

## Pseudo differential operators in Hardy-Triebel spaces

L. PÄIVÄRINTA

Es wird bewiesen, daß Pseudodifferentialoperatoren der Klasse  $L_{\rho,\delta}^0$ ,  $\rho = 1$  und  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , in den Triebelschen Räumen  $F_{p,q}^s$  stetig sind.

В статье доказано, что при  $\rho = 1$  и  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  псевдодифференциальные операторы класса  $L_{\rho,\delta}^0$  непрерывны в пространствах Трибеля  $F_{p,q}^s$ .

Pseudo differential operators of class  $L_{\rho,\delta}^0$ ,  $\rho = 1$  and  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , are proved to be continuous in Triebel spaces  $F_{p,q}^s$ .

### 0. Introduction

Several results concerning the boundedness of pseudo differential operators in function spaces are known: From the results of HÖRMANDER [5, 6], CALDERON and VAILLANCOURT [2], and CHING [3] it follows that operators of class  $L_{\rho,\delta}^0$  (cf. Chapter 1) are bounded in  $L_2$  if and only if  $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$  and  $(0, 0) \neq (\rho, \delta) \neq (1, 1)$ . ILLNER [7] proved the boundedness of operators of class  $L_{1,\delta}^0$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , in  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

In this paper we consider the Triebel spaces  $F_{p,q}^s$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . For the definition see Chapter 2. These spaces contain many classical spaces as special cases: For  $1 < p < \infty$  we have  $F_{p,2}^s = H_p^s$ , the Bessel-potential spaces. If  $s \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  these are the usual Sobolev spaces. For  $0 < p < 1$  we obtain the local Hardy spaces  $h_p = F_{p,2}^0$  of GOLDBERG [4]. This was proved by BUI HUY QUI in [1].

Pseudo differential operators in Triebel spaces have previously been considered in [1] and [8]. The first result in this direction was due to GOLDBERG [4] who proved that the operators in  $L_{1,0}^0$  are bounded in  $h_p$  (cf. also [9]). Bui Huy Qui extended this to  $F_{p,q}^s$ . Recently NILSSON [8] proved that also operators of class  $L_{1,\delta}^0$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , are bounded in  $h_p$ . Via interpolation he also succeeded to generalize this to  $F_{p,q}^s$ . However, his result contains some unnatural restrictions on the parameters  $p$  and  $q$ . The aim of this paper is to remove these restrictions and thus prove the following: Let  $T \in L_{1,\delta}^m$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ,  $-\infty < m < \infty$ . Then for all  $0 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $-\infty < s < \infty$

$$T : F_{p,q}^s \rightarrow F_{p,q}^{s-m}.$$

From this we get the above mentioned results of Illner, Goldberg, Bui Huy Qui and Nilsson as special cases. For further generalizations see Remark 3.7 in Chapter 3.

### 1. Definition of a pseudo differential operator

Let  $r$  be a polynomially bounded measurable complex valued function in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ . The pseudo differential operator  $r(x, D)$  with symbol  $r$  is defined by the formula

$$r(x, D) f(x) = \int e^{ix\xi} r(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad f \in S, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $S$  denotes the Schwartz space in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$  (integrals without any integration limits are taken over all  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ). We say that  $r$  belongs to the class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$  if for each multi-index  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  there is a constant  $c_{\alpha, \beta}$  such that

$$|D_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} r(x, \xi)| \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m + \delta|\beta| - \rho|\alpha|}$$

holds for all  $x$  and  $\xi$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If  $r \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ , then the corresponding pseudo differential operator  $r(x, D)$  is said to be in class  $L_{\rho, \delta}^m$ . If  $r(x, D) \in L_{\rho, \delta}^m$ , then, clearly, it maps  $S$  continuously into itself. Hence we may extend it to a continuous operator from  $S'$  into  $S'$  by the formula

$$\langle r(x, D)f, \varphi \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle$$

where  $\varphi \in S$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}(\xi) = \int e^{ix\xi} r(x, \xi) \varphi(x) dx$ . By  $S'$  we mean, of course, the space of tempered distributions in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , the dual of  $S$ .

## 2. Function spaces

To define the Triebel spaces  $F_{p, q}^s$  and the Besov spaces  $B_{p, q}^s$  we choose a sequence of test functions  $(\varphi_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$  with the properties:

$$\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset \{ \xi \mid |\xi| \leq 2 \},$$

$$\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset \{ \xi \mid 2^{k-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1} \}, \quad k \in \mathbf{N},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(\xi) = 1, \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

and for any multi-index  $\alpha$  there is a constant  $c_{\alpha}$  such that

$$|D^{\alpha} \varphi_k(\xi)| \leq c_{\alpha} 2^{-|\alpha|k}.$$

For  $0 < p, q < \infty$  and  $-\infty < s < \infty$  we define  $F_{p, q}^s$  to be the space of all  $f \in S'$  such that

$$\|f\|_{F_{p, q}^s} := \| (2^{sk} \varphi_k(D) f)_{k=0}^{\infty} \|_{L_p(L_q)} < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Notice that according to our notation (1.1)  $\varphi_k(D) f = F^{-1}(\varphi_k \hat{f})$ , where  $F$  stands for Fourier transform in  $S'$  and  $\hat{f} = Ff$ . By the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{L_p(L_q)}$  we mean

$$\|(f_k)\|_{L_p(L_q)} = \left( \int \left( \sum_k |f_k(x)|^q \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

If we change the roles of  $\| \cdot \|_{L_q}$  and  $\| \cdot \|_{L_p}$  in the right hand side of (2.1) we get the Besov spaces  $B_{p, q}^s$  consisting of those  $f \in S'$  for which

$$\|f\|_{B_{p, q}^s} := \| (2^{sk} \varphi_k(D) f)_{k=0}^{\infty} \|_{L_q(L_p)} < \infty.$$

**Remark 2.1:** For the properties of  $F_{p, q}^s$  and  $B_{p, q}^s$  see [10, 14, 15]. We only mention that different choices of the sequence  $(\varphi_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$  lead to equivalent (quasi) norms. For simplicity we also assume that  $\varphi_k(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-k+1}\xi)$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\varphi = \varphi_1$  is an appropriate function and that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(\xi) \equiv 1$ .

**Remark 2.2:** Below we shall need another sequence of test functions  $(\psi_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$  with  $\psi_k(\xi) = \psi(2^{-k}\xi)$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\psi$  is chosen so that

$$\psi_k(\xi) = 1, \quad \text{for } \xi \in \text{supp } \varphi_k \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp } \psi_k \subset \{ \xi \mid 2^{k-2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+2} \}$$

(with natural modification for  $k = 0$ ). It is not hard to see that the use of this sequence instead of  $(\varphi_k)_{k=s}^\infty$  in the definition of  $F_{p,q}^s$  and  $B_{p,q}^s$  leads to the same spaces and equivalent (quasi) norms (cf. [15: Chapter 2.1]).

Remark 2.3: We recall the following interpolation theorem:

$$B_{p,q}^s = (F_{p,2}^{s_0}, F_{p,2}^{s_1})_{\theta,q}, \quad s = (1 - \theta) s_0 + \theta s_1, \quad s_0 \neq s_1.$$

For this result see [14: p. 72].

### 3. $F_{p,q}^s$ — estimates for pseudo differential operators

We start with the following result.

Theorem 3.1: Let  $r \in S_{1,\delta}^{0,\beta}$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  and  $T = r(x, D)$  be the corresponding pseudo differential operator. Suppose additionally that  $r(x, \xi)$  has compact support in  $x$ . Then

$$T : F_{p,q}^s \rightarrow F_{p,q}^s \quad \text{for } 0 < p, \quad q < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

More precisely, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\|Tf\|_{F_{p,q}^s} \leq c \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $c$  only depends on  $p, q, n, \delta, s$  and on the Lebesgue measure of  $\text{supp}_x r(x, \xi)$ .

