J. Spectr. Theory 8 (2018), 413–434 DOI 10.4171/JST/200

Melas-type bounds for the Heisenberg Laplacian on bounded domains

Hynek Kovařík, Bartosch Ruszkowski and Timo Weidl

Abstract. We study Riesz means of the eigenvalues of the Heisenberg Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions on bounded domains in \mathbb{R}^3 . We obtain an inequality with a sharp leading term and an additional lower order term, improving the result of Hansson and Laptev [3].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary: 47F05; Secondary: 39B72.

Keywords. Heisenberg Laplacian, Berezin-Li-Yau inequality.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an open bounded domain. In this paper we consider the Heisenberg Laplacian on $L^2(\Omega)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition formally given by

$$\mathbf{A}(\Omega) := -X_1^2 - X_2^2,$$

where

$$X_1 := \partial_{x_1} + \frac{x_2}{2} \partial_{x_3}, \quad X_2 := \partial_{x_2} - \frac{x_1}{2} \partial_{x_3}.$$
(1.1)

More precisely, $A(\Omega)$ is the unique self-adjoint operator associated with the closure of the quadratic form

$$\mathbf{a}[u] := \int_{\Omega} (|X_1 u(x)|^2 + |X_2 u(x)|^2) \, \mathrm{d} \, x, \tag{1.2}$$

initially defined for $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Note that

$$[X_2, X_1] = \partial_{x_3} =: X_3.$$

We recall that the vector fields X_1, X_2, X_3 form a basis of the Lie algebra of leftinvariant vector fields on the first Heisenberg group \mathbb{H} given by \mathbb{R}^3 and equipped with the group law

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \boxplus (y_1, y_2, y_3) := (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)).$$
(1.3)

The sub-elliptic estimate proved in [8] shows that

$$\|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^{2} \le c(a[u] + \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}), \quad u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$$
(1.4)

holds for some c > 0. Hence the domain of the closure of $a[\cdot]$ is continuously imbedded in $H_0^{1/2}(\Omega)$. Since the imbedding $H_0^{1/2}(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$ is compact, by standard Sobolev imbedding theorems, it follows that the spectrum of $A(\Omega)$ is purely discrete. We denote by $\{\lambda_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ the non-decreasing sequence of the eigenvalues of $A(\Omega)$ and by $\{v_j\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ the associated sequence of normalized eigenfunctions;

$$A(\Omega) v_j = \lambda_j v_j, \quad ||v_j||_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1.$$
 (1.5)

Recently Hanson and Laptev proved, see [3, Theorem 2.1], that

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda \right)_{-} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\lambda - \lambda_k \right)_{+} \le \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \lambda^3, \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.$$
(1.6)

Here the eigenvalues λ_k are repeated according to their multiplicities and $|\Omega|$ denotes the Euclidean volume of Ω . Moreover, it is also shown in [3] that the constant $\frac{1}{96}$ on the right hand-side of (1.6) is sharp. Indeed, this follows from the asymptotic equation

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda^{-3} \operatorname{Tr} (A(\Omega) - \lambda)_{-} = \frac{|\Omega|}{96}, \qquad (1.7)$$

see [3, Corollary 2.8].

The aim of this paper is to improve (1.6) by adding to its right hand-side a negative term of a lower order in λ . In other words, we are going to show that

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda \right)_{-} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \,\lambda^{3} - \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \,\lambda^{\alpha}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ is a positive constant which depends only on Ω and $\alpha \in (0, 3)$. In our main result, see Theorem 2.1, we will prove inequality (1.8) with $\alpha = 2$ and give an explicit expression for the constant $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$.

This is in the spirit of Melas-type improvements of the well-known Berezin-Li-Yau inequality

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(-\Delta_{\Omega}-\lambda\right)_{-} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(2+\frac{d}{2}\right)} \lambda^{1+\frac{d}{2}}, \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d},$$
(1.9)

where $-\Delta_{\Omega}$ denotes the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω , see [7, 12, 2, 9, 10, 22, 23] and also [18] for a generalization to Riemannian manifolds. In particular, our main result improves inequality (1.6) in a similar way in which [9] improves inequality (1.9).

However, the method that we employ in the present paper is different from the one used in [9] since it does not rely on a Hardy inequality involving the distance to the boundary. In fact, as far as we know an analog of such an inequality for the Heisenberg Laplacian with explicit constants is not known. Instead we exploit the properties of the Carnot–Carathéodory metric which is connected to the Heisenberg Laplacian in a natural way, see sections 2.1 and 3 for details.

The paper is organized as follows. The main result is announced in Section 2. In Section 3, and in particular in Theorem 3.3, we present some auxiliary results concerning the properties of balls with respect to the Carnot–Carathéodory metric. The proof of the main result is given in Section 4. In the closing Section 5 we establish an improvement of Theorem 2.1, which reveals a better order of λ in the additional term. However, for this result we need the additional Assumption that the Hardy inequality respectively the Carnot–Carathéodory metric must be valid.

2. Main results

2.1. Preliminaries. For a fixed point $x \in \mathbb{H}$ we denote its Euclidean norm by $||x||_e$. Now we introduce the *Carnot–Carathéodory* metric. We call a Lipschitz curve $c:[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{H}$ horizontal if $c(t) := (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))$ fulfills the following differential equation

$$x'_{3}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(x_{2}(t)x'_{1}(t) - x_{1}(t)x'_{2}(t)).$$
(2.1)

Horizontal curves always exist because the Heisenberg group is a step two Carnot group and we can apply the Chow's theorem, see e.g. [15]. For a given pair $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$ we introduce the family of curves

 $\mathcal{F}(x, y) := \{c: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid c \text{ is horizontal and connects } x \text{ with } y\}.$ (2.2)

Furthermore, we set

$$l_{\mathbb{H}}(c) := \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{x_{1}'(t)^{2} + x_{2}'(t)^{2}} \, \mathrm{d}t.$$
(2.3)

Given $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$, the Carnot–Carathéodory metric (C-C metric in the sequel) is then defined as follows:

$$d(x, y) := \inf_{c \in \mathcal{F}(x, y)} l_{\mathrm{H}}(c).$$
(2.4)

For a more detailed introduction to this metric we refer to [11, 15, 14]. Let

$$B_r(0) = \{ x \in \mathbb{H} \mid d(x, 0) < r \}$$

be the ball with radius r > 0, with respect to the C-C metric, centered at the origin. Let us introduce the distance from a fixed point $x \in \Omega$ to the boundary of Ω with respect to the C-C metric, which will be denoted by

$$d(x) := \inf_{y \in \partial \Omega} d(x, y).$$
(2.5)

