J. Spectr. Theory 4 (2014), 613[–619](#page-6-0) DOI 10.4171/JST/80

## **A remark on the Mourre theory for two body Schrödinger operators**

Shu Nakamura1

**Abstract.** On this short note, we apply the Mourre theory of the limiting absorption with *difference* type conditions on the potential, instead of conditions on the derivatives. In order that we modify the definition of the conjugate operator, and we apply the standard abstract Mourre theory. We also discuss examples to which the method applies.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 81U05, 35P25, 47A40.

**Keywords.** Scattering theory, Schrödinger operators, Mourre estimate.

## **1. Introduction**

We consider the Schrödinger operator on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e,

$$
H = H_0 + V(x)
$$
,  $H_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$  on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

with  $d \ge 1$ .  $V(x)$  is the potential, and we always suppose  $V(x)$  is a real-valued locally  $L^2$ -function.

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval. We say *the Mourre theory applies to* H *on* I, if for any interval  $J \in I$ , there is a self-adjoint operator A on  $H$  such that

- (i) for  $z \in \rho(H)$ ,  $t \mapsto e^{itA}(H z)^{-1}e^{-itA}$  is a  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued  $C^2$ -function on R;
- (ii) there is  $c > 0$  such that

$$
E_J(H)[H, iA]E_J(H) \ge cE_J(H) + K,\tag{1}
$$

with some compact operator K, where  $E_J(H)$  is the spectral projection.

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by the JSPS Grant Kiban (A) 21244008.

It is well-known (see e.g.,  $[1, 3]$  $[1, 3]$ ) that under these conditions, the following properties hold.

- (a)  $\sigma_p(H) \cap I$  is discrete, and each eigenvalues are of finite rank.
- (b) *H* is absolutely continuous on  $I \setminus \sigma_p(H)$ .
- (c) Let  $\gamma > 1/2$ . Then, for each  $\lambda \in I \setminus \sigma_p(H)$ ,

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \langle A \rangle^{-\gamma} (H - \lambda \pm i \varepsilon)^{-1} \langle A \rangle^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})
$$

exist and the limits are Hölder continuous in  $\lambda \in I \setminus \sigma_p(H)$ .

Here we have used the standard notation:  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ .

When we apply the Mourre theory to 2 body Schrödinger operators, we usually use  $A = \frac{1}{2i}(x \cdot \partial_x + \partial_x \cdot x)$ , and some derivative conditions are imposed on the potential, at least on the long-range part. Instead, we suppose difference type conditions as follows. Let  $\beta > 0$ , and let  $e_j = (\delta_{jk})_{k=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$   $(j = 1, ..., d)$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We set

$$
T_j^{\beta} f(x) = f(x + \beta e_j), \quad T_j^{\beta *} f(x) = f(x - \beta e_j),
$$

and also

$$
\Delta_j^{\beta} f(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} (T_j^{\beta} - 1) f(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} (f(x + \beta e_j) - f(x)),
$$

for a function f on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $j = 1, ..., d$ .

**Assumption A.** Let  $\beta > 0$ .  $V(x)$  and  $x_j \Delta_i^{\beta}$  $j_j^p V(x)$   $(j = 1, ..., d)$  are  $H_0$ -compact. Moreover,  $x_j x_k \Delta_j^{\beta} \Delta_k^{\beta}$  $_{k}^{p}V(x)$   $(j, k = 1, \ldots, d)$  are  $H_0$ -bounded.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose Assumption A with  $\beta > 0$ , and let  $I = (0, \frac{1}{2}(\pi/\beta)^2)$ . Then *the Mourre theory applies to H on I. Hence, in particular, properties* (a)–(c) *holds on I.* 

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose Assumption A holds for all*  $\beta > 0$ *. Then the Mourre theory applies to H on*  $(0, \infty)$ *.* 

**Remark 1.** In Corollary 2, we do not assume Assumption A with uniform bounds in  $\beta > 0$ . Hence, V is not necessarily differentiable.

**Example 1.** Suppose  $V = V_1 + V_2 + V_3$ , where

- (i)  $|x|^2 V(x)$  is  $H_0$ -bounded;
- (ii)  $V_2 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $V_2$  and  $|x|^2 \partial_{x_j} V_2$   $(j = 1, ..., d)$  are  $H_0$ -compact;
- (iii)  $V_3 \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $V_3$  and  $x_j \partial_{x_j} V_3$   $(j = 1, ..., d)$  are  $H_0$ -compact, and, for  $j, k = 1, \ldots, d, x_j x_k \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_k} V_3$  are  $H_0$ -bounded.

Then V satisfies Assumption A with any  $\beta > 0$ . This is a variation of the standard assumption of the Mourre theory for 2 body Schrödinger operators.

**Example 2.** Suppose  $W(x)$  is a  $\beta$ -periodic locally  $L^p$ -function, i.e.,

$$
W(x + \beta e_j) = W(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,
$$

where  $p = 2$  if  $d \leq 3$  and  $p > d/2$  if  $d > 4$ . Let  $\gamma > 0$  and we set

$$
V(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-\gamma} W(x).
$$

Then  $V(x)$  satisfies Assumption A with the above  $\beta$ , and hence H is absolutely continuous except for discrete eigenvalues on  $(0, \frac{1}{2}(\pi/\beta)^2)$ . This example shows that even the long-range part may be rather singular for the Mourre theory to be applied.

The Mourre theory is one of the most useful methods in the scattering theory [\[7\]](#page-5-2). For comprehensive reviews and applications, see, for example, [\[1\]](#page-5-0), [\[2\]](#page-5-3),  $[3]$ ,  $[4]$ ,  $[8]$ ,  $[9]$ ,  $[5]$ , etc. Usually a differential operator (the dilation generator, in particular) is used as the conjugate operator  $A$ , and hence some discussion about the differentiability of the potential is necessary, though it is possible to avoid differentiability assumptions using approximation arguments. We employ a difference operator as conjugate operator, and this is partially motivated by the Mourre theory for discrete Schrödinger operators  $(2, 6)$ . Difference operators belong to the Fourier multipliers, and conjugate operators in terms of Fourier multipliers are not new. In fact they appear in the original paper by Mourre [\[7\]](#page-5-2), and studied extensively, for example, in Amrein, Boutet de Monvel, Georgescu [\[1\]](#page-5-0). In fact, our theorem may be considered as an application of Proposition 7.5.6 of [\[1\]](#page-5-0). However, by using difference operators, the formulation and the argument become considerably simpler, and we can give an elementary and self-contained proof in this short article. The choice of the conjugate operator and its applications, Example 2 in particular, seem new, as far as the author is aware of.

616 Shu Nakamura

## **2. Proof**

We fix  $\beta > 0$  and suppose Assumption A in the following. We denote the Fourier transform by F:

$$
\mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int e^{-ix\cdot\xi} \varphi(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).
$$

We write

$$
Q_j u(x) = \frac{1}{2i\beta} (T_j^{\beta} - T_j^{\beta*}) u(x) = \frac{1}{2i\beta} (u(x + \beta e_j) - u(x - \beta e_j)).
$$

We note

$$
\mathcal{FQ}_j \mathcal{F}^* u(\xi) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sin(\beta \xi_j) u(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).
$$

We now define

$$
Au = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} (Q_j x_j + x_j Q_j) u \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).
$$

We then note that

$$
-i\mathcal{F}A\mathcal{F}^* = \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \sin(\beta \xi_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \sin(\beta \xi_j) \right)
$$

is a first order differential operator which generates a unitary group through a change of coordinates. This implies, in particular,  $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{F}^*$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and hence A is also essentially self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover,  $e^{-itA}$ leaves the domain of  $H_0$  and H invariant.

Now by easy computations, we have

$$
\mathcal{F}[H_0, iA] \mathcal{F}^* = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\beta} \sin(\beta \xi_j) \xi_j,
$$

and it is easy to see

$$
\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\beta} \sin(\beta \xi_j) \xi_j > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 < |\xi| < \frac{\pi}{\beta}.
$$

We let  $\eta > 0$  sufficiently small and choose  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$
\operatorname{supp} f \subset \Big[\frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\pi}{\beta} - \frac{\eta}{2}\Big)^2\Big]; \quad f(t) = 1 \text{ if } t \in \Big[\eta, \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\pi}{\beta} - \eta\Big)^2\Big].
$$

Then we learn

$$
f(H_0)[H_0, iA]f(H_0) \geq \delta f(H_0)^2,
$$

with some  $\delta > 0$ .

It is well-known that  $f(H) - f(H_0)$  is compact if V is  $H_0$ -compact, and by using the standard argument, we have

$$
f(H)[H_0, iA]f(H) \ge \delta f(H)^2 + K_1,\tag{2}
$$

with a compact operator  $K_1$ .

Next we consider [V, iA]. By straightforward computation, we have

$$
A = \frac{1}{4i\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{d} (x_j T_j^{\beta} - x_j T_j^{\beta *}) + \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{j=1}^{d} (T_j^{\beta} + T_j^{\beta *}).
$$
 (3)

The second sum in the right hand side is bounded and commutes with  $H_0$ , and hence its commutator with  $V$  is  $H_0$ -compact by the assumption. We also have

$$
[T_j^{\beta}, V]u(x) = T_j^{\beta}Vu(x) - VT_j^{\beta}u(x)
$$
  
=  $V(x + \beta e_j)u(x + \beta e_j) - V(x)u(x + \beta e_j)$   
=  $(V(x + \beta e_j) - V(x))u(x + \beta e_j)$   
=  $(\beta \Delta_j^{\beta} V)T_j^{\beta}u(x).$ 

This implies

$$
[x_j T_j^{\beta}, V] = x_j [T_j^{\beta}, V] = \beta (x_j \Delta_j^{\beta} V) T_j^{\beta}
$$

is  $H_0$ -compact again by the assumption. Similarly, we can show  $[x_j T_j^*, V]$  is  $H_0$ compact:

$$
[x_j T_j^{\beta*}, V] = x_j T_j^{\beta*} (\beta \Delta_j^{\beta} V) = \beta T_j^{\beta*} (x_j \Delta_j^{\beta} V) + \beta T_j^{\beta*} (\Delta_j^{\beta} V).
$$

Thus we learn  $[V, iA]$  is  $H_0$ -compact. Combining this with (2), we obtain the Mourre inequality (1).

It remains to show  $e^{itA}(H-z)^{-1}e^{-itA}$  is a  $C^2$ -class function in t. Since  $e^{-itA}$ leaves  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) = D(H) = D(H_0)$  invariant, it suffices to show [H, iA] and [[H, iA], iA] are  $H_0$ -bounded. By the above expressions of  $[H_0, iA]$  and [V, iA], [H, iA] is obviously  $H_0$ -bounded. [[ $H_0$ , iA], iA] is computed as

$$
\mathcal{F}[[H_0, iA], iA]\mathcal{F}^* = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{j=1}^d \Big( \sin(\beta \xi_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} [\sin(\beta \xi_j) \xi_j] \Big).
$$

and hence it is  $H_0$ -bounded. [[V, iA], iA] can be computed and estimated using (3) as above. For example, we have

$$
[[V, x_j T_j^{\beta}], x_k T_k^{\beta}] = \beta [x_k T_k^{\beta}, (x_j \Delta_j^{\beta} V) T_j^{\beta}]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \beta x_k [T_k^{\beta}, (x_j \Delta_j^{\beta} V)] T_j^{\beta} + \beta x_j (\Delta_j^{\beta} V) [x_k, T_j^{\beta}] T_k^{\beta}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \beta^2 x_j x_k (\Delta_j^{\beta} \Delta_k^{\beta} V) T_j^{\beta} T_k^{\beta}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \beta \delta_{jk} x_k T_k^{\beta} (\Delta_j^{\beta} V) T_j^{\beta} - \beta \delta_{jk} x_j (\Delta_j^{\beta} V) T_j^{\beta} T_k^{\beta}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \beta^2 [x_j x_k (\Delta_j^{\beta} \Delta_k^{\beta} V)] T_j^{\beta} T_k^{\beta}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \beta \delta_{jk} T_k^{\beta} [x_j (\Delta_j^{\beta} V)] T_j^{\beta} - \beta \delta_{jk} T_k^{\beta} (\Delta_j^{\beta} V) T_j^{\beta}
$$
  
\n
$$
- \beta \delta_{jk} [x_j (\Delta_j^{\beta} V)] T_j^{\beta} T_k^{\beta}
$$

and each term is  $H_0$ -bounded by the assumption. Other terms in the expansion of  $[[V, iA], iA]$  can be computed similarly.  $\Box$ 

## **References**

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] W. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel, and V. Georgescu, C<sub>0</sub>-groups, commutator meth*ods and spectral theory of* N *-Body Hamiltonians.* Progress in Mathematics, 135. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996. [MR 1388037](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1388037) [Zbl 0962.47500](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0962.47500)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[2] A. Boutet de Monvel, J. Sahbani, On the spectral properties of discrete Schrödinger operators: the multi-dimensional case. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **11** (1999), 1061–1078. [MR 1725827](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1725827) [Zbl 0969.47028](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0969.47028)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[3] J. Derezinski and C. Gérard, *Scattering theory of classical and quantum* N *-particle systems.* Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer, Berlin etc., 1997. [MR 1459161](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1459161) [Zbl 0899.47007](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0899.47007)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[4] C. Gérard, A proof of the abstract limiting absorption principle by energy estimates. *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), 2707–2724. [MR 2414218](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2414218) [Zbl 1141.47017](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1141.47017)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[5] S. Golénia and T. Jecko, Rescaled Mourre's commutator theory, application to Schrödinger operators with oscillating potential. To appear in *J. Operator Theory.* Preprint 2012. [arXiv:1012.0705](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.0705) [math.SP]
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[6] H. Isozaki and E. Korotyaev, Inverse problems, trace formulae for discrete Schrödinger operators. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **13** (2012), 751–788. [MR 2913620](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2913620) [Zbl 1250.81124](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1250.81124)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[7] E. Mourre, Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain selfadjoint operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **78** (1980/81), 391–408. [MR 0603501](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0603501) [Zbl 0489.47010](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0489.47010)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[8] H. Tamura, Principle of limiting absorption for N -body Schrödinger operators. A remark on the commutator method. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **17** (1989), 31–36. [MR 0990580](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0990580) [Zbl 0719.35065](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0719.35065)

A remark on the Mourre theory for two body Schrödinger operators 619

<span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>[9] D. R. Yafaev, *Mathematical scattering theory.* Analytic theory. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 158. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2010. [MR 2598115](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2598115) [Zbl 1197.35006](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1197.35006)

Received 2013 May, 21; revised 2014 February, 18

Shu Nakamura, Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3–8–1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, 153–8914 Tokyo, Japan

e-mail: [shu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp](mailto:shu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp)