J. Spectr. Theory 8 (2018), 459–491 DOI 10.4171/JST/202

# The discrete spectrum of Schrödinger operators with $\delta$ -type conditions on regular metric trees

Jia Zhao, Guoliang Shi, and Jun Yan

Abstract. This paper deals with the spectral properties of the self-adjoint Schrödinger operators  $L_Q = -D^2 + Q$  with  $\delta$ -type conditions on regular metric trees. Firstly, we prove that the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  given in this paper is self-adjoint if it is lower semibounded. Then a necessary and sufficient condition is given for the spectrum of the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  to be discrete. The condition is an analog of Molchanov's discreteness criteria. Finally, using the theory of deficiency indices we get the necessary and sufficient conditions to be discrete.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary: 34B45; Secondary: 34L05, 47A10, 47A25.

Keywords. Schrödinger operators,  $\delta$ -type conditions, discrete spectrum.

## Contents

1	Introduction	460
2	The regular metric tree and the basic decomposition of $L^2(\Gamma)$	462
3	The Schrödinger operators	468
4	Quadratic forms	473
5	Operators with discrete spectrum	478
Re	ferences	489

#### 1. Introduction

A differential operator on a metric graph  $\Gamma$  is a system of differential operators on intervals with lengths given by the lengths of corresponding edges, and the system is complemented by appropriate matching conditions at inner vertices and by some boundary conditions at the boundary vertices. For the Schrödinger operators discussed in this paper, the differential expression is

$$L_{Q}f(x) = -f''(x) + Q(x)f(x), \quad x \in \Gamma,$$
(1.1)

and the matching conditions at inner vertices are as follows:

$$\begin{cases} f_{-}(v) = f_{1}(v) = \dots = f_{b(v)}(v), \\ f_{1}'(v) + \dots + f_{b(v)}'(v) - f_{-}'(v) = \alpha_{v} f(v), \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

here  $\alpha_v$  is a fixed real number depending on the vertex v, b(v) is the number of edges emanating from v. We call these conditions as  $\delta$ -type conditions. If  $\alpha_v$  is 0 for all v, the conditions (1.2) are the Kirchhoff conditions.

One of our main goals is to obtain a discreteness criterion for the self-adjoint Schrödinger operators with  $\delta$ -type conditions (1.2) on regular metric trees, which are a special class of graphs with high symmetry and with no circle. The precise definitions of metric trees and regular trees are in Section 2.

Recently there has been an increasing interest in the spectral theory of differential operators on metric trees. A review of the spectral theory on metric trees is beyond the scope of this introduction, so we give only a partial list of works that are relevant to our work.

R. Carlson [2, 3] has shown that if a regular metric tree has compact completion (the metric space theory needed is in [1, pp.139–170]), then the spectra of Schrödinger operators with bounded potential on this tree are discrete. In fact this assertion also holds for general metric graphs.

In the following,  $\Gamma$  denotes a regular metric tree. If the longest distance between two points in  $\Gamma$  is infinite, we say that  $\Gamma$  has infinite height, in which situation the completion  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is not compact. For the Schrödinger operators on a regular metric tree  $\Gamma$  with infinite height, in [4] T. Ekholm, R. L. Frank, and H. Kovařík have estimated the total number of negative eigenvalues and the moments of these eigenvalues in terms of integrals of the symmetric potential V. The symmetry of the potential V means that V depends only on the distance from x to the root.

We divide the following works of the spectral problems on a regular metric tree  $\Gamma$  with infinite height into three cases depending on the lengths of the edges in the tree  $\Gamma$ .

CASE 1. The edge lengths of  $\Gamma$  are unbounded, i.e.,  $\sup_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} |e| = \infty$ .

For general metric graphs, M. Solomyak [5] has proven that when a graph *G* satisfies  $\sup_{e \in \mathcal{E}(G)} |e| = \infty$ , in which  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  means the set of edges in *G*, the spectrum of the Laplacian on the graph *G* is  $[0, \infty)$ , and this class of graphs include the trees in case 1.

CASE 2. The edge lengths of  $\Gamma$  are bounded and bounded below by a positive constant *S*, i.e.,  $\sup_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} |e| < \infty$  and  $\inf_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} |e| = S > 0$ .

CASE 3. The edge lengths of  $\Gamma$  are bounded and without positive lower bound, i.e.,  $\sup_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} |e| < \infty$  and  $\inf_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} |e| = 0$ .

In case 2 and case 3, there must be infinitely many edges and infinitely many vertices in  $\Gamma$ . In these two cases, M. Solomyak has studied the Laplacian with the Kirchhoff conditions in [6]. Through the basic decomposition of  $L^2(\Gamma)$  for the case of regular trees (see [3, 6, 8]), he obtained a necessary and sufficient condition about the branching function  $g_{\Gamma}$  (the definition will be given in Section 2) for the Laplacian to have discrete spectrum.

The main objective of this paper is to show that the classical Molchanov's discreteness criterion [7] can be extended to the case of Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  on regular metric trees in case 2.

As we shall show at the end of Section 5, the results given by M. Solomyak [6, Theorem 5.3] imply that for regular metric trees in case 2, the spectrum of the Laplacian with the Kirchhoff conditions couldn't be discrete. In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition independent of the branching function  $g_{\Gamma}$  for the Schrödinger operators on regular metric trees in case 2 with  $\delta$ -type conditions to have discrete spectrum. It is entirely different from the results given by M. Solomyak.

The methods are as follows. Firstly, we reduce the Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  defined on the tree  $\Gamma$  with  $\delta$ -type conditions to the direct sum of the Schrödinger operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  defined on intervals  $[t_k, \infty)$  with conditions

$$b_i \varphi'(t_i+) - \varphi'(t_i-) = \alpha_i \varphi(t_i)$$

for all i > k. Then we turn to investigate the spectral properties of the Schrödinger operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$ . Following from the compact embedding theorems, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  to have discrete spectrum. To do this we use the methods given by S. Albeverio, A. Kostenko and M. Malamud in [9] and some results given by J. Yan and G. Shi in [10]. Moreover, we prove that the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  is discrete if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied: (i) the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,0}$  is discrete; (ii)  $\min \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}) \to \infty$ , as  $k \to \infty$ . Finally, we find that the condition we obtained for  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,0}$  to have discrete spectrum is also a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  to have discrete spectrum.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some necessary definitions of trees and the basic decomposition of  $L^2(\Gamma)$ . Section 3 contains the proof of self-adjointness of the Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  with  $\delta$ -type conditions and Dirichlet boundary conditions, and the reduction of the Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  to the direct sum of the self-adjoint Schrödinger operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$ . In Section 4, the associated quadratic forms of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  are given, which are of major importance for our main results. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the spectra of the operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  to be discrete are given in Section 5. This section also contains the discrete criteria for the self-adjoint Schrödinger operators with more general boundary conditions. At the end of this section we will illustrate that if the edges of  $\Gamma$  have a uniform lower bound, the discreteness conditions given by M. Solomyak can not be satisfied.

## 2. The regular metric tree and the basic decomposition of $L^2(\Gamma)$

In this section we would like to recall some basic definitions about trees and the basic decomposition of the function space  $L^2(\Gamma)$ . We refer to [3], [6], and [8] for details.

We use [6] as a general reference on trees. In order to have a well defined first derivative, the graph is directed, i.e., each edge in the graph is directed.

If two edges of a graph are incident to the same pair of vertices, then these two edges are called *parallel edges*. If a path starts at a vertex v and terminates at the same vertex v, this path is called a *cycle* in the directed graph. A *tree* is a locally finite connected graph without cycles and parallel edges. Then in a tree, the path starting at an arbitrary point x and terminating at the other point y exists and is unique, it is denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ . In a tree the vertex o with no edge terminating at it is the *root* of the tree. The *branching number* b(v) of a vertex v is defined as the number of edges emanating from v.

**Definition 2.1.** A tree  $\Gamma'$  is a *metric tree* (sometimes also called a *weighted tree*) if each edge *e* is assigned a positive length  $|e| \in (0, \infty)$ .

Then each edge e of a metric tree can be viewed as an interval of the same length with e. Lebesgue measure on intervals extends from the edges to  $\Gamma'$  in the obvious way. The *distance*  $\rho(x, y)$  between any two points x, y in a metric tree is defined as the length of the unique path joining x and y, and thus the metric topology on a tree is introduced in a natural way. For a point  $x \in \Gamma'$ , |x| stands for the distance  $\rho(x, o)$ .

Let  $\Gamma'$  be a metric tree with a unique root o, countable vertex set  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma')$  and countable edge set  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma')$ , in addition, for each vertex  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma') \setminus \{o\}$  there exists a unique edge terminating at v. We also assume that  $b(v) < \infty$  for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma')$ .

Adding the assumption  $\inf_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma')} |e| = S > 0$ , a subtree  $E \subset \Gamma'$  is compact if and only if *E* is closed and has only a finite number of edges.

We write  $x \prec y$  if  $x \in \langle o, y \rangle$  and  $x \neq y, x \preceq y$  if  $x \in \langle o, y \rangle$ . For  $e_v^j$ , the *j*-th edge emanating from  $v, 1 \leq j \leq b(v)$ , we write  $x \succeq e_v^j$  or  $e_v^j \preceq x$ , if  $e_v^j \subset \langle o, x \rangle$ . For any vertex v, its *generation* gen(v) is defined as

$$gen(v) = \#\{x \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma') \colon x \prec v\},\$$

which counts the number of vertices  $x \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma')$  satisfy the condition  $x \prec v$ . In other words, the generation of a vertex v is k if there are k + 1 vertices on the unique path between o and v including the endpoints. For any edge e emanating from vertex v we define the generation of e as gen(e) = gen(v). The only vertex such that gen(v) = 0 is the root o. If an edge  $e_0$  satisfies  $gen(e_0) = 0$ , the edge  $e_0$  emanates from the root o. We should note is that due to that the  $\delta$ -type conditions (1.2) at the vertices except o is considered in this paper, a vertex  $v_0$ could not be understood as a inner point of a certain edge even if  $b(v_0) = 1$ .

**Definition 2.2.** We call a tree  $\Gamma$  with a unique root a *regular* tree (sometimes the notion of a radial tree is used instead) if the branching number and edge lengths are functions of the distance in the tree from the root vertex.

