J. Spectr. Theory 5 (2015), 533[–546](#page-13-0) DOI 10.4171/JST/105

# Absence of  $l^1$  eigenfunctions for lattice operators **with fast local periodic approximation**

Alexander Y. Gordon

**Abstract.** We show that a lattice Schrödinger operator  $\Delta + v$  whose potential  $v \colon \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{C}$ admits fast local approximation by periodic functions does not have  $l^1$  eigenfunctions. In particular, it does not exhibit Anderson localization. A special case of this result pertaining to quasi-periodic potentials states: Let  $V: \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{C}$  be a  $(1, \ldots, 1)$ -periodic function satisfying the Hölder condition. There is such  $\theta > 0$  that if real numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ satisfy the inequality  $||n_1\alpha_1|| + \cdots + ||n_d\alpha_d|| < \theta^{n_1...n_d}$  for infinitely many d-tuples  $(n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  ( $\|\cdot\|$  is the distance from a real number to the nearest integer), then the operator  $\Delta + v$  with  $v(x) = V(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_d x_d)$  has no nontrivial eigenfunctions in  $l^1({\bf Z}^d)$ . This statement contrasts the result of J. Bourgain: Anderson localization for quasiperiodic lattice Schrödinger operators on **Z** <sup>d</sup> , d arbitrary, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **17** (2007), 682–706.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** Primary: 39A70; Secondary: 39A14.

Keywords. Difference operators, lattice Schrödinger operators, periodic operators, periodic approximation, quasi-periodic operators, Anderson localization.

#### **1. Introduction**

We consider a lattice Schrödinger operator  $L = \Delta + v$  acting in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  ( $d \ge 2$ ) as follows:

$$
(Lu)(x) = \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|x' - x\|_1 = 1} u(x') + v(x)u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.
$$

We examine the case where the potential  $v(\cdot)$  can be approximated with high accuracy by a sequence of periodic potentials with growing periods on a suitable increasing sequence of finite sets. We show that such operator does not have eigenfunctions in  $l^1(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ . In particular, there are no exponentially decaying eigenfunctions and hence no Anderson localization (the phenomenon where such eigenfunctions are complete in  $l^2(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ ).

The class of potentials described above includes, among others, quasi-periodic potentials of the form

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
v(x) = V(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_d x_d), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d,
$$
 (1)

where  $V: \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{C}$  is a  $(1, \ldots, 1)$ -periodic function satisfying the Hölder condition, and  $\alpha_i$ 's are irrational numbers that admit very good approximation by rationals. The absence of fast decaying eigenfunctions for such potentials contrasts the result of Bourgain  $[1]$ , according to which for a fixed real analytic function V on  $\mathbf{T}^d$  satisfying a mild non-degeneracy condition, the operator  $\Delta + \lambda v$  with  $v(\cdot)$ given by [\(1\)](#page-1-0) exhibits Anderson localization for all  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbf{T}^d \setminus \Omega_\lambda$ , where mes  $\Omega_{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ; see also the earlier work [\[2\]](#page-13-2).

The main tool used in the paper is the inequality (Lemma [1\)](#page-1-1) of the form  $|u(0)| <$  $\sum_{x \in K} |u(x)|$ , where  $u(\cdot)$  is an arbitrary solution of a periodic linear homogeneous lattice equation and  $K$  is a certain finite subset of the group of periods not containing 0. This is a generalization of the one-dimensional inequality going back to [\[3\]](#page-13-3): for any solution of the equation

$$
y(n-1) + y(n+1) + v(n)y(n) = \lambda y(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

with a T-periodic coefficient  $v(\cdot)$  one has

$$
|y(0)| \le 2 \max_{k=\pm 1, \pm 2} |y(kT)|
$$

(in [\[3\]](#page-13-3) this was proved for the equation

$$
-y'' + v(t)y = \lambda y
$$

with a real-valued T-periodic  $v(t)$  and real  $\lambda$ ; for the further history of this inequality, see  $[4]$ ).

