

On the Interior Regularity of Weak Solutions to Nonlinear Elliptic Systems of Second Order

J. DANĚČEK

Es wird die $C^{1,\alpha}$ -Regularität der schwachen Lösung (mit dem Gradienten im BMO-Raum) eines nichtlinearen elliptischen Systems partieller Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung untersucht. Das Problem ist unter der Voraussetzung lösbar, daß das System die verallgemeinerte Liouvillesche Bedingung im BMO-Raum statt wie gewöhnlich im L^∞ -Raum erfüllt. Zum Schluß wird gezeigt, daß die Liouvillesche Bedingung im Fall des \mathbf{R}^2 gilt.

Исследуется $C^{1,\alpha}$ -регулярность слабого решения (с градиентом в BMO-пространстве) нелинейной эллиптической системы дифференциальных уравнений второго порядка. Проблема положительно разрешима в предположении, что система удовлетворяет обобщенному условию Лиувилля в BMO-пространстве вместо как обычно в L^∞ -пространстве. В конце доказано, что условие Лиувилля выполнено в случае \mathbf{R}^2 .

The interior $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity for a weak solution (with gradient in the BMO-space) of a nonlinear second order elliptic system is investigated. The positive answer is obtained on the assumption that the elliptic system satisfy the generalized Liouville condition considered in the BMO-space instead of the usually used L^∞ -space. Finally it is proved that the Liouville condition holds in the case of \mathbf{R}^2 .

0. Introduction

In this paper, which is a modified version of the thesis [4], we prove regularity for a weak solution (with gradient in the BMO-space) of the following nonlinear elliptic system ($i = 1, \dots, N$):

$$-D_\alpha a_i^\alpha(x, u, Du) + a_i(x, u, Du) = -D_\alpha f_i^\alpha(x) + f_i(x), \quad (0.1)$$

where x belongs to a bounded open set Ω of \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$, $N > 1$, $u(x) = (u^1(x), \dots, u^N(x))$ is a vector-valued function, $Du = (D_1 u, \dots, D_n u)$, $D_\alpha = \partial/\partial x_\alpha$; we will use the summation convention over repeated indices:

In [6–9, 12] the so-called Liouville condition (L) is formulated in terms of the space L^∞ . On the other hand, the proof of L^∞ -boundedness of the gradient of a weak solution for the system (0.1) has not yet been achieved in reasonably wide extent and the possibility of this proof is questionable.

The following definition is a generalized form of the Liouville property from [7, 8] and reads as follows.

Definition 0.1: The system (0.1) satisfies the *Liouville property (L)* if for every $x^0 \in \Omega$ and every $u \in \mathbf{R}^N$ the only solutions v in \mathbf{R}^n to

$$-D_\alpha a_i^\alpha(x^0, u, Dv(x)) = 0, \quad (i = 1, \dots, N) \quad (0.2)$$

with $Dv \in \text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ are polynomials of at most first degree.

The main result of this paper is the fact that if system (0.1) has property (L), then Du is locally Hölder continuous in Ω . To this effect it represents a generalization of [7, 8]. Because it is easier to verify that the gradient of the solution is an element of the BMO-space ($L^\infty \not\subseteq \text{BMO}$), the generalization reached in this paper has a fundamental meaning. The approach stated in this paper has been used in [15], which deals with quasilinear parabolic systems.

1. Notations and definitions

In the sequel Ω will be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$. The meaning of $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \Omega$ is that the closure of Ω_0 is contained in Ω , i.e. $\bar{\Omega}_0 \subset \Omega$. For the sake of simplification we denote by $|\cdot|$ and (\cdot, \cdot) the norm and scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n as well as in \mathbb{R}^N and \mathbb{R}^{nN} . If $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and r is a positive real number, we set $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}$, $\Omega(x, r) = \Omega \cap B(x, r)$ and $Q(x, r)$ will be the cube in \mathbb{R}^n with the center in the point x and length of the side r .

By \mathcal{P}_k , $k \geq 0$ integer, we denote the set of all vector-valued polynomials $P = (P^1, \dots, P^N)$ with real coefficients defined on \mathbb{R}^n such that the degree of P^i is less than k for each $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Beside the usually used Hölder and Sobolev spaces (for detailed information see, e.g., [3, 6, 12]) we will use the following ones.

