J. Spectr. Theory 5 (2015), 533–546 DOI 10.4171/JST/105

Absence of l^1 eigenfunctions for lattice operators with fast local periodic approximation

Alexander Y. Gordon

Abstract. We show that a lattice Schrödinger operator $\Delta + v$ whose potential $v: \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ admits fast local approximation by periodic functions does not have l^1 eigenfunctions. In particular, it does not exhibit Anderson localization. A special case of this result pertaining to quasi-periodic potentials states: Let $V: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ be a (1, ..., 1)-periodic function satisfying the Hölder condition. There is such $\theta > 0$ that if real numbers $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d$ satisfy the inequality $||n_1\alpha_1|| + \cdots + ||n_d\alpha_d|| < \theta^{n_1...n_d}$ for infinitely many *d*-tuples $(n_1, ..., n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ ($||\cdot||$ is the distance from a real number to the nearest integer), then the operator $\Delta + v$ with $v(x) = V(\alpha_1 x_1, ..., \alpha_d x_d)$ has no nontrivial eigenfunctions in $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. This statement contrasts the result of J. Bourgain: Anderson localization for quasiperiodic lattice Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{Z}^d , *d* arbitrary, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 17 (2007), 682–706.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary: 39A70; Secondary: 39A14.

Keywords. Difference operators, lattice Schrödinger operators, periodic operators, periodic approximation, quasi-periodic operators, Anderson localization.

1. Introduction

We consider a lattice Schrödinger operator $L = \Delta + v$ acting in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ $(d \ge 2)$ as follows:

$$(Lu)(x) = \sum_{x' \in \mathbf{Z}^d : \|x' - x\|_1 = 1} u(x') + v(x)u(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d.$$

We examine the case where the potential $v(\cdot)$ can be approximated with high accuracy by a sequence of periodic potentials with growing periods on a suitable increasing sequence of finite sets. We show that such operator does not have eigenfunctions in $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. In particular, there are no exponentially decaying eigenfunctions and hence no Anderson localization (the phenomenon where such eigenfunctions are complete in $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$).

The class of potentials described above includes, among others, quasi-periodic potentials of the form

$$v(x) = V(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_d x_d), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d,$$
(1)

where $V : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{C}$ is a (1, ..., 1)-periodic function satisfying the Hölder condition, and α_i 's are irrational numbers that admit very good approximation by rationals. The absence of fast decaying eigenfunctions for such potentials contrasts the result of Bourgain [1], according to which for a fixed real analytic function Von \mathbf{T}^d satisfying a mild non-degeneracy condition, the operator $\Delta + \lambda v$ with $v(\cdot)$ given by (1) exhibits Anderson localization for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbf{T}^d \setminus \Omega_\lambda$, where mes $\Omega_\lambda \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$; see also the earlier work [2].

The main tool used in the paper is the inequality (Lemma 1) of the form $|u(0)| \leq \sum_{x \in K} |u(x)|$, where $u(\cdot)$ is an arbitrary solution of a periodic linear homogeneous lattice equation and *K* is a certain finite subset of the group of periods not containing 0. This is a generalization of the one-dimensional inequality going back to [3]: for any solution of the equation

$$y(n-1) + y(n+1) + v(n)y(n) = \lambda y(n), \quad n \in \mathbf{Z},$$

with a T-periodic coefficient $v(\cdot)$ one has

$$|y(0)| \le 2 \max_{k=\pm 1,\pm 2} |y(kT)|$$

(in [3] this was proved for the equation

$$-y'' + v(t)y = \lambda y$$

with a real-valued *T*-periodic v(t) and real λ ; for the further history of this inequality, see [4]).

2. Periodic operators

From now on, given a set $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we will denote the set $X \setminus \{0\}$ by X^* . The cardinality of a finite set X will be denoted by |X| or, alternatively, by #X. The dimension d of the lattice \mathbb{Z}^d will always be assumed to be ≥ 2 (except for Lemma 1 and Theorem 1, where d may also equal one).

Lemma 1. Let Γ be a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^d and L a Γ -periodic linear operator in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ (Γ -periodicity means that, letting $(T^{\gamma}u)(x) = u(x + \gamma)$, we have $T^{\gamma}L = LT^{\gamma}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.) Suppose $F \subset \Gamma$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ are such finite sets and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is such a number that

(a) if $u(\cdot)$ is a solution of the equation

$$Lu = \lambda u \tag{2}$$

and $u|_{Y} = 0$, then $u|_{F} = 0$;

(b) |F| > |Y|.

