

On a continuum limit of discrete Schrödinger operators on square lattices

Shu Nakamura^{1,2} and Yukihide Tadano^{1,3}

Abstract. The norm resolvent convergence of discrete Schrödinger operators to a continuum Schrödinger operator in the continuum limit is proved under relatively weak assumptions. This result implies, in particular, the convergence of the spectrum with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary: 47A10; Secondary: 47B39, 47A25, 81U05.

Keywords. Discrete Schrödinger operators, continuum limit, convergence of the spectrum.

1. Introduction

We consider a Schrödinger operator

$$H = H_0 + V(x), \quad H_0 = -\Delta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $d \geq 1$, and corresponding discrete Schrödinger operators: We let $h > 0$ be the mesh size, and we write

$$\mathcal{H}_h = \ell^2(h\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad h\mathbb{Z}^d = \{(hz_1, \dots, hz_d) \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^d\},$$

with the norm $\|v\|_h^2 = h^d \sum |v(hz)|^2$ for $v \in \mathcal{H}_h$. We denote the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^d by $e_j = (\delta_{jk})_{k=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. Our discrete Schrödinger operator is

$$H_h = H_{0,h} + V(z), \quad z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d,$$

¹ This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

² Partially supported by JSPS Grant Kiban-B 15H03622

³ Supported by JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists 17J05051.

where

$$H_{0,h}v(z) = h^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^d (2v(z) - v(z + he_j) - v(z - he_j)), \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_h.$$

We suppose:

Assumption A. V is a real-valued continuous function on \mathbb{R}^d , and bounded from below. $(V(x) + M)^{-1}$ is uniformly continuous with some $M > 0$, and there is $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$c_1^{-1}(V(x) + M) \leq V(y) + M \leq c_1(V(x) + M), \quad \text{if } |x - y| \leq 1.$$

The above assumption implies V is slowly varying in some sense, and uniformly continuous relative to the size of $V(x)$. Under the assumption, H is essentially self-adjoint, and H_h is self-adjoint (cf. Reed-Simon [16], Theorem X.28). We note that H and H_h may be considered as quadratic forms with natural form domains. The assumption is satisfied if V is bounded and uniformly continuous. $V(x) = a\langle x \rangle^\mu$ with $a, \mu > 0$, also satisfies the assumption.

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $h > 0$ and $z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d$, we set

$$\varphi_{h,z}(x) = \varphi(h^{-1}(x - z)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and we define $P_h = P_{h,\varphi}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_h$ by

$$P_h u(z) := h^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\varphi_{h,z}(x)} u(x) dx, \quad h > 0, z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The adjoint operator is given by

$$P_h^* v(x) = \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} \varphi_{h,z}(x) v(z), \quad h > 0, v \in \mathcal{H}_h.$$

It is easy to observe that P_h^* is an isometry and hence P_h is a partial isometry, if and only if $\{\varphi_{1,z} \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is an orthonormal system. This condition is also equivalent to the condition:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{\varphi}(\xi + n)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform:

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \varphi(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This claim is well-known, but we give its proof in Appendix for the completeness (Lemma A.1). We note operators of this form have been extensively studied in the context of signal analysis and wavelet analysis (see, e.g., [13], [7]). By this observation, we learn that there is a large class of φ 's satisfying the above condition. In this paper, we use P_h to identify \mathcal{H}_h with a subspace of \mathcal{H} . We suppose:

Assumption B. φ satisfies the condition (1), and $\text{supp}[\hat{\varphi}] \subset (-1, 1)^d$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose Assumptions A and B. Then, for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\|P_h^*(H_h - \mu)^{-1}P_h - (H - \mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, if $(V(x) + M)^{-1}$ is uniformly Hölder continuous of order $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ with some $M > 0$, then for any $0 < \beta < \alpha$,

$$\|P_h^*(H_h - \mu)^{-1}P_h - (H - \mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq C_\mu h^\beta \quad \text{for } h \in (0, 1].$$