#### **2. Periodic operators**

<span id="page-1-1"></span>From now on, given a set  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we will denote the set  $X \setminus \{0\}$  by  $X^*$ . The cardinality of a finite set X will be denoted by  $|X|$  or, alternatively, by #X. The dimension  $d$  of the lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  will always be assumed to be  $\geq 2$  (except for Lemma  $1$ and Theorem [1,](#page-3-0) where  $d$  may also equal one).

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  and L a  $\Gamma$ -periodic linear operator in  $\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{Z}^d}$ ( $\Gamma$ -periodicity means that, letting  $(T^{\gamma}u)(x) = u(x + \gamma)$ , we have  $T^{\gamma}L = LT^{\gamma}$ for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  .) Suppose  $F \subset \Gamma$  and  $Y \subset \mathbf{Z}^d$  are such finite sets and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  is such *a number that*

(a) *if*  $u(\cdot)$  *is a solution of the equation* 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
Lu = \lambda u \tag{2}
$$

*and*  $u|_Y = 0$ *, then*  $u|_F = 0$ *;* 

(b)  $|F| > |Y|$ *.* 

*<u>Then for any solution*  $u(\cdot)$  *of ([2](#page-2-0))</u>* 

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
|u(0)| \le \sum_{x \in (F - F)^*} |u(x)|. \tag{3}
$$

*Proof.* Let N denote the linear space of all solutions of [\(2\)](#page-2-0), and let  $M = N|_Y$ . It follows from (a) that for each  $x \in F$  the value of a solution  $u \in N$  at x is uniquely determined by  $u|_Y$  and is, therefore, given by a linear functional on M. Since dim  $M \leq |Y|$ , (b) implies that those functionals are linearly dependent: there are  $b_x \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $x \in F$ ), not all of them 0, such that

$$
\sum_{x \in F} b_x u(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in N.
$$

There is such  $a \in F$  that  $|b_x| \leq |b_a|$  for all  $x \in F$ . Then

$$
u(a) = \sum_{x \in F \setminus \{a\}} c_x u(x),
$$

where  $c_x = -b_x/b_a$  and hence  $|c_x| \le 1$ ; it follows that

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
|u(a)| \le \sum_{x \in F \setminus \{a\}} |u(x)|. \tag{4}
$$

In view of the  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of L, the space N of solutions of [\(2\)](#page-2-0) is invariant under translations by elements of  $\Gamma$ . Therefore, [\(4\)](#page-2-1) implies the inequality

$$
|u(0)| \leq \sum_{x \in (F-a)\setminus\{0\}} |u(x)|,
$$

from which  $(3)$  follows.

 $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and L a  $\Gamma$ -periodic linear operator *in*  $C^{\mathbf{Z}^d}$ *. Let*  $F \subset \Gamma$  and  $Y \subset \mathbf{Z}^d$  be two finite sets with the following proper*ties*:

- (a) *for any*  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  *and any solution*  $u(\cdot)$  *of equation* ([2](#page-2-0)) *such that*  $u|_{Y+q} = 0$ *, we also have*  $u|_{F+a} = 0$ ;
- (b)  $|F| > |Y|$ *.*

*fhen for any solution*  $u(\cdot)$  *of* ([2](#page-2-0)) *and any*  $q \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ 

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
|u(q)| \le \sum_{x \in (F - F)^*} |u(q + x)|. \tag{5}
$$

*Proof.* For  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let  $L^q = T^q L T^{-q}$ . The operator  $L^q$  and the sets F and Y satisfy the conditions of Lemma [1.](#page-1-1) Putting  $u_q = T^q u$ , where u is a solution of  $Lu = \lambda u$ , we have  $L^q u_q = \lambda u_q$  and, by Lemma [1,](#page-1-1)

$$
|u_q(0)| \le \sum_{x \in (F-F)^*} |u_q(x)|,
$$

which is equivalent to  $(5)$ .

