Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen Bd. 9 (2) 1990, S. 155–164

(3)

On the Existence of Conjugate Points for Sturm-Liouville Differential Equations

E. MÜLLER-PFEIFFER and TH. SCHOTT

Mit Hilfe von Integralbedingungen für die Koeffizienten p und q Sturm-Liouvillescher Differentialgleichungen -(p(x) u')' + q(x) u = 0 wird der Abstand benachbarter Nullstellen nichttrivialer Lösungen u nach oben abgeschätzt.

При помощи интегральных условий для коэффициентов p и q дифференциального уравнения. Штурма-Лиувилля (p(x) u')' + q(x) u = 0 расстояние соседних нулей нетривиальных решений u оценивается сверху.

By means of integral conditions for the coefficients p and q of Sturm-Liouville differential equations -(p(x) u')' + q(x) u = 0 the distance between consecutive zeros of non-trivial solutions u will be estimated from above.

There are various results in the literature on estimating the distance between consecutive zeros of solutions of second order differential equations (cf. [10], for instance). The following investigation is devoted to this problem. We consider the Sturm-Liouville differential equation on a bounded interval,

$$-(p(x) u')' + q(x) u = 0, \qquad (-a \leq x \leq a < \infty; p, q \in C[-a, a])$$
(1)

and suppose that p is positive and piecewise continuously differentiable on [-a, a]. The points $x_1, x_2, -a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq a$, are said to be *conjugate* with respect to the equation (1) if there exists a nontrivial solution u to (1) with $u(x_1) = 0 = u(x_2)$. Solutions to (1) are always real-valued functions belonging to $C^1[-a, a]$ (cf. [10, p. 25]). Set, for $0 \leq s < a$,

$$Q(s) = \sup_{\substack{s < h < a}} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^{h} q \, dx, \qquad \overline{p}(s) = \frac{1}{2(a - s)} \left(\int_{-a}^{-s} p \, dx + \int_{s_{1}}^{a} p \, dx \right)$$

Theorem 1: If there exists a number s, $0 \leq s < a$, such that

$$\frac{3}{(a-s)(a+2s)}\overline{p}(s) + Q(s) \leq 0, \qquad (2),$$

then there exists a pair of conjugate points on (-a, a) with respect to (1) and the constant $3(a - s)^{-1} (a + 2s)^{-1}$ in (2) is the best possible one.

Proof: Consider the sesquilinear form

$$t[f,g] = \int_{-a}^{a} (pf'\bar{g}'_{\sigma} + q/\bar{g}) dx \qquad (f,g \in D(t))$$

to (1). The domain D(t) of this form is identical with the Sobolev space $\dot{W}_{2^{1}}(-a, a)$. In the following the form is estimated by means of the test function

$$v(x) = egin{cases} a - |x|, & s \leq |x| \leq a, \ a - s, & |x| \leq s, \end{cases}$$

which belongs to D(t). By Fubini's theorem we obtain

(5)

where $h(y) = a - \sqrt{y}$, $0 \le y \le (a - s)^2$. Hence, in view of (2), there follows that $t[v, v] \le 2(a - s) \overline{p}(s) + \frac{2}{3}Q(s)(a - s)^2(a + 2s) \le 0$. Consequently, we have inf $\{l[f, f]: f \in D(t), \|f\| = 1\} \le 0$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in the Hilbert space $L_2(-a, a)$. If this infimum is less than zero, then there exists a nontrivial solution u to (1) having at least two zeros on (-a, a) (cf. [8]). If the infimum is equal to zero, the (normalized) test function v is realizing the infimum and, consequently, it is a solution to (1) (cf. [9]). This, however, is impossible, because a solution to (1) belongs to the Sobolev space $W_2^2(-a, a)$.