Here $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denotes the Banach space of the operators on a Banach space X . Combining this with the argument of Theorem VIII.23 (b) in [17], we obtain the following corollary. We denote the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator A by $\sigma(A)$, and the spectral projection by $E_A(\Omega)$ for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose Assumptions A and B. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, be not in $\sigma(H)$. Then $a, b \notin \sigma(H_h)$ for sufficiently small $h > 0$ and*

$$\|P_h^*E_{H_h}((a, b))P_h - E_H((a, b))\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

We denote the Hausdorff distance between sets $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}$ by

$$d_H(X, Y) = \max\{\sup_{x \in X} d(x, Y), \sup_{y \in Y} d(y, X)\},$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the standard distance in \mathbb{C} . It is not difficult to show $d_H(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq \|A - B\|$ for normal operators A and B (see Lemma A.2 in Appendix). Thus we also have the following result. We note this result is independent of Assumption B, since the identification operators P_h do not appear in the statement.

Corollary 1.3. *Suppose Assumptions A. Then for $M > -\inf \sigma(H)$,*

$$d_H(\sigma((H_h + M)^{-1}), \sigma((H + M)^{-1})) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Similar convergences in the norm resolvent sense are studied by Exner and Post, where convergence of operators on manifolds and graphs is considered. See [8] and references therein. In numerical analysis, the convergence of spectrum is studied extensively in the context of domain truncations, and general notions of convergence, e.g., *generalized norm resolvent convergence* and *generalized strong resolvent convergence* (see [3] and references therein). The general framework of convergence is analogous to our result, but the models are quite different and hence analytic methods also differ considerably. We also note that for spectral consequence of our main result (Corollary 1.3), we simply adopt the argument of the Reed-Simon textbook [16].

There are studies concerning continuum limits of NLS equations, in many cases, mainly with applications to numerical analysis. We refer Bambusi and Penati [2], Hong and Yang [9] and references therein. For linear discrete Schrödinger operators, Rabinovich [15] has studied the relation between the essential and discrete spectra of the discrete and continuum Schrödinger operators, provided V is bounded and uniformly continuous. Approximations of spectrum of continuum Schrödinger operators are studied by the Galerkin method ([5, 11, 18, 19]) and domain truncations ([4]).

In Section 2, we give the proof of our main theorem, and proofs of several technical lemmas are given in Appendix.

2. Proof

We denote the discrete Fourier transform

$$F_h: \mathcal{H}_h \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h = L^2(h^{-1}\mathbb{T}^d), \quad \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},$$

by

$$F_h v(\zeta) = h^d \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi i z \cdot \zeta} v(z), \quad \zeta \in h^{-1}\mathbb{T}^d, v \in \mathcal{H}_h.$$

F_h is unitary, and its adjoint is given by

$$F_h^* g(z) = \int_{h^{-1}\mathbb{T}^d} e^{2\pi i z \cdot \zeta} g(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d, g \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h.$$

2.1. Convergence of the free Hamiltonian. If we set $H_0(\xi) = |2\pi\xi|^2$, it is well-known that $H_0 = \mathcal{F}^* H_0(\cdot) \mathcal{F}$ on \mathcal{H} . Similarly, if we set

$$H_{0,h}(\zeta) = 2h^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^d (1 - \cos(2\pi h \zeta_j)), \quad \zeta \in h^{-1}\mathbb{T}^d,$$

then $H_{0,h} = F_h^* H_{0,h}(\cdot) F_h$. We denote

$$Q_h := F_h P_h \mathcal{F}^*: \widehat{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h.$$

The following formula is convenient in the following argument. It is well-known in signal analysis (see, e.g., [13]), but we give a proof in Appendix for the completeness.

Lemma 2.1. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$Q_h f(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)} f(\xi + h^{-1}n), \quad \xi \in h^{-1}\mathbb{T}. \tag{2}$$

For $g \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h$,

$$Q_h^* g(\xi) = \widehat{\varphi}(h\xi) \tilde{g}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{3}$$

where \tilde{g} is the periodic extension of g on \mathbb{R}^d .

Lemma 2.2. For $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(1 - P_h^* P_h)(H_0 - \mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq Ch^2, \quad h > 0.$$

Proof. We first note

$$\|(1 - P_h^* P_h)(H_0 - \mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} = \|(1 - Q_h^* Q_h)(|2\pi\xi|^2 - \mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})},$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{H}] = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ and $g = (|2\pi\xi|^2 - \mu)^{-1} f$. Then we have, by using the above lemma,

$$(1 - Q_h^* Q_h)g(\xi) = (1 - |\widehat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2)g(\xi) - \widehat{\varphi}(h\xi) \sum_{n \neq 0} \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)} g(\xi + h^{-1}n).$$

For the first term in the right hand side, we observe by Assumption B that $|\widehat{\varphi}(h\xi)| = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq h^{-1}\delta$ with some $\delta > 0$. Then we learn

$$\|(1 - |\widehat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2)g(\xi)\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \leq \sup_{|\xi| > h^{-1}\delta} ||2\pi\xi|^2 - \mu|^{-1} \|f\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

For the second term, we note that the terms in the summation vanish except for $n \in \{0, \pm 1\}^d \setminus 0$. Using the support condition of $\widehat{\varphi}$ again, we learn that $\widehat{\varphi}(h\xi)\widehat{\varphi}(h\xi + n) = 0$ if $|\xi + h^{-1}n| \leq h^{-1}\delta$ with some $\delta > 0$. Thus we can use the same argument to show that the second term is bounded by Ch^2 . \square

Lemma 2.3. For $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(H_{0,h} - \mu)^{-1} P_h - P_h (H_0 - \mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_h)} \leq Ch^2, \quad h > 0.$$

Proof. Since P_h^* is isometric, it suffices to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (H_{0,h} - \mu)^{-1} P_h - P_h (H_0 - \mu)^{-1} \| \\ &= \| P_h^* (H_{0,h} - \mu)^{-1} P_h - P_h^* P_h (H_0 - \mu)^{-1} \| \\ &= \| Q_h^* (H_{0,h}(\cdot) - \mu)^{-1} Q_h - Q_h^* Q_h (H_0(\cdot) - \mu)^{-1} \|. \end{aligned}$$

Then we compute, for $f \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (Q_h^* (H_{0,h}(\cdot) - \mu)^{-1} Q_h - Q_h^* Q_h (H_0(\cdot) - \mu)^{-1}) f(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{\varphi}(h\xi) \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)} B_h(\xi + h^{-1}n) f(\xi + h^{-1}n), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_h(\xi) := (H_{0,h}(\xi) - \mu)^{-1} - (H_0(\xi) - \mu)^{-1}$. We note, as well as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, $\hat{\varphi}(h\xi) \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)}$ vanishes except for $n \in \{0, \pm 1\}^d$.

By the Taylor expansion, we have

$$|H_{0,h}(\xi) - H_0(\xi)| \leq Ch^{-2}(h|\xi|)^4 = Ch^2|\xi|^4, \quad h > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

On the other hand, if $h\xi \in \text{supp}[\hat{\varphi}]$, we have $H_{0,h}(\xi) \geq c_0|\xi|^2$ with some $c_0 > 0$. These imply

$$|\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 |B_h(\xi)| \leq Ch^2 |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2, \quad h > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with some $C > 0$. On the support of $\hat{\varphi}(h\xi) \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)}$, $n \neq 0$, we have $H_{0,h}(\xi + h^{-1}n) \geq c_1 h^{-2}$, $H_0(\xi + h^{-1}n) \geq c_1 h^{-2}$ with some $c_1 > 0$, and hence $|B_h(\xi)| = O(h^2)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Combining these, we learn

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Q_h^* (H_{0,h}(\cdot) - \mu)^{-1} Q_h - Q_h^* Q_h (H_0(\cdot) - \mu)^{-1}) f(\xi)| \\ & \leq Ch^2 \sum_{n \in \{0, \pm 1\}^d} |f(\xi + h^{-1}n)|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows. \square

2.2. Relative boundedness. For simplicity, in this subsection, we suppose $V \geq 1$ without loss of generality. In particular, $V(x)^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded, and

$$c_1^{-1} V(x) \leq V(y) \leq c_1 V(x) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, |x - y| \leq 1. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose Assumption A. Then V is H -bounded, and hence H_0 is also H -bounded.*

Proof. By the quadratic inequality, it is easy to observe $V^{1/2}$ and $(H_0 + 1)^{1/2}$ are $H^{1/2}$ -bounded. Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\eta(x) \geq 0$, $\text{supp}[\eta] \subset \{|x| \leq 1\}$ and $\int \eta(x) dx = 1$. Then we set $\tilde{V} = \eta * V$, and we use $\tilde{V} \geq 1$ as a smooth weight function comparable to V . By (4), we have

$$c_1^{-1}V(x) \leq \tilde{V}(x) \leq c_1V(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By elementary computation, we also have

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \tilde{V}(x)| \leq C_\alpha \tilde{V}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with some $C_\alpha > 0$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$. It suffices to show \tilde{V} is H -bounded.

We write $W(x) = \tilde{V}(x)^{1/2} \geq 1$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}H^{-1} &= WH^{-1}W + W[W, H^{-1}] \\ &= (WH^{-1/2})(WH^{-1/2})^* + WH^{-1}[H, W]H^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right hand side is bounded since W is $H^{1/2}$ -bounded. We note

$$[H, W] = -\partial_x \cdot \partial_x W(x) - \partial_x W(x) \cdot \partial_x,$$

and ∂_x is $H^{1/2}$ -bounded. We also note

$$|\partial_x W(x)| = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{V}^{-1/2}(x) |\partial_x \tilde{V}(x)| \leq CW(x)$$

with some $C > 0$, and hence $\partial_x W$ is $H^{1/2}$ -bounded. Thus we learn

$$\begin{aligned} WH^{-1}[H, W]H^{-1} &= (WH^{-1/2})(\partial_x H^{-1/2})^*((\partial_x W)H^{-1/2})H^{-1/2} \\ &\quad - (WH^{-1/2})((\partial_x W)H^{-1/2})^*(\partial_x H^{-1/2})H^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

is bounded, and hence \tilde{V} is H -bounded. □

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose Assumption A. Then V is H_h -bounded uniformly in $h > 0$, and hence $H_{0,h}$ is also H_h -bounded uniformly in $h > 0$.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.4. We note $W = \tilde{V}^{1/2}$ and $H_{0,h}^{1/2}$ are uniformly $H_h^{1/2}$ -bounded. We similarly have

$$\tilde{V}H_h^{-1} = (WH_h^{-1/2})(WH_h^{-1/2})^* + WH_h^{-1}[H_h, W]H_h^{-1},$$

and the first term in the right hand side is uniformly bounded.

For the second term, we recall that $H_{0,h} = \sum_{j=1}^d \nabla_j^* \nabla_j$, where

$$\nabla_j v(z) := \frac{1}{h}(v(z + h e_j) - v(z)), \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_h.$$

Then we learn

$$[W, H_h] = \sum_{j=1}^d ([\nabla_j, W]^* \nabla_j - \nabla_j^* [\nabla_j, W]).$$

By elementary computations, we can show $[\nabla_j, W]W^{-1}$ is bounded uniformly in h , and hence $WH_h^{-1}[H_h, W]H_h^{-1}$ is bounded uniformly in h .

Finally, $H_{0,h} = H_h - V$ is also H_h -bounded uniformly in h . \square

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 2.6. *If G is a bounded uniformly continuous function, then*

$$\|GP_h - P_hG\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_h)} \longrightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

If, in addition, G is uniformly Hölder continuous of order $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then

$$\|GP_h - P_hG\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_h)} \leq C_\varepsilon h^{\alpha-\varepsilon}, \quad h > 0,$$

with any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. We note

$$(GP_h - P_hG)u(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x, z; h)u(x)dx,$$

where

$$K(x, z; h) := h^{-d}(G(z) - G(x))\overline{\varphi(h^{-1}(x-z))}.$$

By Schur's lemma, we have

$$\|GP_h - P_hG\| \leq \sqrt{K_1 K_2},$$

where

$$K_1 = \sup_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(x, z)|dx, \quad K_2 = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} h^d \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} |K(x, z)|.$$

We set

$$R(\delta) := \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ |x-y| < \delta}} |G(x) - G(y)|$$

and we choose $n > d$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(x, z)| dx &= \int_{|x-z| < \delta} |K(x, z)| dx + \int_{|x-z| \geq \delta} |K(x, z)| dx \\ &\leq CR(\delta) \int_{|y| < \delta} \langle hy \rangle^{-n} h^{-d} dy + C \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \langle hy \rangle^{-n} h^{-d} dy \\ &\leq C' R(\delta) + C' \langle h^{-1} \delta \rangle^{-(n-d)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same computation, we also have

$$h^d \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} |K(x, z)| \leq CR(\delta) + C \langle h^{-1} \delta \rangle^{-(n-d)}.$$

Combining these and setting $\delta = h^\gamma$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, we obtain

$$\|GP_h - P_hG\| \leq CR(h^\gamma) + Ch^{(1-\gamma)(n-d)}.$$

By the assumption, $R(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and we conclude the first assertion.

If G is uniformly Hölder continuous of order α , then $R(\delta) \leq C\delta^\alpha$, and hence the right hand side of the above estimate is $O(h^{\alpha\gamma}) + O(h^{(1-\gamma)(n-d)})$. We can choose γ very close to 1, and n very large so that $\alpha\gamma \geq \alpha - \varepsilon$ and $(1 - \gamma)(n - d) \geq \alpha - \varepsilon$, and we have the second assertion. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} &P_h^*(H_h - \mu)^{-1}P_h - (H - \mu)^{-1} \\ &= P_h^*(H_h - \mu)^{-1}P_h - P_h^*P_h(H - \mu)^{-1} - (1 - P_h^*P_h)(H - \mu)^{-1} \\ &= P_h^*(H_h - \mu)^{-1}(P_hH - H_hP_h)(H - \mu)^{-1} - (1 - P_h^*P_h)(H - \mu)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, we learn

$$\|(1 - P_h^*P_h)(H - \mu)^{-1}\| \leq Ch^2.$$

The other term is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(H_h - \mu)^{-1}(P_hH - H_hP_h)(H - \mu)^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \|(H_h - \mu)^{-1}(P_hH_0 - H_{0,h}P_h)(H - \mu)^{-1}\| \\ &\quad + \|(H_h - \mu)^{-1}(P_hV - V_hP_h)(H - \mu)^{-1}\| \\ &\leq C\|(H_{0,h} - \mu)^{-1}(P_hH_0 - H_{0,h}P_h)(H_0 - \mu)^{-1}\| \\ &\quad + C\|(V - \mu)^{-1}(P_hV - VP_h)(V - \mu)^{-1}\| \\ &= C\|(H_{0,h} - \mu)^{-1}P_h - P_h(H_0 - \mu)^{-1}\| \\ &\quad + C\|(V - \mu)^{-1}P_h - P_h(V - \mu)^{-1}\|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 for the second inequality. The two terms in the right hand side are estimated using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, respectively, to complete the proof. \square

Appendix A. Proof of some lemmas

Here we give the proofs of several technical lemmas.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, the following are equivalent.*

