

Approximation by Solutions of Elliptic Equations

U. HAMANN and G. WILDENHAIN

Es sei $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ ein beschränktes, glattes Gebiet, Γ eine abgeschlossene, glatte, $(n - 1)$ -dimensionale Fläche mit Rand im Inneren von Ω und V eine offene Teilmenge des Randes $\partial\Omega$. In Ω werde ein eigentlich elliptischer Differentialoperator L der Ordnung $2m$ mit glatten Koeffizienten betrachtet. (B_1, \dots, B_m) sei ein normales System von Randoperatoren auf $\partial\Omega$, welches der klassischen Wurzelbedingung genügt. $L_V(\Gamma)$ bezeichne den Raum der Einschränkungen der Funktionen des Raumes

$$L_V(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) : Lu = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, B_1 u|_{\partial\Omega} = \dots = B_m u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ in } \partial\Omega \setminus V\}$$

auf Γ . Es wird bewiesen, daß $L_V(\Gamma)$ im Raum $W_p^{2m-1/p}(\Gamma)$ ($p > 1$) dicht liegt.

Пусть $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ ограниченная гладкая область, Γ — замкнутая гладкая $(n - 1)$ -мерная площадь с краем внутри области Ω , и V — открытое подмножество края $\partial\Omega$. Рассматривается в Ω собственный эллиптический оператор L порядка $2m$ с гладкими коэффициентами. Пусть (B_1, \dots, B_m) — нормальная система краевых операторов на $\partial\Omega$, удовлетворяющая классическому условию на корнях, а $L_V(\Gamma)$ обозначает пространство ограничений на Γ функций пространства

$$L_V(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) : Lu = 0 \text{ в } \Omega, B_1 u|_{\partial\Omega} = \dots = B_m u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ в } \partial\Omega \setminus V\}.$$

Доказывается, что $L_V(\Gamma)$ плотно в пространстве $W_p^{2m-1/p}(\Gamma)$ ($p > 1$).

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded, smooth domain, Γ a closed, smooth, $(n - 1)$ -dimensional surface with boundary in the interior of Ω and V an open subset of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. In Ω we consider a properly elliptic differential operator L of order $2m$ with smooth coefficients. Let (B_1, \dots, B_m) be a normal system of boundary operators on $\partial\Omega$, which fulfils the classical root condition. $L_V(\Gamma)$ denote the space of the restrictions on Γ of the functions from

$$L_V(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) : Lu = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, B_1 u|_{\partial\Omega} = \dots = B_m u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ in } \partial\Omega \setminus V\}.$$

It is proved that $L_V(\Gamma)$ is dense in the space $W_p^{2m-1/p}(\Gamma)$ ($p > 1$).

1. In a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ a linear elliptic boundary value problem for a differential operator L of order $2m$ is considered. Let $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ be a smooth, $(n - 1)$ -dimensional closed surface with boundary in the interior of Ω . Generalizing earlier results for equations of the second order of H. BECKERT [4] and A. GÖPFERT [8, 9] in [18] the density of some sets of solutions in the Sobolev space $W_2^{2m-1}(\Gamma)$ was proved. Changing for instance the boundary values on an arbitrary small part V of the boundary, one can generate such a dense set.

In the present paper the results of [18] are generalized for the trace spaces, $W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$ ($p > 1$). Analogous results for uniform approximation are given in [16, 17]. For the case of second order see G. ANGER [3] and G. WANKA [14]. For approximation theorems of another type for higher order elliptic equations we refer to F. E. BROWDER [6, 7] and [12].

2. Let

$$L = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha$$

($m > 0$ an integer, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$ integers,

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n, D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}, D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n)$$

be a properly elliptic differential operator with real coefficients in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, i.e. the polynomial

$$L^0(x, \xi + \tau\eta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} a_\alpha(x) (\xi + \tau\eta)^\alpha,$$

which corresponds to the main part

$$L^0 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha$$

of the differential operator, for any pair $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ ($\xi \neq 0, \eta \neq 0$) of linearly independent vectors and any $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ has exactly m roots with positive imaginary part with respect to τ .

