

Forgetful Homomorphisms in Equivariant K -Theory

Dedicated to Professor Nobuo Shimada on his sixtieth birthday

By

Hiromichi MATSUNAGA* and Haruo MINAMI**

Introduction

The purpose of this note is to analyse the surjectivity of the forgetful homomorphism $f(G, X): K_G(X) \rightarrow K(X)$, which gives some useful information about lifting group actions in stable vector bundles. Here G is a compact connected Lie group and X is a compact G -space such that $K_G^*(X)$ is finitely generated over $R(G)$. Moreover let T denote a maximal torus of G throughout this paper. It is known that if $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion free, then the homomorphism $\alpha(G, T): R(T) \rightarrow K(G/T)$ which is interpreted as $f(G, G/T)$ via the isomorphism $K_G(G/T) \approx R(T)$ is surjective (cf. [5], [6]). We shall use a theorem which Pittie [6] presented to prove this fact.

In Section 1 we shall give a sufficient condition for the surjectivity of $f(G, X)$ for G a torus (Theorem 1) and further we shall prove that if $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion free and $f(T, X)$ is surjective, then $f(G, X)$ is also surjective (Theorem 2). Section 2 consists of applications of the preceding theorems to actions on homotopy complex projective spaces, pseudo-linear G -spheres and complex quadrics. In Section 3 we shall give a generalized form of Theorem 2 for the case when $\text{Tor } \pi_1(G) \neq 0$ (Theorem 5) and using some results due to Hodgkin we shall obtain examples of actions of these groups. In the last section we shall prove that if $\alpha(G, T)$ is surjective, then $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion free (Theorem 6).

§1. Some Criteria for the Surjectivity

First we provide a criterion for the case when G is a torus. Let G be the n -dimensional torus $S_1^1 \times S_2^1 \cdots \times S_n^1$ where S_j^1 is the circle subgroup, and let $T(i) = e \times \cdots \times e \times S_{n-i+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ where e is the trivial subgroup.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $K_{T(i)}^1(X) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $f(G, X)$ is sur-*

Communicated by N. Shimada, August 9, 1985.

* Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue 690, Japan.

** Department of Mathematics, Naruto University of Teacher Education, Naruto 772, Japan.

jective.

Proof. Let $S^1=S_1^1$, $T^{n-1}=S_2^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1$ and denote by X' the $S^1 \times T^{n-1}$ -action on X induced by $S^1 \times T^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\hat{p}_2} T^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i} S^1 \times T^{n-1}$. Denote by C the complex one dimensional $S^1 \times T^{n-1}$ -module on which T^{n-1} acts trivially and S^1 acts as complex multiplication, and denote by $D(C)$ the unit disc with boundary $S(C)$. There is an $S^1 \times T^{n-1}$ -homeomorphism $S(C) \times X' \rightarrow S(C) \times X$ given by $(z, x) \rightarrow (z, zx)$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [4]). Consider the exact sequence associated with the Puppe sequence, $S(C) \times X \xrightarrow{i} D(C) \times X \xrightarrow{j} D(C) \times X / S(C) \times X$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_{S^1, T^{n-1}}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\phi} K_{S^1, T^{n-1}}^*(C \times X) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & K_{S^1, T^{n-1}}^*(D(C) \times X) & \approx & K_{S^1, T^{n-1}}^*(X) \\
 \swarrow \partial & & \swarrow i^* & \nearrow \pi^* & \\
 & & K_{S^1, T^{n-1}}^*(S(C) \times X) & & \\
 & & \approx K_{S^1, T^{n-1}}^*(S(C) \times X') & & \\
 & & \approx K_{T^{n-1}}^*(X') \approx K_{T^{(n-1)}}^*(X), & & \\
 & & \searrow f & &
 \end{array}$$

where ϕ denotes the Thom isomorphism and $\pi : S(C) \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection, and f is a forgetful homomorphism. By the assumption $K_{S^1 \times T^{n-1}}^1(X) = 0$, then f is surjective. By an obvious induction we obtain the theorem.

