

## On the Convergence of Some Random Series

T. MIKOSCH

Es seien  $\{X_n\}$  eine Folge unabhängiger Zufallsgrößen mit Werten in einem separablen Banachraum,  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  und  $\{a_n\}$  eine Folge nichtnegativer Zahlen. Es wird ein Kriterium für die fast sichere Konvergenz der Reihe  $\sum a_n S_n$  bewiesen. Insbesondere wird der Spezialfall  $a_n = n^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , untersucht.

Пусть  $\{X_n\}$  последовательность независимых случайных элементов со значениями в сепарабельном банаховом пространстве,  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  и  $\{a_n\}$  последовательность неотрицательных чисел. Доказывается критерий для сходимости почти наверное ряда  $\sum a_n S_n$ . В частности, рассматривается случай  $a_n = n^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ .

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a sequence of independent random elements with values in a separable Banach space,  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  and  $\{a_n\}$  a sequence of nonnegative numbers. There is proved a criterion for the almost sure convergence of the series  $\sum a_n S_n$ . The special case  $a_n = n^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , is also studied.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of independent random elements with values in a separable Banach space  $(B, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $\{a_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative numbers. Assume  $a_n > 0$  infinitely often. Put

$$b_j = \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} a_i, \quad b_{n,j} = \sum_{i=j}^n a_i, \quad S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad \text{for } j \geq 1, n \geq j.$$

In this note we study the a.s. (almost sure) behaviour of the series  $\sum a_n S_n$ . Such a series has been considered in connection with problems of learning theory (cf. [2]), but its a.s. convergence is also a measure of the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers  $\lim a_n S_n = 0$  a.s. KOOPMANS et al. [2] considered the series  $\sum n^{-\alpha-1} S_n$  for sequences of real-valued  $X_n$  and positive  $\alpha$ . They showed that this series diverges a.s. for each sequence of independent identically distributed  $X_n$  if  $\alpha < 1/2$ . GAPOSHKIN [6] obtained the following result: Assume  $\sum a_n < \infty$ . Then for each sequence of independent symmetric  $X_n$  the series  $\sum a_n S_n$  converges a.s. iff the series  $\sum b_n X_n$  converges a.s. He also showed that  $\sum n^{-\alpha-1} S_n$  diverges a.s. for each sequence of independent and identically distributed real-valued  $X_n$  if  $\alpha \leq 1/2$ . In this note we prove a criterion for the a.s. convergence of the series  $\sum a_n S_n$ . Furthermore, the results of Koopmans et al. and Gaposhkin concerning the a.s. divergence of  $\sum n^{-\alpha-1} S_n$  are generalized to the Banach space setting.

2. Auxiliary results

The lemmas of this section are fundamental for the proofs of the results in Section 3.

Lemma 1 (MARTIKAINEN [7: Lemma 1]): *Let  $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ ,  $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$  be two sequences of  $B$ -valued random elements such that the distributions of  $(Z_1, \dots, Z_n, Y_n)$  and  $(Z_1, \dots, Z_n, -Y_n)$  are identical for  $n \geq 1$ . If  $\lim (Y_n + Z_n) = 0$  a.s. then  $\lim Y_n = 0$  a.s.*

Lemma 2: *Suppose that one of the  $X_i$  is nondegenerate. If  $\sum a_n S_n$  converges a.s. then  $\sum a_n < \infty$ .*

Proof: If  $\sum a_n S_n$  converges a.s. then  $\sum a_n S_n^s$  converges a.s., where  $S_n^s = X_1^s + \dots + X_n^s, n \geq 1$ , and  $\{X_n^s, n \geq 1\}$  is a symmetrized version of  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ . Let  $X_{i_0}$  be nondegenerate. Put

$$Y_n = b_{n,i_0} X_{i_0}, \quad Z_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k S_k^s - Y_n, \quad \text{for } n \geq i_0.$$