For the adjoint operator

$$L^*u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha(a_\alpha(x) u)$$

we suppose the "condition for uniqueness in the small". This means, if u is a solution of $L^*u = 0$ in a connected open set Ω , vanishing on a non-vacuous open subset $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, then u must be identically zero in Ω . The condition for instance is fulfilled, if the coefficients of L are analytic.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with a sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and Γ a smooth, $(n-1)$ -dimensional surface (C^∞ -manifold) in the interior of Ω , which does not split up the domain Ω . On the boundary $\partial\Omega$ we suppose a normal system of boundary operators B_1, \dots, B_m with smooth (infinitely differentiable) coefficients and $m_j = \text{ord } B_j \leq 2m-1$ ($j=1, \dots, m$; $m_i \neq m_j$ for $i \neq j$). Further we suppose the classical root condition. For the definition of the notions see [12]. The system $(B_j)_{j=1, \dots, m}$ can be completed to a Dirichlet system $(B_1, \dots, B_m, C_1, \dots, C_m)$ of order $2m$ on $\partial\Omega$ by a (not uniquely determined) normal system $(C_j)_{j=1, \dots, m}$ ($\text{ord } C_j = l_j \leq 2m-1$) (see [11]). This means, that the completed system is a normal system and the set of the orders of the operators is $\{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$. If the operators C_j ($j=1, \dots, m$) are fixed, then in a unique way one can find $2m$ boundary operators B_j', C_j' ($j=1, \dots, m$) with smooth coefficients on $\partial\Omega$, such that the following properties hold:

(i) $\text{ord } B_j' = m_j' = 2m-1-l_j, \text{ord } C_j' = l_j' = 2m-1-m_j.$

(ii) $(B_1', \dots, B_m', C_1', \dots, C_m')$ is a Dirichlet system of order $2m$ on $\partial\Omega$ and for $u, v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ the Green formula

$$\int_{\Omega} (Lu) v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u L^*v \, dx = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\partial\Omega} C_j u B_j' v \, d\sigma - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\partial\Omega} B_j u C_j' v \, d\sigma$$

holds.

If the boundary value problem

$$Lu = g \text{ in } \Omega, \quad B_j u|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi_j \quad (j=1, \dots, m) \quad (1)$$

has an unique solution, under some smoothness conditions on g and φ_j the solution u can be represented by means of a Green function $G = G(x, y)$ in the form

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} g(y) G(x, y) dy + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_j(y) C_j' G(x, y) d\sigma(y)$$

(see [5, 15]). The operators C_j' are applied to y . Under our conditions the function $G = G(x, y)$ for $x \neq y$ has derivatives of arbitrary order with respect to both variables. Applying of the differential operators to y we have

$$L^*G(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for } x, y \in \Omega \quad (x \neq y),$$

$$B_j'G(x, y)|_{y \in \partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

In the general case we assume for simplicity that the index is zero. If there are k linearly independent solutions u_1, \dots, u_k of the boundary value problem

$$Lu = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad B_j u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m),$$

then there are also k linearly independent solutions v_1, \dots, v_k of the adjoint problem

$$L^*v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad B_j'v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m). \tag{2}$$

We assume that

$$\int_{\Omega} v_i(x) v_j(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_i(x) u_j(x) dx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = j \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

($i, j = 1, \dots, k$). There is a generalized Green function $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}(x, y)$ of the boundary value problem (1) with the following properties (see [5, 10]):

$$L_{(y)}^* \tilde{G}(x, y) = -\sum_{i=1}^k u_i(x) u_i(y) \quad (x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y), \tag{3}$$

$$B_{j,(y)}' \tilde{G}(x, y)|_{y \in \partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) v_i(y) dy = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k), \tag{4}$$

$$L_{(x)} \tilde{G}(x, y) = -\sum_{i=1}^k v_i(x) v_i(y) \quad (x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y),$$

$$B_{j,(x)} \tilde{G}(x, y)|_{x \in \partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) u_i(x) dx = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

\tilde{G} has the same smoothness properties as G in the case of uniqueness.