Suppose that the fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion free. Then we have

Theorem 2. *If $f(T, X)$ is surjective, then $f(G, X)$ is so.*

Proof. Since it follows from [5] that Hypothesis 3.2 in [7] is true, we obtain by [7] an isomorphism

$$K_G(G/T \times X) \approx R(T) \otimes_{R(G)} K_G(X),$$

therefore

$$K_T(X) \approx R(T) \otimes_{R(G)} K_G(X).$$

Since $R(T)$ is a free $R(G)$ -module (Theorem 1 in [6]), we have a decomposition as an $R(G)$ -module,

$$R(T) = R(G) \oplus_{u_1} R(G) \oplus \cdots \oplus_{u_{s-1}} R(G),$$

where $u_i \in R(T)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ and s is the order of the Weyl group of G . Hence we have an isomorphism

$$(1) \quad K_T(X) \approx K_G(X) \oplus_{u_1} K_G(X) \oplus \cdots \oplus_{u_{s-1}} K_G(X)$$

which we take to be an equality. By the assumption, for any $x \in K(X)$, there exists $y \in K_T(X)$ such that $f(T, X)(y) = x$. We see by (1) that y can be written in the form

$$y = x_0 + u_1x_1 + \dots + u_{s-1}x_{s-1} \text{ for some } x_i \in K_G(X), 0 \leq i \leq s-1.$$

Then

$$x = f(G, X)(x_0) + \varepsilon(u_1)f(G, X)(x_1) + \dots + \varepsilon(u_{s-1})f(G, X)(x_{s-1})$$

where $\varepsilon: R(T) \rightarrow Z$ is the augmentation. Thus $f(G, X)(x_0 + \varepsilon(u_1)x_1 + \dots + \varepsilon(u_{s-1})x_{s-1}) = x$, and the proof is completed.

§ 2. Applications of the Preceding Theorems

First we consider compact connected Lie group actions on homotopy complex projective spaces, and we have

Proposition 3. *Let G satisfy the relation $H^3(BG, Z) = 0$. Let X be a homotopy complex projective G -space. Then $f(G, X)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $h: X \rightarrow CP^m$ be a homotopy equivalence, where CP^m denotes the m -dimensional complex projective space. Consider the principal bundle $S^1 \rightarrow \Sigma \rightarrow X$ induced from the principal bundle $S^1 \rightarrow S^{2m+1} \rightarrow CP^m$. Then Σ is a homotopy sphere. We denote by H the associated complex line bundle $\Sigma \times_{S^1} C$. We have $K(X) = Z[H]/(1-H)^{m+1}$. Since G is connected, the Chern class $c_1(\Sigma)$ is invariant under the action of G . Consider the cohomology spectral sequence associated with the fibering $X \rightarrow X \times_G EG \rightarrow BG$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,1} &= H^p(BG, H^1(X, Z)) = 0, \\ d_2: H^2(X, Z) &\rightarrow E_2^{2,1} = H^2(BG, H^1(X, Z)) = 0, \\ d_3: E_3^{0,2} &= H^2(X, Z) \rightarrow E_3^{3,0} = \text{a quotient group of } E_2^{2,0} \\ &= \text{a quotient group of } H^2(BG, Z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Corollary 1.3 in [2], we obtain the proposition.

Now suppose that $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion free, and let X be a *smooth* homotopy complex projective G -space. Then we have

Corollary to Proposition 3. *$f(G, X)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Since $H^3(BT, Z) = 0$, we see by Proposition 3, $f(T, X)$ is surjective, and hence by Theorem 2 that so is $f(G, X)$.

Next let Σ be a pseudo-linear G sphere of even dimension [4] where $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion free then we have

Corollary to Theorems 1 and 2. *$f(G, \Sigma)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $T(n)$ be a maximal torus of G . By Proposition 2.3 in [4],

$K_{T(i)}^1(\Sigma)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ where $T(i)$ is as in §1. Then by Theorem 1, $f(T(n), \Sigma)$ is surjective, hence by Theorem 2 we obtain the corollary.