Then for any solution $u(\cdot)$ *of* (2)

$$|u(0)| \le \sum_{x \in (F-F)^*} |u(x)|.$$
(3)

Proof. Let *N* denote the linear space of all solutions of (2), and let $M = N|_Y$. It follows from (a) that for each $x \in F$ the value of a solution $u \in N$ at *x* is uniquely determined by $u|_Y$ and is, therefore, given by a linear functional on *M*. Since dim $M \leq |Y|$, (b) implies that those functionals are linearly dependent: there are $b_x \in \mathbb{C}$ ($x \in F$), not all of them 0, such that

$$\sum_{x \in F} b_x u(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in N.$$

There is such $a \in F$ that $|b_x| \le |b_a|$ for all $x \in F$. Then

$$u(a) = \sum_{x \in F \setminus \{a\}} c_x u(x),$$

where $c_x = -b_x/b_a$ and hence $|c_x| \le 1$; it follows that

$$|u(a)| \le \sum_{x \in F \setminus \{a\}} |u(x)|.$$
(4)

In view of the Γ -periodicity of *L*, the space *N* of solutions of (2) is invariant under translations by elements of Γ . Therefore, (4) implies the inequality

$$|u(0)| \le \sum_{x \in (F-a) \setminus \{0\}} |u(x)|,$$

from which (3) follows.

Theorem 1. Let Γ be a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^d and L a Γ -periodic linear operator in $C^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$. Let $F \subset \Gamma$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be two finite sets with the following properties:

- (a) for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and any solution $u(\cdot)$ of equation (2) such that $u|_{Y+q} = 0$, we also have $u|_{F+q} = 0$;
- (b) |F| > |Y|.

Then for any solution $u(\cdot)$ of (2) and any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$|u(q)| \le \sum_{x \in (F-F)^*} |u(q+x)|.$$
 (5)

Proof. For $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, let $L^q = T^q L T^{-q}$. The operator L^q and the sets F and Y satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1. Putting $u_q = T^q u$, where u is a solution of $Lu = \lambda u$, we have $L^q u_q = \lambda u_q$ and, by Lemma 1,

$$|u_q(0)| \le \sum_{x \in (F-F)^*} |u_q(x)|,$$

which is equivalent to (5).

Let $L = \Delta + v$, where Δ is the lattice Laplacian,

$$(\Delta u)(x) = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^d : \|z\|_1 = 1} u(x+z), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d,$$

and v is the operator of multiplication by a complex-valued function $v(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{Z}^d . Suppose $v(\cdot)$ is Γ -periodic, Γ being a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^d generated by d linearly independent vectors f_1, \ldots, f_d , where $f_j = (f_j^{(i)})_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{Z}^d, j = 1, \ldots, d$:

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} m_j f_j \colon m_j \in \mathbf{Z}, \ j = 1, \dots, d \right\}.$$

Denote by A_{Γ} the fundamental region of the lattice Γ in \mathbf{R}^d ,

$$A_{\Gamma} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \theta_j f_j : \ 0 \le \theta_j < 1, \ j = 1, \dots, d \right\},\$$

and by V_{Γ} its volume,

$$V_{\Gamma} = \operatorname{Vol}(A_{\Gamma}) = \big| \det \big[f_j^{(i)} \big]_{i,j=1}^d \big|.$$

536

Theorem 2. Let $u(\cdot)$ be a solution of the equation $(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$ with a Γ -periodic potential v. Then for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$|u(q)| \le \sum_{x \in \Gamma^* : \, \|x\|_{\infty} \le 2dV_{\Gamma}} |u(q+x)|.$$
(6)

Proof. Fix an integer $n \ge 3$ and consider the following subset of \mathbb{R}^d :

$$D_n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : 0 \le x_i < n, i = 1, \dots, d\}$$

For $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, let

$$F_z = \Gamma \cap (D_n + z). \tag{7}$$

The lattice Γ has "density"