Proof: For simplicity we suppose that  $s = 0$ . The general case follows similarly. We recall the Leibniz rule

$$\varphi_j(D) r(x, D) \sim \sum_{\beta} \frac{i^{-|\beta|}}{\beta!} r_{(\beta)}(x, D) \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(D). \tag{3.3}$$

Here  $r_{(\beta)}(x, \xi) = (iD_x)^\beta r(x, \xi)$ ,  $\varphi_j^{(\beta)}(\xi) = (iD_\xi)^\beta \varphi_j(\xi)$  and  $\sim$  means that the operators coincide modulo a smoothing operator (cf. [13]).

Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . In the spirit of (3.3) we start with the expression

$$r_{(\beta)}(x, D) \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(D) f(x). \tag{3.4}$$

Since  $\varphi_j(\xi) = 1$  in  $\text{supp } \varphi_j$  this is equal to  $r_{(\beta)}(x, D) \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(D) f(x)$ . By denoting  $\varphi_j^{(\beta)}(D) f$  by  $f_j$  we obtain

$$r_{(\beta)}(x, D) \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(D) f(x) = \int K_{(\beta)}^j(x, y) f_j(y) dy$$

where

$$K_{(\beta)}^j(x, y) = \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} r_{(\beta)}(x, \xi) \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(\xi) d\xi. \tag{3.5}$$

In the following lemma we estimate the kernel  $K_{(\beta)}^j(x, y)$ .

Lemma 3.2: For all  $\lambda > 0$  there exists a constant  $c = c_{\lambda,\beta}$  such that

$$|K_{(\beta)}^j(x, y)| \leq c \frac{2^{j|\alpha|}}{(1 - 2^j|x - y|)^\lambda}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof: Let first  $j > 0$ . Integrating (3.5) by parts one obtains for every multi-index  $\alpha$

$$|(x - y)^\alpha K_{(\beta)}^j(x, y)| = \left| \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} D_\xi^\alpha [r_{(\beta)}(x, \xi) \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(\xi)] d\xi \right|.$$

Hence by using the Leibniz rule we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(x - y)^\alpha K_{(\beta)}^j(x, y)| &\leq c_\alpha \int_{\gamma \leq \alpha} |\tau_{(\beta)}^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi)| D_\xi^{\alpha-\gamma} \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq c_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \int (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\gamma| + \delta|\beta|} 2^{-j|\alpha + \beta - \gamma|} (D_\xi^{\alpha + \beta - \gamma} \varphi)(2^{-j}\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq c_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{jn} (1 + 2^j)^{\delta|\beta|} 2^{-j|\alpha + \beta|} \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{jn} 2^{-j|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have for all  $\lambda > 0$

$$|(x - y)^\lambda |K_{(\beta)}^j(x, y)| \leq c_{\lambda, \beta} 2^{jn} 2^{-j\lambda}. \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand it is clear that

$$|K_{(\beta)}^j(x, y)| \leq c 2^{jn}. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus we have proved the lemma for  $j > 0$ . Evidently the claim holds also for  $j = 0$  ■

We turn back to the proof of the theorem. From Lemma 3.2 we get the following estimate for (3.4)

$$|\tau_{(\beta)}(x, D) \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(D) f(x)| \leq c \int \frac{2^{jn}}{(1 + 2^j|x - y|)^\lambda} f_j(y) dy.$$

By introducing the Fefferman-Stein maximal function  $f_j^*$ ,

$$f_j^*(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f_j(y)| (1 + 2^j|x - y|)^{-\mu}, \quad \mu > \frac{n}{\min(p, q)}$$

we obtain

$$|\tau_{(\beta)}(x, D) \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(D) f(x)| \leq c f_j^*(x).$$

Here we have taken  $\lambda > \mu + n$ .