Or we could say that a tree  $\Gamma$  is a regular tree if the branching number b(v) and the length |e| are only depend on the generation of v and e respectively. So in a regular metric tree,  $b(v_i) = b(v_j)$  and  $|v_i| = |v_j|$  if  $gen(v_i) = gen(v_j)$ , then we define  $b_{gen(v)}$  and  $t_{gen(v)}$  as

$$b_{\text{gen}(v)} = b(v), \quad t_{\text{gen}(v)} = |v|, \quad \text{gen}(v) \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2...\}, \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . A regular tree is fully determined by these two number sequences  $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$ . It is clear that  $t_0 = 0$  and the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is strictly increasing.

We endow the  $\delta$ -type conditions (1.2) with symmetry by assuming  $\alpha_{v_i} = \alpha_{v_j}$  if gen $(v_i) = \text{gen}(v_i)$ . Then in the following sections, the  $\delta$ -type conditions are

$$\begin{cases} f_{-}(v) = f_{1}(v) = \dots = f_{b(v)}(v), \\ f'_{1}(v) + \dots + f'_{b(v)}(v) - f'_{-}(v) = \alpha_{\text{gen}(v)}f(v). \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

Here we denote the only edge which terminates at a vertex  $v \neq o$  by  $e_v^-$ , and the edges emanating from  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$  by  $e_v^1, e_v^2, \dots, e_v^{b(v)}$  for a given v. The derivative  $f'_j(v)$  is computed along the edge  $e_v^j$ , and the derivative  $f'_-(v)$  is computed along the edge  $e_v^-$ .

We give the meanings of some symbols we would use in what follows. We denote *the height* of  $\Gamma$  by  $h_{\Gamma}$ ,

$$h_{\Gamma} = \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \sup_{x \in \Gamma} |x|.$$

In this paper,  $\Gamma$  has infinite height, i.e.,  $h_{\Gamma} = \infty$ , then  $t_n \uparrow +\infty$ . For a given vertex  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$  and a given edge  $e_v^j$ ,  $T_v$  and  $T_{e_v^j}$  denote two special subtrees of  $\Gamma$  defined as

$$T_v = \{ x \in \Gamma : x \succeq v \}, \quad T_{e_v^j} = e_v^j \cup \{ x \in \Gamma : x \succeq e_v^j \}.$$

We have that for each  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ 

$$T_v = \bigcup_{1 \le j \le b(v)} T_{e_v^j}.$$

For  $T \subset \Gamma$  is a subtree with root  $o_T$ , define the branching function of  $\Gamma$  as

$$g_T(t) = #\{x \in T : |x| = t\}.$$

Along with the function  $g_T$ , define the functions  $g_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  as

$$g_k(t) = #\{x \in T_e : |x| = t\}, \text{ for all } e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \text{ satisfying gen}(e) = k$$
.

It is clear that

$$g_k(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < t_k, \\ 1, & t_k \le t \le t_{k+1}, \\ b_{k+1} \dots b_n, & t_n < t \le t_{n+1}, n > k, \end{cases}$$

and  $g_k(t) = (b_0 \cdots b_k)^{-1} g_{\Gamma}(t)$  for  $t \in (t_k, h_{\Gamma}), k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Next, we introduce the basic decomposition of  $L^2(\Gamma)$ . Number the countable edges in the set  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ , then for the *i*-th directed edge  $e_i$ , we identify it with an interval  $[a_i, b_i]$  of length  $|e_i|$ . This facilitates the discussion of function spaces and differential operators. The space  $L^2(\Gamma)$  is defined as the Hilbert space  $\bigoplus_{e_i \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} L^2(e_i)$  with the inner product

$$(f,g) = \int_{\Gamma} f(x)\overline{g(x)} dx = \sum_{i} \int_{a_{i}}^{b_{i}} f_{i}(x)\overline{g_{i}(x)} dx,$$

where  $f_i$ ,  $g_i$  are the components of f and g on the edge  $e_i$ . The inner product in  $L^2(\Gamma)$  is independent of the order of edges. M. Solomyak and R. Carlson have given the orthogonal decomposition of the space  $L^2(\Gamma)$  respectively in [6] and [3] in the case when  $\Gamma$  is a regular tree. Our further analyses are based on this decomposition.

Given a subtree  $T \subset \Gamma$  with root  $o_T$ , we say that a function  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$  belongs to the set  $\mathcal{F}_T$  if and only if

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \notin T, \\ f(y) & \text{if } x, y \in T \text{ and } |x| = |y| \end{cases}$$

Infact, the set  $\mathcal{F}_T$  is a closed subspace. When  $gen(e_v^j) = gen(v) = k \ge 0$ , any function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{T_{e_v^j}}$  can be naturally identified with a unique function  $\psi$  on  $[t_k, h_{\Gamma})$  such that  $f(x) = \psi(|x|)$  for each  $x \in T_{e_v^j}$ . Since  $h_{\Gamma} = \infty$ , we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} |f(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x = \|\psi\|_{L^2([t_k,\infty);g_k)}^2 := \int_{t_k}^{\infty} |\psi(t)|^2 g_k(t) \mathrm{d}t$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{T_{e_v^j}}$ , and

$$\int_{\Gamma} |f'(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x = \|\psi'\|_{L^2([t_k,\infty);g_k)}^2 := \int_{t_k}^{\infty} |\psi'(t)|^2 g_k(t) \mathrm{d}t$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{T_{e_v^j}} \cap W^{1,2}(\Gamma)$ , where  $W^{1,2}(\Gamma)$  is the space consisting of all continuous functions  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$  such that  $f_i \in W^{1,2}(e_i)$  for each edge  $e_i \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$  and

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,2}(\Gamma)}^2 := \sum_{e_i \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} \|f_i\|_{W^{1,2}(e_i)}^2 = \int_{\Gamma} |f(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Gamma} |f'(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x < \infty.$$

Next, we introduce a collection of subspaces  $\mathcal{F}_v^{\langle s \rangle}$  of  $L^2(\Gamma)$ , defined for  $s = 1, \ldots, b_k$  if v = o, and defined for  $s = 1, \ldots, b_k - 1$  if  $v \neq o$ . For the given v, we begin with the functions  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{T_{e_v^{b_k}}}$ . The subspaces  $\mathcal{F}_v^{\langle s \rangle}$  are the sets of functions satisfying

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{(2\pi i s \cdot j)/b_k} \tilde{f}(y) & \text{for } x \in T_{e_v^j} \text{ such that } |x| = |y|, y \in T_{e_v^{b_k}}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin T_v. \end{cases}$$

In the case v = o, the subspace  $\mathcal{F}_o^{\langle b_0 \rangle}$  is the function space  $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$ .

The high symmetry of regular trees allows one to construct the orthogonal decomposition of the space  $L^2(\Gamma)$  in the following lemma. We call this orthogonal decomposition *basic decomposition* of  $L^2(\Gamma)$ . The following result is introduced in [3], [5], [6], and [8].

**Lemma 2.3.** The distinct subspaces  $\mathcal{F}_{v}^{(s)}$  are mutually orthogonal. Moreover,

$$L^{2}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma} \oplus \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\text{gen}(v)=k} \sum_{s=1}^{b_{k}-1} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{v}^{\langle s \rangle}$$
(2.3)

and the decomposition reduces the Laplacian on  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* See [3].

K. Naimark and M. Solomyak have described the construction of the basic decomposition of  $L^2(\Gamma)$  in detail in [8]. Here we employ their description of the orthogonal projections of  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$  onto  $\mathcal{F}_v^{(s)}$ .

Every function  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$  is finite almost everywhere on  $\Gamma$ . For a given subtree T with root  $o_T$ , a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_T$  can naturally be identified with the corresponding function  $\psi \in L^2(|o_T|, h_{\Gamma})$  such that  $f(x) = \psi(|x|)$  almost everywhere on T. We denote the mapping by  $\psi = J_T f$ . The operator  $P_T$  defined as

$$(P_T f)(x) = \begin{cases} g_T(|x|)^{-1} \sum_{y \in T : |y| = |x|} f(y) & \text{for } x \in T, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin T, \end{cases}$$

acts on  $L^2(\Gamma)$  and defines a projection onto  $\mathcal{F}_T$ . For a given function  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$ and a given vertex  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$  satisfying gen(v) = k, we define the functions  $\psi_{v,f}$ 

and  $\psi_{v,f}^{j}$  as

$$\psi_{v,f}(t) = (b_k g_k(t))^{-1} \sum_{y \in T_v: |y| = t} f(y) \quad \text{almost everywhere on } [t_k, h_\Gamma),$$
  
$$\psi_{v,f}^j(t) = g_k(t)^{-1} \sum_{y \in T_{e_v}^j: |y| = t} f(y) \quad \text{almost everywhere on } [t_k, h_\Gamma).$$

These mappings are denoted by  $\psi_{v,f} = J_{T_v} P_{T_v} f$  and  $\psi_{v,f}^j = J_{T_{e_v^j}} P_{T_{e_v^j}} f$ . Define the vectors  $\boldsymbol{h}_v^{\langle s \rangle}$  as

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{\langle s \rangle} = b_{k}^{-1/2} \{ e^{(2\pi i s)/b_{k}}, e^{(2\pi i s \cdot 2)/b_{k}}, \dots, e^{(2\pi i s \cdot (b_{k}-1))/b_{k}}, 1 \}, \quad s = 1, \dots, b_{k}.$$

Then we define the function  $\psi_{v,f}^{\langle s \rangle}$  as

$$\psi_{v,f}^{\langle s \rangle} = b_k^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{b_k} e^{-(2\pi i s j)/b_k} \psi_{v,f}^j, \qquad (2.4)$$

and define the vector-valued function  $\psi_{v,f}^{(s)}$  as

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{v,f}^{\langle s \rangle} = \boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{\langle s \rangle} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{v,f}^{\langle s \rangle} = \boldsymbol{h}_{v}^{\langle s \rangle} \Big( b_{k}^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{b_{k}} \mathrm{e}^{-(2\pi \mathrm{i} s j)/b_{k}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{v,f}^{j} \Big),$$
(2.5)

where  $s = 1, ..., b_k$  if k = 0, and  $s = 1, ..., b_k - 1$  if k > 0.

If a function g on  $\Gamma$  belongs to the function space  $\mathcal{F}_{T_{e_v^1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_{T_{e_v^{b_k}}}$ , we can define a vector-valued function  $\tilde{J}_v g \in (L^2[t_k, h_{\Gamma}))^{b_k}$  given by

$$\widetilde{J}_{v}g = \{g^1, \ldots, g^{b_k}\}, g^i = \psi^i_{v,g}.$$

It is easy to see that the mapping

$$\widetilde{J}_{v}: \mathcal{F}_{T_{e_{v}^{1}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_{T_{e_{v}^{b_{k}}}} \longrightarrow (L^{2}[t_{k}, h_{\Gamma}))^{b_{k}}$$

is one-to-one for any given  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ . The orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\Gamma)$  to  $\mathcal{F}_v^{(s)}$  is given by

$$P_v^{\langle s \rangle} f = \tilde{J}_v^{-1} \psi_{v,f}^{\langle s \rangle}.$$

And for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$  the mapping

$$J_{v}^{\langle s \rangle} \colon \mathcal{F}_{v}^{\langle s \rangle} \ni f \longmapsto \psi_{v,f}^{\langle s \rangle} \in L^{2}[t_{k}, h_{\Gamma})$$

$$(2.6)$$

is an isometry. By the Theorem 2.3 in [8], for any function  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$  we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} |f(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^{h_{\Gamma}} |\psi_{o,f}|^2 g_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathrm{gen}(v)=k} \sum_{s=1}^{b_k-1} \int_{t_k}^{\infty} |\psi_{v,f}^{(s)}|^2 g_k \mathrm{d}t.$$

J. Zhao, G. Shi, and J. Yan

#### 3. The Schrödinger operators

We study the differential operators on  $L^2(\Gamma)$  that induced by the differential form  $L_Q$  with the potential Q. Here we employ the potential conditions given by M. Solomyak in [5]. We assume that Q is real-valued, Lebesgue measurable and symmetric on regular metric tree  $\Gamma$ . This means that the function value Q(x) is depending on |x|. We can write the function Q as Q(x) = q(t) for |x| = t. Instead of assuming that Q is bounded, we need  $q \in L^1_{loc}[0, \infty)$ . We define the *minimal operator*  $\mathcal{L}_{min}$  induced by  $L_Q$  as

$$\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_{\min}) = D_{\min}$$
 and  $\mathcal{L}_{\min}f = L_Q f$  for  $f \in D_{\min}$ .

The domain  $D_{\min}$  is the linear span of  $C^{\infty}$  functions compactly supported in the interior of a single edge  $e_i$  (identified with an interval  $(a_i, b_i)$ ). Correspondingly, the set  $D_{\max}$  contains functions  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$  with  $f_i$ ,  $f'_i$  absolutely continuous on the interval  $[a_i, b_i]$  for each edge  $e_i$  and  $-f'' + Qf \in L^2(\Gamma)$ . The maximal operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\max}$  induced by  $L_Q$  is defined as

$$Dom(\mathcal{L}_{max}) = D_{max}$$
 and  $\mathcal{L}_{max}f = L_Q f$  for  $f \in D_{max}$ 

In this paper we consider the  $\delta$ -type conditions (2.2) at inner vertices  $v \neq o$ . One can recognize these conditions as analogues of conditions obtained from Schrödinger operators on the line with the  $\delta$ -type potential  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \delta(t - t_k)$ . If all the real number  $\alpha_{\text{gen}(v)}$  in (2.2) are 0, then the  $\delta$ -type conditions become the Kirchhoff conditions coming from the theory of electric networks.

We restrict our considerations to the operator  $\mathcal{L}^0_{\delta,Q}$  induced by the formal operator  $L_Q$  with domain

$$Dom(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}) = \{ f \in L^{2}_{comp}(\Gamma) : f(o) = 0, f \in D_{max} \text{ and} \\ f \text{ satisfies the } \delta \text{-type conditions (2.2) at the inner vertices} \},$$

$$(3.1)$$

where  $L^2_{\text{comp}}(\Gamma)$  is constituted by functions in  $L^2(\Gamma)$  that vanish almost everywhere outside a compact subtree.

It is clear that  $\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}$  is a symmetric operator. Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  denote the closure of  $\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}$ . If  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  is lower semibounded, then it is self-adjoint. To prove this statement, we need to find the formal operator of  $(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q})^{*}$  firstly.

By working on one edge  $e_i$ , and using the classical theory in [12] and [13, pp. 169–171], we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** A function f is in the domain of the operator  $(\mathcal{L}_{\min})^*$ , then f belongs to  $D_{\max}$  and

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\min})^* f = L_Q f.$$

*Proof.* A differential operator acts componentwise on a function f in its domain. Choose an arbitrary edge  $e_i$  identified with the interval  $[a_i, b_i]$ , the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\min}^i$  denotes the component part operator of  $\mathcal{L}_{\min}$  with domain  $C_0^{\infty}(a_i, b_i)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{\max}^i$  is the adjoint operator of  $\mathcal{L}_{\min}^i$  on  $L^2(e_i)$ . For each  $f \in \text{Dom}((\mathcal{L}_{\min})^*) \subset L^2(\Gamma)$ ,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\min}g, f) = (g, (\mathcal{L}_{\min})^* f)$$

holds for all  $g \in D_{\min}$ . Then for each *i*,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\min}^{i}g_{i}, f_{i}) = (g_{i}, (\mathcal{L}_{\min}^{i})^{*}f_{i})$$

holds for all  $g_i \in C_0^{\infty}(a_i, b_i)$ , hence the function  $f_i$  satisfies the following conditions:  $f_i \in L^2(e_i)$  with  $f_i$ ,  $f'_i$  absolutely continuous on edge  $e_i$  and  $L_Q f_i \in L^2(e_i)$ ,  $(\mathcal{L}_{\min}^i)^* f_i = \mathcal{L}_{\max}^i f_i = L_Q f_i$ . That means  $f \in D_{\max}$  and

$$\left(\left(\mathcal{L}_{\min}\right)^{*}\right)^{i}f_{i} = -f_{i}^{\prime\prime} + Q_{i}f_{i}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  is lower semibounded, then it is self-adjoint,  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q} = \mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}^*$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, we prove that  $Dom((\mathcal{L}^0_{\delta,O})^*)$  coincides with the set

$$D = \{ f \in L^2(\Gamma) : f(o) = 0, f \in D_{\max} \text{ and} \\ f \text{ satisfies the } \delta \text{-type conditions (2.2) at the inner vertices} \},\$$

which is a little bit different from  $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q})$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_{\min} \subset \mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}$ , we have that  $(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q})^* \subset (\mathcal{L}_{\min})^*$ . Hence the formal operator of  $(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q})^*$  is  $L_Q$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_1$  denote the operator with  $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_1) = D$  and

$$\mathcal{L}_1 f = L_0 f$$
 for  $f \in D$ .

Integration by parts shows that  $\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{1}$  are formal adjoints of each other. That implies  $\mathcal{L}_{1} \subset (\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}})^{*}$ , it remains to prove that  $(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}})^{*} \subset \mathcal{L}_{1}$ . Let  $f \in \text{Dom}((\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}})^{*})$ , then the equality

$$(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\delta,O}g,f) = (g,(\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\delta,O})^*f)$$

must holds for all  $g \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^0_{\delta,Q})$ . By Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 in [11], we get that  $f \in D_{\text{max}}$  satisfies the  $\delta$ -type conditions (2.2) at the inner vertices. That

implies  $f \in D$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}$  is a closable symmetric operator and  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q} = \overline{\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Dom}((\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}})^{*}) = \operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^{*}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}}) = D.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q} \ge I$ . It is sufficient to show that ker $(\mathcal{L}^*_{\delta,Q}) = \{0\}$ , that is, the equation

$$-f''(x) + Q(x)f(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{V}, \quad f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^*_{\delta,Q})$$
(3.2)

has only a trivial solution (the derivative is understood in the sense of distributions).

Recall that  $\inf_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} |e| = S > 0$ . Let  $\xi \in C_0^{\infty}[0, S/2)$  such that  $\xi(0) = 1$ . Next we define a sequence of symmetric functions  $\{\chi_n\}$  on  $\Gamma$ . Assume that  $|e_1| \ge 1$ , define the function  $\chi_1$  on  $\Gamma$  as

$$\chi_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le |x| < 1/2, \\ \xi(|x| - 1/2), & 1/2 \le |x| < 1/2 + S/2, \\ 0, & |x| \ge 1/2 + S/2. \end{cases}$$

If  $|e_1| < 1$ , the function  $\chi_1$  could be defined in the same way with  $\chi_n$  defined as follows. For the given  $\Gamma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the point  $x \in \Gamma$  satisfying |x| = n/2belongs to an interval  $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$ , where k relies on n. The choice of  $\chi_n$  relies on the locations of n/2 and  $t_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

CASE 1. If 
$$n/2 \in ((t_k + t_{k+1})/2, t_{k+1}],$$
  

$$\chi_n(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq |x| < n/2 - S/2, \\ \xi(|x| - n/2 + S/2), & n/2 - S/2 \leq |x| < n/2, \\ 0, & |x| \geq n/2. \end{cases}$$

CASE 2. If  $n/2 \in (t_k, (t_k + t_{k+1})/2]$ ,

$$\chi_n(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq |x| < n/2, \\ \xi(|x| - n/2), & n/2 \leq |x| < n/2 + S/2, \\ 0, & |x| \geq n/2 + S/2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that for each v, there exists a neighbourhood O of v such that  $\chi_n(v) \equiv 1$  or  $\chi_n(v) \equiv 0$  in O.

Assume that  $f \neq 0$  is a solution of the equation (3.2). Since f satisfies the  $\delta$ -type conditions (2.2), for each vertex  $v \neq o$ ,

$$\begin{cases} (f\chi_n)_{-}(v) = (f\chi_n)_1(v) = \dots = (f\chi_n)_{b(v)}(v), \\ (f\chi_n)'_1(v) + \dots + (f\chi_n)'_{b(v)}(v) - (f\chi_n)'_{-}(v) = \alpha_{\text{gen}(v)}f(v)\chi_n(v). \end{cases}$$

hence  $f\chi_n \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^0_{\delta,Q})$ . In addition  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q} \ge I$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}}(f\chi_{n}),(f\chi_{n})) &= \int_{\Gamma} [-(f(x)\chi_{n}(x))'' + \mathcal{Q}(x)f(x)\chi_{n}(x)]\overline{f(x)\chi_{n}(x)}dx \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma} [2f'(x)\chi'_{n}(x) + f(x)\chi''_{n}(x)]\overline{f(x)\chi_{n}(x)}dx \\ &\geq ((f\chi_{n}),(f\chi_{n})) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} f^{2}(x)\chi^{2}_{n}(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3)$$

Integrating by parts on every edge and noting that for every  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ ,

$$\chi'_n(v-) = (\chi_n)'_1(v) = \dots = (\chi_n)'_{b(v)}(v) = 0,$$

we get

$$\int_{\Gamma} 2f'(x)\chi'_{n}(x)\overline{f(x)\chi_{n}(x)}dx = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma} (f^{2}(x))'(\chi^{2}_{n}(x))'dx$$
  
$$= -\int_{\Gamma} f^{2}(x)[\chi''_{n}(x)\chi_{n}(x) + (\chi'_{n}(x))^{2}]dx.$$
(3.4)

Combining (3.3) with (3.4), we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}^0_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}}(f\chi_n),(f\chi_n)) = \int_{\Gamma} f^2(x)(\chi'_n(x))^2 \mathrm{d}x.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma_{n/2-S/2}} f^2(x) \mathrm{d}x &\leq \int_{\Gamma} f^2(x) \chi_n^2(x) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{\Gamma} f^2(x) (\chi_n'(x))^2 \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq c^2 \bigg( \int_{\Gamma_{[n/2+S/2]+1}} f^2(x) \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Gamma_{[n/2-S/2]}} f^2(x) \mathrm{d}x \bigg), \end{split}$$

where  $c := \sup_{|x| \leq S/2} |\xi'(t)|$ , and for  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Gamma_m$  is a subtree of  $\Gamma$  containing all  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $|x| \leq m$ . Since  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$ , f = 0. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Next, we reduce the Schrödinger operators  $\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$ . The parts of  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  in the components of the decomposition (2.3) can be described in terms of auxiliary differential operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ , acting on the spaces  $L^{2}([t_{k},\infty);g_{\Gamma})$ .

A result similar with the following lemmas can be found in [5]. The relationship  $A \sim B$  means that operators A and B are unitarily equivalent, and  $A^{[m]}$  stands for the direct sum of m copies of an operator A.

Denote  $L^2_{\text{comp}}([t_k, \infty), g_{\Gamma})$  as the set of functions in  $L^2([t_k, \infty), g_{\Gamma})$  with compact support. Due to that every function  $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^0_{\delta,Q})$  satisfies the boundary condition f(o) = 0, the operator  $\mathcal{L}^0_{\delta,Q}$  on  $\Gamma$  splits into the direct sum of operators on the subtrees  $T_{e_o^j}$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, b(o)$ . For this reason, in the following we assume that  $b(o) = b_0 = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a regular metric tree and Q be a real, measurable function on  $\Gamma$ , Q(x) = q(|x|) for  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $q \in L^1_{loc}[0, \infty)$ . The operator  $\mathcal{L}^0_{\delta,Q}$  is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of the operators  $\mathfrak{A}^0_{\delta,O,k}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q} \sim \mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,0} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus (\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,k})^{[b_1\dots b_{k-1}(b_k-1)]}.$$
(3.5)

The operator  $\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,k}$  has domain

$$Dom(\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k}) = \{\varphi \in L^{2}_{comp}([t_{k},\infty),g_{\Gamma}):\varphi(t_{k}) = 0, \varphi, \varphi' \in AC[t_{i-1},t_{i}], \\ -\varphi'' + q\varphi \in L^{2}([t_{k},\infty),g_{\Gamma}), \varphi(t_{i}+) = \varphi(t_{i}-), \\ b_{i}\varphi'(t_{i}+) - \varphi'(t_{i}-) = \alpha_{i}\varphi(t_{i}), \text{ for all } i > k\},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,k}\varphi = -\varphi'' + q\varphi, \quad for \,\varphi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,k}).$$
(3.6)

If the operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k} := \overline{\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k}^{0}}$  is lower semibounded,  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k}$  is self-adjoint, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ .

*Proof.* For a vertex  $v_0 \neq o$  with  $|v_0| = t_k$  and a subspace  $\mathcal{F}_{v_0}^{\langle s \rangle}$  defined in Section 2, it is sufficient to show that the mapping  $J_{v_0}^{\langle s \rangle}$  (see (2.6)) sends functions in the set  $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^0_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}}) \cap \mathcal{F}_{v_0}^{\langle s \rangle}$  into  $L^2_{\text{comp}}([t_k, \infty), g_k)$ . The mapping

$$K: L^2([t_k, \infty), g_k) \longrightarrow L^2([t_k, \infty), g_{\Gamma})$$

defined as  $Kf = (b_0 \cdots b_k)^{-1} f$  is an isometry. Define the set  $Dom(\mathfrak{A}^0_{\delta,O,k})$  as

$$\operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,k}) = (KJ^{(s)}_{v_{0}})(\operatorname{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}) \cap \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{v_{0}})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{A}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k}\varphi = -\varphi'' + q\varphi, \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k}).$$

Next, we prove all the functions  $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^0_{\delta,Q,k})$  have the properties in (3.6). If  $f \in L^2(\Gamma)$  is continuous on  $\Gamma$ , by (2.4) for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ , we have

$$\psi_{v,f}^{1}(v) = \psi_{v,f}^{2}(v) = \dots = \psi_{v,f}^{b(v)}(v), \quad \psi_{v}^{\langle s \rangle}(v) = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, b_{k}.$$

Then every  $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,k})$  satisfies  $\varphi(t_{k}) = 0$ . Since every  $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}) \cap \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{v_{0}}$  satisfies the  $\delta$ -type conditions (2.2) at the inner vertices, we could obtain that all the functions  $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,k})$  are continuous in the interval  $[t_{k}, \infty)$  and satisfy the condition

$$b_i\varphi'(t_i+)-\varphi'(t_i-)=\alpha_i\varphi(t_i),$$

for all i > k. Other conditions appear in (3.6) could be obtained from the condition  $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}) \subset D_{\text{max}}$ . Because of the fact that the mappings K and  $J_{v_0}^{\langle s \rangle}$  are bijections, the equality (3.6) holds.

It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q}})\cap\mathcal{F}^{\langle s\rangle}_{v_{0}})\subset\mathcal{F}^{\langle s\rangle}_{v_{0}},$$

hence  $(KJ_{v_0}^{(s)})^{-1}(\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k})) \subset \mathfrak{F}_{v_0}^{(s)}$ . It follows from (2.3) that (3.5) holds.

Let the strictly increasing sequence  $\{t_i\}$  be defined by (2.1). For each k, the interval  $[t_k, \infty)$  is a special regular tree with vertex set  $\mathcal{V} = \{t_i, i \ge k\}$ . The essential self-adjointness of operators  $\mathfrak{A}^0_{\delta,Q,k}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , can be proved by the same method of Theorem 3.2.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a regular metric tree and Q be a real, measurable and function on  $\Gamma$ , Q(x) = q(|x|) for  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $q \in L^1_{loc}[0, \infty)$ . The operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of the operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q} \sim \mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,0} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus \mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^{[b_1\dots b_{k-1}(b_k-1)]}.$$
(3.7)

*Proof.* The proof is similar with that of Lemma 3.3.

### 4. Quadratic forms

We recall some basic definitions and lemmas about the quadratic forms which can be found in [13]. Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a Hilbert space with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and let t be a densely defined quadratic form on  $\mathfrak{H}$  with lower bound -c, that is  $t[u] \ge -c ||u||_{\mathfrak{H}}^2$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $t[\cdot, \cdot]$  be the sesquilinear form associated with t via the polarization identity. Then the equality

$$(f,g)_t = t[f,g] + (1+c)(f,g)$$

defines a scalar product on Dom(t) such that  $||u||_t \ge ||u||_{\mathfrak{H}}$  for all  $u \in \text{Dom}(t)$ , where

$$||u||_t^2 := t[u] + (1+c)||u||_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(t).$$

The form *t* is called *closable* if the norm  $\|\cdot\|_t$  is compatible with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ , i.e., for every  $\|\cdot\|_t$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in Dom(t),  $\|u_n\|_{\mathfrak{H}} \to 0$  implies  $\|u_n\|_t \to 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H}_t$  be a  $\|\cdot\|_t$ -completion of Dom(t). In this case the completion  $\mathfrak{H}_t$  can be considered as a subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . The form *t* is called *closed* if the sets  $\mathfrak{H}_t$  and Dom(t) are equal.

Let A be a self-adjoint lower semibounded operator on  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $(Af, f) \ge -c(f, f)$ for all  $f \in \text{Dom}(A)$  and some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Denote by  $t'_A$  a densely defined quadratic form, given by

$$t'_A[f] = (Af, f), \quad \operatorname{Dom}(t'_A) = \operatorname{Dom}(A).$$

Clearly, this form is closable and lower semibounded,  $t'_A \ge -c$  and its closure  $t_A$  satisfies  $t_A \ge -c$ . We set  $\mathfrak{H}_A := \mathfrak{H}_{t_A}$ . By the first representation theorem [13, Theorem 6.2.1], to any closed lower semibounded quadratic form  $t \ge -c$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  there corresponds a unique self-adjoint operator  $A = A^*$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  satisfying

$$(Af, f) \ge -c(f, f)$$

for all  $f \in Dom(A)$ , such that t is the closure of  $t'_A$ . It is uniquely determined by the conditions  $Dom(A) \subset Dom(t)$  and

$$(Au, v) = t[u, v], \quad u \in \text{Dom}(A), v \in \text{Dom}(t).$$

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $A = A^*$  be a lower semibounded operator on  $\mathfrak{H}$  and let  $t_A$  be the corresponding form. The spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of the operator A is discrete if and only if the embedding  $i_A: \mathfrak{H}_A \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$  is compact.

*Proof.* See [13].

**Definition 4.2.** Let the operator *A* be self-adjoint and positive on  $\mathfrak{H}$  and let  $t_A$  be the corresponding form. The form *t* is called *relatively form bounded with respect to*  $t_A$  ( $t_A$ -bounded) if  $Dom(t_A) \subset Dom(t)$  and there are positive constants *a*, *b* such that

$$|\boldsymbol{t}[f]| \leq a\boldsymbol{t}_A[f] + b\|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad f \in \text{Dom}(\boldsymbol{t}_A).$$

The infimum of all possible *a* is called the form bound of *t* with respect to  $t_A$ . If *a* can be chosen arbitrarily small, then *t* is called infinitesimally form bounded with respect to  $t_A$ .

**Lemma 4.3** (the KLMN theorem). Let  $t_A$  be the form corresponding to the operator  $A = A^* > 0$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$ . If the form t is  $t_A$ -bounded with relative bound a < 1, then the form

$$t_1 := t_A + t, \quad \operatorname{Dom}(t_1) = \operatorname{Dom}(t_A),$$

is closed and lower semibounded on  $\mathfrak{H}$  and hence gives rise to a self-adjoint semibounded operator. Moreover, the norms  $\|\cdot\|_A$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{t_1}$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* See [15].

For the rest of this section, we concentrate on the operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k} = \overline{\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^0}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (see (3.6)), and their corresponding quadratic forms. We start from the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_k := L^2([t_k, \infty); g_{\Gamma})$  and some quadratic forms in it. The quadratic forms

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{a}_{k}[\varphi] &:= \int_{t_{k}}^{\infty} |\varphi'|^{2} g_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d}t, \qquad \varphi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{k}), \\ \mathfrak{q}_{k}[\varphi] &:= \int_{t_{k}}^{\infty} q |\varphi|^{2} g_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d}t, \qquad \varphi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{q}_{k}), \\ \mathfrak{a}_{q,k}[\varphi] &:= \mathfrak{a}_{k}[\varphi] + \mathfrak{q}_{k}[\varphi], \qquad \varphi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{q,k}), \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,k}[\varphi] = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \alpha_i |\varphi(t_i)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t_i), \quad \varphi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,k})$$

are defined respectively on the domains

$$Dom(\mathfrak{a}_k) = W_0^{1,2}([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma}),$$
$$Dom(\mathfrak{q}_k) = \{\varphi \in L^2([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma}): |\mathfrak{q}_k[\varphi]| < \infty\},$$
$$Dom(\mathfrak{a}_{q,k}) = \{\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma}): \mathfrak{a}_{q,k}[\varphi] < \infty\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,k}) = \{\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}([t_k,\infty);g_\Gamma):\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,k}[\varphi] < \infty\}.$$

Here  $W_0^{1,2}([t_k, \infty); g_{\Gamma})$  stands for the weighted Sobolev space which consists of the functions  $\varphi$  satisfying the following conditions: function  $\varphi$  and its distributional derivative  $\varphi'$  belong to  $L^2([t_k, \infty); g_{\Gamma})$ , and  $\varphi(t_k) = 0$ .

We define the quadratic forms  $a_{\delta,q,k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  as follows:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}[\varphi] = \mathfrak{a}_{q,k}[\varphi] + \mathfrak{a}_{\delta,k}[\varphi], \quad \mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}) = \mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{q,k}) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,k})$$

If  $q(t) \ge 0$  a.e. on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, \infty)$ , these quadratic forms are non-negative and closed in  $\mathfrak{H}_k$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . For a given k, let  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,q,k}$  be the corresponding self-adjoint operator of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  and we find that  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,q,k}$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** If  $q(t) \ge 0$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, \infty)$ , then the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  is non-negative and closed for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* Let us equip  $\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,k} = \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k})$  with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,k}}^{2} = \mathfrak{a}_{q,k}[\varphi] + \mathfrak{a}_{\delta,k}[\varphi] + \|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{k}}^{2}$$

Let  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,k}$ . Since the spaces  $W_0^{1,2}([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma})$ and  $l^2(\{\alpha_i\})$  are Hilbert spaces, there exists  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma})$  and

$$\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in l^2(\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty})$$

such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{W_0^{1,2}([t_k,\infty);g_\Gamma)} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i} \alpha_i |\varphi_n(t_i) - y_i|^2 = 0.$$

Since  $g_{\Gamma} \ge 1$ ,  $W_0^{1,2}([t_k, \infty); g_{\Gamma}) \subset W_0^{1,2}[t_k, \infty)$ . Then the space  $W_0^{1,2}([t_k, \infty); g_{\Gamma})$  is continuously embedded into  $C_b[t_k, \infty)$ , which denotes the Banach space of bounded continuous functions on  $[t_k, \infty)$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi_n(t_i)=\varphi(t_i),$$

and hence  $y_i = \varphi(t_i)$ , for all  $i \ge k$ . Then  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,k}$  and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\varphi_n-\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,k}}=0.$$

In addition that  $q(t) \ge 0$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, \infty)$ , thus  $\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,k}$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(\varphi,\psi)_{\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,k}} = \int_{t_k}^{\infty} \varphi' \overline{\psi'} g_{\Gamma} + \int_{t_k}^{\infty} (q+1)\varphi \overline{\psi} g_{\Gamma} + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \alpha_i \varphi(t_i) \overline{\psi}(t_i) g_{\Gamma}(t_i).$$

Then the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  is closed. It is obvious that the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  is non-negative if  $q(t) \ge 0$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset [0,\infty)$ .

Lemma 4.5. If

$$C_0 := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |q(t)| \mathrm{d}t < \infty, \quad C'_0 := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\alpha_k| < \infty,$$

then for each k the forms  $\mathfrak{q}_k$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,k}$  are infinitesimally  $\mathfrak{a}_k$ -bounded and hence the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  is closed lower semibounded and  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}) = \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_k)$  algebraically and topologically.

*Proof.* For a function  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}([0,\infty); g_{\Gamma}), \varphi \sqrt{g_{\Gamma}}$  is continuous on each interval  $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$ . The proof of this statement could be found in [9] and the KLMN theorem (see [15]) will be used.

**Lemma 4.6.** For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , if the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  is lower semibounded, the set  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^0_{\delta,Q,k})$  is a core of the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$ .

*Proof.* We just prove the claim that if the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,0}$  is lower semibounded, the set  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^0_{\delta,Q,0})$  is a core of the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,0}$ . The proof of the remainder of this argument follows in a similar manner. In this proof,  $D'_{\min}$  is the linear span of  $C^{\infty}$  functions with compact support in a single interval  $(t_{i-1}, t_i), i \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each function  $f_i \in C_0^{\infty}(t_{i-1}, t_i)$ , it can be extended to  $[0, \infty)$ . The extended function

$$\tilde{f}_{i}(t) = \begin{cases} f_{i}(t), & t \in (t_{i-1}, t_{i}), \\ 0, & t \in [0, \infty) \setminus (t_{i-1}, t_{i}) \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $D'_{\min} \subset \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,0}).$ 

We need to show  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^0_{\delta,Q,0})$  is dense in  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,0})$  with respect to the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,0}}^2 = \mathfrak{a}_{q,0}[\varphi] + \mathfrak{a}_{\delta,0}[\varphi] + \|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_0}^2$$

The method used is similar to Lemma 9 in [10]. We need to prove that for  $u \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,0})$  and for all  $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,0}^0)$ ,

$$(u, f)_{\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,0}} = \int_0^\infty u' \overline{f'} g_{\Gamma} + \int_0^\infty (q+1) u \, \bar{f} g_{\Gamma} + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i u(t_i) \, \bar{f}(t_i) g_{\Gamma}(t_i) = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

implies that u = 0. The equation (4.1) holds for all  $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^0_{\delta,Q,0})$ , then for each interval  $(t_{i-1}, t_i)$ , the equation

$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} u'\overline{(f_i)'}g_{\Gamma} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (q+1)u\overline{(f_i)}g_{\Gamma} = 0$$

holds for all  $f_i \in C_0^{\infty}(t_{i-1}, t_i)$ . Then u'' = (q+1)u holds on each interval  $(t_{i-1}, t_i)$  in the sense of distributions.

Since the equation (4.1) holds for all  $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,0})$ , integrating by parts, we get  $u \in \text{Dom}((\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,0})^{*})$ . Then by the similar method with Theorem 3.2, the only function  $u \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,0})$  satisfying the equation (4.1) is u = 0.

**Lemma 4.7.** For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , if the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  is lower semibounded, then it is closable. The operator associated with its closure  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}}$  coincides with the self-adjoint operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,O,k}$ .

*Proof.* Integrating by parts, we can get that  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,k}) \subset \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k})$ . For every function  $u \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}^{0}_{\delta,Q,k})$ ,

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}[u,u] = \int_{t_k}^{\infty} |u'|^2 g_{\Gamma} + \int_{t_k}^{\infty} q |u|^2 g_{\Gamma} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_i |u(t_i)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t_i)$$
  
=  $(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^0 u, u).$ 

If the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  is lower semibounded, then  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^{0}$  is lower semibounded and the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}^{0} := \mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k} \upharpoonright \operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^{0})$  is closable. Since  $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^{0})$  is a core of the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$ , the closed form  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}^{0}}$  is an extension of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$ , and  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}^{0}} = \overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}}$ . The operator associated with  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}^{0}}$  is the Friedrichs' extension of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^{0}$ . By Theorem 3.2,  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k} = \mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^{*}$ , hence it is associated with  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}}$ . The proof can be proceeded for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ .

#### 5. Operators with discrete spectrum

In this section we extend the classical Molchanov's discreteness criterion [7] to the case of Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  on a regular metric tree  $\Gamma$ . To do this we need some results given by M. Solomyak in [6]. Denote  $\sigma(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $\sigma_p(\mathfrak{A})$  the spectrum and the point spectrum of the operator  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** For the operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  defined in  $\mathfrak{H}_k = L^2([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma}), k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have the following results.

(i) If 𝔄<sub>δ,Q,0</sub> is lower semibounded, then the same is true for any operator 𝔄<sub>δ,Q,k</sub>,
 k ∈ ℕ, and

$$\min \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,0}) \leqslant \min \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,1}) \leqslant \dots \leqslant \min \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}) \leqslant \dots .$$
(5.1)

- (ii) If the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,0}$  is discrete, then the same is true for any operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (iii)  $\sigma_p(\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_p(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}); \sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}) = \overline{\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k})}.$

*Proof.* (i) For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  is the self-adjoint operator associated with the quadratic form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$ , then the operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  has the same lower bound  $\gamma_k$  with  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  [12, pp.122–123]. Each function  $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k+1})$  can be extended to the function  $\tilde{\varphi}$  defined on the interval  $[t_k, \infty)$  by setting  $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = 0$  for  $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$ . The extended set of  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k+1})$  is a subset of  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k})$ , then we get  $\gamma_{k+1} \ge \gamma_k$ . In addition,  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  is self-adjoint,  $\min \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}) = \gamma_k$  [13, p. 278]. Hence the statements are proved.