We prove now that the constant $\sigma = 3(a - s)^{-1} (a + 2s)^{-1}$ is the best possible one. Let us discuss the case 0 < s < a. We prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist functions p_{ε} and q_{ε} with

$$(\sigma - \varepsilon) \ \overline{p}_{\varepsilon}(s) + Q_{\varepsilon}(s) \leq 0, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\overline{p}_{\epsilon}(s) = \frac{1}{2(a-s)} \left(\int_{-a}^{-s} p_{\epsilon} dx + \int_{s}^{a} p_{\epsilon} dx \right), \qquad Q_{\epsilon}(s) = \sup_{s < h < a} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^{h} q_{\epsilon} dx$$

such that there does not exist a pair of conjugate points on (-a, a) with respect to the differential equation

$$-(p_{\mathfrak{c}}(x) u')' + q_{\mathfrak{c}}(x) u = 0, \qquad (-a \leq x \leq a). \tag{7}$$

Obviously, it suffices to assume that $\varepsilon < \sigma$ (if $\varepsilon \ge \sigma$, choose $p_{\varepsilon} \equiv 1$ and $q_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$). Choose $q_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon - \sigma$ and

$$p_{\epsilon}(x) = (\epsilon - \sigma) egin{cases} \left\{ egin{array}{c} (x^2/2 - (a + 2t^2(a + s)^{-1}) \, |x| + A(t)
ight\}, & |x| \geq s \ (t^2 - x \sinh^{-1}(x/t) \, B(t)), & |x| \leq s, \end{cases}
ight.$$

where, for $0 < t < \infty$,

$$A(t) = \frac{s^2}{2} + t^2 - st \coth \frac{s}{t}, \quad B(t) = \left(a - s + \frac{2t^2}{a + s}\right) \sinh \frac{s}{t} + t \cosh \frac{s}{t}.$$

156

157

(9)

Here t is a parameter which will be fixed later. The value $p_{\epsilon}(0)$ is defined by the limit $(\epsilon - \sigma) (t^2 - tB(t))$ of $p_{\epsilon}(x)$ for $x \to 0$. Clearly, p_{ϵ} is an even function. Further, it can easily be verified that p_{ϵ} is continuous and piecewise continuously differentiable on [-a, a]. We have

$$p_{\epsilon}'(x) = (\sigma - \epsilon) \begin{cases} (a + 2t^{2}(a + s)^{-1} - x), & s < x \leq a \\ \sinh^{-1}(x/t) B(t) (1 - (x/t) \coth(x/t)), & 0 < x < s \end{cases}$$

$$p_{\epsilon}'(0) = 0, \text{ and } p_{\epsilon}'(x) > 0 (s < x \leq a), p_{\epsilon}'(x) < 0 (0 < x < s). \text{ Hence}$$

$$\min_{0 \leq x \leq a} p_{\epsilon}(s) = (\epsilon - \sigma) (s^{2}/2 - (a + 2t^{2}(a + s)^{-1}) s + A(t))$$

$$= (\epsilon - \sigma) (s^{2} + t^{2} - st \coth(s/t) - (a + 2t^{2}(a + s)^{-1}) s)$$

$$> (\sigma - \epsilon) t^{2}((s/t) \coth(s/t) - 1) > 0.$$

Thus, it follows that $p_t(x) > 0$, $-a \leq x \leq a$, whenever 0 < t < s. Next we prove that (6) holds if t is chosen sufficiently small. The inequality (6) is equivalent to

$$(a - s)^{-1} \int_{s}^{a} p_{\varepsilon} dx \leq 1 . .$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$(a - s)^{-1} \int_{s}^{a} p_{\varepsilon} dx = 1 - \varepsilon \sigma^{-1} + (\sigma - \varepsilon) st \coth(s/t)$$

A value $t = t_{\epsilon}$, $0 < t_{\epsilon} < s$, can be chosen so small that $t_{\epsilon} \coth(s/t_{\epsilon}) \leq \epsilon/\sigma(\sigma - \epsilon) s$. By such choice the inequalities (8), and, consequently, (6) are fulfilled. It is easily seen that the function

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} a + 2t_{\epsilon}^2(a+s)^{-1} - |x|, & |x| \geq s, \\ \sinh^{-1}(s/t_{\epsilon}) \left(B(t_{\epsilon}) - t_{\epsilon} \cosh(x/t_{\epsilon}) \right), & |x| \leq s, \end{cases}$$

belongs to $C^1[-a, a]$ and is positive. The function $p_{\epsilon}u'$ belongs also to $C^1[-a, a]$ and by calculation one can prove that $(p_{\epsilon}u')' = q_{\epsilon}u$. The function u is a positive solution to (7). Finally, assume that there exists a nontrivial solution u_0 to (7) possessing at least two zeros x_1 and x_2 on (-a, a). Then, by Sturm's comparison theorem, each solution to (7) has a zero between x_1 and x_2 or is a constant multiple of u_0 . The solution (9), however, contradicts this conclusion. Hence, there cannot exist a pair of conjugate points on (-a, a) with respect to (7). This proves the theorem in the case 0 < s < a. Similarly, one can prove that the constant $3a^{-2}$ is best possible in the case s = 0

The mean value $(1/2h) \int_{-h}^{h} q \, dx, s \leq h \leq a^1$, is an increasing or a decreasing function of h if its derivative is non-negative or non-positive, i.e. if

$$\frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^{h} q \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(q(-h) + q(h) \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^{h} q \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(q(-h) + q(h) \right),$$

1) Set q(0) for the mean value when $s \doteq h = 0$.

respectively. Then, the corresponding suprema Q(s) are $Q(s) = \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-a}^{a} q \, dx$ and $Q(s) = \frac{1}{2s} \int_{-a}^{s} q \, dx$, respectively. Thus we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1: If there exists a number $s, 0 \leq s < a$, such that

$$\frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^{h} q \, dx \underset{(\leq)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \left(q(-h) + q(h) \right) \qquad (s \leq h \leq a), \tag{10}$$

then there exists a pair of conjugate points on (-a, a) with respect to (1) if

$$\frac{3}{(a-s)(a+2s)}\overline{p}(s) + \frac{1}{2a}\int_{-a}^{a}q\,dx \leq 0 \quad \left(\frac{3}{(a-s)(a+2s)}\overline{p}(s) + \frac{1}{2s}\int_{-s}^{s}q\,dx \leq 0\right).$$

In each case the factor $3(a - s)^{-1} (a + 2s)^{-1}$ is best possible.

If q can be written as a sum $q = q_1 + q_2$ where q_1 is an odd function and q_2 is monotone decreasing on [-a, -s] and monotone increasing on [s, a], then one can easily prove that $\frac{1}{2s} \int_{-s}^{s} q \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(q(-s) + q(s) \right)$ implies (10) written with the sign \leq . If q_2 is monotone increasing on [-a, -s] and monotone decreasing on [s, a], then $\frac{1}{2s} \int_{-s}^{s} q \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \left(q(-s) + q(s) \right) \right|$ implies (10) written with the sign \geq . Hence, we obtain the following corollary from Corollary 1.

Corollary 2: Suppose that there exists a point s, $0 \leq s < a$, such that q can be written as a sum $q = q_1 + q_2$ in C[-a, a] with q_1 odd and $q_2(x_1) \geq q_2(x_2)$ $(x_1 < x_2 \leq -s)$, $q_2(x_1) \leq q_2(x_2)$. $(s \leq x_1 < x_2)$, and suppose further that

$$\frac{1}{2s} \int_{-s}^{s} q(x) \, dx \, (\leq_{1}) \frac{1}{2} \left(q(-s) + q(s) \right). \tag{11}$$

Then there exists a pair of conjugate points on (-a, a) with respect to (1) if

$$\frac{3}{(a-s)(a+2s)}\overline{p}(s) + \frac{1}{2a}\int_{-a}^{a} q \, dx \leq 0 \, \left(\frac{3}{(a-s)(a+2s)}\overline{p}(s) + \frac{1}{2s}\int_{-s}^{s} q \, dx \leq 0\right).$$
(12)

In the special case s = 0 the hypotheses (11) are always satisfied and the conditions (12) call

$$\frac{3}{a^2} \int_{-a}^{a} p \, dx + \int_{-a}^{a} q \, dx \leq 0 \quad \left(\frac{3}{2a^3} \int_{-a}^{a} p \, dx + q(0) \leq 0 \right). \tag{13}$$

Corollary 3: Suppose that q belongs to $C^2[-a, a]^2$) and is convex (concave) on [-a, a]. If the hypotheses (13) are satisfied, respectively, then there exists a pair of conjugate points on (-a, a) with respect to (1). The constants $3/a^2$ ($3/2a^3$) are best possible.

Proof: Set q(x) = q(0) + q'(0)x + r(x), $-a \leq x \leq a$, and note that r has the properties r'(0) = 0 and $r'' \geq 0$ $(r'' \leq 0)$. Hence, we have $r'(x) \leq 0$ $(r'(x) \geq 0)$ on [-a, 0] and $r'(x) \geq 0$ $(r'(x) \leq 0)$ on [0, a]. Further, $q_1(x) = q'(0)x$ is an odd function and $q_2(x) = q(0) + r(x)$ has the concerned properties formulated in Corollary 2. (with s = 0). The corollary now follows from Corollary 2

Corollary 4: Let p be positive and piecewise continuously differentiable on [0, X), $X \leq \infty$, and assume that $q(\in C^2[0, X))$ is convex (concave). Let u be a nontrivial solution to (1) considered on [0, X) with u(0) = 0. The first conjugate point to x = 0 is smaller than b, where b is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$2\int_{0}^{b} p \, dx + b^{2} \int_{0}^{b} q \, dx = 0 \qquad \left(12 \oint_{0}^{b} p \, dx + b^{3} q(b/2) = 0\right),$$

when b exists.

Proof: Use Corollary 3 and Sturm's comparison theorem

Set s = 0 in the estimate (5) for t[v, v] and suppose that q is monotone increasing on [-a, a]. Then we obtain

$$\begin{split} t[v,v] &= \int_{-a}^{a} p(x) \, dx + \int_{0}^{a^*} \left(\frac{1}{h(y)} \int_{-h(y)}^{0} q(x) \, dx \right) h(y) \, dy + \int_{0}^{a^*} \left(\frac{1}{h(y)} \int_{0}^{h(y)} q(x) \, dx \right) h(y) \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{-a}^{a} p(x) \, dx + q(0) \int_{0}^{a^*} h(y) \, dy + \left(\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} q(x) \, dx \right) \int_{0}^{a^*} h(y) \, dy \\ &= \int_{-a}^{a} p(x) \, dx + \frac{1}{3} \, a^3 q(0) + \frac{1}{3} \, a^2 \int_{0}^{a} q(x) \, dx. \end{split}$$

Analogously, if q is monotone decreasing on [-a, a] we have

$$l[v, v] \leq \int_{-a}^{a} p \, dx + \frac{1}{3} a^{3} q(0) + \frac{1}{3} a^{2} \int_{-a}^{0} q \, dx$$

These estimates yield analogous corollaries to the Corollaries 3 and 4. The followingcorollary corresponds to Corollary 4.

Corollary 5: Let p be positive and piecewise continuously differentiable on [0, X), $X \leq \infty$, and assume that q is monotone increasing (decreasing) on [0, X) with u(0) = 0. The first conjugate point to x = 0 is smaller than b where b is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$12\int_{0}^{b} p\,dx + \frac{b^{3}}{2}\,q\left(\frac{b}{2}\right) + b^{2}\int_{b/2}^{b} q\,dx = 0 \quad \left(12\int_{0}^{b} p\,dx + \frac{b^{3}}{2}\,q\left(\frac{b}{2}\right) + b^{2}\int_{0}^{b/2} q\,dx = 0\right),$$

when b exists.

²) The hypothesis $q \in \dot{C}^2$ can be weakened.

160 E. MÜLLER-PFEIFFER and TH. SCHOTT

Corollary 6: If there exists a nontrivial solution u to (1) with u(-a) = 0 = u(a)and u(x) = 0, -a < x < a, then

$$\int_{-a}^{a} p(x) \, dx + \int_{-a}^{0} (a+x)^2 \, q(x) \, dx + \int_{0}^{a} (a-x)^2 \, q(x) \, dx > 0. \tag{14}$$

Proof: By assuming the contrariety of (14) there follows from (5) that $l[v, v] \leq 0$ where v is defined by (4). This implies that there exists a pair of conjugate points on (-a, a) with respect to (1). This, however, is impossible because by Sturm's comparison theorem the solution u would have a zero in (-a, a)

In the special case $p \equiv 1$ and $q \leq 0$ the condition (14) is due to LOVELADY [7].

By using the test function $v(x) = \cos(\pi x/2a)$, $-a \leq x \leq a$, in place of (4) and *setting*

$$P = \sup_{0 < h < a} \frac{1}{2(a - h)} \left(\int_{-a}^{-h} p \, dx + \int_{h}^{a} p \, dx \right), \qquad Q = \sup_{0 < h < a} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^{h} q \, dx$$

(15)

we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2: I/

$$(\pi^2/4a^2) P + Q \leq 0$$
,

then there exists a pair of conjugate points on [-a, a] with respect to (1). The constant $\pi^2/4a^2$ is the best possible one.

Proof: The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1. By means of Fubini's theorem and setting.

$$\sigma(x) = \sin^2(\pi x/2a), \quad \gamma(x) = \cos^2(\pi x/2a), \quad -a \leq x \leq a,$$

 $h(y) = (2a/\pi) \arcsin \sqrt{y}$, $k(y) = (2a/\pi) \arccos \sqrt{y}$, $0 \leq y \leq y$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [v,v] &= \int_{-a}^{a} p(x) (v'(x))^{2} dx + \int_{-a}^{a} q(x) v^{2}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^{2}}{4a^{2}} \int_{-a}^{a} p(x) \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2a} x\right) dx + \int_{-a}^{a} q(x) \cos^{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2a} x\right) dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^{2}}{4a^{2}} \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{0}^{\sigma(x)} p(x) dy dx + \int_{-a}^{a} \int_{0}^{y(x)} q(x) dy dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^{2}}{2a^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2(a-h(y))} \left(\int_{-a}^{-h(y)} p(x) dx + \int_{h(y)}^{a} p(x) dx\right) (a-h(y)) dy \\ &+ 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2k(y)} \left(\int_{k(y)}^{k(y)} q(x) dx\right) k(y) dy \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{2a^{2}} P \int_{0}^{1} (a-h(y)) dy + 2Q \int_{0}^{1} k(y) dy = \frac{\pi^{2}}{4a} P + aQ. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (15) that $t[v, v] \leq 0$. Hence, there exists a pair of conjugate points on (-a, a]. To prove that the constant $\pi^2/4a^2$ is best possible observe that $\cos(\pi x/2\alpha)$, $\alpha^- > a$, is a positive solution to the differential equation

$$-u'' - (\pi^2/4\alpha^2) \ u = 0 \qquad (-a \le x \le a).$$
(17)

The coefficients $p \equiv 1$ and $q \equiv -\pi^2/4\alpha^2$ satisfy $(\pi^2/4\alpha^2) P + Q = 0$, and, on the other hand, there does not exist a pair of conjugate points on [-a, a] with respect to (17). Therefore, the constant $\pi^2/4a^2$ in (15) cannot be chosen smaller

In the special case $p \equiv 1$ the inequality (15) calls `

$$\sup_{0$$

This condition for the existence of conjugate points with respect to the equation -u'' + q(x) u = 0 $(-a \le x \le a)$ is due to LEICHTON [5, 6].

Assume now that the coefficients p and q can be written as $p = p_1 + p_2$, $q = q_1 + q_{21}$ on [-a, a], where the following possibilities are to be discussed:

- (1) p_1, q_1 are odd functions;
- $\begin{array}{ll} (2a) \ p_2(x_1) \ge p_2'(x_2) & (x_1 < x_2 \le 0), \ p_2(x_1) \le p_2(x_2) & (0 \le x_1 < x_2); \\ (2b) \ p_2(x_1) \le p_2(x_2) & (x_1 < x_2 \le 0), \ p_2(x_1) \ge p_2(x_2) & (0 \le x_1 < x_2); \\ (3a) \ q_2(x_1) \ge q_2(x_2) & (x_1 < x_2 \le 0), \ q_2(x_1) \le q_2(x_2) & (0 \le x_1 < x_2); \\ (3b) \ q_2(x_1) \le q_2(x_2) & (x_1 < x_2 \le 0), \ q_2(x_1) \ge q_2(x_2) & (0 \le x_1 < x_2). \end{array}$

Corollary 7: There exists a pair of conjugate points on [-a, a] with respect to (1) in each of the following cases.

(i) (1), (2a), (3a) are fulfilled (this is so, for instance, if the functions p, q are convex) and $(\pi^2/4a) (p(-a) + p(a)) + \int_{a}^{a} q \, dx \leq 0.$

(ii) (1), (2a) (3b) are fulfilled (this is so, for instance, if p is convex and q is concave) and $(\pi^2/8a^2)(p(-a) + p(a)) + q(0) \leq 0$.

(iii) (1), (2b), (3a) are fulfilled (this is so, for instance, if p is concave and q is convex) and $(\pi^2/4a^2) \int_{a}^{a} p dx + \int_{a}^{a} q dx \leq 0$.

(iv) (1), (2b), (3b) are fulfilled (this is so, for instance, if p, q are concave) and $(\pi^2/8a^3) \int p \, dx + q(0) \leq 0.$

The constants $\pi^2/4a$ and so on are all best possible.

The following corollary follows directly from Corollary 7.-

Corollary 8: An upper bound b for the first conjugate point of x = 0 with respect to (1) considered on $[0, X), X \leq \infty$, is given by the first roots of the following equations:

- (i) $(\pi^2/2b) (p(0) + p(b)) + \int q \, dx = 0$ when p, q are convex;
- (ii) $(\pi^2/2b^2)$ (p(0) + p(b)) + q(b/2) = 0 when p is convex and q is concave;

11 Analysis Bd. 9, Heft 2 (1990)

(iii)
$$(\pi^2/b^2) \int_{0}^{b} p \, dx + \int_{0}^{b} q \, dx = 0$$
 when p is concave and q is convex;

(iv)
$$(\pi^2/b^3) \int p \, dx + q(b/2) = 0$$
 when p, q are concave.

In the special case that $p \equiv 1$, $q \leq 0$, q is monotone and convex the conditions (i) and (iii) are due to LEIGHTON [6]. These conditions also improve a condition by FINK [3, Th. 3]. In the special case that $p \equiv 1$, $q \leq 0$, q is monotone and concave the conditions (ii) and (iv) are due to LEIGHTON and OO KIAN KE [4, 6].

Finally, let p and q be monotone functions. If both are monotone increasing on [-a, a] the estimate (16) can be modified as follows:

$$\begin{split} \left[\{v, v\} \right] &= \frac{\pi^2}{4a^2} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{a - h(y)} \int_{-a}^{-h(y)} p(x) \, dx \right) \left(a - h(y) \right) \, dy \\ &+ \frac{\pi^2}{4a^2} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{a - h(y)} \int_{h(y)}^{a} p(x) \, dx \right) \left(a - h(y) \right) \, dy \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{k(y)} \int_{-k(y)}^{0} q(x) \, dx \right) \, k(y) \, dy + \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{k(y)} \int_{0}^{k(y)} q(x) \, dx \right) \, k(y) \, dy \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{4a^3} \left(\int_{-a}^{0} p(x) \, dx \right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left(a - h(y) \right) \, dy \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{4a^2} p(a) \int_{0}^{1} \left(a - h(y) \right) \, dy \\ &+ q(0) \int_{0}^{1} k(y) \, dy + \left(\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{a} q(x) \, dx \right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} k(y) \, dy \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{8a^2} \left(ap(a) + \int_{-a}^{0} p(x) \, dx \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(aq(0) + \int_{0}^{a} q(x) \, dx \right). \end{split}$$

This estimate proves the assertion of the following corollary under the hypothesis (i). The other assertions can analogously be proved.

Corollary 9: An upper bound b for the first conjugate point of x = 0 with respect to the equation (1) considered on $[0, \tilde{X})$, $X \leq \infty$, is given by the smallest roots of the following equations:

(i)
$$\frac{\pi^2}{b^2}\left(\frac{b}{2}p(b)+\int_0^{b/2}p\,dx\right)+\frac{b}{2}q\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)+\int_{b/2}^bq\,dx=0$$
 when p, q are monotone in-

Conjugate Points for Sturm-Liouville Diff. Equ.

(ii)
$$\frac{\pi^2}{b^2}\left(\frac{b}{2}p(b) + \int_0^{b/2} p \, dx\right) + \frac{b}{2}q\left(\frac{b}{2}\right) + \int_0^{b/2} q \, dx = 0$$
 when p is monotone increasing

and q is monotone decreasing; +

ii)
$$\frac{\pi^2}{b^2} \left(\frac{b}{2} p(0) + \int_{b/2}^{b} p dx \right) + \frac{b}{2} q \left(\frac{b}{2} \right) + \int_{b/2}^{b} q dx = 0$$
 when p is monotone de-

creasing and q is monotone increasing;

$$(iv) \frac{\pi^2}{b^2} \left(\frac{b}{2} p(Q) + \int_{b/2}^{0} p \, dx \right) + \frac{b}{2} q \left(\frac{b}{2} \right) + \int_{0}^{0/2} q \, dx = 0 \quad \text{when } p, q \text{ are monotone}$$

Examples: a) Consider the differential equation

$$-u'' + (x^2 - 7) u = 0$$
 $(0 \le x < \infty).$

The interesting solution defined by the boundary condition u(0) = 0 is the Hermite function. $H_3(x) = c e^{x^2/2} (e^{-x^2})^{(3)}$. The first conjugate point of x = 0 is $x_1 = \sqrt{3/2} = 1,2247...$ By means of Corollary 8/(i) we obtain the upper bound b = 1,2328... for x_1 b) Consider the equation

$$-[(1 - x^2) u']' + \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^2} - 12\right)u = 0 \quad (-a \leq x \leq a < 1).$$

p is concave and q is convex. By applying Corollary 7/(iii), it is seen that there exists a pair of conjugate points on [-a, a] with a = 0.4584... (18) is a Legendre differential equation the interesting solution of which is equal to

$$P_{3}^{1}(x) = c_{1} \sqrt{1 - x^{2}} \left((1 - x^{2})^{3} \right)^{(4)} = c_{2} \sqrt{1 - x^{2}} \left(5x^{2} - 1 \right)^{(4)}$$

(cf. [1, pp. 94, 96, 344]) with zeros at $x_{1,2} = \pm 5^{-1/2} = \pm 0.4472...$ Both examples show that the calculated upper bounds are good approximate values for the exact numbers.

REFERENCES

- [1] ABRAMÓWITZ, M., and A. J. STEGUN: Pocketbook of mathematical functions. Abridged ed. of Handbook of mathematical functions (Material selected by M. Danos and J. Rafelski). Thun und Frankfurt/Main: H. Deutsch Verlag 1984.
- [2] BROWDER, F. E.: On the spectral theory of elliptic differential operators I. Math. Ann. 142 (1961), 22-130.
- [3] FINR, A. M.: On the zeros of y'' + py = 0 with linear, convex and concave p. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 46 (1967), 1-10.
- [4] LEIGHTON, W., and OO KIAN KE, W.: Determining bounds for the first conjugate point. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 86 (1970), 99-114.
- [5] LEIGHTON, W.: Some Oscillation Theory. Z. Angew. Math. Mech. (ZAMM) 63 (1983), 303 - 315.
- [6] LEIGHTON, W.: A Comparison Theorem. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 106 (1985), 188-195.
- [7] LOVELADY, D. L.: Coefficient bounds in a boundary value problem. Bull. London Math. Soc. 8 (1976), 190-193.

163

18)

E. MÜLLER PREIFFER and TH. SCHOTT

- [8] MÜLLER PFEIFFER, E.: On the existence of nodal domains for elliptic differential operators. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 94A (1983), 287-299.
- [9] MÜLLER-PFEIFFER, E.: Comparison Theorems for Conjugate Points of Sturm-Liouville Differential Equations. Z. Anal. Anw. 5 (1986), 425-430.
- [10] REID, W. T.: Sturmian Theory for Ordinary Differential Equations, (Applied Mathematical Sciences: Vol. 31). New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer-Verlag 1980.

Manuskripteingang: 25.03.1988

VERFASSER:

Prof. Dr. ERICH MÜLLER-PFEIFFER and THOMAS SCHOTT

Sektion Mathematik/Physik Pädagogische Hochschule "Dr. Th. Neubauer" Erfurt/Mühlhausen Nordhäuser Str. 63

DDR-5010 Erfurt

164