

On $\mathcal{B}_{p,k}$ -Boundedness and Compactness of Linear Pseudo-Differential Operators

J. TERVO

Es werden Kriterien der Beschränktheit und Kompaktheit linearer Pseudodifferentialoperatoren $L(X, D)$ in den Hörmander-Räumen $\mathcal{B}_{p,k}$ erörtert. Gewisse Bedingungen an das Symbol $L(x, \xi)$ von $L(X, D)$ sollen dabei garantieren, daß $L(X, D)$ den Schwartz-Raum \mathcal{S} in sich abbildet und die formal Transponierte $L'(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ existiert. Eine Charakterisierung der Beschränktheit des Operators $L'(X, D): \mathcal{B}_{1,kk} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1,k}$ wird hergeleitet, desgleichen eine hinreichende Bedingung für die Beschränktheit des Operators $L'(X, D): \mathcal{B}_{p,kk} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,k}$ mit $p \in [1, \infty)$. Schließlich wird die Kompaktheit der stetigen Erweiterung $L'(X, D): \mathcal{B}_{p,kk}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,k}$ erörtert, wobei G eine offene beschränkte Menge des \mathbb{R}^n und $\mathcal{B}_{p,kk}(G)$ die Vervollständigung von $C_0^\infty(G)$ bezüglich der $\mathcal{B}_{p,kk}$ -Norm ist.

Обсуждаются критерии ограниченности и компактности линейных псевдодифференциальных операторов $L(X, D)$ в пространствах Хёрмандера $\mathcal{B}_{p,k}$. При этом некоторые условия на символ $L(x, \xi)$ от $L(X, D)$ должны обеспечивать чтобы $L(X, D)$ отображал пространство Шварца \mathcal{S} в себя и чтобы существовал формально сопряженное $L'(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Выводятся характеристизация ограниченности оператора $L'(X, D): \mathcal{B}_{1,kk} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1,k}$ и достаточное условие ограниченности оператора $L'(X, D): \mathcal{B}_{p,kk} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,k}$ с $p \in [1, \infty)$. Наконец, обсуждается компактность непрерывного расширения $L'(X, D): \mathcal{B}_{p,kk}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,k}$, где G открытое ограниченное множество в \mathbb{R}^n и $\mathcal{B}_{p,kk}(G)$ дополнение $C_0^\infty(G)$ относительно $\mathcal{B}_{p,kk}$ -нормы.

Boundedness and compactness arguments in the Hörmander spaces $\mathcal{B}_{p,k}$ for linear pseudo-differential operators $L(X, D)$ are considered. The symbol $L(x, \xi)$ of $L(X, D)$ is assumed to obey appropriate temperate criteria, which guarantee that $L(X, D)$ maps the Schwartz class \mathcal{S} into itself and that the formal transpose $L'(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ exists. A characterization for the boundedness of the operator $L'(X, D): \mathcal{B}_{1,kk} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1,k}$ is obtained. A sufficient condition for the boundedness of the operator $L'(X, D): \mathcal{B}_{p,kk} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,k}$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$ is established as well. Finally, the compactness of the continuous extension of $L'(X, D): \mathcal{B}_{p,kk}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p,k}$ is studied, where G is an open bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n and where $\mathcal{B}_{p,kk}(G)$ is (essentially) the completion of $C_0^\infty(G)$ with respect to the $\mathcal{B}_{p,kk}$ -norm.

1. Introduction

Let $m, \rho, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < \rho \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Define the class $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ -mappings $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ via the requirement: $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ lies in $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ if and only if the estimate

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta L(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|} \quad (x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n)$$

is valid. It holds a very extensive theory concerning the L^p -boundedness of respective pseudo-differential operators $L(x, D)$, that is, linear operators defined by

$$(L(X, D)\varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L(x, \xi) (\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) e^{i\langle \xi, x \rangle} d\xi, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the Fourier transform in the Schwartz space \mathcal{S} and where $L(\cdot, \cdot) \in S_{p, \delta}^m$. For the results and for their generalizations we refer to [1, 3–6].

Let \mathcal{K} be the class of weight functions given in [2: 34] and let $p \in [1, \infty)$. Define a norm $\|\cdot\|_{p, k}$ by

$$\|\Phi\|_{p, k} = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}\Phi)(\xi) k(\xi)|^p d\xi \right)^{1/p} \quad (\Phi \in \mathcal{S}).$$

Choose weight functions $k, k^\sim \in \mathcal{K}$. In this contribution we consider the validity of the inequality

$$\|L'(X, D)\varphi\|_{p, k} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{p, k^\sim} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $L'(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the formally transpose operator of a linear pseudo-differential operator $L(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. The validity of (1.2) means that $L'(X, D)$ has a bounded extension from \mathcal{B}_{p, k^\sim} into $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}$, where these Banach spaces are defined as in [2: 36]. We consider also some arguments for the compactness of the appropriate continuous extensions of $L'(X, D)$.

For the first instance we establish an (algebraic) criterion, which guarantees the fact that $L(X, D)$ maps \mathcal{S} into itself. In addition, a condition for the existence of the formal transpose $L'(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ of $L(X, D)$ is given (cf. Theorems 2.1 and 2.2). After that a characterization for the validity of (1.2) with $p = 1$ is shown for a certain class of operators (cf. Theorem 3.3). The validity of (1.2) with general $p \in [1, \infty)$ is considered as well. Furthermore, the compactness of the continuous extension, $\bar{L}'_p: \mathcal{B}_{p, k^\sim}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p, k}$ of $L'(X, D)$ is investigated, when G is an open bounded set in \mathbf{R}^n . Here $\mathcal{B}_{p, k^\sim}(G)$ is (essentially) the completion of $C_0^\infty(G)$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{p, k^\sim}$ -norm.

2. Preliminaries

2.1 For the unexplained notions about the distribution theory we refer to the monograph [2: 1–25]. Let G be an open set in \mathbf{R}^n . The class \mathcal{K} of weight functions k , the Banach spaces $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}$ and the Frechet spaces $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}^{\text{loc}}(G)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$ are defined as in [2: 34–45]. The norm in $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}$ is then given by

$$\|u\|_{p, k} = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) k(\xi)|^p d\xi \right)^{1/p}.$$

The topology in $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}^{\text{loc}}(G)$ is defined by the semi-norms $u \rightarrow \|\psi u\|_{p, k}$, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(G)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}^\wedge(G)$ be the completion of $C_0^\infty(G)$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{p, k}$ -norm. Then $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}^\wedge(G)$ can be imbedded into $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}$ via the injection $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{B}_{p, k}^\wedge(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p, k}$ given by $\mathcal{J}(T)(\varphi) = \lim \varphi_n(\varphi)$ for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$, where $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a representative of T . Denote $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}(G) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{B}_{p, k}^\wedge(G))$. The norm in $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}(G)$ is defined by $\|\mathcal{J}(T)\|_{p, k} = \|T\|_{p, k}$.

Let $L(X, D)$ be a linear pseudo-differential operator on the Schwartz class \mathcal{S} defined by the relation ($x \in G$)

$$(L(X, D)\varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} L(x, \xi) (\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) e^{i(x, \xi)} d\xi. \quad (2.1)$$

Here $L(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$. In the sequel we give a condition for $L(\cdot, \cdot)$, under which $L(X, D)$ maps \mathcal{S} into itself.

Theorem 2.1: *Suppose that there exists a number $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq \varrho < 1$ such that for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \times \mathbb{N}_0^n$ one can find constants $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$, $N_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$ with which*

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta L(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} k_{N_\alpha + \varrho|\beta|}(x) k_{N_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi), \quad ((x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where k_s ($s \in \mathbb{R}$) is defined by $k_s(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}$. Then $L(X, D)$ given by (2.1) is an operator from \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{S} .

Proof: Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. By applying the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem one sees easily that $L(X, D) \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that

$$D_x^\alpha (L(X, D) \varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_x^\alpha (L(x, \xi) e^{i(\xi, x)}) (\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) d\xi.$$

Furthermore, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\gamma, \tau \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^n |x^\gamma D_x^\tau (L(X, D) \varphi)(x)| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\gamma D_x^\tau (L(x, \xi) e^{i(\xi, x)}) (\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \tau} \binom{\tau}{\beta} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\gamma (D_x^\beta L(x, \xi)) \xi^{\tau-\beta} (\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) e^{i(\xi, x)} d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \tau} \binom{\tau}{\beta} \sum_{\alpha \leq \gamma} \binom{\gamma}{\alpha} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((-D_\xi)^\alpha D_x^\beta L(x, \xi)) D_\xi^{\gamma-\alpha} \mathcal{F}(D^{\tau-\beta} \varphi)(\xi) e^{i(\xi, x)} d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \tau} \sum_{\alpha \leq \gamma} \binom{\tau}{\beta} \binom{\gamma}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta L(x, \xi)| |\mathcal{F}(x^{\gamma-\alpha} D^{\tau-\beta} \varphi)(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \tau} \sum_{\alpha \leq \gamma} \binom{\tau}{\beta} \binom{\gamma}{\alpha} C_{\beta,\alpha} k_{N_\beta + \varrho|\alpha|}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(x^{\gamma-\alpha} D^{\tau-\beta} \varphi)(\xi) k_{N_{\beta,\alpha}}(\xi)| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Let now γ and τ be fixed. Then we obtain

$$|x^\alpha x^\gamma D_x^\tau (L(X, D) \varphi)(x)| \leq C_{\alpha,\gamma,\tau,\varphi} k_{N(\tau) + \varrho(|\alpha| + |\gamma|)}(x),$$

where $N(\tau) = \max \{N_\beta : \beta \leq \tau\}$. Hence for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find a constant $C_N > 0$ such that

$$|x^\alpha D_x^\tau (L(X, D) \varphi)(x)| \leq C_N k_{N(\tau) + \varrho|\tau| + (q-1)N}(x).$$

By choosing N large enough we see that $\sup \{|x^\alpha D_x^\tau (L(X, D) \varphi)(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} < \infty$, which completes the proof ■

Suppose that $L(\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that $|D_\xi^\beta L(\xi)| \leq C_\beta k_{N_\beta}(\xi)$ ($\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$). Then by Theorem 2.1 the operator $L(D)$ defined by

$$(L(D) \varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L(\xi) (\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) e^{i(\xi, x)} d\xi$$

maps \mathcal{S} into itself.

2.2 We say that $L'(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a *formally transpose operator* (or a *formal transpose*) of the operator $L(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ when

$$(L(X, D) \varphi) (\psi) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (L(X, D) \varphi) (x) \psi(x) dx = \varphi(L'(X, D) \psi)$$

holds for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$. A sufficient criterion for the existence of $L'(X, D)$ is given in the following

Theorem 2.2: *Suppose that the operator $L(X, D)$ defined by (2.1) maps \mathcal{S} into itself and that one can find a number $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \leq \delta < 1$ such that for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0^n$ there exist constants $N_\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ and $N_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbf{R}$ with which for all $x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$*

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta L(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} k_{N_\beta + \delta|\alpha|}(\xi) k_{N_{\alpha, \beta}}(x). \quad (2.2)$$

Then there exists the formal transpose $L'(X, D)$ of $L(X, D)$.

Proof: Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$. By changing the roles of x and ξ one sees in virtue of (2.2) and due to the proof of Theorem 2.1 that $L(D, \zeta) \psi \in \mathcal{S}$, where

$$(L(D, \zeta) \psi) (\xi) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} L(x, \xi) (\mathcal{F}\psi) (x) e^{i(x, \xi)} dx.$$

For all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$ we obtain by the Fubini's Theorem and by the Parseval's identity (here we denote $\check{\psi}(x) = \psi(-x)$)

$$\begin{aligned} (L(X, D) \varphi) (\psi) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} L(x, \xi) (\mathcal{F}\varphi) (\xi) e^{i(\xi, x)} d\xi \right) \psi(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\mathcal{F}\varphi) (\xi) \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} L(x, \xi) \psi(x) e^{i(\xi, x)} dx \right) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\mathcal{F}\varphi) (\xi) (L(D, \zeta) (\mathcal{F}\check{\psi})) (\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(y) \mathcal{F}(L(D, \zeta) (\mathcal{F}\check{\psi})) (y) dy = \varphi(\mathcal{F}(L(D, \zeta) (\mathcal{F}\check{\psi}))). \end{aligned}$$

The operations are legitimate since the function

$$(x, \xi) \rightarrow |L(x, \xi) (\mathcal{F}\varphi) (\xi) \psi(x) e^{i(\xi, x)}|$$

is by (2.2) integrable in $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$. Hence there exists $L'(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and $L'(X, D) \psi = \mathcal{F}(L(D, \zeta) (\mathcal{F}\check{\psi}))$ ■

The existence of $L'(X, D)$ implies that $L(X, D)$ and $L'(X, D)$ have continuous extensions from the dual space \mathcal{S}' of \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{S}' (here \mathcal{S}' is equipped with the weak dual topology). Our aim in the next Chapters 3–4 is to seek criterions under which $L'(X, D)$ (and $L(X, D)$) has a continuous extension from \mathcal{B}_{p, k, k^*} into $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}$ and from $\mathcal{B}_{p, k, k^*}^{\text{loc}}$ into $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}^{\text{loc}}(G)$.

3. Characterization of boundedness in spaces $\mathcal{B}_{1, k}$

3.1 In the sequel we consider the validity of the following inequality: There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|L'(X, D) \varphi\|_{1, k} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{1, k^*} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S}). \quad (3.1)$$

Here k and k^\sim are weight functions belonging to \mathcal{K} .

Lemma 3.1: *Suppose that one can find numbers $\delta, \rho \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \leq \delta, \rho < 1$ such that for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0^n$ there exist constants $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0, N_\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $N_\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ with which*

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta L(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} k_{N_\alpha + |\alpha|}(x) k_{N_\beta + |\beta|}(\xi) \quad (x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n). \tag{3.2}$$

Then for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\mathcal{F}(L'(X, D) \varphi)(\eta) = \mathcal{F}(\varphi L(x, -\eta))(\eta) \quad (\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof: In virtue of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, $L(X, D)$ maps \mathcal{S} into itself and there exists the formal transpose $L'(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ of $L(X, D)$. Let $0 \leq \theta \in C_0^\infty, (\mathcal{F}\theta)(0) = 1$. Define functions Θ_j through the relation $\Theta_j'(x) = j^n \Theta(jx)$. Choose $\Theta_j \in \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}\Theta_j = \Theta_j'$. Then for each $x, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^n (L(X, D) (\Theta_j e^{-i(\eta \cdot x)}))(x) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} L(x, \xi) \Theta_j'(\xi + \eta) e^{i(\xi \cdot x)} d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} L(x, -\eta + \gamma) \Theta_j'(\gamma) e^{i(-\eta + \gamma \cdot x)} d\gamma \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} L\left(x, -\eta + \frac{\tau}{j}\right) \Theta_j'(\tau) e^{i(-\eta + \frac{\tau}{j} \cdot x)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In virtue of (3.2) for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ one has (with some $N > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| L\left(x, -\eta + \frac{\tau}{j}\right) \Theta_j(\tau) e^{i(-\eta + \frac{\tau}{j} \cdot x)} \right| \\ &\leq C_{0,0} k_N(x) k_N\left(-\eta + \frac{\tau}{j}\right) |\Theta(\tau)| \leq C' k_N(x) k_N(-\eta) k_N(\tau) |\Theta(\tau)|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where the right-hand side is integrable in \mathbf{R}^n . Hence due to the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we see that for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} &(L(X, D) (\Theta_j e^{-i(\eta \cdot x)}))(x) \\ &\rightarrow L(x, -\eta) e^{-i(\eta \cdot x)} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Theta(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} L(x, -\eta) e^{-i(\eta \cdot x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, in view of (3.4) one has

$$\begin{aligned} &|(L(X, D) (\Theta_j e^{-i(\eta \cdot x)}))(x)| \\ &\leq C' k_N(-\eta) k_N(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} k_{N_0}(\tau) |\Theta(\tau)| d\tau = C'' k_N(-\eta) k_N(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence with each $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n$ we obtain

$$\varphi(L(X, D) (\Theta_j e^{-i(\eta \cdot x)})) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \varphi(L(\cdot, -\eta) e^{-i(\eta \cdot \cdot)}). \tag{3.5}$$

In according to the definition of Θ_j one sees

$$(2\pi)^n \Theta_j(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} j^n \Theta(jy) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Theta(z) e^{i(x \cdot z/j)} dz \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}\theta)(0) = 1$$

and

$$(2\pi)^n |\Theta_j(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\Theta(z)| dz = 1$$

so that we get the convergence

$$\begin{aligned} (L'(X, D)\varphi)(\Theta_j e^{-1(\eta \cdot)}) &\rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} (L'(X, D)\varphi)(e^{-1(\eta \cdot)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \mathcal{F}(L'(X, D)\varphi)(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Since for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n$ the equality

$$(L'(X, D)\varphi)(\Theta_j e^{-1(\eta \cdot)}) = \varphi(L(X, D)(\Theta_j e^{-1(\eta \cdot)}))$$

holds, we get by (3.5) and by (3.6) that

$$\mathcal{F}(L'(X, D)\varphi)(\eta) = \varphi(L(\cdot, -\eta) e^{-1(\eta \cdot)}) = \mathcal{F}(\varphi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta) \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $\Phi \in C_0^\infty$, $0 \leq \Phi \leq 1$ and $\Phi(x) = 1$ for $x \in \overline{B(0, 1)}$. Define functions $\Phi_l \in C_0^\infty$ by $\Phi_l(x) = \Phi(x/l)$. Then we obtain

Theorem 3.2: *Suppose that one can find numbers $\delta, \rho \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \leq \delta, \rho < 1$ such that for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0^n$ there exist constants $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$, $N_\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $N_\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ with which the inequality (3.2) holds. Furthermore, we suppose that there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for all $l \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \leq M(kk^\sim)(\xi). \quad (3.7)$$

Then one can find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|L'(X, D)\varphi\|_{l, k} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{1, kk^\sim} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S}).$$

Proof: In virtue of Lemma 3.1 we get for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, $l \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(L'(X, D)(\Phi_l \varphi))(\eta)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi(x) \Phi_l(x) L(x, -\eta) e^{-1(\eta \cdot x)} dx \right| \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) \overline{\mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta) e^{1(\eta \cdot x)})(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) \mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi)| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Define functions $f_{l, j}: \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$f_{l, j}(\xi, \eta) = |\mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) (\mathcal{F}\Theta_j')(\eta)| k(\eta).$$

Then $f_{l, j}$ is by (3.2) continuous. In addition, due to (3.7) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{F}\Theta_j')(\eta)| &= \left| j^n \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Theta(jx) e^{-1(x \cdot \eta)} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Theta(z) e^{-1(z/j \cdot \eta)} dz \right| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\Theta(z)| dz = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_{l, j}(\xi, \eta) d\eta \leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\Theta(z)| dz \right) M(kk^\sim)(\xi) = M(kk^\sim)(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, the function

$$g_{l,j} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g_{l,j}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{l,j}(\xi, \eta) d\eta$$

is continuous and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{g}_{l,j}(\xi) |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi)| d\xi \leq (2\pi)^n M \|\varphi\|_{1,kk^-}.$$

Hence due to the Fubini's Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^n \| (L'(X, D) (\Phi_l \varphi)) * \Theta_j' \|_{1,k} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} | \mathcal{F}(L'(X, D) (\Phi_l \varphi))(\eta) (\mathcal{F}\Theta_j')(\eta) | k(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) \mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi)| d\xi \right) |(\mathcal{F}\Theta_j')(\eta)| k(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} | \mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) (\mathcal{F}\Theta_j')(\eta) k(\eta) | d\eta \right) |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq M \|\varphi\|_{1,kk^-}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since $(L'(X, D) (\Phi_l \varphi)) * \Theta_j' \rightarrow L'(X, D) (\Phi_l \varphi)$ in $\mathcal{B}_{1,k}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [2: 42]) we obtain the assertion \blacksquare

3.2 In this subsection we characterize the validity of the inequality (3.7) with the validity of the inequality (3.1).

Theorem 3.3: *Suppose that one can find numbers $\delta, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq \delta, \rho < 1$ such that for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \times \mathbb{N}_0^n$ there exist constants $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$, $N_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N_\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with which the inequality (3.2) holds. Then one can find a constant $C > 0$ such that*

(i) $\|L'(X, D) \varphi\|_{1,k} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{1,kk^-} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S})$

if and only if one can find a constant $M > 0$ such that

(ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} | \mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta) | d\eta \leq M(kk^-)(\xi) \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n).$

Proof: Theorem 3.2 implies that (i) follows from (ii). On the other hand, suppose that (i) is valid. In virtue of Lemma 3.1 we obtain for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} | \mathcal{F}(L(\cdot, -\eta) \Phi_l)(\eta - \xi) | k(\eta) d\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} | \mathcal{F}(L(\cdot, -\eta) \Phi_l e^{i\xi(\cdot)}) (\eta) | k(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} | \mathcal{F}(L'(X, D) (\Phi_l e^{i\xi(\cdot)}))(\eta) k(\eta) | d\eta = (2\pi)^n \|L'(X, D) (\Phi_l e^{i\xi(\cdot)})\|_{1,k} \\ &\leq (2\pi)^n C \|\Phi_l e^{i\xi(\cdot)}\|_{1,kk^-} = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}\Phi_l)(\eta - \xi) (kk^-)(\eta)| d\eta \\ &= l^n C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}\Phi)(l(\eta - \xi)) (kk^-)(\eta)| d\eta \\ &= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}\Phi)(\tau) (kk^-)\left(\xi + \frac{\tau}{l}\right)| d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}\Phi)(\tau) M_{kk^-}\left(\frac{\tau}{l}\right)| d\tau (kk^-)(\xi) \leq (2\pi)^n C C_1 \|\Phi\|_{1,kN_1} (kk^-)(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ and $N_1 > 0$ are chosen so that

$$M_{kk^{-1}}(\tau) := \sup_{\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n} \{ (kk^{-1})(\tau + \eta) / (kk^{-1})(\eta) \} \leq C_1 k_{N_1}(\tau).$$

This completes the proof ■

4. On boundedness in spaces $\mathcal{B}_{p,k}$

4.1 Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$ be given. Define $p' \in (1, \infty)$ and $k^\vee \in \mathcal{K}$ by $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and by $k^\vee(\xi) = k(-\xi)$. Denote the dual space of $\mathcal{B}_{p,k}$ by $\mathcal{B}_{p',k^\vee}^*$. Then for each $L \in \mathcal{B}_{p,k}^*$ one can find an element $l \in \mathcal{B}_{p',1/k^\vee}^*$ such that $L\varphi = l(\varphi)$ ($\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$) and $\|L\| = \|l\|_{p',1/k^\vee}$. On the other hand with each $l \in \mathcal{B}_{p',1/k^\vee}^*$ the linear form $L: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by $L\varphi = l(\varphi)$ has a continuous extension $\mathcal{B}_{p,k} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ (cf. [2: 42]). Hence one sees easily the following

Lemma 4.1: *Suppose that the operator $L(X, D)$ defined by (2.1) maps \mathcal{S} into itself and that the formal transpose $L'(X, D): \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ exists. Then, for $p > 1$,*

$$\|L'(X, D)\varphi\|_{p,k} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{p,kk^{-1}} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S})$$

if and only if

$$\|L(X, D)\varphi\|_{p',1/(kk^{-1})^\vee} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{p',1/k^\vee} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S}).$$

Furthermore, we have

Theorem 4.2: *Suppose that one can find numbers $\delta, \rho \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \leq \delta, \rho < 1$ such that for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0^n$ there exist constants $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$, $N_\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $N_\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ with which the inequality (3.2) holds. Furthermore, suppose that there exist constants $M > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that for all $l \in \mathbf{N}$*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \mathcal{F} \left| (\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta) \right| d\eta \leq M (kk^{-1})(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) \right| \frac{d\xi}{|(kk^{-1})(\xi)|} \leq K \frac{1}{k(\eta)} \quad (\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n). \quad (4.1)$$

Then one can find a constant $C > 0$ (which is independent of $p \in [1, \infty)$) such that

$$\|L'(X, D)\varphi\|_{p,k} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{p,kk^{-1}} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S}).$$

Proof: Let the functions Θ_j' be as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Define functions $h_{i,j}: \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$h_{i,j}(\xi, \eta) = \left| \mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) (\mathcal{F}\Theta_j')(\eta) \frac{k(\eta)}{(kk^{-1})(\xi)} \right|.$$

Then we have (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^n \left| \mathcal{F}(L'(X, D)(\Phi_l \varphi))(\eta) (\mathcal{F}\Theta_j')(\eta) \right| k(\eta) \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) (kk^{-1})(\xi)| \left| \mathcal{F}(\Phi_l L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) (\mathcal{F}\Theta_j')(\eta) \right| \frac{k(\eta)}{(kk^{-1})(\xi)} d\xi \\ & = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h_{i,j}(\xi, \eta)^{1/p'} h_{i,j}(\xi, \eta)^{1/p} |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) (kk^{-1})(\xi)| d\xi \\ & \leq \|h_{i,j}(\cdot, \eta)^{1/p'}\|_{p'} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h_{i,j}(\xi, \eta) |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) (kk^{-1})(\xi)|^p d\xi \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L'(X, D) (\Phi_l \varphi) * \Theta_j\|_{p,k}^{p'} \\ & \leq \frac{K^{p/p'}}{(2\pi)^{np+n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{l,j}(\xi, \eta) |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) (kk^\sim)(\xi)|^p d\xi \right) d\eta \\ & = \frac{K^{p/p'}}{(2\pi)^{np+n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{l,j}(\xi, \eta) d\eta \right) |(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi) (kk^\sim)(\xi)|^p d\xi \\ & \leq \frac{K^{p/p'}}{(2\pi)^{np}} M \|\varphi\|_{p,kk^\sim}^p \leq \frac{(K+M)^{p/p'+1}}{(2\pi)^{np}} \|\varphi\|_{p,kk^\sim}^{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies our assertion ■

4.2 Let G be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n . We apply Theorem 4.2 to obtain a criterion for the existence of a continuous extension of $L(X, D)$ from $\mathcal{B}_{p,1/k^\vee}$ into $\mathcal{B}_{p,1/(kk^\sim)^\vee}^{loc}(G)$. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Corollary 4.3: Suppose that one can find a number $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq \delta < 1$ such that for each pair $(\alpha; \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \times \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and for each $\psi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ there exist $C_{\alpha,\beta,\psi} > 0$ and $N_{\beta,\psi} \in \mathbb{R}$ with which

$$\sup_{x \in \text{supp} \psi} |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta L(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,\psi} k_{N_{\beta,\psi} + \delta|\alpha|}(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Furthermore, suppose that there exists $C_\psi > 0$ such that for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\tau))(\tau - \xi)| k(\tau) d\tau \leq C_\psi (kk^\sim)(\xi) \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(kk^\sim)(\tau)} \leq C_\psi \frac{1}{k(\eta)} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

Then $L(X, D)$ defined by (2.1) is an operator from \mathcal{S} into $C^\infty(G)$ and it has a continuous extension from $\mathcal{B}_{p,1/k^\vee}$ into $\mathcal{B}_{p,1/(kk^\sim)^\vee}^{loc}(G)$.

Proof: Let $Q_\psi(X, D)$ be the operator (2.1) with the symbol $\psi(x) L(x, \xi)$, where $\psi \in C_0^\infty(G)$. Then $Q_\psi(x, \xi)$ satisfies (3.2) so that the first assertion is obvious. Since $\Phi_l \psi = \psi$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, the conditions (3.7) and (4.1) hold for $Q_\psi(X, D)$. Theorem 4.2 implies the existence of a constant $C_\psi' > 0$ (which is independent of $p \in [1, \infty)$) such that

$$\|Q_\psi'(X, D) \varphi\|_{p,k} \leq C_\psi' \|\varphi\|_{p,kk^\sim} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S}).$$

In view of Theorem 4.1 one has for $p' \in (1, \infty)$

$$\|Q_\psi(X, D) \varphi\|_{p',1/(kk^\sim)^\vee} \leq C_\psi' \|\varphi\|_{p',1/k^\vee} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S}).$$

Since C_ψ' does not depend on $p' \in (1, \infty)$, this inequality implies

$$\|\psi L(X, D) \varphi\|_{p,1/(kk^\sim)^\vee} \leq C_\psi' \|\varphi\|_{p,1/k^\vee} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{S})$$

and then $L(X, D)$ has the stated extension ■

A sufficient condition for the validity of (4.2) is the following one: for each $\psi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ and $|\alpha| \leq [N + L + n + \varepsilon]$ (with $\varepsilon > 0$)

$$\sup_{x \in \text{supp} \psi} |D_x^\alpha L(x, -\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\psi} k^\sim(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (4.3)$$

where N and $L \in \mathbf{R}$ are chosen such that for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^n$

$$k(\xi + \eta) \leq Ck_N(\xi) k(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad k^{\sim}(\xi + \eta) \leq Ck_L(\xi) k^{\sim}(\eta)$$

(cf. the proof of Theorem 2.1). Furthermore, one sees for $p \in [1, \infty)$

Corollary 4.4: *Suppose that one can find a number $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \leq \delta < 1$ such that for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0^n$ there exist constants $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$ and $N_\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ with which*

$$\sup_{x \in \text{supp } \psi} |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta L(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} k_{N_\beta + \delta|\alpha|}(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n),$$

where $\psi \in C_0^\infty$. Furthermore, suppose that for $|\alpha| \leq [N + L + n + 1]$

$$\sup_{x \in \text{supp } \psi} |D_x^\alpha L(x, -\xi)| \leq C_\alpha k^{\sim}(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n).$$

Then the operator $(\psi L(x, D))'$ has a continuous extension from $\mathcal{B}_{p, kk^{\sim}}$ into $\mathcal{B}_{p, k}$.

For example the operators defined by (1.1), where $L(x, \xi) \in S_{0,0}^m$ satisfy the assumptions (3.2) and (4.3) with $k^{\sim} = k_m$.

5. Criteria for compactness

5.1 Let G be an open bounded set in \mathbf{R}^n . We are going to investigate the compactness of the continuous extension $\bar{L}': \mathcal{B}_{1, kk^{\sim}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ of the formal transpose $L': C_0^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ of an operator $L: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow C^\infty(G)$ defined by (2.1), that is, L' satisfies the relation $(L'\varphi)(\psi) = \varphi(L\psi)$ for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$. We give also a sufficient condition for the compactness of the continuous extension $\bar{L}_p': \mathcal{B}_{p, kk^{\sim}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p, k}$ of L' . In the following we keep the open set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ fixed. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty$, $\psi(x) \equiv 1$ in the closure \bar{G} of G .

Lemma 5.1: *Suppose that one can find a number $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \leq \delta < 1$ such that for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0^n$ there exist constants $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$ and $N_\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ with which*

$$\sup_{x \in \text{supp } \psi} |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta L(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} k_{N_\beta + \delta|\alpha|}(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n). \quad (5.1)$$

In addition, we assume that there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \leq M(kk^{\sim})(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n). \quad (5.2)$$

Then L maps \mathcal{S} into $C^\infty(G)$, the formal transpose $L': C_0^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ exists, L' has a continuous extension $\bar{L}': \mathcal{B}_{1, kk^{\sim}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ and

$$\|\bar{L}'\| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n} \frac{1}{(kk^{\sim})(\xi)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta.$$

Proof: Let $Q(x, \xi) = \psi(x) L(x, \xi)$. Then due to (5.1) the condition (3.2) is valid for $Q(x, \xi)$ (with $N_\alpha = 0$ and $\rho = 0$). Replacing in the proof of Theorem 3.2 Φ_l with ψ one sees that (cf. (3.8))

$$\|Q'(X, D)\varphi\|_{1, k} \leq \frac{M}{(2\pi)^n} \|\varphi\|_{1, kk^{\sim}}.$$

Since for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}$ one has $(Q' \varphi)(\Phi) = \varphi(Q\Phi) = \varphi(L\Phi)$ (where we denoted $Q = Q(X, D)$ and $Q' = Q'(X, D)$) and since M can be chosen to be

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(kk^\sim)(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta,$$

the proof is ready \blacksquare

Remark: The validity of (5.1) is sufficient to imply that L maps \mathcal{S} into $C^\infty(G)$ and that $L': C_0^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ exists.

Lemma 5.2: Suppose that (5.1) is valid for $L(x, \xi)$. Then for each fixed $R > 0$ the quantity

$$P(R, N) = \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{1}{(kk^\sim)(\xi)} \int_{|\eta| > N} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta$$

is tending to zero with $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Since $1/(kk^\sim)$ is continuous, it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{|\xi| \leq R} \int_{|\eta| > N} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |(\eta - \xi)^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi)| &= |\mathcal{F}(D_x^\alpha(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta)))(\eta - \xi)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} |\mathcal{F}(D^{\alpha-\gamma} \psi D_x^\gamma L(x, -\eta))(\eta - \xi)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \sup_{x \in \text{supp } \psi} |D_x^\gamma L(x, -\eta)| \|D^{\alpha-\gamma} \psi\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} C_{\gamma,0} k_{N_0 + \delta|\gamma|}(\eta) \|D^{\alpha-\gamma} \psi\|_{L^1} \leq C_\alpha k_{N_0 + \delta|\alpha|}(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

with some $C_\alpha > 0$. Hence for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_m > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi)| \leq C_m k_{N_0 + (\delta-1)m}(\eta) k_m(\xi).$$

Choose m so large that $N_0 + N_1 + (\delta - 1)m \leq -(n + 1)$, where $N_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $k \leq Ck_{N_1}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{|\xi| \leq R} \int_{|\eta| > N} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \\ &\leq C_m C \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} k_m(\xi) \int_{|\eta| > N} k_{-(n+1)}(\eta) d\eta \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion \blacksquare

Let $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 2))$, $0 \leq \Phi \leq 1$ and $\Phi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \overline{B(0, 1)}$. Define $\Phi_j \in C_0^\infty$ by $\Phi_j(x) = \Phi(x/j)$. Furthermore, let $L_j(x, \xi) = L(x, \xi) \Phi_j(\xi)$ and $Q_j(x, \xi) = \psi(x) \times L(x, \xi) \Phi_j(\xi)$. Supposing that $L(x, \xi)$ satisfies (5.1)–(5.2), one sees that $L_j(x, \xi)$ satisfies (5.1)–(5.2) as well. Hence due to Lemma 5.1 the continuous extension $\tilde{L}_j: \mathcal{B}_{1,kk^\sim}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1,k}$ exists. We have

Lemma 5.3: Suppose that (5.1) is valid for $L(x, \xi)$ and that

$$\frac{1}{(kk^{\sim})(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \xrightarrow{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Then

$$\|\bar{L}_j' - \bar{L}'\| \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof: The symbol $L_j(x, \xi) - L(x, \xi)$ satisfies (5.1)–(5.2). Hence due to Lemma 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^n \|\bar{L}_j' - \bar{L}'\| &= (2\pi)^n \|\overline{L_j - L}\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(kk^{\sim})(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi(L_j(\cdot, -\eta) - L(\cdot, -\eta)))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \\ &= \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(kk^{\sim})(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(1 - \Phi_j(-\eta)) \mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $R > 0$ so large that

$$\frac{1}{(kk^{\sim})(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta < \varepsilon \quad (|\xi| > R).$$

Furthermore, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P(R, j) < \varepsilon$ ($j \geq N$), where $P(R, j)$ is the quantity defined in Lemma 5.2. Then one gets by (5.5)

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^n \|\bar{L}_j' - \bar{L}'\| &\leq \sup_{|\xi| > R} \frac{1}{(kk^{\sim})(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(1 - \Phi_j(-\eta)) \mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \\ &\quad + \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{1}{(kk^{\sim})(\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(1 - \Phi_j(-\eta)) \mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{1}{(kk^{\sim})(\xi)} \int_{|\eta| > j} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| < 2\varepsilon (2\pi)^n \end{aligned}$$

for all $j \geq N$. Thus \bar{L}_j' is converging to \bar{L}' ■

5.2 In this subsection we show that \bar{L}_j' is compact for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the compactness of \bar{L}' follows from (5.4).

Lemma 5.4: Suppose that (5.1) is valid for $L(x, \xi)$. Let $Q_j(x, \xi)$ be defined as in the Subsection 5.1. Then the formal transpose Q_j' of the operator (2.1) corresponding the symbol $Q_j(x, \xi)$ has a continuous extension $\bar{Q}_j': \mathcal{B}_{1, kk^{\sim}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ and \bar{Q}_j' is compact (with each $k, k^{\sim} \in \mathcal{K}$).

Proof: a) For each $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \times \mathbb{N}_0^n$ the symbol $Q_j(x, \xi)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta Q_j(x, \xi)| \\ & \leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \sum_{\tau \leq \beta} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \binom{\beta}{\tau} |(D_x^\gamma \psi)(x)| |(D_\xi^\tau \Phi_j)(\xi)| |D_x^{\alpha-\gamma} D_\xi^{\beta-\tau} L(x, \xi)| \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \sum_{\tau \leq \beta} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \binom{\beta}{\tau} |(D_x^\alpha \psi)(x)| |(D_\xi^\tau \Phi_j)(\xi)| C_{\alpha-\gamma, \beta-\tau} k_{N_{\beta-\tau} + \delta|\alpha-\gamma|}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

and then $Q_j(x, \xi)$ obeys the assumptions of Corollary 4.4 (with respect to each $k, k^\sim \in \mathcal{K}$). Hence by Corollary 4.4 the continuous extension $\bar{Q}_j': \mathcal{B}_{1, k, k^\sim} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ exists.

b) Let $\{u_m\} \subset \mathcal{B}_{1, k, k^\sim}$ be a sequence such that $\|u_m\|_{1, k, k^\sim} \leq C$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$). Then there exists a $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\|u_m - \varphi_m\|_{1, k, k^\sim} \leq 1/m. \tag{5.6}$$

We shall show that $\{\varphi_m\}$ possesses a subsequence $\{\varphi_{m'}\}$ so that $\|\bar{Q}_j' \varphi_{m'} - f\|_{1, k} \rightarrow 0$ with $m' \rightarrow \infty$ (with some $f \in \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$). This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{Q}_j' u_{m'} - f\|_{1, k} & \leq \|\bar{Q}_j' u_{m'} - \bar{Q}_j' \varphi_{m'}\|_{1, k} + \|\bar{Q}_j' \varphi_{m'} - f\|_{1, k} \\ & \leq \|\bar{Q}_j'\| \|u_{m'} - \varphi_{m'}\|_{1, k, k^\sim} + \|\bar{Q}_j' \varphi_{m'} - f\|_{1, k} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

and then \bar{Q}_j' is compact.

c) Define $f_m: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f_m(\xi) = \mathcal{F}(Q_j' \varphi_m)(\xi)$. Then $f_m \in \mathcal{S} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, $\{f_m\}$ is uniformly bounded: in virtue of (3.3) one has

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{F}(Q_j' \varphi_m)(\xi)| \\ & = |\mathcal{F}(\varphi_m Q_j(x, -\xi))(\xi)| = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{F}\varphi_m)(\eta) \mathcal{F}(Q_j(x, -\xi))(\xi - \eta) d\eta \right| \\ & = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\varphi_m)(\eta)| (kk^\sim)(\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}(\psi L(x, -\xi) \Phi_j(-\xi))(\xi - \eta)}{(kk^\sim)(\eta)} \right| d\eta \\ & \leq \|\varphi_m\|_{1, k, k^\sim} \Phi_j(-\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}(\psi L(x, -\xi))(\xi - \eta)}{(kk^\sim)(\eta)} \right| d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.2 one sees that

$$E := \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_j(-\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}(\psi L(x, -\xi))(\xi - \eta)}{(kk^\sim)(\eta)} \right| d\eta < \infty.$$

Hence by (5.6) and by (5.7) $|f_m(\xi)| \leq (C + 1) E$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$).

d) We show that $\{f_m\}$ is equicontinuous. Let $\xi, \xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$|f_m(\xi) - f_m(\xi_0)| \leq \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n |(D_i f_m)(\tau)| |\xi - \xi_0|.$$

Hence it is sufficient to verify that

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(D_i f_m)(\tau)| \leq C' \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{5.8}$$

In fact we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |D_i f_m(\xi)| &= |D_i \mathcal{F}(Q_j' \varphi_m)(\xi)| = |D_i \mathcal{F}(\varphi_m Q_j(x, -\xi))(\xi)| \\
 &= \left| D_i \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi_m(x) \psi(x) L(x, -\xi) \Phi_j(-\xi) e^{-i(\xi, x)} dx \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi_m \psi D_i(L(x, -\xi) \Phi_j(-\xi)) e^{-i(\xi, x)} dx \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi_m \psi L(x, -\xi) \Phi_j(-\xi) \xi_i e^{-i(\xi, x)} dx \right| \\
 &= |\mathcal{F}(\varphi_m \psi D_i(L(x, -\xi) \Phi_j(-\xi)))(\xi)| + |\mathcal{F}(\varphi_m \psi L(x, -\xi) \Phi_j(-\xi) \xi_i)(\xi)|,
 \end{aligned}$$

and then (5.8) follows with the same conclusions as done in the part c.

e) Since $\{f_m\}$ is uniformly bounded and $\{f_m\}$ is a equicontinuous set; the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem implies that one can find a subsequence $\{f_m'\}$ so that $\{f_m'\}$ is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of \mathbf{R}^n . Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(Q_j' \varphi_m' - Q_j' \varphi_l')(\xi) k(\xi)| d\xi \\
 &= \int_{\overline{B(0, 2j)}} |f_m'(\xi) - f_l'(\xi)| k(\xi) d\xi \xrightarrow{m, l \rightarrow \infty} 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and then $\|Q_j' \varphi_m' - f\|_{1, k} \rightarrow 0$ with some $f \in \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ ■

Let G and ψ be as above. Then we obtain

Theorem 5.5: *Suppose that (5.1) is valid for $L(x, \xi)$ and let $L_j(x, \xi) = L(x, \xi) \Phi_j(\xi)$. Then the continuous extension $\bar{L}_j': \mathcal{B}_{1, k k^-}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ exists and \bar{L}_j' is compact.*

Proof: Due to Lemma 5.4 the continuous extension $\bar{Q}_j': \mathcal{B}_{1, k k^-} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ exists and \bar{Q}_j' is compact. Hence it is easy to see that the continuous extension \bar{L}_j' exists and that $\bar{L}_j' u = \bar{Q}_j' u$ for each $u \in \mathcal{B}_{1, k k^-}(G)$. This completes the proof ■

Corollary 5.6: *Suppose that (5.1) and (5.3) are valid for $L(x, \xi)$. Then the continuous extension $\bar{L}': \mathcal{B}_{1, k k^-}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ exists and \bar{L}' is compact.*

Proof: $\bar{L}_j': \mathcal{B}_{1, k k^-}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ is compact for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$. Since the space of all compact operators $K: \mathcal{B}_{1, k k^-}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ is closed in the space of all bounded operators $T: \mathcal{B}_{1, k k^-}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$, the Lemma 5.3 proves the assertion ■

5.3 Let G be an open bounded subset in \mathbf{R}^n and let $\psi \in C_0^\infty$, $\psi(x) = 1$ for $x \in \bar{G}$. Choose an open bounded set G' such that $\text{supp } \psi \subset G'$ and let $\psi' \in C_0^\infty$, $\psi'(x) = 1$ for $x \in \bar{G}'$. Assume that

$$\sup_{x \in \text{supp } \psi'} |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta L(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} k_{N\beta + |\alpha|}(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n). \quad (5.9)$$

Our goal is to show that the compactness of $\bar{L}': \mathcal{B}_{1, k k^-}(G') \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ implies the condition (5.3). Let $\{\xi_j\} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $|\xi_j| \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$u_j \in C_0^\infty(G'), \quad u_j(x) = \psi(x) e^{i(\xi_j, x)} / (k k^-)(\xi_j). \quad (5.10)$$

Lemma 5.7: *Suppose that (5.1) is valid for $L(x, \xi)$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}(\psi L(x, -\xi))(\xi - \xi_j)| / (k k^-)(\xi_j) \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n).$$

Proof: In virtue of (5.1) we obtain for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$

$$\begin{aligned} |(\xi - \xi_j)^\alpha \mathcal{F}(\psi L(x, -\xi)) (\xi - \xi_j)| &= |\mathcal{F}(D_x^\alpha(\psi L(x, -\xi))) (\xi - \xi_j)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \sup_{x \in \text{supp } \psi} |D_x^\gamma L(x, -\xi)| \|D_x^{\alpha-\gamma} \psi\|_{L^1} \leq C_{\psi, \alpha} k_{N_\alpha + \delta|\alpha|}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

with some constant $C_{\psi, \alpha} > 0$. Hence one sees that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $C_N > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}(\psi L(x, -\xi)) (\xi - \xi_j)| \leq C_N k_{N_\alpha + (\delta+1)N}(\xi) k_{-N}(\xi_j).$$

This proves the Lemma \blacksquare

We are now ready to show

Theorem 5.8: *Suppose that (5.9) is valid for $L(x, \xi)$. Furthermore, suppose that the continuous extension $\bar{L}: \mathcal{B}_{1, k\tilde{~}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{1, k}$ exists and that \bar{L} is compact. Then the convergence (5.3) is valid.*

Proof: a) Let $u_j \in C_0^\infty(G')$ be defined by (5.10). We must show that there exists a subsequence $\{\xi_j'\}$ of $\{\xi_j\}$ so that

$$\frac{1}{(kk\tilde{~})(\xi_j')} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta)) (\eta - \xi_j') k(\eta)| d\eta \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\|u_j\|_{1, k\tilde{~}} = \frac{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}\psi)(\eta - \xi_j) (kk\tilde{~})(\eta)| d\eta}{(kk\tilde{~})(\xi_j)} \leq \|\psi\|_{1, M_{k\tilde{~}}}.$$

Since \bar{L} is compact, there exists a subsequence $\{u_j'\}$ of $\{u_j\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\mathcal{F}(L'u_j'))(\xi) - (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi)| k(\xi) d\xi \\ = \|L'u_j' - f\|_{1, k} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

b) We show that $f = 0$. In virtue of (5.11) there is a subsequence $\{u_j''\}$ of $\{u_j'\}$ such that $(L'u_j'')(\xi) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . On the other hand, by Lemma 5.7 and by (3.3) for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(L'u_j'')(\xi) &= \mathcal{F}(L'(X, D)(\psi e^{i(\xi_j'', x)}))(\xi) / (kk\tilde{~})(\xi_j) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\psi L(x, -\xi)) (\xi' - \xi_j) / (kk\tilde{~})(\xi_j) \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n and then $f = 0$.

c) In view of (5.11) and (3.3) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{(kk\tilde{~})(\xi_j)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta)) (\eta - \xi_j') k(\eta)| d\eta \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \mathcal{F}\left(L'(X, D) \frac{\psi e^{i(\xi_j', x)}}{(kk\tilde{~})(\xi_j')}\right) (\eta) k(\eta) \right| d\eta = \|L'u_j'\|_{1, k} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

and then the proof is ready \blacksquare

Remark: With the same kind of conclusions one sees the following result: Suppose that (5.1) is valid for $L(x, \xi)$ and that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \leq M(kk^\sim)(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \tau)}{(kk^\sim)(\tau)} \right| d\tau \leq K \frac{1}{k(\eta)} \quad (\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n).$$

Furthermore, assume that either

$$\frac{1}{(kk^\sim)(\xi)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \xi) k(\eta)| d\eta \xrightarrow{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

or

$$k(\eta) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}(\psi L(\cdot, -\eta))(\eta - \tau)}{(kk^\sim)(\tau)} \right| d\tau \xrightarrow{|\eta| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Then the continuous extension $\bar{L}_p': \mathcal{B}_{p, kk^\sim}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{p, k}$ exists and \bar{L}_p' is compact.

REFERENCES

- [1] BEALS, R., and C. FEFFERMAN: Spatially inhomogeneous pseudo-differential operators I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **27** (1974), 1–24.
- [2] HÖRMANDER, L.: *Linear partial differential operators*. Berlin–Heidelberg–New York: Springer-Verlag 1969.
- [3] HÖRMANDER, L.: On the L^2 -continuity of pseudo-differential operators. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **24** (1971), 529–535.
- [4] ILLNER, R.: A class of L^p -bounded pseudo-differential operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (1975), 347–355.
- [5] KOHN, J. J., and L. NIRENBERG: An algebra of pseudo-differential operators. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **18** (1965), 443–492.
- [6] NAGASE, M.: The L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators with nonregular symbols. *Comm. Part. Diff. Equ.* **2** (1977), 1045–1061.

Manuskripteingang: 30. 06. 1986

VERFASSER:

Dr. JOUKO TERVO
University of Iyväskylä
Seminaarinkatu 15
SF-40100 Iyväskylä
Finland