The problem (1) has a solution if and only if the conditions

$$\int_{\Omega} g(y) v_i(y) dy + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_j(y) C_j' v_i(y) d\sigma(y) = 0 \tag{5}$$

($i = 1, \dots, k$) are fulfilled. Then every solution of (1) can be represented in the form

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} g(y) \tilde{G}(x, y) dy + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_j(y) C_j' \tilde{G}(x, y) d\sigma(y) + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i u_i(x) \quad (6)$$

(the differential operators C_j' are applied to y , $c_i \in \mathbf{R}^1$, $i = 1, \dots, k$). Furthermore, if

$$\int_{\Omega} g(y) v_i(y) dy = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k),$$

then

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) g(y) dy$$

is the only solution of

$$Lu = g, \quad B_j u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$

with $\int_{\Omega} u(x) u_i(x) dx = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

For given open sets $V \subset \partial\Omega$ and $G \subset \bar{G} \subset \Omega \setminus \Gamma$ we define

$$L_V(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) : Lu = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, B_j u|_{\partial\Omega \setminus V} = 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, m)\} \text{ and}$$

$$L_G(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) : g := Lu \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

$$\text{supp } g \subset G, B_j u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, m)\} \text{ respectively.}$$

Let $L_V(\Gamma)$, $L_G(\Gamma)$ be the spaces of the restrictions onto Γ . Further we define

$$N(G) = \{g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) : Lu = g \text{ for some } u \in L_G(\Omega)\}.$$

$N(G)$ is the set of all functions $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with support in G and $\int_{\Omega} g(x) v_i(x) dx = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). This follows from (5). We shall prove the density of $L_V(\Gamma)$ and $L_G(\Gamma)$ in $W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$. First we give the definition of this space.

3. Let $W_p^{2m}(\Omega)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) denote the classical Sobolev spaces with the norm

$$\|u\|_{2m,p} = \left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} \int_{\Omega} |D^\alpha u(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In the sense of imbedding theorems (see [13]) for $|\alpha| \leq 2m - 1$ on Γ there exist traces of $D^\alpha u$ for the functions $u \in W_p^{2m}(\Omega)$. More precisely

$$D^\alpha u|_\Gamma \in C(\Gamma) \quad \text{for } |\alpha| < 2m - \frac{n}{p},$$

$$D^\alpha u|_\Gamma \in L^q(\Gamma) \quad \text{for } 2m - \frac{n}{p} \leq |\alpha| \leq 2m - 1 \left(1 < q < \frac{p(n-1)}{n-p(2m-|\alpha|)} \right).$$

$W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$ is defined as the space of all functions φ on Γ , which are restrictions of functions from $W_p^{2m}(\Omega)$ on Γ in the trace sense. The expression

$$\|\varphi\|_{2m-\frac{1}{p},p} := \inf \|u\|_{2m,p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all $u \in W_p^{2m}(\Omega)$ with $u|_\Gamma = \varphi$, is a norm, such that $W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$ becomes a Banach space. The space $C^\infty(\Gamma)$ is dense in $W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$.

For definition of the dual space for $\psi \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$ we consider the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{-2m+\frac{1}{p}, p'} := \sup_{\varphi \in W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)} \frac{|(\psi, \varphi)|}{\|\varphi\|_{2m-\frac{1}{p}, p}} \tag{7}$$

$$((\psi, \varphi) = \int_\Gamma \psi(x) \cdot \varphi(x) d\sigma_\Gamma(x), d\sigma_\Gamma \text{ surface element with respect to } \Gamma, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1).$$

The completion of $C^\infty(\Gamma)$ with respect to (7) will be denoted by $W_{p'}^{-2m+\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$.

From (7) immediately follows

$$|(\psi, \varphi)| \leq \|\psi\|_{-2m+\frac{1}{p}, p'} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{2m-\frac{1}{p}, p} \tag{8}$$

for $\psi \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$, $\varphi \in W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$. Let $\psi \in W_{p'}^{-2m+\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$, $\varphi \in W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$. Choosing a sequence $\psi_n \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_n - \psi\|_{-2m+\frac{1}{p}, p'} = 0$ we put

$$(\psi, \varphi) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\psi_n, \varphi). \tag{9}$$

The existence of the limit follows from (8). (ψ, φ) does not depend on the sequence (ψ_n) . Moreover, the inequality

$$|(\psi, \varphi)| \leq \|\psi\|_{-2m+\frac{1}{p}, p'} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{2m-\frac{1}{p}, p} \tag{10}$$

holds for $\psi \in W_{p'}^{-2m+\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$, $\varphi \in W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$. The spaces $W_{p'}^{-2m+\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$ and $W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$ are mutually dual, $(W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma))' = W_{p'}^{-2m+\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$. For a given $F \in (W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma))'$ there exists an unique element $\psi_F \in W_{p'}^{-2m+\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$, such that $F(\varphi) = (\psi_F, \varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$ and $\|F\| = \|\psi_F\|_{-2m+\frac{1}{p}, p'}$.