Next we search for  $\varphi_j(D) r(x, D)$  an expression similar to (3.3) and write

$$\varphi_j(D) r(x, D) f(x) := \sum_{|\beta| < N} \frac{i^{-|\beta|}}{\beta!} r_{(\beta)}(x, D) \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(D) f(x) + R_j^N(x) := g_j^0(x) + g_j^1(x).$$

For the sequence  $(g_j^0)_{j=0}^\infty$  we get

$$\|(g_j^0(x))_{j=0}^\infty\|_{L_p(U_0)} \leq c \|(f_j^*(x))_{j=0}^\infty\|_{L_p(U_0)} \leq c \|(f_j(x))_{j=0}^\infty\|_{L_p(U_0)}.$$

The last inequality follows from a maximal inequality of Fefferman and Stein (cf. [11] or [15: p. 47]). Consequently

$$\|(g_j^0(x))_{j=0}^\infty\|_{L_p(U_0)} \leq c \|f\|_{F_{p, q}^0}.$$

It remains to show the corresponding estimate for the remainder  $R_j^N f$ . Clearly, we may write

$$R_j^N f(x) = \int e^{ix(\eta + \xi)} \hat{f}(\xi) p_j^N(\eta, \xi) d\xi d\eta$$

where

$$p_j^N(\eta, \xi) = \hat{r}(\eta, \xi) \left( \varphi_j(\eta + \xi) - \sum_{|\beta| < N} \frac{i^{-|\beta|}}{\beta!} \varphi_j^{(\beta)}(\xi) \eta^\beta \right) \tag{3.9}$$

and  $\hat{r}(\eta, \xi)$  is the Fourier transform of  $r(x, \xi)$  with respect to  $x$ . In order to write (3.9) in a more convenient form we recall that  $\sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \varphi_\nu(\xi) \equiv 1$  and that  $\varphi_\nu(\xi) = 1$  if  $\xi$  is in

the support of  $\varphi_r$ . This provides us with the formula

$$R_j^N f(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \int e^{i(x-v)\xi} \varphi_r(\xi) q_j^N(x, \xi) f_v(y) dy d\xi \tag{3.10}$$

where  $f_v = \psi_v(D) f$  and  $q_j^N(x, \xi) = \int e^{ix\eta} p_j^N(\eta, \xi) d\eta$ . In the following lemma we estimate the symbol  $q_j^N(x, \xi)$ .

Lemma 3.3: For each multi-index  $\alpha$  and  $L > 0$  there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and a constant  $c = C_{\alpha,L}$  such that

$$|D_\xi^\alpha q_j^N(x, \xi)| \leq c 2^{-j} (1 + |\xi|)^{-L}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof: According to Leibniz's rule we have

$$|D_\xi^\alpha p_j^N(\eta, \xi)| \leq c \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} |D_\xi^\gamma \hat{r}(\eta, \xi)| \left| \varphi_j^{(\alpha-\gamma)}(\eta + \xi) - \sum_{|\beta| < N} \frac{\eta^{-|\beta|}}{\beta!} \varphi_j^{(\alpha-\gamma+\beta)}(\xi) \eta^\beta \right|.$$

By using the Lagrange remainder term in Taylor's formula we obtain

$$|D_\xi^\alpha p_j^N(\eta, \xi)| \leq c \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} |D_\xi^\gamma \hat{r}(\eta, \xi)| \left| \sum_{|\beta|=N} \varphi_j^{(\alpha-\gamma+\beta)}(\xi + \theta_\gamma \eta) \eta^\beta \right|,$$

where  $0 > \theta_\gamma < 1$ ,  $\gamma \leq \alpha$ . But because  $r(x, \xi)$  has compact support in  $x$  it can easily be seen (cf. [5: Lemma 2.3]) that for each  $M > 0$

$$|D_\xi^\gamma \hat{r}(\eta, \xi)| \leq C_M (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\gamma|+\delta M} (1 + |\eta|)^{-M}. \tag{3.12}$$

Thus we can estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\xi^\alpha p_j^N(\eta, \xi)| &\leq c \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} |D_\xi^\gamma \hat{r}(\eta, \xi)| 2^{-j(N+|\alpha-\gamma|)} |\eta|^N \\ &\leq C_M \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\gamma|+\delta M} (1 + |\eta|)^{-M+N} 2^{-j(N+|\alpha-\gamma|)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We assume from now on that  $j > 0$ . The case  $j = 0$  follows in the same manner. We also consider the two cases  $|\xi| > 2|\eta|$  and  $|\xi| \leq 2|\eta|$  separately. Let us first assume that  $|\xi| > 2|\eta|$ . In this case we have

$$\frac{1}{2} |\xi| < |\xi + \theta\eta| < 2|\xi| \tag{3.14}$$

for every  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ . By taking into account this and the fact that  $2^{j-1} \leq |\xi + \theta\eta| < 2^{j+1}$  we see that  $|\xi| \sim 2^j$ . Thus we get from (3.13)

$$|D_\xi^\alpha p_j^N(\eta, \xi)| \leq c (1 + |\xi|)^{-L+n} 2^{-j} (1 + |\xi|)^{n-|\alpha|+L+\delta M-N+1} (1 + |\eta|)^{N-M}. \tag{3.15}$$

By taking first  $M$  large (e.g.  $(1 - \delta)M > L + n + 1$ ) and afterwards  $N$  we see that

$$(1 + |\xi|)^{n-|\alpha|+L+\delta M-N+1} (1 + |\eta|)^{N-M} \leq c (1 + |\eta|)^{-|\alpha|+L+1+(\delta-1)M} \leq c$$

and hence we obtain

$$|D_\xi^\alpha p_j^N(\eta, \xi)| \leq c (1 + |\xi|)^{-L-n} 2^{-j}. \tag{3.16}$$

On the other hand if  $|\xi| \leq 2|\eta|$  we get from (3.13)

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\xi^\alpha p_j^N(\eta, \xi)| &\leq c (1 + |\xi|)^{-L} (1 + |\eta|)^{(\delta-1)M+L+N} 2^{-j} \\ &\leq c (1 + |\xi|)^{-L} (1 + |\eta|)^{-(n+1)} 2^{-j} \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for  $M$  large enough.

To end up the proof of the lemma we conclude from (3.16) and (3.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\eta| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\xi|} |D^\alpha p_j^N(\eta, \xi)| d\eta + \int_{|\eta| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\xi|} |D^\alpha p_j^N(\eta, \xi)| d\eta \\ & \leq c|\xi|^n (1 + |\xi|)^{-L-n} 2^{-j} + (1 + |\xi|)^{-L} 2^{-j} \int (1 + |\eta|)^{-n-1} d\eta \\ & \leq c(1 + |\xi|)^{-L} 2^{-j} \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired estimate ■

To complete the proof of the theorem we write (3.10) as follows

$$|R_j^N f(x)| \leq \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \int \varphi_\nu(D) f(y) K_\nu^j(x, y) dy \right|$$

where

$$K^j(x, y) = \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} q_j^N(x, \xi) \psi_\nu(\xi) d\xi.$$

By taking  $L = \lambda + 1$  in Lemma 3.3 we obtain for all  $\lambda > 0$  that

$$K_\nu^j(x, y) \leq c \frac{2^{-j} 2^{n\lambda} 2^{-\nu}}{(1 + 2^\nu |x - y|)^\lambda}.$$

Thus we have the estimate

$$|R_j^N f(x)| \leq c 2^{-j} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\nu} f_\nu^*(x).$$

Obviously for  $0 < q \leq 1$

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\nu} f_\nu^*(x) \leq c \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |f_\nu^*(x)|^q \right)^{1/q}. \tag{3.18}$$

For  $1 < q < \infty$ , (3.18) follows from Hölder's inequality. Hence for any  $0 < q < \infty$

$$\| (R_j^N f(x))_{j=0}^{\infty} \|_{l_q} \leq c \| (f_\nu^*(x))_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \|_{l_q}$$

and finally the Fefferman-Stein maximal inequality yields (take  $\lambda$  large enough)

$$\| (R_j^N f(x))_{j=0}^{\infty} \|_{L_p(U_\sigma)} \leq c \| f \|_{F_{p,q}^\sigma}.$$

This gives (3.2) and consequently the proof is complete ■

In the following theorem we are going to abandon the restriction made on  $\text{supp } r$ .

**Theorem 3.4:** *Let  $T = r(x, D)$  be in  $L_{1,\delta}^0$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . Then for all  $0 < p, q < \infty$  and  $s$  sufficiently large  $T : F_{p,q}^s \rightarrow F_{p,q}^s$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\varphi$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function supported in  $|x| \leq 1$ . Furthermore, let  $\psi$  be another  $C^\infty$ -function with  $\psi(x) = 1$  in  $|x| \leq 2$  and  $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{x \mid |x| \leq 4\}$ . We put  $\varphi_k(x) = \varphi(x - g_k)$  and  $\psi_k(x) = \psi(x - g_k)$  where  $g_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , goes through all the lattice points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We also assume that  $\sum \varphi_k \equiv 1$ . Because of the known local representation of  $F_{p,q}^s$ -spaces [16] we have

$$\| u \|_{F_{p,q}^s}^p \sim \sum_k \| \varphi_k u \|_{F_{p,q}^s}^p \sim \sum_k \| \psi_k u \|_{F_{p,q}^s}^p \tag{3.20}$$

for  $s$  large enough.

Now we break up  $T$  into two parts,  $T = T_0 + T_1$ , where  $T_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k T \psi_k$ . By using 3.20) we obtain

$$\|T_0 u\|_{F_{p,q}^s}^p \leq c \sum_j \|\varphi_j T_0 u\|_{F_{p,q}^s}^p \leq c \sum_j \left\| \sum_k \varphi_j \varphi_k T \psi_k u \right\|_{F_{p,q}^s}^p$$

and hence by Theorem 3.1

$$\|T_0 u\|_{F_{p,q}^s}^p \leq c \sum_j \|\psi_j u\|_{F_{p,q}^s}^p \sim c \|u\|_{F_{p,q}^s}^p$$

To estimate  $T_1$  write  $\chi_k = 1 - \psi_k$  and  $T_1 = \sum \varphi_k T \chi_k$ . Let  $K(x, \cdot)$  denote the Fourier transform of  $r(x, \xi)$ , with respect to  $\xi$ . We get

$$T(\chi_k u)(x) = \int K(x, x - z) \chi_k(z) u(z) dz. \tag{3.21}$$

If  $\varphi_k(x) T(\chi_k u)(x) = 0$  we must have  $|x - z| \geq 1$  in (3.21). Thus we may assume that  $K(x, z) = 0$  for  $|z| \leq 1/2$ . Note that this also means a modification to  $r(x, \xi)$ . But, for  $|\gamma|$  sufficiently large  $|z^\gamma D_x^\beta D_z^\alpha K(x, z)| \leq c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  and hence we obtain for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|D_x^\beta D_z^\alpha K(x, z)| \leq c_{\alpha\beta N} (1 + |z|)^{-N}$$

Consequently, we also have

$$|D_x^\beta D_\xi^\alpha r(x, \xi)| \leq c_{\alpha\beta N} (1 + |\xi|)^{-N}$$

for each  $N$  and therefore  $r(x, D) \in L_{1,0}^{-\infty}$  and  $T_1 \in L_{1,0}^{-\infty}$ . Thus  $T_1 : F_{p,q}^s \rightarrow F_{p,q}^s$  which proves the theorem. ■

Having now done all the hard work we may prove the following assertion.

