in Section [3.](#page-6-0) We also discuss it informally below in the context of the history of the subject.

Poisson boundaries are related to other important aspects of random walks. One fundamental aspect is to determine, given a random walk on a group Γ , its set of possible asymptotic behaviors, by which we mean the σ -field $\mathcal I$ on the path space $\Gamma^{\mathbb N}$ of events invariant under time shifts. There is a well-known correspondence between $\mathcal I$ and the space **BH** of bounded harmonic functions on Γ . In particular, the invariant σ -field is trivial (i.e., consists only of sets of probability 0 or 1) iff all bounded harmonic functions are constant.

Following the introduction of asymptotic entropy by [Avez](#page-24-1) [\(1972,](#page-24-1) [1974,](#page-24-2) [1976a](#page-24-3)[,b\)](#page-24-4) and the 0–2 law of [Derriennic](#page-25-9) [\(1976\)](#page-25-9), a foundational paper by [Kaimanovich and Vershik](#page-26-2) [\(1983\)](#page-26-2), announced in [Vershik and Kaimanovich](#page-27-2) [\(1979\)](#page-27-2), developed a general theory to analyze Poisson boundaries. In particular, Avez, [Derriennic](#page-25-10) [\(1980\)](#page-25-10), and Kaimanovich– Vershik proved that if μ has finite entropy, then the Avez (asymptotic) entropy of the μ -walk is 0 iff the walk is Liouville. [Varopoulos](#page-27-3) [\(1985\)](#page-27-3) showed that for finitely supported, symmetric μ , the rate of escape of the μ -walk is sublinear iff (Γ, μ) is Liouville. This was extended by [Karlsson and Ledrappier](#page-26-7) [\(2007\)](#page-26-7) to symmetric μ with finite first moment with respect to the word metric for a finite generating set.

[Erschler](#page-25-11) [\(2004b\)](#page-25-11) showed that (1) every finitely generated solvable group of exponential growth admits a symmetric non-Liouville measure, and (2) every nondegenerate measure on $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ whose projection to Λ is transient has nonzero Avez entropy. She also proved a result similar to (2) for the free metabelian groups $\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F}_d''$ with $d \geq 3$. Further-more, [Erschler](#page-25-12) [\(2004a\)](#page-25-12) showed that there are groups of intermediate growth with finiteentropy, symmetric, non-Liouville measures. [Frisch, Hartman, Tamuz, and Vahidi Fer](#page-25-13)[dowsi](#page-25-13) [\(2019\)](#page-25-13) extended this to show that every finitely generated group that is not of polynomial growth admits a finite-entropy, symmetric, non-Liouville measure.

[Furstenberg](#page-26-0) [\(1971b\)](#page-26-0) and [Kaimanovich and Vershik](#page-26-2) [\(1983\)](#page-26-2) gave entropy criteria for identifying the Poisson boundary. Two notable papers by [Ledrappier](#page-26-8) [\(1983,](#page-26-8) [1985\)](#page-27-4) used this criterion to determine the Poisson boundary for discrete matrix groups. [Ballmann](#page-24-5) [and Ledrappier](#page-24-5) [\(1994\)](#page-24-5) developed further the entropy method in the context of rank-one manifolds. [Kaimanovich](#page-26-9) [\(1985,](#page-26-9) [1994,](#page-26-10) [2000\)](#page-26-6) refined the entropy method more generally and introduced a powerful general criterion for equality of a given boundary and the Poisson boundary (see Theorem [2.1](#page-5-1) and Corollary [2.2](#page-5-2) here).

Informally, Kaimanovich's criterion says that in order that a candidate boundary be the Poisson boundary, it suffices to find a sequence of random finite sets $O_n \subset \Gamma$. that depend on points of the candidate boundary, such that $|Q_n|^{1/n} \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ and $P[\Xi_n \in Q_n]$ is bounded below, where Ξ_n is the location of the random walk at time n. One of Kaimanovich's important observations was that the sets Q_n can often be defined geometrically. This led to his well-known strip and ray criteria. We enhance Kaimanovich's more general criterion so that it suffices that $\Xi_m \in Q_n$ for *some* $m \ge n$.

Kaimanovich's criteria led to much progress in identifying Poisson boundaries, such as the works by [Kaimanovich and Masur](#page-26-11) [\(1996,](#page-26-11) [1998\)](#page-26-12), [Karlsson](#page-26-13) [\(2003\)](#page-26-13), [Malyutin](#page-27-5) [\(2003\)](#page-27-5), [Karlsson and Woess](#page-26-14) [\(2007\)](#page-26-14), [Sava](#page-27-6) [\(2010b\)](#page-27-6), [Brofferio and Schapira](#page-24-6) [\(2011\)](#page-24-6), [Gautero and](#page-26-15) Mathéus [\(2012\)](#page-26-15), [Malyutin, Nagnibeda, and Serbin](#page-27-7) [\(2017\)](#page-27-7), [Nevo and Sageev](#page-27-8) [\(2013\)](#page-27-8), [Ma](#page-27-9)[her and Tiozzo](#page-27-9) [\(2018\)](#page-27-9), and [Malyutin and Svetlov](#page-27-10) [\(2014\)](#page-27-10).

Using these methods, [Kaimanovich](#page-26-16) [\(2001\)](#page-26-16) made some progress on the lamplighter question by showing that for μ whose projection on the base group, \mathbb{Z}^d , has nonzero mean, the final lamps do indeed give the Poisson boundary. This problem of identifying the Poisson boundary has been raised repeatedly (e.g., [Kaimanovich](#page-26-5) [\(1991\)](#page-26-5), [Vershik](#page-27-11) [\(2000\)](#page-27-11), [Karlsson and Woess](#page-26-14) [\(2007\)](#page-26-14), [Sava](#page-27-6) [\(2010b\)](#page-27-6), [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011,](#page-25-6) [2010\)](#page-25-7), [Georgakopou](#page-26-17)[los](#page-26-17) [\(2016\)](#page-26-17)) and has been considered a major open problem in the field.

Beyond Erschler's result on the Kaimanovich–Vershik conjecture, similar results have been established for random walks μ of finite first moment whose support generates one of the following groups $\mathcal{L} \wr \Lambda$:

- $\mathcal L$ is finitely generated and nontrivial, Λ has subexponential growth, and there is a homomorphism $\psi: \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that if $\pi: \mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda \to \Lambda$ is the canonical projection, then $(\psi \pi)_*\mu$ has nonzero mean [\(Kaimanovich](#page-26-16) [\(2001\)](#page-26-16));
- $\mathfrak L$ is finite and Λ is a group with a Cayley graph being a tree of degree at least 3 [\(Karlsson and Woess](#page-26-14) [\(2007\)](#page-26-14));
- $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and Λ is finitely generated and has infinitely many ends or is nonelementary hyperbolic [\(Sava](#page-27-6) [\(2010b\)](#page-27-6)).

In all these cases, the projection of the random walk to Λ has linear rate of escape, and this makes the analysis considerably simpler.

[Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011\)](#page-25-6) also extended her result on $\mathfrak{L} \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$ (\mathfrak{L} finitely generated and $d \geq 5$) beyond finitely supported μ to those with finite third moment, and noted that similar techniques work for free metabelian groups $\mathbb{F}_d / \mathbb{F}_d''$ when $d \ge 5$.

Prior to the work of [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011\)](#page-25-6), Kaimanovich's entropy criterion was used in a mostly geometric fashion that did not require detailed knowledge of the probabilistic behavior of the random walks. Erschler succeeded in her results by discovering how to leverage such knowledge of random walks in \mathbb{Z}^d for $d \geq 5$. In particular, she relied heavily on the existence of a positive density of cutpoints (for simple random walk and analogous behavior in general). That is, for the lamplighter random walk $\langle \widehat{X}_n \rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$, its projection X_n at time *n* to the base \mathbb{Z}^d is a cutpoint with probability bounded

below over all n. This allowed Erschler to define the required random finite sets Q_n that capture X_n with probability bounded below.

Our enhanced criterion allows the use of cut-spheres, which do not occur with positive density, but they do occur infinitely often for $d = 3, 4$. Use of cut-spheres also simplifies considerably the definition of the random sets Q_n . This is a general feature of our enhanced criterion, which we illustrate with a simple proof of a conjecture of [Sava](#page-27-12) [\(2010a\)](#page-27-12). However, we do not use our enhanced criterion to handle general base groups, where other innovations are used. The innovation that is most closely related to cutpoints is to use upper bounds on the Green function in order to bound the number of times at which the future of the walk can get close to the locations of the past of the walk. In order to handle more general base groups beyond \mathbb{Z}^d , other innovations convert small entropy growth found in various places into enumeration with small exponential growth of the required sets Q_n .

We begin with the definition of the Poisson boundary and Kaimanovich's criterion in Section [2.](#page-4-0) In order to present the proof of the original conjecture of [Vershik and](#page-27-2) [Kaimanovich](#page-27-2) [\(1979\)](#page-27-2) in the briefest manner, we prove Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) in Section [3](#page-6-0) in the special case where the μ -walk is simple random walk on $\mathfrak{L} \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$. We then prove the full Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) in Section [4.](#page-9-0) As did Erschler, we consider other step distributions μ on $\mathfrak{L}\mathbb{Z}^d$; in Section [5,](#page-19-1) we extend her result to $d > 3$ and to μ having finite second moment. In this broader setting where generators can change lamps arbitrarily far from the location of the lamplighter, some technical condition is needed to ensure existence of the limiting lamp configuration, as discussed at the end of Section [5.](#page-19-1) One can also ask about infinitely generated base groups Λ ; some of our results apply in that case: see Theorems [3.3](#page-8-0) and [4.8.](#page-16-0) In Section [6,](#page-23-0) we give some details about metabelian groups and similar groups and discuss our extensions to them.

2. Preliminaries

For a discrete probability distribution π on a set S, write $H(\pi) := -\sum_{s \in S} \pi(s) \log \pi(s)$ for the *entropy* of π . For a σ -field $\mathscr F$ and a discrete random variable X, write $H(X)$ for the entropy of the distribution of X and $H(X | \mathcal{F})$ for the conditional entropy of X given \mathscr{F} :

$$
H(X \mid \mathscr{F}) := -\mathbf{E}\Big[\sum_{x} \mathbf{P}[X = x \mid \mathscr{F}] \cdot \log \mathbf{P}[X = x \mid \mathscr{F}]\Big].
$$

Our Markov chains will begin at a fixed point; when that point is x, we use P_x for the corresponding probability measure. Usually x will be the identity element, \mathbf{o} , of a group, Γ . When a transition matrix is given, we often regard P_x as the law on $\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$ of the trajectory of the corresponding Markov chain $\langle \Xi_n : n \geq 0 \rangle$. The σ -field of shift-invariant events is denoted by I . We say that two σ -fields are equal mod 0 if their completions are equal, generally with respect to P_0 . The diagonal action of Γ by multiplication on $\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$ induces an action of Γ on *I*; a subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ is said to be Γ -*closed* if $\gamma(A) \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and all $A \in \mathcal{J}$.

The following criteria of [Kaimanovich](#page-26-6) [\(2000\)](#page-26-6) (see Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.6 there, or see Theorem 14.35 and Corollary 14.36 of [Lyons and Peres](#page-27-13) [\(2016\)](#page-27-13)) are essential in identifying Poisson boundaries:

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{\Xi_n : n \geq 0\}$ be a random walk on Γ with $H(\Xi_1) < \infty$. Let \mathcal{I} *be the associated invariant* σ -field and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ *be a* Γ -closed sub- σ -field. Then $\bm{h}^{\mathcal{J}} \coloneqq$ $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} H^{\mathcal{J}}(\Xi_n)$ *converges a.s. and in* L^1 *to the constant* $H(\Xi_1 \mid \mathcal{J}) - H(\Xi_1 \mid \mathcal{I})$ *. Furthermore,* $\mathbf{h}^{\mathcal{J}} = 0$ *iff* $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}$ mod 0.

Corollary 2.2. *Let* $\langle \Xi_n : n \geq 0 \rangle$ *be a random walk on* Γ *with* $H(\Xi_1) < \infty$ *. Let* $\mathcal I$ *be the associated invariant* σ*-field and* $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ *be a* Γ*-closed sub-σ-field. Suppose that for each* $\epsilon > 0$, there is a random sequence $\langle Q_{n,\epsilon} ; n \rangle 0$ *of finite subsets of* Γ *such that*

- (i) $Q_{n,\epsilon}$ *is* \mathcal{J} *-measurable;*
- (ii) $\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \log |Q_{n,\epsilon}| < \epsilon \ a.s.$;
- (iii) $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}_0[\Xi_n \in Q_{n,\epsilon}] > 0.$

Then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}$ mod 0.

When Γ is replaced by the lamplighter group $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$, we will apply this to the $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ -closed σ -field $\mathcal{J} := \sigma(\Phi_{\infty}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ defined by the limiting configuration of lamps. Thus, $Q_{n,\epsilon}$ will be a measurable function of configurations $\phi_{\infty} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda}$.

In Section [3,](#page-6-0) we will illustrate the use of a more flexible version of the preceding corollary, to wit:

Corollary 2.3. Let $\langle \Xi_n : n \geq 0 \rangle$ be a random walk on Γ with $H(\Xi_1) < \infty$. Let $\mathcal I$ be the *associated invariant* σ*-field and* J ⊆ I *be a* 0*-closed sub-*σ*-field. Suppose that for each* $\epsilon > 0$, there is a random sequence $\langle Q_{n,\epsilon} : n \geq 0 \rangle$ of finite subsets of Γ such that

- (i) $Q_{n,\epsilon}$ *is* \mathcal{J} *-measurable;*
- (ii) $\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \log |Q_{n,\epsilon}| < \epsilon \ a.s.$;
- (iii) $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}_0[\exists m \geq n \ \Xi_m \in Q_{n,\epsilon}] > 0.$

Then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}$ mod 0.