4. Now we are able to formulate our main result.

Theorem 1: *Under the suppositions of Section 2 for $1 < p < \infty$ the space $L_G(\Gamma)$ is dense in $W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof: We shall prove the theorem indirectly and suppose $\overline{L_G(\Gamma)} \neq W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$.

Then there exists an element $h \in W_{p'}^{-2m+\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$, $h \neq 0$, with $(h, u) = 0$ for all $u \in L_G(\Gamma)$ in the sense of the scalarproduct (9). Therefore we can choose a sequence $h_j \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$ with $\|h_j - h\|_{-2m+\frac{1}{p}, p'} \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$(h, u) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (h_j, u) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Gamma h_j(x) u(x) d\sigma_\Gamma(x) = 0. \tag{11}$$

for every $u \in L_G(\Gamma)$. The definition of $L_G(\Gamma)$ and (6) give the representation (with $Lu = g \in N(G)$)

$$u(x) = \int_G g(y) \tilde{G}(x, y) dy + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i u_i(x)$$

for every $u \in L_G(\Gamma)$. Because of $u_i|_\Gamma \in L_G(\Gamma)$ we have $(h, \sum_{i=1}^k c_i u_i) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (h, u) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Gamma h_j(x) \left(\int_G g(y) \tilde{G}(x, y) dy \right) d\sigma_\Gamma(x) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_G g(y) \left(\int_\Gamma h_j(x) \tilde{G}(x, y) d\sigma_\Gamma(x) \right) dy = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for every $g \in N(G)$.

We shall prove that the sequence

$$\int_\Gamma h_j(x) D_y^\beta \tilde{G}(x, y) d\sigma_\Gamma(x)$$

for $|\beta| \leq 2m$ with respect to y is uniformly convergent on every compact set $K \subset \Omega \setminus \Gamma$. We fix an open neighbourhood U of Γ with $\Gamma \subset U \subset \Omega$ and $\bar{U} \cap K = \emptyset$. From the smoothness of $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}(x, y)$ for $x \neq y$ follows $D_y^\beta \tilde{G}(x, y) \in W_p^{2m}(U)$ with respect to $x \in U$ and any fixed $y \in K$. We suppose that the boundary ∂U is smooth. Then we can use a general result from the theory of Sobolev spaces. Namely, because the boundary ∂U is smooth, there exists a continuous extension operator from $W_p^{2m}(U)$ into $W_p^{2m}(\Omega)$, i.e. for every $u \in W_p^{2m}(U)$ there exists an extension $\tilde{u} \in W_p^{2m}(\Omega)$ with $u(x) = \tilde{u}(x)$ in U and

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{W_p^{2m}(\Omega)} \leq \omega \cdot \|u\|_{W_p^{2m}(U)}$$

(ω independent of $u \in W_p^{2m}(U)$). Obviously the estimate ($|\beta| \leq 2m$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\|D_y^\beta \tilde{G}(\cdot, y)\|_{W_p^{2m}(U)} \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} \sup_{x \in U, y \in K} |D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \tilde{G}(x, y)| \cdot m(U)^{\frac{1}{p}} = C(U, K, m, p, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

holds, where $m(U)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of U and the constant on the right hand side is independent of y . It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_y^\beta \tilde{G}(\cdot, y)\|_{2m - \frac{1}{p}, p} &= \inf_{u|_\Gamma = D_y^\beta \tilde{G}(\cdot, y)} \|u\|_{W_p^{2m}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \omega \cdot \|D_y^\beta \tilde{G}(\cdot, y)\|_{W_p^{2m}(U)} \leq \tilde{C}(U, K, m, p, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (10) we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_\Gamma D_y^\beta \tilde{G}(x, y) (h_i(x) - h_j(x)) d\sigma_\Gamma(x) \right| \\ &\leq \|D_y^\beta \tilde{G}(\cdot, y)\|_{2m - \frac{1}{p}, p} \|h_i - h_j\|_{-2m + \frac{1}{p}, p} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}(U, K, m, p, \beta) \cdot \|h_i - h_j\|_{-2m + \frac{1}{p}, p}. \end{aligned}$$

Because the right side is independent of y , the assertion follows. The sequence

$$\int_\Gamma h_j(x) \tilde{G}(x, y) d\sigma_\Gamma(x)$$

especially converges uniformly with respect to $y \in G$.

Therefore in (12) we can change the limit and the integral and get

$$(h, u) = \int_G g(y) \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}(x, y) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \right) dy = 0 \tag{13}$$

for every $g \in N(G)$, i.e. for every $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\text{supp } g \subset G$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) v_i(x) dx = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k) \tag{14}$$

((14) follows from (5)). Combining (13) and (14) we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}(x, y) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i(y) =: v(y) \text{ in } G. \tag{15}$$

Putting

$$w(y) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}(x, y) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) - v(y),$$

using $L^*v = 0$, (3) and the uniform convergence for $|\beta| \leq 2m$, we have for $y \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} L^*w(y) &= L^* \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}(x, y) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \right) - L^*v(y) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} L^* \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}(x, y) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} L^*_j \tilde{G}(x, y) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^k u_i(y) \int_{\Gamma} u_i(x) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^k u_i(y) \cdot \left\{ \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} u_i(x) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from (11), because $u_i \in L_G(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. From (15), i.e. $w \equiv 0$ in G , and from the "condition for uniqueness in the small", we obtain $w \equiv 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \Gamma$, i.e.

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}(x, y) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) = v(y) \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \Gamma. \tag{16}$$

In the next step we shall show that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} u(x) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) = 0$$

holds for every $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Defining $f := Lu$, the function $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ can be considered as a solution of the boundary value problem

$$Lu = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad B_j u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$

and by (6) we have

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f(y) dy + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i u_i(x).$$

Because of $u_i \in L_G(\Omega)$ it is

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i u_i(x) \right) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) = 0.$$

Therefore we must show that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f(y) dy \right) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) = 0.$$

$\Gamma_{\delta} := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \Gamma) < \delta\}$ denotes an open δ -neighbourhood of Γ . For every $\delta > 0$, there is a $\varphi_{\delta} \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 = \varphi_{\delta}(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varphi_{\delta}(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \Gamma_{\delta/2}$ and $\text{supp } \varphi_{\delta} \subset \Gamma_{\delta}$. We define $f_{\delta} := f\varphi_{\delta}$ and $\bar{f}_{\delta} := f(1 - \varphi_{\delta})$. It is $f_{\delta} + \bar{f}_{\delta} = f$, $\text{supp } f_{\delta} \subset \Gamma_{\delta}$ and $\bar{f}_{\delta}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \Gamma_{\delta/2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f(y) dy \right) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) \bar{f}_{\delta}(y) dy \right) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \\ & \quad + \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f_{\delta}(y) dy \right) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) = I_{1,\delta} + I_{2,\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Using once more the uniform convergence of $\int_{\Gamma} h_j(x) \tilde{G}(x, y) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x)$ and (16), we obtain ($\bar{f}_{\delta} \equiv 0$ in $\Gamma_{\delta/2}$)

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,\delta} &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \bar{f}_{\delta}(y) \left(\int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}(x, y) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \bar{f}_{\delta}(y) \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{G}(x, y) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \bar{f}_{\delta}(y) v(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Because v is a solution of the homogeneous boundary value problem (2), the condition (5) gives

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} v(y) f(y) dy = \int_{\Omega} v(y) \bar{f}_{\delta}(y) dy + \int_{\Gamma_{\delta}} v(y) f_{\delta}(y) dy.$$

Because of $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} m(\Gamma_{\delta}) = 0$ ($m(\Gamma_{\delta})$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of Γ_{δ}) there is a $\delta_1(\varepsilon) > 0$ for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$|I_{2,\delta}| = \left| \int_{\Omega} v(y) \bar{f}_{\delta}(y) dy \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } 0 < \delta \leq \delta_1(\varepsilon).$$

Now we consider $I_{2,\delta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Gamma} h_j(x) \left(\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f_{\delta}(y) dy \right) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \right| \\ & \leq \|h_j\|_{-2m + \frac{1}{p}, p} \cdot \left\| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f_{\delta}(y) dy \right\|_{2m - \frac{1}{p}, p} \\ & \leq C \cdot \left\| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f_{\delta}(y) dy \right\|_{2m, p} \end{aligned}$$

(the convergent sequence h_j is bounded!). Now we want to show that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left\| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f_{\delta}(y) dy \right\|_{2m, p} = 0.$$

For $C_i^{\delta} := \int_{\Omega} f_{\delta}(y) v_i(y) dy$ we have $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} C_i^{\delta} = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). We define

$$F_{\delta}(y) := f_{\delta}(y) - \sum_{i=1}^k C_i^{\delta} v_i(y)$$

and get $\int_{\Omega} F_{\delta}(y) v_i(y) dy = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Therefore

$$W_{\delta}(x) := \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) F_{\delta}(y) dy$$

is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$Lu = F_{\delta} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad B_j u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

From the Schauder estimate (see [2]) we can conclude that there exists a constant $C < \infty$ with

$$\|W_{\delta}\|_{2m, p} \leq C \cdot \|LW_{\delta}\|_{0, p} = C \cdot \|F_{\delta}\|_{0, p}$$

for every $\delta > 0$ (C does not depend on δ): Because of

$$\|F_{\delta}\|_{0, p} \leq \|f_{\delta}\|_{0, p} + \sum_{i=1}^k |C_i^{\delta}| \cdot \|v_i\|_{0, p},$$

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|f_{\delta}\|_{0, p} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} C_i^{\delta} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

we have $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|W_{\delta}\|_{2m, p} = 0$. From $\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) v_i(y) dy = 0$ (see (4)) we conclude

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f_{\delta}(y) dy = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) F_{\delta}(y) dy = W_{\delta}(x).$$

Therefore we get $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left\| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f_{\delta}(y) dy \right\|_{2m, p} = 0$. For that reason we can find a $\delta_2(\varepsilon) > 0$ that

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma} h_j(x) \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) f_{\delta}(y) dy \right) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_2(\varepsilon)$ and every j . This means

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} u(x) h_j(x) d\sigma_{\Gamma}(x) = 0.$$

Now we consider any function $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. From the smoothness of Γ follows that an extension to a function $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ can be found. From our preceding considerations we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (h_j, \psi) = 0 \text{ for every } \psi \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma).$$

Finally we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{-2m+\frac{1}{p}, p'} &= \sup_{\varphi \in W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)} \frac{|(h, \varphi)|}{\|\varphi\|_{2m-\frac{1}{p}, p}} = \sup_{\psi \in C^\infty(\Gamma)} \frac{|(h, \psi)|}{\|\psi\|_{2m-\frac{1}{p}, p}} \\ &= \sup_{\psi \in C^\infty(\Gamma)} \frac{\left| \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (h_j, \psi) \right|}{\|\psi\|_{2m-\frac{1}{p}, p}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $h = 0$ and $\overline{L_G(\Gamma)} = W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$. ■

5. Let $V \subset \partial\Omega$ be an open subset of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. We construct a larger smooth domain $\Omega_1 \supset \Omega$ with $\partial\Omega \setminus V \subset \partial\Omega_1$. In addition to our earlier assumptions we suppose:

(i) The coefficients of B_j can be extended to $\partial\Omega_1 \setminus \partial\Omega$ in such a way that the new system $(B_j)_{j=1, \dots, m}$ of boundary operators on $\partial\Omega_1$ also is normal and satisfies the roots condition.

If the coefficients of B_j are constant (for instance in the case of the Dirichlet problem) condition (i) is fulfilled.