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \|\gamma\|_{\infty} \le r\}}{(2r)^d} = 1/V_{\Gamma},$$

and since

$$\mathbf{R}^d = \bigsqcup_{z \in n \mathbf{Z}^d} (D_n + z),$$

we have

$$\sup_{z \in n \mathbb{Z}^d} |F_z| \ge \operatorname{Vol}(D_n) / V_{\Gamma} = n^d / V_{\Gamma}.$$

Note that $|F_z|$, the cardinality of the set F_z , takes only finitely many values, and hence there are such points $z \in n \mathbb{Z}^d$ that

$$|F_z| \ge \frac{n^d}{V_{\Gamma}}.$$

Fix one such z and let $C_z = C_0 + z$, where $C_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^d$. Note that

$$F_z \subset \mathbf{Z}^d \cap (D_n + z) = (\mathbf{Z}^d \cap D_n) + z = C_0 + z = C_z.$$

Furthermore, let $Y_0 = C_0 \setminus S_0$, where

$$S_0 = \{1, \dots, n-2\}^{d-1} \times \{2, \dots, n-1\},\$$

and

$$Y_z = Y_0 + z$$

Given $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, for any solution $u(\cdot)$ of the equation $(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$ such that $u|_{Y_z+q} = 0$ we have $u|_{C_z+q} = 0$ and consequently $u|_{F_z+q} = 0$.

We have

$$|Y_z| = |Y_0| = n^d - (n-2)^d.$$

Theorem 1 is applicable when $|Y_z| < |F_z|$, which is guaranteed if $n^d - (n-2)^d < n^d / V_{\Gamma}$, or

$$1 - \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)^d < \frac{1}{V_{\Gamma}}.$$

Since the left-hand side is < 2d/n, we have $|Y_z| < |F_z|$ if

$$n \geq 2dV_{\Gamma}$$
.

Theorem 1 then states that, given any solution $u(\cdot)$ of $(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$ and any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we have

$$|u(q)| \le \sum_{x \in (F_z - F_z)^*} |u(q + x)|.$$
(8)

Here, in view of (7),

$$F_z - F_z \subset \Gamma \cap (D_n - D_n) \subset \{x \in \Gamma \colon ||x||_{\infty} \le n\}$$

Let $n = 2dV_{\Gamma}$; then (8) implies (6).

Corollary 1. If $u(\cdot)$ is a solution of $(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$, where the function $v(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{Z}^d is (τ_1, \ldots, τ_d) -periodic $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d \in \mathbb{N})$, then for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$|u(q)| \leq \sum_{x \in \Gamma^*_{\tau} \colon ||x||_{\infty} \leq 2d\tau_1 \dots \tau_d} |u(q+x)|,$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\tau} = \{ (j_1 \tau_1, \dots, j_d \tau_d) \colon j_1, \dots, j_d \in \mathbf{Z} \}.$$
(9)

3. Operators approximable by periodic ones

We will denote a generic element of \mathbf{N}^d as $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d)$.

Theorem 3. Let $L = \Delta + v$, where $v(\cdot)$ is a bounded complex-valued function on \mathbb{Z}^d . Suppose for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_d)$ in some infinite set $\mathfrak{T} \subset \mathbb{N}^d$ there is a (τ_1, \ldots, τ_d) -periodic function $v_{\tau}(\cdot)$ satisfying the inequality

$$\max_{\|x\|_{\infty} \le (2d+\varepsilon)\tau_1 \dots \tau_d} |v_{\tau}(x) - v(x)| \le \theta^{\tau_1 \dots \tau_d},\tag{10}$$

where θ is a constant such that

$$0 < \theta < (4d + 2||v||_{\infty} - 1)^{-2d}.$$
(11)

~ 1

Then the equation $Lu = \lambda u$ with any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ does not have nontrivial l^1 solutions.