Proof. Write $p_n^{\mathcal{J}}(x, y) := \mathbf{P}_x[\mathbb{E}_n = y | \mathcal{J}]$ for the transition probabilities of the Markov chain conditioned on \mathcal{J} . We will use the following result of [Kaimanovich](#page-26-6) [\(2000\)](#page-26-6):

$$
\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{0}, \, \Xi_n) = -\mathbf{h}^{\mathcal{J}} \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{2.1}
$$

It suffices to show that $h^{\mathcal{J}} = 0$. Suppose that $h^{\mathcal{J}} > 0$ and define the random \mathcal{J} measurable sets

$$
S_m := \{x \in \Gamma : p_m^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{0}, x) \le \exp(-m\mathbf{h}^{\mathcal{J}}/2)\}.
$$

For $\epsilon > 0$,

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}[\Xi_m \in Q_{n,\epsilon} \cap S_m \mid \mathcal{J}] \leq |Q_{n,\epsilon}| \cdot \exp(-m\mathbf{h}^{\mathcal{J}}/2).
$$

Summing over $m \ge n$, we deduce that for $0 < \epsilon < h^{\mathcal{J}}/2$,

$$
\mathbf{P_0}[\exists m \ge n \ \Xi_m \in Q_{n,\epsilon} \cap S_m \mid \mathcal{J}] \le |\mathcal{Q}_{n,\epsilon}| \cdot c \exp(-nh^{\mathcal{J}}/2) \to 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{2.2}
$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $c = c(\boldsymbol{h}^{\mathcal{J}})$ is a constant. Therefore,

$$
\mathbf{P}_0[\exists m \ge n \ \Xi_m \in Q_{n,\epsilon} \cap S_m] \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{2.3}
$$

By [\(2.1\)](#page-5-3), $P_0[\exists m \ge n \ \Xi_m \notin S_m] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. In conjunction with [\(2.3\)](#page-6-1), this implies that

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}[\exists m \ge n \ \Xi_m \in Q_{n,\epsilon}] \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,
$$

contradicting the hypothesis (iii). \Box

A *Poisson boundary* for a random walk on Γ is a quadruple $(\Theta, \mathscr{F}, \nu, \mathbf{b})$, where $(\Theta, \mathscr{F}, \nu)$ is a probability space with $\mathscr F$ being countably generated and separating points, and where **b**: $(\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (\Theta, \mathcal{F})$ is a Γ -equivariant measurable map that pushes forward **P_o** to v and such that $\mathbf{b}^{-1}\mathscr{F} = \mathcal{I}$ mod \mathbf{P}_0 . It is unique up to isomorphism. For more de-tails and background, see [Kaimanovich](#page-26-6) [\(2000\)](#page-26-6) or Definition 14.28 and Theorem 14.29 of [Lyons and Peres](#page-27-13) [\(2016\)](#page-27-13).

When we consider random walks on $\mathcal{L} \wr \Lambda$, we will write $\widehat{X}_n := \mathbb{E}_n$ and $\widehat{X}_n =$: (Φ_n, X_n) . Similarly, write $\{\widehat{Y}_n : n \ge 1\}$ for the increments of $\{\Xi_n : n \ge 0\}$ on $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$, i.e., $\widehat{Y}_n := \widehat{X}_{n-1}^{-1} \widehat{X}_n$. Write $\widehat{Y}_n =: (\Psi_n, Y_n)$. Thus, $\langle Y_n : n \ge 1 \rangle$ are IID elements of Λ , used as increments of the random walk $\langle X_n : n \ge 0 \rangle$, i.e., $Y_n := X_{n-1}^{-1} X_n$. Note that while $\langle \Psi_n \rangle$ are IID, Ψ_n and Y_n are in general dependent for each n. Also, for $x \in \Lambda$,

$$
\Phi_n(x) = \Phi_{n-1}(x)\Psi_n(X_{n-1}^{-1}x).
$$

We generally assume that the support of (the law of) Y_1 generates Λ and, likewise, the support of \widehat{Y}_1 generates $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$.

Let lit ϕ denote the set of "lit lamps", $\{x \in \Lambda : \phi(x) \neq id\}$, of $\phi \in \mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda}$, also sometimes referred to as the *support* of φ.

Suppose that $\Phi_{\infty} := \lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_n$ exists a.s. For example, this occurs if $\mathbf{E}[||\mathbf{h} \Psi_1||]$ $< \infty$ and $\langle X_n \rangle$ is transient [\(Kaimanovich](#page-26-5) [\(1991\)](#page-26-5), Theorem 3.3, or [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011\)](#page-25-6), proof of Lemma 1.1). In various cases, we will show that $(\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}, \mathcal{F}, \nu, \mathbf{b})$ is a Poisson boundary, where $\mathscr F$ is the product σ -field, ν is the **P**_{ID}-law of Φ_{∞} , and **b**: $((\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda)^{\mathbb N}, \mathcal I, P_{\text{ID}}) \to$ $(\mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda}, \mathscr{F}, \nu)$ takes a sequence to its limiting configuration of lamps; on the set of measure 0 where the limiting configuration does not exist, we define **b** to take the value **ID** for convenience.

We will use c to stand for a positive constant, whose value can vary from one use to another.

When a group is finitely generated, we use the word metric to define $|x|$ as the distance between x and the identity element.

3. Proof for the classical case

Here we give a very short proof of the basic conjecture of [Vershik and Kaimanovich](#page-27-2) [\(1979\)](#page-27-2) concerning random walks on $\mathfrak{L} \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$ for $d \geq 3$ and \mathfrak{L} any nontrivial finite or countable group.

Theorem 3.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a nontrivial finite or countable group. Let $d \geq 3$. Let μ be a probability measure of finite entropy on $\mathfrak{L}\wr \mathbb{Z}^d$ whose support generates $\mathfrak{L}\wr \mathbb{Z}^d$. Suppose *that* μ *is concentrated on* $\{(\delta^s, o) : s \in \mathfrak{L}\} \cup \{(\mathbf{ID}, x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ *. If the projection of* μ on \mathbb{Z}^d is finitely supported and has mean **0**, then the Poisson boundary of $(\mathfrak{L} \wr \mathbb{Z}^d, \mu)$ is $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ endowed with the law of Φ_{∞} .

Proof. Write R for the maximum distance in \mathbb{Z}^d from the current location that one step of the Markov chain can move. For $r > 1$, consider the events

$$
\mathsf{cut}_r \coloneqq [\exists m \ge 1 \ (\forall k < m \ |X_k| < r \text{ and } \forall j > m \ |X_j| > r)].
$$

In the proof of their Proposition 2.1, [James and Peres](#page-26-18) [\(1996\)](#page-26-18) showed that when the projection of μ is symmetric, $P_0(\text{cut}_r) \ge c/r$ and $P_0(\text{cut}_r \cap \text{cut}_{r+i}) \le c/(r_i)$. In fact, their proof depends only on estimates of the Green function, and those hold as long as the projection of μ has mean 0: see, e.g., [Lawler and Limic](#page-26-19) [\(2010\)](#page-26-19), Theorem 4.3.1. Thus, the preceding inequalities of [James and Peres](#page-26-18) [\(1996\)](#page-26-18) hold not only for symmetric μ , but also for those μ whose projection has mean 0. The second-moment method applied to $\sum_{r=R_n}^{n^2} 1_{\text{cut}_r}$, just as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 of [James and Peres](#page-26-18) [\(1996\)](#page-26-18), then yields $\mathbf{P}_0(\bigcup_{r=R_n}^{n^2} \text{cut}_r) \geq c(\log n)^2/(\log n)^2 = c > 0$ for $n > R$. Define $Q_n := Q_{n,\epsilon}(\Phi_\infty)$ to be the set of (ϕ, x) such that $|x| \leq n^2$ and

$$
\phi(z) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\infty}(z) & \text{if } |z| < |x|, \\ \text{id} & \text{if } |z| \ge |x|. \end{cases}
$$

If $r \leq n^2$ and the time m witnesses the event cut_r, then $\widehat{X}_m \in Q_n$ and $m \geq r/R$. Therefore, $\mathbf{P}_{\text{ID}}[\exists m \ge n \widehat{X}_m \in Q_n] \ge \mathbf{P}_o(\bigcup_{r=R_n}^{n^2} \text{cut}_r) \ge c > 0$ for $n > R$; since $|Q_n|$ ≤ cn^{2d} , Corollary [2.3](#page-5-0) implies that $\sigma(\Phi_\infty)$ coincides with $\mathcal I$ mod 0. \Box

It is not too hard to extend the above proof to all μ with finite support. We leave this as an exercise to the reader who wishes to better understand the method. A full proof of a more general result is given for Theorem [5.1.](#page-19-0)

As a further illustration of the usefulness of Corollary [2.3,](#page-5-0) we prove a conjecture of [Sava](#page-27-12) [\(2010a\)](#page-27-12). First we remark that the notion of Poisson boundary extends to all Markov chains, and criteria such as Corollary [2.3](#page-5-0) extend to the setting of transitive Markov chains: see [Kaimanovich and Woess](#page-26-20) [\(2002\)](#page-26-20) for the required analogues of Theorem [2.1](#page-5-1) and equation [\(2.1\)](#page-5-3), or see [Lyons and Peres](#page-27-13) [\(2016\)](#page-27-13), Proposition 14.34 and Theorem 14.35.

Now consider the d-regular tree, \mathbb{T}_d , and fix an end ξ of \mathbb{T}_d . The group of graph automorphisms that preserve ξ is known as the *affine group of* \mathbb{T}_d ; it acts transitively on the vertex set, $V(\mathbb{T}_d)$. Fix some vertex $o \in V(\mathbb{T}_d)$. There is a horodistance function $d_{\xi}: V(\mathbb{T}_d) \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $d_{\xi}(o) = 0$ and $d_{\xi}(x) = d_{\xi}(y) + 1$ when y is the parent of x (the unique neighbor of x in the direction of ξ). The affine group preserves differences of values of the horodistance function.

Let $\mathfrak L$ be a nontrivial finite group. We consider Markov chains $\langle \widehat{X}_n : n > 1 \rangle =$ $\langle (\Phi_n, X_n) : n \rangle$ on the state space

$$
\mathfrak{L} \wr \mathbb{T}_d := \{ (\phi, x) \; ; \; \phi \in \mathfrak{L}^{V(\mathbb{T}_d)}, \; | \text{lit } \phi | < \infty, \; x \in V(\mathbb{T}_d) \}
$$

that change lamps only in a bounded neighborhood of the current location, make only bounded jumps in the base \mathbb{T}_d , and whose transition probabilities are invariant under the diagonal action of the affine group. Write R for the maximum distance in \mathbb{T}_d from the current location that one step of the Markov chain can move or at which one step of the Markov chain can change the lamps.

[Sava](#page-27-12) [\(2010a\)](#page-27-12) conjectured the following Theorem [3.2.](#page-8-1) She proved that it holds when $\mathbf{E}[d_{\xi}(X_1)] \neq 0$ (indeed, with $R < \infty$ replaced by a first moment condition) or when $\langle X_n \rangle$ is a nearest-neighbor random walk that can change lamps only at the location of the lamplighter.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\langle \widehat{X}_n \rangle$ be a Markov chain that is invariant under the affine group of \mathbb{T}_d *such that* $R < \infty$ *and the random walk projected to the base* \mathbb{T}_d *is not constant. Then the Poisson boundary of* $\langle \widehat{X}_n \rangle$ *is* $\mathfrak{L}^{V(\mathbb{T}_d)}$ *endowed with the law of* Φ_{∞} *.*

Proof. We may assume that $\mathbf{E}[d_{\xi}(X_1)] = 0$. [Cartwright, Kaimanovich, and Woess](#page-25-14) [\(1994\)](#page-25-14) proved that $\langle X_n \rangle$ converges to ξ a.s. Let ξ_n be the ξ -ancestor of o with $d_{\xi}(\xi_n) = -n$. Define the cone $C_n := \{x : \xi_n$ is an ancestor of x $\}.$

The case when $\langle X_n \rangle$ is a nearest-neighbor random walk is somewhat simpler for our method: To see how it follows from Corollary [2.3,](#page-5-0) let $Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})$ be the singleton $\{(\phi_n, \xi_n)\}\$, where $\phi_n(y) = \phi_\infty(y)$ for $y \in C_n$ and $\phi_n(y) = id$ otherwise. Let $\alpha := \mathbf{P}_x[\forall j \geq 1 \ X_j \neq x]$; this does not depend on x by transitivity and is positive by transience. With P_{ID}-probability 1, there will be some random smallest time $m \ge n$ such that $X_m = \xi_n$. For this time m, the chance that $X_i \notin C_n$ for all $j > m$ is equal to α by the strong Markov property. Therefore,

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{ID}}[\exists m \ge n \ \widetilde{X}_m \in Q_{n,\epsilon}(\Phi_\infty)] \ge \alpha > 0,
$$

as desired.

For the general case, let τ_n be the first exit time of C_n ($n \ge 0$). Let K_n be the ball of radius R about ξ_n . By transience, for each $x \in K_0$, there is some time $t_x \geq 0$ such that $P_x[\forall s \ge t_x \ X_s \notin K_0] > 1/2$. Choosing $t_{\max} := \max_{x \in K_0} t_x$ gives a time such that $P_x [\forall s \ge t_{\text{max}} \ X_s \notin K_0] > 1/2$ for all $x \in K_0$. Before time τ_n , a lamp can be changed only in $C_n \cup K_n$. Let A_n be the ball of radius $R(t_{\text{max}} + 1)$ about ξ_n . Then at times in [τ_n , τ_n + t_{max}], the lamplighter must stay in A_n and the changes of lamps must be entirely within A_n . We may define $Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_\infty)$ to consist of those (ϕ_n, x_n) such that $x_n \in A_{R_n}$ and such that

$$
\phi_n(y) = \begin{cases} \phi_\infty(y) & \text{if } y \in C_{Rn} \setminus A_{Rn}, \\ \text{id} & \text{if } y \notin C_{Rn} \cup K_{Rn} \cup A_{Rn}. \end{cases}
$$

Then $Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})$ is of bounded size and $P_{ID}[\exists m \ge n \ \widehat{X}_m \in Q_{n,\epsilon}(\Phi_{\infty})] \ge 1/2$.