Let  $L = \Delta + v$ , where  $\Delta$  is the lattice Laplacian,

$$
(\Delta u)(x) = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^d : ||z||_1 = 1} u(x + z), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d,
$$

and v is the operator of multiplication by a complex-valued function  $v(\cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Suppose  $v(\cdot)$  is  $\Gamma$ -periodic,  $\Gamma$  being a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  generated by d linearly independent vectors  $f_1, \ldots, f_d$ , where  $f_j = (f_j^{(i)})$  $\left(\begin{matrix}c^{(i)}\\j\end{matrix}\right)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{Z}^d, j = 1, \ldots, d$ :

$$
\Gamma = \bigg\{\sum_{j=1}^d m_j f_j : m_j \in \mathbf{Z}, j = 1, \ldots, d\bigg\}.
$$

Denote by  $A_{\Gamma}$  the fundamental region of the lattice  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ ,

$$
A_{\Gamma} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \theta_{j} f_{j} : 0 \leq \theta_{j} < 1, j = 1, ..., d \right\},\
$$

<span id="page-3-2"></span>and by  $V_{\Gamma}$  its volume,

$$
V_{\Gamma} = \text{Vol}(A_{\Gamma}) = |\det [f_j^{(i)}]_{i,j=1}^d|.
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

 $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.** Let  $u(\cdot)$  be a solution of the equation  $(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$  with a *F*-periodic potential v. Then for any  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
|u(q)| \leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma^* \colon \|x\|_{\infty} \leq 2dV_{\Gamma}} |u(q+x)|. \tag{6}
$$

*Proof.* Fix an integer  $n \geq 3$  and consider the following subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$
D_n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : 0 \le x_i < n, \ i = 1, \dots, d\}.
$$

For  $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , let

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
F_z = \Gamma \cap (D_n + z). \tag{7}
$$

The lattice  $\Gamma$  has "density"

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \|\gamma\|_{\infty} \le r\}}{(2r)^d} = 1/V_{\Gamma},
$$

and since

$$
\mathbf{R}^d = \bigsqcup_{z \in n\mathbf{Z}^d} (D_n + z),
$$

we have

$$
\sup_{z \in n\mathbb{Z}^d} |F_z| \ge \text{Vol}(D_n) / V_{\Gamma} = n^d / V_{\Gamma}.
$$

Note that  $|F_z|$ , the cardinality of the set  $F_z$ , takes only finitely many values, and hence there are such points  $z \in n\mathbb{Z}^d$  that

$$
|F_z| \geq \frac{n^d}{V_{\Gamma}}.
$$

Fix one such z and let  $C_z = C_0 + z$ , where  $C_0 = \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\}^d$ . Note that

$$
F_z \subset \mathbf{Z}^d \cap (D_n + z) = (\mathbf{Z}^d \cap D_n) + z = C_0 + z = C_z.
$$

Furthermore, let  $Y_0 = C_0 \setminus S_0$ , where

$$
S_0 = \{1, \ldots, n-2\}^{d-1} \times \{2, \ldots, n-1\},\
$$

and

$$
Y_z=Y_0+z.
$$

Given  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , for any solution  $u(\cdot)$  of the equation  $(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$  such that  $u|_{Y_z+q} = 0$  we have  $u|_{C_z+q} = 0$  and consequently  $u|_{F_z+q} = 0$ .

We have

$$
|Y_z| = |Y_0| = n^d - (n-2)^d.
$$

Theorem [1](#page-3-0) is applicable when  $|Y_z| < |F_z|$ , which is guaranteed if  $n^d - (n-2)^d <$  $n^d/V_\Gamma$ , or

$$
1 - \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)^d < \frac{1}{V_\Gamma}.
$$

Since the left-hand side is  $\langle 2d/n,$  we have  $|Y_z| \langle |F_z| \rangle$  if

$$
n \geq 2dV_{\Gamma}.
$$

Theorem [1](#page-3-0) then states that, given any solution  $u(\cdot)$  of  $(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$  and any  $q \in \mathbf{Z}^d$  , we have

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
|u(q)| \leq \sum_{x \in (F_z - F_z)^*} |u(q + x)|. \tag{8}
$$

 $\Box$ 

Here, in view of [\(7\)](#page-4-0),

$$
F_z - F_z \subset \Gamma \cap (D_n - D_n) \subset \{x \in \Gamma : ||x||_{\infty} \le n\}.
$$

<span id="page-5-4"></span>Let  $n = 2dV_\Gamma$ ; then [\(8\)](#page-5-0) implies [\(6\)](#page-4-1).