**Theorem 3.5:** *Let  $T = r(x, D)$  be a pseudo differential operator of class  $L_{1,\delta}^m$ ,  $-\infty < m < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ . Then for all  $0 < p, q < \infty$  and  $-\infty < s < \infty$*

$$T : F_{p,q}^s \rightarrow F_{p,q}^{s-m}$$

**Proof:** The claim follows reading from the following basic facts: If  $\sigma_s$  is the pseudo differential operator  $(1 - \Delta)^{s/2}$  then  $\sigma_s \in L_{1,0}^s$ . Moreover,  $\sigma_s : F_{p,q}^{s'} \rightarrow F_{p,q}^{s'+s}$ . Finally, if  $S \in L_{1,\delta}^m$  and  $T' \in L_{1,\delta}^{m'}$  then  $ST' \in L_{1,\delta}^{m+m'}$  (cf. [13: p. 225]) ■

**Corollary 3.6:** *If  $T$  is as in Theorem 3.5 then  $T' : B_{p,q}^{s-m} \rightarrow B_{p,q}^{s-m}$  for all  $-\infty < s$ ,  $m < \infty$  and  $0 < p, q < \infty$ .*

**Proof:** Use Theorem 3.5 and Remark 2.3 ■

**Remark 3.7:** The question arises whether the result in Theorem 3.5 can be extended for the values  $0 < \varrho < 1$  as in  $L_2$ . The answer is negative because there are symbols in  $S_{\varrho,0}^0$ ,  $0 < \varrho < 1$ , independent of  $x$  which are not Fourier multipliers in  $L_p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . This can be seen, as noted by P. Nilsson, in the following way. Let  $\|\cdot\|$  denote the multiplier norm in  $L_p$  and assume that

$$\|m\| \leq c \sup \|m^\varepsilon(\xi)\| / (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}$$

To obtain a contradiction replace  $m$  by  $m(\cdot/\varepsilon)$  and observe that the left hand side does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] BUI HUY QUI: Some aspects of weighted and non-weighted Hardy spaces. Preprint.
- [2] CALDERÓN, A. P., VAILLANCOURT, R.: A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **69** (1972), 1185—1187.
- [3] CHING, C.-H.: Pseudo-differential operators with nonregular symbols. J. Differential Equations **11** (1972), 436—447.
- [4] GOLDBERG, D.: A local version of real Hardy space. Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), 27—41.
- [5] HÖRMANDER, L.: Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations. In: Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Vol **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1966, 138—183.
- [6] HÖRMANDER, L.: On the  $L_2$  continuity of pseudo-differential operators. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **24** (1971), 529—535.
- [7] ILLNER, R.: A class of  $L^p$ -bounded pseudo-differential operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (2) (1975), 347—355.
- [8] NILSSON, P.: Pseudo differential operators in Hardy spaces. Preprint: Lund 1980.
- [9] PEETRE, J.: Classes de Hardy sur les variétés. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris (Sér A-B) **280** (1975), 439—441.
- [10] PEETRE, J.: New thoughts on Besov spaces. Duke Univ. Math. Series, Duke Univ.: Durham 1976.
- [11] PEETRE, J.: On spaces of Triebel-Lizorkin type. Ark. Mat. **13** (1975), 123—130.
- [12] SJÖLIN, P.: Two inequalities for pseudo differential operators. Anal. Math. **5** (1979), 235—249.
- [13] TREVES, F.: Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operators, vol. **1** and **2**. The University Series in Mathematics: New York 1980.
- [14] TRIEBEL, H.: Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators. North-Holland Publ. Comp.: Amsterdam—New York 1978.
- [15] TRIEBEL, H.: Spaces of Besov-Hardy-Sobolev type. Teubner-Texte Math. Leipzig: Teubner 1978.
- [16] TRIEBEL, H.: Personal communication.

Manuskripteingang: 24. 02. 1982

## VERFASSER:

LASSI PÄIVÄRINTA

Department of Mathematics, University of Helsinki  
SF-00100 Helsinki 10, Hallituskatu 15