Now let us consider the complex quadric W^{2m} defined by an equation $z_0^2+z_1^2+\dots+z_{2m+1}^2=0$ in CP^{2m+1} . We have an inclusion map $U(m) \subset SO(2m)$ which is given by the realification $A+\sqrt{-1}B \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}$. For any $M \in SO(2m)$ we have

$$M \begin{pmatrix} \rho z_2 \\ \vdots \\ \rho z_{2m+1} \end{pmatrix} = \rho M \begin{pmatrix} z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_{2m+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } \rho \in S^1$$

then we obtain actions of $SO(2m)$ and $U(m)$ on W^{2m} . Then we have

Proposition 4. $f(U(m), W^{2m})$ is surjective.

Proof. Let $T(m)$ be the standard maximal torus of $U(m)$ and $T(i)$ be as in §1. By Theorems 1, 2, it is sufficient to prove that $K_{T(i)}^1(W^{2m})=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. By 3.6 Theorem in [1], $K^1(W^{2m})=K^1(SO(2m+2)/SO(2m) \times SO(2))=0$, then by Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.6 in [4], $S^{-1}K_{T(i)}^1(W^{2m})=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Here let $I_{T(i)}$ be the kernel of the augmentation $R(T(i)) \rightarrow Z$ and $S=1+I_{T(i)}$. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset R(T(i))$ be a prime ideal associated to the trivial group e , then $(1+I_{T(i)}) \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$, therefore

$$(1) \quad K_{T(i)}^1(W^{2m})_e = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Now we consider the case $m=1$. For any non trivial subgroup H of $T(1)=S^1$,

$$K_{S^1}^1(W^2)_H \approx K_{S^1}^1((W^2)^H)_H \approx (K_{S^1/H}^1(\text{two points}) \otimes_{R(S^1/H)} R(S^1))_H = 0,$$

because of $(W^2)^H = \{[1, i, 0, 0], [1, -i, 0, 0]\}$, therefore by (1) $K_{S^1}^1(W^2)_{\mathcal{P}}=0$ for any prime ideal \mathcal{P} of $R(S^1)$, thus $K_{S^1}^1(W^2)=0$. Next let us suppose that $K_{T(n')}^1(W^{2n})=0$ for $n' \leq n < m$. For $m' \leq m$ and a subgroup $H=e \times \dots \times e \times H_1 \times \dots \times H_k$ of $T(m')$ where $H_i \neq e$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$T(m')/H = T(m'-k) \times T(k)/H'$$

where $H'=H_1 \times \dots \times H_k$ and let $T(m'-k)$, $T(k)$ be viewed as $S_{k+1}^1 \times \dots \times S_{m'}^1$, $S_1^1 \times \dots \times S_k^1$ respectively. Then

$$K_{T(m')/H}^1((W^{2m})^H) \approx K_{T(m')/H}^1(W^{2(m-k)}) \approx K_{T(m'-k)}^1(W^{2(m-k)}) \otimes_{R(T(k)/H')} R(T(k)/H') = 0,$$

therefore

$$K_{T(m')}^1(W^{2m})_H \approx K_{T(m')}^1((W^{2m})^H)_H \approx (K_{T(m')/H}^1(W^{2m})^H \otimes_{R(T(m')/H)} R(T(m')))_H = 0,$$

hence by (1), $K_{T(m')}^1(W^{2m})_{\mathcal{P}}=0$ for any prime ideal \mathcal{P} of $R(T(m'))$. Thus $K_{T(m')}^1(W^{2m})=0$ for $m' \leq m$.

§3. A Generalization of Theorem 2

In this section we consider the case $\text{Tor } \pi_1(G) \neq 0$. It is known that G is isomorphic to a quotient group of a compact connected Lie group \tilde{G} with $\text{Tor } \pi_1(\tilde{G}) = 0$ by a finite subgroup F of its center (\tilde{G} is uniquely determined up to an isomorphism). So we write $G = \tilde{G}/F$. Here we assume that F is cyclic of order d and there exist complex representations $W_{i,1}, \dots, W_{i,l_i}$ of \tilde{G} for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ such that

$$(1) \quad W_{i,k}|F = m(i,k)V^{\otimes i} \quad (1 \leq k \leq l_i),$$

where $W|F$ denotes the restriction of W on F , $m(i,k)$ the degree of $W_{i,k}$ and V a non trivial canonical 1-dimensional complex representation of F .