Then

$$\lim \sum_{k=1}^n a_k S_k^s = \lim (Y_n + Z_n) = Y \text{ a.s.}$$

for some  $B$ -valued random element  $Y$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . By Lévy's inequality,

$$2P(\|Y_n + Z_n\| > \epsilon) \geq P(\|Y_n\| > \epsilon) \geq P(\|Y_n\| > \epsilon, X_{i_0}^s \neq 0).$$

Since  $X_{i_0}$  is nondegenerate we have  $P(X_{i_0}^s \neq 0) > 0$ . Assume  $\sum a_n = \infty$ . Then  $\lim \|Y_n\| = \infty$  on  $\{X_{i_0}^s \neq 0\}$ . Hence  $4P(\|Y_n + Z_n\| > \epsilon) \geq P(X_{i_0}^s \neq 0)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Thus  $4P(\|Y\| > \epsilon) \geq P(X_{i_0}^s \neq 0) > 0$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary we have  $P(\|Y\| = \infty) > 0$  in contradiction to the a.s. convergence of  $\sum a_n S_n$ . Hence  $\sum a_n < \infty$  ■

Lemma 3: *Suppose that  $\sum a_n < \infty$  and  $\sum a_n S_n$  converges a.s. Then there exists a sequence  $\{c_n, n \geq 1\}$  of constants such that*

$$\lim b_{n+1}(S_n - c_n) = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{1}$$

If the  $X_n, n \geq 1$ , are symmetric or  $b_{n+1} S_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then (1) holds with  $c_n = 0$ .

Proof: Assume that the  $X_n$  are symmetric. We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i S_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{n,i} X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i X_i - b_{n+1} S_n. \tag{2}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i S_i - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i S_i = b_{n,m+1} S_m + \sum_{i=m+1}^n b_{n,i} X_i$$

for  $m \leq n$ . Hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( b_{m+1} S_m + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=m+1}^n b_{n,i} X_i \right) = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

The a.s. existence of  $Y_m = \lim_n (b_{n,m+1} X_{m+1} + \dots + b_{n,n} X_n)$  is a consequence of (2) and the a.s. convergence of  $\sum a_n S_n$ . Let  $Z_m = b_{m+1} S_m$ . Then  $\lim (Y_m + Z_m) = 0$  a.s. Apply Lemma 1 to obtain (1) with  $c_n = 0$ .

Now, let the  $X_n, n \geq 1$ , be not necessarily symmetric. Applying the first part of the proof to a symmetrized version  $\{X_n^s, n \geq 1\}$ , we obtain (1) for this version and for  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  it follows by standard desymmetrization arguments. Furthermore, if (1) holds and  $b_{n+1}S_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $b_{n+1}c_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  ■

Lemma 4: Assume that  $\sum b_n X_n$  converges a.s. Then  $\sum a_n S_n$  converges a.s. and  $\lim b_n S_n = 0$  a.s.

This lemma follows from (2), the a.s. convergence of  $\sum b_n X_n$  and Kronecker's lemma. It is not difficult to see that Lemma 4 remains true for not necessarily independent random elements.

### 3. Main results

In this section we study the a.s. behaviour of  $\sum a_n S_n$ . In general, there is no 0-1 law for the convergence of this series. Indeed, let  $X_1$  be nondegenerate,  $X_2 = X_3 = \dots = 0$  and  $\sum a_n = \infty$ . Then  $\sum a_n S_n$  converges on  $\{X_1 = 0\}$  and diverges on  $\{X_1 \neq 0\}$ . But if  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is independent and identically distributed then the Hewitt-Savage 0-1 law is applicable to  $\sum a_n S_n$ . The following theorem characterizes the a.s. convergence of this series.

Theorem 1: Suppose that one of the  $X_i$  is nondegenerate. The following statements are equivalent:

- (A) The series  $\sum a_n S_n$  converges a.s.
- (B)  $\sum a_n < \infty$  and there are constants  $d_n, n \geq 1$ , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( b_{n+1} S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i d_i \right) = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{3}$$

and the series  $\sum b_i (X_i - d_i)$  converges a.s.

- (C)  $\sum a_n < \infty, \lim a_n S_n = 0$  a.s. and there are constants  $d_n, n \geq 1$ , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - b_n) d_i = 0 \tag{4}$$

and  $\sum b_i (X_i - d_i)$  converges a.s.

Proof: (A)  $\Rightarrow$  (B), (C): By Lemma 2 we have  $\sum a_n < \infty$ . By Lemma 3 there is a sequence  $\{c_n, n \geq 1\}$  such that  $\lim b_{n+1}(S_n - c_n) = 0$  a.s. Define  $d_n$  by  $b_{n+1}c_n = b_1 d_1 + \dots + b_n d_n, n \geq 1$ . Then  $\lim b_{n+1}(S_n - c_n) = 0$  a.s. implies (3). By (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i S_i = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i X_i - b_{n+1} c_n \right\} - b_{n+1} (S_n - c_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i (X_i - d_i) - \left\{ b_{n+1} S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i d_i \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T_n = b_1(X_1 - d_1) + \dots + b_n(X_n - d_n)$ . Using (3), we have  $\lim (V_n - T_n) = 0$  a.s. Thus, by (A), the sequence  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$  converges a.s. The relation  $\lim a_n S_n = 0$  a.s. is an immediate consequence of (A). By Kronecker's lemma and the a.s. convergence of  $\{T_n, n \geq 1\}$

$$\lim b_n \left( S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \right) = 0 \text{ a.s.} \tag{5}$$

By (5), (3) and  $\lim a_n S_n = 0$  a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - b_n) d_i &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ b_n \left( S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \right) + (-b_n S_n + a_n S_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i d_i \right\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \left( S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \right) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( b_{n+1} S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i d_i \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

a.s.,

which implies (4). Thus (B) and (C) follow from (A).

(C)  $\Rightarrow$  (B): The relations (5), (4),  $\lim a_n S_n = 0$  a.s. and

$$b_{n+1} S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i d_i = -a_n S_n + b_n \left( S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_n - b_i) d_i$$

imply (B). (B)  $\Rightarrow$  (A): This follows from  $\lim (V_n - T_n) = 0$  a.s. ■

The following theorem is more practicable than Theorem 1. In case  $B = \mathbf{R}$ , Gaposhkin's criterion on the a.s. convergence of  $\sum a_n S_n$  (see Section 1 and [5]) is an immediate corollary of

**Theorem 2:** Assume  $\sum a_n < \infty$ . Suppose that  $b_{n+1} S_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , or the  $X_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are symmetric. Then  $\sum b_n X_n$  converges a.s. if and only if  $\sum a_n S_n$  converges a.s. If  $\sum b_n X_n$  converges a.s. then  $\sum a_n S_n = \sum b_n X_n$  a.s.

**Proof:** By Lemma 4 and (2) it is sufficient to prove that the a.s. convergence of  $\sum a_n S_n$  implies that  $\lim b_{n+1} S_n = 0$  a.s. But this follows from Lemma 3 and the assumptions of the theorem ■

By the same methods we obtain the following: Assume  $\sum a_n < \infty$ . Then  $\sum b_n X_n$  converges a.s. iff  $\sum a_n S_n$  converges a.s. and  $b_{n+1} S_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In this way, the problem of a.s. convergence of  $\sum a_n S_n$  can be reduced to the problem of convergence of  $\sum b_n X_n$ . Conditions for the convergence of this series are well known. In Banach spaces they depend on the geometry of  $B$  (cf. [3, 4]).

The results of Koopmans et al. and Gaposhkin concerning the convergence of  $\sum n^{-\alpha-1} S_n$  (see Section 1) follow from

**Theorem 3:** Let  $B$  be a Hilbert space. Assume that  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is independent and identically distributed. For fixed  $\alpha > 1/2$  the following statements are equivalent:

(D) The series  $\sum n^{-\alpha-1} S_n$  converges a.s.

(E) The series  $\sum n^{-\alpha} X_n$  converges a.s.

(F)  $E \|X_1\|^{1/\alpha} < \infty$ . Furthermore,  $EX_1 = 0$  for  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1]$  and  $\sum n^{-1} EX_1 I(\|X_1\| \leq n)$  converges for  $\alpha = 1$ .

If  $\alpha \leq 1/2$  then  $\sum n^{-\alpha-1} S_n$  diverges a.s.

**Proof:** Let  $a_n = n^{-\alpha-1}$ . Obviously,  $\alpha b_n n^\alpha \sim 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume  $\alpha \leq 1/2$ . Thus, by Lemma 3 the condition  $\lim n^{-\alpha} (S_n - c_n) = 0$  a.s. (for appropriate  $c_n$ ) is necessary for the a.s. convergence of  $\sum n^{-\alpha-1} S_n$ . But this is impossible by the central limit theorem in Hilbert spaces (see [1]). Hence  $\sum n^{-\alpha-1} S_n$  is not a.s. convergent. By the Hewitt-Savage 0-1 law this series diverges a.s.

Assume  $\alpha > 1/2$ . By the 3-series theorem in Hilbert spaces (see [5]) and by standard arguments (E) and (F) are equivalent. Using  $\alpha b_n n^\alpha \sim 1$ ; it is not difficult to show that (E) and the a.s. convergence of  $\sum b_n X_n$  are equivalent.

Assume (E). Then  $\sum b_n X_n$  converges a.s. and (D) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.

Assume (D). Lemma 3 and  $\alpha b_n n^\alpha \sim 1$  imply  $\lim n^{-\alpha}(S_n - c_n) = 0$  a.s. Thus  $E \|X_1\|^{1/\alpha} < \infty$ . Let  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1]$  and assume  $EX_1 \neq 0$ . By the strong law of large numbers,  $\sum n^{-\alpha-1}S_n$  converges a.s. iff  $\sum n^{-\alpha} < \infty$ . This is impossible. Hence  $EX_1 = 0$ . Now,  $E \|X_1\|^{1/\alpha} < \infty$  and  $EX_1 = 0$  for  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1]$  imply that  $b_{n+1}S_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Apply Theorem 2 to obtain that  $\sum b_n X_n$  converges a.s. Hence  $\sum n^{-\alpha} X_n$  converges a.s. ■

*Acknowledgement:* The author is grateful to Alexander Martikainen for valuable discussions. In particular, this work was inspired by Martikainen's Lemma 1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ARAUJO, A., and E. GINÉ: The Central Limit Theorem for Real and Banach Valued Random Variables. New York: Wiley 1980.
- [2] KOOPMANS, L. H., MARTIN, N., PATNAK, P. K., and C. QUALLS: On the divergence of a certain random series. *Ann. Probab.* 2 (1974), 546—550.
- [3] WOYCZYNSKI, W. A.: Tail probabilities of sums of random vectors in Banach spaces, and related mixed norms. *Lect. Notes Math.* 794 (1980), 455—469.
- [4] WOYCZYNSKI, W. A.: Geometry and Martingales in Banach Spaces. II. *Advances in Probability* 4 (1978), 267—518.
- [5] БАХАНИЯ, И. Н., ТАРИЕЛАДЗЕ, В. И., и С. А. ЧОВАНИЯ: Вероятностные распределения в банаховых пространствах. Москва: Изд-во Наука 1985.
- [6] ГАПОШКИН, В. Ф.: О необходимых условиях сходимости рядов для одинаково распределенных независимых случайных величин. *Мат. Заметки* 20 (1976), 529—536.
- [7] МАРТИКАЙНЕН, А. И.: О регулярных методах суммирования случайных слагаемых. *Теор. вероятн. и ее примен.* 30 (1985), 10—18.

Manuskripteingang: 10. 12. 1984; in revidierter Fassung 11. 09. 1985

## VERFASSER:

Dr. THOMAS MIKOSCH  
Sektion Mathematik der Technischen Universität  
DDR-8027 Dresden, Mommsenstr. 13