Travelling Wave Solutions of a Nonlinear Diffusion Equation with Integral Term

L. v. WOLFERSDORF

Dedicated to Professor L. Berg on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Für eine von T. Nagai und M. Mimura eingeführte Klasse nichtlinearer, nichtlokaler, ausgearteter Diffusionsgleichungen werden Lösungen vom Typ laufender Wellen mit kompakten Träger durch Zurückführung auf ein Randwertproblem für eine Integrodifferentialgleichung zweiter Ordnung und weiter eine Integralgleichung mit nichtnegativem Kern untersucht. Es werden Existenzbeweise bei drei Typen der Potenz-Nichtlinearitäten mit allgemeinem Integralterm und geschlossene Lösungen für einen einfachen Integralterm angegeben.

Исследуются решения типа бегущей волны с компактным носителем для класса нелинейных нелокальных вырожденных диффузионных уравнений, введенных Т. Нагай и М. Мимура. Задача сводится к граничной задаче для интегро-дифференциального уравнения второго порядка и дальше к интегральному уравнению с неотрицательным ндром. Даются доказательства существования для трех типов степенных нелинейностей с общим интегральным членом и замкнутые решения для простого интегрального члена.

Travelling wave solutions with compact support are investigated for a class of nonlinear nonlocal degenerate diffusion equations introduced by T. Nagai and M. Mimura. The problem is reduced to a boundary value problem for an integro-differential equation of second order and in turn to an integral equation with nonnegative kernel. There are given existence proofs for three types of power nonlinearities in case of a general integral term and closed solutions for a simple integral term.

Introduction. For describing diffusion processes with aggregation effects NAGAI and MIMURA [6-8] introduced a class of nonlinear degenerate diffusion equations with integral terms as given by equation (1) below. NAGAI [6] and NAGAI and MIMURA [7] studied the general Cauchy problem for these equations. Further IKEDA [2, 3] and MIMURA and SATSUMA [5] (cf. also NAGAI and MIMURA [8]) constructed explicit equilibrium and travelling wave solutions with compact support, respectively, for particular integral terms in the diffusion equations, especially for the case $m=2$ in (1) .

In this paper we investigate the existence of travelling wave solutions with compact support for the general equation (1) with a sufficiently smooth integral term. We reduce this problem to a two-point boundary value problem for an integro-differential equation of second order and this one in turn to an integral equation with a nonnegative kernel. Utilizing the well-known general theory of such equations by KRASNOSELSKII [4] (cf. also [11]) and also the special treatment by BUSHELL [1], we prove three general existence theorems for the cases $m > 2$, $m = 2$, $1 < m < 2$ in (1) , respectively. Besides for the special case of a piecewise constant integral kernel we derive travelling wave solutions in closed form which contain the sine-solutions for $m = 2$ found by MIMURA and SATSUMA [5] in another way and a new explicit solution expressed by an elliptic Jacobian function for $m = 4/3$. We remark that travelling wave solutions with compact support for the special case of a piecewise

304 L. v. WOLFERSDORF

constant integral kernel were also constructed in a more general context and in more involved form by NAGAI and MIMURA [8, 9].

1. Statement of problem. We deal with the equation of Nagai and Mimura

L. v. WOLFERSDORF
integral Kernel were also constructed in a more general context and in more
form by NAGAI and MIMURA [8, 9].
nent of problem. We deal with the equation of Nagai and Mimura

$$
u_t = (u^m)_{xx}^{\uparrow} - \left[\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y) u(y, t) dy \right\} u \right]_{x} , \qquad (1)
$$

$$
= u(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \text{ and } 1 < m < \infty. \text{ We are looking for travelling wave}
$$

where $u = u(x, t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$ and $1 < m < \infty$. We are looking for travelling wave, solutions of (1) with compact support $u = \varphi(x - ct)$, where φ is a continuous function 1. Statement of problem. We deal with the equation of Nagai and Mimura
 $u_t = (u^m)_{xx}^{\uparrow} - \left[\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y) u(y, t) dy \right\} u \right]_{x}$, (1)

where $u = u(x, t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$ and $1 < m < \infty$. We are looking for travelling some compact interval $(0, a)$ and vanishes outside of this interval. The kernel *K* has the form $K(x) = \begin{cases} K_1(x) & \text{as } x < 0, \\ K_2(x) & \text{as } x > 0. \end{cases}$ (2) 304 L. v. WOLFERSDORF

constant integral kernel were also constructed in a more general

involved form by NAGAI and MIMURA [8, 9].

1. Statement of problem. We deal with the equation of Nagai ar
 $u_t = (u^m)_{xx}^* - \left[\left\{ \int_{$ integral kernel were

form by NAGAI and
 ion of problem. We
 $u_t = (u^m)_{xx}^{\dagger} - \left[\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{t} d(u^m) du\right\}$
 $= u(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}, t >$

of (1) with compact
 λ which is positive

upact interval (0, a)
 $K(x) = \begin{cases} K_1(x) \\ K_2(x) \end$ constant integral kernel were also constructed in a more general context and in more
involved form by NAGAI and MIMURA [8, 9].
 1. Statement of problem. We deal with the equation of Nagai and Mimura
 $u_t = (u^m)_{xx}^* - \left[\$ $(u^m)_{xx}^* = \left[\left\{ \int_0^{\infty} K(x - y) u(y, t) dy \right\} u \right]_x$. (1)
 x, t , $x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0$ and $1 < m < \infty$. We are looking for travelling wave

(1) with compact support $u = \varphi(x - ct)$, where φ is a continuous func-

ich is positive an

• *K, (x)* as x < 0, • It'i the applications as a rule there holds *K1* >*0, K2 <*0 in (oo, 0] d,nd (0, cc),

where K_1 , K_2 are continuously differentiable functions on $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, \infty)$, respectively, having nonpositive derivatives K_1 , K_2 there and a positive limit

$$
\Delta = \lim_{x \to -0} K_1(x) - \lim_{x \to +0} K_2(x) > 0. \tag{3}
$$

In the applications as a rule there holds $K_1 > 0$, $K_2 < 0$ in $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, \infty)$, respectively, but this is not necessary to assume.

Substituting the ansatz $u = \varphi(x - ct)$ in (1) and taking the vanishing of φ at infinity into account (thereby assuming the continuity of $(\varphi^m)_x$ on R), we obtain the equation for φ respectively, having n
 $A = \lim_{x \to -0} K_1$

In the applications as

respectively, but this

Substituting the and

infinity into account (

equation for φ

.

.

.

. *K*(*x*) = $\begin{cases} R_1(x) & \text{as } x < 0, \\ K_2(x) & \text{as } x > 0, \end{cases}$

where K_1 , K_2 are continuously differentiable functions on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $[0, \infty)$

respectively, having nonpositive derivatives K_1 , K_2 there and a pos Substituting the ansatz $u = \varphi(x - ct)$ in (1) and taking the vanis

infinity into account (thereby assuming the continuity of $(\varphi^m)_x$ on R),

equation for φ
 $\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad$
 $\qquad \qquad \$ In the applications as
respectively, but this is
infinity into account (t
equation for φ
 $\qquad \qquad -c\varphi(x - ct) =$
Introducing the variab
 $m\varphi^{m-1}(\xi) \varphi'(\xi)$
which in virtue of φ
for φ
 $\qquad m\varphi^{m-2}(\xi) \varphi'(\xi)$

$$
(-c\varphi(x-ct))=m\varphi^{m-1}\varphi'(x-ct)-\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}K(x-y)\varphi(y-ct)\,dy\cdot\varphi(x-ct).
$$

spectively, but this is not necessary to assume.
\nSubstituting the ansatz
$$
u = \varphi(x - ct)
$$
 in (1) and taking the vanishing (limity into account (thereby assuming the continuity of $(\varphi^m)_x$ on R), we obtain
\nuation for φ
\n
$$
-c\varphi(x - ct) = m\varphi^{m-1}\varphi'(x - ct) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y) \varphi(y - ct) dy \cdot \varphi(x - c\varphi(x - ct)) = m\varphi^{m-1}\varphi'(x - ct) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y) \varphi(y - ct) dy \cdot \varphi(x - c\varphi(x - ct)) = m\varphi(x - ct)
$$

\ntrroducing the variables $\xi = x - ct$, $\eta = y - ct$, this equation writes
\n
$$
m\varphi^{m-1}(\xi) \varphi'(\xi) - \varphi(\xi) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\xi - \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta - c \right] = 0,
$$

\nwhich in virtue of $\varphi = 0$ on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (a, \infty)$ yields the *integro-differential* e
\n
$$
m\varphi^{m-2}(\xi) \varphi'(\xi) - \int_{0}^{a} K(\xi - \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta + c = 0, \qquad 0 < \xi < a,
$$

\nthe boundary conditions $\varphi(0) = \varphi(a) = 0$. Here the length of the sup-
\nterval a and the speed of the wave c act as additional unknown parameters.
\nWe further introduce $\varphi = \varphi^{m-1}$ as an unknown function getting
\n
$$
\lambda \varphi'(\xi) - \int_{0}^{a} K(\xi - \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta + c = 0, \qquad 0 < \xi < a,
$$

which in virtue of $\varphi = 0$ on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (a, \infty)$ yields the *integro-differential equation*

$$
m\varphi^{m-1}(\xi) \varphi'(\xi) - \varphi(\xi) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\xi - \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta - c \right] = 0,
$$

write of $\varphi = 0$ on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (a, \infty)$ yields the *integro-differential*

$$
m\varphi^{m-2}(\xi) \varphi'(\xi) - \int_{0}^{a} K(\xi - \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta + c = 0, \qquad 0 < \xi < a,
$$

with the boundary conditions $\varphi(0) = \varphi(a) = 0$. Here the length, of the supporting interval a and the speed of the wave *c* act as additional unknown parameters.
We further introduce $\Phi = \varphi^{m-1}$ as an unknown function getting

$$
m\varphi^{m-1}(\xi) \varphi'(\xi) - \varphi(\xi) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\xi - \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta - c \right] = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\text{write of } \varphi = 0 \text{ on } (-\infty, 0) \cup (a, \infty) \text{ yields the integro-differential equation}
$$

\n
$$
m\varphi^{m-2}(\xi) \varphi'(\xi) - \int_{0}^{a} K(\xi - \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta + c = 0, \qquad 0 < \xi < a,
$$

\n
$$
\text{boundary conditions } \varphi(0) = \varphi(a) = 0. \text{ Here the length of the supporting } a \text{ and the speed of the wave } c \text{ act as additional unknown parameters.}
$$

\n
$$
\text{where introduce } \Phi = \varphi^{m-1} \text{ as an unknown function getting}
$$

\n
$$
\lambda \Phi'(\xi) - \int_{0}^{a} K(\xi - \eta) \Phi^{p}(\eta) d\eta + c = 0, \qquad 0 < \xi < a,
$$

\n
$$
\text{given parameters } p = 1/(m-1), \lambda = m/(m-1) = 1 + p \text{ and the bound-\nations } \Phi(0) = \Phi(a) = 0. \text{ Differentiating (4) leads to the two-point boundary.}
$$

with the given parameters $p = 1/(m-1)$, $\lambda = m/(m-1) = 1 + p$ and the boundary conditions $\Phi(0) = \Phi(a) = 0$. Differentiating (4) leads to the *two-point boundary*

value problem
 $i\Phi''(E) + A\Phi^{p}(E) = \int_{0}^{a} K'(E - x) \Phi^{p}(x) dx = 0$ > $0 < E < a$. which in virtue of $\varphi = 0$ on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (a, \infty)$ yields the *integro-differen*
for φ
 $m\varphi^{m-2}(\xi) \varphi'(\xi) - \int_0^a K(\xi - \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta + c = 0, \qquad 0 < \xi < a$,
with the boundary conditions $\varphi(0) = \varphi(a) = 0$. Here the length, of *z peed* of the wave *c* act as additional unknown parameters.

duce $\Phi = \varphi^{m-1}$ as an unknown function getting
 $\int_{a}^{a} K(\xi - \eta) \Phi^{p}(\eta) d\eta + c = 0, \qquad 0 < \xi < a,$ (4)

anneters $p = 1/(m - 1), \lambda = m/(m - 1) = 1 + p$ and the bound-

$$
\lambda \Phi''(\xi) + \Delta \Phi^{p}(\xi) - \int_{0}^{a} K'(\xi - \eta) \Phi^{p}(\eta) d\eta = 0, \quad 0 < \xi < a,
$$
 (5)

with $\varPhi(0)= \varPhi(a) = 0.$ This problem in turn is equivalent to the *integral equation* Explainant Solutions
 Pegral equation

\n
$$
\text{Tr}a
$$
\n
\n $\phi(a) = 0.$ \n This problem in turn is equivalence.\n

\n\n $\Phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\theta$ \n
\n $\phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \, d\$

with the kernel

$$
\varphi(x) = \int_{0}^{1} G(x, \xi) \varphi^{\mu}(\xi) d\xi, \qquad 0 \le x \le a,
$$

\nkernel
\n
$$
G(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda} G_{0}(x, \xi) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{a} G_{0}(x, \eta) K'(\eta - \xi) d\eta,
$$

\n
$$
G_{0}(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} x(a - \xi)/a & \text{as } 0 \le x \le \xi \le a, \\ (a - x)\xi/a & \text{as } 0 \le \xi \le x \le a \end{cases}
$$

\nll-known Green's function.

$$
\text{Travelli}
$$
\n
$$
\Phi(0) = \Phi(a) = 0. \text{ This problem in turn is equivalent to}
$$
\n
$$
\Phi(x) = \int_{0}^{a} G(x, \xi) \Phi^{p}(\xi) d\xi, \qquad 0 \leq x \leq a,
$$
\n
$$
\text{with the kernel}
$$
\n
$$
G(x, \xi) = \frac{A}{\lambda} G_{0}(x, \xi) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{a} G_{0}(x, \eta) K'(\eta - \xi) d\eta
$$
\n
$$
G_{0}(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} x(a - \xi)/a & \text{as } 0 \leq x \leq \xi \leq a, \\ (a - x)\xi/a & \text{as } 0 \leq \xi \leq x \leq a \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\text{in the null known Green's function}
$$

is the well-known Green's function.

Our problem is now equivalent to seek continuous solutions of (6) in some finite interval [0, a], which are positive in the open interval $(0, a)$. After knowing Φ from (5) or (6), the velocity constant *c* can be determined from (4), for instance as $\xi \rightarrow +0$ $(x, \xi) = \frac{A}{\lambda} G_0(x, \xi) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^{\pi} G_0(x, \eta) K'(\eta - \xi) d\eta,$ (7)
 $\phi(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} x(a - \xi)/a & \text{as } 0 \le x \le \xi \le a, \\ (a - x)\xi/a & \text{as } 0 \le \xi \le x \le a \end{cases}$

known Green's function.

blem is now equivalent to seek continuous solutions of $\mathcal{L}_0(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_0(x, \xi) - \frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{L}_0(x, \eta) \cdot \mathcal{L}(\eta) = \xi / u,$
 $\mathcal{L}_0(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} x(a - \xi)/a & \text{as } 0 \le x \le \xi \le a, \\ (a - x)\xi/a & \text{as } 0 \le \xi \le x \le a \end{cases}$
 \mathcal{L}_1 -known Green's function.

blem is now equivalent to seek c • is the well-known Green's function.

(Our problem is now equivalent to seek continuous solutions of

interval [0, a], which are positive in the open interval (0, a). After

(5) or (6), the velocity constant c can be deter Il-known Green's function.

2011-known Green's function.

2010-m is now equivalent to seek continuous solutions of (6) in some finite
 $[0, a]$, which are positive in the open interval $(0, a)$. After knowing Φ from
 $c =$

$$
c = \int\limits_0^a K_1(-\eta) \, \Phi^p(\eta) \, d\eta \, - \, \lambda \Phi'(0). \tag{8}
$$

2. Particular case. At first ve'consider the special case of a *piecewise constant kernel*

$$
c = \int_{0} K_{1}(-\eta) \Phi^{p}(\eta) d\eta - \lambda \Phi'(0).
$$
\n(8)
\n
$$
u \tan \csc A t \text{ first we consider the special case of a piecewise constant kernel}
$$
\n
$$
K(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{as } x < 0, \\ \beta & \text{as } x > 0, \end{cases}
$$
\n(9)
\n
$$
\beta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ satisfying } \alpha > \beta. \text{ Then the two-point boundary value problem (5)\n
$$
\lambda \Phi''(x) + \Delta \Phi^{p}(x) = 0, \quad \Delta = \alpha - \beta;
$$
\n(10)
\n
$$
\beta = \Phi(\alpha) = 0. \text{ The differential equation (10) has the first integral } \Phi'^{2}(x)
$$
\n
$$
\Phi'(x) = \Phi'(x) = \frac{1}{C} \sqrt{C - (2\Delta/\lambda^{2}) \Phi^{2}(x)}.
$$
\n(11)
\n
$$
A = 2\Delta/\lambda^{2}, \text{ integration of (11) yields the implicit functions}
$$
\n
$$
\Phi(x) = \frac{\Phi(x)}{\lambda^{2}}.
$$
$$

$$
\lambda \Phi''(x) + \Delta \Phi^{p}(x) = 0, \qquad \Delta = \alpha - \beta, \tag{10}
$$

where α , $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\alpha > \beta$. Then the two-point boundary value problem (5)
with $\lambda \phi''(x) + \Delta \phi''(x) = 0$, $\Delta = \alpha - \beta$;
with $\phi(0) = \phi(\alpha) = 0$. The differential equation (10) has the first integral $\Phi'^2(x)$
 $+ ($ with $\Phi(0) = \Phi(a) = 0$. The differential equation (10) has the first integral $\Phi'^2(x)$
+ $(2\Delta/2^2) \Phi^2(x) = C$ with a free constant *C* or

$$
\Phi'(x) = \pm \sqrt{C - (2\Delta/\lambda^2) \Phi^2(x)}.
$$
\n(11)

Putting $A = 2/\lambda^2$, integration of (11) yields the implicit functions

$$
K(x) = \begin{cases} \n\beta & \text{as } x > 0, \\
\beta & \text{as } x > 0, \\
\lambda \phi''(x) + \Delta \phi^p(x) = 0, \quad \Delta = \alpha - \beta; \\
\lambda \phi''(x) + \Delta \phi^p(x) = 0, \quad \Delta = \alpha - \beta; \\
\lambda \phi'(x) = \phi(a) = 0. \quad \text{The differential equation (10) has the first integral } \phi'^2(x) \\
\phi^2(x) = \frac{1}{C} \text{ with a free constant } C \text{ or } \\
\phi'(x) = \pm \sqrt{C - (2\Delta/\lambda^2) \phi^2(x)}. \\
\lambda = 2\Delta/\lambda^2, \text{ integration of (11) yields the implicit functions} \\
\lambda = \pm \int_{0}^{\phi(x)} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{C - Ay^2}} + C_0, \quad \text{or } (-A^{1/2}B^{1-\lambda/2}x) = \pm \int_{0}^{B\phi(x)} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} + C_1 \\
\text{is constants } C_0, C_1 \text{ as solutions, where we introduced the new free constant} \\
C)^{1/2} \text{ instead of } C. \text{ Finally, the boundary conditions } \phi(0) = \phi(a) = 0 \text{ and the } (a, b) \text{ is the same as } \phi(a) = 0 \text{ and } \phi(a) = 0 \text{
$$

with free constants C_0 , C_1 as solutions, where we introduced the new free constant • Putting $A = 2d/\lambda^2$, integration of (11) yields the implicit functions
 $x = \pm \int_0^{\phi(x)} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{C - Ay^2}} + C_0$, or $(A^{1/2}B^{1-\lambda/2}x = \pm \int_0^B \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}} + C_1$

with free constants C_0 , C_1 as solutions, where we introdu writes
 $2\Phi''(x) + \Delta \Phi^p(x) = 0, \quad \Delta = \alpha - \beta;$

with $\Phi(0) = \Phi(a) = 0$. The differential equation (10) has the
 $+(2\Delta/2^2) \Phi^2(x) = C$ with a free constant C or
 $\Phi'(x) = \pm \sqrt{C - (2\Delta/2^2) \Phi^2(x)}$.

Putting $A = 2\Delta/2^2$, integration of exempt troduce
ditions ⊈
i 0 ≦

$$
x = \pm \int_{0}^{\phi(x)} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{C - Ay^i}} + C_0 \quad \text{or} \quad (A^{1/2}B^{1 - \lambda/2}x = \pm \int_{0}^{B\phi(x)} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - s^i}} + C_1
$$
\nwith free constants C_0 , C_1 as solutions, where we introduced the new free constant $B = (A/C)^{1/4}$ instead of C. Finally, the boundary conditions $\Phi(0) = \Phi(a) = 0$ and the positions of Φ in (0, a) require that\n
$$
A^{1/2}B^{1 - \lambda/2}x = \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{B\phi(x)} (1 - s^1)^{-1/2} ds & \text{if} \quad 0 \le A^{1/2}B^{1 - \lambda/2}x \le D_1, \\ \int_{0}^{1} (1 - s^1)^{-1/2} ds & \text{if} \quad D_1 \le A^{1/2}B^{1 - \lambda/2}x \le 2D_1, \\ \int_{B\phi(x)}^{1} (1 - s^1)^{-1/2} ds & \text{if} \quad D_1 \le A^{1/2}B^{1 - \lambda/2}x \le 2D_1, \\ \end{cases}
$$
\nwhere\n
$$
D_1 = \int_{0}^{1} (1 - s^1)^{-1/2} ds = \frac{1}{\lambda} B\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right).
$$
\n20 Analysis Bd. 9, Hett 4 (1990)

$$
A^{1/2}B^{1-\lambda/2}x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D_{\lambda} \leq A^{1/2}B^{1-\lambda/2}x \leq 2D_{\lambda}, \\ D_{\lambda} = \int_{0}^{1} (1-s^{\lambda})^{-1/2} ds & \text{if } D_{\lambda} \leq A^{1/2}B^{1-\lambda/2}x \leq 2D_{\lambda}, \end{cases}
$$

$$
D_{\lambda} = \int_{0}^{1} (1-s^{\lambda})^{-1/2} ds = \frac{1}{\lambda} B\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right).
$$

 (6)
(7)⁻¹ . -

306.. **L. v. WOLFERSDORF**

 $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$.

•

•

306. L. v. WOLFERSDORF

Hence we have the relation for the parameter a
 $A^{1/2}B^{1-\lambda/2}a = 2D_{\lambda}$

and the solution Φ is given by

$$
A^{1/2}B^{1-\lambda/2}a=2D_\lambda
$$

and the solution Φ is given by-

L. v. WolfersonER
\nce we have the relation for the parameter a
\n
$$
A^{1/2}B^{1-\lambda/2}a = 2D_{\lambda}
$$
 (12)
\nthe solution Φ is given by
\n
$$
(2D_{\lambda}/a) x = \begin{cases}\n\frac{B\Phi(x)}{2} & \text{if } 0 \le x \le a/2, \\
\int_{0}^{1} (1-s^{\lambda})^{-1/2} ds & \text{if } a/2 \le x \le a.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(13)
\na reference kindly points out, the right-hand side of (13) can be represented by means of the
\naplete Beta function and this one in turn by the Gauss hypergeometric function.

(12)

As a referee kindly points out, the right-hand side of (13) can be represented by means of the incomplete Beta function and this one in turn by the Gauss hypergeometric function.

further by (10) Φ (and for $m \geq 2$ therefore also $\varphi = \Phi^p$) are concave functions, too.
Finally, by (8) and the corresponding relation (4) as $\xi \to a - 0$ we have $c = \alpha I$. Finally, by (8) and the corresponding relation $I = \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx$ $\frac{d\Phi(x)}{dx} dx$ $\frac{d\Phi(x)}$

As a reference kindly points out, the right-hand side of (13) can be represented by means of the incomplete Beta function and this one in turn by the Gauss hypergeometric function. The solutions
$$
\Phi
$$
 (and therefore φ) are symmetric functions with respect to $x = a/2$, further by (10) Φ (and for $m \geq 2$ therefore also $\varphi = \Phi^p$) are concave functions, too. Finally, by (8) and the corresponding relation (4) as $\xi \to a - 0$ we have $c = \alpha I$. $-\lambda \Phi'(0) = \beta I - \lambda \Phi'(a)$, where $I = \int_a^a \Phi^p dx = \int_a^b \varphi dx$ is the invariant integral over u , and since $\Phi'(a) = -\Phi'(0)$ the simple formula for the speed c . $c = \lambda A^{-1}(\alpha + \beta) \Phi'(0)$. (14) follows. In particular, for $\beta = -\alpha$ the functions $\varphi = \Phi^p$ represent steady state (or equilibrium) solutions to equation (1). Also for the integral I there holds the relation

and since $\Phi'(a) = -\Phi'(0)$ the simple formula for the speed c

$$
c = \lambda A^{-1}(\alpha + \beta) \mathcal{P}'(0) \tag{14}
$$

follows. In particular, for $\beta = -\alpha$ the functions $\varphi = \Phi^p$ represent steady state (or equilibrium) solutions to equation (I). Also for the integral *I* there holds the relation $I = (2\lambda/\Delta) \Phi'(0)$ so that $c = (1/2) (\alpha + \beta) I$. (Cf. also [6, p. 198].) $c = \lambda A^{-1}(\alpha + \beta) \Phi'(0)$ (14)
follows. In particular, for $\beta = -\alpha$ the functions $\varphi = \Phi^p$ represent steady state (or
equilibrium) solutions to equation (1). Also for the integral *I* there holds the relation
 $I = (2\lambda/\Delta) \Phi'(0$

There are two different situations. In case $m = 2$, i.e., $\lambda = 2$, $p = 1$, the differential equation (10), is *linear*, $a = A^{-1/2}$ *x* with $A = \frac{1}{2}$ is uniquely determined by (12) and the (positive) constant *B* is arbitrary, $\varphi = \Phi$ is the positive eigenfunction of (10):

$$
\varphi(x) = b \sin \sqrt{A} \, x = b \sin \sqrt{A/2} \, x, \qquad 0 \le x \le \pi / \sqrt{A/2}, \tag{15}
$$

which is determined up to an arbitrary positive constant factor $b = 1/B$. The corresponding speed *c* is given by (14) as $c = b\sqrt{2}/A$ [$\alpha + \beta$]. and the (positive) constant *B* is arothery. $\varphi = \varphi$ is the positive eigenfunction of (10):
 $\varphi(x) = b \sin \sqrt{A} x = b \sin \sqrt{A/2} x$, $0 \le x \le \pi/\sqrt{A/2}$, (15)

which is determined up to an arbitrary positive constant factor $b = 1/B$

been dealt with by MIMURA and SATSUMA [5] with the help of the auxiliary "potential" function $w(x, t) = \int_0^x u(y, t) dy$ (Mimura and Satsuma write the kernel (9) with $\alpha = 1, \beta = -(1 + \theta)$ but indeed consider the case $\alpha = 1 + \theta$, $\beta = -1$.) In this case $\Delta = 2 + \theta$, $c = b\theta/\sqrt{1 + \theta/2}$, $I = 2b/\sqrt{1 + \theta/2}$, i.e., $b = (1/2) \sqrt{1 + \theta/2} I$, and $c = (1/2) \theta I$. In $w(x, t) = \int u(y, t) dy$ (summar and satsuma write the kerner (5) with $\alpha = 1, p = -(1 + \sigma)$
 $\alpha = \infty$
 $\text{indeed } \text{consider the case } \alpha = 1 + \theta, \beta = -1.$) In this case $\Delta = 2 + \theta, c = b\theta/\sqrt{1 + \theta/2}$,
 $= 2b/\sqrt{1 + \theta/2}$, i.e., $b = (1/2)\sqrt{1 + \theta/2}$ *I*, and

In case $m \neq 2$, i.e. $\lambda \neq 2$, $p \neq 1$, the differential equation (10) is *nonlinear* and we get a solution for arbitrary positive *a* with uniquely determined constant $B = (2D_{\lambda})$ $\propto A^{-1/2} a^{-1}$)^{1/(1–i/2)} from (12). I.e., for any $a>0$ there exists a unique solution \varPhi and $\varphi = \Phi^p$. For instance, in case $m = 4/3$, i.e. $\lambda = 4$, $p = 3$, we have (cf. [10, p. 524]) $\Phi(x) = b \sin \text{lemn} (A^{1/2}bx) = b \cos \text{lemn} (K_0/\sqrt{2} - A^{1/2}bx) = b \text{ cn} (K_0 - \sqrt{2} A^{1/2}bx)$ with $A = \Delta/8$, $K_0 = (1/4 \sqrt{\pi}) T^2(1/4)$, $b = 1/B = 4K_0 a^{-1}/\sqrt{\Delta}$, where sin lemn, cos lemn are the lemniscate functions and en is the Jacobian elliptic cosine function with modulus $k = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Therefore **• - -** $=\int u(y, t) dy$ (Mimura and Satsuma write the kernel (9) with $\alpha = 1, \beta = -(1 + \theta)$

consider the case $\alpha = 1 + \theta, \beta = -1$.) In this case $\Delta = 2 + \theta, c = b\theta/\sqrt{1 + \theta/2}$,
 $\overline{t} = \theta/2$, i.e., $b = (1/2) \sqrt{1 + \theta/2}$, and $c = (1/2) \theta$.
 $m \ne$

$$
\varphi(x) = (64K_0^3/\Delta^{3/2}a^3)\,\mathrm{cn}^3\,(K_0 - 2K_0x/a)
$$

with the corresponding speed $c = 16\sqrt{2}$ (K_0^2/a^2) ($\alpha + \beta$)/ $\Delta^{3/2}$ following from (14) (cf. [10, p. 493]).
•
•

Travelling Wave Solutions 307

- *OS*

3. The basic integral equation. We substitute $x = at$, $\xi = as$ and $\Psi(t) = \Phi(at)$ in **nd** . **4**
.

Travelling Wave Solutions

\n3. The basic integral equation. We substitute
$$
x = at
$$
, $\xi = as$ and $\Psi(t) = \Phi(at)$ in equation (6) and obtain the basic integral equation

\n
$$
\Psi(t) = \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a) \Psi^{p}(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
$$
\nwith the kernel $k(t, s; a) = aG(at, a^s)$, i.e., $k(t, s; a) = k_0(t, s; a) + k_1(t, s; a)$, where

\n
$$
k_0(t, s; a) = (a^2/2/\lambda) g_0(t, s),
$$
\nis

\n
$$
k_1(t, s; a) = (-a^3/\lambda) \int_{0}^{1} g_0(t, \sigma) K'(a(\sigma - s)) d\sigma
$$
\nwith the normalized Green's function

\n
$$
g_0(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s) & \text{as } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1 - t) & \text{as } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}
$$
\nLike k_0 and k_1 the kernel k is a nonnegative continuous function on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

\nLet k_0 and k_1 are the real numbers of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

 with the kernel $k(t, s; a) = aG(at, as),$ i.e., $k(t, s; a) = k_0(t, s; a) + k_1(t, s; a)$, where

$$
k_0(t,s; a) = (a^2 \Delta/\lambda) g_0(t,s),
$$

$$
k_1(t,s; a) = (-a^3/\lambda) \int\limits_0^t g_0(t,\sigma) K'(a(\sigma-s)) d\sigma
$$

•

3. The basic integral equation. We substitute
$$
x =
$$

\nequation (6) and obtain the basic integral equation
\n
$$
\Psi(t) = \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a) \Psi^{p}(s) ds, \qquad 0 \le t \le 1,
$$
\nwith the kernel $k(t, s; a) = aG(at, as), i.e., k(t, s; a)$
\n
$$
k_{0}(t, s; a) = (a^{2}A/\lambda) g_{0}(t, s),
$$
\n
$$
k_{1}(t, s; a) = (-a^{3}/\lambda) \int_{0}^{1} g_{0}(t, \sigma) K'(a(\sigma - s)) ds
$$
\nwith the normalized Green's function
\n
$$
g_{0}(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s) & \text{as } 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ s(1 - t) & \text{as } 0 \le s \le t \le 1. \end{cases}
$$

 $k_1(t, s; a) = (-a^3/\lambda) \int_a^b g_0(t, \sigma) K'(a(\sigma - s)) d\sigma$

with the normalized Green's function
 $g_0(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s) & \text{as } 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ s(1 - t) & \text{as } 0 \le s \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$

Like k_0 and k_1 the kernel k is a nonnegative continuous fun \times [0, ∞) satisfying the conditions he kernel $k(t, s; a) = aG(at, a|s)$, i.e., $k(t, s; a) = k_0(t, s; a) + k_1(t, s; a)$, where
 $k_0(t, s; a) = (a^2/2/\lambda) g_0(t, s)$, (18)
 $k_1(t, s; a) = (-a^3/\lambda) \int_0^t g_0(t, \sigma) K'(a(\sigma - s)) d\sigma$ (19)

he normalized Green's function
 $g_0(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s) & \text{as } 0$ **.50 I** $s(1-t)$ as $0 \le$
 and k_1 the kernel k is a nonneg
 b) satisfying the conditions
 $k(0, s; a) = k(1, s; a) = 0$ and
 i c, for any $a > 0$ the corresponditions
 c
 $Ay(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s; a) y(s) ds$

$$
k(0, s; a) = k(1, s; a) = 0
$$
 and
$$
k(t, s; 0) = 0.
$$
 (2)

Therefore, for any $a>0$ the corresponding linear operator A defined by

0

is a compact mapping in the Banach space $C[0, 1]$ of continuous functions, which leaves the cone $K[0, 1]$ of nonnegative functions from $C[0, 1]$ invariant and more-
over maps any function $y \in C[0, 1]$ into a function $z = Ay \in C[0, 1]$ satisfying $z(0)$
= $z(1) = 0$. over maps any function $y \in C[0, 1]$ into a function $z = Ay \in C[0, 1]$ satisfying $z(0)$ $g_0(t, s) =\begin{cases} (t, s) = 0 & \text{if } t \geq 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ s(1 - t) = \frac{1}{2} \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$

Like k_0 and k_1 the kernel k is a nonnegative continuous function $\times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the conditions
 $k(0, s; a) = k(1, s; a) =$ Like k_0 and k_1 the kernel k is a nonnegative continuous functio
 $\times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the conditions
 $k(0, s; a) = k(1, s; a) = 0$ and $k(t, s; 0) = 0$.

Therefore, for any $a > 0$ the corresponding linear operator A defi satistying the conditions
 $k(0, s; a) = k(1, s; a) = 0$ and $k(t, s; 0)$
 c , for any $a > 0$ the corresponding linear opera
 $Ay(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s; a) y(s) ds$

pact mapping in the Banach space $C[0, 1]$ of e cone $K[0, 1]$ of nonnegativ

Further, for any $y \in K[0, 1]$, $y \not\equiv 0$, there exist positive numbers α and β such that the inequality

$$
xg(t) \leq Ay(t) \leq \beta g(t), \qquad t \in [0,1], \tag{21}
$$

with the function $g(t) = t(1 - t)$ holds. Namely, by the assumption $\Delta > 0$ and by Lemma 7.6 in [4, p. 302] this inequality is valid for the operator A_0 defined by

$$
A_0y(t) = \int_0^1 k_0(t, s; a) y(s) ds.
$$

Since $Ay(t) \geq A_0y(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, the left-hand side of (21) follows. Besides

Further, for any
$$
y \in \mathbb{N}[0, 1]
$$
, $y \neq 0$, there exist positive numbers α and β s the inequality

\n
$$
\alpha g(t) \leq Ay(t) \leq \beta g(t), \quad t \in [0, 1],
$$
\nwith the function $g(t) = t(1 - t)$ holds. Namely, by the assumption $A > 0$. Lemma 7.6 in [4, p. 302] this inequality is valid for the operator A_0 defined by\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\nA_0 y(t) &= \int_0^1 k_0(t, s; a) \, y(s) \, ds. \\
\text{Since } Ay(t) \geq A_0 y(t), \, t \in [0, 1], \text{ the left-hand side of (21) follows. Besides,} \\
A_1 y(t) &= \int_0^1 k_1(t, s; a) \, y(s) \, ds \\
&= M \left(\int_0^1 y(s) \, ds \right) \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^t g_0(t, \sigma) \, d\sigma \right) = \frac{M}{2} \left(\int_0^1 y(s) \, ds \right) g(t), \\
M &= \frac{a^3}{\lambda} \, \sup \left\{ |K'(x)| : x \in [-a, a] \right\},\n\end{aligned}
$$

20*

so that also the right-hand side of (21) holds. The inequality (21) means that the oper-
ator *A* is u_0 -*positive* with $u_0 = g$ in the sense of KRASNOSELSKII [4; Chap. 2, § 1].

Remark: The assumption of continuity of K_i' , $i' = 1, 2,$ on $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, \infty)$, respectively, may be relaxed. What is really needed is that the (nonnegatie) kernel *k'* leads to a compact operator in *C*[0, 1] (cf. [11, Chap. 5, Th. 1.4]) and that the inequality (21) holds. For 108 **11. V.** WOLFERSDORF
 12. Example, 12. IC1 C Example, 12. IC1 C Example, 12. IC1 C Example, 12. C Example, Example, Example, Example, Example, Example, Example, Example, Exam Remark: The assumption of continuity of K'_j , $j = 1, 2$, on $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, \infty)$, respectively, may be relaxed. What is really needed is that the (nonnegative) kernel k_1 leads to a compact operator in $C[0, 1]$ (c inequality (21) may be fulfilled also if $\Delta = 0$, so that the kernel k_0 vanishes. so the right-hand side of (21) holds. The u_0 -positive with $u_0 = g$ in the sense of k : The assumption of continuity of K'_j , y be relaxed. What is really needed is that tor in $C[0, 1]$ (cf. [11, Chap. 5, Th. 1.4]

We consider a typical example:

$$
K(x) = \begin{cases} c_1(-x) & \text{as } x < 0, \\ -c_2 x^b & \text{as } x > 0, \end{cases}
$$

where $c_1, c_2 \ge 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ and $0 < \gamma$, $\delta < 1$. The operator A_1 then writes

$$
K(x) = \begin{cases} c_1(-x)^\gamma & \text{as } x < 0, \\ -c_2x^\delta & \text{as } x > 0, \end{cases}
$$

\n
$$
c_2 \ge 0 \text{ with } c_1 + c_2 > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \gamma, \delta < 1. \text{ The operator } A_1 \neq 0
$$

\n
$$
A_1 y(t) = \frac{a^3}{\lambda} \left[(1-t) \int_0^t \sigma I_1(\sigma) d\sigma + t \int_t^1 (1-\sigma) I_1(\sigma) d\sigma \right],
$$

\n
$$
(\sigma) = c_2 \delta a^{\delta - 1} \int_0^t (\sigma - s)^{\delta - 1} y(s) ds + c_1 \gamma a^{\gamma - 1} \int_0^1 (s - \sigma)^{\gamma - 1} y(s) ds \right)
$$

\n
$$
\int_{\sigma}^1 \sigma^2 y a^{\gamma - 1} \int_0^1 (s^{\gamma - 1} y(s) ds + c_1 \gamma a^{\gamma - 1} \int_0^1 (s - \sigma)^{\gamma - 1} y(s) ds ds \right)
$$

where $I_1(\sigma) = c_2 \delta a^{\delta - 1} \int (\sigma - s)^{\delta - 1} y(s) ds + c_1 \gamma a^{\gamma - 1} \int (s - s)^{\gamma - 1} y(s) ds$. As $\sigma \to 0$ and $\sigma \to 1$ there holds where $c_1, c_2 \ge 0$ with

where $c_1, c_2 \ge 0$ with
 $A_1 y(t) = \frac{a}{t}$

where $I_1(\sigma) = c_2 \delta a^3$

there holds $A_1y(t)$
where $I_1(\sigma) = c_2$
there holds
 $I_1(\sigma) \sim$
so that

$$
K(x) = \begin{cases} c_1(-x)^\gamma & \text{as } x < 0, \\ -c_2x^\delta & \text{as } x > 0, \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
z \ge 0 \text{ with } c_1 + c_2 > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \gamma, \delta < 1. \text{ The operator } A_1 \text{ then writes}
$$
\n
$$
A_1 y(t) = \frac{a^3}{\lambda} \left[(1-t) \int_0^t \sigma I_1(\sigma) \, d\sigma + t \int_t^1 (1-\sigma) \, I_1(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right],
$$
\n
$$
S(x) = c_2 \delta a^{\delta - 1} \int_0^t (\sigma - s)^{\delta - 1} \, y(s) \, ds + c_1 \gamma a^{\gamma - 1} \int_s^1 (s - \sigma)^{\gamma - 1} \, y(s) \, ds. \text{ As } \sigma \to 0 \text{ and } \sigma
$$
\n
$$
S(x) = \begin{cases} c_1 \gamma a^{\gamma - 1} \int_0^1 s^{\gamma - 1} \, y(s) \, ds =: C_1 & \text{as } \sigma \to 0, \\ c_2 \delta a^{\delta - 1} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\delta - 1} \, y(s) \, ds =: C_2 & \text{as } \sigma \to 1, \\ 0 & \text{as } \sigma \to 1. \end{cases}
$$

inequality (21) may be fulfilled also if
$$
\Delta = 0
$$
, so that the kessel k_0 vanishes.
\nWe consider a typical example:
\n
$$
K(x) = \begin{cases} c_1(-x)^\gamma & \text{as } x < 0, \\ -c_2x^\delta & \text{as } x > 0, \end{cases}
$$
\nwhere $c_1, c_2 \ge 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ and $0 < \gamma, \delta < 1$. The operator \mathcal{A}_1 then writes
\n
$$
A_1y(t) = \frac{a^3}{\lambda} \left[(1-t) \int_0^t \sigma I_1(\sigma) d\sigma + t \int_t^1 (1-\sigma) I_1(\sigma) d\sigma \right],
$$
\nwhere $I_1(\sigma) = c_2\delta a^{b-1} \int_0^t (\sigma - s)^{b-1} y(s) ds + c_1 y a^{\gamma-1} \int_0^t (s - \sigma)^{\gamma-1} y(s) ds$. As $\sigma \to 0$ and $\sigma \to 1$
\nthere holds
\n
$$
\begin{cases} c_1 y a^{\gamma-1} \int_0^1 s^{\gamma-1} y(s) ds =: C_1 & \text{as } \sigma \to 0, \\ c_2 \delta a^{b-1} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{b-1} y(s) ds =: C_2 & \text{as } \sigma \to 1, \\ c_2 \delta a^{b-1} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{b-1} y(s) ds =: C_2 & \text{as } \sigma \to 1, \end{cases}
$$
\nso that
\n
$$
A_1y(t) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{a^3}{2} \left[t \int_0^t (1-\sigma) I_1(\sigma) d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} C_1 t^2 \right] & \text{as } t \to +0, \\ \frac{a^3}{2} \left[(1-t) \int_0^1 \sigma I_1(\sigma) d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} C_2 (1-t)^2 \right] & \text{as } t \to 1 -0. \end{cases}
$$
\nLet, we have
\n
$$
A_1y(t) \sim \begin{cases} D_1t & \text{as } t \to +0, \\ D_2(1-t) & \text{as } t \to 1 -0 \end{cases}
$$
\nwith the positive constants

•

$$
A_1y(t) \sim \begin{cases} D_1t & \text{as } t \to +0, \\ D_2(1-t) & \text{as } t \to 1-0 \end{cases}
$$

- • .. - 5.

with the positive constants

1.
$$
A_1 y(t) \sim \begin{cases} D_1 t & \text{as } t \geq +0, \\ D_2(1-t) & \text{as } t \to 1-0 \end{cases}
$$

\npositive constants

\n2.
$$
D_1 = \frac{a^3}{\lambda} \int_0^1 (1-s) I_1(s) \, ds, \qquad D_2 = \frac{a^3}{\lambda} \int_0^1 s I_1(s) \, ds.
$$

But this asymptotic behaviour together with $A_y(t) > 0$ in (0, 1) implies an inequality of the form (21) .

The function $F(\Psi) = \Psi^p$ fulfils the condition $F(0) = 0$ and is concave for $0 < p$ $< 1, i.e. 1 < m < 2$, linear for $p = 1$, i.e. $m = 2$, and convex for $p > 1$, i.e. $m > 2$. Our aim is to prove the existence of a nontrivial solution $\mathcal{Y} \in K[0, 1]$ to the equation (17) for any $a>0$ if $p\neq1$, *i.e.* $m\neq2$, and for a certain $a>0$ if $p=1$, *i.e.* $m=2$. mplies an inequality of the

and is concave for $0 < p$

xfor $p > 1$; i.e. $m > 2$.
 $\in K[0, 1]$ to the equation
 0 if $p = 1$, i.e. $m = 2$.

Travelling Wave Solutions

4. The case $m + 2$. At first we deal with the subcase $m > 2$, where $0 < p < 1$. In this case we can immediately employ a modification of a theorem of Bushell [1, Th. 2.2] saying that for a continuous non-negative kernel k if there exists a $g \in C[0, 1]$ with $q(0) = q(1) = 0$ and $q(t) > 0$ in (0, 1) such that

$$
xg(t) \leq \int k(t,s) g^{p}(s) ds \leq \beta g(t), \qquad t \in [0,1],
$$

with $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$, the integral equation $y(t) = \int k(t, s) y^{p(s)} ds$ has a unique continuous solution y with the property $\alpha_0 g(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta_0 g(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, where $0 < \alpha_0 \leq \beta_0 < \infty$. The proof of this theorem follows as for Theorem 2.2 in [1] using there the cone $K_g = \{y : \inf y(t)/g(t) \ge 0, t \in (0, 1)\}\)$ of the Banach space $C_g = \{y \in C[0, 1]: ||y||_g = \sup |y(t)|/g(t) < \infty\}.$

Since the assumption (22) is fulfilled by (21) , we have

Theorem 1: In the case $m > 2$ for any $a > 0$ the integral equation (17) has a unique continuous solution Ψ_0 with the property.

$$
\alpha_0 t(1-t) \leq \Psi_0(t) \leq \beta_0 t(1-t), \qquad t \in [0,1],
$$

where
$$
0 < \alpha_0 \leq \beta_0 < \infty
$$
.

Remark: The theory of Bushell uses Hilbert's projective metric and the Banach's contracting mapping theorem in the interior K_g^0 of the cone K_g so that it also yields the possibility of computing the solution Ψ_0 of (17) by successive approximations. The existence of a n'ontrivial solution $\Psi_0 \in K[0, 1]$ -to (17) also follows from the general considerations of KRAS-NOSELSKII [4, Chap. 7, § 4, 6] who uses the cone of concave (= convex from above) functions y in C[0, 1] satisfying the conditions $y(0) = y(1) = 0$. The assumption on the nonlinearity F there that it possesses a suitable sufficiently large linear minorant for small positive values of the variable is obviously fulfilled for the function $F(y) = y^p, 0 < p < 1$. We further remark that the solutions Ψ of (17) must be concave functions as follows from the connection with equation (5). Also since the function $F(y) = y^p$, $0 < p < 1$, is concave, the operator T in (17), i.e.

$$
Ty(t) = \int_{0}^{t} k(t, s; a) y^{p}(s) ds, \quad 0 < p < 1,
$$

is u_{α} -concave with $u_{\alpha} = g$ in the sense of KRASNOSELSKII [4, Chap. 6, § 1, 3] for any $\alpha > 0$. This implies the general uniqueness of the nontrivial nonnegative continuous solution Ψ_0 of the equation (17) (cp. [4, Chap. 6, § 1, Th. 6.3 and Chap. 7, § 4, p. 309]) and also again the possibility of computing Ψ_0 by successive approximations (cp. [4, Chap. 6, § 1, Th. 6.7]).

 $Corollary: Theorem 1 also holds for the more general equation$

$$
u_t = \left(uf(u) u_x\right)_x - \left[\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-y) u(y,t) dy\right\} u\right]_x,
$$

where the function f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and continuously differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the conditions $f(0) = 0$, $f(u) > 0$, and $f'(u) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. The corresponding integral equation (6) writes

$$
\Phi(x) = \int\limits_0^a G(x,\xi) H(\Phi)(\xi) d\xi, \qquad 0 \le x \le a,
$$

 (22)

where $\Phi = F(\varphi) = \int f(w) dw$ and *H* is the inverse function to *F*. Without the assump*tion* $f'(u) > 0$ *on* $(0, \infty)$ *we have existence of a solution without uniqueness.*

In the other *subcase* $1 < m < 2$ we have $p > 1$ and the existence of a nontrivial nonnegative continuous solution Ψ_0 to (17) follows from the example in [4, Chap. 7, §4,6]. Only the uniqueness of this solution does not result from the general theory in [4] (cf. the corresponding remark in [4, Chap. 7, § 4,4]) although uniqueness of the solution (eventually under additional assumptions on K) is conjectured in comparison where $\Phi = F(\varphi) = \int_0^{\varphi} f(w) dw$ and *H* is the inverse function to *F*. Without the assumption $f'(u) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ we have existence of *a* solution without uniqueness.

In the other subcase $1 < \varpi \le 2$ we have $p > 1$ a 310 L. v. WOLFERSDORF

where $\Phi = F(\varphi) = \int_{0}^{\varphi} f(w) dw$ and *H* is the inverse function to *F*. Without the assump-

tion $f'(u) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ we have existence of a solution without uniqueness.

In the other subcase $1 <$ *• nontrivial nontripial in the solution* $f'(u) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ we have existence of a solution with \ln the other subcase $1 < m < 2$ we have $p > 1$ and the nonnegative continuous solution Ψ_0 to (17) follows from the **the contribution** \mathcal{H}_0 is a honoring problem and the existence of a nontrivial
 the eigenv continuous solution \mathcal{H}_0 to (17) follows from the example in [4, Chap. 7, §4,6]. Only the uniqueness of this solution *A'0(t)* = *f k(s,* I; *a) 'a(s) ds* = p(.) *W(t) . (24*

Theorem 2: *In the case* $1 < m < 2$ *for any* $a > 0$ *the integral equation* (17) *has a nontrivial nonnegative continuous solution* Ψ_0 .

Remark: Of course, by (21) the solution Ψ_0 again fulfils an inequality of the form (23).

5. The case $m = 2$. Here $p = 1$ and (17) is a homogeneous linear Fredholm integral equation of the second kind. We want to prove that there exists an $a > 0$ such that the *eigenvalue problem*

$$
A\Psi_a(t) \equiv \int_a^1 k(s, t; a) \Psi_a(s) ds = \mu(a) \Psi_a(t)
$$
 (24)

has the eigenvalue $\mu(a) = 1$ with a corresponding nonnegative 'continuous eigenfunction $\tilde{\Psi}_a$. Since the operator $A = A(a)$ is u_0 -positive with $u_0 = g$ in the cone *K*[0, 1] of *C*[0, 1] for any $a > 0$ there exists a unique simple positive eigenvalue $\mu(a)$ of *A* with nonnegative eigenfunction Ψ_a satisfying (23) (cf. [4, Chap. 2] and [11, Chap. 4, § 1]). In the sequel we normali of *A* with nonnegative eigenfunction Ψ_{a} satisfying (23) (cf. [4, Chap. 2] and [11, Chap. 4, *§ 1]).* In the sequel we normalize this eigenfunction by the condition **Following Key Alternative Control**
 Following Control
 Following Control
 Following Controlling Controller
 Following Controlling Controller
 Firstly, it can

Namely, let a

Namely, let a
 Firstly, it can

Na *(d)* $\mu(u) = 1$ with $\theta(u) = \mu(u)$ is a continuous function $\theta(u) = 0$.
 $\theta(u) = 2$. Here $p = 1$ and (17) is a homogeneous linear Fredholm integral execond kind. We want to prove that there exists an $a > 0$ such that *problem*

$$
\int\limits_{0}^{1}\Psi_{a}(t)\,dt=1.
$$

of A with nonnegative eigenfunction
$$
\Psi_a
$$
 satisfying (23) (cf. [4, Chap. 2] and [11, Chap.
\n4, § 1]). In the sequel we normalize this eigenfunction by the condition
\n
$$
\int_{0}^{1} \Psi_a(t) dt = 1.
$$
\n(25
\nFirstly, it can be shown that $\mu = \mu(a)$ is a continuous function on $(0, \infty)$.
\nNamely, let $a \in (0, \infty)$ be fixed and $a > a' > 0$ for definiteness. Then
\n
$$
\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a') \Psi_a(s) ds = \int_{0}^{1} [k(t, s; a') - k(t, s; a)] \Psi_a(s) ds + \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a) \Psi_a(s) ds
$$
\n
$$
= K_0(t) + K_1(t) + \mu(a) \Psi_a(t),
$$
\nwhere
\n
$$
\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a') \Psi_a(s) ds = \int_{0}^{1} [k_0(t, s; a') - k_0(t, s; a)] \Psi_a(s) ds = (a'^2 - a^2) \frac{A}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{1} g_0(t, s) \Psi_a(s) ds
$$

-• -

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \Psi_{a}(t) dt = 1.
$$
\n(25)\n
\nly, it can be shown that $\mu = \mu(a)$ is a continuous function on $(0, \infty)$.\n
\nmely, let $a \in (0, \infty)$ be fixed and $a > a' > 0$ for definiteness. Then\n
$$
\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a') \Psi_{a}(s) ds = \int_{0}^{1} [k(t, s; a') - k(t, s; a)] \Psi_{a}(s) ds + \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a) \Psi_{a}(s) ds
$$
\n
$$
= K_{0}(t) + K_{1}(t) + \mu(a) \Psi_{a}(t),
$$
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
\n
$$
K_{0} = \int_{0}^{1} [k_{0}(t, s; a') - k_{0}(t, s; a)] \Psi_{a}(s) ds = (a'^{2} - a^{2}) \frac{A}{2} \int_{0}^{1} g_{0}(t, s) \Psi_{a}(s) ds
$$
\n
$$
\geq -C(a^{2} - a'^{2}) t(1 - t), \qquad C > 0,
$$
\n1) for the operator A_{1} and

by (21) for the operator A_0 and where
 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$

$$
K_0 = \int_0^1 [k_0(t, s; a') - k_0(t, s; a)] \Psi_a(s) ds = (a'^2 - a^2) \left(\frac{A}{\lambda} \int_0^1 g_0(t, s) \Psi_a(s) ds \right)
$$

\n
$$
\geq -C(a^2 - a'^2) t(1 - t), \qquad C > 0,
$$

\nthe operator A_0 and
\n
$$
K_1 = \int_0^1 [k_1(t, s, a') - k_1(t, s; a)] \Psi_a(s) ds = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\int_0^1 g_0(t, \sigma) d\sigma \right) \varphi(a, a'; \sigma)
$$

\n
$$
\geq -\frac{1}{2\lambda} t(1 - t) \varphi_0(a, a')
$$

with

$$
\varphi(a, a'; \sigma) = a'^3 \int K'(a'(\sigma - s)) \Psi_a(s) ds - a^3 \int K'(a(\sigma - s)) \Psi_a(s) ds,
$$

\n
$$
\varphi_0(a, a') = \max_{\sigma \in [0,1]} |\varphi(a, a'; \sigma)| \to 0 \quad \text{as } a' \to a
$$

\non account of (23) we have $\int_a^b k(t, s; a') \Psi_a(s) ds \geq [\mu(a) - \omega_1(a, a')] \Psi_a(t),$
\nis some continuous function σ and σ are σ and σ are σ . This by [4, Chap. 2, 2]

velling Wave Solutions 311.
 $\left(a(\sigma - s) \right) \Psi_a(s) \, \overline{ds},$
 $\geq \left[\mu(a) - \omega_1(a, a') \right] \Psi_a(t),$ where
 $\rightarrow a$. This by [4, Chap. 2, Th. 2.5] so that on account of (23) we have $\int k(t, s; a') \Psi_a(s) ds \geq [\mu(a) - \omega_1(a, a')] \Psi_a(t)$, where $\omega_1(a, a')$ is some continuous function tending to zero as $a' \to a$. This by [4, Chap. 2, Th. 2.5].

 $\varphi_0(a, a') = \max_{\sigma \in [0,1]} |\varphi(a, a'; \sigma)| \to 0$ as $a' \to a$

so that on account of (23) we have $\int k(t, s; a') \Psi_a(s) ds \geq [\mu(a) - \omega_1(a, a')] \Psi_a(t)$, where
 $\omega_1(a, a')$ is some continuous function tending to zero as $a' \to a$. This by [4, Chap. 2, $\begin{aligned} \n\mu(a, a') &= \max |\varphi(a, a'; \sigma) \ \n\text{ecount of } \n\{23\} \text{ we have} \n\end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} \n\text{ccount of } \n\{23\} \text{ we have} \n\end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} \n\mu(a, a') &\leq \mu(a') \quad \text{In} \quad \n\text{function } \omega_2(a, a') \quad \text{This} \n\end{aligned}$ $\mu(a) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{aligned} \n\mu(a) &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{a} &= 0 \$ so that on accounting the solution of the implies $\mu(a) - a$
implies $\mu(a) - a$
in an analogous fur
Further, $\mu(0)$ $\sigma\in[0,1]$
 $\sigma(f\cdot(23)$ we have $\int k(t, s; a') \Psi_a(s) ds \geq [\mu(a) - \omega_1(a, a')] \Psi_a(t)$, where

intinuous function tending to zero as $a' \rightarrow a$. This by [4, Chap. 2, Th. 2.5
 $\sigma, a' \leq \mu(a')$. In the same way we obtain $\mu(a') - \omega_2(a, a') \leq \mu(a)$ $\varphi_0(a, a') = \max_{\sigma \in [0,1]} |\varphi(a, a'; \sigma)| \to 0$ as $a' \to a$
 $\varphi_1(a, a')$ is some continuous function ending to zero as $a' \to a$. This by $[4, C \cap a')$ $\mathcal{V}_a(a, a')$ is some continuous function ending to zero as $a' \to a$. This by $[4, C \cap$

Further,
$$
\mu(0) := \lim_{\mu(a)} \mu(a) = 0
$$
 as follows by (25) from

 $\sigma \in [0,1]$

so that on account of (23) we have
$$
\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a') \Psi_{a}(s) ds \geq [\mu(a) - \omega_{1}(a)]
$$

\n $\omega_{1}(a, a')$ is some continuous function tending to zero as $a' \to a$. This by [implies $\mu(a) - \omega_{1}(a, a') \leq \mu(a')$. In the same way we obtain $\mu(a') - \omega_{1}(a)$
\nan analogous function $\omega_{2}(a, a')$. This yields the continuity of μ on $(0, \infty)$.
\nFurther, $\mu(0) := \lim_{a \to +0} \mu(a) = 0$ as follows by (25) from
\n
$$
\mu(a) = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a) \Psi_{a}(s) ds dt \leq \max_{s \in [0, 1]} \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a) dt,
$$
\nwhich goes to zero as $a \to +0$ in virtue of $k(t, s; a) \to 0$ as $a \to (t, s)$ (cf. (20)). Finally, there holds

implies $\mu(a) - \omega_1(a, a') \leq \mu(a)$. In the same way we obtain $\mu(a) - \omega_2(a, a) \leq \mu(a)$ with
an analogous function $\omega_2(a, a')$. This yields the continuity of μ on $(0, \infty)$.
Further, $\mu(0) := \lim_{a \to +0} \mu(a) = 0$ as follows by (25) which goes to zero as $a \to \pm 0$ in virtue of $k(t, s; a) \to 0$ as $a \to \pm 0$ uniformly in

$$
L_{\alpha}(a, a')
$$
 is some continuous function tending to zero as $a' \rightarrow a$. This by [4, Chap.'2, Th. 2.3.
implies $\mu(a) - \omega_1(a, a') \leq \mu(a')$. In the same way we obtain $\mu(a') - \omega_2(a, a') \leq \mu(a)$ with
an analogous function $\omega_2(a, a')$. This yields the continuity of μ on $(0, \infty)$.
Further, $\mu(0) := \lim_{\alpha \to +0} \mu(a) = 0$ as follows by (25) from

$$
\mu(a) = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a) \Psi_{a}(s) ds dt \leq \max_{s \in [0, 1]} \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s; a) dt,
$$
which goes to zero as $a \rightarrow +0$ in virtue of $k(t, s; a) \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow +0$ uniformly is

$$
= \mu(a) \int_{0}^{1} t(1-t) \Psi_{a}(t) dt \geq \int_{0}^{1} t(1-t) \int_{0}^{1} k_{0}(t, s; a) \Psi_{a}(s) ds dt
$$

$$
= \frac{a^{2} \Delta}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \Psi_{a}(s) ds \int_{0}^{1} t(1-t) \theta_{0}(t, s) dt
$$

$$
= \frac{a^{2} \Delta}{12 \lambda} \int_{0}^{1} s(1-s) (1+s-s^{2}) \Psi_{a}(s) ds
$$

$$
\geq \frac{a^{2} \Delta}{12 \lambda} \int_{0}^{1} s(1-s) \Psi_{a}(s) ds,
$$
so that $\mu(a) \geq (a^{2} \Delta)/(12 \lambda)$ and $\mu(a) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $a \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, there exists a
 $a > 0$ with $\mu(a) = 1$ and we have
Theorem 3: In the case $m = 2$ there exists an $a > 0$ such that the integral equation
and again multiplied an integrable on the form (23).

so that $\mu(a) \ge (a^2/1)/(12)$ and $\mu(a) \to +\infty$ as $a \to +\infty$. Therefore, there exists an $a > 0$ with $\mu(a) = 1$ and we have

Theorem 3: In the case $m = 2$ there exists an $a > 0$ such that the integral equation (17) possesses a unique nontrivial nonnegative continuous solution, Ψ_a normalized by (25) *and again fulfilling an inequality of the form (23).*

Corollary 1: *If like* k_0 *the kernel k(t, s, a) is an increasing function with respect to* α , the function $\mu(a)$ is an increasing function of a in $(0, \infty)$, too $(\text{cf. }[4, \text{Chap. }2, \S 5, 5]).$ *In this case the value of a with* $\mu(a) = 1$ *and hence the solution* Ψ_a *in Theorem 3 with* (25) is unique at all. The monotonicity of k with respect to a is e. g. fulfilled if the sectionally *kernels K_i,* $j = 1, 2$ *, of K have continuous second derivatives in* $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$, *respectively, satisfying besides* $K_j'(x) \leq 0$ additionally the inequalities $3K_j'(x) + xK_j''(x)$ \leq 0 *there. This holds for instance if* $K_1''(x) \geq 0$ *in* $(-\infty, 0)$ *and* $K_2''(x) \leq 0$ *in* $(0, \infty)$; *i.e.*, *if* K_1 *is a convex and* K_2 *a concave function.* so that $\mu(a) \geq (a^2A)/(122)$ and $\mu(a) \to +\infty$ as $a \to +\infty$. Thereform $a > 0$ with $\mu(a) = 1$ and we have

Theorem 3: In the case $m = 2$ there exists an $a > 0$ such that

(17) possesses a unique nontrivial nonnegative contin Example the *Rhis holds for instance if* $K_1''(x) \ge 0$ in $(-\infty, 0)$ and $K_2''(x) \le 0$ is
 \therefore i.e., if K_1 is a convex and K_2 a concave function.

Corollary 2: If instead of (1) for $m = 2$ we have the more general

$$
u_t = (u^2)_{xx} + \left[vu^2 - \left\{\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{K}(x-y) u(y,t) dy\right\} u\right]_x, \qquad v
$$

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \$

we obtain in place of (5) for $\Phi = \varphi$, the equation **12**
ye obta
/

312 L. v. WOLFERSDORF
\nwe obtain in place of (5) for
$$
\Phi = \varphi
$$
. the equation
\n
$$
2\varphi''(\xi) + 2\nu\varphi'(\xi) + \varphi(\xi) - \int_{0}^{a} K'(\xi - \eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta = 0.
$$
\nThis equation can be transformed to a corresponding equation as (5) by the well-kno
\nsubstitution $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) \exp[-(\nu/4)x]$ leading to
\n
$$
2\psi''(\xi) + (1 - \nu^2/8) \psi(\xi) - \int_{0}^{a} K'(\xi - \eta) e^{(\nu/4)(\xi - \eta)} \psi(\eta) d\eta = 0
$$
\ntogether with $\psi(0) = \psi(a) = 0$. This equation can be dealt with in an analogous way
\n(5) if the assumption $A > 0$ is sharpened to $A > \nu^2/8$

- This equation can be transformed to a corresponding equation as (5) by the well-known

 $\dot{\psi}(\eta) d\eta = 0$

together-with $\psi(0) = \psi(a) = 0$. This equation can be dealt with in an analogous way as (5) if the assumption $\Lambda > 0$ is sharpened to $\Lambda > r^2/8$. $\frac{2\psi''(\xi) + (2 - v^2/8) \psi(\xi) - \int_0^a K'(\xi - \eta) e^{(\nu/4)(\xi - \eta)} \psi(\eta)}{(\text{Log}(\xi - \eta)) \psi(\eta)}$

together with $\psi(0) = \psi(a) = 0$. This equation can be dealt with

(5) if the assumption $A > 0$ is sharpened to $A > v^2/8$.

REFERENCES $2\psi''(\xi) + (A - \nu^2/8) \psi(\xi) - \int K'(\xi - \eta) e^{(\nu/4)(\xi - \eta)} \psi(\eta) d\eta = 0$
 together with $\psi(0) = \psi(a) = 0$. This equation can be dealt with in an analogous way as

(5) if the assumption $A > 0$ is sharpened to $A > \nu^2/8$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BUSHELL, P. J.: On a class of Volterra and Fredholm nonlinear integral equations. Math. Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc. 79 (1976), $329 - 335$.
- Japan. J. Appl. Math. 2 (1985), 111 149.
- [3] IKEDA, T.: A discrete model for spatially aggregating phenomena. In: Pattern and Waves, *iogether with* $ψ(0) = ψ(a) = 0$. This equation can be dealt with in an analogous way as

(5) if the assumption $\Lambda > 0$ is sharpened to $\Lambda > v^2/8$.

REFERENCES

[1] BUSHELL, P. J. On a class of Volterra and Fredholm nonli FERENCES

BUSHELL, P. J.: On a class.of Volterra and Free

Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc. 79 (1976), 329–335.

IREDA, T.: Standing pulse-like solutions of a

Japan. J. Appl. Math. 2 (1985), 111–149.

IREDA, T.: A discrete model f
	- [4] КРАСНОСЕЛЬСКИЙ, М. А.: Положительные решения операторных уравнений. Мо-.
CRBa: Физматгиз 1962. – Eng. transl.: Krasnoselskii, M.A.: Positive Solutions of ' Operator Equations. Groningen: Noordhoff 1964.
	- Qual. Anal. Nonlinear Diff. Equ. (Studies in Mathemetics and its Applications: Vol. 18)

	(Eds: T. Nishida, M. Mimura and H. Fujii). Tokyo: Kinokuniya, and Amsterdam: North-

	Holland 1986, Part II, p. 385–418.

	Красноссывс 15] MIMURA, M., and J. SATSUMA: Ecotons of a nonlinear diffusion equation. In: Development of Soliton Theory. Kyoto: University, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
	- [6] NAGAI, T.: Some nonlinear degenerate diffusion equations with a nonlocally convective term in ecology. Hiroshima Math. J. **13** (1983), 165-202.
	- [7] NAGAI, T., and M. MIMURA: Some nonlinear degenerate diffusion equations related to population dynamics. J. Math. Soc. Japan 35 (1983), 539-562. *i*
	- [8] NAGAI, T., and M. MIMURA: Asymptotic behavior for a nonlinear degenerate diffusion equation in population dynamics. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 43 (1983), $449-464$. • population dynamics. J. Math. Soc. Japan 35 (1983), 539—562. (

	[8] NAGAI, T., and M. Minura: Asymptotic behavior for a nonlinear degenerate diffusion

	equation in population dynamics. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 43 (1983), 449
	- [9] NAGAI, T., and M. MIMURA: Asymptotic behavior of the interfaces to a nonlinear degenerate diffusion equation in population dynamics. Jap. J. Appl. Math. 3 (1986), 129–161.
	- Press 1952.'
- [11] 3AI;rEfto, *II. 11., ii sp.:* 141iTerpa:ibii1re ypaniieiiiia. Mocina: 143-Bo Haia 1968. Eng. transl.: Zahreiko, P. P., and others: Integral Equations. Leyden: Noordhoff 1975. Fate diffusion equation in population dynamics. Jap. J.

[10] WHITTAKER, E. T., and G. N. WATSON: A Course of Mercess 1952.

[11] ЗАБРЕЙКО, П. П., и др.: Интегральные уравнения.

Eng. transl.: Zabreiko, P. P., and others: $\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{p} & \mathbf{p} \ \mathbf{p} & \mathbf{p} \ \mathbf{p} & \mathbf{p} \end{array}$

Manuskripteingang: 06. 09. 1988 • Samuskripteingang: 06. 09. 1988 • Samuskripteingang: 06. 09. 1988 • Samuskri

• .

• Pres 1952.

• Pres 1952.

• [11] Заврейко, Н. П., и др.: Интегральные

Eng. transl.: Zahreiko, P. P., and others: 1

• Manuskripteingang: 06. 09. 1988

• VERFASSER:

• Prof. Dr. LOTHAR v. WOLFERSDORF

• Sektion Mathemat . Sektiofi Mathematik der Bergakademie Freiberg TAKER, E. T., and G. N. WATSON: A Course of Modern Analysis. Cambri

1952.

Effico, H. II., 11 ap.: Интегральные уравнения. Mockba: Изд-во Наунтана.: Zahreiko, P. P., and others: Integral Equations. Leyden: Noordho

Manusk . 1952. V. 11, англий и стали и и поэте и поэте и поэте и поэте и поэте в над. В 1952. V. PERIRO, P. P., and others: Integral Equations. Leyden: Noord transl.: Zahreiko, P. P., and others: Integral Equations. Leyden: Noor $\begin{array}{ccc}\n & \text{Bernhard-v.-C} \\
 \text{Bernhard-v.-C} \\
 \text{DDR-9200 F}\n \end{array}$