Definition 1.1 (Campanato-Morrey spaces): Let $\lambda \in [0, n]$, $p \in [1, \infty)$. The space $L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ is the subspace of such functions $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \left\{ \sup_{\substack{x \in \bar{\Omega}, r > 0}} r^{-\lambda} \int_{\Omega(x,r)} |f(y)|^p dy \right\}^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

Let k be a non-negative integer and $\lambda \in [0, n + (k+1)p]$. The space $\mathcal{L}_k^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ is the subspace of such functions $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + [f]_{\mathcal{L}_k^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$[f]_{\mathcal{L}_k^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \left\{ \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}, r > 0} \left[r^{-\lambda} \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} \int_{\Omega(x,r)} |f(y) - P(y)|^p dy \right] \right\}^{1/p}.$$

With the norms (1.1) and (1.2), $L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{L}_k^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces. We will work mainly with the spaces $L^{2,\lambda}$, $\mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{L}_1^{2,\lambda}$; instead of $\mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$ we will usually write $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$.

In our considerations we make use of the fact that for each function $u \in \mathcal{L}_k^{2,\lambda}(\Omega)$, each $x^0 \in \Omega$, $0 < r \leq \text{diam } \Omega$, there exists one and only one polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$, $P(x) = P(x, x^0, r, u)$ such that

$$\inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} \int_{\Omega(x^0,r)} |u(x) - P(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega(x^0,r)} |u(x) - P(x, x^0, r, u)|^2 dx.$$

For $k = 1$ we will write this polynomial P in the form

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, x^0, r, u) &= b^0(x^0, r, u) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n b^\alpha(x^0, r, u) (x_\alpha - x_\alpha^0) \\ &= b^0(x^0, r, u) + (b(x^0, r, u), (x - x^0)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

and for $k = 0$ it equals the constant

$$u_{x^0,r} = \int_{B(x^0,r)} u(y) dy = (\text{meas } B(x^0, r))^{-1} \int_{B(x^0,r)} u(y) dy,$$

where $\text{meas } B(x^0, r)$ means the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure. Denote further $U(x^0, r) = \int_{B(x^0, r)} |u(y) - u_{x,r}|^2 dy$, and define $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the set of all measurable functions u on \mathbb{R}^n for which the set $\mathcal{U} = \{U(x, r) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0\}$ is bounded, setting $\|u\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup \mathcal{U}$.

At last, let $H^{1,\lambda}(\Omega)$, $\lambda \in [0, n]$ be the Banach space of all functions $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, $D_\alpha u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\Omega)$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{1,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \|D_\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

Proposition 1.1: *We have the following important properties of the spaces defined above:*

- (a) $L^{2,\lambda}(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\Omega)$, $\lambda \in [0, n)$,
- (b) $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}_1^{2,\lambda}(\Omega)$, $\lambda \in [0, n + 2)$,
- (c) $\mathcal{L}^{2,n}(\Omega) \subset L^{2,\lambda_1}(\Omega) \subset L^{2,\lambda_2}(\Omega)$, $0 \leq \lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < n$,
- (d) $L^{2,n}(\Omega) = L^\infty(\Omega) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^{2,n}(\Omega)$,
- (e) $\mathcal{L}^{p,n}(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}^{s,n}(\Omega) = \text{BMO}(\Omega)$ for all $p, s \in [1, \infty)$, Ω being a cube,
- (f) $H^{1,(n)}(\Omega) \subset C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega_0)$ for each $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \Omega$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\|\cdot\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega_0)} \leq c(n, \gamma, \text{diam } \Omega, \text{dist}(\Omega_0, \partial\Omega)) \|\cdot\|_{H^{1,(n)}(\Omega)}.$$

For the proofs and more detailed information about the Campanato-Morrey spaces see, e.g., [1–3, 6, 12]. In the sequel we will denote all important constants by the symbol \mathcal{C} and other ones by c .

A function $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ is called *weak solution* of (0.1) in Ω if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a_i^\alpha(x, u, Du) D_\alpha \varphi^i(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u, Du) \varphi^i(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_i^\alpha(x) D_\alpha \varphi^i(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} f_i(x) \varphi^i(x) dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where $a_i^\alpha, a_i, f_i^\alpha, f_i$ are functions fulfilling for each $(x, u, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{nN}$ with $|u| \leq L$ the following conditions:

$$a_i^\alpha, a_i \in C^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{nN}), \tag{1.5}$$

$$|a_i^\alpha(x, u, p)|, |a_i(x, u, p)| \leq \mathcal{C}_1(L) (1 + |p|), \tag{1.6}$$

$$|\partial a_i^\alpha(x, u, p) / \partial p_j^\beta|, |\partial a_i(x, u, p) / \partial p_j^\beta| \leq \mathcal{C}_1(L), \tag{1.7}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & |\partial a_i^\alpha(x, u, p) / \partial u_k|, |\partial a_i^\alpha(x, u, p) / \partial x_s| \\ & |\partial a_i(x, u, p) / \partial u_k|, |\partial a_i(x, u, p) / \partial x_s| \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \mathcal{C}_1(L) (1 + |p|), \tag{1.8}$$