- (1) P_h^* is isometric.
- (2) P_h is a partial isometry onto \mathcal{H}_h .
- (3) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \overline{\varphi(x-n)} dx = \delta_{n,0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.
- (4) $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{\varphi}(\xi+n)|^2 = 1$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\hat{\varphi} = \mathcal{F}\varphi$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are equivalent by the standard properties of adjoint operators. Since (2) implies the orthonormality of the basis $\{h^{-\frac{d}{2}}\varphi_{h,z}\}_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d}$, we learn

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \overline{\varphi(x-n)} dx = h^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_{h,0}(x) \overline{\varphi_{h,hn}(x)} dx = \delta_{0,n},$$

which implies (3). For the equivalence of (3) and (4), we learn by Parseval's identity

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \overline{\varphi(x-n)} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\varphi}(\xi) \overline{e^{-2\pi i n \cdot \xi} \hat{\varphi}(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i n \cdot \xi} |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{2\pi i n \cdot (\xi+m)} |\hat{\varphi}(\xi+m)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{2\pi i n \cdot \xi} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{\varphi}(\xi+m)|^2 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{T}^d = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d \simeq [0, 1)^d$. Since $\{e^{2\pi i n \cdot \xi}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we conclude that (3) is equivalent to (4). \square

Lemma A.2. For normal operators A and B , $d_H(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq \|A - B\|$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\text{dist}(\mu, \sigma(B)) > \|A - B\|$ implies $\mu \notin \sigma(A)$. This condition implies $\|(A - B)(B - \mu)^{-1}\| < 1$, since $\|(B - \mu)^{-1}\| \leq 1/\text{dist}(\mu, \sigma(B))$ provided B is normal. Hence the Neumann series

$$\begin{aligned} (A - \mu)^{-1} &= (B - \mu + A - B)^{-1} \\ &= (B - \mu)^{-1}(1 + (A - B)(B - \mu)^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (B - \mu)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n ((A - B)(B - \mu)^{-1})^n \end{aligned}$$

converges, and thus we learn $\mu \notin \sigma(A)$. □

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} Q_h f(\zeta) &= h^d \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi i z \cdot \zeta} \left(h^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\varphi_{h,z}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(\xi) d\xi dx \right) \\ &= \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi i z \cdot \zeta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\varphi_{h,z}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(\xi) d\xi dx \\ &= h^d \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i z \cdot (\xi - \zeta)} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)} f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= h^d \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{h^{-1}(\mathbb{T}^d + n)} e^{2\pi i z \cdot (\xi - \zeta)} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)} f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= h^d \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{h^{-1}\mathbb{T}^d} e^{2\pi i z \cdot (\xi - \zeta)} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)} f(\xi + h^{-1}n) d\xi \\ &= h^d \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{h^{-1}\mathbb{T}^d} e^{2\pi i z \cdot (\xi - \zeta)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)} f(\xi + h^{-1}n) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\zeta + n)} f(\zeta + h^{-1}n). \end{aligned}$$

We have used the Fourier inversion formula for the last equality. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_h^* g, f \rangle &= \int_{h^{-1}\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g(\zeta) \hat{\varphi}(h(\zeta + h^{-1}n)) \overline{f(\zeta + h^{-1}n)} d\zeta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{g}(\xi) \hat{\varphi}(h\xi) \overline{f(\xi)} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies (3). □