(ii) By Lemma 4.1, the spectrum  $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k})$  is discrete if and only if the embedding  $i_{\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}}$ :  $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}} \hookrightarrow L^2([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma})$  is compact, where  $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}}$  denotes the space consisting of the functions in  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k})$  equipped with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}}^{2} = \mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}[\varphi] + (1-\gamma_{k})\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}([t_{k},\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^{2}, \quad \varphi \in \mathrm{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}).$$

Since the extended set of  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k+1})$  is a subset of  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k})$ , the compactness of the embedding  $i_{\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}}$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(iii) The statements follow from Lemma 2.3 (see [6]).

Then the following is one of our main results. We prove the relationship between the spectral discreteness of the Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  on  $\Gamma$  and the spectral discreteness of Schrödinger operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  on intervals  $[t_k, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** The spectrum of the given Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  is discrete if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i) the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,0}$  is discrete;
- (ii)  $\min \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}) \to \infty$ , as  $k \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* The sufficiency is obvious, so we just demonstrate the necessity. Since  $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k})$ , the discreteness of  $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q})$  implies that the spectrum of each operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  is discrete, then  $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}) = \sigma_p(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k})$ . Assume that condition (ii) is violated, then the sequence  $\{\min \sigma_p(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k})\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is bounded. In addition, by Lemma 5.1 the sequence  $\{\min \sigma_p(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k})\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is monotone increasing, then there must exist an accumulation point. That contradicts the discreteness of  $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q})$ . The proof is completed.

We turn to the spectral properties of the Schrödinger operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$ . By Lemma 4.4, when  $q(t) \ge 0$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, \infty)$ , the form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$  is non-negative and closed for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  is lower semibounded, and  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k} = \mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^*$  is the associated operator with  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k}$ .

Following from the compact embedding theorem, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  to have discrete spectrum in terms of quadratic forms.

**Theorem 5.3.** Assume that  $q \in L^1_{loc}[0, \infty)$ ,  $q(t) \ge 0$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, \infty)$ . Then the spectrum of operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,O,0}$  is discrete if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} q(t) dt + \sum_{t_i \in (t,t+\epsilon]} \alpha_i \longrightarrow \infty \quad as \ t \to \infty.$$
(5.2)

*Proof.* SUFFICIENCY. The form  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,0}$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{H} = L^2([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma})$  (by Lemma 4.4). Let  $\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,0}$  be the Hilbert space generated by  $\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,0}$ . We denote the unit ball in  $\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,0}$  by  $U_{\delta,q,0}$ . Let us show that the unit ball  $U_{\delta,q,0}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \Big\{ \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma}) \colon \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^2 + \|q^{1/2}\varphi\|_{L^2([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^2 \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i |\varphi(t_i)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t_i) \leqslant 1 \Big\}, \end{split}$$

is compact in  $L^2([0,\infty); g_{\Gamma})$ . Since  $\inf_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} |e| = S > 0$ , |e| < M for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ , and  $b(v) < \infty$  for all v, the embedding

$$W^{1,2}([0,a];g_{\Gamma}) \hookrightarrow L^2([0,a];g_{\Gamma})$$

is compact for any a > 0. It suffices to show that  $\int_N^\infty |\varphi(t)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t) dt$  uniformly tend to zero in  $U_{\delta,q,0}$ .

Let us divide the interval  $[0, \infty)$  into infinitely many semiclosed intervals  $\Omega'_n$ of lengths  $2\epsilon$ ,  $\Omega'_i \cap \Omega'_j = \emptyset$ .  $T_1 := {\Omega'_n}$  is a division of  $[0, \infty)$ . Since  ${t_i}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a strictly increasing sequence, such that  $t_i \to \infty$  as  $i \to \infty$  and  $|t_{i+1} - t_i| \ge S > 0$ , let

$$T_2 := \{I_1 := [t_0, t_1]\} \cup \{I_i := (t_{i-1}, t_i], i = 2, 3 \dots\}$$

be another division of  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $T := T_1 + T_2$  (this means we unite the dividing points of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ) and denote T by  $\{\Omega_n\}$ . Then  $g_{\Gamma}$  is a constant function in a given  $\Omega_n$ . For any  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}([0, \infty); g_{\Gamma})$  and any  $x, y \in \Omega_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi^{2}(x)g_{\Gamma}(x) - \varphi^{2}(y)g_{\Gamma}(y)| &= |\varphi^{2}(x) - \varphi^{2}(y)|g_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= 2 \bigg| \int_{x}^{y} \varphi(t)\varphi'(t)dt \bigg| g_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega_{n}} |\varphi(t)| |\varphi'(t)|g_{\Gamma}(t)dt \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{n};g_{\Gamma})}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi \sqrt{g_{\Gamma}}$  is continuous on  $\Omega_n$ , there exists  $y_n \in \Omega_n$ , such that

$$\int_{\Omega_n} q|\varphi|^2 g_{\Gamma} + \sum_{t_i \in \Omega_n} \alpha_i |\varphi(t_i)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t_i) = |\varphi(y_n)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(y_n) \bigg( \int_{\Omega_n} q + \sum_{t_i \in \Omega_n} \alpha_i \bigg).$$

Then we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_{n}} |\varphi(t)|^{2} g_{\Gamma}(t) dx \leq 2\epsilon |\varphi^{2}(y_{n})g_{\Gamma}(y_{n})| + 2\epsilon ||\varphi||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{n};g_{\Gamma})}^{2} \\
\leq 2\epsilon \left( \int_{\Omega_{n}} q(t)|\varphi(t)|^{2} g_{\Gamma}(t) dt + \sum_{t_{i} \in \Omega_{n}} \alpha_{i} |\varphi(t_{i})|^{2} g_{\Gamma}(t_{i}) \right) \\
\cdot \left( \int_{\Omega_{n}} q(t) dt + \sum_{t_{i} \in \Omega_{n}} \alpha_{i} \right)^{-1} \\
+ 2\epsilon ||\varphi||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_{n};g_{\Gamma})}^{2}.$$
(5.3)

According to condition (5.2), there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\int_{\Omega_n} q(t) dt + \sum_{t_i \in \Omega_n} \alpha_i > 1 \quad \text{for all } n \ge N.$$
(5.4)

Combining (5.3) with (5.4), we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{y_n}^{\infty} |\varphi(t)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t) \mathrm{d} x &\leqslant 2\epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega_n} q(t) |\varphi(t)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t) \mathrm{d} t + \sum_{t_i \in \Omega_n} \alpha_i |\varphi(t_i)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t_i) \right) \\ &+ 2\epsilon \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^2, \end{split}$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{y_n}^{\infty} |\varphi(t)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t) \mathrm{d} x \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Hence by Lemma 4.1, the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,0}$  is discrete.

NECESSITY. We need a new division of  $[0, \infty)$  to ensure the lengths of intervals in the division have a uniform positive lower bound. We have a natural partition

$$T_2 := \{I_1 := [t_0, t_1]\} \cup \{I_i := (t_{i-1}, t_i], i = 2, 3...\}$$

and  $S \leq |t_i - t_{i-1}| \leq M$ . For each interval  $I_i$  we divide it into N equal parts. We unite the dividing points of all  $I_i$  and  $T_2$ , then we get the division

$$T_N := \{(x_n, x_{n+1})\}_{n=2}^{\infty} \cup \{[x_1, x_2]\}, \quad x_1 = t_0 = 0,$$

which relies on the number *N*. Assume that condition (5.2) is violated. Then there exists  $N_0$  and a sequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  satisfies  $x_{n_j} \to \infty$ , such that the following inequality

$$\int_{x_{n_j}}^{x_{n_j}+\frac{M}{N_0}} q(t) \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{t_i \in (x_{n_j}, x_{n_j}+\frac{M}{N_0}]} \alpha_k \leqslant C_1 < \infty$$

holds with some  $C_1 > 0$ . Let  $\psi \in W^{1,2}([0,\infty); g_{\Gamma})$  with  $\|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty); g_{\Gamma})} = 1$ , supp  $\psi \subset (0, \frac{S}{N_0})$  and  $\sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} |\psi(t)| =: C_2 < +\infty$ . Since  $(0, \frac{S}{N_0}) \subset (t_0, t_1)$ , then  $g_{\Gamma}(t) \equiv 1$  on  $(0, \frac{S}{N_0})$  and

$$\int_0^{\frac{S}{N_0}} |\psi'(t)|^2 + |\psi(t)|^2 = 1$$

Let

$$\psi_{n_j}(t) := \psi \Big[ \frac{(t - x_{n_j})S}{(x_{n_j+1} - x_{n_j})N_0} \Big] (g_{\Gamma}(x_{n_j+1}))^{-1/2},$$

then

$$\begin{split} \|\psi_{n_{j}}\|_{W^{1,2}([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^{2} &= \int_{x_{n_{j}}}^{x_{n_{j}}+1} |\psi_{n_{j}}(t)|^{2} g_{\Gamma}(x_{n_{j}}+1) + |\psi_{n_{j}}'(t)|^{2} g_{\Gamma}(x_{n_{j}}+1) dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\frac{S}{N_{0}}} \psi^{2}(\xi) \frac{N_{0}(x_{n_{j}}+1-x_{n_{j}})}{S} d\xi \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\frac{S}{N_{0}}} \left|\psi'(\xi) \frac{S}{N_{0}(x_{n_{j}}+1-x_{n_{j}})} \right|^{2} \frac{N_{0}(x_{n_{j}}+1-x_{n_{j}})}{S} d\xi \\ &\leqslant \frac{N_{0}(x_{n_{j}}+1-x_{n_{j}})}{S} \int_{0}^{\frac{S}{N_{0}}} \psi^{2}(\xi) + |\psi'(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \\ &\leqslant \frac{M}{S}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,0}[\psi_{n_{j}}] + \|\psi_{n_{j}}\|_{L^{2}([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^{2} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} (|\psi_{n_{j}}'|^{2}g_{\Gamma} + q|\psi_{n_{j}}|^{2}g_{\Gamma} + |\psi_{n_{j}}|^{2}g_{\Gamma}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i}|\psi_{n_{j}}(t_{i})|^{2}g_{\Gamma}(t_{i}) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{S} + \int_{x_{n_{j}}}^{x_{n_{j}+1}} q|\psi_{n_{j}}|^{2}g_{\Gamma} + \sum_{t_{i} \in (x_{n_{j}}, x_{n_{j}+1}]} \alpha_{i}|\psi_{n_{j}}(t_{i})|^{2}g_{\Gamma}(t_{i}) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{S} + C_{2}^{2} \int_{x_{n_{j}}}^{x_{n_{j}+1}} q + C_{2}^{2} \sum_{t_{i} \in (x_{n_{j}}, x_{n_{j}+1}]} \alpha_{i} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{S} + C_{2}^{2}C_{1}. \end{split}$$