When needed, we extend the function $d(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{H} ; for points lying in $x \in \Omega^c$ we set d(x) = 0. In addition we introduce the in-radius of Ω , which is defined by

$$R(\Omega) := \sup_{x \in \Omega} d(x), \tag{2.6}$$

and the diameter of Ω is defined by

 $D(\Omega) := \inf\{c > 0 \mid \text{there exists } a \in \Omega \text{ such that } \Omega \subseteq B_{c/2}(a)\}.$

2.2. Main result. With the above notation at hand we can state our main result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a bounded domain. Then

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda \right)_{-} \le \max \left\{ 0, \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \lambda^3 - \lambda^2 \frac{\mathbf{R}(\Omega)^8}{150|\Omega| \, \mathbf{D}(\Omega)^2 \pi^4} \right\}$$
(2.7)

holds true for all $\lambda > 0$ *.*

Remark 2.2. Equation (1.7) implies that

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathcal{A}(\Omega) - \lambda \right)_{-} = \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \,\lambda^{3} + o(\lambda^{3}), \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$
(2.8)

So far the order of the remainder term in (2.8) is not known.

Remark 2.3. When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$, then the spectrum of $A(\Omega)$ is continuous and contains no discrete eigenvalues. The integrated density of states of $A(\mathbb{R}^3)$ was calculated in [18, Theorem 6.1].

The upper bound (1.6) is equivalent, by means of the Legendre transform, to the Li–Yau type lower bound

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j(\Omega) \ge \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3} |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(2.9)

see [3, Corollary 2.10]. In the same way Theorem 2.1 implies an improvement of (2.9):

Corollary 2.4. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j(\Omega) \ge \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3} |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{16R(\Omega)^8}{75|\Omega|^2 D(\Omega)^2 \pi^4} n.$$
(2.10)

Proof. Let us recall that if $f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are two convex non-negative functions, then the implication

$$f(x) \le g(x), \ x \ge 0 \iff g^{\star}(p) \le f^{\star}(p), \ p \ge 0$$
 (2.11)

holds true, where f^* and g^* are Legendre transforms of f and g defined by

$$f^{\star}(p) := \sup_{x \ge 0} (px - f(x)), \quad g^{\star}(p) := \sup_{x \ge 0} (px - g(x))$$

The claim now follows by applying (2.11) to (2.7) with $f(\lambda) = \text{Tr} (A(\Omega) - \lambda)_{-}$ and

$$g(\lambda) = \max\left\{0, \ \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \lambda^3 - \lambda^2 \frac{R(\Omega)^8}{150|\Omega| D(\Omega)^2 \pi^4}\right\}.$$

3. Auxiliary results

The goal of this section is to prove a sharp lower bound on the Euclidean volume of the set

$$\Omega^{\beta} := \{ x \in \Omega \mid d(x) < \beta \}, \tag{3.1}$$

for a given $\beta \in (0, R(\Omega))$. We start by stating some properties of the C-C metric which be needed later.

The arc joining geodesics starting from the origin were computed in [16] and [20]. The parametrization of these arcs is given by

$$\gamma_{k,\theta}(t) := \begin{cases} x_1(t,k,\theta) = \frac{\cos(\theta) - \cos(kt+\theta)}{k}, \\ x_2(t,k,\theta) = \frac{\sin(kt+\theta) - \sin(\theta)}{k}, \\ x_3(t,k,\theta) = \frac{kt - \sin(kt)}{2k^2}, \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

where $t \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{|k|}]$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. This means that for the given point $\gamma_{k,\theta}(t) \in \mathbb{H}$ holds $d(\gamma_{k,\theta}(t), 0) = t$. We extend this formula to the case k = 0 by

taking the limit for $k \to 0$. This gives

$$\gamma_{0,\theta}(t) := \begin{cases} x_1(t,0,\theta) = t \sin(\theta), \\ x_2(t,0,\theta) = t \cos(\theta), \\ x_3(t,0,\theta) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Thus we obtain the arcs connecting the origin with points lying in $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{H} \mid x_3 = 0\}$. Next we define the map

$$\Phi(t,k,\theta) := (x_1(t,k,\theta), x_2(t,k,\theta), x_3(t,k,\theta)), \qquad (3.4)$$

for $t \in \left[0, \frac{2\pi}{|k|}\right]$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$. The determinant of Φ is given by

$$\det(\Phi)(t,k,\theta) = \frac{kt\sin(kt) - 2(1 - \cos(kt))}{k^4},$$
(3.5)

see [16, S.161].

For the proof of the following Proposition we refer to [16, 1, 17].

Proposition 3.1. The following statements hold true.

- a) Any two points in \mathbb{H} can be connected by a (not necessarily unique) geodesic.
- b) The C-C metric is invariant under left translation respectively the group law on H, which means

$$d(x, y) = d(z \boxplus x, z \boxplus y)$$
(3.6)

for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{H}$.

c) *The mapping*

$$\Phi:\left\{(t,k,\theta)\in\mathbb{R}^3\mid\theta\in\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z},\ k\in\mathbb{R},\ t\in\left(0,\frac{2\pi}{|k|}\right)\right\}\longrightarrow\mathbb{H}\setminus\mathbb{P},$$
(3.7)

where Φ is given in (3.4), is a homeomorphism and $\mathbb{P} := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{H} \mid x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0\}.$

d) For a fixed compact set $K \subset \mathbb{H}$ there exists a constant M > 0 such that for all $x, y \in K$ holds

$$M \|x - y\|_{e} \le d(x, y) \le M^{-1} \|x - y\|_{e}^{1/2}.$$
(3.8)

Melas-type bounds for the Heisenberg Laplacian on bounded domains 419

e) Define the dilation $r(x) := (rx_1, rx_2, r^2x_3)$ for $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and r > 0. Then

$$r^4 B_1(0) = B_r(0). (3.9)$$

To conclude this brief overview of the C-C metric we prove the continuity of $d(\cdot)$ with respect to the Euclidean metric.

Lemma 3.2. Let Ω be an open bounded domain in \mathbb{H} . The function $d(\cdot)$ is continuous with respect to the Euclidean distance on \mathbb{H} .

Proof. We have to show that

$$|d(x) - d(y)| \le d(x, y)$$
(3.10)

holds for $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$. Once the above inequality is established, the continuity of $d(\cdot)$ with respect to the Euclidean distance will follow by (3.8). We recall that we set d(x) = 0 for $x \in \Omega^c$. Let $x \neq y$. The case $x, y \in \Omega^c$ is trivial. For the case $x \in \Omega^c$ and $y \in \Omega$, we know that d(x) = 0. Let use denote by $\phi(t)$ the arc of a geodesic curve connecting x and y, which exists in view of Proposition 3.1. This curve is continuous and must intersect the boundary of Ω . Therefore exists $b \in \text{Dom}(\phi)$ such that $\phi(b) \in \partial \Omega$. This gives

$$d(x, y) \ge d(\phi(b), y) \ge d(y) = |d(y) - d(x)|.$$
(3.11)

It remains to prove the claim in the case $x, y \in \Omega$. Without loss of generality we assume that d(x) > d(y). Because *d* is continuous, see (3.8) and $\partial\Omega$ compact, there exists a $z \in \partial\Omega$ such that d(y) = d(z, y). Thus we get

$$|d(x) - d(y)| = d(x) - d(y) \le d(x, z) - d(y, z) \le d(x, y).$$
(3.12)

The last inequality follows by the triangle inequality.

After these prerequisites we need the following result, which will be needed for the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$ be an open bounded domain. The inequality

$$|\Omega^{\beta}| \ge \beta \, \frac{16 \, \mathrm{R}(\Omega)^4}{5\pi^2 \mathrm{D}(\Omega)} \tag{3.13}$$

holds for all $\beta \in (0, \mathbb{R}(\Omega)]$ *.*

Proof of Theorem 3.3. First of all let us fix the parameter β where $0 < \beta \leq \mathbb{R}(\Omega)$. Because Ω is open bounded and $d(\cdot)$ is continuous on \mathbb{H} , see Lemma 3.2, there exists an $x \in \Omega$ such that $B_{\mathbb{R}(\Omega)}(x) \subseteq \Omega$. We know that the Lebesgue measure and $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ are left-invariant respectively the group law of the Heisenberg group. Hence we can translate Ω in such a way that x is the origin of its translated copy. This means that

$$B_{\mathbf{R}(\Omega)}(0) \subseteq \Omega. \tag{3.14}$$

Now we construct a subset of Ω^{β} using the formula of the geodesics in (3.2). Therefore we consider the map

$$x = \left(\frac{\cos(\theta) - \cos(kt + \theta)}{k}, \frac{\sin(kt + \theta) - \sin(\theta)}{k}, \frac{tk - \sin(kt)}{2k^2}\right) = \Phi(t, k, \theta),$$
(3.15)

with $t \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{|k|}]$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $k \in [-\pi/D(\Omega), \pi/D(\Omega)]$. For fixed k, θ we define

$$a := \sup\{t > 0 \mid \varphi(t) \in \Omega\},\tag{3.16}$$

which is well-defined since $\varphi(0) = 0 \in B_{R(\Omega)}(0) \subseteq \Omega$, Ω is bounded and $\Omega \subseteq B_{D(\Omega)}(0)$. It follows that $\varphi(a) \in \partial \Omega$. From $B_{R(\Omega)} \subseteq \Omega$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{R}(\Omega) \le a. \tag{3.17}$$

Now we define the set

$$\Omega(\Phi) := \{ x \in \Omega^{\beta} \mid \text{there exists } (t, k, \theta) \in E \text{ such that } x = \Phi(t, k, \theta) \}, \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$E(a,\beta) := (a-\beta,a) \times \left[-\frac{\pi}{\mathsf{D}(\Omega)}, \frac{\pi}{\mathsf{D}(\Omega)}\right] \times [0,2\pi).$$

Note that the map $\Phi: E \to \mathbb{H}$ is injective, see Proposition 3.1. That means that $\Omega^{\beta} \supseteq \Omega(\Phi)$.

Now we consider the restriction of the curve φ on the interval $[a - \beta, a]$. Notice that this curve connects the point $\varphi(a - \beta)$ with $\varphi(a) \in \partial \Omega$. Moreover, in view of the definition of *a*, this curve is still a horizontal curve, lying in Ω . Therefore by the definition of the C-C metric the following estimate holds

$$d(\varphi(t),\varphi(a)) \le a - t < \beta, \quad \text{for all } t \in (a - \beta, a).$$
(3.19)

From $\varphi(a) \in \partial \Omega$ we obtain $d(\varphi(t)) \leq d(\varphi(t), \varphi(a)) < \beta$ for all $t \in (a - \beta, a)$, which means that $\varphi(t) \in \Omega^{\beta}$ for $t \in (a - \beta, a)$. It follows that

$$\Omega^{\beta} \supseteq \Omega(\Phi) \supseteq \{ \Phi(t,k,\theta) \in \mathbb{H} \mid (t,k,\theta) \in E(a,\beta) \}.$$
(3.20)

This inclusion and the formula (3.5) imply

$$|\Omega(\Phi)| \ge \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/D(\Omega)}^{\pi/D(\Omega)} \int_{a-\beta}^a \frac{2-kt\sin(kt)-2\cos(kt)}{k^4} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}k \, \mathrm{d}\theta.$$
(3.21)

We decreased the integral in the *k*-direction because under this condition holds $|tk| \le \pi$, where we used $a \le D(\Omega)$ because of $\Omega \subseteq B_{D(\Omega)}(0)$. In order to obtain a suitable lower bound on the integral on the right hand-side of (3.21) we notice that the function

$$f(\tau) = 2 - \tau \sin(\tau) - 2\cos(\tau)$$
 (3.22)

is non-decreasing on $[0, \pi]$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that f(0) = f'(0) = 0and $f''(\tau) \ge 0$ on $[0, \pi]$. Since $|kt| \le \pi$, and f is non-decreasing, we use (3.17) to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega(\Phi)| &\geq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/D(\Omega)}^{\pi/D(\Omega)} \int_{R(\Omega)-\beta}^{R(\Omega)} \frac{2-kt\sin(kt)-2\cos(kt)}{k^4} dt dk d\theta \\ &\geq 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/D(\Omega)} \int_{R(\Omega)-\beta}^{R(\Omega)} \frac{2-kt\sin(kt)-2\cos(kt)}{(tk)^4} t^4 dt dk d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.23)$$

In the same way as we have proved the monotonicity of f it follows that the function

$$\frac{2 - x\sin(x) - 2\cos(x)}{x^4}$$
(3.24)

is non-increasing on $(0, \pi]$. Hence

$$|\Omega(\Phi)| \ge \frac{16}{D(\Omega)\pi^2} \int_{R(\Omega)-\beta}^{R(\Omega)} t^4 dt = \beta \frac{16}{5\pi^2 D(\Omega)} \frac{R(\Omega)^5 - (R(\Omega) - \beta)^5}{\beta}.$$
 (3.25)

The quotient

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}(\Omega)^5 - (\mathbf{R}(\Omega) - \beta)^5}{\beta}$$

on the right-hand side is decreasing in β on $(0, R(\Omega)]$. This together with (3.20) yields

$$|\Omega^{\beta}| \ge |\Omega(\Phi)| \ge \beta \, \frac{16 \, \mathrm{R}(\Omega)^4}{5\pi^2 \mathrm{D}(\Omega)}.$$

Remark 3.4. The same technique in Theorem 3.3 yields also a lower bound in the Euclidean case \mathbb{R}^n with respect to the Euclidean metric and in-radius. In that case the lower bound does not depend on the diameter, and the proof is much easier because the determinant of the spherical coordinates is obviously monotonically increasing in the radial part and does not depend on an angle like in the case on H.

4. Proof of the main result

4.1. Spectral decomposition. In order to find a representation of the spectral decomposition of the Heisenberg Laplacian we introduce the Fourier transform in the x_3 -direction;

$$\mathcal{F}_3 u(x',\xi_3) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix_3\xi_3} u(x',x_3) \, \mathrm{d}\, x_3, \tag{4.1}$$

where $x' = (x_1, x_2)$ and $x := (x', x_3) \in \mathbb{H}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{3} \mathbf{A}(\Omega) \mathcal{F}_{3}^{*} = \left(i\partial_{x_{1}} - \frac{x_{2}}{2} \xi_{3} \right)^{2} + \left(i\partial_{x_{2}} + \frac{x_{1}}{2} \xi_{3} \right)^{2}$$

= $(i\nabla_{x'} + \xi_{3} \mathbf{A}(x'))^{2},$ (4.2)

where $\mathbf{A}(x') := \frac{1}{2}(-x_2, x_1)$. Hence for each fixed $\xi_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ the right hand-side is the Landau Hamiltonian in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ associated with the constant magnetic field ξ_3 . Its eigenvalues are given by the Landau levels $\{|\xi_3|(2k-1)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. We denote by P_{k,ξ_3} the orthogonal projection in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto the Landau level $\{|\xi_3|(2k-1)\}$ and recall the following well-known properties:

$$P_{k,\xi_{3}}(y, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} |\xi_{3}|, \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \qquad (4.3a)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |P_{k,\xi_{3}}(x, y)|^{2} dy \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} P_{k,\xi_{3}}(x, y) P_{k,\xi_{3}}(y, x) dx \right) dy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} P_{k,\xi_{3}}(y, y) dy$$

$$= \frac{|\xi_{3}|}{2\pi} |\Omega|. \qquad (4.3b)$$

Hence for any *u* such that $\mathcal{F}_3 u(\cdot, \xi_3)$ belongs to the domain of $(i\nabla_{x'} + \xi_3 \mathbf{A}(x'))^2$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{3} \mathbf{A}(\Omega) u(x',\xi_{3}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\xi_{3}| (2k-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathbf{P}_{k,\xi_{3}}(x',y') \mathcal{F}_{3} u(y',\xi_{3}) \, \mathrm{d} \, y'. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We split the proof into three steps. In the first one we derive the sharp leading term with an additional negative term. The appearing negative term will be treated in the second part of the proof. The last part of the proof is dedicated to the proof of an auxiliary result needed in step two.

Melas-type bounds for the Heisenberg Laplacian on bounded domains 423

4.2. The sharp leading term. In the sequel we will decompose a vector $x \in \mathbb{H}$ as

$$x = (x', x_3) = (x_1, x_2, x_3).$$
 (4.5)

We extend the eigenfunctions $v_j(x)$ of A(Ω) by zero to $x \in \Omega^c$ and write

$$\operatorname{Tr} (\mathcal{A}(\Omega) - \lambda)_{-} = \sum_{j:\lambda_{j}(\Omega) < \lambda} \lambda \|v_{j}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} - \|(\partial_{x_{1}} + \frac{1}{2}x_{2}\partial_{x_{3}})v_{j}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{2} \\ - \|(\partial_{x_{2}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{1}\partial_{x_{3}})v_{j}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{2} \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{j:\lambda_{j}(\Omega) < \lambda} \lambda (\|\mathcal{F}_{3}v_{j}(\cdot,\xi_{3})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2} \\ - \|(i\partial_{x_{1}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{2}\xi_{3})\mathcal{F}_{3}v_{j}(\cdot,\xi_{3})\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2} d\xi_{3}.$$

We apply the spectral decomposition in (4.4) and use Fatou's lemma to obtain the following estimate for the trace:

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda \right)_{-} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{j:\lambda_{j}(\Omega) < \lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\lambda - |\xi_{3}|(2k-1))| \|f_{j,k,\xi_{3}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2} \, \mathrm{d}\,\xi_{3},$$
(4.6)

where

$$\begin{split} f_{j,\mathbf{k},\xi_3}(x') &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{k},\xi_3}(x',y') \mathcal{F}_3 v_j(y',\xi_3) \, \mathrm{d} \, y' \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{k},\xi_3}(x',y') \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} y_3 \xi_3} \, v_j(y',y_3) \, \mathrm{d} \, y' \, \mathrm{d} \, y_3 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \langle \, \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \xi_3 \cdot}, \, v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

Next we estimate the right hand-side of (4.6) further by considering the positive part of $(\lambda - |\xi_3|(2k - 1))$. This gives

$$\operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda)_{-} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - |\xi_{3}|(2\mathbf{k} - 1))_{+} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_{j,\mathbf{k},\xi_{3}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2} d\xi_{3} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - |\xi_{3}|(2\mathbf{k} - 1))_{+} \sum_{j:\lambda_{j}(\Omega) \geq \lambda}^{\infty} \|f_{j,\mathbf{k},\xi_{3}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2} d\xi_{3}.$$

$$(4.7)$$

Since the sequence $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$ we can use Parseval's identity to evaluate the sum over j. Taking into account (4.3) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_{j,\mathbf{k},\xi_3}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\xi_3\cdot}, \, v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\, x'$$

$$= \frac{|\xi_3|}{4\pi^2} |\Omega|.$$
(4.8)

This allows us to calculate the first term on the right-hand side of (4.7). We have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - |\xi_{3}|(2k-1))_{+} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_{j,k,\xi_{3}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2} d\xi_{3} \\ &= \frac{|\Omega|}{2\pi^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \xi_{3}(2k-1))_{+} \xi_{3} d\xi_{3} \\ &= \frac{|\Omega| \lambda^{3}}{12\pi^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^{2}} \\ &= \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \lambda^{3}, \end{split}$$

where we have used the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{8}.$$
(4.9)

Putting together the above estimates and using (4.7) we get

$$\operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda)_{-} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \lambda^{3} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - |\xi_{3}|(2k-1))_{+} \sum_{j:\lambda_{j}(\Omega) \geq \lambda} \|f_{j,k,\xi_{3}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2} d\xi_{3}.$$

$$(4.10)$$

On the right hand-side we thus have the sharp leading term and an additional negative term. The latter will be treated in the next step.

4.3. The negative lower order term. The next step is to establish a suitable lower bound on

$$Q(\lambda, k, \xi_3) := \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) \ge \lambda} \|f_{j,k,\xi_3}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$
(4.11)

Using equation (4.8) we rewrite the series as follows:

$$Q(\lambda, k, \xi_{3}) = \frac{|\xi_{3}|}{4\pi^{2}} |\Omega| - \sum_{j:\lambda_{j}(\Omega) < \lambda} ||f_{j,k,\xi_{3}}||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\Omega} \left| P_{k,\xi_{3}}(x', y') e^{-iy_{3}\xi_{3}} - \sum_{j:\lambda_{j}(\Omega) < \lambda} \langle P_{k,\xi_{3}}(x', \cdot) e^{-i\xi_{3}\cdot}, v_{j}(\cdot) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} v_{j}(y) \right|^{2} dy dx'.$$

(4.12)

To estimate the right hand-side form below we consider the set

$$E^{\beta} := \{ \Phi(t,k,\theta) \in \mathbb{H} \mid (t,k,\theta) \in (a-\beta,a) \times (-\pi/\mathsf{D}(\Omega),\pi/\mathsf{D}(\Omega)) \times [0,2\pi)] \}.$$
(4.13)

Note that in view of (3.20) we have

$$\Omega \supseteq \Omega^{\beta} \supseteq E^{\beta}.$$

By applying the inequality

$$|z - w|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 - |w|^2, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C},$$
(4.14)

and using equation (4.3) we thus obtain

$$Q(\lambda, k, \xi_{3}) \geq \frac{|\xi_{3}|}{8\pi^{2}} |E^{\beta}| - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{E^{\beta}} \left| \sum_{j:\lambda_{j}(\Omega) < \lambda} \langle P_{k,\xi_{3}}(x', \cdot) e^{-i\xi_{3} \cdot}, v_{j}(\cdot) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} v_{j}(y) \right|^{2} dy dx'.$$

$$(4.15)$$

In the end of the proof of Theorem 3.3 we have shown that

$$|E^{\beta}| \ge \beta \frac{16}{5\mathrm{D}(\Omega)\pi^2} \mathrm{R}(\Omega)^4 \tag{4.16}$$

for all $\beta \in (0, \mathbb{R}(\Omega)]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(\lambda, k, \xi_3) \\ & \geq \beta \frac{2|\xi_3|}{5\mathrm{D}(\Omega)\pi^4} \mathrm{R}(\Omega)^4 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{E^\beta} \left| \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) < \lambda} \langle \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{k},\xi_3}(x', \cdot) \; \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\cdot\xi_3}, \, v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} v_j(y) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\, y \; \mathrm{d}\, x' \,. \end{aligned}$$

At this point we have to estimate the negative integral from above. Note that the linear combination of v_i lies in d[a]. Therefore we can use the inequality

$$\int_{E^{\beta}} |u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\, x \le \beta^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\, x, \quad u \in d[a], \tag{4.17}$$

which is proved in Section 4.4. Assuming for the moment that (4.17) holds true we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{E^\beta} \left| \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) < \lambda} \langle \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \; \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}\xi_3\cdot}, \, v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} v_j(y) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\, y \; \mathrm{d}\, x' \\
\leq \frac{\beta^2}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) < \lambda} \langle \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \; \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}\xi_3\cdot}, \, v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \, v_j(y) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\, y \; \mathrm{d}\, x'.$$
(4.18)

Integration by parts and the fact that the eigenfunctions v_j are mutually orthogonal then yield

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{E^{\beta}} \left| \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) < \lambda} \langle \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\xi_3 \cdot}, \ v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} v_j(y) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, y \, \mathrm{d} \, x' \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^2}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) < \lambda} \lambda_j(\Omega) |\langle \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\xi_3 \cdot}, \ v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} v_j(y)|^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, y \, \mathrm{d} \, x' \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^2 \, \lambda}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) < \lambda} |\langle \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\xi_3 \cdot}, \ v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} |^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, x'. \end{split}$$

Finally we sum over all j and use (4.8) to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) < \lambda} \left| \langle \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \; \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}\xi_3\cdot}, \; v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \right|^2 \, \mathsf{d}\, x' \le \frac{|\xi_3||\Omega|}{2\pi}. \tag{4.19}$$

Summarizing these estimates we arrive at the following lower bound on Q:

$$Q(\lambda, k, \xi_3) \ge \beta \frac{2|\xi_3|}{5D(\Omega)\pi^4} R(\Omega)^4 - \beta^2 \frac{|\xi_3|}{4\pi^2} |\Omega|\lambda$$

$$= \beta \frac{|\xi_3|}{8\pi^2} \Big(\frac{16R(\Omega)^4}{5D(\Omega)\pi^2} - 2\beta |\Omega|\lambda \Big).$$
(4.20)

Now we set

$$\beta := \frac{4\mathbf{R}(\Omega)^4}{5|\Omega| \mathbf{D}(\Omega)\pi^2} \,\lambda^{-1}.\tag{4.21}$$

426

Melas-type bounds for the Heisenberg Laplacian on bounded domains 427

We have to show that with this choice $\beta \leq R(\Omega)$ holds true. By (2.9) we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1(\Omega)} \le \frac{3}{8\sqrt{2}} |\Omega|^{1/2} \le |\Omega|^{1/2}, \tag{4.22}$$

and Theorem 3.3 yields for $\beta = R(\Omega)$ and $\Omega = B_1(0)$ the following

$$\frac{8}{5\pi^2} \le |B_1(0)|. \tag{4.23}$$

Both inequalities in combination with Proposition 3.1(e) yield

$$\beta \le \frac{4\mathrm{R}(\Omega)^4}{5|\Omega|^{1/2}\,\mathrm{D}(\Omega)\pi^2} = \frac{4|B_{\mathrm{R}(\Omega)}(0)|}{5|\Omega|^{1/2}\,\mathrm{D}(\Omega)\pi^2|B_1(0)|} \le \frac{|B_{\mathrm{R}(\Omega)}(0)|^{1/2}}{2\,\mathrm{D}(\Omega)}.$$
 (4.24)

From the fact that $|B_1(0)| \le 1$, see e.g. [19], we thus deduce that

$$\beta \leq \frac{|B_{R(\Omega)}(0)|^{1/2}}{D(\Omega)} = \frac{R(\Omega)^2 |B_1(0)|^{1/2}}{D(\Omega)} \leq R(\Omega)$$
(4.25)

as required. Hence we may insert (4.21) into (4.20), which yields

$$Q(\lambda, k, \xi_3) \ge |\xi_3| \lambda^{-1} \frac{4R(\Omega)^8}{25|\Omega| D(\Omega)^2 \pi^6}.$$
(4.26)

Finally we estimate the sum of the negative integral of (4.10)

$$\operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda)_{-} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \lambda^{3} - \lambda^{-1} \frac{4\mathbf{R}(\Omega)^{8}}{25|\Omega| \operatorname{D}(\Omega)^{2} \pi^{6}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda - |\xi_{3}|(2k-1))_{+} |\xi_{3}| \, \mathrm{d} \, \xi_{3}$$

$$(4.27)$$

and calculate the integral on the right hand-side by using the substitution

$$\xi_3(2k-1) = s$$

and (4.9):

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \xi_{3}(2k-1))_{+} \xi_{3} d\xi_{3} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(2k-1)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s(\lambda - s)_{+} ds$$
$$= \frac{\pi^{2}\lambda^{3}}{24}.$$

This yields then inequality (2.7). It thus remains to prove (4.17).

4.4. Proof of inequality (4.17). Without loss of generality we can assume that $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. With the help of (3.5) and (4.13) we change the coordinates and obtain

$$\int_{E^{\beta}} |u(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\, x = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/\mathrm{D}(\Omega)}^{\pi/\mathrm{D}(\Omega)} \int_{a-\beta}^a |u(t,k,\theta)|^2 \, \frac{f(tk)}{k^4} \, \mathrm{d}\,\theta, \qquad (4.28)$$

where *f* is defined in (3.22). We can assume that *k* is positive. Otherwise we substitute *k* by -k and use that $f(\cdot)$ is even. We know that $u(a, k, \theta) = 0$ by definition of *a* for all $k \in [-\pi/D(\Omega), \pi/D(\Omega)]$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. We recall that $a \leq D(\Omega)$, see Theorem 3.3. Since $f(\cdot)$ is increasing on $[0, \pi]$ and $|tk| \leq \pi$, see Theorem (3.3), it easily follows that

$$\sup_{a-\beta \le \tau \le a} \int_{a-\beta}^{\tau} f(sk) \, \mathrm{d}s \int_{\tau}^{a} \frac{1}{f(sk)} \, \mathrm{d}s \le \sup_{a-\beta \le \tau \le a} (\tau - a + \beta)(a - \tau) = \frac{\beta^2}{4}.$$
(4.29)

In view of [4, Theorem 1.14] we thus conclude with

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/D(\Omega)}^{\pi/D(\Omega)} \int_{a-\beta}^{a} \frac{f(tk)}{k^{4}} dt dk d\theta$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/D(\Omega)}^{\pi/D(\Omega)} \int_{a-\beta}^{a} |\partial_{t}u(t,k,\theta)|^{2} \frac{f(tk)}{k^{4}} dt dk d\theta.$$
(4.30)

Let us now turn to the coordinate system (x_1, x_2, x_3) . Keeping in mind the parametrization (3.2) we get

$$\int_{E^{\beta}} |\partial_t u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_1} u \, \partial_t x_1 + \partial_{x_2} u \, \partial_t x_2 + \partial_{x_3} u \, \partial_t x_3|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(4.31)

From the differential equation of the geodesics,

$$2\partial_t x_3(t) = x_2(t)\partial_t x_1(t) - \partial_t x_2(t)x_1(t),$$

it further follows that

$$\int_{E^{\beta}} |\partial_t u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t x_1 X_1 u + \partial_t x_2 X_2 u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.32}$$

The cross terms will be estimated with the help of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and $2ab \le a^2 + b^2$, $a, b, \in \mathbb{R}$. This gives

$$2\langle \partial_t x_1 X_1 u, \partial_t x_2 X_2 u \rangle \le \|\partial_t x_2 X_1 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial_t x_1 X_2 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$
(4.33)

Now we collect all the above estimates and use the fact that the support of the function u lies in Ω to arrive at

$$\int_{E^{\beta}} |u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\, x \le \beta^2 (\|\partial_t x_1 X_1 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial_t x_2 X_1 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial_t x_2 X_2 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial_t x_1 X_2 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2).$$
(4.34)

From (3.2) we see that $\partial_t x_1 = \sin(kt + \theta)$ and $\partial_t x_2 = \cos(kt + \theta)$, which implies inequality (4.17) completing thus the proof of Theorem 2.1.

5. Improved spectral estimates

We have seen in Theorem 2.1, that for a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$ we improved the sharp bound for the eigenvalue sum by adding a negative term of the form $-\lambda^2 \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$, where $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ is a positive constant only depending on the geometry of Ω . The order of λ can be improved if we assume the validity of a Hardy inequality with respect to the C-C metric. In particular we introduce

Assumption 5.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a bounded domain. We assume that there exists a constant $c \in [2, \infty)$ independent of Ω such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{d(x)^2} \, \mathrm{d}x \le c^2 \int_{\Omega} (|X_1 u(x)|^2 + |X_2 u(x)|^2) \, \mathrm{d}x \tag{5.1}$$

holds for all $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Note that the sharp value of the constant is c = 2. To see this consider the sequence $g_{\varepsilon} = d(x)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ and using the Eikonal equation, see [13, Theorem 3.1], i.e.

$$|X_1 d(x)|^2 + |X_2 d(x)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$
(5.2)

A standard convolution argument using a cut-off function in a neighborhood of the boundary yields that $g_{\varepsilon}(x)$ can be approximated by functions lying in $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Moreover, by a direct calculation we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|X_1 g_{\varepsilon}|^2 + |X_2 g_{\varepsilon}|^2) \, \mathrm{d}x}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|g_{\varepsilon}|^2}{d(x)^2} \, \mathrm{d}x} = 4,$$

which shows that c = 2 is the best value of the constant in (5.1).