In fact, if \tilde{G} is simple and simply connected then we have such a system of representations. Because \tilde{G} admits at least one faithful irreducible representation W and so we may consider that $W|F = mV$ which implies that the i -fold exterior power of W is of the form $\binom{m}{i}V^{\otimes i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq d-1$).

Let m_i be the greatest common divisor (G.C.D.) of $m(i,1), \dots, m(i,l_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ and m be the least common multiple of m_1, \dots, m_{d-1} (L.C.M.). Then we have

Theorem 5. *If $f(T, X)$ is surjective, then $\text{Image } f(G, X) \supset mK(X)$.*

Proof. Choose a maximal torus \tilde{T} of \tilde{G} such that $T = \tilde{T}/F$. Since we can view a T -vector bundle over X as a \tilde{T} -vector bundle over X in a natural way, we see by the assumption that $f(\tilde{T}, X)$ is surjective, and so by Theorem 2 that $f(\tilde{G}, X)$ must also be. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a \tilde{G} -vector bundle. Then we have a decomposition of a F -vector bundle

$$E \approx E^F \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} V^{\otimes i} \otimes \text{Hom}_F(V^{\otimes i}, E),$$

where E^F is the invariant subbundle of E and $\underline{A} = A \times X$ a product vector bundle. Therefore we have an equality

$$(2) \quad f(\tilde{G}, X)[E] = [E^F] + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} [\text{Hom}_F(V^{\otimes i}, E)],$$

in $K(X)$. Since E^F becomes a G -vector bundle, $[E^F] \in \text{Image } f(G, X)$. Now by (1)

$$(\underline{W}_{s,t} \otimes E)^F \approx m(s,t)(V^{\otimes s} \otimes E)^F,$$

as usual vector bundles. Therefore

$$m(s,t)[\text{Hom}_F(V^{\otimes(d-s)}, E)] = [(\underline{W}_{s,t} \otimes E)^F] \in \text{Image } f(G, X),$$

because of $(V^{\otimes s} \otimes E)^F \approx \text{Hom}_F(V^{\otimes(d-s)}, E)$. This implies that $m[\text{Hom}_F(V^{\otimes(d-s)}, E)] \in \text{Image } f(G, X)$ for $1 \leq s \leq d-1$. Hence by (2) we see that $mf(\tilde{G}, X)[E] \in \text{Image } f(G, X)$, and the proof is completed.

From the facts mentioned in § 12 of [3] we have the following examples of m (we use the notations of [3]).

1. $\tilde{G}=SU(l)$, $F=Z_l$ the center of \tilde{G} .
 $m=\text{L. C. M. of } \binom{l}{i} (1 \leq i \leq l-1)$, for $\lambda_i|F=\binom{l}{i}V^{\otimes i}$.
2. $\tilde{G}=\text{Spin}(2l+1)$, $F=Z_2(-1)$.
 $m=2^l$, for $\Delta|F=2^lV$.
3. $\tilde{G}=\text{Sp}(l)$, $F=Z_2(-1)$.
 $m=\text{G. C. D. of } \binom{2l}{2i+1} (1 \leq 2i+1 \leq l)$, for $\lambda_i|F=\binom{2l}{i}V^{\otimes i}$,
4. $\tilde{G}=\text{Spin}(2l)$, $F=Z_2(-1)$.
 $m=2^{l-1}$, for $\Delta^+|F=\Delta^-|F=2^{l-1}V$.
5. $\tilde{G}=\text{Spin}(4l+2)$, $F=Z_4(e_1 \cdots e_{4l+2})$.
 $m=\text{L. C. M. } \left\{ 2^{2l}, \text{ G. C. D. } \binom{4l+2}{2i+1}, 1 \leq 2i+1 \leq 2l-1 \right\}$, for $\Delta^+|F=2^{2l}V$,
 $\lambda_1|F=(4l+2)V^{\otimes 2}$, $\Delta^-|F=2^{2l}V^{\otimes 3}$ and $\lambda_i|F=\binom{4l+2}{i}V^{\otimes 2i}$.
6. $\tilde{G}=\text{Spin}(4l)$, $F=Z_2(-e_1 \cdots e_{4l})$.
 $m=\text{G. C. D. } \left\{ 2^{2l-1}, \binom{4l}{2i-1}, 1 \leq 2i-1 < 2l-2 \right\}$, for $\lambda_i|F=\binom{4l}{i}V^{\otimes i}$,
 $\Delta^+|F=2^{2l-1} \cdot 1$ and $\Delta^-|F=2^{2l-1}V$.
7. $\tilde{G}=E_6$, $F=Z_3$ the center of \tilde{G} .
 $m=27$, for $\rho_1|F=27V$, $\rho_5|F=13 \cdot 27V$, $\rho_6|F=27V^{\otimes 2}$ and $\rho_3|F=13 \cdot 27V^{\otimes 2}$.
8. $\tilde{G}=E_7$, $F=Z_2$ the center of \tilde{G} .
 $m=8$, for $\rho_2|F=8 \cdot 95V$, $\rho_5|F=16 \cdot 5187V$ and $\rho_7|F=8 \cdot 7V$.

§ 4. On the Atiyah-Hirzebruch Map

In this section we shall make a remark about the map $\alpha(G, T)$.

Theorem 6. *If the map $\alpha(G, T)$ is surjective, then the group $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion free.*

Proof. We suppose that the group $\pi_1(G)$ has a p -torsion subgroup, where p is a prime number. As remarked in § 3 if G is semisimple, then there are a simply connected Lie group \tilde{G} and a non trivial subgroup F of the center $Z(\tilde{G})$ and we can write $G=\tilde{G}/F$. Let Γ be a cyclic subgroup of F and of order p . We have $R(\Gamma)=Z[V]/(V^{\otimes p}-1)$, where V is a canonical non trivial one dimensional representation. By § 12 in [3],

$$(1) \quad Z[\alpha(V)]/(\alpha(V^{\otimes p})-1, p^k(\alpha(V)-1)) \subset K(\tilde{G}/\Gamma),$$

where $\alpha: R(\Gamma) \rightarrow K(\tilde{G}/\Gamma)$ is given by the map $U \rightarrow \tilde{G} \times_{\Gamma} U$ for a Γ -module U , and $k \geq 1$. Denote by $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ the projection map, and let \tilde{T} be a maximal torus of \tilde{G} . Then $\Gamma \subset \tilde{T}$ and $T = \pi(\tilde{T})$ is a maximal torus of G . Let $\bar{\alpha}: R(\Gamma) \otimes_{R(G)} Z \rightarrow K(\tilde{G}/\Gamma)$ be the map induced from the map α . By Theorem 3 in [6], we have an isomorphism $\bar{\alpha}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}): R(\tilde{T}) \otimes_{R(\tilde{G})} Z \rightarrow K(\tilde{G}/\tilde{T})$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R(T) & \xrightarrow{\alpha(G, T)} & K(G/T) \\
 \pi^* \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\alpha(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T})} & \approx \downarrow \\
 R(\tilde{T}) & & K(\tilde{G}/\tilde{T}) \\
 q \downarrow & \nearrow \approx & \downarrow \\
 R(\tilde{T}) \otimes_{R(\tilde{G})} Z & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T})} & \\
 i^* \otimes 1 \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & K(\tilde{G}/\Gamma), \\
 R(\Gamma) \otimes_{R(G)} Z & &
 \end{array}$$

where q denotes the natural projection. Suppose that $\alpha(G, T)$ is surjective, then $q \circ \pi^*$ is surjective, therefore $(i^* \otimes 1) \circ q \circ \pi^*$ is so. Thus $V \otimes 1$ in $R(\Gamma) \otimes_{R(G)} Z$ is contained in the image of $(i^* \otimes 1) \circ q \circ \pi^*$. Since the image of π^* are trivial on Γ , $\bar{\alpha}(V \otimes 1 - 1) = 0$. On the other hand, by (1) $\bar{\alpha}(V \otimes 1 - 1) = (\alpha(V) \otimes 1 - 1) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\alpha(G, T)$ is not surjective.