Thus the sequence  $\{\psi_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded in  $\mathfrak{H}_{\delta,q,0}$ , but it is not compact in the space  $\mathfrak{H} = L^2([0,\infty); g_{\Gamma})$ , since

$$\begin{split} \|\psi_{n_{j}}\|_{L^{2}([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^{2} &= \int_{x_{n_{j}}}^{x_{n_{j}+1}} |\psi_{n_{j}}(t)|^{2} g_{\Gamma}(x_{n_{j}+1}) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{0}^{\frac{S}{N_{0}}} \psi^{2}(\xi) \frac{N_{0}(x_{n_{j}+1}-x_{n_{j}})}{S} \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \frac{N_{0}(x_{n_{j}+1}-x_{n_{j}})}{S} \|\psi\|_{L^{2}([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^{2} \\ &\geq \|\psi\|_{L^{2}([0,\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^{2}. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 4.1, the spectrum  $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,0})$  is not discrete. This leads to a contradiction.

**Theorem 5.4.** If  $q \in L^1_{loc}[0,\infty)$  and  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy condition (5.2) and the operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k}$   $(k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  are self-adjoint, then  $\min \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k}) \to \infty$ , as  $k \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* For each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can define the division  $T_N$  of  $[0, \infty)$  which has been introduced in the proof of Theorem 5.3. By the condition (5.2), for a given  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T_{N_0} := \{[x_1, x_2]\} \cup \{(x_n, x_{n+1}]\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ , there exists  $t_{k_0}$  such that

$$\int_{x_n}^{x_n + \frac{S}{N_0}} q(t) \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{t_k \in (x_n, x_n + \frac{S}{N_0}]} \alpha_k > 1, \quad \text{for all } x_n \ge t_{k_0}.$$

For any interval  $(x_n, x_{n+1}]$  satisfies  $x_n \ge t_{k_0}$  and any function  $\varphi$  in  $\text{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}_{\delta,q,k_0})$ , let the  $2\epsilon$  in the inequations (5.3) equal  $\frac{S}{N_0}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} \varphi^2(t) g_{\Gamma}(t) \mathrm{d}t &\leq \frac{M}{N_0} \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} q(t) |\varphi(t)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t) \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \frac{M}{N_0} \sum_{t_k \in (x_n, x_{n+1}]} \alpha_k |\varphi(t_k)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t_k) \\ &+ \frac{M}{N_0} \|\varphi\|_{L^2((x_n, x_{n+1}]; g_{\Gamma})}^2 \\ &+ \frac{M}{N_0} \|\varphi'\|_{L^2((x_n, x_{n+1}]; g_{\Gamma})}^2. \end{split}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{t_{k_0}}^{\infty} \varphi^2(t) g_{\Gamma}(t) \mathrm{d}t &\leq \frac{M}{N_0} \int_{t_{k_0}}^{\infty} q(t) |\varphi(t)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t) \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \frac{M}{N_0} \sum_{t_k > t_{k_0}} \alpha_k |\varphi(t_k)|^2 g_{\Gamma}(t_k) \\ &+ \frac{M}{N_0} \|\varphi\|_{L^2([t_{k_0},\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^2 \\ &+ \frac{M}{N_0} \|\varphi'\|_{L^2([t_{k_0},\infty);g_{\Gamma})}^2, \end{split}$$

which means that for a given  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , we could find a  $k_0$  such that

$$(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k_0}\varphi,\varphi)_{L^2([t_{k_0},\infty);g_{\Gamma})} \ge \frac{N_0 - M}{M}(\varphi,\varphi)_{L^2([t_{k_0},\infty);g_{\Gamma})}.$$
(5.5)

If the operators  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) are self-adjoint, from [13, p. 278] it follows that  $\min \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}) = \gamma_k$  for  $\gamma_k$  is the largest number  $\gamma$  with the property

$$(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k}\varphi,\varphi)_{L^2([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma})} \geq \gamma(\varphi,\varphi)_{L^2([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma})}, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \text{Dom}(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k}).$$

Then min  $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},k_0}) \ge \frac{N_0}{M} - 1$ , for *M* is a fixed number. Together with (5.1), we get

$$\min \sigma(\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}) \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \qquad \Box$$

Define  $q_-$  as  $q_-(t) = \frac{q(t)-|q(t)|}{2}$ ,  $q_+$  as  $q_+(t) = \frac{q(t)+|q(t)|}{2}$ . And Define  $\alpha_k^-$  as  $\alpha_k^- = \frac{\alpha_k - |\alpha_k|}{2}$ ,  $\alpha_k^+$  as  $\alpha_k^+ := \frac{\alpha_k + |\alpha_k|}{2}$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** For the symmetric potential function Q, q(t) = Q(x) for t = |x|, if  $q \in L^1_{loc}[0, \infty)$  and

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}}|q_-(t)|\mathrm{d}t<\infty,\quad \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}|\alpha_k^-|<\infty,\tag{5.6}$$

then the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q}$  is lower semibounded and self-adjoint. The spectrum  $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q})$  is discrete if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} q(t) dt \longrightarrow \infty, \quad as \ t \to \infty.$$
(5.7)

*Proof.* Denote  $a_{\delta_+,q_+,k}$  as the quadratic form with the function  $q_+$  and the sequence  $\{\alpha_k^+\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . By Lemma 4.5, if  $q_-$  and sequence  $\{\alpha_k^-\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy the condition (5.6) the form  $q_-$  and  $a_{\delta_-,k}$  is  $a_k$ -bounded and each operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  with the potential q and sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is self-adjoint and lower semibounded. Moreover, the domains  $\text{Dom}(a_{\delta,q,k}) = \text{Dom}(a_{\delta_+,q_+,k})$  algebraically and topologically. By Theorem 5.3, the operator  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}^+$  with the potential  $q_+$  and sequence  $\{\alpha_k^+\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  has discrete spectrum if and only if  $q_+$  and  $\{\alpha_k^+\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy the condition (5.2). Assume q and  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy the conditions (5.6) and (5.2), then  $q_+$  and  $\{\alpha_k^+\}$  satisfy the condition (5.2) simultaneously. Along with Theorem 5.4 we get that if the potential q and sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy the condition (5.6),  $\sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\delta,Q})$  is discrete if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} q(t) dt + \sum_{t_k \in (t,t+\epsilon]} \alpha_k \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Next we replace the condition (5.2) with (5.7). Sufficiency is immediately from the above proof. Next we prove the necessity. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $q(t) \ge 1$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . The edge lengths of  $\Gamma$  have a positive lower bound *S*, we let  $\varepsilon < S$ . According to condition (5.2), with  $\epsilon/2$  for any C > 0there is  $t_0 > 0$ , such that

$$\int_{t}^{t+\epsilon/2} q(t) \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{t_k \in (t,t+\epsilon/2]} \alpha_k > C \quad \text{for } t > t_0.$$

Hence either  $\int_t^{t+\epsilon/2} q(t) dt > C$  or  $\int_{t+\epsilon/2}^{t+\epsilon} q(t) dt > C$  is established, since at least one of the intervals  $(t, t+\epsilon/2)$  and  $(t+\epsilon/2, t+\epsilon)$  contains no points of  $t_k$ . Then

$$\int_{t}^{t+\epsilon} q(t) \mathrm{d}t > C \quad \text{for } t > t_0,$$

and this completes the proof.

Next, we remove the assumption  $b_0 = 1$  and the boundary condition f(o) = 0. Consider the symmetric operator  $\mathcal{L} f = L_0 f$  whose domain is

 $Dom(\mathcal{L}) = \{ f \in L^2_{comp}(\Gamma) : f \text{ is smooth on each edge, } f, f' \text{ vanish at } o, \text{ and} \\ f \text{ satisfies the } \delta \text{-type conditions (2.2)} \\ at the inner vertices \}.$ 

Obviously,  $\mathcal{L}_{\min} \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}$ . Infact, the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is the minimal operator whose domain consists of functions satisfying the  $\delta$ -type conditions (2.2) at the inner vertices. By the same method as the reduction of  $\mathcal{L}^{0}_{\delta,Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  can be reduced into the direct sum of the auxiliary differential operators,

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \mathfrak{B}_{\delta,Q,0}^{[b_0]} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus \mathfrak{B}_{\delta,Q,k}^{[b_0b_1...b_{k-1}(b_k-1)]},$$

in which the operators  $\mathfrak{B}_{\delta,Q,k}$  act on the spaces  $L^2([t_k,\infty);g_{\Gamma})$ , respectively, with domain

$$Dom(\mathfrak{B}_{\delta,Q,k}) = \{ \varphi \in L^2_{comp}([t_k,\infty), g_{\Gamma}) :$$
  

$$\varphi(t_k) = 0, \varphi \in C^{\infty}[t_{i-1}, t_i],$$
  

$$-\varphi'' + q\varphi \in L^2([t_k,\infty), g_{\Gamma}), \varphi(t_i+) = \varphi(t_i-),$$
  

$$b_i \varphi'(t_i+) - \varphi'(t_i-) = \alpha_i \varphi(t_i), \text{ for all } i > k \},$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$Dom(\mathfrak{B}_{\delta,\mathcal{Q},0}) = \{\varphi \in L^2_{comp}([0,\infty), g_{\Gamma}): \\ \varphi, \varphi' \text{ vanish at } 0, \varphi \in C^{\infty}[t_{i-1}, t_i], \\ -\varphi'' + q\varphi \in L^2([0,\infty), g_{\Gamma}), \varphi(t_i+) = \varphi(t_i-), \\ b_i \varphi'(t_i+) - \varphi'(t_i-) = \alpha_i \varphi(t_i), \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

**Lemma 5.6.** If the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is lower semibounded, the deficiency indices of  $\mathcal{L}$  are  $(b_0, b_0)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that the deficiency indices for the symmetric operator  $\mathcal{L}$  are the dimensions of the deficiency subspaces  $N(\mathcal{L}^* - \lambda I)$  for  $\lambda$  with positive and negative imaginary part. Since Q is real-valued, the symmetric operators  $\mathfrak{B}_{\delta,Q,k}(k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  have equal deficiency indices. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is lower semibounded, the self-adjointness of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\delta,Q,k}$  shows that the deficiency indices of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\delta,Q,k}$  are (0,0) if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and are (1,1) if k = 0. The statements are proved by a simple calculation.

Then the following lemma are valid.

**Lemma 5.7.** All self-adjoint extensions of a symmetric operator A with finite and equal deficiency indices have the same essential spectrum.

*Proof.* See [17].

486

We have the following conclusion.

**Theorem 5.8.** Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  be an arbitrary self-adjoint extension of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . For the symmetric potential function Q, q(t) = Q(x) for t = |x|, if  $q \in L^1_{loc}[0, \infty)$  and

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}}|q_-(t)|\mathrm{d}t<\infty,\quad \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}|\alpha_k^-|<\infty,$$

then  $\sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$  is discrete if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\int_t^{t+\epsilon} q(t) \mathrm{d}t \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Next we show that the conditions given by M. Solomyak in [6] actually only hold for the transient trees (satisfying the condition  $\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{g_{\Gamma}(t)} < \infty$ ) in case 3.

**Remark 5.9.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a regular tree with  $h_{\Gamma} = \infty$ . For the Laplacian  $\Delta$  with Kirchhoff conditions at the inner vertices and Dirichlet conditions at the root o, in [6] Solomyak has given the criterions for the Laplacian  $\Delta$  on  $\Gamma$  to be positive definite and to have discrete spectrum as follows.

(i) The Laplacian on  $\Gamma$  is positive definite if and only if

$$L_{\Gamma} := \int_0^{h_{\Gamma}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{g_{\Gamma}(\tau)} < \infty$$

and

$$B(\Gamma) := \sup_{t>0} \left( \int_0^t g_{\Gamma}(\tau) d\tau \int_t^\infty \frac{d\tau}{g_{\Gamma}(\tau)} \right) < \infty.$$

(ii) The Laplacian on  $\Gamma$  has discrete spectrum if and only if  $L_{\Gamma} < \infty$ ,  $B(\Gamma) < \infty$ and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left( \int_0^t g_{\Gamma}(\tau) d\tau \int_t^\infty \frac{d\tau}{g_{\Gamma}(\tau)} \right) = 0.$$
 (5.8)

But for  $\Gamma$  satisfies  $S \leq |e| \leq M$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ , the condition (5.8) can not be satisfied.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, for a regular tree  $\Gamma$  we assume that  $b_0 = 1$ , then

$$g_{\Gamma}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \\ b_1, & t_1 < t \leq t_2, \\ \vdots \\ b_1 b_2 \dots b_n, & t_n < t \leq t_{n+1} \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\hat{t}_k := \frac{t_k + t_{k+1}}{2}$$

then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\hat{t}_{k}} g_{\Gamma}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \int_{\hat{t}_{k}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{g_{\Gamma}(\tau)} \\ & \geq \frac{S^{2}}{4} (2 + \dots + 2b_{1}b_{2} \dots b_{k-2} + b_{1}b_{2} \dots b_{k-1}) \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{b_{1}b_{2} \dots b_{k-1}} + \frac{2}{b_{1}b_{2} \dots b_{k-1}b_{k}} + \dots\right) \\ & = \frac{S^{2}}{4} \left(1 + \frac{2}{b_{k-1}} + \dots + \frac{2}{b_{1}b_{2} \dots b_{k-1}}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{2}{b_{k}} + \frac{2}{b_{k}b_{k+1}} + \dots\right) \\ & > \frac{S^{2}}{4}. \end{split}$$

That means there exists a sequence  $\{\hat{t}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset [0,\infty), \hat{t}_k \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ , such that

$$\lim_{\hat{t}_k \to \infty} \left( \int_0^{\hat{t}_k} g_{\Gamma}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \int_{\hat{t}_k}^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{g_{\Gamma}(\tau)} \right) > \frac{S^2}{4}.$$

It follows that for regular tree  $\Gamma$  satisfying  $h_{\Gamma} = \infty$  and  $S \leq |e| \leq M$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ , the spectrum of the Laplacian  $\Delta$  could not be discrete. However for a perturbed operator  $\mathcal{L}_Q = \Delta + Q$  defined on  $\Gamma$  the spectrum will be discrete when Q satisfies the conditions in the Theorem 5.5. In the following, we give two examples to illustrate this fact.

**Example 5.10.** Consider the tree  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{1,2}$  with  $t_n = n$  and  $b_n = 2$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $b_0 = 1$ . So all the edges of  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  are of length 1. We have

$$g_{\Gamma_{1,2}}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 2, & 1 < t \leq 2, \\ \vdots \\ 2^{n-1}, & n-1 < t \leq n, \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

then

$$L_{\Gamma_{1,2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/2)^{n-1} = 2,$$

and, for  $n - 1 < t \leq n$ ,

$$\int_{0}^{t} g_{\Gamma_{1,2}}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{g_{\Gamma_{1,2}}(\tau)} < \int_{0}^{n} g_{\Gamma_{1,2}}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \int_{n-1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{g_{\Gamma_{1,2}}(\tau)} < 4$$

can be estimated for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows from [6, 18] that the spectrum of  $\Delta$  is not discrete.

**Example 5.11.** Consider the same tree  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  and the Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{L}_Q f = -f'' + Qf$$

with Kirchhoff conditions at the inner vertices and Dirichlet conditions at the root o. The potential Q satisfies that Q(x) = q(|x|) = |x|. Then the Theorem 5.5 implies that the spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}_Q$  is discrete.

Similar methods also can be used for regular metric trees in case 3 which are described in introduction and other differential operators, such as Sturm-Liouville operators on which we are currently working.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the Science and Technology Research Project of Higher Education in Hebei Province under Grant No. QN2017044 and the National Youth Scientific Foundation of China under Grant No. 11601372.

#### References

- H. Royden, *Real analysis*. Third edition. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, 1988. MR 1013117 Zb1 0704.26006
- [2] R. Carlson, Boundary value problems for infinite metric graphs. In P. Exner, J. P. Keating, P. Kuchment, T. Sunada, and A. Teplyaev (eds.), *Analysis on graphs and its applications*. Papers from the program held in Cambridge, January 8–June 29, 2007. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 77. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2008, 355–368. MR 2459880 Zbl 1187.34039
- [3] R. Carlson, Nonclassical Sturm–Liouville problems and Schrödinger operators on radial trees. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2000, no. 71, 24 pp. MR 1800836 Zbl 0960.34070
- [4] T. Ekholm, R. L. Frank, and H. Kovařík, Eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators on metric trees. *Adv. Math.* 226 (2011), no. 6, 5165–5197. MR 2775897 Zbl 1237.35157

- [5] M. Solomyak, Laplace and Schrödinger operators on regular metric trees: the discrete spectrum case. In D. Haroske, Th. Runst and H.-J. Schmeisser (eds.), *Function spaces, differential operators and nonlinear analysis*. The Hans Triebel anniversary volume. Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> International Conference (FSDONA-01) held in Teistungen, June 28–July 4, 2001. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003, 161–181. MR 1984167 Zbl 1079.34065
- [6] M. Solomyak, On the spectrum of the Laplacian on regular metric trees. Waves Random Media 14 (2004), no. 1, S155–S171. Special section on quantum graphs. MR 2046943 Zbl 1077.47513
- [7] A. M. Molčanov, On conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of self-adjoint differential equations of the second order. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.* 2 (1953), 169–199. In Russian. MR 0057422 Zbl 0052.10201
- [8] K. Naimark and M. Solomyak, Geometry of Sobolev Spaces on Regular Trees and Hardy Inequalities. *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* 8 (2001), no. 3, 322–335. In Russian. MR 1930378 Zbl 1187.46028
- [9] S. Albeverio, A. Kostenko, and M. Malamud, Spectral theory of semibounded Sturm–Liouville operators with local interactions on a discrete set. J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010), no. 10, 102102, 24 pp. MR 2761288 Zbl 1314.81059
- [10] J. Yan and G. Shi, Spectral properties of Sturm—Liouville operators with local interactions on a discrete set. J. Differential Equations 257 (2014), no. 9, 3423–3447. MR 3258142 Zbl 1307.34126
- [11] R. Carlson, Adjoint and Self-adjoint Differential Operators on Graphs. *Electron.* J. Differential Equations 1998, no. 6, 10 pp. MR 1606331 Zbl 0888.34055
- [12] J. Weidmann, *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*. Translated from the German by J. Szücs. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 68. Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1980. MR 0566954 Zbl 0434.47001
- [13] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 132. Springer-Verlag New York, New York, 1966. MR 0203473 Zbl 0148.12601
- [14] M. Š. Birman, The spectrum of singular boundary problems. *Mat. Sb.* (*N.S.*) 55 (97) (1961), 125–174. In Russian. English translation, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (2) 53 (1966), 23–80. MR 0142896 Zbl 0174.42502
- [15] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics*. II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness. Academic Press, New York and London, 1975. MR 0493420 Zbl 0308.47002
- [16] B. Curgus and T. Read, Discreteness of the spectrum of second-order differential operators and associated embedding theorems. J. Differential Equations 184 (2002), no. 2, 526–548. MR 1929887 Zbl 1029.34070
- [17] N. I. Achiezer and I. M. Glazman, *Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space*. Vol. I. Translated from the Russian by M. Nestell. Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1961. MR 0264420 Zbl 0098.30702

[18] A. V. Sobolev and M. Solomyak, Schrödinger operators on homogeneous metric trees: spectrum in gaps. *Rev. Math. Phys.* 14 (2002), no. 5, 421–467. MR 1912093 Zbl 1038.81023

Received December 6, 2015

Jia Zhao, Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin, 300401, People's Republic of China

e-mail: zhaojia@hebut.edu.cn

Guoliang Shi, School of Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300354, People's Republic of China

e-mail: glshi@tju.edu.cn

Jun Yan, School of Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300354, People's Republic of China

e-mail: junyantju@126.com