

On the Behaviour of the Distributional Stieltjes Transformation at the Origin

S. PILIPOVIĆ

Es wird das Verhalten der Stieltjes-Transformierten solcher Distributionen aus \mathcal{S}'_+ im Ursprung 0 untersucht, die ein geeignetes quasiasymptotisches Verhalten in 0^+ besitzen. Diese neuen Ergebnisse werden mit Hilfe bekannter Resultate über das asymptotische Verhalten bei ∞ erhalten. Ferner wird auch ein Satz vom Tauberschen Typ über das Verhalten in 0 bewiesen.

Исследуется поведение трансформации Стильтьеса таких дистрибуций из \mathcal{S}'_+ в начале координат 0, которые имеют подходящее квазиасимптотическое поведение в точке 0^+ . Эти новые результаты получаются с помощью известных об асимптотическом поведении в точке ∞ . Доказывается также один результат Тауберова типа о поведении в точке 0.

The behaviour of the distributional Stieltjes transformation at the origin 0 is investigated for distributions of \mathcal{S}'_+ having appropriate quasiasymptotic behaviour at 0^+ . These new results follow by known ones for the asymptotic behaviour at ∞ . A Tauberian-type result for the behaviour at 0 is also obtained.

1. Notions and known results

The sets of real and natural numbers are denoted by \mathfrak{R} and \mathfrak{N} , respectively. $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{R}) = \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{R}) = \mathcal{S}'$ denote the spaces of rapidly decreasing functions and tempered distributions, respectively. The space $\mathcal{J}'(r)$, $r \in \mathfrak{R} \setminus (-\mathfrak{N})$ is defined in [3] as a subspace of $\mathcal{S}'_+ = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{R}); \text{supp } f \subset [0, \infty)\}$ consisting of all f of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f &= D^m F, \text{ for some } m \in \mathfrak{N}_0 = \mathfrak{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ F &\in L^1_{loc}, \quad \text{supp } F \subset [0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

D is the distributional derivative, such that

$$\int_0^\infty |F(t)/(t+x)^{r+m+1}| dt < \infty, \quad x > 0. \quad (2)$$

Obviously, if $f \in \mathcal{J}'(r+p)$, then $f^{(p)} \in \mathcal{J}'(r)$, $p \in \mathfrak{N}_0$. We also need the definition of the space $\mathcal{J}'(r)$, $r \in \mathfrak{R} \setminus (-\mathfrak{N})$. This is a subspace of $\mathcal{J}'(r)$ consisting of all $f \in \mathcal{J}'(r)$ for which (1) holds and instead of (2), there holds

$$|F(t)| < C(1+t)^{r+m-\varepsilon}, \quad t > 0, \quad \text{for some } C, \varepsilon > 0. \quad (2)^*$$

The distributional Stieltjes transformation of $f = D^m F \in \mathcal{J}'(r)$ is defined by [2]

$$(S_r f)(z) = (r+1)_m \int_0^\infty \frac{F(t) dt}{(z+t)^{r+m+1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \quad (3)$$

where $(a)_m = a(a+1) \dots (a+m-1)$, $m \in \mathfrak{N}$, $(a)_0 = 1$, $a \in \mathfrak{R}$ and \mathfrak{C} is the set of complex numbers. This is a holomorphic function.

We always denote in this paper by L a function which is *slowly varying at ∞ (0^+)*, i.e. which is a continuous positive function defined in $(0, \infty)$ such that $L(\lambda x)/L(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ ($x \rightarrow 0^+$), $\lambda > 0$. For the properties of such functions we refer to [7]. When in connection with a function L we deal with the point ∞ (0^+) we shall always assume that L is slowly varying at ∞ (0^+).

In our investigations of the distributional Stieltjes transformation the notions of quasiasymptotic behaviour at ∞ and at 0^+ play a fundamental role. These notions are introduced by ZAVIALOV [9]. Note that in [6] we changed slightly the definition of the quasiasymptotic behaviour at 0^+ . Recall, $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+$ has the *quasiasymptotic behaviour at ∞ (0^+) related to $k^\alpha L(k)$ ($(1/k)^\alpha L(1/k)$) with the limit $g \in \mathcal{S}'_+$ if*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{f(kx)}{k^\alpha L(k)}, \varphi(x) \right\rangle = \langle g(x), \varphi(x) \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S},$$

$$\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{f(x/k)}{(1/k)^\alpha L(1/k)}, \varphi(x) \right\rangle = \langle g(x), \varphi(x) \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S} \right). \quad (4)$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the dual pairing between \mathcal{S}' and \mathcal{S} . We include in the definition the case $g = 0$, as well, while in [9] and [6] were assumed $g \neq 0$. It is well known that g in (4) must be of the form $g = Cf_{\alpha+1}$, where

$$f_{\alpha+1}(t) = \begin{cases} H(t) t^\alpha / \Gamma(\alpha + 1) & \text{if } \alpha > -1, \\ D^n f_{\alpha+n+1}(t) & \text{if } \alpha \leq -1 \end{cases} \quad (t \in \mathfrak{R})$$

for some $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ with $n + \alpha > -1$ see [8]; H is Heviside's function, Γ is the gamma function. For the properties of the quasiasymptotic behaviour at ∞ we refer to [8] and at 0^+ we refer to [6]. Let us only quote the so-called structural theorem. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+$ have the quasiasymptotic behaviour at ∞ (0^+) related to $k^\alpha L(k)$ ($(1/k)^\alpha L(1/k)$) with the limit $Cf_{\alpha+1}$; then there is an integer $m_0 \in \mathfrak{N}_0$, $m_0 + \alpha > -1$, such that for every $m \geq m_0$ there is a locally integrable function F_m with $\text{supp } F_m \subset [0, \infty)$ such that

$$f = F_m^{(m)}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_m(x)}{x^{\alpha+m}} = \frac{C}{\Gamma(\alpha + m + 1)} \quad \left(\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F_m(x)}{x^{\alpha+m}} = \frac{C}{\Gamma(\alpha + m + 1)} \right). \quad (5)$$

Note that (5) is proved in [8] and [6] with the assumption $C \neq 0$. One can easily prove that this holds with $C = 0$, as well.

We shall need the following theorem from [5].

Theorem A: *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ have the quasiasymptotic behaviour at ∞ related to $k^\alpha L(k)$ with the limit $Cf_{\alpha+1}$, where $\alpha < r$. Then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(ks)^{r-\alpha}}{L(k)} (S_r f)(ks) = \frac{C\Gamma(r-\alpha)}{\Gamma(r+1)}, \quad (6)$$

for any $s \in \Omega_\varepsilon = \{\rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho > 0, -\pi + \varepsilon \leq \varphi \leq \pi - \varepsilon\}$, where $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$. If $L = 1$, then

$$s^{r-\alpha} (S_r f)(s) \rightarrow \frac{C\Gamma(r-\alpha)}{\Gamma(r+1)} \quad \text{uniformly in } \Omega_\varepsilon \text{ when } |s| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7)$$

This theorem was proved with the assumption $C \neq 0$. But it also holds with $C = 0$, because the main step of its proof is the use of (5) which holds with $C = 0$.

As well, we need from [4] the following theorem.

Theorem B: *The following two statements are true:*

(i) *Let Φ be integrable on \mathbb{R} , $\text{supp } \Phi \subset [0, \infty)$ and $C = \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) dt$. Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(k \cdot)/k^{-1} = C\delta$ in \mathcal{S}' (δ is the delta distribution).*

(ii) *Let $\Phi \in L^1_{loc}$, $\text{supp } \Phi \subset [0, \infty)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)/t^{-1}L(t) = C$ and let $L^*(x) = \int_a^x (L(t)/t) dt \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ ($a > 0$). Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(k \cdot)/k^{-1}L(k) = C\delta$ in \mathcal{S}' .*

Note that (i) holds trivially and that (ii) follows from the fact that

$$\int_0^x \Phi(t) dt/L^*(x) \rightarrow C, \quad x \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{L'Hospital's rule}),$$

and so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{kx} \Phi(t) dt/L^*(k) = CH(x) \text{ in } \mathcal{S}' \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Now, by differentiation we obtain (ii).

Note that we include in Theorem B the case $C = 0$.

Remark: By developing the notion of the quasiasymptotic at 0^+ we proved in [6] the same theorem for the behaviour of $S_r f$ at 0: If $f \in \mathcal{J}'(r)$ has the quasiasymptotic behaviour at 0^+ related to $(1/k)^\alpha L(1/k)$, $\alpha < r$, then (6) holds with $1/k$ instead of k and (7) holds with $|s| \rightarrow 0$ instead of $|s| \rightarrow \infty$. The aim of this paper is to extend this theorem using Theorem A. We shall also give a Tauberian-type result. It is based on the following theorem from [2: p. 339].

Theorem C: *Let us suppose that for some $m > 0$*

$$\int_0^\infty d\varphi(\lambda)/(\lambda + x)^{m+1} \sim \int_0^\infty d\psi(\lambda)/(\lambda + x)^{m+1}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

and that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. φ and ψ are non-decreasing;
2. $\psi(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$;
3. for any $C > 1$ there are γ and N , $0 < \gamma < m$, $N > 0$, such that for any $x > y > N$, $\psi(x)/\psi(y) < C(x/y)^\gamma$.

Then, $\varphi(\lambda) \sim \psi(\lambda)$, $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Abelian-type results

Theorem 1: *Let $F \in \mathcal{J}'(r)$ have the quasiasymptotic behaviour at 0^+ related to $(1/k)^\alpha \times L(1/k)$ with the limit $Cf_{\alpha+1}$. Then:*

- (i) For $r > \alpha$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(S_r f)(x)}{x^{\alpha-r}L(x)} = \frac{C\Gamma(r - \alpha)}{\Gamma(r + 1)}$.
- (ii) For $r < \alpha$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (S_r f)(x) = B$, for some $B \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) Assume that $r = \alpha$ and that $L_1(t) = L(1/t)$, $t > 0$, is locally integrable in (a, ∞) , for some $a > 0$. If

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{L_1(t)}{t} dt \begin{cases} < \infty, \text{ then } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (S_r f)(x) = B, \\ = \infty, \text{ then } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} ((S_r f)(x) / \tilde{L}(x)) = B \end{cases}$$

for some $B \in \mathfrak{R}$, where $\tilde{L}(1/x) = \tilde{L}_1(x) = \int_a^x ((L_1(t)/t)) dt$, $x > 0$.

Note that B in part (ii) and in both cases of part (iii) denotes always different constants which depends on C , α and r . This dependence will be clear from the proof. Clearly, (i) is a part of the assertion given in the Remark. We shall give here another proof of this fact.

Proof: Assume that (1) and (2)* hold for f with some $\bar{m} \in \mathfrak{R}_0$. Then for F_m defined by

$$F_m(x) = \int_0^x F_{m-1}(t) dt \quad (x \in \mathfrak{R}; m = \bar{m} + 1, \bar{m} + 2, \dots, F_{\bar{m}} = F)$$

there holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &F_m \text{ is continuous, } \text{supp } F_m \subset [0, \infty), \\ &|F_m(t)| \leq C_m(1+t)^{r+m-\epsilon}, \quad t > 0, \quad F_m^{(m)} = f, \\ &(S_r f)(z) = (r+1)_m \int_0^\infty \frac{F_m(t)}{(z+t)^{r+m+1}} dt, \quad z \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

(for ϵ see (2)*). Note that the functions F_m are uniquely determined. The structural theorem at 0^+ given in (5) implies that, for $m \geq \max\{m_0, \bar{m}\}$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (F_m(x)/x^{\alpha+m}) = C/\Gamma(\alpha+m+1)$. Fix $m > \max\{m_0, \bar{m}\}$ and denote F_m by F again. We have, for $k > 0$ and $z \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{r+m+1} (S_r f)\left(\frac{z}{k}\right) &= (r+1)_m \left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{r+m+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{F(t) dt}{(t+z/k)^{r+m+1}} \\ &= (r+1)_m \left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{r+m+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{(1/u)^2 F(1/u) du}{(1/u+z/u)^{r+m+1}} = (r+1)_m \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{r+m-1} F(1/u) du}{(u+k/z)^{r+m+1}} \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain, for $k > 0$ and $z \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$,

$$\left(\frac{z}{k}\right)^{r+m+1} (S_r f)\left(\frac{z}{k}\right) = (r+1)_m (S_{r+m} \Phi)\left(\frac{k}{z}\right), \tag{9}$$

where $\Phi(t) = t^{r+m-1} F(1/t)$ for $t > 0$ and $\Phi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$. Obviously,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi(t)/t^{r+m-1} L_1(t)) = C/\Gamma(\alpha+m+1) \tag{10}$$

($L_1(k) = L(1/k)$ is slowly varying at ∞). Because of (8) we have (with suitable C_1)

$$|\Phi(t)| \leq C_1 t^{-1+\epsilon} (1+t)^{r+m-\epsilon}, \quad t > 0. \tag{11}$$

((11) shows that Φ is locally integrable on \mathfrak{R} .)

(i) Assume $\alpha < r$. Since $r - \alpha - 1 > -1$, (10) implies that Φ has the quasi-asymptotic behaviour at ∞ related to $k^{r-\alpha-1}L_1(k)$ with the limit $C(\Gamma(r-\alpha)/\Gamma(\alpha+m+1))f_{r-\alpha}$ [8]. So, Theorem A implies (i).

(ii) Assume $\alpha > r$. Now, from (10) (and (11)) it follows that Φ is integrable on \mathfrak{R} . From Theorem B/(i) it follows that Φ has the quasi-asymptotic behaviour at ∞ related to k^{-1} with the limit $\tilde{B}\delta$ where \tilde{B} depends on m and Φ (see Theorem B). Theorem implies (ii): We have by (9) $(S_r f)(1/k) = k^{r+m+1}(r+1)_m (S_{r+m}\Phi)(k) \rightarrow (r+1)_m \tilde{B}$, $k \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $(S_r f)(t) \rightarrow B = (r+1)_m \tilde{B}$, $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Note that B does not depend on m .

(iii) Assume $\alpha = r$. We have by (10), $\Phi(t) \sim \tilde{B}t^{-1}L_1(t)$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, where \tilde{B} is a suitable constant. If $\int_0^\infty ((L_1(t)/t)) dt < \infty$, then from Theorem B/(i) it follows that Φ has the quasi-asymptotic behaviour at ∞ related to k^{-1} with the limit $\tilde{B}\delta$ where \tilde{B} depends on m and Φ . Theorem A completes the proof of the first part of (iii). Assume now that $\alpha = r$ and $\int_0^\infty ((L_1(t)/t)) dt = \infty$. Then (10), Theorem B/(ii) and Theorem A completes the proof of (iii), because Φ has the quasi-asymptotic behaviour at ∞ with the limit $\tilde{B}\delta$ related to $k^{-1}\tilde{L}(k)$ ■

Let us set for ε , $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$,

$$L(0, R) = \{s : |s| < R\}, \quad A_\varepsilon = \{\rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho > 0, |\varphi| \leq \pi - \varepsilon\}.$$

Lemma 2: Let f satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 with $\alpha \geq r > -1$. Then the functions

$$s \rightarrow (S_r f)(s), \quad s \in A_\varepsilon \cap L(0, R), \quad \text{for } \alpha > r,$$

$$s \rightarrow \frac{1}{\ln s} (S_r f)(s), \quad s \in A_\varepsilon \cap L(0, R), \quad \text{for } \alpha = r, L = 1,$$

are bounded ($\ln s = \ln |s| + i\varphi$, $|\varphi| \leq \pi - \varepsilon$).

Proof: Observe first the case $\alpha > r$. Clearly it is enough to prove that $S_r f$ is bounded in $A_\varepsilon \cap L(0, R)$. For $z = \rho e^{i\varphi} \in A_\varepsilon \cap L(0, R)$ we have $|1/z|/|t+1/z| = \lambda(t^2 - 2t\lambda \cos \varepsilon + \lambda^2)^{-1/2}$, $\lambda = 1/\rho > 1/R$. From

$$t^2 - 2t\lambda \cos \varepsilon + \lambda^2 \geq t^2 + \lambda^2 + (t^2 + \lambda^2) \cos \varepsilon - (t + \lambda)^2 \cos \varepsilon$$

$$\geq (t^2 + \lambda^2) (1 + \cos \varepsilon) - 2(t^2 + \lambda^2) \cos \varepsilon$$

$$= (t^2 + \lambda^2) (1 - \cos \varepsilon) > (t + \lambda)^2 (1 - \cos \varepsilon)/2$$

we have ($\lambda = |1/z|$)

$$\left| \frac{1/z}{t+1/z} \right| < \left(\frac{2}{1 - \cos \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\lambda}{t + \lambda}, \quad t > 0, z \in A_\varepsilon \cap L(0, R). \tag{12}$$

This implies that, for suitable C , $|1/z|/|t+1/z| \leq C$ ($t > 0, z \in A_\varepsilon \cap L(0, R)$). Since (9) implies

$$(S_r f)(z) = \frac{(r+1)_m}{z^{r+m+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\Phi(t) dt}{(t+1/z)^{r+m+1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0],$$

and Φ is integrable, we have

$$|(S_r f)(z)| \leq C^{r+m+1} \int_0^\infty |\Phi(t)| dt < \infty, \quad z \in A_\varepsilon \cap L(0, R).$$

Observe now the case $\alpha = r, L = 1$. In this case in Theorem 1/(iii), second case, we have $\tilde{L}(x) \sim -\ln x, x \rightarrow 0^+$. Since the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x)/x^{-1}$ is finite, with suitable $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $s \in A_\epsilon \cap L(0, R), \lambda = 1/|s|$, (9), (11) and (12) imply

$$\begin{aligned} (S_r f)(s) &\leq (r + 1)_m \left(\int_0^A |\Phi(t)| \left| \frac{1/s}{t + 1/s} \right|^{r+m+1} dt + B \int_A^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left| \frac{1/s}{t + 1/s} \right|^{r+m+1} dt \right) \\ &\leq (r + 1)_m \left(\int_0^A |\Phi(t)| dt + B \left(\frac{2}{1 - \cos \epsilon} \right)^{r+m+1} \int_A^\infty \frac{\lambda^{r+m+1} dt}{t(\lambda + t)^{r+m+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the identity

$$\frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + t} \right)^{r+m+1} = \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t + \lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{(t + \lambda)^2} - \dots - \frac{\lambda^{r+m}}{(t + \lambda)^{r+m+1}}$$

we have

$$\int_A^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + t} \right)^{r+m+1} dt = \left(\ln \frac{t}{t + \lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{t + \lambda} \dots + \frac{1}{(r + m)} \frac{\lambda^{r+m}}{(t + \lambda)^{r+m}} \right) \Big|_A^\infty$$

We obtained that the integral $\int_A^\infty \dots$ is bounded independently of λ . This implies that $(S_r f)(s), s \in A_\epsilon \cap L(0, R)$, is bounded. Since $1/\ln s, s \in A_\epsilon \cap L(0, R)$, is bounded, as well, the proof is complete ■

Assume that the conditions of Lemma 2 hold. We set

$$A(z) = \begin{cases} (S_r f) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) & \text{if } \alpha > r, \\ \frac{1}{\ln z} (S_r f) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) & \text{if } \alpha = r, L = 1 \end{cases} \quad \left(z \in A_\epsilon + \frac{1}{R} \right). \tag{13}$$

Lemma 2 implies that in both case A is bounded in $A_\epsilon + 1/R$. Set $A_1(z) = A(z + 1/R), z \in A_\epsilon$.

Lemma 3: *There holds $A_1(z) \rightarrow B$ uniformly in A_ϵ when $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, where B is from Theorem 1/(ii) or (iii), second case.*

Proof: We have that A_1 is bounded in A_ϵ and that $A_1(x) \rightarrow B, x \rightarrow \infty$ (Theorem 1/(ii) or (iii)). So Montel's theorem [1: p. 5] implies the assertion ■

Theorem 4: *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold for f with $\alpha \geq r > -1$.*

- (i) *If $\alpha > r$, then $(S_r f)(z) \rightarrow B, |z| \rightarrow 0, z \in A_\epsilon$, uniformly.*
- (ii) *If $\alpha = r, L = 1$, then $(1/\ln z)(S_r f)(z) \rightarrow B, |z| \rightarrow 0, z \in A_\epsilon$, uniformly.*

Proof: Lemma 3 implies that in both cases $A(z) \rightarrow B, |z| \rightarrow \infty, z \in A_\epsilon + 1/R$, uniformly. So, this implies the proof of the theorem ■

3. A Tauberian-type result

Let $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $f = F^{(m)} \in \mathcal{S}'_+$ where F is a non-increasing positive locally integrable function such that $F(x) < Ax^{r+m-\varepsilon}$, $x > 0$, for some $A > 0$. Assume that $s > 1$, $r + m - s > 0$ and that $x^{r+m-s}L_1(x)$, $x > A$, is non-decreasing, where $L(x)$, $x > 0$, is slowly varying at 0^+ and $L_1(x) = L(1/x)$, $x > 0$. With the given assumptions we have

Theorem 5: Assume that

$$(S_r f)(x) \sim (r + 1)_m \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(r + m + 1)} \frac{L(x)}{x^{m+r+1-s}}, \quad x \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x/k)}{(1/k)^{s-m-1}L(1/k)} = Bf_{s-m} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}',$$

where B is a suitable constant.

Proof: The assumption of the theorem and (9) imply that

$$(S_{r+m}\Phi)(x) \sim \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(r + m + 1)} \frac{L_1(x)}{x^s}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\Phi(x) = x^{r+m-1}F(1/x)$, $x > 0$, is a non-decreasing function. Let

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} x^{r+m-s}L_1(x)/\Gamma(r + m - s + 1), & x > A, \\ 0, & x \leq A. \end{cases}$$

Theorem A implies

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\psi(t)}{(x+t)^{r+m}} = (r+m) \int_0^\infty \frac{\psi(t)}{(x+t)^{r+m+1}} dt \sim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(r+m)\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(r+m+1)} \frac{L_1(x)}{x^s}.$$

So,

$$\int_0^\infty (d\Phi/(x+t)^{r+m}) \sim \int_0^\infty ((d\psi/(x+t)^{r+m})) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

If we show that for every $C > 1$ there are constants γ and N , $0 < \gamma < r + m - 1$, $N > 0$, such that

$$x > y > N \Rightarrow x^{r+m-s}L_1(x)/y^{r+m-s}L_1(y) = C(x/y)^\gamma, \tag{14}$$

then all the assumptions of Theorem B are satisfied and this theorem implies

$$\Phi(x) \sim \psi(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \tag{15}$$

Take $\gamma = r + m - s + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\gamma > 0$ and $\varepsilon < s - 1$. With such γ and $x = \lambda y$, $\lambda > 1$, $y > N$, (14) becomes $L_1(\lambda y) \leq C\lambda^\varepsilon L_1(y)$, and this is true [7: p. 18]; note, N depends on C . So, (15) implies $\varphi(x) \sim x^{r+m-s}L_1(x)/\Gamma(r + m - s + 1)$, $x \rightarrow \infty$, and thus

$$x^{r+m-1}F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \sim \frac{x^{r+m-s}}{\Gamma(r + m - s + 1)} L_1(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e.

$$F(x) \sim \frac{x^{s-1}}{\Gamma(r + m - s + 1)} L(x), \quad x \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Since $f = F^{(m)}$ we have for suitable B the assertion ■

Acknowledgement. This material is based on work supported by the U. S.—Yugoslav Joint Fund for Scientific and Technological Cooperation, in cooperation with the (IFP) under Grant 838.

REFERENCES

- [1] BOAS, R. P.: *Entire Functions*. New York: Acad. Press 1954.
- [2] ВЛАДИМИРОВ, В. С., ДРОЖЖИНОВ, Ю. Н., и Б. И. ЗАВЬЯЛОВ: Многомерные Тауберовы теоремы для обобщенных функций. Москва: Изд-во Наука 1986.
- [3] ЗАВЬЯЛОВ, Б. И.: Автомодельная асимптотика электромагнитных форм-факторов и поведение их фурье-образов в окрестности светового конуса. Теор. Мат. Физ. 17 (1973), 178—188.
- [4] КОСТЮЧЕНКО, А. Г., и И. С. САРГСЯН: Распределение собственных значений. Москва: Изд-во Наука 1979.
- [5] LAVOINE, J., and D. P. MISRA: Abelian theorems for the distributional Stieltjes transformation. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 86 (1979), 287—293.
- [6] PILIPOVIĆ, S., and A. TAKAČI: The quasiasymptotic behaviour of some distributions. Rev. Res. Fac. Sci. Univ. Novi Sad 15 (1985), 37—46.
- [7] PILIPOVIĆ, S., and B. STANKOVIĆ: Abelian Theorem for the Distributional-Stieltjes Transform. Z. Anal. Anw. 6 (1987), 341—349.
- [8] PILIPOVIĆ, S., and B. STANKOVIĆ: Initial value Abelian theorems for the distributional Stieltjes transform. Studia Math. (to appear).
- [9] SENETA, E.: Regularly Varying Functions (Lect. Notes Math. 508). Berlin—Heidelberg—New York: Springer-Verlag 1976.

Manuskripteingang: 02. 06. 1987; in revidierter Fassung 09. 12. 1987

VERFASSER:

Prof. Dr. STEVAN PILIPOVIĆ
 Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad
 dr. Ilije Djuričića 4
 Yu-21000 Novi Sad