Our last illustration of the enhanced criterion Corollary [2.3](#page-5-0) identifies the Poisson boundary when the projection of μ on the base group Λ does *not* generate Λ as a *semigroup*. Our proof in this case works for all nontrivial lamp groups and all countably infinite base groups, not necessarily finitely generated. We will not, however, need to use this result in our later proofs.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathfrak L$ be a nontrivial group and Λ be an infinite group. Let μ be a proba*bility measure of finite entropy on* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ *whose support generates* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ (*as a group*) *and is concentrated on* $\{(\delta^s, o) : s \in \mathfrak{L}\} \cup \{(\mathbf{ID}, x) : x \in \Lambda\}$. If the projection μ_{base} of μ on Λ has support that does not generate Λ as a semigroup, then the Poisson boundary of $(\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda, \mu)$ *is* \mathfrak{L}^{Λ} *endowed with the law of* Φ_{∞} *.*

The basic idea of the proof is that the random walk on the base group has infinitely many cut times.

Proof. Let Δ denote the semigroup generated by the support of μ_{base} , including o. Then Δ^{-1} is also a semigroup, as is $\Delta' := \Delta \cap \Delta^{-1}$. Because $\Delta \cup \Delta^{-1}$ generates Λ as a semigroup, $\Delta' \neq \Delta$ and $\alpha := \mathbf{P}[Y_1 \notin \Delta'] > 0$. Let $\{t : Y_t \notin \Delta'\}$ be listed as $\langle \tau_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ in increasing order. Note that for $x \in \Delta$ and $y \in \Delta \setminus \Delta^{-1}$, we have $xy\Delta \subset \Delta \setminus \Delta^{-1}$. Therefore, $X_{\tau_{n+1}} \Delta \subset X_{\tau_n}(\Delta \setminus \Delta^{-1}) \subsetneq X_{\tau_n} \Delta$. Furthermore, if $x \in (\Delta')^k$ for some $k \geq 0$, then $\Delta = x \Delta$ because $x \in \Delta'$. That is, we have a decreasing sequence

$$
\Delta = X_0 \Delta = X_1 \Delta = \cdots = X_{\tau_1 - 1} \Delta \supsetneq X_{\tau_1} \Delta = \cdots = X_{\tau_2 - 1} \Delta \supsetneq X_{\tau_2} \Delta = \cdots.
$$

Given $x, y \in \Delta$, write $x \approx y$ if $x\Delta = y\Delta$, and $x \prec y$ if $x\Delta \supseteq y\Delta$. Write $x \preceq y$ if $x \approx y$ or $x \prec y$. Then for every *n*, we see that $s, t \in [\tau_n, \tau_{n+1})$ implies $X_s \approx X_t$, whereas if $s < \tau_n \leq t$, then $X_s \prec X_t$.

Recall that lit ϕ denotes the set of lit lamps, $\{x \in \Lambda : \phi(x) \neq id\}$, of $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}$. Define the stopping times $\sigma_n := \inf\{t : |\{s \le t : X_s \in \text{lit}(\Phi_\infty)\}| \ge n, X_t \in \text{lit}(\Phi_\infty),\}$ $X_{t-1} \prec X_t$; then necessarily $\sigma_n \geq n$. Then $\mathbf{P}[X_{\sigma_n} \prec X_{\sigma_n+1}] \geq \alpha$. On the event $[X_{\sigma_n} \prec X_{\sigma_n+1}]$, we have $\Phi_{\sigma_n}(x) = \Phi_\infty(x)$ for all $x \in \{X_s : s \le \sigma_n\}$ and $\Phi_{\sigma_n}(x) = id$ for all other $x \in \Gamma$; also, $X_t \approx X_{\sigma_n}$ only for $t = \sigma_n$ on that event.

Let $\phi_{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}$ be a possible limiting lamp configuration. For every $x, y \in \text{lit}(\phi_{\infty})$, exactly one of the following holds: $x \approx y$, $x \prec y$, or $y \prec x$, because x and y lie in the trace of the random walk on Λ . Define $Q_n(\phi_\infty)$ to be the set of all (ϕ_n, x) such that

- (i) $x \in \text{lit}(\phi_{\infty}),$
- (ii) $|\{y \preceq x : y \in \text{lit}(\phi_{\infty})\}| \geq n$,
- (iii) if $y \in \text{lit}(\phi_{\infty})$ and $y \approx x$, then $y = x$,
- (iv) if $\text{lit}(\phi_{\infty}) \ni z \prec x$ and $|\{y \precsim z : y \in \text{lit}(\phi_{\infty})\}| \geq n$, then there is some $w \approx z$ with $w \neq z$ and $w \in \text{lit}(\phi_{\infty}),$

and

(v)
$$
\phi_n(y) = \begin{cases} \phi_\infty(y) & \text{if } y \preceq x \text{ and } y \in \text{lit}(\phi_\infty), \\ \text{id} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

We have shown that $P[\exists m \ge n \ \widehat{X}_m \in Q_n(\Phi_\infty)] \ge P[\widehat{X}_{\sigma_n} \in Q_n(\Phi_\infty)] \ge \alpha$. In addition, $|O_n(\Phi_\infty)| \le 1$. Thus, the theorem follows from Corollary 2.3. $|Q_n(\Phi_\infty)| \leq 1$. Thus, the theorem follows from Corollary [2.3.](#page-5-0)

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) comes in three parts: one part handles base groups Λ that have at least cubic growth and are Liouville for the projected walk (Theorem [4.6\)](#page-11-0); one handles base groups of less than cubic growth (Theorem [4.7\)](#page-14-0); and the last handles the rest (Theorem [4.8\)](#page-16-0). In fact, Theorem [4.6](#page-11-0) also handles some other cases; the reader interested in those cases can thereby find a proof that is simpler than the one that uses all three theorems. We will write "with high probability" to mean "with probability tending to 1 as $n \to \infty$ ".

For ease in following our proofs, we will assume that μ is concentrated on $\{(\delta^s, o)$; $s \in \mathcal{L} \cup \{(\mathbf{ID}, x) : x \in \Lambda\}$. It will be easy to see that the same proofs—indeed, with simplifications—extend to all μ whose support is finite and generates $\mathfrak{L}\wr\Lambda$. The extension to μ with bounded lamp range involves merely technical complications.

We begin with five short lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. If $k \leq n/3$, then $\sum_{j=0}^{k} {n \choose j}$ j^{n}) $\leq 2(ne/k)^{k}$.

Proof. Since $k! \ge (k/e)^k$ by Stirling's inequality (p. 54 of [Feller](#page-25-15) [\(1968\)](#page-25-15)), we have $\binom{n}{k}$ $\binom{n}{k}$ \leq $(ne/k)^{k}$. Since $\binom{n}{j+1} \geq 2\binom{n}{j}$ j^{n} for $j \leq n/3$, the result follows by comparison with a geometric series. \Box

The following theorem of Shannon is well known and easy to prove via the weak law of large numbers (e.g., [Cover and Thomas](#page-25-16) [\(2006\)](#page-25-16), Theorem 3.1.2).

Lemma 4.2. *If* π *is a discrete distribution on a set* S *with entropy* $H(\pi)$ *and* $Y_n \sim \pi$ *are independent, then there are sets* $\Lambda_n \subseteq S^n$ $(n \ge 1)$ *such that* $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \log|\Lambda_n| =$ $H(\pi)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} P[(Y_1,\ldots,Y_n)\in\Lambda_n]=1.$

Write dist(x, y) for the distance between x and y in some Cayley graph of Γ , and $V_{\Gamma}(r)$ for the number of points within distance r of the identity, **o**. Let $B(x, r)$ denote the ball of radius r about x . The following lemma is well known in cases such as symmetric simple random walk, due to celebrated results of Varopoulos; see, e.g., Corollary 7.3 of [Coulhon, Grigor'yan, and Pittet](#page-25-17) [\(2001\)](#page-25-17) or, for a short proof, Corollary 6.6 of [Lyons and](#page-27-14) [Oveis Gharan](#page-27-14) [\(2018\)](#page-27-14). It is easily deduced for nonsymmetric random walks from known results, but for completeness, we include this derivation.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\langle \Xi_n \rangle$ be a μ -walk on a group Γ that satisfies $V_{\Gamma}(r) \geq c r^d$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. *Assume that the support of* μ *generates* Γ *and that* $\mu(\mathbf{o}) \geq 1/2$ *. Then* $p_t(\mathbf{o}, x) \leq ct^{-d/2}$ *for all* $t \geq 1$ *and all* $x \in \Gamma$ *.*

Proof. Let P be the transition matrix for the μ -walk and \hat{P} denote its transpose, which is the transition matrix for another random walk. Since the support of μ generates Γ and $\mu(\mathbf{o}) > 0$, some power P^j has the property that $(P^j + \widehat{P}^j)/2$ is irreducible. Thus, for such j, we have $\alpha := \min\{p_j(x, y) + \widehat{p}_j(x, y) ; x \sim y\} > 0$. It is well known that $\sum_{x \in S, y \notin S} p_j(x, y) = \sum_{x \in S, y \notin S} \widehat{p}_j(x, y)$ for all finite $S \subset \Gamma$ (e.g., see [Morris and Peres](#page-27-15) [\(2005\)](#page-27-15)). Since the sum of these two quantities is at least α {{(x, y) ; $x \in S$, $y \notin S$ }, it follows that $\sum_{x \in S, y \notin S} p_j(x, y) \ge \alpha |\{(x, y) : x \in S, y \notin S\}|/2$. Now the result follows from the isoperimetric inequality of [Coulhon and Saloff-Coste](#page-25-18) [\(1993\)](#page-25-18) and Corollary 6.32(i) of [Lyons and Peres](#page-27-13) [\(2016\)](#page-27-13). \square **Lemma 4.4.** *For every symmetric, transient* μ -walk on a group Γ *,*

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sup_{x \in \Gamma} p_t(\mathbf{0}, x) < \infty.
$$

Proof. It is well known that for even t, we have $p_t(\mathbf{o}, x) \leq p_t(\mathbf{o}, \mathbf{o})$. Choose y with $\mu(y) > 0$. For odd t, we have $p_t(\mathbf{0}, x) \leq p_{t+1}(\mathbf{0}, xy)/p(x, xy) \leq p_{t+1}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})/\mu(y)$. Thus, the result follows from $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t(\mathbf{o}, \mathbf{o}) < \infty$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\langle \Xi_n \rangle$ be a random walk on Γ . Let $0 \leq k < m$. Suppose that M is a *random subset of* Γ *that is measurable with respect to* $\langle \Xi_1, \ldots, \Xi_k \rangle$ *. Then*

$$
\mathbf{P}[\Xi_m \in \mathcal{M}] \leq \mathbf{E}[|\mathcal{M}|] \sup_{x \in \Gamma} p_{m-k}(\mathbf{0}, x).
$$

If $M = B(\Xi_k, r)$ *, then*

$$
\mathbf{P}[\Xi_m \in \mathcal{M}] = \sum_{x \in B(\mathbf{0},r)} p_{m-k}(\mathbf{0},x).
$$

Proof. For each $y \in \Gamma$, we have

$$
\mathbf{P}[\Xi_m = y \mid \Xi_1, \ldots, \Xi_k] = p_{m-k}(\mathbf{o}, \Xi_k^{-1} y) \le \sup_{x \in \Gamma} p_{m-k}(\mathbf{o}, x).
$$

Summing over $y \in M$ and then taking expectation gives the first result. In the second case, we use instead the identity

$$
\mathbf{P}[\Xi_m \in \mathcal{M} \mid \Xi_1, \ldots, \Xi_k] = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{M}} p_{m-k}(\mathbf{0}, \Xi_k^{-1} y) = \sum_{x \in B(\mathbf{0}, r)} p_{m-k}(\mathbf{0}, x). \square
$$

Theorem 4.6. Let $\mathfrak L$ be a nontrivial finite group and Λ be a finitely generated, infinite *group. Let* μ *be a probability measure of finite entropy on* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ whose support generates $\mathcal{L} \wr \Lambda$ and is concentrated on $\{(\delta^s, o) : s \in \mathcal{L}\} \cup \{(\mathbf{ID}, x) : x \in \Lambda\}$. Suppose that the *projection* μ_{base} *of* μ *on* Λ *is Liouville and generates a transient random walk. If any one of the following conditions holds, then the Poisson boundary of* $(\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda, \mu)$ *is* \mathfrak{L}^{Λ} *endowed with the law of* Φ_{∞} *:*

- (a) *the measure* μ_{base} *is symmetric; or*
- (b) *the group* Λ *has at least cubic growth; or*
- (c) *the group* Λ *is abelian.*

A rough sketch of the proof follows. Since $\langle X_n \rangle$ is Liouville, its asymptotic entropy is 0, whence there is some t_0 such that $H(X_{t_0}) < \epsilon t_0$. Lemma [4.2](#page-10-0) converts this to a likely set of fewer than $e^{\epsilon n}$ possibilities for $S := \langle X_{jt_0} : j \leq n/t_0 \rangle$. For a large ρ , partially obscure the increments $\langle Y_k : 1 \le k \le n \rangle$ by replacing those Y_k that satisfy $|Y_k| \le \rho$ by *, to mean "unknown"; the resulting sequence $U \in (\Lambda \cup \{*\})^n$ has small entropy, so we again have a collection of size $\langle e^{\epsilon n} \rangle$ containing likely values U of the partially obscured increments. In this way, we guess the large jumps and bound the others. Knowing S and U, we define the set $M_i(S, U)$ of possible values for $\{X_i : (i - 1)t_0 < j \leq it_0\}$, and

 $|M_i(S, U)| \leq t_0 V_{\Lambda}(\rho)^{t_0}$. In most locations $y \in M_i(S, U)$, we have $\Phi_n(y) = \Phi_{\infty}(y)$, and we can bound the number of possibilities for $\Phi_n(y)$ for the other y.