538

Proof. Let

$$m_{\tau} = [(2d + \varepsilon)\tau_1 \dots \tau_d], \qquad \tau \in \mathcal{T},$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integer part of a real number. Inequality (10) can be rewritten in the form

$$\rho_{\tau} \equiv \max_{x \in Q_{\tau}} |v_{\tau}(x) - v(x)| \le \theta^{\tau_1 \dots \tau_d}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$Q_{\tau} = \{ x \in \mathbf{Z}^d : \|x\|_{\infty} \le m_{\tau} \}.$$

Suppose $u: \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ is a solution of the equation

$$(\Delta + v)u = \lambda u$$

such that

$$\|u\|_1 \le 1. \tag{13}$$

Pick any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ so there is a τ -periodic function $v_{\tau}(\cdot)$ satisfying (12). Define a subset Z_{τ} of Q_{τ} as follows:

$$Z_{\tau} = \{x \in Q_{\tau} : x_d \in \{-1, 0\} \text{ or } |x_i| = m_{\tau} \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}\}.$$

Also put

$$Q_{\tau}^{0} = \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^{d} : ||x||_{\infty} \le m_{\tau} - 1\}$$

Denote by $u_{\tau}(\cdot)$ the unique function on Q_{τ} such that

- (i) $(\Delta u_{\tau})(x) + v_{\tau}(x)u_{\tau}(x) = \lambda u_{\tau}(x)$ for all $x \in Q_{\tau}^{o}$;
- (ii) $u_{\tau}|_{Z_{\tau}} = u|_{Z_{\tau}}$.

The function

$$w_{\tau}(x) = u_{\tau}(x) - u(x), \quad x \in Q_{\tau},$$

satisfies the equations

$$w_{\tau}|_{Z_{\tau}}=0$$

and

$$(\Delta w_{\tau})(x) + (v(x) - \lambda)w_{\tau}(x) + r_{\tau}(x)u(x) + r_{\tau}(x)w_{\tau}(x) = 0, \quad x \in Q^{\circ}_{\tau},$$

where

$$r_{\tau}(x) = v_{\tau}(x) - v(x).$$

By representing any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ in the form x = (j, k), where $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we transform the previous equation into

$$w_{\tau}(j,k+1) + w_{\tau}(j,k-1) + \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} : \|j'-j\|_{1}=1} w_{\tau}(j',k) + (v(j,k)-\lambda)w_{\tau}(j,k) + r_{\tau}(j,k)u(j,k) + r_{\tau}(j,k)w_{\tau}(j,k) = 0, \quad (j,k) \in Q_{\tau}^{0}.$$

This equation implies that

$$|w_{\tau}(j, k \pm 1)| \leq |w_{\tau}(j, k \mp 1)| + \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} : \|j'-j\|_{1}=1} |w_{\tau}(j', k)| + (|v(j, k)| + |\lambda|)|w_{\tau}(j, k)| + |r_{\tau}(j, k)||u(j, k)| + |r_{\tau}(j, k)||w_{\tau}(j, k)|, \quad (j, k) \in Q_{\tau}^{0}.$$
(14)

Putting

$$\sigma_{\tau}(k) = \sum_{x \in Q_{\tau} : x_d = k} |w_{\tau}(x)| \equiv \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} : \|j\|_{\infty} \le m_{\tau} - 1} |w_{\tau}(j,k)|, \quad -m_{\tau} \le k \le m_{\tau},$$

we obtain from (14) by summation on j:

$$\sigma_{\tau}(k\pm 1) \le \sigma_{\tau}(k\mp 1) + B_{\tau}\sigma_{\tau}(k) + \rho_{\tau}, \quad -m_{\tau}+1 \le k \le m_{\tau}-1, \quad (15)$$

where

$$B_{\tau} = 2(d-1) + \|v\|_{\infty} + |\lambda| + \rho_{\tau}$$

(we use the facts that $|r_{\tau}(j,k)| \le \rho_{\tau}$ for all $(j,k) \in Q_{\tau}$ and $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} |u(j,k)| \le 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, due to (12) and (13), respectively).

Furthermore, $|\lambda|$ does not exceed the norm of the operator $L = \Delta + v$ acting in $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, which is $\leq 2d + ||v||_{\infty}$. Therefore,

$$B_{\tau} \le 4d + 2\|v\|_{\infty} - 2 + \rho_{\tau}, \quad \tau \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Pick such $D \in \mathbf{R}$ that

 $D > 4d + 2\|v\|_{\infty} - 1$

and

$$D^{2d} < \frac{1}{\theta} \tag{16}$$

541

(which is possible due to (11)) and note that, by (12), we have

$$B_{\tau} < D - 1 \tag{17}$$

for all but finitely many $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$.

