

## On the Spectrum of Schrödinger Operators at the Half Space with a Certain Class of Boundary Conditions

M. SCHRÖDER

Es wird das Spektrum von freien Schrödinger-Operatoren auf dem Halbraum mit Randbedingung  $\varphi_n - Q\varphi = 0$  ( $\varphi_n$  ist die Normalableitung,  $Q$  ein selbstadjungierter Operator auf dem Rand) untersucht und ein Zusammenhang zwischen seinem negativen Teil und dem Spektrum einer Familie von Operatoren vom Klein-Gordon-Typ hergestellt.

Рассматривается спектр свободных шрёдингеровских операторов на полупространстве с граничным условием  $\varphi_n - Q\varphi = 0$  (здесь  $\varphi_n$  обозначает нормальную производную, а  $Q$  — некоторый самосопряженный оператор на границе) и устанавливается связь между его отрицательной частью и спектром семейства операторов типа Клейна-Гордона.

The spectrum of free Schrödinger operators at the half space with boundary condition  $\varphi_n - Q\varphi = 0$  ( $\varphi_n$  being the normal derivative,  $Q$  a self-adjoint operator at the boundary) is investigated and a connection between its negative part and the spectrum of a family of Klein-Gordon type operators is stated.

### 0. Introduction

For the understanding of surface effects it is useful to consider the motion of particles in domains with position-dependent boundary conditions. In the one-dimensional case it has been shown that the operator  $H_q = -d^2/dx^2$  with boundary conditions  $\varphi'(0) = q\varphi(0)$ ,  $q \in \mathbf{R}$ , is the norm resolvent limit of  $-d^2/dx^2 + nV(nx)$  with Neumann boundary conditions for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $V$  is an  $L_1$ -function satisfying

$\int_0^\infty V(x) dx = q$  (see [1]). One can conjecture that an analogous property holds in

the multidimensional case, when  $H_Q = -\Delta$  in  $L_2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}_+)$  with boundary conditions  $\partial\varphi/\partial x_n|_{x_n=0} = Q\varphi|_{x_n=0}$ , where  $Q$  is a multiplication operator representing the action of boundary forces. However, in this paper we will not restrict ourselves to multiplication operators. Thus our results may be applied to the case of non-local boundary forces, too. A detailed analysis of  $H_Q$  with convolution-type operators  $Q$  will be provided in a forthcoming paper [7]. Our main result, the statement of a connection between the spectra of  $H_Q$  and  $K_{Q,E} = (-\Delta - E)^{1/2} + Q$  in  $L_2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $E < 0$ , is formulated and proved in Section 1. This connection enables us to make use of the theory of pseudodifferential and, particularly, Klein-Gordon operators, which took a rapid development in the recent years (see, e.g., [4, 5, 10–12]). Section 2 contains two propositions on the applicability of our Theorem for certain classes of functions  $Q$ . The last Section 3 is devoted to the proof of some technical lemmata. Applications of the results of the present paper will be published in [2, 3, 8].

## 1. The main result

In the following we will use the notations

$$\mathbf{R}_+^n = \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}_+,$$

$$\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|' \text{ for the norms of } L_2(\mathbf{R}_+^n), L_2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}), \text{ resp.,}$$

$$\Delta, \Delta' \text{ for the Laplacians in } \mathbf{R}_+^n, \mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \text{ resp.,}$$

$$H_Q = -\Delta,$$

$$D(H_Q) = \{\varphi \in L_2(\mathbf{R}_+^n) : \Delta\varphi \in L_2(\mathbf{R}_+^n),$$

$$\text{l.i.m.}_{h \searrow 0} (\partial/\partial x_n) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, h) \in L_2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}),$$

$$\text{l.i.m.}_{h \searrow 0} Q\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, h) \in L_2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}),$$

$$\text{l.i.m.}_{h \searrow 0} (\partial\varphi/\partial x_n - Q\varphi)|_{x_n=h} = 0\}, \quad (1)$$

$$K_{Q,E} = (-\Delta' - E)^{1/2} + Q. \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{\phi}(p, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} e^{-i(p,y)} \varphi(y, x) d^{n-1}y \quad (3)$$

for the Fourier transform over the first  $n - 1$  variables.

Now we can state

**Theorem 1:** Let  $Q = Q^*$  be  $K_{0,0}$ -bounded with a relative bound less than 1. Then

- (i)  $H_Q$  is self-adjoint,
- (ii)  $[0, \infty) \subset \sigma(H_Q)$ ,
- (iii)  $0 > E \in \sigma(H_Q)$  iff  $0 \in \sigma(K_{Q,E})$ ,
- (iv)  $0 > E \in \sigma_{pp}(H_Q)$  iff  $0 \in \sigma_{pp}(K_{Q,E})$ ,
- (v)  $0 > E \in \sigma_{ess}(H_Q)$  iff  $0 \in \sigma_{ess}(K_{Q,E})$ ,

where  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_{pp}$  and  $\sigma_{ess}$  denote the spectrum, the pure point spectrum and the essential spectrum, respectively.

**Proof:** (i)  $D(H_Q)$  is dense in  $L_2(\mathbf{R}_+^n)$ , since  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+^n) \subset D(H_Q)$ . Equipped with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_Q = \|\varphi\| + \|\Delta\varphi\| + \lim_{h \searrow 0} (\|Q\varphi|_{x_n=h}\|' + \|\partial\varphi/\partial x_n|_{x_n=h}\|'),$$

$C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+^n)$  can be completed to a Banach space  $M_Q$  which contains  $D(H_Q)$ . Since  $D(H_Q)$  is the kernel of a continuous map from  $M_Q$  into  $L_2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})$ , it is closed, and so is  $H_Q$ . From Gauss' Theorem (cf. [6]) it follows that  $H_Q$  is symmetric. On the other hand, it is well known that  $\overline{(H_Q \pm i) C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+^n)} = L_2(\mathbf{R}_+^n)$ , which implies (i).

- (ii) can be verified by taking  $C_0^\infty$ -test functions, which approximate plane waves.
- (iii) Here we need some technical lemmata, which we will prove in Section 3.

**Lemma 1:** Let  $\varphi \in D(H_Q)$  and  $\phi = \varphi|_{x_n=0}$ . Then, for  $E < 0$ ,

$$\|(H_Q - E)\varphi\| \geq 2^{1/2} \|K_{0,E}^{1/2} K_{Q,E} \phi\|' \quad (4)$$

and

$$\left| \|\varphi\| - \frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \|K_{0,E}^{-1/2} \phi\|' \right| \leq \frac{1}{-E} \|(H_Q - E)\varphi\|. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 2: Let  $\phi \in D(K_{0,E}^{3/2})$ ,  $E < 0$ . Then there exists a function  $\varphi \in D(H_Q)$ , with  $\varphi|_{x_n=0} = \phi$ , satisfying

$$\|(H_Q - E)\varphi\| = 2^{1/2} \|K_{0,E}^{1/2} K_{Q,E} \phi\|' \tag{6}$$

and

$$\left| \|\varphi\| - \frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \|K_{0,E}^{1/2} \phi\|' \right| \leq \frac{1}{2(-E)^{3/4}} \|K_{Q,E} \phi\|' \tag{7}$$

Lemma 3: Let  $A = A^*$  and  $0 \in \sigma(A)$ . Then for all  $B_1, B_2$ , with

- a)  $B_1$  and  $B_2^*$  relatively bounded with respect to  $A$ ,
- b)  $B_2^{-1}$  bounded,

it holds that

$$0 \in \sigma(B_1 A B_2). \tag{8}$$

Now we continue the proof of Theorem 1/(iii).

1. Let  $0 > E \in \sigma(H_Q)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(\varphi_k) \subset D(H_Q)$  with  $\|\varphi_k\| = 1$  and  $\|(H_Q - E)\varphi_k\| \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\phi_k = \varphi_k|_{x_n=0}$  and  $\psi_k = 2^{-1/2} K_{0,E}^{-1/2} \phi_k$ . From (4) it follows that

$$\|K_{0,E}^{1/2} K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi_k\|' \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(H_Q - E)\varphi_k\| \rightarrow 0,$$

while  $\|\psi_k\|' \rightarrow 1$  due to (5). Thus  $0 \in \sigma(K_{0,E}^{1/2} K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2})$  and hence (since  $K_{0,E}^{1/2} \geq (-E)^{1/4} > 0$ ),  $0 \in \sigma(K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2})$ . Since the assumption on  $Q$  implies  $D(K_{Q,E}) = D(K_{0,E})$ , and thus  $D(K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2}) = D(K_{0,E}^{3/2})$ , there exists a sequence  $(\psi_k') \subset D(K_{0,E}^{3/2})$ ,  $\|\psi_k'\|' = 1$ , such that  $\|K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi_k'\|' \rightarrow 0$ . Now let  $\phi_k' = K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi_k'$ . We obtain

$$\|K_{Q,E} \phi_k'\|' / \|\phi_k'\|' = \|K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi_k'\|' / \|K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi_k'\|' \leq \frac{1}{(-E)^{1/4}} \|K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi_k'\|' \rightarrow 0,$$

and therefore  $0 \in \sigma(K_{Q,E})$ .

2. Suppose  $0 \in \sigma(K_{Q,E})$ ,  $E < 0$ . By reason of the Closed Graph Theorem, the assumption on  $Q$  yields that  $K_{0,E}$  and, all the more,  $K_{0,E}^{1/2}$  are  $K_{Q,E}$ -bounded. Thus Lemma 3 implies  $0 \in \sigma(K_{0,E}^{1/2} K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2})$ . Hence there exists a sequence  $(\psi_k) \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  such that  $\|\psi_k\|' = 1$  and

$$\|K_{0,E}^{1/2} K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi_k\|' \rightarrow 0. \tag{9}$$

Now we set  $\phi_k = 2^{1/2} K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi_k$ . According to Lemma 2, there exists a sequence  $(\varphi_k) \subset D(H_Q)$  with  $\varphi_k|_{x_n=0} = \phi_k$ . We get  $\|\varphi_k\| \rightarrow 1$  (it follows from (7)) and  $\|(H_Q - E)\varphi_k\| \rightarrow 0$ , which proves  $E \in \sigma(H_Q)$ .

(iv) 1. Let  $\varphi \in D(H_Q)$ ,  $H_Q \varphi = E\varphi$ ,  $E < 0$ . Thus  $\hat{\phi}$  satisfies the differential equation  $-\partial^2 \hat{\phi}(p, x) / \partial x^2 + |p|^2 \hat{\phi}(p, x) = E \hat{\phi}(p, x)$ . Therefore

$$\hat{\phi}(p, x) = \exp(-(|p|^2 - E)^{1/2} x) \hat{\phi}(p, 0).$$

Define  $\psi(p) = 2^{-1/2} (|p|^2 - E)^{-1/4} \hat{\phi}(p, 0)$ . Then  $\psi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $\|\psi\|' = \|\varphi\|$  and, according to (1),  $K_{Q,E} K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi = 0$ . Thus  $K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi \in D(K_{Q,E}) = D(K_{0,E})$ , hence  $\phi = 2^{1/2} K_{0,E}^{1/2} \psi = \varphi|_{x_n=0} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ , and  $K_{Q,E} \phi = 0$ .

2. Suppose  $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $K_{Q,E} \phi = 0$  and  $\hat{\phi}(p, x) = \hat{\phi}(p) \exp(-|p|^2 - E)^{1/2} x)$ . Then  $\varphi \in D(H_Q)$  and  $H_Q \varphi = E\varphi$ , with  $\|\varphi\| \leq 2^{-1/2} (-E)^{-1/4} \|\phi\|'$ .

(v) By choosing the  $\psi_k$  in (9) orthonormal one proves  $E \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_Q)$  for  $0 \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(K_{Q,E})$ . The analyticity of  $K_{Q,E}$  and (iv) imply  $E \in \sigma_{\text{disc}}(H_Q)$  for  $0 \in \sigma_{\text{disc}}(K_{Q,E})$  ■

Remarks: 1. One could expect that  $H_Q$  will be self-adjoint for all such  $Q$ , which define a self-adjoint operator  $K_{Q,E}$ , but, however, this still remains to be verified generally.

2. It is remarkable that, in the case of a multiplication operator  $Q$ , the boundary values of the negative energy wave functions of  $H_Q$  describe relativistic particles moving along the boundary in a potential field  $Q$  with a rest mass corresponding to the binding energy  $-E$ .

### 2. Examples

In this section we state some classes of functions  $Q$  which are  $K_{0,0}$ -bounded (as multiplication operators) with a relative bound less than 1.

Theorem 2: Let  $Q \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^m) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , with  $p = 2$  for  $m = 1$  and  $p > m$  for  $m \geq 2$ . Then  $Q$  is infinitesimally small with respect to  $K_{0,0}$ .

Proof: Let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $2 \leq q < 2m/(m - 2)$  for  $m > 2$  and  $2 \leq q < \infty$  otherwise,  $1/q + 1/s = 1$  (hence  $s > 2m/(2 + m)$ ). The Hausdorff-Young inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_q^s &\leq c_1 \|\hat{\phi}\|_s^s = c_1 \int |\hat{\phi}(u)|^s d^m u \\ &= c_1 \int (|u|^2 + 1)^{-s/2} (|u|^2 + 1)^{1/2} |\hat{\phi}(u)|^s d^m u \\ &\leq c_1 \|(|u|^2 + 1)^{-s/2}\|_{2/(2-s)} \|(|u|^2 + 1)^{1/2} \hat{\phi}(u)\|_2^s \\ &= c_2 \|(|u|^2 + 1)^{1/2} \hat{\phi}\|_2^s, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\|\phi\|_q \leq c_3 \|(|u|^2 + 1)^{1/2} \hat{\phi}\|_2$ . Let  $\hat{\phi}_r(u) = r^{m/s} \hat{\phi}(ru)$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\phi}\|_s &= \|\hat{\phi}_r\|_s \leq c_4 \|(|u|^2 + 1)^{1/2} \hat{\phi}_r\|_2 \\ &= c_4 \left( \int (|u|^2 + 1)^{r^{2m/s}} |\hat{\phi}(ru)|^2 d^m u \right)^{1/2} \\ &= c_4 \left( r^{2m/s-m} \int (|u|^2 r^{-2} + 1) |\hat{\phi}(u)|^2 d^m u \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_4 r^{m/s-m/2-1} \|K_{0,0} \phi\|_2 + c_4 r^{m/s-m/2} \|\phi\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

The first term tends to zero when  $r$  tends to infinity. Thus, for all positive  $a$ , one finds a positive  $b$  such that

$$\|\phi\|_q \leq a \|K_{0,0} \phi\|_2 + b \|\phi\|_2. \tag{10}$$

Now we suppose  $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$ , where  $Q_1 \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^m)$  ( $p > m$ ),  $Q_2 \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $\phi \in D(Q) \cap D(K_{0,0})$ . Then  $\|Q\phi\|_2 \leq \|Q_1\phi\|_2 + \|Q_2\|_\infty \|\phi\|_2$ . On the other hand,

$$\|Q_1\phi\|_2 = \left( \int |Q_1\phi|^2 d^m x \right)^{1/2} \leq (\|Q_1\|_p^2 \| \phi \|_t^2)^{1/2},$$

where  $2/p + 1/t = 1$ ; hence  $\|Q_1\phi\|_2 \leq \|Q_1\|_p \|\phi\|_t$ . Since  $p > m$ , we obtain  $2t < 2m/(m - 2)$ . (If  $m = 1$ , we set  $p = 2$  and  $t = \infty$ .) Finally, we get  $\|Q\phi\|_2 \leq \|Q_1\|_p \times (a \|K_{0,0}\phi\|_2 + b \|\phi\|_2) + \|Q_2\|_\infty \|\phi\|_2$  ■

Theorem 3 [4, 11]: Let  $Q = |x|^{-\alpha}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$ , with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Then

- (i) if  $\alpha < 1$ , then  $Q$  is infinitesimally small with respect to  $K_{0,0}$ , and
  - (ii) for  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $Q$  is  $K_{0,0}$ -bounded with a relative bound  $C_m = 1/(m/2 - 1)$ .
- Consequently, Theorem 1 will be applicable when  $Q = -C|x|^{-1}$ , with  $C < (n - 3)/2$ .

In analogy with [7: Theorem.XIII.96], one can get the following criterion.

Theorem 4: Let  $Q$  be a uniformly local  $L_p(\mathbf{R}^m)$ -function with  $p = 2$  for  $m = 1$  and  $p > m$  for  $m \geq 2$ . Then  $Q$  is infinitesimally small with respect to  $K_{0,0}$ .

Proof: Given a real number  $r \geq 1$  and a measurable set  $C \subset \mathbf{R}^m$ , denote  $\|\cdot\|_{r,C} = \|\cdot\|_{L_r(C)}$ . Let  $C_k, C_k'$  ( $k \in \mathbf{Z}^m$ ) be the cube of that  $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$  for which  $|x_i - k_i| \leq 1/2$  ( $3/2$ , resp.),  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . For  $Q$  as in the assumption, we define  $\|Q\| = \sup \{\|Q\|_{p,C_k} : k \in \mathbf{Z}^m\}$ . We note that, for  $\psi \in D(K_{0,0})$ , the Parseval identity implies

$$\|K_{0,0}\psi\|_2 = \|\nabla\psi\|_2. \tag{11}$$

Now, let  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(C_k')$ , with  $\eta(x) \leq 1$  and  $\eta(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in C_k$ , and let  $q = 2p/(p - 2)$ . Using (10) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{q,C_k} &\leq \|\eta\phi\|_q \leq a \|K_{0,0}(\eta\phi)\|_2 + b \|\eta\phi\|_2 \\ &= a \|\nabla(\eta\phi)\|_2 + b \|\eta\phi\|_2 \leq a \|\eta \nabla\phi\|_2 + a \|\phi \nabla\eta\|_2 + b \|\eta\phi\|_2 \\ &\leq a \|\nabla\phi\|_{2,C_k'} + (a \|\nabla\eta\|_\infty + b) \|\phi\|_{2,C_k} = a \|\nabla\phi\|_{2,C_k'} + b' \|\phi\|_{2,C_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q\phi\|_2^2 &= \sum_k \|Q\phi\|_{2,C_k}^2 \leq \sum_k \|Q\|_{2,C_k}^2 \|\phi\|_{2,C_k}^2 \\ &\leq \|Q\|_{2,C_k}^2 \sum_k (a \|\nabla\phi\|_{2,C_k'} + b' \|\phi\|_{2,C_k'})^2 \\ &\leq 2 \|Q\|_{2,C_k}^2 \sum_k (a^2 \|\nabla\phi\|_{2,C_k'}^2 + b'^2 \|\phi\|_{2,C_k'}^2) \\ &= 2 \cdot 3^m \|Q\|_{2,C_k}^2 (a^2 \|\nabla\phi\|_2^2 + b'^2 \|\phi\|_2^2) \\ &= 2 \cdot 3^m \|Q\|_{2,C_k}^2 (a^2 \|K_{0,0}\phi\|_2^2 + b'^2 \|\phi\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

The first step follows from the Hölder inequality, the second uses the elementary inequality  $(x + y)^2 \leq 2(x^2 + y^2)$ , and the third one is a consequence of the fact that any interior point of  $C_k$  is contained in  $3^m$  cubes  $C_n'$ ,  $|k - n| \leq 1$ . Since  $a$  can be chosen arbitrarily small, the statement follows. ■

### 3. Appendix

Here we recover the proofs of the three Lemmata used in the proof of Theorem 1/(iii).

Proof of Lemma 1: Let  $(H_0 - E)\phi = f$ . Then  $\phi$  satisfies the differential equation

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \phi(p, x) + (p^2 - E)\phi(p, x) = f(p, x). \tag{12}$$

We represent the solution of (12) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p, x) &= \xi(p) \exp(-(p^2 - E)^{1/2} x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (p^2 - E)^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty \exp(-(p^2 - E)^{1/2} |x - z|) f(p, z) dz. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Denoting  $\hat{\phi}(p) = \hat{\phi}(p, 0)$  and using the boundary condition we get the equation

$$(p^2 - E)^{1/2} \hat{\phi}(p) + (Q\hat{\phi})^0(p) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\hat{f}(p, z)}{\exp((p^2 - E)^{1/2} z)} dz \equiv \hat{F}(p).$$

Standard estimates imply  $\|2^{1/2}(p^2 - E)^{1/4} \hat{F}(p)\|' \leq \|f\|$ . Thus (4) is verified. From (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|2^{-1/2}(p^2 - E)^{-1/4} \hat{\epsilon}(p)\|' &= \|\hat{\epsilon}(p) \exp((p^2 - E)^{1/2} x)\| \\ &= \|\hat{\phi}\| + \left( \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{d^{n-1}p}{p^2 - E} \int_0^\infty dx \left| \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{|x-z|}{(p^2 - E)^{1/2}}\right) \hat{f}(p, z) dz \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|\hat{\phi}\| + \frac{3}{4} \|(p^2 - E)^{-1} \hat{f}(p, x)\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|2^{-1/2}(p^2 - E)^{-1/4} \hat{\phi}\|' &\leq \|2^{-1/2}(p^2 - E)^{-1/4} \hat{\epsilon}\|' \\ &\quad + \left\| 2^{-3/2}(p^2 - E)^{-3/4} \int_0^\infty \exp(-(p^2 - E)^{1/2} z) \hat{f}(p, z) dz \right\|' \\ &\leq \|2^{-1/2}(p^2 - E)^{-1/4} \hat{\epsilon}\|' + \frac{1}{4} \|(p^2 - E)^{-1} f\| \leq \|\hat{\phi}\| + \|(p^2 - E)^{-1} f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the opposite direction of the triangle inequality and the boundedness of  $(p^2 - E)^{-1}$  we get (5) ■

**Proof of Lemma 2:** Let  $\phi \in D(K_{0,E}^{3/2})$ ,  $(p^2 - E)^{1/2} \hat{\phi}(p) + (Q\hat{\phi})^0(p) = \hat{F}(p)$ , and set

$$\hat{f}(p, x) = 2(p^2 - E)^{1/2} \hat{F}(p) \exp(-(p^2 - E)^{1/2} x).$$

Obviously,  $\|\hat{f}(p, x)\| = 2^{1/2} \|K_{0,E}^{1/2} F\|'$ . Now we define a function  $\hat{\phi}(p, x)$  as the unique solution in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  of the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \hat{\phi}(p, x) + (p^2 - E) \hat{\phi}(p, x) = \hat{f}(p, x), \quad \hat{\phi}(p, 0) = \hat{\phi}(p). \tag{14}$$

We represent  $\hat{\phi}(p, x)$  as in (13) by setting, in correspondence to the initial condition of (14),  $\hat{\epsilon}(p) = \hat{\phi}(p) - 1/2(p^2 - E)^{-1/2} \hat{F}(p)$ , and get

$$\hat{\phi}(p, x) = \hat{\phi}(p) \exp(-(p^2 - E)^{1/2} x) + \hat{F}(p) x \exp(-(p^2 - E)^{1/2} x).$$

Using again the triangle inequality in both directions, we obtain (7) ■

**Proof of Lemma 3:** Suppose that there are positive real numbers  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  such that for all  $\varphi$

$$\|B_1\varphi\| \leq a_1 \|A\varphi\| + b_1 \|\varphi\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|B_2\varphi\| \leq a_2 \|A\varphi\| + b_2 \|\varphi\|,$$

and that  $A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} tP(dt)$ , where  $P$  is the family of spectral projectors of  $A$ . We denote  $\mathcal{H}_m = B_2^{-1} \text{Ran} \left( P \left( -\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m} \right) \right)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_m$ ,  $\|\varphi\| = 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_2\varphi\|^2 &= (B_2^* B_2 \varphi, \varphi) \leq \|B_2^* B_2 \varphi\| \\ &\leq a_2 \|AB_2\varphi\| + b_2 \|B_2\varphi\| \leq \left( \frac{a_2}{m} + b_2 \right) \|B_2\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|B_2\varphi\| \leq a_2/m + b_2$ . Now we choose a sequence  $(\varphi_m)$  such that  $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{H}_m$ ,  $\|\varphi_m\| = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_1 A B_2 \varphi_m\| &\leq a_1 \|A^2 B_2 \varphi_m\| + b_1 \|A B_2 \varphi_m\| \\ &\leq \left( \frac{a_1}{m^2} + \frac{b_1}{m} \right) \|B_2 \varphi_m\| \leq \left( \frac{a_1}{m^2} + \frac{b_1}{m} \right) \left( \frac{a_2}{m} + b_2 \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

*Acknowledgement:* I am deeply indebted to H. Englisch and P. Šeba for many stimulating discussions on the subject matter of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ENGLISCH, H., and P. ŠEBA: The stability of the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. Rep. Math. Phys. **23** (1986), 73–83.
- [2] ENGLISCH, H., SCHRÖDER, M., and P. ŠEBA: The free Laplacian with attractive boundary conditions. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Sect. A, **46** (1987), 373–382.
- [3] ENGLISCH, H., and M. SCHRÖDER: Schrödinger operators with random boundary conditions. In: Localization in Disordered Systems. Proc. Int. Sem. Bad Schandau (GDR) 1986. Leipzig: BSB B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft 1988 (to appear).
- [4] HERBST, I. W.: Spectral theory of the operator  $(p^2 + m^2)^{1/2} - Ze^2/r$ . Comm. Math. Phys. **53** (1977), 285–294.
- [5] HÖRMANDER, L.: Pseudo-differential operators and non-elliptic boundary problems. Ann. of Math. **83** (1966), 129–209.
- [6] KALF, H.: Gauss's Theorem and the self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators. Arkiv för Mat. **18** (1980), 19–47.
- [7] SCHRÖDER, M.: On the Laplace operator with non-local boundary conditions. Preprint. Karl-Marx-Universität Leipzig 1986.
- [8] SCHRÖDER, M.: Spektraleigenschaften von Schrödinger-Operatoren mit zufälligen ergodischen Randbedingungen. In: 2<sup>nd</sup> Conf. Stochastic Analysis. Wiss. Ber. Ing.-Hochschule Zwickau 1986, 141–145.
- [9] SIMON, B., and M. REED: Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, IV. New York: Academic Press 1978.
- [10] TAYLOR, M. E.: Pseudodifferential operators. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press 1981.
- [11] WEDER, R. A.: Spectral properties of one-body relativistic spin-zero Hamiltonians. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Sect. A, **20** (1974), 211–220.
- [12] WEDER, R. A.: Spectral analysis of pseudodifferential operators. J. Funct. Anal. **20** (1975), 319–337.

VERFASSER:

Manuskripteingang: 20. 02. 1987

Dr. MANFRED SCHRÖDER  
Sektion Mathematik der Karl-Marx-Universität  
DDR-7010 Leipzig, Karl-Marx-Platz 10