$$\partial a_i^\alpha(x, u, p) / \partial p_j^\beta \text{ is uniformly continuous on } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{nN}, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\partial a_i^\alpha(x, u, p) / \partial p_j^\beta \rightarrow d_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) \text{ as } |p| \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } (x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.10}$$

$$f_i^\alpha \in H^{1,q}(\Omega), \quad f_i \in H^{1,q/2}(\Omega), \quad \bar{q} > n, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\sum \|f_i^\alpha\|_{H^{1,q}(\Omega)} + \sum \|f_i\|_{H^{1,q/2}(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{C}_2, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial a_i^\alpha(x, u, p) / \partial p_j^\beta \eta_\alpha^k \eta_\beta^l \geq \nu(L) |\eta|^2 \\ & \text{for all } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}, (x, u, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{nN}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

It is known that $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)$ if the function u fulfils the conditions stated above (see, e.g., [3]).

2. The results

Principal result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1: *Let $u \in H^{1,(n)}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of the system (0.1) and suppose that the conditions (1.5)–(1.13) hold. If the system (0.1) has the Liouville property (L), then $u \in C_{loc}^{1,1-n/q}(\Omega)$.*

There arise two natural questions:

1. Do there exist systems of the form (0.1) with weak solutions in the space $H^{1,(n)}(\Omega)$?
2. Under which assumptions has the system of the form (0.1) the Liouville property (L)?

A partial answer on the first question is given in [5]. The problem of the $H^{1,(n)}$ -regularity of weak solutions is studied in detail in [3]. The second question is positively answered in the case of $n = 2$ and $N > 1$ by the following

Proposition 2.2: *Let the system (0.1) satisfy conditions (1.5)–(1.8), (1.11)–(1.13) and let $n = 2$. Then it has property (L).*

In the case $n \geq 3$, $N > 1$ some conditions under which linear elliptic systems with L^∞ -coefficients, quasilinear or nonlinear systems, respectively, have property (L) are shown in [11], [13] and [10], respectively. From [14] it follows that there are nonlinear elliptic systems without property (L).

3. Lemmas

The following two lemmas concern the estimate of the coefficients of the polynomials from (1.5).

Lemma 3.1 [1: pp. 140–144]: *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$, $s \in [1, \infty)$ and E be a measurable subset of the ball $B(x^0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the condition $\text{meas } E \geq Ar^n$, A a positive constant. Then there is a constant $c = c(n, k, s, A)$ such that for each multiindex α we have*

$$|[D_\alpha P(x)]_{x=x_0}|^s \leq (c/r^{n+|\alpha|s}) \int_E |P(x)|^s dx.$$

Lemma 3.2 [1: pp. 146]: *Let $u \in \mathcal{L}_1^{2,n+2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(n)$ such that for every $x \in \Omega$ and for all r, r_0 , $0 < r \leq r_0 \leq \text{diam } \Omega$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |b^0(x, r_0) - b^0(x, r)| &\leq cr_0 [u]_{\mathcal{L}_{1,2,n+2}(\Omega)}, \\ |b^\alpha(x, r_0) - b^\alpha(x, r)| &\leq c(1 + \ln(r_0/r)) [u]_{\mathcal{L}_{1,2,n+2}(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, where b^0, b^α are defined in (1.3).

Another important result needed for the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the following

Proposition 3.3 [2: pp. 373]: *Let Ω be convex. Then there is a constant $c = c(n, \text{diam } \Omega, \text{meas } \Omega)$ such that for each $\lambda \in [0, n+2]$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,(n)}(\Omega) &\subset \mathcal{L}_1^{2,\lambda+2}(\Omega), \\ \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1,2,\lambda+2}(\Omega)} &\leq c \|u\|_{H^{1,(n)}(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } u \in H^{1,(n)}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Now we present a fundamental result concerning the partial regularity of weak solutions to the quasilinear elliptic systems of the type

$$D_\alpha [A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_\beta w^j] + A_{ij}^\beta(x, u) D_\beta w^j = -D_\alpha g_i^\alpha + g_i. \tag{3.1}$$

Assume that the coefficients $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ are uniformly continuous, A_{ij}^β are continuous in $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N$, $g_i^\alpha \in L^q(\Omega)$, $g_i \in L^{q/2}(\Omega)$, $q > n$ and that $(c, \mu > 0$ constants)

$$\sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} |A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}| + \sum_{i,j,\beta} |A_{ij}^\beta| + \sum_{i,\alpha} \|g_i^\alpha\|_{L^q} + \sum_i \|g_i\|_{L^{q/2}} \leq c,$$

$$A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \mu |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } (x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{nN}.$$