**Corollary 1.** If  $u(\cdot)$  is a solution of  $(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$ , where the function  $v(\cdot)$  on  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  is  $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d)$ -periodic  $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d \in \mathbf{N})$ , then for any  $q \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ 

$$
|u(q)| \leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma_{\tau}^* : ||x||_{\infty} \leq 2d\tau_1 \dots \tau_d} |u(q+x)|,
$$

*where*

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\Gamma_{\tau} = \{ (j_1 \tau_1, \dots, j_d \tau_d) : j_1, \dots, j_d \in \mathbb{Z} \}. \tag{9}
$$

### **3. Operators approximable by periodic ones**

<span id="page-5-5"></span>We will denote a generic element of  $\mathbb{N}^d$  as  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d)$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $L = \Delta + v$ , where  $v(\cdot)$  is a bounded complex-valued function *on*  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ *. Suppose for some fixed*  $\varepsilon > 0$  *and each*  $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d)$  *in some infinite* set  $\mathfrak{T} \subset \mathbb{N}^d$  there is a  $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d)$ -periodic function  $v_{\tau}(\cdot)$  satisfying the inequality

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\max_{\|x\|_{\infty}\leq (2d+\varepsilon)\tau_1\ldots\tau_d} |v_\tau(x)-v(x)| \leq \theta^{\tau_1\ldots\tau_d},\tag{10}
$$

*where*  $\theta$  *is a constant such that* 

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
0 < \theta < (4d + 2||v||_{\infty} - 1)^{-2d}.\tag{11}
$$

*filtera* the equation  $Lu = \lambda u$  with any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  does not have nontrivial  $l^1$  solutions.

*Proof.* Let

$$
m_{\tau} = [(2d + \varepsilon)\tau_1 \dots \tau_d], \qquad \tau \in \mathfrak{T},
$$

where  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  denotes the integer part of a real number. Inequality [\(10\)](#page-5-1) can be rewritten in the form

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\rho_{\tau} \equiv \max_{x \in Q_{\tau}} |v_{\tau}(x) - v(x)| \le \theta^{\tau_1 \dots \tau_d}, \tag{12}
$$

where

$$
Q_{\tau} = \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^d : ||x||_{\infty} \le m_{\tau}\}.
$$

Suppose  $u: \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{C}$  is a solution of the equation

$$
(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u
$$

such that

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\|u\|_1 \le 1. \tag{13}
$$

Pick any  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$  so there is a  $\tau$ -periodic function  $v_{\tau}(\cdot)$  satisfying [\(12\)](#page-6-0). Define a subset  $Z_{\tau}$  of  $Q_{\tau}$  as follows:

$$
Z_{\tau} = \{x \in Q_{\tau} \colon x_d \in \{-1, 0\} \text{ or } |x_i| = m_{\tau} \text{ for some } i \in \{1, ..., d-1\}\}.
$$

Also put

$$
Q_{\tau}^{\circ} = \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^d : ||x||_{\infty} \le m_{\tau} - 1\}.
$$

Denote by  $u_{\tau}(\cdot)$  the unique function on  $Q_{\tau}$  such that

- (i)  $(\Delta u_{\tau})(x) + v_{\tau}(x)u_{\tau}(x) = \lambda u_{\tau}(x)$  for all  $x \in Q_{\tau}^{o}$ ;
- (ii)  $u_{\tau}|_{Z_{\tau}} = u|_{Z_{\tau}}$ .

The function

$$
w_{\tau}(x) = u_{\tau}(x) - u(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau},
$$

satisfies the equations

$$
w_{\tau}|_{Z_{\tau}}=0
$$

and

$$
(\Delta w_{\tau})(x) + (v(x) - \lambda)w_{\tau}(x) + r_{\tau}(x)u(x) + r_{\tau}(x)w_{\tau}(x) = 0, \quad x \in Q_{\tau}^{0},
$$

where

$$
r_{\tau}(x) = v_{\tau}(x) - v(x).
$$

By representing any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  in the form  $x = (j, k)$ , where  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we transform the previous equation into

$$
w_{\tau}(j,k+1) + w_{\tau}(j,k-1)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} : ||j'-j||_1 = 1} w_{\tau}(j',k) + (v(j,k) - \lambda)w_{\tau}(j,k)
$$
  
+ 
$$
r_{\tau}(j,k)u(j,k) + r_{\tau}(j,k)w_{\tau}(j,k) = 0, \quad (j,k) \in Q_{\tau}^{\circ}
$$

:

This equation implies that

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
|w_{\tau}(j,k \pm 1)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |w_{\tau}(j,k \mp 1)|
$$
  
\n+ 
$$
\sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} : ||j'-j||_1 = 1} |w_{\tau}(j',k)| + (|v(j,k)| + |\lambda|)|w_{\tau}(j,k)|
$$
 (14)  
\n+ 
$$
|r_{\tau}(j,k)||u(j,k)| + |r_{\tau}(j,k)||w_{\tau}(j,k)|, \quad (j,k) \in Q_{\tau}^{0}.
$$

Putting

$$
\sigma_{\tau}(k) = \sum_{x \in Q_{\tau}: x_d = k} |w_{\tau}(x)| \equiv \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}: ||j||_{\infty} \le m_{\tau}-1} |w_{\tau}(j,k)|, \quad -m_{\tau} \le k \le m_{\tau},
$$

we obtain from  $(14)$  by summation on j:

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\sigma_{\tau}(k \pm 1) \leq \sigma_{\tau}(k \mp 1) + B_{\tau}\sigma_{\tau}(k) + \rho_{\tau}, \quad -m_{\tau} + 1 \leq k \leq m_{\tau} - 1, \qquad (15)
$$

where

$$
B_{\tau} = 2(d-1) + ||v||_{\infty} + |\lambda| + \rho_{\tau}
$$

(we use the facts that  $|r_{\tau}(j,k)| \leq \rho_{\tau}$  for all  $(j,k) \in Q_{\tau}$  and  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} |u(j,k)| \leq 1$ for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , due to [\(12\)](#page-6-0) and [\(13\)](#page-6-1), respectively).

Furthermore,  $|\lambda|$  does not exceed the norm of the operator  $L = \Delta + v$  acting in  $l^1(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ , which is  $\leq 2d + ||v||_{\infty}$ . Therefore,

$$
B_{\tau} \le 4d + 2||v||_{\infty} - 2 + \rho_{\tau}, \quad \tau \in \mathfrak{T}.
$$

Pick such  $D \in \mathbf{R}$  that

 $D > 4d + 2||v||_{\infty} - 1$ 

and

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
D^{2d} < \frac{1}{\theta} \tag{16}
$$

(which is possible due to  $(11)$ ) and note that, by  $(12)$ , we have

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
B_{\tau} < D - 1 \tag{17}
$$

for all but finitely many  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Inequalities  $(15)$  and  $(17)$  imply that

$$
\sigma_{\tau}(k\pm 1) \leq \sigma_{\tau}(k\mp 1) + (D-1)\sigma_{\tau}(k) + \rho_{\tau}, \quad -m_{\tau} + 1 \leq k \leq m_{\tau} - 1.
$$

It follows by induction (using the equalities  $\sigma_{\tau}(-1) = \sigma_{\tau}(0) = 0$ ) that

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
\sigma_{\tau}(k) \le D^{|k|-1} \rho_{\tau}, \quad -m_{\tau} \le k \le m_{\tau}.
$$
 (18)

Consequently,

$$
\sum_{|k| \leq m_{\tau}} \sigma_{\tau}(k) \leq D^{m_{\tau}} \rho_{\tau},
$$

or, equivalently,

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{x \in Q_{\tau}} |u_{\tau}(x) - u(x)| \le D^{m_{\tau}} \rho_{\tau}, \tag{19}
$$

which holds for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$  with large enough  $\|\tau\|_{\infty}$ .