Theorem 2: *We suppose the conditions of Section 2 and (i). Then $L_V(\Gamma)$ is dense in $W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$ ($1 < p < \infty$).*

Proof: We choose an open subset $G \subset \Omega_1 \setminus \Omega$ and consider the space $L_G(\Omega_1)$. By Theorem 1 we have $\overline{L_G(\Omega_1)}|_\Gamma = W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$. Since $L_G(\Omega_1)|_{\bar{\Omega}} \subset L_V(\Omega)$, we obtain $\overline{L_V(\Gamma)} = W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$. ■

If we further suppose that the boundary value problem

$$Lu = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad B_j u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$

only has the trivial solution, in the same manner as in [18], replacing $W_2^{2m-1}(\Gamma)$ by $W_p^{2m-\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma)$ we can prove the theorems, corresponding Theorem 3 and 4 in [18].

REFERENCES

- [1] ADAMS, R. A.: Sobolev spaces. New York—San Francisco—London: Academic Press 1975.
- [2] AGMON, S., DOUGLIS, A., and L. NIRENBERG: Estimates near the boundary of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **12** (1959), 623—727.
- [3] ANGER, G.: Eindeutigkeitsätze und Approximationssätze für Potentiale II. *Math. Nachr.* **50** (1971), 229—244.
- [4] БЕСКЕРТ, Н.: Eine bemerkenswerte Eigenschaft der Lösungen des Dirichletschen Problems bei linearen elliptischen Differentialgleichungen. *Math. Ann.* **139** (1960), 255—264.
- [5] БЕРЕЗАНСКИЙ, Ю. М., и Я. А. РОЙТБЕРГ: Теоремы о гомеоморфизмах и функция Грина для общих эллиптических граничных задач. *Укр. мат. ж.* **19** (1967) 5, 3—32.
- [6] BROWDER, F. E.: Functional Analysis and Partial Differential Equations II. *Math. Ann.* **145** (1962), 81—226.

- [7] BROWDER, F. E.: Approximation by solutions of partial differential equations. *Amer. J. Math.* **84** (1962), 134—160.
- [8] GÖFFERT, A.: Über L_2 -Approximationssätze — eine Eigenschaft der Lösungen elliptischer Differentialgleichungen. *Math. Nachr.* **31** (1966), 1—24.
- [9] GÖFFERT, A.: Eine Anwendung des Unitätssatzes von Itô-Yamabe. *Beiträge zur Analysis* **1** (1971), 29—41.
- [10] КРАСОВСКИЙ, Ю. П.: Свойства функций Грина и обобщенные решения эллиптических граничных задач. *Докл. Акад. Наук СССР* **184** (1969) **2**, 270—273.
- [11] LIONS, J. L., et E. MAGENES: *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, vol. I. Paris: Dunod 1968.
- [12] SCHULZE, B.-W., und G. WILDENHAIN: *Methoden der Potentialtheorie für elliptische Differentialgleichungen beliebiger Ordnung*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag 1977, and Basel—Stuttgart: Birkhäuser Verlag 1977.
- [13] SOBOLEV, S. L.: *Einige Anwendungen der Funktionalanalysis auf Gleichungen der mathematischen Physik*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag 1964.
- [14] WANKA, G.: Gleichmäßige Approximation durch Lösungen von Randwertproblemen elliptischer Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung. *Beiträge zur Analysis* **17** (1981), 19—29.
- [15] WILDENHAIN, G.: *Darstellung von Lösungen linearer elliptischer Differentialgleichungen*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag 1981.
- [16] WILDENHAIN, G.: Uniform approximation by solutions of general boundary value problems for elliptic equations of arbitrary order I. *Z. Anal. Anw.* **2** (1983), 511—521.
- [17] WILDENHAIN, G.: Uniform approximation by solutions of general boundary value problems for elliptic equations of arbitrary order II. *Math. Nachr.* **113** (1983), 225—235.
- [18] WILDENHAIN, G.: Approximation in Sobolev-Räumen durch Lösungen allgemeiner elliptischer Randwertprobleme bei Gleichungen beliebiger Ordnung. *Rostocker Math. Kolloqu.* (Univ. Rostock) **22** (1983), 43—56.

Manuskripteingang: 16. 03. 1984

VERFASSER:

Dr. UWE HAMANN and Prof. Dr. GÜNTHER WILDENHAIN
Sektion Mathematik der Wilhelm-Pieck-Universität
DDR-2500 Rostock, Universitätsplatz 1