Consider the solutions to the system (3.1) belonging to the space $H^1 \cap \mathcal{L}^{2,n}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 3.4 [12: pp. 147–149]: *Let u be a weak solution of the system (3.1). Suppose that $U(x, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ uniformly in each compact set $K \subset \Omega$. Then $u \in C_{loc}^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ with $\alpha = 1 - n/q$ and the a-priori estimate $\|u\|_{C_{loc,\alpha}(K)} \leq c_1(\mu, c, K, \text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega))$ holds.*

4. Proof of the results

Let $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \Omega$, $x^0 \in \Omega_0$ be fixed, $R_0 = \min\{1, \text{dist}(\Omega_0, \partial\Omega)\}$. For $R \in (0, R_0)$ and $u \in H^{1,(n)}(\Omega)$ (u is a weak solution of the system (0.1)) we define

$$y = y(x) = (x - x^0)/R, \tag{4.1}$$

$$u_R(y) = (u(x^0 + Ry) - b^0(x^0, R) - R(b(x^0, R), y))/R, \tag{4.2}$$

where $b^0(x^0, R) = b^0(x^0, R, u) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $b(x^0, R) = b(x^0, R, u) \in \mathbf{R}^{nN}$ are the coefficients of the polynomial $P(x, x^0, R, u)$ from (1.3) since $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,n+2}(B(x^0, R))$ for each $B(x^0, R) \subset \Omega$ due to Proposition 3.3. From (4.1) it can be seen that for each $a > 0$ there exists $R(a) \in (0, R_0]$ such that for all $R \in (0, R(a))$ we have $B(0, 2a\sqrt{n}) \subset O_R$ (O_R is the image of Ω through the transformation (4.1)). From (4.2) it follows that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for each $r > 0$, $y^0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and all $R \in (0, R(y^0))$ ($R(y^0) = R_0$ in the case $y^0 = 0$) we have

$$\int_{B(y^0, r)} |Du_R(y) - (Du_R)_{y^0, r}|^2 dy \leq c [Du]_{\mathcal{L}^{2,n}(\Omega)} r^n \tag{4.3}$$

and the equation (1.4) has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O_R} a_i^\alpha (x^0 + Ry, b^0(x^0, R) + Ru_R(y) + R(b(x^0, R), y), b(x^0, R) + Du_R(y)) D_\alpha \psi^i(y) dy \\ & + \int_{O_R} R a_i (x^0 + Ry, b^0(x^0, R) + Ru_R(y) + R(b(x^0, R), y), b(x^0, R) + Du_R(y)) \psi^i(y) dy \\ & = \int_{O_R} f_i^\alpha (x^0 + Ry) D_\alpha \psi^i(y) dy + \int_{O_R} R f_i (x^0 + Ry) \psi^i(y) dy \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_0^\infty(O_R). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

As previously said, $u \in H_{loc}^2(\Omega)$ and with respect to (4.2) also $u_R \in H_{loc}^2(O_R)$. Then it follows that $v_R = D_\gamma u_R$ satisfies the equation in variations

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{O_R} (\partial_\alpha a_i^\alpha / \partial p_j^\beta D_\beta v_R^j + R \partial_\alpha a_i^\alpha / \partial u^k (b_k^\gamma + v_R^k) + R \partial_\alpha a_i^\alpha / \partial x_\gamma) D_\alpha \psi^i dy \\ & + \int_{O_R} (R \partial_\alpha a_i / \partial p_j^\beta D_\beta v_R^j + R^2 \partial_\alpha a_i / \partial u^k (b_k^\gamma + v_R^k) + R^2 \partial_\alpha a_i / \partial x_\gamma) \psi^i dy \\ & = \int_{O_R} (R \partial f_i / \partial x_\gamma D_\alpha \psi^i + R^2 \partial f_i / \partial x_i) \psi^i dy \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_0^\infty(O_R). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

In what follows we are going to prove that for each $a > 0$ the set $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{u_R : 0 < R < R(a)\}$ is bounded in $H^2(B(0, a))$ by a constant depending only on a . For this reason it is enough to prove the boundedness of sets \mathcal{M}_0 and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{D^2 u_R : 0 < R < R(a)\}$ in $L^2(B(0, a))$. The set $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{Du_R : 0 < R < R(a)\}$ is then bounded according to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg Theorem (see, e.g., [3: pp. 25]).

First, let us prove the boundedness of \mathcal{M}_2 . For $a > 0$ denote $B(a) = B(0, a)$. Further choose $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B(2a))$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 1$ on $B(a)$ and $|D\eta| \leq c/a$. Substituting for ψ in the equation (4.5) the function $\psi(y) = \eta^2[v_R(y) - (v_R)_{0,2a}]$, we have for each $\varepsilon > 0$ from the assumptions (1.7), (1.8), (1.11)–(1.13), Young's inequality, Proposition 1.1 and properties of the function η that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu(L) \int_{B(0, 2a)} \eta^2 |Dv_R|^2 dy \\ & \leq \varepsilon c(L) \int_{B(0, 2a)} \eta^2 |Dv_R|^2 dy + c(\varepsilon, L) a^{-2} \int_{B(0, 2a)} |v_R - (v_R)_{0,2a}|^2 dy \\ & \quad + c(\varepsilon, L) \left\{ R^2(1 + |b(x^0, R)|^2) \int_{B(0, 2a)} |Du_R|^2 dy + R^2 \int_{B(0, 2a)} |Du_R|^4 dy \right. \\ & \quad \left. + R^2(1 + |b(x^0, R)|^2 + |b(x^0, R)|^4) a^n + R^2 \int_{B(0, 2a)} |D\tilde{f}|^2 dy + R^4 \int_{B(0, 2a)} |D\tilde{f}|^2 dy \right\}, \quad (4.6) \end{aligned}$$

here $\tilde{f} = (f_i^*)$, $\tilde{f} = (f_i)$, $L = L(\text{dist}(\Omega_0, \partial\Omega), \text{diam } \Omega; \|u\|_{H^1(n)(\Omega)})$; in the case $q < 4$ it is necessary to replace the last integral in (4.6) by $R^q \int |Df|^{q/2} dy$. Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ in (4.6) small enough, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(0, a)} |Dv_R|^2 dy \\ & \leq c(L) \left\{ a^{-2} \int_{B(0, 2a)} |v_R - (v_R)_{0,2a}|^2 dy + R^2(1 + |b(x^0, R)|^2) \int_{B(0, 2a)} |Du_R|^2 dy \right. \\ & \quad \left. + R^2 \int_{B(0, 2a)} |Du_R|^4 dy + R^2(1 + |b(x^0, R)|^2 + |b(x^0, R)|^4) a^n \right. \\ & \quad \left. + R^2 \int_{B(0, 2a)} |D\tilde{f}|^2 dy + R^4 \int_{B(0, 2a)} |D\tilde{f}|^2 dy \right\} \\ & = c(L) \{A + B + C + D + E + F\}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate now the individual terms in brackets. Since $Du \in \mathcal{L}^{2,n}(\Omega)$, we have

$$A = a^{-2} R^{-n} \int_{B(x^0, 2aR)} |\partial u / \partial x_\gamma - (\partial u / \partial x_\gamma)_{x^0, 2aR}|^2 dx \leq c[Du]_{\mathcal{L}^{2,n}(\Omega)} a^{n-2}.$$

Further from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $Du \in L^{2,\lambda}(\Omega)$ for each $\lambda \in [0, n)$ (according to Proposition 1.1/(c)) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B & = (1 + |b(x^0, R)|^2) R^{-n+2} \int_{B(x^0, 2aR)} |Du - b(x^0, R)|^2 dx \\ & \leq c[R^{1+2-n}(1 + |b(x^0, R)|^2) a^1 + R^2(|b(x^0, R)|^2 + |b(x^0, R)|^4)] a^n \\ & \leq c(\lambda, R_0) (1 + \ln^4 R) R^{1+2-n}(a^\lambda + a^n) \|u\|_{H^1(n)(\Omega)} \\ & \leq c(\lambda, R_0, \|u\|_{H^1(n)(\Omega)}) (a^\lambda + a^n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \in (n - 2, n)$ is arbitrary. In estimating the term C we use the fact that $Du \in L^{s,\mu}(Q)$ for each cube $Q \subset \Omega$, $s \in [1, \infty)$, $\mu \in [0, n)$ (see Proposition 1.1/(c)) and we proceed analogously as in the estimation of term B and obtain $C \leq c(\lambda, R_0, \|u\|_{H^{1,n}(\Omega)}) a^\lambda$, where $\lambda \in (n - 2, n)$ is arbitrary. From Lemma 3.2 it follows that $D \leq c(R_0) a^n$ and from the assumptions (1.11), (1.12) we have $E \leq c(R_0, \mathcal{E}_2) a^{n(1-2/q)}$, $F \leq c(R_0, \mathcal{E}_2) a^{n(1-4/q)}$ in case $q > 4$ and $F \leq c(R_0, \mathcal{E}_2)$ in case $q \leq 4$. From these estimates it then follows

$$\int_{B(0,a)} |Dv_R|^2 dy \leq c(\mathcal{E}_2, R_0, \text{diam } \Omega, \|u\|_{H^{1,n}(\Omega)}, a) \leq c(a)$$

for each $R \in (0, R(a))$. Hence $\int_{B(0,a)} |D^2u_R|^2 dy \leq c(a)$ for any $R \in (0, R(a))$ and the boundedness of the set \mathcal{M}_2 is proved.

Now we are going to prove the boundedness of \mathcal{M}_0 . From Lemma 3.2, Proposition 3.3 and (4.1), (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,a)} |u_R(y)|^2 dy &= R^{-n-2} \int_{B(x^0, aR)} |u(x) - b^0(x^0, R) - (b(x^0, R), (x - x^0))|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2a^{n+2}(aR)^{-n-2} \int_{B(x^0, aR)} |u(x) - b^0(x^0, aR) - (b(x^0, aR), (x - x^0))|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 2R^{-n-2} \int_{B(x^0, aR)} |b^0(x^0, aR) - b^0(x^0, R) \\ &\quad + ((b(x^0, aR) - b(x^0, R)), (x - x^0))|^2 dx \\ &\leq c[u]_{r, 2, n+2(B(x_0, aR))} (1 + \ln^2 a) \max\{a^n, a^{n+2}\} \leq [Du]_{r, 2, n(\Omega)} c(a); \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\int_{B(0,a)} |u_R(y)|^2 dy \leq c(a)$ for any $R \in (0, R(a))$ and the boundedness of \mathcal{M}_0 in $H^2(B(0, a))$ is proved.

Compactness of the imbedding of $H^2(B(0, a))$ into $H^1(B(0, a))$ allows us to choose a sequence $R_k \rightarrow 0$ such that $u_{R_k} \rightarrow z$ in $H^1(B(0, a))$. Using the diagonal process we get a subsequence (we use the same notation for it) such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{R_k} = z \text{ in } H^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Du_{R_k} = Dz \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{4.7}$$

According to (4.3) we obtain that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for each $y^0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $r > 0$ there holds

$$\int_{B(y^0, r)} |Dz(y) - (Dz)_{y^0, r}|^2 dy \leq c[Du]_{r, 2, n(\Omega)} r^n. \tag{4.8}$$

Further we deduce from (4.4) the equation for the limit function z . For passing to the limit in equation (4.4) the behaviour of $\sup\{b(x^0, R_k); k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is important. Remember for the following considerations that $Rb(x^0, R) \rightarrow 0$, $b^0(x^0, R) \rightarrow B^0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ as $R \rightarrow 0+$ exist due to Lemma 3.2 and from the definition of u_R follows boundedness of the set $\{u_R; R > 0\}$ by a constant independent of R .

(a) Let $\sup\{|b(x^0, R_k)|; k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a finite number. In this case there exists a subsequence (we use the same notation for it) $\{b(x^0, R_k)\}$ such that $b(x^0, R_k) \rightarrow B \in \mathbf{R}^n$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. According to (1.6), (1.12), (4.7) and the Vitali Convergence Theorem we can pass to the limit with $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the equation (4.4) (for the fixed function ψ). We see that the second integral on the left-hand side and the integrals on the right-hand side in (4.4) tend to zero. Thus we obtain that $B + Dz(y)$ is a weak solution of the system

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} a_i^s(x^0, B^0, B + Dz) D_s \psi^t dy = 0 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in H_0^1(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Now from the Liouville property of the system (1.4) it follows that z is a polynomial of at most first degree.

(b) Let $\sup \{|b(x^0, R_k)| : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be infinite. In this case we can suppose $|b(x^0, R_k)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Denoting in the sequel $b_k = b(x^0, R_k)$, $b_k^0 = b^0(x^0, R_k)$, $u_k(y) = u_{R_k}(y)$, $w_k(y) = R_k(u_{R_k}(y) + (b(x^0, R_k), y))$ we can rewrite equation (4.4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [a_i^\alpha(x^0 + R_k y, b_k^0 + w_k(y), b_k + Du_k(y)) - a_i^\alpha(x^0 + R_k y, b_k^0 + w_k(y), b_k) \\ & + a_i^\alpha(x^0 + R_k y, b_k^0 + w_k(y), b_k) - a_i^\alpha(x^0 + R_k y, b_k^0, b_k) \\ & + a_i^\alpha(x^0 + R_k y, b_k^0, b_k) - a_i^\alpha(x^0, b_k^0, b_k)] D_\alpha \psi^i(y) dy \\ & + R_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_i(x^0 + R_k y, b_k^0 + w_k(y), b_k + Du_k(y)) \psi^i(y) dy \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_i^\alpha(x^0 + R_k y) D_\alpha \psi^i(y) dy + R_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_i(x^0 + R_k y) \psi^i(y) dy \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Using the theorem on the mean value in the integrals from the previous system we can rewrite this system in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \partial a_i^\alpha / \partial p_j^\beta (x^0 + R_k y, b_k^0 + w_k(y), b_k + t Du_k(y)) D_\beta u_k^j(y) D_\alpha \psi^i(y) dt dy \\ & + R_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \partial a_i^\alpha / \partial u^s (x^0 + R_k y, b_k^0 + t w_k(y), b_k) w_k^s(y) D_\alpha \psi^i(y) dt dy \\ & + R_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \partial a_i^\alpha / \partial x_\gamma (x^0 + t R_k y, b_k^0, b_k) y_\gamma D_\alpha \psi^i(y) dt dy \\ & + R_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_i(x^0 + R_k y, b_k^0 + w_k(y), b_k + Du_k(y)) \psi^i(y) dy \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_i^\alpha(x^0 + R_k y) D_\alpha \psi^i(y) dy + R_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_i(x^0 + R_k y) \psi^i(y) dy \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (1.7), (1.9), (1.10), (1.12), (4.7) we can pass in the previous equation to the limit with $k \rightarrow \infty$ (for the fixed function ψ) and we have that the second, third and fourth integral in the left-hand side and the integrals on the right-hand side tend to zero. Due to (1.10) and the assumption $|b(x^0, R_k)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that the function z satisfies the equation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x^0, B^0) D_\beta z^j D_\alpha \psi^i dy = 0 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It is a linear elliptic system with the same constant of ellipticity and constant coefficients and by means of (4.8) we have that $Dz \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this case z is a polynomial of at most first degree again.

Returning to the x -coordinates, we prove that for each $x^0 \in \Omega_0$ there exists a sequence $R_k \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{R_k \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x^0, R_k)} |Du(x) - (Du)_{x^0, R_k}|^2 dx = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x^0, R_k)} |Du(x) - (Du)_{x^0, R_k}|^2 dx &= \int_{B(0, 1)} |Du_{R_k}(y) - (Du_{R_k})_{0, 1}|^2 dy \\ &\leq \int_{B(0, 1)} |Du_{R_k} - t|^2 dy \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we put $t = Dz$ (Dz is a constant) and, passing to the limit, we see that (4.9) holds.

Now let us consider the equation in variations for the system (1.4) in Ω_0 . If we denote by v_γ the derivative $D_\gamma u$, we get as before that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_0} (\partial a_i^\alpha / \partial p_j^\beta D_\beta v_\gamma^j + \partial a_i^\alpha / \partial u^k v_\gamma^k + \partial a_i^\alpha / \partial x_\gamma) D_\alpha \varphi^i dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_0} (\partial a_i / \partial p_j^\beta D_\beta v_\gamma^j + \partial a_i / \partial u^k v_\gamma^k + \partial a_i / \partial x_\gamma) \varphi^i dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_0} (\partial f_i^\alpha / \partial x_\gamma D_\alpha \varphi^i + \partial f_i / \partial x_\gamma \varphi^i) dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_0), \quad \gamma = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij}^\beta(x, v) &= \partial a_i^\alpha / \partial p_j^\beta(x, u(x), v), \quad A_{ij}^\beta(x, v) = \partial a_i / \partial p_j^\beta(x, u(x), v), \\ g_i^{\alpha\gamma}(x) &= -\partial a_i^\alpha / \partial u^k(x, u(x), Du(x)) v_\gamma^k(x) - \partial a_i^\alpha / \partial x_\gamma(x, u(x), Du(x)) + \partial f_i^\alpha / \partial x_\gamma(x), \\ g_i^\gamma(x) &= -\partial a_i / \partial u^k(x, u(x), Du(x)) v_\gamma^k(x) - \partial a_i / \partial x_\gamma(x, u(x), Du(x)) + \partial f_i / \partial x_\gamma(x). \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption of the theorem it follows that A_{ij}^β are uniformly continuous and bounded in $\Omega_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{nN}$, A_{ij}^β are continuous and bounded in $\Omega_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{nN}$, $g_i^{\alpha\gamma} \in L^q(\Omega_0)$ and $g_i^\gamma \in L^{q/2}(\Omega_0)$. Then the system (4.10) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_0} \delta_\alpha \gamma [A_{ij}^\beta(x, v) D_\beta v_\gamma^j D_\alpha \varphi_\delta^i + A_{ij}^\beta(x, v) D_\beta v_\gamma^j \varphi_\delta^i] dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_0} [g_i^{\alpha\delta}(x) D_\alpha \varphi_\delta^i + g_i^\delta(x) \varphi_\delta^i] dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus v is a solution of a quasilinear system of the type (3.1) for which partial regularity (Proposition 3.4) holds ((4.9) guarantees that the assumption of Proposition 3.4 is satisfied) ■

