Ballistic transport for limit-periodic Schrödinger operators in one dimension

Giorgio Young

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the transport properties of the class of limit-periodic continuum Schrödinger operators whose potentials are approximated exponentially quickly by a sequence of periodic functions. For such an operator H, and $X_H(t)$ the Heisenberg evolution of the position operator, we show the limit of $\frac{1}{t}X_H(t)\psi$ as $t \to \infty$ exists and is nonzero for $\psi \neq 0$ belonging to a dense subspace of initial states which are sufficiently regular and of suitably rapid decay. This is viewed as a particularly strong form of ballistic transport, and this is the first time it has been proven in a continuum almost periodic non-periodic setting. In particular, this statement implies that for the initial states considered, the second moment grows quadratically in time.

1. Introduction

For a bounded function $V: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we consider the Schrödinger operator H defined by the expression

$$
H := -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + V
$$

with domain $Dom(H) = H^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the Sobolev space of twice weakly differentiable functions with second derivative in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. H is a bounded-operator perturbation of $H_0 := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, Dom $(H_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R})$, and is thus an unbounded selfadjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

In this paper, we study the quantum evolution corresponding to H described by the Schrödinger equation:

$$
i\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = H\psi, \quad \psi(0) = \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}).\tag{1.1}
$$

Since H is self adjoint, one may use the spectral theorem to define e^{-itH} , which forms a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group, and find the solution $e^{-itH}\psi$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q41.

Keywords. Almost periodic Schrödinger operators, ballistic transport.

to (1.1) . From this expression and the associated machinery, one can describe the dynamics of the equation.

We will be particularly interested in the transport properties of solutions to (1.1) for H in a particular class of limit-periodic operators. To be more precise, we will need to introduce some objects used to describe transport. The position operator X is the unbounded operator defined as

$$
(X\psi)(x) = x\psi(x), \quad \text{Dom}(X) := \{\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : x\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\},
$$

and for a Schrödinger operator H , the Heisenberg evolution of the position operator X is defined as

$$
X_H(t) := e^{itH} X e^{-itH}.
$$

For $p > 0$ and suitably localized states φ , the moments are defined by

$$
|X|_{\varphi}^p(t) := \| |X|^{p/2} e^{-itH} \varphi \|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^p |(e^{-itH} \varphi)(x)|^2 dx,
$$

and the transport exponents are

$$
\beta_{\varphi}^+(p) := \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{\log |X|_{\varphi}^p(t)}{p \log t}, \quad \beta_{\varphi}^-(p) := \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{\log |X|_{\varphi}^p(t)}{p \log t},
$$

measuring the growth of the moments in time on a power law scale.

In the continuum setting, ballistic transport is often described as quadratic growth of the second moment for a dense set of suitably localized and regular initial states.^{[1](#page-1-0)} For the operators we consider, this will follow from our main theorem. Namely, we find a $Q\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} X_H(t) \psi = Q \psi, \qquad Q \psi \neq 0,
$$
\n(1.2)

for $\psi \neq 0$ in a subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ which contains the Schwartz functions. This condition is the strong form of ballistic transport referenced in the abstract; indeed, from (1.2) , one has

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^2} |X|_{\psi}^2(t) = ||Q\psi||^2 > 0,
$$

which in turn implies β_{ψ}^{\pm} $\frac{1}{\psi}(2) = 1$ for these ψ .

¹Ballistic upper bounds $\beta_{\varphi}^{+}(2) \leq 1$ are available in great generality in the continuum setting [\[34\]](#page-38-0).

There is a relationship between transport properties and the spectral theory for Schrödinger operators. More qualitatively, the connection between spectral type and transport is seen through the RAGE theorem [\[11,](#page-36-0) [36\]](#page-38-1). More quantitative results illustrating this connection are also available. For example, it is known that Schrödinger operators with pure point spectrum satisfy

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^2} |X|_{\varphi}^2(t) = 0
$$
\n(1.3)

for all φ of compact support [\[39\]](#page-38-2), which is described there as the absence of ballistic motion. There are also the works of Guarneri, Combes, and Last [\[10,](#page-36-1) [20,](#page-37-0) [21,](#page-37-1) [28\]](#page-37-2). In particular, the Guarneri–Combes–Last theorem [\[28\]](#page-37-2) implies that, in one dimension and in the presence of absolutely continuous spectrum, there is transport in a quantitative sense. Defining the spectral measure corresponding to a state $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and a self adjoint operator H to be the unique positive finite Borel measure μ_{φ} on R such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$

$$
\langle \varphi, (H-z)^{-1} \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \, \mathrm{d} \,\mu_{\varphi}(x),
$$

the Guarneri–Combes–Last theorem implies that in one dimension, and for states φ whose spectral measures have a nonzero absolutely continuous part, an averaged ballistic lower bound holds: there exists a φ dependent constant C such that

$$
\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} |X|_{\varphi}^{2}(t) dt \ge C T^{2}.
$$
\n(1.4)

Since $\beta^+(2)$ is bounded from below by its time averaged analog, μ_{φ} having a nonzero absolutely continuous part is enough to imply $\beta_{\varphi}^{+}(2) = 1$. However, it is not yet known whether in one dimension μ_{φ} having nonzero absolutely continuous part is enough to ensure $\beta_{\varphi}^{-}(2) = 1$. We note this is known to be false in higher dimensions [\[4,](#page-36-2) [26\]](#page-37-3), where work often seeks to show averaged ballistic lower bounds of the form [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0), for example [\[24\]](#page-37-4) in the two-dimensional almost periodic setting. Typically, showing the quantitative characterizations of transport beyond the scope of the Guarneri–Combes–Last theorem requires model dependent methods. To better contextualize our results, we will focus our introductory discussion on non-time averaged results in one-dimensional, almost periodic models.

Given the relationship described above and the diverse spectral properties of almost periodic operators [\[38\]](#page-38-3), it is perhaps not surprising that the transport properties for these operators are similarly varied. In $[1, 15]$ $[1, 15]$ $[1, 15]$, strong ballistic transport is shown

for periodic continuum Schrödinger operators and (block) Jacobi matrices respectively, both of which are well known to have absolutely continuous spectrum. Specifically, in $[1]$, (1.2) is shown for a dense subspace of suitably regular and localized states ψ . Meanwhile, in [\[15\]](#page-36-4), the corresponding notion of strong ballistic transport in the discrete setting is shown to hold. Namely, they prove strong resolvent convergence of the operators $\frac{1}{t}X_H(t)$ to an operator with trivial kernel, and use this to show $\beta^{\pm}_{\varphi}(p) = 1$ for $p > 0$ and another dense set of φ .

On the other hand, in $[2, 5-7, 18, 40]$ $[2, 5-7, 18, 40]$ $[2, 5-7, 18, 40]$ $[2, 5-7, 18, 40]$ $[2, 5-7, 18, 40]$ $[2, 5-7, 18, 40]$ $[2, 5-7, 18, 40]$, certain quasi-periodic operators are shown to exhibit pure point spectrum, and in fact Anderson localization. As we noted above, the pure point spectrum implies the absence of ballistic motion as described by (1.3) . See also the results [\[8\]](#page-36-8) which imply strong dynamical localization for the almost Mathieu operator under a certain regime. In the discrete limit-periodic setting, work of Damanik and Gorodetski [\[14\]](#page-36-9) found a set of limit-periodic operators with pure point spectrum which is dense in the space of all limit-periodic operators. Further work of Pöschel [\[33\]](#page-38-5) and Damanik and Gan [\[12](#page-36-10)[,13\]](#page-36-11) gives examples of limit-periodic operators which exhibit an extremely strong form of Anderson localization; in particular, it is known that this notion of localization implies strong dynamical localization.

However, ballistic transport is often hoped for in classes of one-dimensional almost periodic operators that are known to have absolutely continuous spectrum. There have been a number of recent results showing ballistic transport for such operators, which we summarize briefly. The paper [\[16\]](#page-37-6) is the main inspiration for our work. In that paper, Fillman shows that limit-periodic Jacobi matrices which are exponentially quickly approximated exhibit strong ballistic transport. There have also been results in the setting of quasi-periodic operators, particularly those with "small," analytic potentials, which often have purely absolutely continuous spectrum. In Kachkovskiy's work in the discrete setting [\[23\]](#page-37-7), what is described there as "frequency-averaged strong ballistic transport" is shown for a large class of these operators; in particular, this implies strong ballistic transport along a subsequence of time scales and for almost every frequency. The works of Zhao $[42, 43]$ $[42, 43]$ $[42, 43]$, in both the discrete and continuous setting show that for Diophantine frequencies and small enough potentials, the second moment grows quadratically, a weaker notion of transport than that of [\[23\]](#page-37-7) which, however, holds without passing to a subsequence of times and a full measure set.

Subsequently, a paper by Zhang and Zhao [\[41\]](#page-38-8) explicitly linked the values of the transport exponents and absolutely continuous spectrum in the setting of discrete one frequency quasi-periodic operators. They find $\beta^{\pm}_{\varphi}(p) = 1$ for suitably localized states $\varphi \neq 0$ and almost every frequency whenever the operator has purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Finally, there is the recent work of Kachkovskiy and Ge [\[19\]](#page-37-8), which proves a form of ballistic transport not quite as strong as the strong ballistic transport referenced above, but sufficient to deduce that the second moment grows

at least quadratically. Their results hold for a broad class of discrete quasi-periodic operators.

This paper contributes to the limit-periodic literature. We find strong ballistic transport for a class of continuum limit-periodic operators known to have absolutely continuous spectrum. These results may be viewed as an extension of the main result of [\[16\]](#page-37-6) to the continuum. To introduce our results, we define the class of limit-periodic operators considered. A limit-periodic operator is one with a potential that is a limitperiodic function, i.e., there is a sequence of continuous p_n -periodic functions V_n with

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|V - V_n\|_{\infty} = 0,
$$

where $||V||_{\infty}$ denotes the essential supremum of the function V. It is a standard fact for limit-periodic functions that the potentials can be chosen so that $p_{n+1}/p_n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define those operators whose potentials are approximated exponentially quickly by the above sequence, or are in "exponential class η ," as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let $\eta > 0$. A limit-periodic Schrödinger operator H is said to be of exponential class η if there is a sequence of continuous p_n -periodic functions V_n such that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\eta p_{n+1}} \| V_n - V \|_{\infty} = 0
$$

where $p_{n+1}/p_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_n \neq p_{n+1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say $H \in EC(\infty)$ if H is of exponential class η for any $\eta > 0$.

The class $EC(\infty)$ is well-studied, beginning with the work of Pastur and Tkachenko [\[31\]](#page-37-9) and Chulaevskii [\[9\]](#page-36-12). Despite the varied spectral properties of limit-periodic operators generally, this class does behave similarly to periodic operators in important ways. In particular, like periodic operators, members of this class are known to have absolutely continuous spectrum [\[3,](#page-36-13) [9,](#page-36-12) [30](#page-37-10)[–32\]](#page-37-11). However, the spectrum of operators in $EC(\infty)$ is also generically nowhere dense and perfect, i.e. a Cantor type set [\[3,](#page-36-13) [9,](#page-36-12) [30–](#page-37-10)[32,](#page-37-11) [38\]](#page-38-3). As we have noted, the former of these properties is of particular interest given the thesis of this paper.