Inequalities (15) and (17) imply that

$$\sigma_{\tau}(k\pm 1) \leq \sigma_{\tau}(k\mp 1) + (D-1)\sigma_{\tau}(k) + \rho_{\tau}, \quad -m_{\tau}+1 \leq k \leq m_{\tau}-1.$$

It follows by induction (using the equalities $\sigma_{\tau}(-1) = \sigma_{\tau}(0) = 0$) that

$$\sigma_{\tau}(k) \le D^{|k|-1}\rho_{\tau}, \quad -m_{\tau} \le k \le m_{\tau}.$$
(18)

Consequently,

$$\sum_{|k|\leq m_{\tau}}\sigma_{\tau}(k)\leq D^{m_{\tau}}\rho_{\tau}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{Q}_{\tau}} |u_{\tau}(x) - u(x)| \le D^{m_{\tau}} \rho_{\tau}, \tag{19}$$

which holds for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ with large enough $\|\tau\|_{\infty}$.

The function $u_{\tau}(\cdot)$ is defined on the cube Q_{τ} and satisfies the equation

 $\Delta u_{\tau}(x) + v_{\tau}(x)u_{\tau}(x) = \lambda u_{\tau}(x)$

on Q_{τ}^{0} . According to the following lemma, this function has an extension to \mathbb{Z}^{d} that satisfies the same equation for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$.

Lemma 2. Let

$$Q = \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^d : a_i \le x_i \le b_i, i = 1, \dots, d\}$$

and

$$Q^{o} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} : a_{i} + 1 \le x_{i} \le b_{i} - 1, i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

Let $v : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{C}$ and $u : Q \to \mathbf{C}$ be functions such that

$$\Delta u(x) + v(x)u(x) = \lambda u(x) \text{ for all } x \in Q^{\circ}.$$

Then there is a function $\tilde{u} : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\tilde{u}|_Q = u$$
 and $(\Delta + v)\tilde{u} = \lambda \tilde{u}$ on \mathbb{Z}^d .

The proof is deferred to the appendix.

Due to the lemma, we can consider $u_{\tau}(\cdot)$ as a function defined on \mathbb{Z}^d and satisfying the equation $(\Delta + v_{\tau})u_{\tau} = \lambda u_{\tau}$ on the entire lattice \mathbb{Z}^d . The function $v_{\tau}(\cdot)$ is Γ_{τ} -periodic, where the lattice Γ_{τ} is defined by (9).

Pick any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. According to Corollary 1,

$$|u_{\tau}(q)| \le \sum_{x \in q + P_{\tau}^*} |u_{\tau}(x)|, \tag{20}$$

where

 $P_{\tau} = \{ x \in \Gamma_{\tau} \colon \|x\|_{\infty} \le 2d \ \tau_1 \dots \tau_d \}.$

Assuming that

$$m_{\tau} - 2d \ \tau_1 \dots \tau_d \equiv [\varepsilon \tau_1 \dots \tau_d] \ge \|q\|_{\infty}$$

(which is true for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ with large enough $\|\tau\|_{\infty}$), we have $q + P_{\tau} \subset Q_{\tau}$, so (20) and (19) imply that for all but finitely many $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$

$$|u(q)| \le \sum_{x \in q + P_{\tau}^*} |u(x)| + 2D^{m_{\tau}} \rho_{\tau}.$$
 (21)

As $T \ni \tau \to \infty$, the first summand on the right converges to 0 due to (13). The second summand does not exceed

$$2D^{(2d+\varepsilon)\tau_1...\tau_d}\theta^{\tau_1...\tau_d} = 2(D^{2d+\varepsilon}\theta)^{\tau_1...\tau_d}$$

Note that $\varepsilon > 0$ in (10) can be made arbitrarily small. Choose it so small that $D^{2d+\varepsilon} < \theta^{-1}$ (which is possible due to (16)). Then the right-hand side of (21) goes to 0 as $\mathfrak{T} \ni \tau \to \infty$. Therefore, u(q) = 0. Since $q \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ was chosen arbitrarily, this completes the proof.

We will apply now Theorem 3 to operators with quasi-periodic potentials. The distance from a real number *a* to the nearest integer will be denoted by ||a||.

Theorem 4. Let the potential $v(\cdot)$ of the Schrödinger operator $L = \Delta + v$ be of the form

$$v(x) = V(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_d x_d), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d,$$

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ are real numbers and $V : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{C}$ is a $(1, \ldots, 1)$ -periodic function satisfying the Hölder condition

$$|V(t_1, \dots, t_d) - V(t'_1, \dots, t'_d)| \le C \sum_{i=1}^d |t_i - t'_i|^{\beta},$$
(22)

where $0 < \beta \le 1$. Suppose there are d sequences of positive integers $v_1^{(p)}, \ldots, v_d^{(p)}$ $(p = 1, 2, \ldots)$ such that

$$v_1^{(p)} + \dots + v_d^{(p)} \longrightarrow \infty \quad as \ p \to \infty$$

and

$$\|v_1^{(p)}\alpha_1\| + \dots + \|v_d^{(p)}\alpha_d\| \le \eta^{v_1^{(p)}\dots v_d^{(p)}}, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$0 < \eta < (4d + 2||V||_{\infty} - 1)^{-2d/\beta}.$$
(23)

Then the operator $\Delta + v$ does not have eigenfunctions in $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

The theorem can be reformulated in the following equivalent way.

Theorem 4*. Let $V : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{C}$ be a (1, ..., 1)-periodic function satisfying the Hölder condition (22). Suppose $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfies inequality (23). If real numbers $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d$ are such that

$$\|\nu_1 \alpha_1\| + \ldots + \|\nu_d \alpha_d\| \le \eta^{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_d}$$
(24)

for infinitely many d-tuples $(v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in \mathbf{N}^d$, then the operator $\Delta + v$ with $v(x) = V(\alpha_1 x_1, \cdots, \alpha_d x_d)$ has no eigenfunctions in $l^1(\mathbf{Z}^d)$.

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{T} the infinite set of those $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d) \in \mathbf{N}^d$ for which (24) holds. Given $\nu \in \mathcal{T}$, there is $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ such that $|\mu_i - \nu_i \alpha| = ||\nu_i \alpha||$ for each $i = 1, \dots, d$. Let

$$\alpha_i^{\nu} = \frac{\mu_i}{\nu_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d,$$

and

$$v_{\nu}(x_1,\ldots,x_d)=V(\alpha_1^{\nu}x_1,\ldots,\alpha_d^{\nu}x_d).$$

The function $v_{\nu}(\cdot)$ is (v_1, \ldots, v_d) -periodic. In order to apply Theorem 3, we need to estimate (for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$) the number

$$M_{\nu} = \max_{\|x\|_{\infty} \le (2d+\varepsilon)\nu_1 \dots \nu_d} |v_{\nu}(x) - v(x)|.$$

If $||x||_{\infty} \leq (2d + \varepsilon) v_1 \dots v_d$, then

$$|v_{\nu}(x) - v(x)| = |V(\alpha_{1}^{\nu}x_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{d}^{\nu}x_{d}) - V(\alpha_{1}x_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{d}x_{d})|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left| \frac{\mu_{i}}{\nu_{i}}x_{i} - \alpha_{i}x_{i} \right|^{\beta}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{d} |x_{i}|^{\beta} |\mu_{i} - \nu_{i}\alpha_{i}|^{\beta}$$

$$\leq C \|x\|_{\infty}^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \|\nu_{i}\alpha_{i}\|^{\beta}$$

$$\leq Cd((2d + \varepsilon)\nu_{1} \dots \nu_{d})^{\beta} (\eta^{\nu_{1}\dots\nu_{d}})^{\beta}.$$

$$(25)$$

Pick any $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\eta^{\beta} < \theta < (4d + 2\|v\|_{\infty} - 1)^{-2d},$$
(26)

which is possible due to (23). It follows from (25) and the first inequality in (26) that $M_{\nu} < \theta^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_d}$ for all but finitely many $\nu \in \mathcal{T}$. This and the second inequality in (26), in view of Theorem 3, guarantee that the equation $(\Delta + \nu)u = \lambda u$ does not have nontrivial l^1 solutions.

Remark 1. By using, instead of (18), a better estimate of $\sigma_{\tau}(k)$, we can relax the requirement for the accuracy of periodic approximation in Theorem 3 by replacing the interval of possible values of θ , given by (11), with a larger interval (0, θ_0), where θ_0 can be found as follows. Let *R* be the radius of the smallest disk containing the set $v(\mathbf{Z}^d)$; then we put

$$A = 4d + 2R - 2, \quad B = (A + \sqrt{A^2 + 4})/2,$$

and

$$\theta_0 = B^{-2d}.$$

Similarly, the interval of possible values of η in Theorem 4, given by (23), can be replaced with a larger interval $(0, \eta_0)$, where $\eta_0 = \theta_0^{1/\beta}$. Here θ_0 is calculated in the way just described, *R* being the radius of the smallest disk containing the set $V(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Remark 2. The main results of the paper – Theorems 2, 3 and 4 – pertain to the lattice Schrödinger operator; however, the method we use can be adapted to other finite-range lattice operators, such as $\tilde{\Delta} + v$, where $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the diagonal Laplacian introduced in [5]:

$$(\widetilde{\Delta}u)(x) = \sum_{z \in \{-1, +1\}^d} u(x+z), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d.$$

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2. In this proof we will use the following notation: given two integers *a* and *b* ($a \le b$), we will denote by [a, b] the finite set

$$\{x \in \mathbf{Z} \colon a \le x \le b\}.$$

We may assume that the set Q is nonempty so $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $i \in [\![1, d]\!]$. It suffices to show how $u(\cdot)$, which is initially defined on Q and satisfies the equation

$$\Delta u(x) + v(x)u(x) = \lambda u(x) \tag{27}$$

on Q^{o} , can be extended to

$$Q_1 = [\![a_1 - 1, b_1]\!] \times [\![a_2, b_2]\!] \times \cdots \times [\![a_d, b_d]\!]$$

so it will satisfy (27) on

$$Q_1^{o} = [\![a_1, b_1 - 1]\!] \times [\![a_2 + 1, b_2 - 1]\!] \times \cdots \times [\![a_d + 1, b_d - 1]\!].$$

Let

$$R = \{a_1\} \times \llbracket a_2, b_2 \rrbracket \times \cdots \times \llbracket a_d, b_d \rrbracket$$

and

$$R_{-} = \{a_1 - 1\} \times \llbracket a_2, b_2 \rrbracket \times \cdots \times \llbracket a_d, b_d \rrbracket \equiv Q_1 \setminus Q.$$

We need to define u on R_{-} so that (27) will hold on $Q_{1}^{0} \cap R$.

Two cases are possible.

- (i) $a_1 = b_1$. In this case the set Q_1^0 is empty, so we can define $u|_{R_-}$ arbitrarily.
- (ii) $a_1 < b_1$. Equation (27), being applied at all points of the set

$$Q_1^{\circ} \cap R = \{a_1\} \times [\![a_2 + 1, b_2 - 1]\!] \times \cdots \times [\![a_d + 1, b_d - 1]\!],$$

determines the values of u on the set

$$\{a_1-1\} \times [\![a_2+1, b_2-1]\!] \times \cdots \times [\![a_d+1, b_d-1]\!] \subset R_-;$$

the values of u at the remaining points of R_{-} can be chosen arbitrarily.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to the anonymous referee whose comments led to a significant improvement of the paper.

References

- J. Bourgain, Anderson localization for quasi-periodic lattice Schrödinger operators on Z^d, d arbitrary. Geom. Funct. Anal. 17 (2007), no. 3, 682–706. MR 2346272 Zbl 1152.82311
- J. Bourgain, M. Goldstein, and W. Schlag, Anderson localization for Schrödinger operators on Z² with quasi-periodic potential. *Acta Math.* 188 (2002), no. 1, 41–86. MR 1947458 Zbl 1022.47023
- [3] A. Y. Gordon, The point spectrum of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator. Uspehi Mat. Nauk 31 (1976), no. 4 (190), 257–258. In Russian. MR 0458247 Zbl 0342.34012
- [4] A. Y. Gordon, Imperfectly grown periodic medium: absence of localized states. J. Spectr. Theory. 5 (2015), no. 2, 279–294.
- [5] S. Molchanov and B. Vainberg, Scattering on the system of the sparse bumps: multidimensional case. Appl. Anal. 71 (1999), no. 1-4, 167–185. MR 1690097 Zbl 1022.47510

Received December 26, 2013; revised April 24, 2014

Alexander Y. Gordon, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 9201 University City Blvd, Charlotte, NC 28223, U.S.A.

e-mail: aygordon@uncc.edu