Remark 5.2. If Ω is has a $C^{1,1}$ regular boundary, then Assumption 5.1 holds true, although the constant is unknown, see [5, Theorem 4.1 and p. 120].

Theorem 5.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a bounded domain and let

$$\sigma(\Omega) := \inf_{0 < \beta \le \Re(\Omega)} \frac{|\Omega^{\beta}|}{\beta}.$$

Under Assumption 5.1 we have

$$\operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda)_{-} \leq \max \left\{ 0, \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \lambda^{3} - \frac{1 + 2/c}{96} \sigma(\Omega)^{\frac{2c+2}{c+2}} (4c+4)^{\frac{-2c-2}{c+2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{-1}{1+2/c}} \lambda^{2+\frac{1}{c+2}} \right\}.$$
(5.3)

Note that the quantity $\sigma(\Omega)$ is strictly positive because of Theorem 3.3. For the proof of Theorem 5.3, we need the following Lemma:

Lemma 5.4. Under Assumption 5.1 it holds

$$\int_{\Omega^{\beta}} |u(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\, x \le c^{2+2/c} \beta^{2+2/c} \| \mathbf{A}(\Omega) u \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \mathbf{A}(\Omega)^{1/c} u \|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$
(5.4)

for all $u \in \text{Dom}(A(\Omega))$, where $\Omega^{\beta} := \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x) < \beta\}$.

Proof. Since the Eikonal equation still holds for d(x), see [13], the claim is proved in the same way as [6, Theorem 4, p. 169].

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Since Ω satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we can follow the proof of the latter. From Section 4.2, in particular from equation (4.10), we infer that

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda \right)_{-} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \,\lambda^{3} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \,(\lambda - |\xi_{3}|(2k-1))_{+} \,\mathbf{Q}(\lambda, k, \xi_{3}) \,\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi_{3}.$$
(5.5)

with $Q(\lambda, k, \xi_3)$ given by (4.11). For $\beta \in (0, R(\Omega))$ we consider the set

$$\Omega^{\beta} := \{ x \in \Omega \mid d(x) < \beta \}.$$
(5.6)

Obviously it holds $\Omega^{\beta} \subseteq \Omega$. Hence equations (4.12) and (4.14) imply that

$$Q(\lambda, k, \xi_{3}) \geq \frac{|\xi_{3}| |\Omega^{\beta}|}{8\pi^{2}} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\Omega^{\beta}} \left| \sum_{j:\lambda_{j}(\Omega) < \lambda} \langle P_{k,\xi_{3}}(x', \cdot) e^{-i\xi_{3}\cdot}, v_{j}(\cdot) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} v_{j}(y) \right|^{2} dy dx'.$$
(5.7)

An application of Lemma 5.4 yields

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\Omega^\beta} \left| \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) < \lambda} \langle \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \; \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}\xi_3\cdot}, \, v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} v_j(y) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\, y \; \mathrm{d}\, x' \\ &\leq c^{2+2/c} \beta^{2+2/c} \lambda^{1+1/c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{j:\lambda_j(\Omega) < \lambda} |\langle \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{k},\xi_3}(x',\cdot) \; \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}\xi_3\cdot}, \, v_j(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} |^2 \; \mathrm{d}\, x' \\ &\leq c^{2+2/c} \beta^{2+2/c} \lambda^{1+1/c} \frac{|\xi_3|}{2\pi} |\Omega|. \end{split}$$

$$(5.8)$$

For the last inequality we used (4.8). Inserting this result into (5.7) and using the definition of $|\Omega^{\beta}|$ we find that

$$Q(\lambda, k, \xi_3) \ge \frac{|\xi_3| \sigma(\Omega)}{8\pi^2} \beta - c^{2+2/c} \beta^{2+2/c} \lambda^{1+1/c} \frac{|\xi_3|}{4\pi^2} |\Omega|$$
$$= \frac{|\xi_3|}{8\pi^2} \beta(\sigma(\Omega) - 2c^{2+2/c} \beta^{1+2/c} \lambda^{1+1/c} |\Omega|)$$

holds true uniformly in k, where

$$\sigma(\Omega) = \inf_{0 < \beta \le \Re(\Omega)} \frac{|\Omega^{\beta}|}{\beta}.$$

From Theorem 3.3 we know that $\sigma(\Omega) > 0$. Hence upon setting

$$\beta := \sigma(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{1+2/c}} (4+4/c)^{\frac{-1}{1+2/c}} c^{-1-\frac{1}{1+2/c}} |\Omega|^{\frac{-1}{1+2/c}} \lambda^{\frac{-1-1/c}{1+2/c}}$$
(5.9)

we obtain

$$Q(\lambda, k, \xi_3) \ge \frac{|\xi_3|}{4\pi^2} (1 + 2/c) \sigma(\Omega)^{\frac{2c+2}{c+2}} (4c+4)^{\frac{-2c-2}{c+2}} |\Omega|^{\frac{-1}{1+2/c}} \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{c+2}}$$
(5.10)

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. However, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have to verify that β given by (5.9) with $\lambda \ge \lambda_1(\Omega)$ satisfies $\beta \le \Re(\omega)$. An application of Lemma 5.4 for $u = v_1$ and $\beta = \Re(\Omega)$ yields $1 \le \Re(\Omega)^{2+2/c} c^{2+2/c} \lambda_1(\Omega)^{1+1/c}$. The latter inequality shows that

$$\begin{split} \beta^{1+2/c} &\leq \sigma(\Omega)(4+4/c)^{-1}c^{-2-2/c}|\Omega|^{-1}\lambda_1(\Omega)^{-1-1/c} \\ &\leq \sigma(\Omega)(4+4/c)^{-1}\mathcal{R}(\Omega)^{2+2/c}|\Omega|^{-1} \\ &\leq (4+4/c)^{-1}\mathcal{R}(\Omega)^{1+2/c} \\ &\leq \mathcal{R}(\Omega)^{1+2/c}. \end{split}$$

holds for all $\lambda \ge \lambda_1(\Omega)^{-1}$ and therefore justifies the choice of β in (5.9). Inequality (5.3) now follows by inserting the lower bound (5.10) in (5.5) and evaluating the integral in ξ_3 and then the series in *k* as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Example 5.5. Let us set $\Omega = B_r(0) := \{x \in \mathbb{H} \mid d(x,0) < r\}$ for r > 0. In [21] it was shown, that for $B_r(0)$ Assumption 5.1 holds true with the constant c = 2. From Theorem 5.3 and the lower estimate for $\sigma(\Omega)$, which is given by Theorem 3.3, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{A}(\Omega) - \lambda \right)_{-} \le \max\left\{ 0, \frac{|\Omega|}{96} \lambda^3 - \frac{1}{48\pi^3} \left(\frac{2}{15} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} r^{9/2} |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{2+\frac{1}{4}} \right\}.$$
(5.11)