Proof of Proposition 2.2: Let $v \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $Dv \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a weak solution in \mathbb{R}^2 of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} a_i^\alpha(x^0, u, Dv) D_\alpha \varphi^i(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

The equation in variations is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial a_i^\alpha / \partial p_j^\beta(x^0, u, Dv) D_\beta v_\gamma^j D_\alpha \varphi^i dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2), \tag{4.11}$$

where $v_\gamma = D_\gamma v$. Now we prove that $Dv_\gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let $y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $T > 0$ be an arbitrary constant. Setting $\varphi^i = \eta^2(v_\gamma^i - (v_\gamma^i)_{y^0, 2T})$, $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B(y^0, 2T))$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 1$ in $B(y^0, T)$, $|\Delta \eta| \leq c/T$ in equation (4.11), we get $\int_{B(y^0, T)} |Dv_\gamma|^2 dx \leq c$ for $\gamma = 1, \dots, n$,

where c is independent of y^0 and T . It is known that a sequence $\{\varphi_k\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ exists such that $D\varphi_k \rightarrow Dv_\gamma$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and therefore from (4.11) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial a_i^\alpha / \partial p_j^\beta(x^0, u, Dv) D_\beta v_\gamma^j D_\alpha v_\gamma^i dx = 0$$

and together with the condition of ellipticity (1.13) gives the result ■

REFERENCES

- [1] CAMPANATO, S.: Proprieta di una famiglia di spazi funzionali. Ann. Scuola Nor. Sup. Pisa 18 (1964,) 137—160.
- [2] CAMPANATO, S.: Equazioni ellittiche del II° ordine e spazi $\mathcal{L}^{(2,\lambda)}$. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 69 (1965), 321—381.
- [3] CAMPANATO, S.: Sistemi ellittici in forma divergenza. Regolarita all'interno. Pisa: Scuola Normale Superiore 1980.
- [4] DANĚČEK, J.: Regularita slabých řešení nelineárních eliptických systémů. Thesis. Praha: Mat. Fyz. Fakulta Univ. Carol. 1984.
- [5] DANĚČEK, J.: Regularity for nonlinear elliptic systems of second order. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 27 (1986), 755—764.
- [6] GIAQUINTA, M.: Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems. Princeton: University Press 1983.
- [7] GIAQUINTA, M., and J. NEČAS: On the regularity of weak solutions to nonlinear elliptic systems via Liouville's property. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20 (1979), 111—121.
- [8] GIAQUINTA, M., and J. NEČAS: On the regularity of weak solutions to nonlinear elliptic systems of partial differential equations. J. Reine Angew. Math. 316 (1980), 140—159.
- [9] GIAQUINTA, M., NEČAS, J., JOHN, O., and J. STARÁ: On the regularity up to the boundary for second order nonlinear elliptic systems. Pac. J. Math. 99 (1982), 1—17.
- [10] КОШЕЛЕВ, А. И.: О точных условиях гладкости решений эллиптических систем и теореме Лиувилля. Докл. Акад. Наук СССР 265 (1982), 1309—1311.
- [11] MEIER, M.: Liouville theorems for nondiagonal elliptic system in arbitrary dimensions. Math. Z. 176 (1981), 123—133.
- [12] NEČAS, J.: Introduction to the theory of nonlinear elliptic equations (Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik: Band 52). Leipzig: G. B. Teubner Verlagsges. 1983.
- [13] NEČAS, J., и О. А. ОЛЕЙНИК: Теоремы Лиувилля для эллиптических систем. Докл. Акад. Наук СССР 252 (1980), 1312—1316.
- [14] NEČAS, J., JOHN, O., and J. STARÁ: Counterexample to the regularity of weak solution of elliptic systems. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 21 (1980), 145—154.
- [15] STARÁ, J., DANĚČEK, J., and O. JOHN: Liouville type condition and the interior regularity of quasilinear parabolic system (the case of BMO-solutions). Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 28 (1987), 103—109.

Manuskripteingang: 26. 09. 1988; in revidierter Fassung: 06. 12. 1989.

VERFASSER:

JOSEF DANĚČEK
Katedra matematiky, fakulta stavební
Vysoké učení technické Brno
Barvičova 85
CS-66237 Brno