The function  $u_{\tau}(\cdot)$  is defined on the cube  $Q_{\tau}$  and satisfies the equation

 $\Delta u_{\tau}(x) + v_{\tau}(x)u_{\tau}(x) = \lambda u_{\tau}(x)$ 

<span id="page-8-4"></span>on  $Q_{\tau}^{\circ}$ . According to the following lemma, this function has an extension to  $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ that satisfies the same equation for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

## **Lemma 2.** *Let*

$$
Q = \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^d : a_i \le x_i \le b_i, i = 1, \dots, d\}
$$

*and*

$$
Q^{o} = \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^{d} : a_{i} + 1 \leq x_{i} \leq b_{i} - 1, i = 1, ..., d\}.
$$

Let  $v: \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{C}$  and  $u: Q \to \mathbf{C}$  be functions such that

$$
\Delta u(x) + v(x)u(x) = \lambda u(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in Q^0.
$$

Then there is a function  $\tilde{u} \colon \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$
\tilde{u}|_Q = u
$$
 and  $(\Delta + v)\tilde{u} = \lambda \tilde{u}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

The proof is deferred to the appendix.

Due to the lemma, we can consider  $u_{\tau}(\cdot)$  as a function defined on  $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$  and satisfying the equation  $(\Delta + v_{\tau})u_{\tau} = \lambda u_{\tau}$  on the entire lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ . The function  $v_{\tau}(\cdot)$  is  $\Gamma_{\tau}$ -periodic, where the lattice  $\Gamma_{\tau}$  is defined by [\(9\)](#page-5-3).

Pick any  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . According to Corollary [1,](#page-5-4)

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
|u_{\tau}(q)| \leq \sum_{x \in q + P_{\tau}^*} |u_{\tau}(x)|,
$$
\n(20)

where

 $P_{\tau} = \{x \in \Gamma_{\tau}: ||x||_{\infty} < 2d \tau_1 ... \tau_d\}.$ 

Assuming that

$$
m_{\tau}-2d\,\tau_1\ldots\tau_d\equiv[\varepsilon\tau_1\ldots\tau_d]\geq||q||_{\infty}
$$

(which is true for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$  with large enough  $\|\tau\|_{\infty}$ ), we have  $q + P_{\tau} \subset O_{\tau}$ , so [\(20\)](#page-9-0) and [\(19\)](#page-8-1) imply that for all but finitely many  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ 

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
|u(q)| \leq \sum_{x \in q + P_{\tau}^*} |u(x)| + 2D^{m_{\tau}} \rho_{\tau}.
$$
 (21)

As  $\mathcal{T} \ni \tau \to \infty$ , the first summand on the right converges to 0 due to [\(13\)](#page-6-1). The second summand does not exceed

$$
2D^{(2d+\varepsilon)\tau_1\ldots\tau_d}\theta^{\tau_1\ldots\tau_d}=2(D^{2d+\varepsilon}\theta)^{\tau_1\ldots\tau_d}.
$$

Note that  $\varepsilon > 0$  in [\(10\)](#page-5-1) can be made arbitrarily small. Choose it so small that  $D^{2d+\epsilon} < \theta^{-1}$  (which is possible due to [\(16\)](#page-8-2)). Then the right-hand side of [\(21\)](#page-9-1) goes to 0 as  $\mathfrak{T} \ni \tau \to \infty$ . Therefore,  $u(q) = 0$ . Since  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  was chosen arbitrarily, this completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-9-3"></span>We will apply now Theorem  $3$  to operators with quasi-periodic potentials. The distance from a real number a to the nearest integer will be denoted by  $||a||$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let the potential  $v(\cdot)$  of the Schrödinger operator  $L = \Delta + v$  be of *the form*

$$
v(x) = V(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_d x_d), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d,
$$

where  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$  are real numbers and  $V : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{C}$  is a  $(1, \ldots, 1)$ -periodic func*tion satisfying the Hölder condition*

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
|V(t_1,\ldots,t_d) - V(t'_1,\ldots,t'_d)| \le C \sum_{i=1}^d |t_i - t'_i|^{\beta}, \qquad (22)
$$

where  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . Suppose there are  $d$  sequences of positive integers  $v_1^{(p)}$  $\binom{p}{1}, \ldots, \nu_d^{(p)}$ d  $(p = 1, 2, ...)$  *such that* 

$$
v_1^{(p)} + \dots + v_d^{(p)} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } p \to \infty
$$

*and*

$$
\|\nu_1^{(p)}\alpha_1\| + \cdots + \|\nu_d^{(p)}\alpha_d\| \leq \eta^{\nu_1^{(p)}\cdots\nu_d^{(p)}}, \quad p = 1, 2, \ldots,
$$

*where*

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
0 < \eta < (4d + 2 \|V\|_{\infty} - 1)^{-2d/\beta}.\tag{23}
$$

Then the operator  $\Delta + v$  does not have eigenfunctions in  $l^1(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ .

The theorem can be reformulated in the following equivalent way.

**Theorem 4<sup>\*</sup>.** Let  $V: \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{C}$  be a  $(1, \ldots, 1)$ -periodic function satisfying the *Hölder condition ([22](#page-9-2)). Suppose*  $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$  *satisfies inequality ([23](#page-10-0)). If real numbers*  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$  are such that

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\|v_1\alpha_1\| + \ldots + \|v_d\alpha_d\| \le \eta^{v_1...v_d} \tag{24}
$$

*for infinitely many d-tuples*  $(v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , then the operator  $\Delta + v$  with  $v(x) = V(\alpha_1 x_1, \cdots, \alpha_d x_d)$  has no eigenfunctions in  $l^1(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ .

*Proof.* Denote by T the infinite set of those  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  for which [\(24\)](#page-10-1) holds. Given  $\nu \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $|\mu_i - \nu_i \alpha| = ||\nu_i \alpha||$ for each  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ . Let

$$
\alpha_i^{\nu} = \frac{\mu_i}{\nu_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d,
$$

and

$$
v_{\nu}(x_1,\ldots,x_d)=V(\alpha_1^{\nu}x_1,\ldots,\alpha_d^{\nu}x_d).
$$

The function  $v_v(\cdot)$  is  $(v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ -periodic. In order to apply Theorem [3,](#page-5-5) we need to estimate (for some fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) the number

$$
M_{\nu} = \max_{\|x\|_{\infty} \le (2d+\varepsilon) \nu_1 \dots \nu_d} |v_{\nu}(x) - v(x)|.
$$

If  $||x||_{\infty} \le (2d + \varepsilon) v_1 \dots v_d$ , then

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
|v_{\nu}(x) - v(x)| = |V(\alpha_1^{\nu} x_1, \dots, \alpha_d^{\nu} x_d) - V(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_d x_d)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{\mu_i}{\nu_i} x_i - \alpha_i x_i \right|^\beta
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^\beta |\mu_i - \nu_i \alpha_i|^\beta
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \|x\|_\infty^\beta \sum_{i=1}^d \|\nu_i \alpha_i\|^\beta
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C d((2d + \varepsilon)\nu_1 \dots \nu_d)^\beta (\eta^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_d})^\beta.
$$
 (25)

Pick any  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\eta^{\beta} < \theta < (4d + 2\|v\|_{\infty} - 1)^{-2d},\tag{26}
$$

which is possible due to  $(23)$ . It follows from  $(25)$  and the first inequality in  $(26)$ that  $M_v < \theta^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_d}$  for all but finitely many  $\nu \in \mathcal{T}$ . This and the second inequality in [\(26\)](#page-11-1), in view of Theorem [3,](#page-5-5) guarantee that the equation  $(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$  does not have nontrivial  $l^1$  solutions.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 1.** By using, instead of [\(18\)](#page-8-3), a better estimate of  $\sigma_{\tau}(k)$ , we can relax the requirement for the accuracy of periodic approximation in Theorem [3](#page-5-5) by replacing the interval of possible values of  $\theta$ , given by [\(11\)](#page-5-2), with a larger interval  $(0, \theta_0)$ , where  $\theta_0$  can be found as follows. Let R be the radius of the smallest disk containing the set  $v(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ ; then we put

$$
A = 4d + 2R - 2, \quad B = (A + \sqrt{A^2 + 4})/2,
$$

and

$$
\theta_0=B^{-2d}.
$$

Similarly, the interval of possible values of  $\eta$  in Theorem [4,](#page-9-3) given by [\(23\)](#page-10-0), can be replaced with a larger interval  $(0, \eta_0)$ , where  $\eta_0 = \theta_0^{1/\beta}$  $_0^{1/p}$ . Here  $\theta_0$  is calculated in the way just described,  $R$  being the radius of the smallest disk containing the set  $V(\mathbf{R}^d)$ .