Aknowledgements. H. Kovařík was supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). The support of MIUR-PRIN2010-11 grant for the project "Calcolo delle variazioni" (H. Kovařík) is also gratefully acknowledged.

B. Ruszkowski was supported by the German Research Foundation through the Research Training Group 1838: *Spectral Theory and Dynamics of Quantum Systems*.

References

- [1] A. Nagel, and E. M. Stein and S. Wainger, Balls and metrics defined by vector fields. I. Basic properties. *Acta Math.* 155 (1985), no. 1-2, 103–147. MR 0793239 Zbl 0578.32044
- [2] A. D. Melas, A lower bound for sums of eigenvalues of the Laplacian. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003), no. 2, 631–636. MR 1933356 Zbl 1015.58011
- [3] A. M. Hansson and A. Laptev, Sharp spectral inequalities for the Heisenberg Laplacian. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 354 Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008. MR 2528463 Zbl 1157.58008
- [4] B. Opic, and A. Kufner, *Hardy-type inequalities*. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 219. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1990. MR 1069756 Zb1 0698.26007
- [5] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, and N. C. Phuc, Inequalities of Hardy–Sobolev type in Carnot–Carathéodory spaces. In V. Maz'ya, *Sobolev spaces in mathematics*. I. Sobolev type inequalities. International Mathematical Series (New York), 8. Springer, New York, and Tamara Rozhkovskaya Publisher, Novosibirsk, 2009, 117–151. MR 2508841 Zbl 1173.26320

- [6] E. B. Davies, Sharp boundary estimates for elliptic operators. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **129** (2000), no. 1, 165–178. MR 1757786 Zbl 0963.35146
- [7] F. A. Berezin, Convex functions of operators. *Mat. Sb.* (*N.S.*) 88(130) (1972), 268–276. MR 0300121 Zbl 0271.47011
- [8] G. B. Folland, A fundamental solution for a subelliptic operator. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 373–376. MR 0315267 Zbl 0256.35020
- [9] H. Kovařík and T. Weidl, Improved Berezin–Li–Yau inequalities with magnetic field. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect.* A 145 (2015), no. 1, 145–160. MR 3304579 Zbl 1323.35116
- [10] H. Kovařík, S. Vugalter, and T. Weidl, Two dimensional Berezin–Li–Yau inequalities with a correction term. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 287 (2009), no. 3, 959–981. MR 2486669 Zbl 1197.35182
- [11] L. Capogna, D. Danielli, S. D. Pauls and J. T. Tyson, An introduction to the Heisenberg group and the sub-Riemannian isoperimetric problem. Progress in Mathematics, 259. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007. MR 2312336 Zbl 1138.53003
- [12] P. Li and S.-T. Yau, On the Schrödinger equation and the eigenvalue problem. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 88 (1983), no. 3, 309–318. MR 0701919 Zbl 0701919
- [13] R. Monti and F. S. Cassano, Surface measures in Carnot–Carathéodory spaces. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 13 (2001), no. 3, 339–376. MR 1865002 Zbl 1032.49045
- [14] O. Calin, D. Chang, and P. Greiner, *Geometric analysis on the Heisenberg group and its generalizations*. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 40. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., and International Press, Somerville, MA, 2007. MR 2310372 Zbl 1132.53001
- [15] R. Montgomery, A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 91. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2002. MR 1867362 Zbl 1044.53022
- [16] R. Monti, Some properties of Carnot–Carathéodory balls in the Heisenberg group. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 11 (2000), no. 3, 155–167. MR 1841689 Zbl 1197.53064
- [17] R. Monti and M. Rickly, Geodetically convex sets in the Heisenberg group. J. Convex Anal. 12 (2005), no. 1, 187–196. MR 2135806 Zbl 1077.53030
- [18] R. S. Strichartz, Estimates for sums of eigenvalues for domains in homogeneous spaces. J. Funct. Anal. 137 (1996), no. 1, 152–190. MR 1383015 Zbl 0848.58050
- [19] E. Sachkova, Sub-Riemannian balls on the Heisenberg groups: an invariant volume. Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl. 42 (2011), 199–203. In Russian. English translation, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 199 (2014), no. 5, 583–587. MR 3013840 Zbl 1309.53033
- [20] V. Marenich, Geodesics in Heisenberg groups. *Geom. Dedicata* 66 (1997), no. 2, 175–185. MR 1458790 Zbl 0887.53042

- [21] Q.-H. Yang, Hardy type inequalities related to Carnot–Carathéodory distance on the Heisenberg group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 141 (2013), no. 1, 351–362. MR 2988736 Zbl 1264.22006
- [22] S. Yolcu, An improvement to a Berezin–Li–Yau type inequality. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), no. 11, 4059–4066. MR 2679626 Zbl 1202.35366
- [23] S. Yolcu and T. Yolcu, Estimates for the sums of eigenvalues of the fractional Laplacian on a bounded domain. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 15 (2013), no. 3, 1250048, 15 pp. MR 3063552 Zbl 1273.35197

Received November 13, 2015; revised March 16, 2016

Hynek Kovařík, DICATAM, Sezione di Matematica, Università degli studi di Brescia, Via Branze, 38, 25123 Brescia, Italy

e-mail: hynek.kovarik@unibs.it

Bartosch Ruszkowski, Institute of Analysis, Dynamics and Modeling, Universität Stuttgart, PF 80 11 40, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

e-mail: Bartosch.Ruszkowski@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

Timo Weidl, Institute of Analysis, Dynamics and Modeling, Universität Stuttgart, PF 80 11 40, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

e-mail: weidl@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de