We are now ready to state our results precisely. We will make use of the following subspace of L^2 , defined for a given $s > 0$ as

$$
\mathcal{D}_s := \{ \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Dom}(|X|^s) : \psi'' \in \text{Dom}(|X|^s) \}
$$

where $\text{Dom}(|X|^s) := \{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : |x|^s \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}$. The subspace \mathcal{D}_s will allow us to optimize certain statements in terms of the regularity and decay of the initial states considered. Of course, \mathcal{D}_s contains the Schwartz functions, and is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *For each* $R > 0$ *, there is a constant* $\eta = \eta(R)$ *such that if* $H \in EC(\eta)$ and $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$, then for each $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$ with $s > 2$, there is a $Q\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ so that (1.2) *holds. In particular, if* $H \in EC(\infty)$, [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1)*, holds for* $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$, $s > 2$ *.*

Before proceeding to the proofs of the above, we make some comments on the methodology and organization of the paper. The general strategy is based on Fillman's work in the discrete setting [\[16\]](#page-37-6). However, in addition to the technical difficulties that arise naturally when working with unbounded operators, new arguments and techniques are required to deal with new obstacles in key places. For example, as in [\[16\]](#page-37-6), finding a quantitative version of the convergence result that yields strong ballistic transport for periodic operators is critical to our work; this is our Theorem [3.1.](#page-11-0) The proof of this theorem requires an estimate on a normed difference given by an integral over quasimomenta k in the Brillouin zone. This estimate is found by first partitioning the Brillouin zone into two time-dependent sets: one "good" set of k on which we have decay in time for a quantity that appears in the integrand, and a "bad" set of k that must have Lebesgue measure that decays in time at an explicit rate, and then finding an estimate on the integrand that is uniform in both k and the operator. In the discrete setting, this uniform estimate is found using the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the operators involved, which are finite matrices for each k . In the continuum, the analogous operators are unbounded, and this estimate is significantly more delicate. The estimate on the measure of the "bad" set in [\[16\]](#page-37-6) takes advantage of an explicit finite product formula for a derivative. While in the continuum setting, there is a similar product formula, it is generally over infinitely many terms. To circumvent difficulties that arise in suitably controlling this product, our proof is by different methods.

Section [2](#page-5-0) contains some estimates on the Hill discriminant of a periodic operator, culminating in the estimate on the Lebesgue measure of the "bad" set of quasimomenta described above. The quantitative version of the convergence result of [\[1\]](#page-36-3) is proved in Section [3.](#page-11-1) The control given by this theorem will allow us to extract the $Q\psi$ of Theorem [1.2](#page-5-1) for a limit-periodic operator as a limit of the associated quantity for the periodic approximants, and show that $Q\psi \neq 0$; this argument is found in Section [4,](#page-24-0) along with some propagation estimates it requires. The appendix contains estimates on the expectation of powers of the position operator with careful control of the constants appearing in the bounds.

2. Periodic operators and Hill discriminant estimates

We introduce some of the Floquet–Bloch theory for periodic operators, for which [\[3,](#page-36-13) [27,](#page-37-12) [37\]](#page-38-9) all serve as helpful references. Fundamental to this theory is the Floquet transform and direct integral decomposition. We take $\Gamma^* = \frac{2\pi}{p} \mathbb{Z}$ to be the dual lattice

corresponding to the lattice $\Gamma = p\mathbb{Z}$, and define the tori

$$
\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\Gamma, \quad \mathbb{T}^* = \mathbb{R}/\Gamma^*.
$$

We will refer to $k \in \mathbb{T}^*$ as the *quasimomentum*, and when needed, we will fix the Brillouin zone $\mathcal{B} := (-\frac{\pi}{p}, \frac{\pi}{p}]$ as a fundamental domain for Γ^* . We define the constant fiber direct integral

$$
L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^*,\,\frac{\mathrm{d}\,k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|};\,L^2(\mathbb{T},\mathrm{d}\,q)\right)=\bigg\{f:\mathbb{T}^*\to L^2(\mathbb{T},\mathrm{d}\,q);\,\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^*}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T},\mathrm{d}\,q)}^2\frac{\mathrm{d}\,k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}<\infty\bigg\},
$$

as well as the Floquet transform

$$
U:L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\to L^{2}(\mathbb{T}^{*},\frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|}; L^{2}(\mathbb{T},\mathrm{d}q))=:\int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}}^{\oplus}L^{2}(\mathbb{T},\mathrm{d}q)\frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|},
$$

given by the $\int_{\mathbb{T}^*}^{\oplus} L^2(\mathbb{T},\text{d }q) \frac{\text{d }k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}$ -convergent series

$$
U\psi(k,q) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-ik(q+p\ell)} \psi(q+p\ell).
$$

The Floquet transform is unitary, with inverse $U^{-1} = U^*$ given by

$$
U^* f(q + p\ell) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} e^{ik(q + p\ell)} f(k, q) \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. As our notation suggests, $\int_{\mathbb{T}^*}^{\oplus} L^2(\mathbb{T},\text{d }q) \frac{\text{d } k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}$ is canonically isomorphic to $L^2(\mathbb{T}^* \times \mathbb{T}).$

For a *p*-periodic $V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, the Floquet transform conjugates the Schrödinger operator $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V$ with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ to a direct integral of the operators

$$
H(k) = (D + k)^2 + V
$$
, $Dom(H(k)) = H^2(\mathbb{T})$

where $D = -i \frac{d}{dq}$. Symbolically,

$$
UHU^* = \int_{\mathbb{T}^*}^{\oplus} H(k) \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}.
$$

Each of the $H(k)$ is a bounded-operator perturbation of the operator

$$
H_0(k) := (D + k)^2
$$
, $Dom(H_0(k)) = H^2(\mathbb{T})$,

and thus has a compact resolvent. Let $E_n(k)$ be the eigenvalues of $H(k)$ listed in ascending order,

$$
E_n(k) \le E_{n+1}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{T}^*, n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

with strict inequality for $k \notin \frac{\pi}{p}\mathbb{Z}$ when the eigenvalues are simple, see, for example, Avron and Simon [\[3\]](#page-36-13).

Then, for $k \notin \frac{\pi}{p}\mathbb{Z}$, $H(k)$ admits the eigenfunction expansion

$$
H(k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(k) P_n(k),
$$

where $P_n(k)$ are rank-one orthogonal projections onto the associated eigenspaces.^{[2](#page-7-0)} For $k \in \mathcal{B}$, $H(k)$ and $H(-k)$ are antiunitarily equivalent, so that $E_n(k) = E_n(-k)$. $E_n(k)$ is analytic on $(0, \frac{\pi}{p})$ and continuous at the endpoints, with $(-1)^{n+1} \frac{dE_n}{dk} > 0$ on this interval.

Using the theory of direct integrals and the properties of the $E_n(k)$ outlined above, the spectrum of H may be seen to have a "band" structure:

$$
\sigma(H) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} [\lambda_{2j}, \lambda_{2j+1}]
$$

where $\lambda_{2j} < \lambda_{2j+1}$ and the intervals $[\lambda_{2j}, \lambda_{2j+1}]$ are referred to as bands. These bands are parametrized by the eigenvalues $E_n(k)$:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\lambda_{2j} = E_{j+1}(0), \lambda_{2j+1} = E_{j+1}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right), & j \text{ even}, \\
\lambda_{2j} = E_{j+1}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right), \lambda_{2j+1} = E_{j+1}(0), & j \text{ odd}.\n\end{cases}
$$

In the one-dimensional setting, we have access to the discriminant, an important tool in the theory. We introduce the operator

$$
\widetilde{H}(k) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + V,
$$

with

$$
Dom(\widetilde{H}(k)) = \{ \psi \in H^2([0, p]) : \psi(0) = e^{-ikp} \psi(p), \psi'(0) = e^{-ikp} \psi'(p) \}.
$$

²There is an eigenfunction expansion for all k, but due to possible degeneracy in the $E_n(k)$ for $k \in \frac{\pi}{p}\mathbb{Z}$, the projections could be of rank-two at these points, requiring a re-indexing for these k. Since our statements involving the expansion concern integrals over \mathbb{T}^* , we may avoid the issue by introducing the formula away from these k .

We note that conjugating $H(k)$ by the multiplication operator $\psi(\cdot) \mapsto e^{-ik \cdot} \psi(\cdot)$ yields $\tilde{H}(k)$, so that the two operators are unitarily equivalent. Let $u_1(z, x)$ and $u_2(z, x)$ the Neumann and Dirichlet solutions to the differential equation $-u'' + Vu = zu$ associated to $\tilde{H}(k)$; u_1 $u'_1(z, 0) = u_2(z, 0) = 0$ and $u_1(z, 0) = u'_2$ $y'_2(z, 0) = 1$. Then, the monodromy matrix is defined by

$$
M(z) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(z, p) & u_2(z, p) \\ u'_1(z, p) & u'_2(z, p) \end{pmatrix}
$$

and the discriminant is defined as

$$
\Delta(z) = \text{tr } M(z) = u_1(z, p) + u'_2(z, p).
$$

Since $M(z)$ is the one step transfer matrix for the differential equation associated to $\widetilde{H}(k)$, E is an eigenvalue for $\widetilde{H}(k)$, and so $H(k)$, if and only if e^{ikp} is an eigenvalue of the matrix $M(E)$. Since $det(M(z)) = 1$, this yields

$$
\Delta(E_n(k)) = 2\cos(pk), \quad k \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{p}\right].\tag{2.1}
$$

Our results in this section will rely on an upper bound on the derivative of the discriminant on the spectrum, established in [\[17,](#page-37-13) Lemma 2.1]. As noted in that paper, such an estimate is likely well known, although we also could not find a reference. Since we require a cruder estimate with more assumptions on the potential than they do, we record the version of their statement which suffices for our work.

Lemma 2.1 ([\[17\]](#page-37-13), Lemma 2.1). Let V a p periodic potential with $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$. Then *the corresponding discriminant* Δ *satisfies*

$$
|\Delta'(\lambda)| \le C_2 p^2 \exp(2C_2 R^{1/2} p)
$$

for all $\lambda \in \sigma(H)$ *and where* C_2 *is a constant independent of p, V, and R.*

We will also need the following lemma, consisting of two estimates. The first provides a lower bound on the group velocity in a band, and the second is a closely related lower bound on the difference of an eigenvalue E_n evaluated at different quasimomenta. Since both estimates will be useful in what follows, we state them separately.

Lemma 2.2. Let V a p periodic potential with $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$. For $k \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$
\left|\frac{d E_m}{d k}\right| \ge \frac{2|\sin(pk)|}{C_2 p} \exp(-2C_2 R^{1/2} p) \tag{2.2}
$$

and for $k_1, k_2 \in [0, \frac{\pi}{p}]$,

$$
|E_m(k_2) - E_m(k_1)| \ge \frac{\exp(-2C_2 R^{1/2} p)}{\pi C_2} (k_2 - k_1)^2.
$$
 (2.3)

П

Proof. Differentiating [\(2.1\)](#page-8-0) in k yields

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} E_m}{\mathrm{d} k} = \frac{-2p\sin(pk)}{\Delta'(E_m(k))}
$$

and [\(2.2\)](#page-8-1) follows from the symmetry $E_m(k) = E_m(-k)$ and Lemma [2.1.](#page-8-2)

To prove [\(2.3\)](#page-8-3), we assume without a loss of generality that $0 \le k_1 \le k_2 \le \frac{\pi}{p}$. Thus, since $(-1)^{m+1} \frac{dE_m}{dk} \ge 0$ for $0 \le k \le \frac{\pi}{p}$,

$$
|E_m(k_2) - E_m(k_1)| = (-1)^{m+1} \int_{k_1}^{k_2} \frac{d E_m}{d k} d k
$$

=
$$
\int_{k_1}^{k_2} (-1)^m \frac{2p \sin(pk)}{\Delta'(E_m(k))} d k
$$

=
$$
\int_{k_1}^{k_2} \frac{2p \sin(pk)}{|\Delta'(E_m(k))|} d k
$$

since $(-1)^m \Delta'(E_m(k)) \ge 0$. Thus, by Lemma [2.1,](#page-8-2)

$$
|E_m(k_2) - E_m(k_1)| \ge \frac{\exp(-2C_2 R^{1/2} p)}{C_2 p^2} \int_{k_1}^{k_2} 2p \sin(pk) \, \mathrm{d}k.
$$

We now show that for $u_1, u_2 \in [0, \pi]$, $\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sin(u) du \ge \frac{1}{2\pi} (u_2 - u_1)^2$. For $u_1, u_2 \in$ $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ we have $\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sin(u) du \ge \frac{1}{\pi} (u_2 - u_1)^2$ by integrating the inequality $\sin(u) \ge$ $\frac{2}{\pi}u$; the same bound then holds for $u_1, u_2 \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$ by symmetry. Thus, for $0 \le u_1 \le$ $\frac{\pi}{2} \le u_2 \le \pi$, we have

$$
\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sin(u) \, \mathrm{d}u \ge \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - u_1\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - u_2\right)^2 \ge \frac{1}{2\pi} (u_2 - u_1)^2
$$

where the final inequality can be seen by setting $u_1 = x + \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $u_2 = y + \frac{\pi}{2}$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and using $\frac{1}{2}(x + y)^2 \ge 0$.

Thus, by the change of variables $pk \mapsto k$,

$$
2\int_{k_1}^{k_2} \sin(pk)p \,dk \ge \frac{p^2}{\pi}(k_2 - k_1)^2,
$$

and [\(2.3\)](#page-8-3) follows.

Remark. Exploiting the relationship $\rho(E) = |\frac{dE_n}{dk}|^{-1}$, where $\rho(E)$ is the Radon– Nikodym derivative of the density of states measure with respect to Lebesgue measure, and $E = E_n(k)$ [\[3\]](#page-36-13), [\(2.3\)](#page-8-3) provides a Hölder estimate for the density of states measure. Such estimates are mentioned in the literature [\[3,](#page-36-13)[29\]](#page-37-14), however, critically for this paper, the estimate above provides a Hölder constant with explicit dependence on the period.

The latter of these estimates allows us to find a bound on the measure of the set of quasimomenta for which the eigenvalues corresponding to different bands can be nearby. This will be used to prove the main result of the next section.

Lemma 2.3. *Define the set*

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon} := \{k \in \mathcal{B} : \text{there exists } n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq m \text{ such that } |E_m(k) - E_n(k)| \leq \varepsilon \}.
$$

Then,

$$
Leb(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}) \le 4\sqrt{C_2\pi} \exp(C_2 R^{1/2} p) \cdot \sqrt{\varepsilon}.
$$
 (2.4)

:

 \blacksquare

Proof. Since for each m, and $k \in (0, \frac{\pi}{p})$, $E_m(k) = E_m(-k)$, excluding $k = \pi/p$, $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ is symmetric, and

$$
\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon})=2\mathrm{Leb}\Big(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}\cap\Big[0,\frac{\pi}{p}\Big]\Big).
$$

Using that bands do not overlap, we find

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}\cap\left[0,\frac{\pi}{p}\right]\subseteq\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty}B_{\ell}
$$

where we define

$$
B_{\ell} := \left\{ k \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{p}\right] : |E_{\ell}(k) - E_{\ell}(0)| \le \varepsilon \right\}
$$

$$
\cup \left\{ k \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{p}\right] : \left| E_{\ell}(k) - E_{\ell}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right) \right| \le \varepsilon \right\}
$$

By (2.3) , we have

$$
B_{\ell} \subseteq \left(\left[0, \sqrt{C_2 \pi} \exp(C_2 R^{1/2} p) \sqrt{\varepsilon} \right] \right)
$$

$$
\cup \left[\frac{\pi}{p} - \sqrt{C_2 \pi} \exp(C_2 R^{1/2} p) \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \frac{\pi}{p} \right] \right) \cap \left[0, \frac{\pi}{p} \right]
$$

for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Leb}\Big(B_{\varepsilon}\cap\left[0,\frac{\pi}{p}\right]\Big)\leq 2\sqrt{C_2\pi}\exp(C_2R^{1/2}p)\cdot\sqrt{\varepsilon},
$$

from which [\(2.4\)](#page-10-0) follows.

3. An estimate on the rate of convergence of $\frac{1}{t}X_H(t)\psi$ for periodic H

In this section, H will denote a p periodic Schrödinger operator with bounded potential on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Theorem 2.3 of [\[1\]](#page-36-3) shows that for these operators and $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap$ $Dom(X),$

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} X_H(t) \psi = U^* \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{n=1}^{\oplus} P_n(k) (D+k) P_n(k) \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} \bigg) U \psi
$$

$$
= U^* \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{n=1}^{\oplus} \frac{d E_n(k)}{dk} P_n(k) \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} \bigg) U \psi.
$$

Critically for our purposes in this paper, the proof in [\[1\]](#page-36-3) relies on uniform boundedness of the difference and uses density of truncated eigenfunction expansions to show convergence, and thus does not provide a quantitative estimate on the speed of convergence. The main result of this section is then to provide such an estimate. We will denote

$$
Q_H \psi = U^* \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{n=1}^{\oplus} \frac{\mathrm{d} E_n(k)}{\mathrm{d} k} P_n(k) \frac{\mathrm{d} k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} \bigg) U \psi. \tag{3.1}
$$

We establish a power law in time upper bound on the difference $\frac{1}{t}X_H(t)\psi$ – $Q_H \psi$. Since we will be examining sequences of periodic operators with increasing periods and seeking information on their limit, it is important that we record precisely how the constants that appear in this estimate depend on the period. This bound will follow as a corollary of the below theorem. We denote by $D(r) = e^{irH} D e^{-irH}$ the Heisenberg evolved momentum operator, and we remind the reader that we have defined $\mathcal{D}_s := \{ \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Dom}(|X|^s) : \psi'' \in \text{Dom}(|X|^s) \}.$

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $R > 0$, $s > 2$ *and* $p \geq \pi$. *If H is a p-periodic Schrödinger operator with* $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$ *, and* $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$ *, then*

$$
\left\| \frac{1}{2} Q_H \psi - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t D(r) \psi \, dr \right\| \le C_1 M_3 p^{3/2} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} C_2 R^{1/2} p \right) t^{-1/5},\tag{3.2}
$$

where $C_1 = C_1(R)$ *, and* $M_3 = M_3(\psi)$ *are constants.*

Remark. We make the assumption $p \geq \pi$ here and in some of the statements that follow because it simplifies some of our estimates, here the right-hand side of [\(3.2\)](#page-11-2). A similar bound holds for small p . Since we will be examining a sequence of potentials with periods tending to infinity, this assumption poses no issues.

Using the equality [\[1,](#page-36-3)[34\]](#page-38-0) for $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Dom}(X)$

$$
X_H(t)\psi = X\psi + 2\int\limits_0^t D(r)\psi \,dr,\tag{3.3}
$$

the following corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 3.2. Let $R > 0$, $s > 2$ and $p \geq \pi$. If H is a p-periodic Schrödinger oper*ator with* $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$ *, and* $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$ *, then*

$$
\left\| Q_H \psi - \frac{1}{t} X_H(t) \psi \right\| \leq t^{-1} \| X \psi \| + 2C_1 M_3 p^{3/2} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} C_2 R^{1/2} p \right) t^{-1/5},
$$

where $C_1 = C_1(R)$ *, and* $M_3 = M_3(\psi)$ *are constants.*

We will need a pair of estimates uniform in the quasimomentum and independent of the potential V with $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$, the first of which is below.

Lemma 3.3. Let $R > 0$ and suppose $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$. Then, the operator

$$
(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}(D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}: L^{2}(\mathbb{T}, dq) \to L^{2}(\mathbb{T}, dq)
$$

is bounded uniformly in k: there is an $M_1 = M_1(R)$ *such that*

$$
||(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}(D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}||^2 \le M_1.
$$
 (3.4)

:

Proof. For $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, we have by self-adjointness

$$
||(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}\varphi||^2 = \langle \varphi, (H(k) + 2R)\varphi \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\leq \langle \varphi, (H_0(k) + 3R)\varphi \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= ||H_0(k)^{1/2}\varphi||^2 + 3R||\varphi||^2
$$

For $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$, we take $\varphi_n \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, with $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T})} \to 0$. Then, by the above bound, the sequence $(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2} \varphi_n$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Since $(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}$ with domain $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ is closed, $(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}\varphi_n \to (H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}\varphi$. Thus, we may conclude the same bound for $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{T}),$

$$
||(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}\varphi||^2 \le ||H_0(k)^{1/2}\varphi||^2 + 3R||\varphi||^2. \tag{3.5}
$$

Using [\(3.5\)](#page-12-0) with $\varphi = (D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi$ for $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ we have

$$
||(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}H_0(k)^{1/2}(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi||^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||H_0(k)^{1/2}(D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi||^2 + 3R||(D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi||^2
$$

\n
$$
= ||H_0(k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi||^2 + 3R||H_0(k)^{1/2}(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi||^2.
$$

Using the triangle inequality on the first term yields

$$
||H_0(k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi|| \le ||\psi|| + ||(V + 2R)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi||
$$

\n
$$
\le ||\psi|| + 3R||(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi||.
$$

For the second, $(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}: L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to H^2(\mathbb{T})$ implies

$$
||H_0(k)^{1/2}(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi||^2
$$

= $\langle (H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi, H_0(k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi \rangle$
= $\langle (H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi, \psi \rangle - \langle (H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi, (V + 2R)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\psi \rangle$
 $\le ||(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}|| ||\psi||^2$

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and since $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$. Since inf $\sigma(H(k)) \geq -R$, we have for $\|\varphi\| = 1$,

$$
||(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}\varphi||^{2} = \int_{-R}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x + 2R)^{2}} d\mu_{\varphi}(x) \le \frac{1}{R^{2}}
$$

by the functional calculus. Thus, $||(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}|| \leq \frac{1}{R}$ and we may put these estim-ates together and conclude [\(3.4\)](#page-12-1) with $M_1(R) = \frac{1}{R} + 16$.

Remark. The extension of the bound [\(3.5\)](#page-12-0) from $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ to $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ would also follow from the theory of quadratic forms [\[25,](#page-37-15) [35\]](#page-38-10); indeed, relative boundedness of a selfadjoint operator yields relative boundedness of the associated closed quadratic form, which has a representation in terms of the positive square root of the operator.

Before proving Lemma [3.6,](#page-16-0) our second uniform estimate needed to prove Theorem [3.1,](#page-11-0) we prove the auxiliary Lemmas [3.4](#page-13-0) and [3.5.](#page-15-0) The first is a simple pointwise estimate for functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Dom}(|X|^s)$, $s > 0$, which will allow us to minimize the assumptions on the regularity and decay of our initial states in many of the statements which follow. The second shows that the series defining $U\psi(k, q)$ for these initial states converges pointwise. We will use the Sobolev norms defined by

$$
\|\psi\|_{H^{n}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} = \|\hat{\psi}\|^{2} + \|k^{n}\hat{\psi}\|^{2} = \|\psi\|^{2} + \|\psi^{(n)}\|^{2}
$$

for $n = 1, 2$, where $\hat{\psi}$ denotes the Fourier transform of ψ , and $\psi^{(n)}$ denotes the *n*-th weak derivative.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Dom}(|X|^s)$ for $s > 0$. Then, there is a constant C $with |\psi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{1+|x|^{s/2}}$ *for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *.*

Proof. By the definition of $Dom(|X|^s)$, we have for $\psi \in Dom(|X|^s)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|t|^{2s})|\psi(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\,t<\infty.
$$

Thus, there exists an $N > 0$ such that for $|x| > N$

$$
(1+|x|^{2s})\int\limits_{|t|\geq|x|}|\psi(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\,t\leq\int\limits_{|t|\geq|x|}(1+|t|^{2s})|\psi(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\,t\leq1,
$$

and we may bound the tails of $\|\psi\|^2$ as

$$
\int_{|t| \ge |x|} |\psi(t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \le \frac{1}{1+|x|^{2s}}.\tag{3.6}
$$

We now use that $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ to make this estimate a pointwise one. Indeed, by the fundamental theorem of calculus and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have for $x > N$,

$$
|\psi(x)|^2 = -2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int\limits_x^{\infty} \overline{\psi(t)} \psi'(t) \, \mathrm{d} \, t \right) \le 2 \left(\int\limits_x^{\infty} |\psi'(t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, t \right)^{1/2} \left(\int\limits_x^{\infty} |\psi(t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, t \right)^{1/2}
$$

since $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ implies $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} \psi(x) = 0$. So, bounding the right-hand side with (3.6) , and using the inequality

$$
\frac{1}{1+y^2} \le \frac{2}{(1+y)^2}
$$

for $y \geq 0$, we have

$$
|\psi(x)|^2 \le \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{1+x^s} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}
$$

for $x \ge N$. Thus, by a similar computation for $x \le -N$ and taking a square root, we have for $|x| \geq N$,

$$
|\psi(x)| \le \frac{2^{5/4} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2}}{1+|x|^{s/2}}.
$$

The lemma then follows by taking

$$
C = \max\{2^{5/4} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2}, (1 + N^{s/2}) \sup_{|x| < N} |\psi(x)|\}.
$$

п

Lemma 3.5. For $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$, the series $\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-ik(q+p\ell)} \psi(q+p\ell)$ defining $U\psi(k,q)$ is absolutely convergent for a.e. $q \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{T}^*$. Furthermore, for $s > 2$, $Dom(|X|^s) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and for $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap Dom(|X|^s)$, this series is absolutely uniformly convergent for all $k \in \mathbb{T}^*$ and $q \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then, by the monotone convergence theorem and an affine change of variables, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |\psi(q + p\ell)| \, \mathrm{d}q = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{p} |\psi(q + p\ell)| \, \mathrm{d}q = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{p\ell}^{p(\ell+1)} |\psi(q)| \, \mathrm{d}q
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(q)| \, \mathrm{d}q < \infty
$$

so that $\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-ik(q+p\ell)} \psi(q+p\ell)$ converges absolutely for all k and a.e. q. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, for $\psi \in \text{Dom}(|X|^s)$ we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(q)| dq = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(q)| (1 + |q|^{s})(1 + |q|^{s})^{-1} dq
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(q)|^{2} (1 + |q|^{s})^{2} dq \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1 + |q|^{s})^{2}} dq \right)^{1/2}
$$

which is finite by our choice of s. Thus, $Dom(|X|^s) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R})$. For $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap$ $Dom(|X|^s)$, using Lemma [3.4,](#page-13-0) we have

$$
|\psi(q + p\ell)| \le \frac{C}{1 + |q + p\ell|^{s/2}} \le CM_{\ell}
$$
 (3.7)

where

$$
M_{\ell} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + |p\ell|^{s/2}}, & \ell \ge 0, \\ \frac{1}{1 + |p(\ell+1)|^{s/2}}, & \ell \le -1, \end{cases}
$$

and absolute uniform convergence follows.

We now prove our last lemma before the main result of this section. The purpose of this lemma is to give an $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^*, \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}; L^2(\mathbb{T},dq))$ estimate for quantities appearing in the proof of Theorem [3.1,](#page-11-0) allowing the separation of an integral over \mathbb{T}^* into one over a set on which we have a good time dependent estimate of the integrand, and another on a set whose measure decays in time by Lemma [2.3.](#page-10-1)

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $R > 0$, $s > 2$, $p \geq \pi$ *and suppose* $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$ *. For* $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$ *and a set* $Z \subset \mathbb{T}^*$ *with* $Leb(Z) = 0$ *, we have*

$$
\sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}^* \setminus Z} ||(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot)||_{L^2(\mathbb{T}, dq)}^2 \le (1 + 3R)^2 M_2 \tag{3.8}
$$

where $M_2 = M_2(\psi)$ *is a constant.*

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{T}^*$ and $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, we find

$$
||(H(k) + 2R)\varphi||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T},dq)} \leq ||H_{0}(k)\varphi||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T},dq)} + ||(V + 2R)\varphi||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T},dq)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq (1 + 3R)(||H_{0}(k)\varphi||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T},dq)} + ||\varphi||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T},dq)}).
$$
 (3.9)

Thus, it will suffice to find a uniform bound on $||H_0(k)U\psi(k, \cdot)||$ and $||U\psi(k, \cdot)||$.

Fix $s > 2$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$. There exists a set $Z \subset \mathbb{T}^*$ with $Leb(Z) = 0$ and such that for $k \in \mathbb{T}^* \setminus Z$,

$$
H_0(k)U\psi(k,q) = -\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-ik(q+p\ell)} \psi''(q+p\ell)
$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{T}, d q)$. By Lemma [3.5,](#page-15-0) the series on the right is absolutely convergent for $k \in \mathbb{T}^* \setminus Z$ and a.e. q.

Using this expression, we may bound $|H_0(k)U\psi(k,q)|^2$ pointwise for a.e. q as follows:

$$
|H_0(k)U\psi(k,q)|^2 = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi''(q+p\ell)\overline{\psi''(q+pm)e^{-ikp(\ell-m)}}
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\psi''(q+p\ell)||\psi''(q+pm)|.
$$

Then, by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} |H_0(k)U\psi(k,q)|^2 d q
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{p} |\psi''(q+p\ell)||\psi''(q+pm)| d q
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{0}^{p} |\psi''(q+p\ell)|^2 d q \right)^{1/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{0}^{p} |\psi''(q+pm)|^2 d q \right)^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{p\ell}^{p(\ell+1)} |\psi''(q)|^2 d q \right)^{1/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{pm}^{p(m+1)} |\psi''(q)|^2 d q \right)^{1/2}
$$

 \blacksquare

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. For the N of Lemma [3.4](#page-13-0) corresponding to $\psi'' \in$ Dom($|X|^s$) and since $p \geq \pi$, we have for $\ell \geq N + 1$

$$
\int_{p\ell}^{p(\ell+1)} |\psi''(q)|^2 \, dq \le \frac{1}{1 + p^{2s} |\ell|^{2s}} \le \frac{1}{1 + |\ell|^{2s}}
$$

by [\(3.6\)](#page-14-0). Similarly, we have for $\ell < -(N + 1)$

$$
\int_{p\ell}^{p(\ell+1)} |\psi''(q)|^2 \,dq \le \frac{1}{1 + |\ell + 1|^{2s}}
$$

so that

$$
\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int\limits_{p\ell}^{p(\ell+1)} |\psi''(q)|^2 \,dq \right)^{1/2} \leq \sum_{\ell=-N}^N \left(\int\limits_{p\ell}^{p(\ell+1)} |\psi''(q)|^2 \,dq \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{|\ell| \geq N} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+|\ell|^s}.
$$

Thus,

$$
\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int\limits_{p\ell}^{p(\ell+1)} |\psi''(q)|^2 \, dq \right)^{1/2} \le (2N+1) \|\psi''\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \sum_{|\ell| \ge N} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+|\ell|^s}
$$

where we emphasize that N only depends only on ψ . By the same argument, for the N' corresponding to ψ ,

$$
||U\psi(k, \cdot)||^{2} \leq (2N' + 1)||\psi||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + \sum_{|\ell| \geq N'} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1 + |\ell|^{s}}
$$

and (3.8) follows from (3.9) .

We are now ready to prove Theorem [3.1.](#page-11-0) Its proof involves a comparison using the min-max theorem; we note here that the eigenvalues of $H_0(0)$ are $\{\frac{(2\ell\pi)^2}{n^2}\}$ $\frac{\ell \pi)^2}{p^2}$ _{} $_{\ell=0}^{\infty}$}, while those for $H_0(\frac{\pi}{p})$ are $\{\frac{((2\ell+1)\pi)^2}{p^2}\}$ $\frac{+1(\pi)^2}{p^2}\big\}^{\infty}_{\ell=0}.$

Proof of Theorem [3.1](#page-11-0). By unitarity of U, it will suffice to bound the norm of

$$
U\bigg(\frac{1}{t}\int\limits_{0}^{t}D(r)\psi\,\mathrm{d}\,r\bigg)-UQ_H\psi
$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^*, \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}; L^2(\mathbb{T}, dq)).$

We use the identity

$$
P_n(k)(D+k)P_n(k) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,E_n(k)}{\mathrm{d}\,k}P_n(k)
$$

for almost every $k \in \mathbb{T}^*$ [\[1\]](#page-36-3) to compute

$$
\left\| U\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_{0}^{t} D(r)\psi \, \mathrm{d}r\right) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}}^{\oplus} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d} E_{n}(k)}{\mathrm{d}k} P_{n}(k) \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|} U\psi\right) \right\|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \left\| U\left(\frac{1}{t}\int_{0}^{t} D(r)\psi \, \mathrm{d}r\right) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}}^{\oplus} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}(k)(D+k) P_{n}(k) \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|} U\psi\right) \right\|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t}\int_{0}^{t} UD(r) U^{*} U\psi \, \mathrm{d}r\right) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}}^{\oplus} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}(k)(D+k) P_{n}(k) \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|} U\psi\right) \right\|^{2}.
$$
\n(3.10)

We now show

$$
UD(r)U^*U\psi = \int_{\mathbb{T}^*}^{\oplus} e^{irH(k)}(D+k)e^{-irH(k)}\frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}U\psi \tag{3.11}
$$

as follows. Since

$$
Ue^{\pm irH}U^* = \int_{\mathbb{T}^*}^{\oplus} e^{\pm irH(k)} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}, \quad UDU^* = \int_{\mathbb{T}^*}^{\oplus} (D+k) \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|},
$$

it will suffice to verify $\int_{\mathbb{T}^*}^{\oplus} e^{-irH(k)} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} U \psi$ is in the domain of $\int_{\mathbb{T}^*}^{\oplus} (D + k) \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}$ for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$, for a full-measure set of $k \in \mathbb{T}^*$, $U\psi(k, \cdot) \in$ $H^2(\mathbb{T})$. Thus, for these k, $e^{-irH(k)}U\psi(k, \cdot) \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, and in particular, $\psi(k, \cdot) \in$ $H^1(\mathbb{T})$. Using that inf $\sigma(H(k)) \geq -R$ we may compute $(H(k) + 2R)^{-1/2}$ and find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}^*} ||(D+k)e^{-irH(k)}U\psi(k, \cdot)||^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} ||(D+k)e^{-irH(k)}(H(k)+2R)^{-1/2}(H(k)+2R)^{1/2}U\psi(k, \cdot)||^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} ||(D+k)(H(k)+2R)^{-1/2}||^2 ||e^{-irH(k)}U((H+2R)^{1/2}\psi)(k, \cdot)||^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

since

$$
(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}U\psi = U(H + 2R)^{1/2}\psi
$$

for a.e. $k \in \mathbb{T}^*$. Finally, using the bound

$$
||(D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1/2}|| \le 1,
$$

and that U is an isometry, we may estimate

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \|(D + k)e^{-irH(k)}U\psi(k, \cdot)\|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \|U((H + 2R)^{1/2}\psi)(k, \cdot)\|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$
\n
$$
= \|(H + 2R)^{1/2}\psi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}
$$
\n
$$
\leq (1 + 3R)\|\psi\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}.
$$

Thus, using (3.11) , we may expand the final expression in (3.10) in terms of eigenprojections twice to find

$$
\left\| \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}}^{\oplus} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{iE_m(k)r} P_m(k)(D+k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-iE_n(k)r} P_n(k) \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|} U \psi \, dr \right) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}}^{\oplus} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(k)(D+k) P_n(k) \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|} U \psi \right) \right\|^2.
$$

Using that $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ again, we may justify interchanging the sum and $(D + k)$ for each $k \notin \frac{\pi}{p} \mathbb{Z}$ with $U \psi(k, \cdot) \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, as follows:

$$
(D + k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-iE_n(k)s} P_n(k)U\psi
$$

= $(D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-iE_n(k)s} P_n(k)(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}U\psi$
= $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-iE_n(k)s}(D + k)P_n(k)U\psi,$

since

$$
||(D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1/2}|| \le 1/\sqrt{R},
$$

as in the proof of Lemma [3.3.](#page-12-2) Thus, passing the projections $P_m(k)$ through the sum in n , we find

$$
\begin{split}\n&\left\| \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{iE_{m}(k)r} P_{m}(k) (D+k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-iE_{n}(k)r} P_{n}(k) \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|} U \psi \, \mathrm{d}r \right) \right. \\
&\left. - \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}}^{\oplus} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}(k) (D+k) P_{n}(k) \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|} U \psi \right) \right\|^{2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{i(E_{m}(k)-iE_{n}(k))r} P_{m}(k) (D+k) P_{n}(k) U \psi \, \mathrm{d}r \right) \right. \\
&\left. - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n}(k) (D+k) P_{n}(k) U \psi \right) \right\|^{2} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^{*}} \left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} P_{m}(k) e^{i(E_{m}(k)-E_{n}(k))r} (D+k) P_{n}(k) U \psi(k, \cdot) \, \mathrm{d}r \right\|^{2} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^{*}|} \n\end{split}
$$

canceling the diagonal terms. We commute the time integral with the sum in m using dominated convergence for the Bochner integral [\[22\]](#page-37-16). Namely, we note that for $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and a.e. k , we have by orthogonality of the projections

$$
\|\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} P_m(k) e^{i(E_m(k) - E_n(k))r} (D + k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot) \|^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \| P_m(k) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} e^{-iE_n(k)r} (D + k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot) \|^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|(D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1/2}\|^2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \| P_n(k) (H(k) + 2R)^{1/2} U \psi(k, \cdot) \|^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|(D + k)(H(k) + 2R)^{-1/2}\|^2 \|(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2} U \psi(k, \cdot) \|^2
$$

so that

$$
\sup_{r \in [0,t]} \Big\| \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} P_m(k) e^{i(E_m(k) - E_n(k))r} (D+k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot) \Big\|
$$

$$
\leq \| (D+k) (H(k) + 2R)^{-1/2} \| \| (H(k) + 2R)^{1/2} U \psi(k, \cdot) \|
$$

which is finite for a.e. k . Thus, using uniform convergence to pass the integral through the sum in n , we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} P_m(k) e^{i(E_m(k) - E_n(k))r} (D + k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot) \, \mathrm{d}r \right\|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\| P_m(k) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(E_m(k) - E_n(k))r} \, \mathrm{d}r (D + k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot) \right\|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

by the orthogonality of the projections $P_m(k)$.

We begin by bounding the integrand above pointwise for all m and a.e. k . For $k \notin \frac{\pi}{p}\mathbb{Z}$, let $v_{\ell}(k)$ be the basis of normalized eigenfunctions of $H(k)$, i.e., for $\varphi \in$ $L^2(\mathbb{T},\mathrm{d}\,q),$

$$
P_{\ell}(k)\varphi = \langle \varphi, v_{\ell}(k) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T},dq)} v_{\ell}(k).
$$

Then, defining the shorthand

$$
f_{m,n} = f_{m,n}(t,k) := \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(E_m(k) - E_n(k))r} \, \mathrm{d}r
$$

in the above, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| P_m(k) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} f_{m,n}(D+k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot) \right|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} \n= \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \left(\sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} f_{m,n}(D+k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot), v_m(k) \right) \right|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} \n= \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \left((H(k) + 2R)^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} f_{m,n}(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}(D+k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot), v_m(k) \right) \right|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} \n= \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \left(\sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} f_{m,n}(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}(D+k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot), v_m(k) \right) \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{E_m(k) + 2R}} v_m(k) \right|^{2} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|},
$$

using that $(H(k) + 2R)^{-1/2}$ is self-adjoint, and each $v_{\ell}(k)$ is an eigenfunction in the last line. Thus,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\| P_m(k) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} f_{m,n}(D+k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot) \right\|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} \n\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} f_{m,n}(H(k)+2R)^{1/2}(D+k) P_n(k) U \psi(k, \cdot) \right\|^2 \frac{1}{E_m(k)+2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Then, using [\(3.4\)](#page-12-1), we may insert a factor of $(H(k) + 2R)^{-1}(H(k) + 2R) = I$ in the above to find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big\| \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} f_{m,n}(H(k) + 2R)^{1/2}(D+k)P_n(k)U\psi(k, \cdot) \Big\|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

\n
$$
\leq M_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big\| \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} f_{m,n}P_n(k)(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot) \Big\|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

\n
$$
= M_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \|f_{m,n}P_n(k)(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot) \|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

by orthogonality of the projections $P_n(k)$. In summary, we thus far have shown

$$
\left\| \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} D(r) \psi \, dr \right) - Q_H \psi \right\|^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq M_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \| f_{m,n} P_n(k) (H(k) + 2R) U \psi(k, \cdot) \|^2 \frac{1}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}. \tag{3.12}
$$

Before integrating the right-hand side of (3.12) in k, we partition the Brillouin zone into the sets of "good" and "bad" $k \in \mathcal{B}$. Using the notation of Lemma [2.3,](#page-10-1) we define

$$
B_t := \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_t}, \quad \varepsilon_t := \frac{1}{C_2 \pi} t^{-4/5}.
$$

Then, for $k \in G_t := \mathcal{B} \setminus B_t$, computing the integral defining $f_{m,n}$, we have

$$
|f_{m,n}| \leq \frac{2}{t|E_m(k) - E_n(k)|} \leq \frac{2}{t\varepsilon_t}
$$

so that

$$
\int_{G_l} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \|f_{m,n} P_n(k)(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot)\|^2 \frac{1}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{4}{t^2} \int_{G_l} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \frac{\|P_n(k)(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot)\|^2}{|E_m(k) - E_n(k)|^2} \frac{1}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{4}{t^2 \varepsilon_t^2} \int_{G_l} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}, dq)}^2}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

by Bessel's inequality.

Instead, for $k \in B_t$, now using

$$
\left|\frac{1}{t}\int\limits_{0}^{t}e^{i(E_m(k)-E_n(k))r}\mathrm{d}r\right|\leq 1,
$$

we have

$$
\int_{B_t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \neq m}}^{\infty} \|f_{m,n} P_n(k)(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot)\|^2 \frac{1}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}
$$

$$
\leq \int_{B_t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}, dq)}^2}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}.
$$

Summarizing once again, we have

$$
\left\| \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} D(r) \psi \, dr \right) - Q_H \psi \right\|^{2} \leq M_1 \int_{B_t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot)\|^{2}}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} + \frac{4M_1}{t^{2} \varepsilon_t^{2}} \int_{G_t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|(H(k) + 2R)U\psi(k, \cdot)\|^{2}}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|},
$$

which after an application of Lemma [3.6](#page-16-0) becomes

$$
\left\| \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} D(r) \psi \, dr \right) - Q_H \psi \right\|^2 \le (1 + 3R)^2 M_1 M_2 \int_{B_t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|} + \frac{4(1 + 3R)^2 M_1 M_2}{t^2 \varepsilon_t^2} \int_{G_t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{E_m(k) + 2R} \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}^*|}. \tag{3.13}
$$

Before summing in m and integrating in k, we find an upper bound on $1/(E_m(k) +$ 2R) which is uniform in k and summable in m. Since $(-1)^{n+1} \frac{dE_n}{dk} > 0$ on $(0, \frac{\pi}{p})$ and $E_n(k) = E_n(-k)$, we have for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
E_{2n-1}(k) + 2R \ge E_{2n-1}(0) + 2R \ge \frac{4(n-1)^2\pi^2}{p^2} + R \ge \frac{\pi^2}{p^2}(4(n-1)^2 + R)
$$

by the min-max theorem and since $p \geq \pi$. Similarly,

$$
E_{2n}(k) + 2R \ge E_{2n}(\pi/p) + 2R \ge \frac{(2n-1)^2\pi^2}{p^2} + R \ge \frac{\pi^2}{p^2}((2n-1)^2 + R),
$$

so that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{E_m(k) + 2R} \le \frac{p^2}{\pi^2} A,
$$

for

$$
A = A(R) := \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4(n-1)^2 + R} + \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2 + R}\right).
$$

Finally, we note that by Lemma [2.3,](#page-10-1)

Leb
$$
(B_t) \le 4\sqrt{C_2\pi} \exp(C_2 R^{1/2} p) \sqrt{\varepsilon_t} = 4 \exp(C_2 R^{1/2} p) t^{-2/5}.
$$

With these facts in hand, and defining $M = M(R) := 4(1 + 3R)^2 M_1 A$, we may bound the integrals in [\(3.13\)](#page-23-0) as follows:

$$
\left\| \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} D(r) \psi \, dr \right) - Q_H \psi \right\|^2 \le \frac{p^2}{\pi^2} M \cdot M_2 \left(\frac{p}{2\pi} \text{Leb}(B_t) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_t^2 t^2} \right)
$$

$$
\le \frac{p^2}{\pi^2} M \cdot M_2 \left(\frac{2p}{\pi} \exp(C_2 R^{1/2} p) + C_2^2 \pi^2 \right) t^{-2/5}
$$

$$
\le \frac{M \cdot M_2}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} + C_2^2 \pi^2 \right) p^3 \exp(C_2 R^{1/2} p) t^{-2/5}
$$

since $\frac{p}{2\pi} \geq \frac{1}{4}$. The result then follows from taking a square root and setting $M_3 =$ $M_2^{1/2}$ $C_1 = \frac{M^{1/2}}{\pi} (\frac{2}{\pi} + C_2^2 \pi^2)^{1/2}.$

4. Proof of ballistic transport

In this section, we will prove Theorem [1.2.](#page-5-1) First, we must prove some final lemmas. The first is an elementary propagation estimate, which we record below.

Lemma 4.1. *For* $H_i = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_i$ *, and* $V_i \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ *,* $||e^{itH_1}-e^{itH_2}|| \leq |t| ||V_1-V_2||_{\infty}.$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using strong differentiability of $(I - e^{-itH_1}e^{itH_2})\varphi$, we have by the fundamental theorem of calculus and unitarity of e^{itH_i} ,

$$
\|(e^{itH_1} - e^{itH_2})\varphi\| = \left\|\int_0^t e^{-irH_1}(V_1 - V_2)e^{irH_2}\varphi \,dr\right\|
$$

$$
\leq \left|\int_0^t \|V_1 - V_2\|_{\infty} \|\varphi\| \,dr\right|
$$

$$
= |t| \|V_1 - V_2\|_{\infty} \|\varphi\|.
$$

Since $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the result follows by approximation.

We will also require the following estimate showing that the difference of the Heisenberg evolution of the position operator for two Schrödinger operators with bounded potentials applied to a suitable state can diverge at most quadratically in time. As we will soon see, the presence of the normed difference of the two potentials on the right-hand side of the estimate is critical to the proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-5-1)

Lemma 4.2. *Let* H_i *be as in Lemma [4.1](#page-25-0), and suppose* $V_1 - V_2 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ *. Then, for* $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap D(X^2)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
||X_{H_1}(t)\psi - X_{H_2}(t)\psi|| \le \Gamma(|t|^{1/2} + t^2) ||V_1 - V_2||_{\infty}^{1/2}
$$

for $\Gamma = \Gamma(R, \psi)$ *a constant.*

Proof. Let

$$
\eta_{\psi}(t) := X_{H_1}(t)\psi - X_{H_2}(t)\psi
$$

for $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap D(X^2)$.

We define

$$
F_{\varepsilon}(x) := \frac{x}{1 + \varepsilon |x|}
$$

and

$$
\eta_{\psi}^{\varepsilon} := e^{itH_1} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH_1} \psi - e^{itH_2} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH_2} \psi,
$$

which we will estimate above for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

We note that, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$
||e^{itH_i} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH_i} \psi - e^{itH_i} X e^{-itH_i} \psi||^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F_{\varepsilon}(x) - x)^2 |(e^{-itH_i} \psi)(x)|^2 dx
$$

=
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varepsilon^2 x^4}{(1 + \varepsilon |x|)^2} |(e^{-itH_i} \psi)(x)|^2 dx.
$$

Since for $\varepsilon \le 1$, the integrand is bounded above by $x^4 | (e^{-itH_i} \psi)(x)|^2$, which is integrable for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by [\[34\]](#page-38-0)[Theorem 2.2], we have

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \|e^{itH_i} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH_i} \psi - e^{itH_i} X e^{-itH_i} \psi \| = 0
$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. In particular, $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \eta^{\varepsilon}_{\psi}(t) = \eta_{\psi}(t)$.

We find by the triangle inequality and Lemma [4.1,](#page-25-0)

$$
\|\eta^{\varepsilon}_{\psi}(t)\| \leq |t| \|V_1 - V_2\|_{\infty} \|F_{\varepsilon}(X)e^{-itH_1}\psi\| + \|F_{\varepsilon}(X)(e^{-itH_1} - e^{-itH_2})\psi\|.
$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and that $F_{\epsilon}(X)$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator, we have

$$
||F_{\varepsilon}(X)(e^{-itH_1} - e^{-itH_2})\psi||^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||e^{-itH_1} - e^{-itH_2}|| ||\psi|| \cdot ||F_{\varepsilon}(X)^2(e^{-itH_1} - e^{-itH_2})\psi||
$$

\n
$$
\leq |t| ||V_1 - V_2||_{\infty} ||\psi|| (||F_{\varepsilon}(X)^2 e^{-itH_1}\psi|| + ||F_{\varepsilon}(X)^2 e^{-itH_2}\psi||)
$$

by Lemma [4.1.](#page-25-0) Thus, taking $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ and using the monotone convergence theorem for the terms on the right-hand side, we have

$$
\|\eta_{\psi}(t)\| \leq |t| \|V_1 - V_2\|_{\infty} \|Xe^{-itH_1}\psi\| + |t|^{1/2} \|V_1 - V_2\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \|\psi\|^{1/2} (\|X^2e^{-itH_1}\psi\| + \|X^2e^{-itH_2}\psi\|)^{1/2} \leq \Gamma(|t|^{1/2} + t^2) \|V_1 - V_2\|_{\infty}^{1/2}
$$

where $\Gamma = \Gamma(R, \psi)$ is a constant by Theorems [5.1](#page-32-0) and [5.2.](#page-32-1)

Before proving Theorem [1.2,](#page-5-1) we prove a final lemma, which provides a lower bound on the Floquet transform $U_{p_n}\psi$ integrated over a subset of

 \blacksquare

$$
\mathcal{B}_n := \left(-\frac{\pi}{p_n}, \frac{\pi}{p_n}\right]
$$

for large enough periods p_n . To emphasize the dependence on n, we also denote

$$
\mathbb{T}_n := \mathbb{R}/p_n\mathbb{Z}
$$
 and $\mathbb{T}_n^* := \mathbb{R}/\frac{2\pi}{p_n}\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Dom}(|X|^s)$ for $s > 2$ and define the set

$$
S_n := \left[-\frac{3\pi}{4p_n}, -\frac{\pi}{4p_n} \right] \cup \left[\frac{\pi}{4p_n}, \frac{3\pi}{4p_n} \right].
$$

Then, there exists an $N_0(\psi)$ *so that for* $n \geq N_0(\psi)$ *,*

$$
\int_{S_n} \|U_{p_n}\psi(k,\cdot)\|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}_n^*|} \ge \frac{1}{8} \|\psi\|^2. \tag{4.1}
$$

Proof. By Lemma [3.5,](#page-15-0) there is a set $Z_n \subset \mathbb{T}_n^*$ with $Leb(Z_n) = 0$ and such that for a given $k \in \mathbb{T}_n^*$ $n^* \setminus Z_n$

$$
U_{p_n}\psi(k,q) = e^{-ikq}(\psi(q) + e^{ikp_n}\psi(q - p_n)) + \sum_{\ell \notin \{0,-1\}} e^{-ik(q + p_n\ell)}\psi(q + p_n\ell)
$$

for a.e. q. We will use the first two terms in the above to estimate the integral in (4.1) from below. For these k and q ,

$$
|U_{p_n}\psi(k,q) - e^{-ikq}(\psi(q) + e^{ikp_n}\psi(q - p_n))| \leq \sum_{\ell \notin \{0,-1\}} |\psi(q + p_n\ell)|,
$$

and by (3.7)

$$
|\psi(q + p_n\ell)| \leq \frac{C}{p_n} \Big(\frac{1}{|\ell|^{s/2}} + \frac{1}{|\ell + 1|^{s/2}} \Big)
$$

for $\ell \notin \{0, -1\}$. Thus, since $p_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{T}_n^* \setminus Z_n} \|U_{p_n} \psi(k, q) - e^{-ikq} (\psi(q) + e^{ikp_n} \psi(q - p_n))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_n, dq)}^2 = 0.
$$
\n(4.2)

By Fubini's theorem and the change of variables $p_n k \mapsto k$, for $S := p_n S_n$,

$$
\int_{S_n} \|\psi(q) + e^{ikp_n}\psi(q - p_n)\|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}_n^*|} \n= \int_{\mathbb{T}_n} \int_{S} |\psi(q) + e^{ik}\psi(q - p_n)|^2 \frac{dk}{2\pi} dq \n= \int_{\mathbb{T}_n} \int_{S} |\psi(q)|^2 + |\psi(q - p_n)|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\psi}(q)e^{ik}\psi(q - p_n)) \frac{dk}{2\pi} dq \n= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_n} |\psi(q)|^2 + |\psi(q - p_n)|^2 dq,
$$

since

$$
\int_{S} \text{Re}(\overline{\psi(q)}e^{ik}\psi(q-p_n)) \, \mathrm{d}k = \text{Re}\left(\overline{\psi(q)}\psi(q-p_n)\int_{S} e^{ik} \, \mathrm{d}k\right) = 0.
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{S_n} \|\psi(q) + e^{ikp_n}\psi(q - p_n)\|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{|\mathbb{T}_n^*|} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-p_n}^{p_n} |\psi(q)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}q. \tag{4.3}
$$

Since $p_n \to \infty$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-p_n}^{p_n} |\psi(q)|^2 d q = ||\psi||^2$, and we may use the triangle inequality to combine (4.2) and (4.3) to find (4.1) . \blacksquare

We are now ready to prove Theorem [1.2.](#page-5-1) The proof will largely follow the path laid out by Fillman in [\[16\]](#page-37-6). Indeed, to extract the limit $Q\psi$ in Theorem [1.2,](#page-5-1) we define

$$
Q_n\psi := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} X_{H_n}(t)\psi,
$$
\n(4.4)

for $H_n = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_n$ where V_n approximate V exponentially quickly, and show $Q_n \psi$ has a limit, denoted $Q\psi$. We then show that $Q\psi = \lim_{t\to\infty} X_H(t)\psi$. Finally, to conclude $Q\psi \neq 0$, we show $Q_n\psi \rightarrow Q\psi$ faster than $||Q_n\psi||$ can tend to 0.

Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-5-1). Fix $s > 2$ and a V such that $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$ and $V \in EC(\eta)$ with $\eta > 12\kappa C_2 R^{1/2}$ for a constant $\kappa \geq 9/2$. Let V_n with $V_n(\cdot + p_n) = V_n(\cdot)$ be such that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\eta p_{n+1}} \| V_n - V \|_{\infty} = 0.
$$
\n(4.5)

By enlarging R if necessary, we may assume $||V_n||_{\infty} \leq R$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$. Defining $H_n = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_n$ with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, we denote $Q_n\psi$ as in [\(4.4\)](#page-28-1) where the limit exists for $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Dom}(X) \subset \mathcal{D}_s$ by [\[1\]](#page-36-3) (or Theorem [3.1\)](#page-11-0).

We find a limit for the $Q_n \psi$ using a telescoping sum; namely we show that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Q_{n+1}\psi - Q_n\psi\| < \infty.
$$
 (4.6)

To this end, we set

$$
t_n = C_1^5 p_{n+1}^{15/2} \exp(5\kappa C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1}).
$$

Since $p_n \to \infty$, we may take $p_n \geq \pi$ throughout what follows. Then, denoting by $D_n(t) = e^{itH_n}De^{-itH_n}$, we have by Theorem [3.1,](#page-11-0)

$$
\left\| \frac{1}{2} Q_{n+1} \psi - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} D_{n+1}(r) \psi \, dr \right\| \le C_1 M_3 p_{n+1}^{3/2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1} \right) t_n^{-1/5}
$$

$$
= M_3 \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} (1 - 2\kappa) C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1} \right). \tag{4.7}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\left\| \frac{1}{2} Q_n \psi - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} D_n(r) \psi \, dr \right\| \le C_1 M_3 p_n^{3/2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} C_2 R^{1/2} p_n\right) t_n^{-1/5}
$$

$$
\le M_3 \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} (1 - 2\kappa) C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1}\right), \tag{4.8}
$$

using that $p_{n+1} > p_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We find an $N_0(R)$ large enough to ensure $t_n \ge 1$ for $n \geq N_0(R)$. Then, by [\(3.3\)](#page-12-3) and Lemma [4.2,](#page-25-1)

$$
\frac{1}{t_n} \Big\| \int_0^{t_n} D_n(r) \psi - D_{n+1}(r) \psi \, dr \Big\| \le \Gamma(1/\sqrt{t_n} + t_n) \|V_n - V_{n+1}\|_{\infty}^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\le 2\Gamma t_n \|V_n - V_{n+1}\|_{\infty}^{1/2} \tag{4.9}
$$

for $n \geq N_0(R)$.

Summing (4.7) and (4.8) , we find,

$$
\left\| \frac{1}{2} Q_n \psi - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} D_n(r) \psi \, dr \right\| + \left\| \frac{1}{2} Q_{n+1} \psi - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} D_{n+1}(r) \psi \, dr \right\|
$$

\n
$$
\leq 2M_3 \exp \Biggl(\frac{1}{2} (1 - 2\kappa) C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1} \Biggr) \leq 2M_3 \exp(-4C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1}),
$$

where the last inequality uses $\kappa \geq 9/2$.

By [\(4.5\)](#page-28-2), for *n* large, we have $||V_n - V_{n+1}||_{\infty}^{1/2} \le e^{-\frac{\eta}{2}p_{n+1}}$. So, by enlarging $N_0(R)$ if necessary, [\(4.9\)](#page-29-2) yields for $n \ge N_0(R)$,

$$
\frac{1}{t_n} \Big\| \int_0^{t_n} D_n(r) \psi - D_{n+1}(r) \psi \, \mathrm{d}r \Big\| \le 2 \Gamma t_n e^{-\frac{\eta}{2} p_{n+1}} \le 2 \Gamma t_n \exp(-6\kappa C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1})
$$

= $2 \Gamma C_1^5 p_{n+1}^{15/2} \exp(-\kappa C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1}),$

since $\eta > 12\kappa C_2 R^{1/2}$. Increasing $N_0(R)$ again, we may take

$$
2C_1^5 p_{n+1}^{15/2} \exp(-\kappa C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1}) \le \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3} \kappa C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1}\right),
$$

so that, for $n \geq N_0(R)$,

$$
\frac{1}{t_n} \bigg\| \int_0^{t_n} D_n(r) \psi - D_{n+1}(r) \psi \, dr \bigg\| \le \Gamma \exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1})
$$

since $\kappa \geq 9/2$.

Thus, for $\Lambda(\psi, R) = \Lambda := 2(\Gamma + 2M_3)$ and $n \ge N_0(R)$, we have

$$
\|Q_n\psi - Q_{n+1}\psi\| \le \Lambda \exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+1}),\tag{4.10}
$$

and iterating the inequality $p_{n+1} \geq 2p_n$ in the above, we find

$$
||Q_n\psi - Q_{n+1}\psi|| \le \Lambda \exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2}2^n p_0)
$$

from which [\(4.6\)](#page-28-3) follows.

Thus, for each $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} Q_n \psi$ exists, and we define $Q\psi$ to be the limit. We now show that for these ψ , $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t}X_H(t)\psi = Q\psi$. Using the triangle inequality, we have for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{t} X_H(t) \psi - Q \psi \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} \| X_H(t) \psi - X_{H_n} \psi \| + \left\| \frac{1}{t} X_{H_n} \psi - Q_n \psi \right\| + \| Q_n \psi - Q \psi \| . \end{aligned}
$$

Taking t_n as in the above, for $t_{n-1} \le t < t_n$, we have by Lemma [4.2](#page-25-1)

$$
\frac{1}{t} \|X_H(t)\psi - X_{H_n}\psi\| \le \Gamma(1/\sqrt{t_{n-1}} + t_n) \|V - V_n\|_{\infty}^{1/2}
$$

which tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$ by [\(4.5\)](#page-28-2), and thus as $t \to \infty$.

To bound the second term, we may use Corollary [3.2](#page-12-4) to find

$$
\left\| \frac{1}{t} X_{H_n}(t) \psi - Q_n \psi \right\| \leq t_{n-1}^{-1} \| X \psi \| + 2C_1 M_3 p_n^{3/2} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} C_2 R^{1/2} p_n \right) t_{n-1}^{-1/5}
$$

= $t_{n-1}^{-1} \| X \psi \| + 2M_3 \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 - 2\kappa) C_2 R^{1/2} p_n \right),$

which, for our choice of κ , clearly tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$, and thus as $t \to \infty$. Lastly, by the definition of $Q\psi$, $||Q_n \psi - Q\psi|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and we may conclude that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} X_H(t) \psi = Q \psi.
$$

Finally, we show that for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_s$ with $\psi \neq 0$, $\|\mathcal{Q}\psi\| > 0$. The first step is to find a quantitative lower bound on $Q_n \psi$, using the expression defined in [\(3.1\)](#page-11-3). For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and S_n defined as in Lemma [4.3,](#page-27-2) we compute

$$
||Q_n\psi||^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}_n^*} \Big\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{d E_m(k)}{dk} P_m(k) U_{p_n} \psi(k, \cdot) \Big\|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}_n^*|}
$$

\n
$$
\geq \int_{S_n} \Big\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{d E_m(k)}{dk} P_m(k) U_{p_n} \psi(k, \cdot) \Big\|^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}_n^*|}
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{S_n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big| \frac{d E_m(k)}{dk} \Big\|^2 ||P_m(k) U_{p_n} \psi(k, \cdot) ||^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}_n^*|}
$$

\n
$$
\geq \frac{2 \exp(-4C_2 R^{1/2} p_n)}{C_2^2 p_n^2} \int_{S_n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} ||P_m(k) U_{p_n} \psi(k, \cdot) ||^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}_n^*|}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{2 \exp(-4C_2 R^{1/2} p_n)}{C_2^2 p_n^2} \int_{S_n} ||U_{p_n} \psi(k, \cdot) ||^2 \frac{dk}{|\mathbb{T}_n^*|}
$$

by Lemma [2.2](#page-8-4) and Parseval's identity. Using Lemma [4.3,](#page-27-2) we have for $n \ge N_1(\psi)$

$$
||Q_n\psi||^2 \ge \frac{\exp(-4C_2R^{1/2}p_n)}{4C_2^2p_n^2} ||\psi||^2.
$$

Now, we find a bound for $\|Q_n\psi - Q\psi\|$. Using [\(4.10\)](#page-30-0) and $p_{\ell+n} \geq 2^{\ell} p_n$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we may estimate for a given $n \geq N_0(R)$,

$$
||Q_n\psi - Q\psi|| \le \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} ||Q_{\ell}\psi - Q_{\ell+1}\psi|| \le \Lambda \sum_{\ell=n}^{\infty} \exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2} p_{\ell+1})
$$

= $\Lambda \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2} p_{n+\ell}) \le \Lambda \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2} 2^{\ell} p_n)$
 $\le \Lambda \frac{\exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2} p_n)}{1 - \exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2} p_n)}$

where we have estimated by a much larger geometric series.

Finally, putting these two estimates together, we have for $n \ge N := \max\{N_0, N_1\}$,

$$
\|Q\psi\| \ge \|Q_n\psi\| - \|(Q - Q_n)\psi\|
$$

\n
$$
\ge \frac{\exp(-2C_2 R^{1/2} p_n)}{2C_2 p_n} \|\psi\| - \Lambda(\psi, R) \frac{\exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2} p_n)}{1 - \exp(-3C_2 R^{1/2} p_n)}.
$$

By comparing the exponents in the two terms above, it is clear that we may find an $n > N$ large enough such that the right-hand side of the above is greater than 0. Thus, $Q\psi \neq 0.$

5. Appendix

The two results of this section are essentially the main results of [\[34\]](#page-38-0). However, since we must take extra care in the dependencies of the constants which appear in their estimates, we include statements tailored to our setting as well as proofs where necessary. More precisely, Theorems [5.1](#page-32-0) and [5.2](#page-32-1) correspond to [\[34,](#page-38-0) Theorem 2.1] and [\[34,](#page-38-0) Theorem 2.2], respectively. However, in that paper, the dependencies critical in our work are not recorded. We note also that those authors work in the more general setting of H_0 -form-bounded or H_0 -operator-bounded potentials with relative bound less than 1, while we deal with bounded potentials.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose there is an $R > 0$ with $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$. Then, for $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ $Dom(X)$,

$$
||Xe^{-iHt}\psi|| \leq \alpha(1+|t|)(||\psi||^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + ||X\psi||^2)^{1/2}
$$

for a constant $\alpha(R) = \alpha$.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose there is an $R > 0$ with $||V||_{\infty} \leq R$. Then, for $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ \cap $D(X^2)$,

$$
||X^{2}e^{-iHt}\psi|| \leq \beta(1+t^{2})(||\psi||^{2}_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + ||X^{2}\psi||^{2})^{1/2}
$$
\n(5.1)

for a constant $\beta(R) = \beta$.

Theorem [5.1](#page-32-0) follows from taking $a = 0$ and $b = R$ in the proof of [\[34\]](#page-38-0)[Theorem 2.1]. Instead, the proof of the statement corresponding to Theorem [5.2](#page-32-1) in [\[34\]](#page-38-0) is formal. We provide a rigorous proof in our setting below for completeness.

Proof of Theorem [5.2](#page-32-1)*.* Since we will reduce position estimates to momentum estimates, we begin by recording a pair of estimates of the latter type. For $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
||H_0^{1/2}e^{-itH}\psi||^2 \le ||(H_0 + V + R)^{1/2}e^{-itH}\psi||^2 \le ||H_0^{1/2}\psi||^2 + 2R||\psi||^2
$$

where we have used that

$$
\langle e^{-itH}\psi, (H_0+V)e^{-itH}\psi \rangle = \langle e^{-itH}\psi, e^{-itH}(H_0+V)\psi \rangle = \langle \psi, (H_0+V)\psi \rangle.
$$

In particular,

$$
||H_0^{1/2}e^{-itH}\psi||^2 \le (1+2R)||\psi||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2.
$$
 (5.2)

Similarly, we have

$$
||H_0e^{-itH}\psi|| \le ||(H_0 + V)e^{-itH}\psi|| + ||Ve^{-itH}\psi|| \le ||H_0\psi|| + 2R||\psi||
$$

and

$$
||H_0 e^{-itH} \psi|| \le \sqrt{2}(1+2R) ||\psi||_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}.
$$
 (5.3)

We now define $F_{\varepsilon}(y) := \frac{y^4}{1 + \varepsilon y^4}$ and take $F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) := e^{iHt} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-iHt}$. Our first task is to compute the strong derivative $\frac{d}{dt} F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) \psi$, for $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Fix $\psi \in$ $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$
e^{i(t+h)H} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-i(t+h)H} \psi - e^{itH} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} \psi
$$

=
$$
e^{i(t+h)H} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-i(t+h)H} \psi - e^{i(t+h)H} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} \psi
$$

+
$$
e^{i(t+h)H} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} \psi - e^{itH} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} \psi.
$$

We examine the difference quotient of each term individually. For the first, using unitarity of e^{-itH} , we have

$$
\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{h} \left(e^{i(t+h)H} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-i(t+h)H} - e^{i(t+h)H} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} \right) \psi + i e^{itH} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} H \psi \right\| \\ &= \left\| F_{\varepsilon}(X) \frac{1}{h} (e^{-i(t+h)H} - e^{-itH} \psi) + i e^{-ihH} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} H \psi \right\|, \end{aligned}
$$

which tends to 0 as $h \to 0$ for $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ by strong continuity of e^{itH} and since $Dom(H) = H^2(\mathbb{R})$. For the second term, we note that a quick computation shows that $F_{\varepsilon}(X)(H^2(\mathbb{R})) \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{R})$, and we may compute again

$$
\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{h} \left(e^{i(t+h)H} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} \psi - e^{itH} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} \right) \psi - i H e^{itH} F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} \psi \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{h} (e^{ihH} - I) F_{\varepsilon}(X) \psi - i H F_{\varepsilon}(X) e^{-itH} \psi \right\| \end{aligned}
$$

which again tends to 0 as h does. Thus, as a map from $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $F_{\varepsilon}(X(t))$ is strongly differentiable with

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) = i[H, F_{\varepsilon}(X(t))] = ie^{itH}[H_0, F_{\varepsilon}(X)]e^{-itH}
$$

$$
= G_{\varepsilon}(X(t))D(t) + D(t)G_{\varepsilon}(X(t))
$$

where $G_{\varepsilon}(y) = \partial_y F_{\varepsilon}(y) = \frac{4y^3}{(1+\varepsilon y)^3}$ $\frac{4y^3}{(1+\epsilon y^4)^2}$, and $G_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) = e^{itH} G_{\varepsilon}(X)e^{-itH}$, $D(t) =$ $-e^{itH} i \frac{d}{dx} e^{-itH}$ as before. Fixing $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap D(X^2)$, we use the above to find d

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\langle \psi, (F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) + 1)\psi \rangle = 2\langle G_{\varepsilon}(X(t))\psi, D(t)\psi \rangle
$$

where we note that $G_{\varepsilon}(y) = 4F_{\varepsilon}(y)^{1/2} \frac{y}{(1+\varepsilon y^4)^{3/2}}$ and so, setting $g_{\varepsilon}(y) = \frac{y^2}{(1+\varepsilon y^4)^{3/2}}$ $\frac{y}{(1+\varepsilon y^4)^3}$ we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi, (F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) + 1)\psi \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\leq 8 \langle \psi, F_{\varepsilon}(X(t))\psi \rangle^{1/2} \langle D(t)\psi, g_{\varepsilon}(X(t))D(t)\psi \rangle^{1/2}
$$
\n(5.4)

by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

We note that since $g_{\varepsilon} \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, for $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
g_{\varepsilon}(X)Df = Dg_{\varepsilon}(X)f + i(\partial_x g_{\varepsilon})(X)f
$$

by the product rule. Thus, since e^{-itH} : $H^2(\mathbb{R}) \to H^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
\langle D(t)\psi, g_{\varepsilon}(X(t))D(t)\psi\rangle
$$

= $\langle D(t)\psi, D(t)g_{\varepsilon}(X(t))\psi\rangle + i\langle D(t)\psi, (\partial_x g_{\varepsilon})(X(t))\psi\rangle,$

and so by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality again

$$
\langle D(t)\psi, g_{\varepsilon}(X(t))D(t)\psi \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\leq (\|H_0^{1/2}e^{-iHt}\psi\|^2 + \|H_0e^{-iHt}\psi\|^2)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\cdot (\|g_{\varepsilon}(X)e^{-iHt}\psi\|^2 + \|(\partial_X g_{\varepsilon}(X))e^{-iHt}\psi\|^2)^{1/2}.
$$

We compute

$$
\left| \frac{\partial_y g_{\varepsilon}(y)}{2F_{\varepsilon}(y)^{1/4}} \right| = \left| \frac{(1 + \varepsilon y^4)^{1/4}}{2y} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{2y - 10\varepsilon y^5}{(1 + \varepsilon y^4)^4} \right|
$$

$$
= \left| \frac{1 - 5\varepsilon y^4}{(1 + \varepsilon y^4)^{15/4}} \right| \le \frac{1 + 5\varepsilon y^4}{1 + \varepsilon y^4}
$$

$$
\le 1 + \frac{5\varepsilon y^4}{1 + \varepsilon y^4} \le 6;
$$

yielding $\partial_{\nu} g_{\varepsilon}(\nu)^2 \leq 144 F_{\varepsilon}(\nu)^{1/2}$. Thus,

$$
||(\partial_x g_{\varepsilon}(X))e^{-iHt}\psi||^2 \leq 144\langle \psi, F_{\varepsilon}(X(t))^{1/2}\psi \rangle.
$$

 \blacksquare

We also have $g_{\varepsilon}(y)^2 \leq F_{\varepsilon}(y)$, which implies

$$
||g_{\varepsilon}(X)e^{-iHt}\psi||^2 \leq \langle \psi, F_{\varepsilon}(X(t))\psi \rangle,
$$

so that

$$
\|(\partial_x g_{\varepsilon}(X))e^{-iHt}\psi\|^2 + \|g_{\varepsilon}(X)e^{-iHt}\psi\|^2 \leq 288\langle \psi, (F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) + 1)\psi \rangle,
$$

by the elementary inequality $(y + \sqrt{y}) \le 2(1 + y)$.

The inequality (5.3) and the form bound (5.2) yields

$$
||H_0^{1/2}e^{-iHt}\psi||^2 + ||H_0e^{-iHt}\psi||^2 \le (1+2R)||\psi||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 2(1+2R)^2||\psi||_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2
$$

$$
\le 4(1+2R)^2||\psi||_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.
$$

Combining the above inequalities to estimate [\(5.4\)](#page-34-0), with

$$
A(R) = 2^{19/4}\sqrt{3}(1+2R)^{1/2}
$$

we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi, (F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) + 1)\psi \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\leq 8 \langle \psi, F_{\varepsilon}(X(t))\psi \rangle^{1/2} \langle D(t)\psi, g_{\varepsilon}(X(t))D(t)\psi \rangle^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq A(R) \|\psi\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2} \langle \psi, F_{\varepsilon}(X(t))\psi \rangle^{1/2} \langle \psi, (F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) + 1)\psi \rangle^{1/4}
$$
\n
$$
\leq A(R) \|\psi\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2} \langle \psi, (F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) + 1)\psi \rangle^{3/4},
$$

and integrating the inequality yields

$$
\langle \psi, (F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)))\psi \rangle^{1/4}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \langle \psi, (F_{\varepsilon}(X(t)) + 1)\psi \rangle^{1/4}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \langle \psi, F_{\varepsilon}(0)\psi \rangle^{1/4} + \frac{A(R)}{4} ||\psi||_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1/2} |t|.
$$

Taking $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ in the above inequality and using the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$
||X^{2}e^{-itH}\psi||^{1/2} \leq ||X^{2}\psi||^{1/2} + \frac{A(R)}{4}||\psi||^{1/2}_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R})}|t|.
$$

Squaring and applying some elementary inequalities, [\(5.1\)](#page-32-2) follows.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for their careful reading of this manuscript. Their insightful comments led to a modification in the presentation of the Floquet transform, and subsequent simplifications in the proofs in the third section of this paper.

Funding. Giorgio Young was supported in part by NSF grant DMS–1745670.

References

- [1] J. Asch and A. Knauf, [Motion in periodic potentials.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/11/1/011) *Nonlinearity* 11 (1998), no. 1, 175–200 Zbl [0896.34027](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0896.34027) MR [1492956](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1492956)
- [2] A. Avila and S. Jitomirskaya, [Almost localization and almost reducibility.](https://doi.org/10.4171/JEMS/191) *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 12 (2010), no. 1, 93–131 Zbl [1185.47028](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1185.47028) MR [2578605](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2578605)
- [3] J. Avron and B. Simon, [Almost periodic Schrödinger operators. I. Limit periodic poten](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01206947)[tials.](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01206947) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 82 (1981/82), no. 1, 101–120 Zbl [0484.35069](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0484.35069) MR [638515](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=638515)
- [4] J. Bellissard and H. Schulz-Baldes, [Subdiffusive quantum transport for 3D Hamiltonians](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018613227308) [with absolutely continuous spectra.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018613227308) *J. Statist. Phys.* **99** (2000), no. 1-2, 587–594 Zbl [0962.82030](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0962.82030) MR [1762667](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1762667)
- [5] J. Bourgain, [Anderson localization for quasi-periodic lattice Schrödinger operators on](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-007-0610-2) \mathbb{Z}^d , d [arbitrary.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-007-0610-2) *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 17 (2007), no. 3, 682–706 Zbl [1152.82311](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1152.82311) MR [2346272](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2346272)
- [6] J. Bourgain and M. Goldstein, [On nonperturbative localization with quasi-periodic poten](https://doi.org/10.2307/2661356)[tial.](https://doi.org/10.2307/2661356) *Ann. of Math. (2)* 152 (2000), no. 3, 835–879 Zbl [1053.39035](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1053.39035) MR [1815703](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1815703)
- [7] J. Bourgain, M. Goldstein, and W. Schlag, [Anderson localization for Schrödinger operat](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392795)ors on Z² [with quasi-periodic potential.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392795) *Acta Math.* 188 (2002), no. 1, 41–86 Zbl [1022.47023](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1022.47023) MR [1947458](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1947458)
- [8] J. Bourgain and S. Jitomirskaya, [Continuity of the Lyapunov exponent for quasiperiodic](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019751801035) [operators with analytic potential.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019751801035) *J. Statist. Phys.* 108 (2002), no. 5-6, 1203–1218 Zbl [1039.81019](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1039.81019) MR [1933451](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1933451)
- [9] V. A. Chulaevskii, [Perturbations of a Schrödinger operator with periodic potential.](https://doi.org/10.1070/rm1981v036n05abeh003036) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 36 (1981), no. 5(221), 203–204; English translation, *Russian Math. Surveys* 36 (1981), no. 5, 143–144 Zbl [0487.34021](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0487.34021) (Russian) Zbl [0502.34017](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0502.34017) (transl.) MR [637459](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=637459)
- [10] J.-M. Combes, [Connections between quantum dynamics and spectral properties of time](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-5392(08)62372-3)[evolution operators.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-5392(08)62372-3) In *Differential equations with applications to mathematical physics*, pp. 59–68, Math. Sci. Engrg. 192, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1993 Zbl [0797.35136](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0797.35136) MR [1207148](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1207148)
- [11] D. Damanik, [Schrödinger operators with dynamically defined potentials.](https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2015.120) *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 37 (2017), no. 6, 1681–1764 Zbl [06823048](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:06823048) MR [3681983](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3681983)
- [12] D. Damanik and Z. Gan, [Limit-periodic Schrödinger operators with uniformly localized](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11854-011-0022-y) [eigenfunctions.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11854-011-0022-y) *J. Anal. Math.* 115 (2011), 33–49 Zbl [1314.47053](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1314.47053) MR [2855032](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2855032)
- [13] D. Damanik and Z. Gan, [Limit-periodic Schrödinger operators on](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2013.05.020) \mathbb{Z}^d : uniform localiza[tion.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2013.05.020) *J. Funct. Anal.* 265 (2013), no. 3, 435–448 Zbl [1285.35005](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1285.35005)
- [14] D. Damanik and A. Gorodetski, [An extension of the Kunz-Souillard approach to local](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2016.04.006)[ization in one dimension and applications to almost-periodic Schrödinger operators.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2016.04.006) *Adv. Math.* 297 (2016), 149–173 Zbl [1344.47021](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1344.47021) MR [3498796](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3498796)
- [15] D. Damanik, M. Lukic, and W. Yessen, [Quantum dynamics of periodic and limit-periodic](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2346-4) [Jacobi and block Jacobi matrices with applications to some quantum many body problems.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2346-4) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 337 (2015), no. 3, 1535–1561 Zbl [1332.82027](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1332.82027) MR [3339185](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3339185)
- [16] J. Fillman, [Ballistic transport for limit-periodic Jacobi matrices with applications to](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-016-2785-6) [quantum many-body problems.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-016-2785-6) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 350 (2017), no. 3, 1275–1297 Zbl [1359.81179](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1359.81179) MR [3607475](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3607475)
- [17] J. Fillman and M. Lukic, [Spectral homogeneity of limit-periodic Schrödinger operators.](https://doi.org/10.4171/JST/166) *J. Spectr. Theory* 7 (2017), no. 2, 387–406 Zbl [1378.34097](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1378.34097) MR [3662012](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3662012)
- [18] J. Fröhlich, T. Spencer, and P. Wittwer, [Localization for a class of one-dimensional quasi](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02277997)[periodic Schrödinger operators.](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02277997) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 132 (1990), no. 1, 5–25 Zbl [0722.34070](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0722.34070) MR [1069198](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1069198)
- [19] L. Ge and I. Kachkovskiy, [Ballistic transport for one-dimensional quasiperiodic Schrö](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.22078)[dinger operators.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.22078) 2020, arXiv[:2009.02896,](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.02896) to appear in *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*
- [20] I. Guarneri, [Spectral properties of quantum diffusion on discrete lattices.](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1209/0295-5075/10/2/001) *Europhysics Lett.* 10 (1989), no. 2, 95–100
- [21] I. Guarneri, [On an estimate concerning quantum diffusion in the presence of a fractional](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/21/7/003) [spectrum.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/21/7/003) *Europhysics Lett.* 21 (1993), no. 7, 729–733
- [22] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *[Functional analysis and semi-groups](https://doi.org/10.1090/coll/031)*. Colloq. Publ. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1957 Zbl [0392.46001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0392.46001) MR [0089373](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0089373)
- [23] I. Kachkovskiy, [On transport properties of isotropic quasiperiodic](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-015-2474-x) XY spin chains. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 345 (2016), no. 2, 659–673 Zbl [1352.82016](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1352.82016) MR [3514955](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3514955)
- [24] Y. Karpeshina, Y.-R. Lee, R. Shterenberg, and G. Stolz, [Ballistic transport for the](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-017-2911-0) [Schrödinger operator with limit-periodic or quasi-periodic potential in dimension two.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-017-2911-0) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 354 (2017), no. 1, 85–113 Zbl [1371.35238](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1371.35238) MR [3656513](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3656513)
- [25] T. Kato, *[Perturbation theory for linear operators](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-66282-9)*. Classics Math., Springer, Berlin, 1995 Zbl [0836.47009](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0836.47009) MR [1335452](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1335452)
- [26] A. Kiselev and Y. Last, [Solutions, spectrum, and dynamics for Schrödinger operators on](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-00-10215-3) [infinite domains.](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-00-10215-3) *Duke Math. J.* 102 (2000), no. 1, 125–150 Zbl [0951.35033](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0951.35033) MR [1741780](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1741780)
- [27] P. Kuchment, [An overview of periodic elliptic operators.](https://doi.org/10.1090/bull/1528) *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 53 (2016), no. 3, 343–414 Zbl [1346.35170](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1346.35170) MR [3501794](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3501794)
- [28] Y. Last, [Quantum dynamics and decompositions of singular continuous spectra.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1996.0155) *J. Funct. Anal.* 142 (1996), no. 2, 406–445 Zbl [0905.47059](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0905.47059) MR [1423040](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1423040)
- [29] J. Moser, [An example of a Schroedinger equation with almost periodic potential and](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02566210) [nowhere dense spectrum.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02566210) *Comment. Math. Helv.* 56 (1981), no. 2, 198–224 Zbl [0477.34018](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0477.34018) MR [630951](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=630951)
- [30] L. Pastur and A. Figotin, *[Spectra of random and almost-periodic operators](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-74346-7)*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 297, Springer, Berlin, 1992 Zbl [0752.47002](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0752.47002) MR [1223779](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1223779)
- [31] L. A. Pastur and V. A. Tkachenko, On the spectral theory of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with limit-periodic potential. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 279 (1984), no. 5, 1050–1053; *Sov. Math., Dokl.* 30 (1984), 773–776 Zbl [0596.34010](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0596.34010) MR [796729](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=796729)
- [32] L. A. Pastur and V. A. Tkachenko, Spectral theory of a class of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with limit-periodic potentials. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* 51 (1988), 114–168, 258; English translation, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* (1989), 115–166. Zbl [0709.34064](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0709.34064) MR [983634](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=983634)
- [33] J. Pöschel, [Examples of discrete Schrödinger operators with pure point spectrum.](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01211953) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 88 (1983), no. 4, 447–463 Zbl [0532.35070](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0532.35070) MR [702563](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=702563)
- [34] C. Radin and B. Simon, [Invariant domains for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(78)90127-4) *J. Differential Equations* 29 (1978), no. 2, 289–296 Zbl [0351.34004](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0351.34004) MR [502354](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=502354)
- [35] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics.* II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness. Academic Press, New York and London, 1975 Zbl [0308.47002](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0308.47002) MR [0493420](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0493420)
- [36] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics.* III. Scattering theory. Academic Press, New York and London, 1979 Zbl [0405.47007](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0405.47007) MR [529429](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=529429)
- [37] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics.* IV. Analysis of operators. Academic Press, New York London, 1978 Zbl [0401.47001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0401.47001) MR [493421](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=493421)
- [38] B. Simon, [Almost periodic Schrödinger operators: a review.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8858(82)80018-3) *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 3 (1982), no. 4, 463–490 Zbl [0545.34023](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0545.34023) MR [682631](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=682631)
- [39] B. Simon, [Absence of ballistic motion.](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02102095) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 134 (1990), no. 1, 209–212 Zbl [0711.34102](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0711.34102) MR [1079806](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1079806)
- [40] Y. G. Sini, [Anderson localization for one-dimensional difference Schrödinger operator](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01011146) [with quasiperiodic potential.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01011146) *J. Statist. Phys.* 46 (1987), no. 5-6, 861–909 Zbl [0682.34023](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0682.34023) MR [893122](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=893122)
- [41] Z. Zhang and Z. Zhao, [Ballistic transport and absolute continuity of one-frequency](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-017-2848-3) [Schrödinger operators.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-017-2848-3) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 351 (2017), no. 3, 877–921 Zbl [1364.34125](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1364.34125) MR [3623240](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3623240)
- [42] Z. Zhao, [Ballistic motion in one-dimensional quasi-periodic discrete Schrödinger equa](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-016-2605-z)[tion.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-016-2605-z) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 347 (2016), no. 2, 511–549 Zbl [1366.34019](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1366.34019) MR [3545515](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3545515)
- [43] Z. Zhao, [Ballistic transport in one-dimensional quasi-periodic continuous Schrödinger](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.12.011) [equation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.12.011) *J. Differential Equations* 262 (2017), no. 9, 4523–4566 Zbl [1360.35217](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1360.35217) MR [3608164](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3608164)

Received 16 May 2022; revised 29 March 2023.

Giorgio Young

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, East Hall, 530 Church St., Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA; gfyoung@umich.edu