**Remark 2.** The main results of the paper – Theorems  $2$ ,  $3$  and  $4$  – pertain to the lattice Schrödinger operator; however, the method we use can be adapted to other finite-range lattice operators, such as  $\tilde{\Delta} + v$ , where  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is the diagonal Laplacian introduced in [\[5\]](#page-13-5):

$$
(\widetilde{\Delta}u)(x) = \sum_{z \in \{-1, +1\}^d} u(x+z), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.
$$

#### **Appendix**

*Proof of Lemma* [2](#page-8-4). In this proof we will use the following notation: given two integers a and b ( $a \le b$ ), we will denote by  $[a, b]$  the finite set

$$
\{x \in \mathbf{Z} \colon a \le x \le b\}.
$$

We may assume that the set Q is nonempty so  $a_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i \in [1, d]$ . It suffices to show how  $u(\cdot)$ , which is initially defined on Q and satisfies the equation

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\Delta u(x) + v(x)u(x) = \lambda u(x) \tag{27}
$$

on  $Q^{\circ}$ , can be extended to

$$
Q_1 = [a_1 - 1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \cdots \times [a_d, b_d]
$$

so it will satisfy [\(27\)](#page-12-0) on

$$
Q_1^0 = [a_1, b_1 - 1] \times [a_2 + 1, b_2 - 1] \times \cdots \times [a_d + 1, b_d - 1].
$$

Let

$$
R = \{a_1\} \times [a_2, b_2] \times \cdots \times [a_d, b_d]
$$

and

$$
R_{-} = \{a_1 - 1\} \times [a_2, b_2] \times \cdots \times [a_d, b_d] \equiv Q_1 \setminus Q.
$$

We need to define u on  $R_-\text{ so that } (27)$  $R_-\text{ so that } (27)$  will hold on  $Q_1^0 \cap R$ .

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Two cases are possible.

- (i)  $a_1 = b_1$ . In this case the set  $Q_1^0$  is empty, so we can define  $u|_{R_-}$  arbitrarily.
- (ii)  $a_1 < b_1$ . Equation [\(27\)](#page-12-0), being applied at all points of the set

$$
Q_1^{\circ} \cap R = \{a_1\} \times [a_2 + 1, b_2 - 1] \times \cdots \times [a_d + 1, b_d - 1],
$$

determines the values of  $u$  on the set

$$
\{a_1 - 1\} \times [a_2 + 1, b_2 - 1] \times \cdots \times [a_d + 1, b_d - 1] \subset R_-;
$$

the values of u at the remaining points of  $R_$  can be chosen arbitrarily.  $\Box$ 

**Acknowledgement.** I am grateful to the anonymous referee whose comments led to a signicant improvement of the paper.

### **References**

- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[1] J. Bourgain, Anderson localization for quasi-periodic lattice Schrödinger operators on **Z** <sup>d</sup> , d arbitrary. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **17** (2007), no. 3, 682–706. [MR 2346272](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2346272) [Zbl 1152.82311](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1152.82311)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>[2] J. Bourgain, M. Goldstein, and W. Schlag, Anderson localization for Schrödinger operators on **Z** <sup>2</sup> with quasi-periodic potential. *Acta Math.* **188** (2002), no. 1, 41–86. [MR 1947458](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1947458) [Zbl 1022.47023](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1022.47023)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[3] A. Y. Gordon, The point spectrum of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **31** (1976), no. 4 (190), 257–258. In Russian. [MR 0458247](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0458247) [Zbl 0342.34012](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:0342.34012)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[4] A. Y. Gordon, Imperfectly grown periodic medium: absence of localized states. *J. Spectr. Theory.* **5** (2015), no. 2, 279-294.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[5] S. Molchanov and B. Vainberg, Scattering on the system of the sparse bumps: multidimensional case. *Appl. Anal.* **71** (1999), no. 1-4, 167–185. [MR 1690097](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1690097) [Zbl 1022.47510](http://zbmath.org/?q=an:1022.47510)

Received December 26, 2013; revised April 24, 2014

Alexander Y. Gordon, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 9201 University City Blvd, Charlotte, NC 28223, U.S.A.

e-mail: [aygordon@uncc.edu](mailto:aygordon@uncc.edu)