Now we consider the case where G is not semisimple. We have a compact simply connected semisimple Lie group G_0 , a torus S and a finite subgroup F of the center of $G_0 \times S$ such that $F \cap (1 \times S) = e$ and $G = (G_0 \times S)/F$. Then we have an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_1(G_0 \times S) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G) \longrightarrow \pi_0(F) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and isomorphisms

$$\pi_1(G_0 \times S) \approx \pi_1(S) \approx \bigoplus^l Z \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_0(F) = F,$$

where l is the dimension of S . Hence $\pi_1(G) \approx \bigoplus^l Z \oplus T$ for some torsion group T . Denote by $\pi: G_0 \times S \rightarrow G$ the projection map. Consider the exact sequence

$$e \longrightarrow F \cap (G_0 \times e) \longrightarrow G_0 \times e \longrightarrow \pi(G_0 \times e) \longrightarrow e.$$

Suppose that $F \cap (G_0 \times e) = e$, then $G_0 \times e \approx \pi(G_0 \times e)$, therefore from the fibration $G_0 \approx \pi(G_0 \times e) \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/\pi(G_0 \times e) = a$ torus of rank l , we have $\pi_1(G) \approx \pi_1(G/\pi(G_0 \times e)) \approx \bigoplus^l Z$, hence $T = 0$. Thus if $T \neq 0$, then $F \cap (G_0 \times e) \neq e$, and we have an element $g = (g_0, 1)$ in $F \cap (G_0 \times e)$ where 1 denotes the unit element, such that the order of g is a prime number p . Let Γ_0 be the cyclic group generated by g_0 , and T_0 be a maximal torus of G_0 . Now we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R(T) & \xrightarrow{\alpha(G, T)} & K(G/T) \\
 \pi^* \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\alpha(G_0 \times S, T_0 \times S)} & \approx \downarrow \\
 R(T_0 \times S) & & K((G_0 \times S)/(T_0 \times S)) \\
 q \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \approx \downarrow \\
 R(T_0 \times S) \otimes_{R(G_0, S)} Z & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}(G_0 \times S, T_0 \times S)} & K(G_0/T_0) \\
 \approx \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}(G_0, T_0)} & \downarrow \\
 R(T_0) \otimes_{R(G_0)} Z & & K(G_0/\Gamma_0) \\
 i^* \otimes 1 \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & \\
 R(\Gamma_0) \otimes_{R(G_0)} Z & &
 \end{array}$$

then by the same argument as in the case of semisimple, we can prove that $\alpha(G, T)$ is not surjective.

References

- [1] Atiyah, M.F. and Hirzebruch, F., Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces, *Proceedings of Symposium, A.M.S.*, Vol. **III** (1960), 7-38.
- [2] Hattori, A. and Yoshida, T., Lifting compact group actions in fibre bundles, *Japan. J. Math.*, **2** (1976), 13-25.
- [3] Hodgkin, L.H. and Snaith, V.P., *Topics in K-theory*, Lecture notes in Math., **496**, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [4] Iberkleid, W., Pseudo-linear spheres, *Michigan Math. J.*, **25** (1978), 359-370.
- [5] McLeod, J., *The Kunnetth formula in equivariant K-theory*, Lecture notes in Math., **741**, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [6] Pittie, H.V., Homogeneous vector bundles on homogeneous spaces, *Topology*, **11** (1972), 199-203.
- [7] Snaith, V.P., On the Kunnetth formula spectral sequence in equivariant K-theory, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **72** (1972), 167-177.