Proof of Theorem [4.6.](#page-11-0) Since $H(X_1) < \infty$ and the walk on Λ is Liouville, we have $H(X_n) = o(n)$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose t_0 so that $H(X_{t_0}) < \epsilon t_0$. For $n \in t_0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^+$, set $s_n := n/t_0$. Write $S := \langle X_{jt_0} : 1 \le j \le s_n \rangle$. Applying Lemma [4.2](#page-10-0) to the t_0 -step increments $X_{jt_0}^{-1}$ $\int_{j_{t_0}}^{-1} X_{(j+1)t_0}$ yields a set $\mathbf{S}_n \subseteq \Lambda^{s_n}$ with $\log |\mathbf{S}_n| < \epsilon t_0 s_n = \epsilon n$ and $\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{S}_n] \to 1$.

Write

$$
u_{\rho}(x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } \text{dist}(o, x) > \rho, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (4.1)

Recall that $\langle Y_k \rangle$ are the increments of the random walk on Λ . Choose ρ so that $H(u_0(Y_1))$ $< \epsilon$.

Write $\mathcal{U} := \{u_\rho(Y_k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. By Lemma [4.2,](#page-10-0) there is a set $\mathbf{U}_n \subseteq (\Lambda \cup \{*\})^n$ with $\log |U_n| < \epsilon n$ and $P[\mathcal{U} \in U_n] \to 1$. For each $U \in U_n$ and $0 \le j_1 < j_2 \le n$, define $L(U, j_1, j_2) \subset \Lambda$ to be the set of possible values of $X_{j_1}^{-1}$ $j_1^{-1}X_{j_2}$ that are consistent with $U = U$. That is, let

$$
Z_k := \begin{cases} Y_k & \text{if } |Y_k| > \rho, \\ B(o, \rho) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

and define

$$
L(U, j_1, j_2) := \prod_{j_1 < k \le j_2} Z_k := Z_{j_1 + 1} Z_{j_1 + 2} \cdots Z_{j_2}.
$$
\n(4.2)

When Λ is abelian, $L(U, j_1, j_2)$ is a ball of radius at most $\rho(j_2 - j_1)$. More generally,

$$
|L(U, j_1, j_2)| \leq V_{\Lambda}(\rho)^{j_2 - j_1} \leq V_{\Lambda}(\rho)^{t_0}.
$$

Given $S = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{s_n} \rangle$ and $1 \le i \le s_n$, write

$$
M_i(S, U) := \bigcup_{j=(i-1)t_0+1}^{it_0} x_{i-1}L(U, (i-1)t_0, j),
$$

where $x_0 := o$, for the set of possible values of $\{X_i : (i - 1)t_0 < j \leq it_0\}$ that are consistent with $S = S$ and $\mathcal{U} = U$. Thus, $\bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n]} M_i(S, U)$ contains all possible values of X_i for $0 < i \leq n$ that are consistent with $\mathcal{S} = S$ and $\mathcal{U} = U$; outside this set, every lamp must be the identity at time n . Inside this set, the lamp at time n takes the same value as at time ∞ except possibly at those locations that are visited after time *n*.

Thus, let $W := \{i \in [1, s_n] : \exists m > n \ X_m \in M_i(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{U})\}$. At the end of the last paragraph, we observed that

$$
\Phi_n(z) = \begin{cases} \Phi_\infty(z) & \text{for } z \in \bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n] \setminus \mathcal{W}} M_i(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{U}), \\ \text{id} & \text{for } z \notin \bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n]} M_i(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{U}). \end{cases}
$$

Choose $a_n := \sqrt{\mathbf{E}[|\mathcal{W}|]n}$. Write $A_n := | \mathcal{W} | \le a_n$. We claim that in all three cases (a)–(c), $\lim_{n\to\infty} E[|\mathcal{W}|]/n = 0$, whence $\lim_{n\to\infty} E[|\mathcal{W}|]/a_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n/n = 0$. Once we establish that, we may deduce that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) = 1$ by Markov's inequality.

In order to show our claim, apply Lemma [4.5](#page-11-1) to see that for $m > n$, $1 \le i \le s_n$, and $(i - 1)t_0 < j \leq it_0$

$$
\mathbf{P}[X_m \in X_{(i-1)t_0} L(\mathcal{U}, (i-1)t_0, j)] \le \mathbf{E}[|L(\mathcal{U}, (i-1)t_0, j)|] \sup_{x \in \Lambda} p_{m-j}(o, x)
$$

$$
\le V_{\Lambda}(\rho)^{t_0} \sup_{x \in \Lambda} p_{m-j}(o, x).
$$

By virtue of Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 , in cases (a) and (b) we have

$$
\alpha_{\ell} := V_{\Lambda}(\rho)^{t_0} \sum_{k > \ell} \sup_{x \in \Lambda} p_k(o, x) \to 0
$$

as $\ell \to \infty$. On the other hand, in case (c), $X_{(i-1)t_0}L(\mathcal{U}, (i-1)t_0, j)$ is a ball of radius at most ρt_0 that contains X_j , whence $X_{(i-1)t_0L}(\mathcal{U}, (i-1)t_0, j) \subseteq B(X_j, 2\rho t_0)$. Thus, in case (c), it follows from Lemma [4.5](#page-11-1) that for $m > n$, $1 \le i \le s_n$, and $(i - 1)t_0 < j \le it_0$,

$$
\mathbf{P}[X_m \in X_{(i-1)t_0} L(\mathcal{U}, (i-1)t_0, j)] \leq \sum_{|x| \leq 2\rho t_0} p_{m-j}(o, x).
$$

In this case, transience guarantees that

$$
\alpha_{\ell} := \sum_{k > \ell} \sum_{|x| \le 2\rho t_0} p_k(o, x) \to 0
$$

as $\ell \to \infty$. Therefore, in all three cases,

$$
\mathbf{E}[|\mathcal{W}|] \le \sum_{j \le n} \sum_{m>n} \mathbf{P}[X_m \in X_{(\lceil j/t_0 \rceil - 1)t_0} L(\mathcal{U}, (\lceil j/t_0 \rceil - 1)t_0, j)]
$$

$$
\le \sum_{j \le n} \alpha_{n-j} = \sum_{j \le n} \alpha_j = o(n)
$$

as $n \to \infty$, as claimed.

Let $\phi_{\infty} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda}$ be a possible limiting lamp configuration. For $n \in t_0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^+$, define $Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})$ to be the set of all (ϕ_n, x) such that there are U, S, and W satisfying

(i) $U \in U_n$, (ii) $S = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_{s_n} \rangle \in S_n$ with $x_{s_n} = x$, (iii) $W \subseteq [1, s_n]$ with $|W| \le a_n$,

and

(iv)
$$
\phi_n(z) = \begin{cases} \phi_{\infty}(z) & \text{for } z \in \bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n] \setminus W} M_i(S, U), \\ \text{id} & \text{for } z \notin \bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n]} M_i(S, U). \end{cases}
$$

We have established that U, S, and W satisfy (i)–(iv) with high probability as choices for U, S, and W, respectively, when $\phi_{\infty} = \Phi_{\infty}$, $\phi_n = \Phi_n$, and $x = X_n$, and thus $\lim_{t_0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni n \to \infty} P[\widehat{X}_n \in Q_{n,\epsilon}(\Phi_\infty)] = 1$. To establish the theorem, in light of Corol-lary [2.2,](#page-5-2) it suffices to show that $|Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})| < e^{2\epsilon n + o(n)}$ because ϵ was arbitrary.

By definition, the number of choices of $U \in \mathbf{U}_n$ is at most $e^{\epsilon n}$ and the number of choices of $S \in S_n$ is at most $e^{\epsilon n}$. For large *n*, the number of choices of W is at most $2\left(\frac{n}{a_n}e^{a_n}\right)^{a_n} = e^{o(n)}$ by Lemma [4.1.](#page-10-2) Note that $|M_i(S, U)| \le t_0 V_{\Lambda}(\rho)^{t_0}$. Thus, given S and W, the number of choices of ϕ_n is at most $|\mathfrak{L}|^{|W|t_0V_{\Lambda}(\rho)^{t_0}} \leq |\mathfrak{L}|^{t_0V_{\Lambda}(\rho)^{t_0}a_n} = e^{o(n)}$. Therefore, $|Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})| < e^{2\epsilon n + o(n)}$, as desired.

In order to handle the case of base groups with less than cubic growth, we modify the preceding proof in a couple of ways. By [Gromov](#page-26-21) [\(1981\)](#page-26-21), all such groups are finite extensions of \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Theorem 4.7. Let $\mathfrak L$ be a nontrivial finite group and Λ be a finitely generated, infinite *group with an abelian subgroup* Z *of finite index. Let* µ *be a probability measure of finite entropy on* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ *whose support generates* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ *and is concentrated on* $\{(\delta^s, o)$; $s \in \mathcal{L}$ } \cup {(**ID**, x); $x \in \Lambda$ }. If the projection μ_{base} of μ on Λ generates a transient random *walk, then the Poisson boundary of* $(\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda, \mu)$ *is* \mathfrak{L}^{Λ} *endowed with the law of* Φ_{∞} *.*

The idea of the proof is to use the commutativity of Z to further specify the possible positions of the base walk in the first n steps, beyond what the previous proof accomplished. The aim is to pay most attention when the base walk lies in Z. When the base walk moves far during an excursion between visits to Z, we will specify exactly the increments during an entire such excursion. With "far" having a sufficiently large threshold, such specification can be done with a collection of size $\epsilon e^{\epsilon n}$ of likely values. There are extra difficulties because the times when the base walk lies in Z are random, but since we need to know only relatively few of them, we can choose a possible set of such times with small exponential growth.

Proof of Theorem [4.7.](#page-14-0) Note that if τ is a stopping time, then

$$
H(\langle Y_t ; 1 \leq t \leq \tau \rangle) = \mathbf{E} \Big[\sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \log \mu(Y_t) \Big] = \mathbf{E}[\tau] \, \mathbf{E}[\log \mu(Y_1)] = \mathbf{E}[\tau] \, H(Y_1).
$$

In particular, this is finite when $\mathbf{E}[\tau] < \infty$.

Since $H(X_1) < \infty$ and the μ_{base} -walk on Λ is necessarily Liouville, we find that $H(X_n) = o(n)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose t_0 so that $H(X_{t_0}) < \epsilon t_0$. For $n \in t_0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^+$, set $s_n :=$ n/t_0 . Write $S := \langle X_{jt_0} : 1 \le j \le s_n \rangle$. Applying Lemma [4.2](#page-10-0) to the t_0 -step increments X_{it}^{-1} $j_{t0}^{-1}X_{(j+1)t_0}$ yields a set $S_n \subseteq \Lambda^{s_n}$ with $\log |S_n| < \epsilon t_0 s_n = \epsilon n$ and $P[S \in S_n] \to 1$. For a sequence $\overline{x} = \langle x_i ; 1 \le i \le t \rangle$, write

$$
dist_{\max}(\overline{x}) := \max \{dist(o, x_j) ; 1 \le j \le t \}.
$$

Write

$$
u_{\rho}(\overline{x}) := \begin{cases} \overline{x} & \text{if } \text{dist}_{\text{max}}(\overline{x}) > \rho, \\ \ast & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Let $\tau_Z(k)$ be the time of the kth visit of the μ_{base} -walk to Z, with $\tau_Z(0) := 0$. Because [Λ : Z] is finite, $\mathbf{E}[\tau_Z(1)] < \infty$. Abbreviate the sequence $\langle X_{\tau_Z(k-1)}^{-1} X_j : \tau_Z(k-1)$ $j \leq \tau_Z(k)$ as \overline{X}_k . Thus, \overline{X}_k are IID with finite entropy by our first paragraph. Choose ρ so that $H(u_\rho(\overline{X}_1)) < \epsilon$. We may also assume that dist(x, Z) $\leq \rho$ for all $x \in \Lambda$.

Write $\mathcal{U} := \langle u_{\rho}(\overline{X}_k) : 1 \leq k \leq n \rangle$. Write $\Lambda^{<\infty}$ for the set of finite sequences of elements from Λ . By Lemma [4.2,](#page-10-0) there is a set $\mathbf{U}_n \subseteq (\Lambda^{<\infty} \cup \{*\})^n$ with $\log|\mathbf{U}_n| < \epsilon n$ and $P[\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}_n] \rightarrow 1$.

The times $\tau_Z(k)$ for which $u_0(\overline{X}_k) \neq *$ form a renewal process. Let T be the set of such renewal times $\leq n$. The long-term rate α_{ρ} of renewals tends to 0 as $\rho \to \infty$. Let T_n be the collection of subsets of $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ with size at most $2\alpha_{\rho}n$. We have $P[\mathcal{T} \in T_n] \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. By Lemma [4.1,](#page-10-2) for sufficiently large ρ , the size of T_n is less than $e^{\epsilon n}$ for all large n. Without loss of generality, we may assume that ρ is that large. Let also \mathcal{T}' be the set of times $\tau_Z(k-1) \leq n$ for which $u_\rho(\overline{X}_k) \neq *$. Because $|T'| \leq |T| + 2$, we also have $P[T' \in T_n] \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Write T''_n for the set of pairs $(T, T') \in T_n \times T_n$ that are possible values of (T, T') : that is, they must interleave with the minimum coming from T' .

Observe that $(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U})$ determines X_j for $j \in [1, s_n]$ to and also for $(i - 1)t_0 \leq$ $j \leq \tau_{\mathsf{Z}}(k)$ when $\tau_{\mathsf{Z}}(k-1) \leq (i-1)t_0$ and $u_{\rho}(\overline{X}_k) \neq *$, where $1 \leq i \leq s_n$. For all other $j \leq n$, that quadruple forces X_j to lie in a ball of radius 3 ρt_0 about some point $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ that is measurable with respect to $(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U})$. Indeed, fix a map $\zeta : \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that dist(x, $\zeta(x) \leq \rho$. Let $j \in [(i-1)t_0, it_0)$. Define k by $\tau_Z(k-1) < (i-1)t_0 \leq \tau_Z(k)$. Suppose first that $j \leq \tau_Z(k)$. In case $u_\rho(\overline{X}_k) \neq *$, X_j is determined by $(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U})$, so we may take $\beta_j := \zeta(X_j)$, whereas if $u_\rho(\overline{X}_k) = *$, then $X_j \in B(\zeta(X_{(i-1)t_0}), 2\rho)$, so we may take $\beta_j := \zeta(X_{(i-1)t_0})$. Suppose next that $j > \tau_Z(k)$. Write ξ for the product of $X_{\tau_Z(\ell-1)}^{-1} X_{\tau_Z(\ell)}$ over all $\ell > k$ with $\tau_Z(\ell) \leq j$ and $u_\rho(\overline{X}_{\ell}) \neq *$. Because Z is abelian, $X_j \in B(X_{\tau_Z(k)}\xi, \rho t_0)$ and $X_{\tau_Z(k)}\xi$ is $(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U})$ -measurable in case $u_\rho(\overline{X}_k) \neq *,$ so we may take $\beta_j := \zeta(X_{\tau_Z(k)})$, and $X_j \in B(\zeta(X_{(i-1)t_0})\xi, 2\rho + \rho t_0)$ and $X_{(i-1)t_0}\xi$ is $(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U})$ -measurable in the other case, so we may take $\beta_j := \zeta(X_{(i-1)t_0})\xi$.

For each $S \in S_n$, $(T, T') \in T''_n$, $U \in U_n$, $i \in [1, s_n]$, and $j \in ((i - 1)t_0, it_0]$, define $L(S, T, T', U, j) \subset \Lambda$ to be the set of possible values of X_j that are consistent with $S = S$, $T = T$, $T' = T'$, and $U = U$. The preceding paragraph established that $L(S, T, T', U, j)$ is contained in a ball of radius $3\rho t_0$. Write

$$
M_i(S, T, T', U) := \bigcup_{j=(i-1)t_0+1}^{it_0} L(S, T, T', U, j).
$$

Thus, $\bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n]} M_i(S, T, T', U)$ contains all possible values of X_j for $0 < j \le n$ that are consistent with $(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U}) = (S, T, T', \tilde{U})$; outside this set, every lamp must be the identity at time *n*. Inside this set, the lamp at time *n* takes the same value as at time ∞ except possibly at those locations that are visited after time n .

Thus, let $W := \{i \in [1, s_n] \; ; \; \exists m > n \; X_m \in M_i(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U})\}.$ At the end of the last paragraph, we observed that

$$
\Phi_n(z) = \begin{cases} \Phi_\infty(z) & \text{for } z \in \bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n] \setminus \mathcal{W}} M_i(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U}), \\ \text{id} & \text{for } z \notin \bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n]} M_i(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U}). \end{cases}
$$

Choose $a_n := \sqrt{\mathbf{E}[|\mathcal{W}|]n}$. Write $A_n := [|\mathcal{W}| \le a_n]$. We claim that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{E}[|\mathcal{W}|]/n$ $= 0$, whence $\lim_{n\to\infty}$ $\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{W}|]/a_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n/n = 0$. Once we establish that, we may deduce that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) = 1$ by Markov's inequality.

In order to show our claim, apply Lemma [4.5](#page-11-1) to see that for $m > n$, $1 \le i \le s_n$, and $(i-1)t_0 < j \leq it_0$, because $L(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U}, j) \subseteq B(X_j, 6\rho t_0)$,

$$
\mathbf{P}[X_m \in L(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U}, j)] \leq \sum_{|x| \leq 6\rho t_0} p_{m-j}(o, x).
$$

Because of transience,

$$
\alpha_{\ell} := \sum_{k > \ell} \sum_{|x| \le 6\rho t_0} p_k(o, x) \to 0
$$

as $\ell \to \infty$, whence

$$
\mathbf{E}[|\mathcal{W}|] \leq \sum_{j \leq n} \sum_{m > n} \mathbf{P}[X_m \in L(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U}, j)] \leq \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_{n-j} = \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_j = o(n)
$$

as $n \to \infty$, as claimed.

Let $\phi_{\infty} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda}$ be a possible limiting lamp configuration. For $n \in t_0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^+$, define $Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})$ to be the set of all (ϕ_n, x) such that there are S, T, T', U, and W satisfying

(i) $S = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_{s_n} \rangle \in S_n$ with $x_{s_n} = x$, (ii) $(T, T') \in T''_n$, (iii) $U \in U_n$, (iv) $W \subset [1, s_n]$ with $|W| < a_n$,

and

$$
\text{(v)}\ \phi_n(z) = \begin{cases} \phi_\infty(z) & \text{for } z \in \bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n] \setminus W} M_i(S, T, T', U), \\ \text{id} & \text{for } z \notin \bigcup_{i \in [1, s_n]} M_i(S, T, T', U). \end{cases}
$$

We have established that $(S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ satisfy (i)–(v) with high probability as a choice for (S, T, T', U, W) when $\phi_{\infty} = \Phi_{\infty}$, $\phi_n = \Phi_n$, and $x = X_n$, and thus

$$
\lim_{t_0\cdot\mathbb{Z}^+\ni n\to\infty}\mathbf{P}[\widehat{X}_n\in Q_{n,\epsilon}(\Phi_\infty)]=1.
$$

To establish the theorem via Corollary [2.2,](#page-5-2) it suffices to show that $|Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})| < e^{4\epsilon n + o(n)}$ since ϵ was arbitrary.

By definition, $|\mathbf{S}_n| < e^{\epsilon n}$, $|\mathbf{T}_n''| < e^{2\epsilon n}$, and $|\mathbf{U}_n| < e^{\epsilon n}$. For large *n*, the number of choices of W is at most $2(\frac{n}{a_n}e)^{a_n} = e^{o(n)}$ by Lemma [4.1.](#page-10-2) Note that $|M_i(S, T, T', U)| \le$ $t_0 V_{\Lambda}(3 \rho t_0)$. Thus, given (S, T, T', U, W) , the number of choices of ϕ_n is at most

$$
|\mathfrak{L}|^{|W|t_0V_{\Lambda}(3\rho t_0)} \leq |\mathfrak{L}|^{t_0V_{\Lambda}(3\rho t_0)a_n} = e^{o(n)}.
$$

Therefore, $|Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})| < e^{4\epsilon n + o(n)}$, as desired.

Theorem 4.8. Let \mathcal{L} be a nontrivial finite or countable group and Λ be a countably *infinite group. Let* μ *be a probability measure of finite entropy on* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ *whose support generates* $\mathcal{L} \wr \Lambda$ *and that is concentrated on* $\{(\delta^s, o) : s \in \mathcal{L}\} \cup \{(\mathbf{ID}, x) : x \in \Lambda\}$ *. If* the projection of μ on Λ is non-Liouville, then the Poisson boundary of $(\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda, \mu)$ is \mathfrak{L}^Λ *endowed with the law of* Φ_{∞} *.*

A rough sketch of the proof follows. Let $\tau_x := \inf\{n ; X_n = x\}$. Let $\rho_{\Lambda}(x) :=$ $-\log P_0[\tau_x < \infty]$, the negative log of the probability that the projection of the μ walk to Λ , started at o , ever visits $x \in \Lambda$. Because the walk on Λ is non-Liouville, its Avez entropy is $h' > 0$. It is known that $n^{-1}\rho_{\Lambda}(X_n) \to h'$ a.s. Consider the sets $W(r) := \{x \in \Lambda : \rho_{\Lambda}(x) \le r\}$, the sizes of which will not concern us. Given $\epsilon > 0$, it is likely that for large *n*, we have $X_k \in W := W(nh'(1 + \epsilon))$ for all $k \leq n$ and also that $X_m \notin W$ for all $m > n(1 + 3\epsilon)$. At the same time, there is a reasonable chance that $\Phi_{\infty}(X_n) \neq id$. Thus, there is a reasonable chance that Φ_n agrees with Φ_{∞} on $W \setminus \{X_{n+1}, \ldots, X_{n(1+\epsilon)}\}$, and it is likely that $\Phi_n(z) = \text{id}$ for all $z \notin W$. Furthermore, there are likely fewer than $n(1 + 3\epsilon)$ locations $z \in W$ where $\Phi_{\infty}(z) \neq id$, whence it is likely, seeing Φ_{∞} , that there are not many possibilities for where X_n is. Finally, it is likely that \widehat{Y}_m for $n < m \le n(1+3\epsilon)$ belongs to a set of size $e^{c\epsilon n}$ (which does not depend on Φ_{∞}). From Φ_{∞} and these possibilities, we can thus likely deduce Φ_n .

Proof of Theorem [4.8.](#page-16-0) Let $h' > 0$ be the Avez entropy of the projection of the μ walk to Λ . By Proposition 6.2 of [Benjamini and Peres](#page-24-7) [\(1994\)](#page-24-7) in the symmetric case or Blachère, Haïssinsky, and Mathieu [\(2008\)](#page-24-8) in general, $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1}\rho_{\Lambda}(X_n) = h'$ a.s.; this result is also proved as Theorem 14.50 of [Lyons and Peres](#page-27-13) [\(2016\)](#page-27-13). Write $W(r) :=$ $\{x \in \Lambda : \rho_{\Lambda}(x) \leq r\}$. Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1/3)$. Let $W := W(nh'(1+\epsilon))$ and $W' :=$ $W(n(1+3\epsilon)h'(1-\epsilon))$. Since $(1+3\epsilon)(1-\epsilon) - (1+\epsilon) = \epsilon(1-3\epsilon) > 0$, it follows that $W' \supset W$.

Write $\mathcal{U} := \{\widehat{Y}_m : n < m \leq n+3\epsilon n\}$. By Lemma [4.2,](#page-10-0) there is a set $\mathbf{U}_n \subseteq (\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda)^{\lfloor 3\epsilon n \rfloor}$ with $\log |U_n| < 6\epsilon n H(\hat{X}_1)$ and $P[\mathcal{U} \in U_n] \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let A_n be the event that $X_k \in W$ for all $k \leq n$. Since every sequence $\langle r_n \rangle$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n/n = h'$ has the property that for all sufficiently large *n*, and all $k \le n$, we have $r_k < nh'(1 + \epsilon)$, it follows that $\lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n) = 1$. In addition, at any time, the walk may leave its current location with the lamp not equal to id, after one or two steps, and never return. Therefore, $\inf_n \mathbf{P}[\Phi_\infty(X_n) \neq \text{id}] > 0.$

Recall that lit ϕ denotes the set of lit lamps, $\{x \in \Lambda : \phi(x) \neq id\}$, of $\phi \in \mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda}$. Let D_n be the event that $X_m \notin W$ for all $m > n + 3\epsilon n$. Since $P[\forall m > n \ X_m \notin W]$ $W(n(1+3\epsilon)h'(1-\epsilon))$ \rightarrow 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $W(n(1+3\epsilon)h'(1-\epsilon)) = W' \supset W$, it follows that $P(D_n) \to 1$. On the event D_n , we have $|W \cap \text{lit } \Phi_\infty| \leq n + 3\epsilon n$. Now, the lamp at any $z \in W$ is changed at time $m \in (n, n + 3\epsilon n]$ by multiplying by $\Psi_m(X_{m-1}^{-1}z)$, whence the total change from what it was at time *n* due to the changes in U is $\prod_{m=n+1}^{n+1} \Psi_m(X_{m-1}^{-1}z)$. Therefore, on the event D_n , for every $z \in W$,

$$
\Phi_{\infty}(z) = \Phi_n(z) \prod_{m=n+1}^{n+1} \Psi_m(X_{m-1}^{-1}z).
$$

Let $\phi_{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}$. Define $Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})$ to be the set of all (ϕ_n, x) such that there is U satisfying

- (i) $U = \langle (\psi_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), \ldots, (\psi_{n+|\mathcal{S}_n|}, y_{n+|\mathcal{S}_n|}) \rangle \in \mathbf{U}_n$,
- (ii) $|W \cap \text{lit } \phi_{\infty}| \leq n + 3\epsilon n$,
- (iii) $x \in W \cap \text{lit } \phi_{\infty}$,

and

(iv) writing $z_m := x \prod_{j=n+1}^m y_j$ for $n \le m \le n + \lfloor 3\epsilon n \rfloor$ and

$$
\psi(z) := \prod_{m=n+1}^{n+1} \psi_m(z_{m-1}^{-1}z),
$$

we have

$$
\phi_n(z) = \begin{cases} \phi_\infty(z)\psi(z)^{-1} & \text{for } z \in W, \\ \text{id} & \text{for } z \notin W. \end{cases}
$$

Clearly using $U := \mathcal{U}$ satisfies (i) with high probability. We have proved that for $\phi_{\infty} = \Phi_{\infty}$ and $x = X_n$, the probability of (iii) is bounded away from 0. In addition, (ii) and (iv) hold on the event D_n , which is likely. Therefore, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} P[\widehat{X}_n \in Q_{n,\epsilon}(\Phi_\infty)] > 0$.

By assumption, the number of choices of $U \in U_n$ is at most $e^{6\epsilon nH(X_1)}$. The number of choices of x is at most 2n. Therefore, $|Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})| < e^{6\epsilon nH(\hat{H}_1)+o(n)}$. This completes the proof. \Box

Define $\zeta_n(x) := -\log P_0[\tau_x \leq n]$. We remark that one may use in the proof the more elementary fact that

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}-n^{-1}\zeta_n(X_n)=h'
$$

[\(Benjamini and Peres](#page-24-7) [\(1994\)](#page-24-7), proof of Proposition 6.2) in place of $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1}\rho_{\Lambda}(X_n)$ $= h'$.

Lastly, we explain why a recurrent base walk yields a Liouville measure. A group is called *Choquet–Deny* if every convolution walk on it is Liouville. [Frisch, Hartman,](#page-25-13) [Tamuz, and Vahidi Ferdowsi](#page-25-13) [\(2019\)](#page-25-13) prove that such groups are exactly those groups with no ICC quotients, where an *ICC* group is a nontrivial group all of whose elements other than the identity have infinite conjugacy classes.

Proposition 4.9. Let $\mathfrak L$ *be a Choquet–Deny group and* Λ *be a countable group. Let* μ *be a probability measure on* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ *whose support generates* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ *. If* μ_{base} *generates a recurrent random walk on* Λ *, then* $(\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda, \mu)$ *is Liouville.*

Proof. By the assumption that the μ_{base} -walk is recurrent, the subgroup Δ of elements of the form (Φ, o) is a recurrence set. Let v denote the probability measure giving the first return to Δ from the identity. Then the Poisson boundary of $(\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda, \mu)$ is isomorphic to that of (Δ, ν) by Lemma 4.2 of [Furstenberg](#page-26-0) [\(1971b\)](#page-26-0). Clearly, Δ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of \mathfrak{L} . On the other hand, the direct sum of Choquet–Deny groups is Choquet–Deny. To see this, let Γ_i (i > 1) each have no ICC quotients and Γ be their direct sum. Identify Γ_i with the subgroup of elements of Γ all of whose coordinates other than the *i*th are the identity. Let ϕ be a homomorphism of Γ . We want to show that $\phi(\Gamma)$ is not ICC. Because Γ is generated by all Γ_i , we see that $\phi(\Gamma)$ is generated by all $\phi(\Gamma_i)$. If $\phi(\Gamma)$ is not trivial, then some $\phi(\Gamma_i)$ is not trivial and, by hypothesis, has a nontrivial element $\phi(\gamma_i)$, where $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_i$, with finite conjugacy class in $\phi(\Gamma_i)$. Since Γ_i commutes with all other Γ_i ($j \neq i$) and ϕ is a homomorphism, the conjugacy class of $\phi(\gamma_i)$ in $\phi(\Gamma_i)$ is the same as in $\phi(\Gamma)$. Thus, we obtain our desired result that $\phi(\Gamma)$ contains a nontrivial element with finite conjugacy class, so is not ICC. \Box

5. General generators

Here we extend the result of [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011\)](#page-25-6) from finite third moments to finite second moments on \mathbb{Z}^d , and from $d \ge 5$ to $d \ge 3$. We also allow infinite lamp groups.

Theorem 5.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a nontrivial finitely generated group and $d > 3$. Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathfrak{L} \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$ whose support generates $\mathfrak{L} \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\sum_x |x|^2 \mu(x) < \infty$. Frobability measure on $\mathcal{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$ whose support generates $\mathcal{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\sum_x |x| \mu$
Then the Poisson boundary of $(\mathcal{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}^d, \mu)$ is \mathcal{Z}^{Λ} endowed with the law of Φ_{∞} .

Note that for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, its graph distance $|x|$ to $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is comparable to the ℓ^2 -norm $||x|| := \langle \text{Cov}(X_1)x, x \rangle^{1/2}$, which we define for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Write $B(r) := \{z : ||z|| \le r\}$.

We have assumed that $\mathfrak L$ is finitely generated only for brevity in the assumptions; see the first paragraph of the proof for what we use without this assumption.

We preface the proof of Theorem [5.1](#page-19-0) with a sketch. The case when $E[X_1] \neq 0$ was established by [Kaimanovich](#page-26-16) [\(2001\)](#page-26-16), so assume that $E[X_1] = 0$. The main new difficulty compared to our previous proofs is that lamps may be changed at distances arbitrarily far from the lamplighter. Control over this distance is given by the moment assumption. When s is a large constant, for each n there is a high chance that the first n steps of the when s is a large constant, for each *n* there is a high chance that the first *n* steps of the walk on the base \mathbb{Z}^d do not exit the ball $B(s\sqrt{n})$, nor change any lamps outside the ball $B(2s\sqrt{n})$. In particular, there are only $cn^{d/2}$ possibilities for X_n in this case. There is a tiny, but bounded below, chance that the walk on \mathbb{Z}^d also has the property that it never visits the ball $B(4s\sqrt{n})$ after time $n(1 + \epsilon)$; conditional on this event, the chance is very small that any lamp in $B(2s\sqrt{n})$ is changed after time $n(1 + \epsilon)$. There is a set of size shian that any lamp in $B(2s\sqrt{n})$ is changed after this $n(1 + \epsilon)$. There is a set of size
 $e^{c\epsilon n}$ that is likely to contain $\widehat{Y}_{n+1}, \ldots, \widehat{Y}_{n(1+\epsilon)}$. Having guessed $X_n \in B(s\sqrt{n})$, seeing where that is fixely to contain $I_{n+1}, \ldots, I_{n(1+\epsilon)}$. Having guessed $\Lambda_n \in B(s\sqrt{n})$, seeing $\Phi_{\infty} | B(s\sqrt{n})$, and having changed the lamps therein according to $\widehat{Y}_{n+1}, \ldots, \widehat{Y}_{n(1+\epsilon)}$, we arrive at our guess of \widehat{X}_n .

Lemma 5.2. Let $d \geq 3$. Consider a random walk $\langle X_n \rangle$ on \mathbb{Z}^d with $\mathbf{E}[|X_1|^2] < \infty$ and $E[X_1] = 0.$

- (i) We have $\lim_{s\to\infty} \inf_n \mathbf{P_0} [\forall k \leq n \ \|X_k\| \leq s \sqrt{\}$ \overline{n}] = 1.
- (ii) *For every* $s > 0$,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{\|x\| \ge 2s\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{P}_x \big[\forall m \ge 0 \ \ \|X_m\| > s\sqrt{n} \big] = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{d-2}}.
$$

Proof. Part (i) is immediate from Kolmogorov's maximal inequality (Theorem 2.5.2 in [Durrett](#page-25-19) [\(2010\)](#page-25-19)).

To prove part (ii), we let $A := Cov(X_1)^{-1/2}$ and define $Y_m := s^{-1}AX_m$. Let $|\cdot|_2$ be the standard Euclidean norm and $B_2(r)$ be the associated closed ball of radius r about the origin. Then (ii) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{|y|_2 \ge 2\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{P}_y \big[\exists m \ge 0 \ |Y_m|_2 \le \sqrt{n} \big] = 2^{d-2}.
$$

First recall that if standard Brownian in \mathbb{R}^d starts at z with $|z|_2 = 2$, then the probability that it ever visits the ball $B_2(\alpha)$ of radius $\alpha < 2$ is $(\alpha/2)^{d-2}$ (see, e.g., Mörters and [Peres](#page-27-16) [\(2010\)](#page-27-16), Corollary 3.1). Given $\epsilon > 0$, we can select $T = T(\epsilon)$ so that the probability this visit occurs before time T is at least $(\alpha/2)^{d-2} - \epsilon$; taking $\alpha := 1 - \epsilon$, we deduce from the d-dimensional Donsker invariance principle (see, e.g., [Whitt](#page-27-17) [\(2002\)](#page-27-17), Theorem 4.3.5) that

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{|y|_2\geq 2\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{P}_y\big[\exists m\in[0,nT] \ |Y_m|_2\leq \sqrt{n}\big]\geq ((1-\epsilon)/2)^{d-2}-\epsilon,
$$

and this gives the lower bound in (ii).

In dimension three, a matching upper bound follows from the asymptotic relation

$$
g(0, y) = (c_3 + o(1))|y|_2^{-1}
$$

for the Green function of $\langle Y_j \rangle$ (see [Spitzer](#page-27-18) [\(1976\)](#page-27-18), Proposition P26.1), where c_3 is a positive constant. Indeed, if τ is the hitting time of the ball $B_2(\sqrt{n})$ by $\langle Y_j \rangle$ (which may be infinite), then the optional stopping theorem (e.g., [Durrett](#page-25-19) [\(2010\)](#page-25-19), Theorem 5.7.4) for the bounded martingale $\langle g(0, Y_{\tau \wedge i}) ; j \geq 0 \rangle$ yields

$$
g(0, y) \ge \mathbf{P}_y[\tau < \infty] \cdot \min_{y_1 \in B_2(\sqrt{n})} g(0, y_1).
$$

It follows that

$$
(c_3 + o(1)) |y|_2^{-1} \ge \mathbf{P}_y[\tau < \infty] (c_3 + o(1)) n^{-1/2};
$$

the two occurrences of $o(1)$ do not necessarily denote the same function. Since $|y|_2 \ge$ $2\sqrt{n}$, we conclude that $P_y[\tau < \infty] \leq 1/2 + o(1)$.

It remains to prove the upper bound in (ii) for dimensions $d > 3$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, let $T := [d\epsilon^{-4}]$. Another application of Donsker's theorem yields

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{|y|_2\geq 2\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{P}_y\big[\exists m\in[0,nT]\ |Y_m|_2\leq \sqrt{n}\big]\leq (1/2+\epsilon)^{d-2}.
$$

By the central limit theorem, for every y in \mathbb{R}^d and sufficiently large $n > 1$,

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{Y}}\big[|Y_{nT}|_2 \leq \epsilon \sqrt{nT}\big] \leq C_d \epsilon^d.
$$

If $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies $|z|_2 > \epsilon \sqrt{nT} \geq$ nd/ϵ , then one of the coordinate projections If $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $|z|_2 > \epsilon \sqrt{n} \le \sqrt{n} \le \sqrt{n} \le \epsilon$, then one of the coordinate projections of z must have absolute value greater than \sqrt{n}/ϵ ; projecting to a three-dimensional space containing that coordinate, we infer (from optional stopping in three dimensions) that as $n \to \infty$, √

$$
\mathbf{P}_{z}[\exists m \in [0,\infty) \ |Y_m|_2 \leq \sqrt{n}] \leq (1+o(1))\epsilon.
$$

By considering whether $|Y_{nT}|_2 \leq$ nd/ϵ , we conclude that for some constant C_d ,

$$
\sup_{|y|_2 \ge 2\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{P}_y \big[\exists m \ge 0 \ |Y_m|_2 \le \sqrt{n} \big] \le (1/2 + \epsilon)^{d-2} + C_d \epsilon^d + (1 + o(1))\epsilon. \qquad \Box
$$

Let rad denote the radius of a subset of Λ , meaning the maximum distance in the word metric of any of its elements from the identity $o \in \Lambda$. Although we will apply the following lemma only for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d$, we state it in general as it may find other uses. This lemma controls the changes of lamps far from the projection of the walk on the base group.

Lemma 5.3. *Let* \mathfrak{L} *be a group and* Λ *be a finitely generated group. Let* $\langle (\Psi_k, Y_k) ; k \geq 1 \rangle$ *be the increments of a* μ *-walk* $\langle \widehat{X}_n : n \ge 0 \rangle$ *on* $\mathfrak{L} \wr \Lambda$ *such that* $P[Y_1 = o] \ge 1/2$ *. Suppose that* $V_{\Lambda}(r)/r^d$ *is bounded above and below by positive finite constants for some* $d \geq 3$ and that $\mathbf{E}[(\text{rad lit }\Psi_1)^2] < \infty$. Then for some constant c_μ and every $a > 0$,

$$
\sum_{k\geq 1} \mathbf{P}[\text{rad lit } \Psi_k > a \cdot |X_{k-1}|] \leq c_{\mu} a^{-2} \, \mathbf{E}[(\text{rad lit } \Psi_1)^2].
$$

Proof. Let R be a random variable independent of $\langle X_k \rangle$ that has the same distribution as a^{-1} rad lit Ψ_1 . Since Ψ_k has the same law as Ψ_1 and is independent of X_{k-1} , we have

$$
\sum_{k\geq 1} \mathbf{P}[\text{rad lit } \Psi_k > a \cdot |X_{k-1}|] = \sum_{k\geq 1} \mathbf{P}[R > |X_{k-1}|] = \mathbf{E} \Bigl[\sum_{k\geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{[R > |X_{k-1}|]} \Bigr].
$$

The idea now is that for $k > R^2$, we control the chance that $|X_{k-1}| < R$ by using Lemma [4.3,](#page-10-1) summing over the relevant possible values of X_{k-1} . Thus,

$$
\mathbf{E}\Big[\sum_{k\geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{[R>|X_{k-1}|]}\Big] \leq \mathbf{E}\Big[R^2 + \mathbf{E}\Big[\sum_{k>R^2} \mathbf{1}_{[R>|X_{k-1}|]}\Big|R\Big]\Big]
$$

\n
$$
\leq \mathbf{E}\Big[R^2 + \sum_{k>R^2} V_{\Lambda}(R)c k^{-d/2}\Big]
$$

\n
$$
\leq \mathbf{E}[R^2 + cR^d(R^2)^{1-d/2}] = c \mathbf{E}[R^2].
$$

Proof of Theorem [5.1.](#page-19-0) Our assumption is that $\mathbf{E}[|\widehat{X}_1|^2] < \infty$. However, all we will use of this moment condition is weaker, namely, that $H(\widehat{X}_1) < \infty$, that $\mathbf{E}[|X_1|^2] < \infty$, and that E[(rad lit Φ_1)²] < ∞ . The first is a well-known consequence of the weaker assumption $\mathbb{E}[|X_1|] < \infty$; the latter two follow from $|(\phi, x)| \ge \max\{|x|, \text{ rad } \text{lit } \phi\} + |\text{lit } \phi|$. Thus, we need not assume that $\mathfrak L$ is finitely generated.

The case $\mathbf{E}[X_1] \neq \mathbf{0}$ was done by [Kaimanovich](#page-26-16) [\(2001\)](#page-26-16), so assume that $\mathbf{E}[X_1] = \mathbf{0}$. Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Choose s so large that

$$
\inf_{n} \mathbf{P}_{0} \big[\forall k \leq n \ \Vert X_{k} \Vert \leq s\sqrt{n} \big] > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{E} [(\text{rad lit } \Phi_{1})^{2}]}{s^{2}};
$$

such an s exists by Lemma [5.2.](#page-19-2) We will define random sets $Q_{n,\epsilon}$ that are Φ_{∞} -measurable in order to apply Corollary [2.2.](#page-5-2)

Abbreviate $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Write $U := \langle \widehat{Y}_m : n \leq m \leq n + \epsilon n \rangle$. By Lemma [4.2,](#page-10-0) there is a set $U_n \subseteq (\mathfrak{L} \setminus \Lambda)^{\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor}$ with $\log |\mathbf{U}_n| < 2\epsilon n H(\widehat{X}_1)$ and $\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}_n] \to 1$.

We wish to define a set $Q_{n,\epsilon}(\Phi_{\infty})$ that will contain \widehat{X}_n with reasonable probability and that will have small exponential growth. We will consider the possible increments U and that will have small exponential growth. We will consider the possible increments α and the possible values of $X_n \in B(s\sqrt{n})$. Given such possible values, we guess values for Φ_n from the ones we see, Φ_{∞} , by correcting by the changes caused by U. Namely, the lamp at some $z \in B(2s\sqrt{n})$ is changed at time $m \in (n, n + \epsilon n]$ by multiplying by $\Psi_m(z - X_{m-1})$, whence the total change from what it was at time *n* due to the changes in

U is $\prod_{m=n+1}^{n+\lfloor \epsilon n\rfloor} \Psi_m(z - X_{m-1})$. Provided that the lamps in $B(2s\sqrt{z})$ $n)$ are not changed after time $n + \epsilon n$, we may multiply $\Phi_{\infty}(z)$ by the inverse of this product to guess $\Phi_n(z)$.

Thus, we proceed as follows. Let $\phi_{\infty} \in \mathfrak{L}^{\Lambda}$. Define $Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})$ to be the set of all (ϕ_n, x) such that there is some $U = \langle (\psi_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), \dots, (\psi_{n+\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor}, y_{n+\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor}) \rangle \in \mathbf{U}_n$ and (φ_n, x) such that there is some $U = \langle (\psi_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), \dots, (\psi_{n+\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor}, y_{n+\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor}) \rangle \in \mathbf{U}_n$
some $x \in B(s\sqrt{n})$ such that, writing $z_m := x + \sum_{j=n+1}^m y_j$ for $n \le m \le n + \epsilon n$ and

$$
\psi(z) := \prod_{m=n+1}^{n+\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor} \psi_m(z - z_{m-1}),
$$

we have

$$
\phi_n(z) = \begin{cases} \phi_\infty(z)\psi(z)^{-1} & \text{for } z \in B(2s\sqrt{n}), \\ \text{id} & \text{for } z \notin B(2s\sqrt{n}). \end{cases}
$$

By assumption, the number of choices of $U \in \mathbf{U}_n$ is at most $e^{2\epsilon n H(X_1)}$. The number of choices of x is at most $cn^{d/2}$. Therefore, $|Q_{n,\epsilon}(\phi_{\infty})| < e^{2\epsilon n H(\hat{X}_1) + o(n)}$.

We will prove that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} P[\hat{X}_n \in Q_{n,\epsilon}(\Phi_\infty)] > 0.$

Let A_n be the event that $||X_k|| \leq s\sqrt{n}$ for all $k \leq n$. Let C_n be the event that Let A_n be the event that $||A_k|| \le$
rad lit $\Psi_k > s\sqrt{n}$ for some $k \le n$. Then

$$
\mathbf{P}[\text{rad lit } \Psi_k > s\sqrt{n}] \le \frac{\mathbf{E}[(\text{rad lit } \Psi_k)^2]}{s^2 n} = \frac{\mathbf{E}[(\text{rad lit } \Phi_1)^2]}{s^2 n}
$$

by Chebyshev's inequality, whence $P(C_n) \leq E[(\text{rad lit }\Phi_1)^2]/s^2$ by a union bound. Let D_n be the event that $\Phi_n(y) = id$ for all $y \notin B(2s\sqrt{n})$. Then $A_n \setminus D_n \subseteq C_n$, whence $P(A_n \cap D_n) = P(A_n) - P(A_n \setminus D_n) \ge P(A_n) - P(C_n) > 1/2$ by choice of s.

Let E_n be the event that $||X_{n+\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor} - X_n|| > 5s\sqrt{n}$. Then $\liminf_{n \to \infty} P(E_n) > 0$ and E_n is independent of $A_n \cap D_n$; on the event $A_n \cap D_n \cap E_n$, we have $||X_{n+\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor}|| > 4s\sqrt{n}$. Let F_n be the event that for all $m > n + \epsilon n$, we have $||X_m|| > 2s\sqrt{n}$. By Lemma [5.2,](#page-19-2) $\lim_{n\to\infty}$ **P**(F_n | A_n ∩ D_n ∩ E_n) = 1 - 1/2^{d-2}.

Let G_n be the event that at no time after $n + \lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor$ does the walk change a lamp in $B(2s\sqrt{n})$. Then $A_n \cap D_n \cap E_n \cap F_n \setminus G_n$ is contained in the event that for some $m > n + \epsilon n$, we have rad lit $\Psi_m > ||X_{m-1}||/2$, which by the Borel–Cantelli lemma and Lemma [5.3](#page-20-0) has probability tending to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, $\liminf_{n \to \infty} P(A_n \cap D_n \cap E_n \cap F_n \cap G_n) > 0$.

On the event $A_n \cap D_n \cap E_n \cap F_n \cap G_n$, for every $z \in B(2s\sqrt{n})$ we have

$$
\Phi_{\infty}(z) = \Phi_n(z) \prod_{m=n+1}^{n+\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor} \Psi_m(z - X_{m-1}),
$$

as desired. \Box

Recall that our proof of Theorem [5.1](#page-19-0) did not use the full strength of the hypothesis $\mathbb{E}[|\widehat{X}_1|^2] < \infty$, but only the weaker hypotheses that $H(\widehat{X}_1) < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}[|X_1|^2] < \infty$, and $\mathbf{E}[(\text{rad lit } \Phi_1)^2] < \infty$. This last assumption cannot be weakened to finiteness of a smaller moment, even if $\langle X_n \rangle$ is simple random walk on \mathbb{Z}^3 and $\mathfrak{L} = \mathbb{Z}_2$. To see this, we adapt [Kaimanovich](#page-26-4) [\(1983\)](#page-26-4), Proposition 1.1, which gave an example of a μ -walk on $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}$ that yielded a nontrivial Poisson boundary but with no limiting configuration of lamps a.s. Indeed, suppose that \widehat{X}_1 has the following distribution: With probability 1/2, $\Psi_1 = 0$ and X_1 is a step of simple random walk on \mathbb{Z}^3 , while for each $n \geq 1$, with probability c_0/n^3 , $\Psi_1 = \mathbf{1}_{B(n)}$ and $X_1 = \mathbf{0}$, where $c_0 := 1/(2\zeta(3))$ is a normalizing constant. We still have $H(\widehat{X}_1) < \infty$, while $\mathbf{E}[(\text{rad lit } \Phi_1)^a] < \infty$ iff $a < 2$. We claim that while Φ_∞ does not exist a.s. for this walk, the Poisson boundary is nontrivial. To see this, condition on the walk in the base, $\langle X_n \rangle$. If $X_n = X_{n+1}$, then the chance that at time $n+1$ the lamp changes at the origin is of order $1/(1 + ||X_n||^2)$, independently of all other steps of the walk. Now $\sum_{n} (1 + ||X_n||^2)^{-1} = \infty$ a.s. by the law of the iterated logarithm, whence the Borel– Cantelli lemma yields infinitely many changes of the lamp at the origin a.s. On the other hand, the difference between the lamp at the origin and the lamp at $(1, 0, 0)$ changes only finitely many times a.s., again by the Borel–Cantelli lemma, since if $X_n = X_{n+1}$, then the chance that at time $n+1$ this difference changes is of order $1/(1 + ||X_n||^3)$, independently of all other steps of the walk, and $\sum_{n}(1 + ||X_n||^3)^{-1} < \infty$ a.s. by Dvoretzky and Erdős [\(1951\)](#page-25-20). Therefore, the Poisson boundary is nontrivial. On the other hand, if μ has a finite first moment and projects to a transient random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d , then a limiting lamp configuration exists; see Theorem 3.3 of [Kaimanovich](#page-26-5) [\(1991\)](#page-26-5) or Lemma 1.1 of [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011\)](#page-25-6). This general case is still open: is the harmonic measure on the limiting lamp configuration equal to the Poisson boundary? We remark that [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011\)](#page-25-6) shows that the Poisson boundary can be nontrivial even for some random walks where no combination of lamps stabilizes.

6. Metabelian groups

As [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011\)](#page-25-6) noted following [Vershik](#page-27-11) [\(2000\)](#page-27-11), free metabelian groups are sufficiently similar to lamplighter groups on \mathbb{Z}^d that similar results on their Poisson boundaries carry over. A group \overline{F} is *metabelian* if F'' is trivial, where prime indicates commutator subgroup. Those of the form $\mathbb{F}_d/\mathbb{F}_d''$ are called *free metabelian groups*, where \mathbb{F}_d is the free group on d generators. More generally, consider groups of the form \mathbb{F}_d / H' , where H is a normal subgroup of \mathbb{F}_d . As explained by [Erschler](#page-25-11) [\(2004b\)](#page-25-11), with more details given by [Vershik and Dobrynin](#page-27-19) [\(2005\)](#page-27-19), the groups \mathbb{F}_d / H' are isomorphic to groups of finite configurations on $\Lambda := \mathbb{F}_d / H$ as follows.

Let G be the right Cayley graph of \mathbb{F}_d/H corresponding to the free generators of \mathbb{F}_d . Orient each edge of G so as to form the group $C_1(G) = C_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$ of 1-chains. For each $x \in \Lambda$, fix a finite path $\langle e_1, \ldots, e_k \rangle$ of edges from $o \in \Lambda$ to x. To this path associate the 1-chain $\theta_x := \sum_{j=1}^k \pm e_j$, where we choose the plus sign iff e_j is oriented in the direction from *o* to x along the path. For simplicity, we choose $\ddot{\theta}_o := 0$. Let $Z_1(G)$ denote the space of cycles in $C_1(G)$. (As there are no 2-cells, this is the same as $H_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$.) Note that H is the fundamental group of G , and its abelianization, H/H' , is canonically isomorphic to $Z_1(G)$, meaning that the homomorphism $\varphi \colon \mathbb{F}_d \to C_1(G)$ defined by $\varphi(a) := \theta_{aH}$ for generators a of \mathbb{F}_d has kernel H' and $\varphi(H) = Z_1(G)$. Now Λ acts on G by translation from the left, and so also acts on $C_1(G)$, which we denote by $(x, f) \mapsto T_x f$. Define Λ to be the subset $\{\theta_x + f : x \in \Lambda, f \in Z_1(G)\} \subset C_1(G)$; this set is clearly independent of the choices of the chains θ_x . In addition, the map $\theta_x + f \mapsto x$ from $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ to Λ is well defined. Define a multiplication on $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ by

$$
(\theta_x + f)(\theta_y + g) := \theta_x + T_x \theta_y + f + T_x g.
$$

Then $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is closed under this multiplication because $\theta_x + T_x \theta_y$ corresponds to a path from o to xy. It is easy to check that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a group with identity element 0. Indeed, $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is canonically isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_d / H' via the homomorphism φ defined above.

A random walk $\langle \theta_{X_n} + \Phi_n \rangle$ on $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ yields a.s. an edgewise limiting configuration in the space of cochains, $C^1(G)$, under weak conditions: As [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011\)](#page-25-6) proved, it suffices that the walk on Λ has finite first moment and projects to a transient random walk on Λ . Under conditions similar to those in our previous theorems and with similar proofs, the subset of possible limits, together with harmonic measures, is the Poisson boundary. For example, if $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_d / H$ has at least cubic growth, then this holds for every finitely supported walk on Λ . In the case of free metabelian groups with $d \geq 3$, it holds for every walk having finite second moment. [Erschler](#page-25-6) [\(2011\)](#page-25-6) proved this for free metabelian groups with $d > 5$ and μ having finite third moment.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Vadim Kaimanovich and Anatoly Vershik for posing the problem that we address here and for bringing attention to it over the years. We thank Anna Erschler for explaining her proof to us, Ori Gurel-Gurevich for helpful discussions, and Omer Tamuz for a useful reference. We thank the referees for careful readings and expert suggestions that led to the improvement of our exposition. We owe a particular debt to one of the referees for having urged us to extend our results.

Research partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1007244 and DMS-1612363 and Microsoft Research.

References

- Avez, A. (1972): Entropie des groupes de type fini. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 275, A1363– A1366 [Zbl 0252.94013](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0252.94013&format=complete) [MR 0324741](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0324741)
- Avez, A. (1974): Théorème de Choquet–Deny pour les groupes à croissance non exponentielle. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 279, 25–28 [Zbl 0292.60100](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0292.60100&format=complete) [MR 0353405](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0353405)
- Avez, A. (1976a): Croissance des groupes de type fini et fonctions harmoniques. In: Theorie Er- ´ godique, Lecture Notes in Math. 532, Springer, Berlin, 35–49 [Zbl 0368.60011](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0368.60011&format=complete) [MR 0482911](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0482911)
- Avez, A. (1976b): Harmonic functions on groups. In: Differential Geometry and Relativity, Math. Phys. Appl. Math. 3, Reidel, Dordrecht, 27–32 [Zbl 0345.31004](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0345.31004&format=complete) [MR 0507229](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0507229)
- Ballmann, W., Ledrappier, F. (1994): The Poisson boundary for rank one manifolds and their cocompact lattices. Forum Math. 6, 301–313 [Zbl 0801.53028](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0801.53028&format=complete) [MR 1269841](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1269841)
- Benjamini, I., Peres, Y. (1994): Tree-indexed random walks on groups and first passage percolation. Probab. Theory Related Fields 98, 91–112 [Zbl 0794.60068](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0794.60068&format=complete) [MR 1254826](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1254826)
- Blachère, S., Haïssinsky, P., Mathieu, P. (2008): Asymptotic entropy and Green speed for random walks on countable groups. Ann. Probab. 36, 1134-1152 [Zbl 1146.60008](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1146.60008&format=complete) [MR 2408585](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2408585)
- Blackwell, D. (1955): On transient Markov processes with a countable number of states and stationary transition probabilities. Ann. Math. Statist. 26, 654–658 [Zbl 0066.11303](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0066.11303&format=complete) [MR 0075479](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0075479)
- Brofferio, S., Schapira, B. (2011): Poisson boundary of $GL_d(\mathbb{Q})$. Israel J. Math. 185, 125–140 [Zbl 1260.60155](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1260.60155&format=complete) [MR 2837130](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2837130)
- Cartwright, D. I., Kaimanovich, V. A., Woess, W. (1994): Random walks on the affine group of local fields and of homogeneous trees. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 44, 1243–1288 [Zbl 0809.60010](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0809.60010&format=complete) [MR 1306556](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1306556)
- Coulhon, T., Grigor'yan, A., Pittet, C. (2001): A geometric approach to on-diagonal heat kernel lower bounds on groups. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 51, 1763–1827 [Zbl 1137.58307](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1137.58307&format=complete) [MR 1871289](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1871289)
- Coulhon, T., Saloff-Coste, L. (1993): Isopérimétrie pour les groupes et les variétés. Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. 9, 293–314 [Zbl 0782.53066](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0782.53066&format=complete) [MR 1232845](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1232845)
- Cover, T. M., Thomas, J. A. (2006): Elements of Information Theory. 2nd ed., Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, NJ [Zbl 1140.94001](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1140.94001&format=complete) [MR 2239987](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2239987)
- Derriennic, Y. (1976): Lois "zéro ou deux" pour les processus de Markov. Applications aux marches aléatoires. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.) 12, 111-129 [Zbl 0353.60075](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0353.60075&format=complete) [MR 0423532](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0423532)
- Derriennic, Y. (1980): Quelques applications du théorème ergodique sous-additif. In: Journées sur les Marches Aléatoires, Astérisque 74, 183-201 [Zbl 0446.60059](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0446.60059&format=complete) [MR 588163](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=588163)
- Doob, J. L. (1959): Discrete potential theory and boundaries. J. Math. Mech. 8, 433–458; Erratum, 993 [Zbl 0101.11503](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0101.11503&format=complete) [MR 0107098](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0107098)
- Durrett, R. (2010): Probability: Theory and Examples. 4th ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge [Zbl 1202.60001](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1202.60001&format=complete) [MR 2722836](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2722836)
- Dvoretzky, A., Erdős, P. (1951): Some problems on random walk in space. In: Proc. Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 1950, 353–367, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley [Zbl 0044.14001](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0044.14001&format=complete) [MR 0047272](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0047272)
- Dynkin, E. B., Maljutov, M. B. (1961): Random walk on groups with a finite number of generators. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 137, 1042–1045 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 2, 399–402 [Zbl 0214.44101](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0214.44101&format=complete) [MR 0131904](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0131904)
- Erschler, A. (2004a): Boundary behavior for groups of subexponential growth. Ann. of Math. (2) 160, 1183–1210 [Zbl 1089.20025](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1089.20025&format=complete) [MR 2144977](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2144977)
- Erschler, A. (2004b): Liouville property for groups and manifolds. Invent. Math. 155, 55–80 [Zbl 1043.60006](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1043.60006&format=complete) [MR 2025301](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2025301)
- Erschler, A. (2010): Poisson–Furstenberg boundaries, large-scale geometry and growth of groups. In: Proc. Int. Congress of Mathematicians (Hyderabad, 2010), Volume II, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 681–704 [MR 2827814](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2827814)
- Erschler, A. (2011): Poisson–Furstenberg boundary of random walks on wreath products and free metabelian groups. Comment. Math. Helv. 86, 113–143 [Zbl 1254.20037](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1254.20037&format=complete) [MR 2745278](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2745278)
- Feldman, J. (1962): Feller and Martin boundaries for countable sets. Illinois J. Math. 6, 357–366 [Zbl 0106.33402](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0106.33402&format=complete) [MR 0139202](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0139202)
- Feller, W. (1956): Boundaries induced by non-negative matrices. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 83, 19– 54 [Zbl 0071.34901](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0071.34901&format=complete) [MR 0090927](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0090927)
- Feller, W. (1968): An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. Vol. I. 3rd ed., Wiley, New York [Zbl 0155.23101](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0155.23101&format=complete) [MR 0228020](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0228020)
- Forghani, B., Tiozzo, G. (2019): Random walks of infinite moment on free semigroups. Probab. Theory Related Fields 175, 1099–1122 [Zbl 1423.60070](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1423.60070&format=complete) [MR 4026614](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4026614)
- Frisch, J., Hartman, Y., Tamuz, O., Vahidi Ferdowsi, P. (2019): Choquet–Deny groups and the infinite conjugacy class property. Ann. of Math. (2) 190, 307–320 [Zbl 1428.60013](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1428.60013&format=complete) [MR 3990605](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3990605)
- Furstenberg, H. (1963): A Poisson formula for semi-simple Lie groups. Ann. of Math. (2) 77, 335–386 [Zbl 0192.12704](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0192.12704&format=complete) [MR 0146298](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0146298)
- Furstenberg, H. (1971a): Boundaries of Lie groups and discrete subgroups. In: Actes du Congres` International des Mathematiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 2, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 301–306 ´ [Zbl 0244.22010](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0244.22010&format=complete) [MR 0430160](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0430160)
- Furstenberg, H. (1971b): Random walks and discrete subgroups of Lie groups. In: Advances in Probability and Related Topics, Vol. 1, Dekker, New York, 1–63 [Zbl 0221.22008](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0221.22008&format=complete) [MR 0284569](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0284569)
- Furstenberg, H. (1973): Boundary theory and stochastic processes on homogeneous spaces. In: Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 193–229 [Zbl 0289.22011](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0289.22011&format=complete) [MR 0352328](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0352328)
- Gautero, F., Mathéus, F. (2012): Poisson boundary of groups acting on \mathbb{R} -trees. Israel J. Math. 191, 585–646 [Zbl 1293.20027](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1293.20027&format=complete) [MR 3011489](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3011489)
- Georgakopoulos, A. (2016): The boundary of a square tiling of a graph coincides with the Poisson boundary. Invent. Math. 203, 773–821 [Zbl 1332.05039](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1332.05039&format=complete) [MR 3461366](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3461366)
- Gromov, M. (1981): Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 53, 53–73 [Zbl 0474.20018](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0474.20018&format=complete) [MR 0623534](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0623534)
- Hunt, G. A. (1960): Markoff chains and Martin boundaries. Illinois J. Math. 4, 313–340 [Zbl 0094.32103](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0094.32103&format=complete) [MR 0123364](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0123364)
- James, N., Peres, Y. (1996): Cutpoints and exchangeable events for random walks. Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. 41, 854–868 [Zbl 0896.60035](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0896.60035&format=complete) [MR 1687097](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1687097)
- Kaimanovich, V. A. (1983): Examples of nonabelian discrete groups with nontrivial exit boundary. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklova 123, 167–184 (in Russian); English transl.: J. Soviet Math. 28, 579–591 (1985) [Zbl 558.6001](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:558.6001&format=complete) [MR 0697250](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0697250)
- Kaimanovich, V. A. (1985): An entropy criterion of maximality for the boundary of random walks on discrete groups. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 280, 1051–1054 (in Russian) [MR 780288](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=780288)
- Kaimanovich, V. A. (1991): Poisson boundaries of random walks on discrete solvable groups.
In: Probability Measures on Groups. X. Plenum. New York. 205–238 Zbl 0823.60006 In: Probability Measures on Groups. X, Plenum, New York, 205–238 [MR 1178986](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1178986)
- Kaimanovich, V. A. (1994): The Poisson boundary of hyperbolic groups. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. ´ I Math. 318, 59–64 [Zbl 0792.60006](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0792.60006&format=complete) [MR 1260536](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1260536)
- Kaimanovich, V. A. (2000): The Poisson formula for groups with hyperbolic properties. Ann. of Math. (2) 152, 659–692 [Zbl 0984.60088](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0984.60088&format=complete) [MR 1815698](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1815698)
- Kaimanovich, V. A. (2001): Poisson boundary of discrete groups. [http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/ view](http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.6.6675)[doc/summary?doi=10.1.1.6.6675](http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.6.6675)
- Kaimanovich, V. A., Masur, H. (1996): The Poisson boundary of the mapping class group. Invent. Math. 125, 221–264 [Zbl 0864.57014](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0864.57014&format=complete) [MR 1395719](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1395719)
- Kaimanovich, V. A., Masur, H. (1998): The Poisson boundary of Teichmüller space. J. Funct. Anal. 156, 301–332 [Zbl 0953.30029](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0953.30029&format=complete) [MR 1636940](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1636940)
- Kaimanovich, V. A., Vershik, A. M. (1983): Random walks on discrete groups: Boundary and entropy. Ann. Probab. 11, 457–490. [Zbl 0641.60009](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0641.60009&format=complete) [MR 0704539](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0704539)
- Kaimanovich, V. A., Woess, W. (2002): Boundary and entropy of space homogeneous Markov chains. Ann. Probab. 30, 323–363 [Zbl 1021.60056](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1021.60056&format=complete) [MR 1894110](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1894110)
- Karlsson, A. (2003): Boundaries and random walks on finitely generated infinite groups. Ark. Mat. 41, 295–306. [MR 2011923](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2011923)
- Karlsson, A., Ledrappier, F. (2007): Linear drift and Poisson boundary for random walks. Pure Appl. Math. Quart. 3, 1027–1036. [Zbl 1142.60035](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1142.60035&format=complete) [MR 2402595](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2402595)
- Karlsson, A., Woess, W. (2007): The Poisson boundary of lamplighter random walks on trees. Geom. Dedicata 124, 95–107 [Zbl 1125.60082](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1125.60082&format=complete) [MR 2318539](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2318539)
- Lawler, G. F., Limic, V. (2010): Random Walk: A Modern Introduction. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 123, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge [Zbl 1210.60002](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1210.60002&format=complete) [MR 2677157](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2677157)
- Ledrappier, F. (1983): Une relation entre entropie, dimension et exposant pour certaines marches aléatoires. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 296, 369–372 [Zbl 0529.60071](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0529.60071&format=complete) [MR 0699165](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0699165)
- Ledrappier, F. (1985): Poisson boundaries of discrete groups of matrices. Israel J. Math. 50, 319–336 [Zbl 0574.60012](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0574.60012&format=complete) [MR 0800190](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0800190)
- Lyons, R., Oveis Gharan, S. (2018): Sharp bounds on random walk eigenvalues via spectral embedding. Int. Math. Res. Notices 2018, 7555–7605 [Zbl 1419.05132](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1419.05132&format=complete) [MR 3892273](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3892273)
- Lyons, R., Peres, Y. (2016): Probability on Trees and Networks. Cambridge Ser. Statist. Probab. Math. 42, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York
- Maher, J., Tiozzo, G. (2018): Random walks on weakly hyperbolic groups. J. Reine Angew. Math. 742, 187–239 [Zbl 1376.05002](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1376.05002&format=complete) [MR 3849626](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3849626)
- Malyutin, A. V. (2003): The Poisson–Furstenberg boundary of a locally free group. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklova 301, 195–211, 245 (in Russian) [Zbl 1152.60061](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1152.60061&format=complete) [MR 2032055](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2032055)
- Malyutin, A., Nagnibeda, T., Serbin, D. (2017): Boundaries of \mathbb{Z}^n -free groups. In: Groups, Graphs and Random Walks, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 436, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 355–390 [Zbl 06787742](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:06787742&format=complete) [MR 3644015](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3644015)
- Malyutin, A. V., Svetlov, P. (2014): Poisson–Furstenberg boundaries of fundamental groups of closed 3-manifolds. [arXiv:1403.2135](http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.2135) (2014)
- Morris, B., Peres, Y. (2005): Evolving sets, mixing and heat kernel bounds. Probab. Theory Related Fields 133, 245–266 [Zbl 1080.60071](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1080.60071&format=complete) [MR 2198701](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2198701)
- Mörters, P., Peres, Y. (2010): Brownian Motion. Cambridge Ser. Statist. Probab. Math. 30, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge [Zbl 1243.60002](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1243.60002&format=complete) [MR 2604525](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2604525)
- Nevo, A., Sageev, M. (2013): The Poisson boundary of CAT(0) cube complex groups. Groups Geom. Dynam. 7, 653–695 [Zbl 1346.20084](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1346.20084&format=complete) [MR 3095714](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3095714)
- Rosenblatt, J. (1981): Ergodic and mixing random walks on locally compact groups. Math. Ann. 257, 31–42 [Zbl 0451.60011](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0451.60011&format=complete) [MR 0630645](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0630645)
- Sava, E. (2010a): Lamplighter random walks and entropy-sensitivity of languages. Ph.D. thesis, Technische Univ. Graz; [arXiv:1012.2757](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.2757)
- Sava, E. (2010b): A note on the Poisson boundary of lamplighter random walks. Monatsh. Math. 159, 379–396 [Zbl 1191.60088](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1191.60088&format=complete) [MR 2600904](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2600904)
- Spitzer, F. (1976): Principles of Random Walk. 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 34, Springer, New York [Zbl 0359.60003](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0359.60003&format=complete) [MR 0388547](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0388547)
- Varopoulos, N. Th. (1985): Long range estimates for Markov chains. Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 109, 225–252 [Zbl 0583.60063](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0583.60063&format=complete) [MR 0822826](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0822826)
- Vershik, A. M. (2000): Dynamic theory of growth in groups: Entropy, boundaries, examples. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 55, no. 4, 59–128 (in Russian) [Zbl 0991.37005](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0991.37005&format=complete) [MR 1786730](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1786730)
- Vershik, A. M., Dobrynin, S. V. (2005): Geometrical approach to the free solvable groups. Int. J. Algebra Comput. 15, 1243–1260 [Zbl 1104.20034](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:1104.20034&format=complete) [MR 2197831](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2197831)
- Vershik, A. M., Kaimanovich, V. A. (1979): Random walks on groups: Boundary, entropy, uniform distribution. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 249, 15–18 (in Russian) [Zbl 0442.60069](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0442.60069&format=complete) [MR 0553972](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0553972)
- Whitt, W. (2002): Stochastic-Process Limits. Springer, New York [Zbl 0993.6001](http://www.zentralblatt-math.org/zmath/en/advanced/?q=an:0993.6001&format=complete) [MR 1876437](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1876437)