References

- [1] K. Ando, H. Isozaki, and H. Morioka, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators on perturbed lattices. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **17** (2016), no. 8, 2103–2171. [MR 3522026](#)
[Zbl 1347.81044](#)
- [2] D. Bambusi and T. Penati, Continuous approximation of breathers in one- and two-dimensional DNLS lattices. *Nonlinearity* **23** (2010), no. 1, 143–157. [MR 2576378](#)
[Zbl 1183.37123](#)
- [3] S. Bögli, Local convergence of spectra and pseudospectra. *J. Spectr. Theory* **8** (2018), no. 3, 1051–1098. [MR 3831156](#) [Zbl 06930093](#)
- [4] S. Bögli, P. Siegl, and C. Tretter, Approximations of spectra of Schrödinger operators with complex potentials on \mathbb{R}^d . *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **42** (2017), no. 7, 1001–1041. [MR 3691388](#) [Zbl 1384.35016](#)
- [5] L. Boulton, N. Boussaïd, and M. Lewin, Generalised Weyl theorems and spectral pollution in the Galerkin method. *J. Spectr. Theory* **2** (2012), no. 4, 329–354. [MR 2947291](#) [Zbl 1260.35086](#)
- [6] A. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sahbani, On the spectral properties of discrete Schrödinger operators: the multi-dimensional case. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **11** (1999), no. 9, 1061–1078. [MR 1725827](#) [Zbl 0969.47028](#)
- [7] I. Daubechies, *Ten lectures on wavelets*. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 61. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1992. [MR 1162107](#) [Zbl 0776.42018](#)
- [8] P. Exner and O. Post, A general approximation of quantum graph vertex couplings by scaled Schrödinger operators on thin branched manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **322** (2013), no. 1, 207–227. [MR 3073163](#) [Zbl 1270.81099](#)
- [9] Y. Hong and C. Yang, Strong convergence for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the continuum limit. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **51** (2019), no. 2, 1297–1320. [MR 3939333](#) [Zbl 1417.35179](#)
- [10] H. Isozaki and I. Korotyaev, Inverse problems, trace formulae for discrete Schrödinger operators. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **13** (2012), no. 4, 751–788. [MR 2913620](#)
[Zbl 1250.81124](#)
- [11] M. Lewin and É. Séré, Spectral pollution and how to avoid it (with applications to Dirac and periodic Schrödinger operators). *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **100** (2010), no. 3, 864–900. [MR 2640293](#) [Zbl 1192.47016](#)
- [12] S. Nakamura, Modified wave operators for discrete Schrödinger operators with long-range perturbations. *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014), no. 11, article id. 112101, 8 pp. [MR 3390489](#) [Zbl 1301.81278](#)
- [13] A. V. Oppenheim, R. W. Schaffer, and J. R. Buck, *Discrete-time signal processing*. Second edition. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J., 1998.

- [14] D. Parra and S. Richard, Spectral and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators on perturbed topological crystals. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **30** (2018), no. 4, article id. 1850009, 39 pp. [MR 3788318](#) [Zbl 06899327](#)
- [15] V. Rabinovich, Wiener algebra of operators on the lattice $(\mu\mathbb{Z})^n$ depending on the small parameter $\mu > 0$. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **58** (2013), no. 6, 751–766. [MR 3170658](#) [Zbl 1295.47035](#)
- [16] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*. Academic Press, New York and London, 1975. [MR 0493420](#) [Zbl 0308.47002](#)
- [17] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Functional analysis*. Second edition. Academic Press, New York and London, 1980. [MR 0751959](#) [Zbl 0459.46001](#)
- [18] M. Strauss, The Galerkin method for perturbed self-adjoint operators and applications. *J. Spectr. Theory* **4** (2014), no. 1, 113–151. [MR 3181388](#) [Zbl 06297667](#)
- [19] M. Strauss, A new approach to spectral approximation. *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014), no. 8, 3084–3103. [MR 3255484](#) [Zbl 06349078](#)

Received April 18, 2019

Shu Nakamura, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Gakushuin University, 1-5-1, Mejiro, Toshima, Tokyo, Japan 171-8588

e-mail: shu.nakamura@gakushuin.ac.jp

Yukihide Tadano, Graduate school of Human and Environmental studies, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Nihommatsu-cho, Sakyo, Kyoto, Kyoto, Japan 606-8501

current address: Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3, Kagurazaka, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan 162-8601

e-mail: tadano.yukihide.42e@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp