Elischrift für Analysis consentut tur Analysis<br>und ihre Anwendungen<br>Vol. 10 (1991) 1, p. 73 – 82

the state of any company of the copy

Optimal Control of a Nonlinear Singular Integral Equation Arising in Electrochemical Machining

 $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$  , and  $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$ M. GOEBEL and D. OESTREIGH

ing.

63 **Provident Case And State** In der Arbeit wird ein optimales Steverproblem für eine nichtlineare singuläre Integralgleichung vom Cauchy-Typ untersucht. Die Existenz mindestens einer optimalen Lösung wird nachgewiesen und eine notwendige Optimalitätsbedingung hergeleitet.<br>В данной работе исследуется задача оптимального управления для нелинейного син-

гулярного интегрального уравнения типа Коши. Доказывается существование по меньшей мере одного оптимального решения и выводится необходимое условие оптимальности.

The paper is concerned with an optimal control problem for a nonlinear singular integral equation of Cauchy type. The existence of at least one optimal solution is proved and a necessary optimality condition is derived. The company of the company of the company of the company of the

## 1. Problem statement and introductory remarks and all the statements of the statement of the statement المقاربين فالمحارب الاست

 $\alpha$  , the second  $k$ We start with the description of the optimal control problem (P) considered in this paper. Let there be given two bounded closed intervals  $[a, b]$  and  $[c, d]$  with  $b < c$ . positive constants *l*, *d*, *m* and *M* with  $ml \le d \le Ml$  (cf. (3)), positive constants  $c_1, c_2$ and an arbitrary real number  $c_0$  with  $b < c_0 < c$  (cf. (4c)). In the whole paper, as usual,  $C[a, b]$ ,  $v \in (0, 1]$  to be specified below, denotes the space of all *v*-Hölder continuous functions y equipped with the norm  $||y||_{*} = \max |y(t)| + \sup \{|y(t) - y(s)|/$  $|t - s|^2$ , and  $C^{1, \gamma}[a, b]$  denotes the space of all continuously differentiable functions  $y$ whose derivative y' belongs to  $C^r[a, b]$  and which is equipped with the norm  $||y||_1$ ,  $\cong$  max  $|y(t)| + ||y'||$ . We introduce the nonlinear Nemytskij operator (superposition operator) alian di Ka  $\hat{z}$  is a second function of  $\hat{z}$ in An  $\sim 10^{11}$  km  $^{-1}$  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$  . The set of  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$ 

$$
Gy = g(y), g(y) (t) = g(y(t)), t \in [a, b],
$$
 (1)

generated by a given function  $g = g(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, l]$ , and the linear singular integral operator of Cauchy type  $\mathcal{L}$ 

$$
Sy(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{y(s)}{s-t} ds, \quad t \in [a, b] \cup [c, d].
$$
 (2)

With these data and abbreviations we define the set of admissible controls

 $G_{ad} = \{g \in C^2[0, l] : g(0) = 0, g(l) = d, m \le g' \le M\},$ <br>the state equation  $\sim$  (3) 

 $\mathbb{F}^{1}$  .

$$
Gy(t) - Sy(t) - D = p(t), \quad t \in [a, b],
$$
  
\n
$$
y(a) = y(b) = 0,
$$
\n(4b)

with the given right-hand side incentive rises of the state of the state of the second spin  $\sim$  3676  $\sim$  5776  $\sim$  5776  $\sim$  5776  $\sim$  5776

$$
p(t) = \frac{l}{\pi(b-a)} [(b-a) + (l-b) \ln |t-b| - (l-a) \ln |t-a|]
$$

$$
- c_1 \ln |c_0 - t| + c_2 \int_{c_0}^{\infty} \ln |s - t| / \sqrt{(s^2 - c_0^2) (d^2 - s^2)} ds, \qquad (4c)
$$

 $t \in [a, b] \cup [c, d]$ , and the cost functional

$$
\mathbf{J}(g) = \int_{a}^{a} h^2(t) \, dt, \quad h = \mathbf{S}y + D + p - q, \tag{5}
$$

where  $q \in C$ <sup>[c, d]</sup> is given. For fixed  $g \in G_{ad}$  the state equation (4) is a nonlinear where  $q \in C$ <sup>[c, d]</sup> is given. For fixed  $g \in G_{ad}$  the state equation (4) is a nonlinear singular integral equation of Cauchy type containing the free parameter  $D \in \mathbb{R}$ , which must be determined together with the function

$$
y \in C_0^*[a, b] = \{y \in C^*[a, b] : y(a) = y(b) = 0\},
$$

such that the pair  $w := \{y, D\} \in C_0$  [a, b]  $\times \mathbb{R} = : W_0$  satisfies (4a). Summing up we can write our control problem in the following form: it is a strategic of the state of

(P) Find inf  $\{J(q): q \in G_{ad}, w \in W_0\}$  satisfies  $(4a)\}.$ 

For this problem we will, in Section 2, discuss the existence of optimal solutions, and, in Section 3, derive necessary optimality conditions.

To our best knowledge there are only very few papers dealing with control problems governed by a singular integral equation. This is rather surprising because both linear and nonlinear singular integral equations have a lot of applications in different branches of sciences and technology (cf. [3, 13, 14, 19, 24]). M. GOEBEL and L. v. WOLFERSDORF [8] have considered control problems with linear singular integral equations of both Hilbert and Cauchy type. Existence theorems and necessary and sufficient optimality conditions have been proved on the basis of [20], where v. WOLFERSDORF has dealt with control processes in Banach spaces with Noetherian operator equations acting as state equation. The generalization of this theory to control problems with nonlinear operator equations, where the linearized equation is supposed to be Noetherian, as presented by M. GOEBEL and L. v. WOLFERSDORF [9] (see also [5, 21] for short summaries), has enabled L. v. WOLFERSDORF [21] to outline some results concerning control problems with a linear singular integral equation of Cauchy type in weighted Lebesgue spaces and with nonlinear singular integral equations of Hilbert and Cauchy type in Hölder spaces, respectively. An isoperimetric variational problem involving a linear singular integral equation of Cauchy type has been discussed by T. YAO-TSU-WU and A. K. WHITNEY [24].

The control problem (P) is a slight generalization of a problem arising in electrochemical machining (abbreviated by ECM). Roughly speaking the control function g represents the shape of the cathode (with or without its isolating parts) and the given function  $q$  (cf. (5)) the wanted shape of the anode. If the control  $g$  is fixed and if  $\{y, D\}$  denotes a solution to the state equation (4) related to g, then the term  $Sy + D + p$  occuring in the cost functional (5) represents the shape of the anode caused by just this control g. That means, the problem (P) consists in finding such an admissible shape of the cathode that the corresponding shape of the anode approximates its wanted shape as good as possible (in the sense of  $L_2$ -norm). In other words, the control problem (P) is the output least squares formulation of the inverse ECM problem. For more detailed information concerning the technical background we refer the reader to D. OESTBEICH [15, 16], M. GOEBEL and the papers cited there. Additionally we mention the nice monograph by J.-F. RODBIGUES [18], in which the ECM problem is derived and solved using the theory of variational inequalities. In [16] another approach to the inverse ECM pro-

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ 

blem has been outlined via the theory of Fredholm integral equations. We want to point out that the results presented in this paper could be also applied to certain optimization problems for the two-dimensional fluid flow through a nonlinearly shaped dam with a toe drain (cf. D. OESTREICH [14]). **Contract**  $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$ 

a describe a component as a component of the second of the first second control the second second second

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$  are the following the contribution of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$  , and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$  , and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$  , and  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$  $\mathcal{A}=\{x\in\mathcal{X}\mid x\in\mathcal{X}\}$  , where  $\mathcal{A}$ Clearly, the starting point of our investigations has to be the state equation (4), which, because of what follows below, will be considered for any  $g \in G$ ,

$$
G = \{g \in C^{1,1}[0,l] : g(0) = 0, \quad g(l) = d, \quad m \le g' \le M\}.
$$
 (6) We introduce the Banach space

$$
W^* = C^*[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} = \{w = \{y, D\}\}, \quad ||w||_* = ||y||_* + |D|,
$$
  

$$
v \in (0, 1]; W_0^* = C_0^*[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \text{ is a subspace in } W^*.
$$

Theorem 1: Suppose  $v \in (0, \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda = 1/2$  – arctan  $M/\pi$ . Then for any  $g \in G$ there exists a unique solution  $w = w(g) \in W_0$  to the state equation (4) and, furthermore,

$$
||w(g)||_* \leq \text{const } \forall g \in G. \tag{7}
$$

Proof: Since the proof of the first statement is more or less completely the same as those given in D. OESTREICH [14] on the basis of L. v. WOLFERSDORF [23], we can restrict ourselves to some remarks concerning mainly the proof of (7). To this end, in (4a)  $g \in G$  is replaced by a smooth extension  $\tilde{g} \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\tilde{g}'(x) = g'(0)$  for  $x \in (-\infty, 0)$  and  $\overline{g}'(x) = g'(l)$  for  $x \in (l, \infty)$ . Then by differentiating of (4a) we obtain a formally linear singular integral equation for which the solution can be given explicitly. Integrating this solution we come to a fixed point equation  $y = \mathbf{P}y$ with known operator P, see [23: § 2.2]. The estimations in [23: § 4.1] show that  $P: C_0[a, b] \to C_0[a, b]$  and that there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  with  $||Py||_l \leq c_0$  for all  $y \in C_0$ '[a, b] and all  $g \in G$ , where v and  $\lambda$  are given as above. This means, P maps the whole space  $C_0[a, b]$  into its convex compact subset  $Q = \{y \in C_0[a, b] : ||y||_1$  $\leq c_0$ . Since P maps Q into itself continuously with respect to  $||\cdot||$ , (see [22]), the Schauder fixed point theorem yields the existence of at least one  $y \in Q$  with  $y = Py$ . Like in [14] it can be shown that  $y(t) \in [0, l]$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Therefore, because the fixed point equation  $y = Py$  is equivalent to (4), problem (4) also has a solution  $y \in Q$ , for which holds and the set of the se **SALE SHARP** 

$$
||y||_1 \leq c_0 \quad \forall g \in G. \tag{8}
$$

At this the parameter  $D$  is given by

$$
D = g(y(a)) - Sy(a) - p(a) = -Sy(a) - p(a) \quad (=-Sy(b) - p(b)).
$$

Due to (8),  $S \in \mathfrak{L}(C_0[a, b], C[a, b])$  (see, for example, S. PRÖSSDORF [17: § 3.4.1]) and  $|\mathbf{S}y(a)| \leq ||\mathbf{S}y||$ , we obtain (7)  $\sigma$  is a set of  $\sigma$  .

From now on, let  $v \in (0, \lambda)$  be fixed.

It seems to be impossible to prove an existence theorem for our control problem (P). Therefore, we modify it by replacing the set of admissible controls  $G_{ad}$  by

$$
G_{\mathbf{a}a}^* = \{g \in C^{1,1}[0,l]: g(0) = 0, g(l) = d, m \leq g'(x) \leq M \ \forall x, \\ |g'(x) - g'(y)| \leq k \ |x - y| \ \forall x, y\},
$$
\n(9)

where  $k > 0$  is a given constant. The new optimal control problem will be called  $(P^*)$ where  $\kappa > 0$  is a given constant. The nev<br>and its set of admissible triples  $T_{ad}^*$ , i.e., M. GOEBEL and D. OESTREICH<br>
> 0 is a given constant. The new optimal control problem will<br>
of admissible triples  $T_{ad}^*$ , i.e.,<br>  $T_{ad}^* = \{(g, y, D) : g \in G_{ad}^*, w = \{y, D\} \in W_0^* \text{ solves (4)}\}.$ <br>
of Theorem 1 we have  $T_{ad}^* \neq \emptyset$ . 76 M. GOEBEL and D. OESTREICH<br>
where  $k > 0$  is a given constant. The new optimal<br>
and its set of admissible triples  $T_{ad}^*$ , i.e.,<br>  $T_{ad}^* = \{(g, y, D) : g \in G_{ad}^*, w = \{y, D\} \in V\}$ <br>
In virtue of Theorem 1 we have  $T_{ad}^* = \emptyset$ .

$$
T_{\mathsf{ad}}^{\bullet} = \left\{ \langle g, y, D \rangle : g \in G_{\mathsf{ad}}^{\bullet}, w = \langle y, D \rangle \in W_0^{\bullet} \text{ solves (4)} \right\}.
$$

In virtue of Theorem 1 we have  $T_{ad}^* \neq \emptyset$ . In the following lemma we prove the com-<br>pactness of  $T_{ad}^*$  in a suitable space. **we** rove tl

**Lemma 1:** The set  $T_{ad}^* \subset C^{1,\mu}[0,1] \times C_0^{\mu}[a,b] \times \mathbb{R}$  is compact for any fixed  $\mu \in (0,1)$ <br>id  $x \in (0,\nu)$ . and  $x \in (0, v)$ .<br>Proof: Because of the definition of  $G_{ad}^*$  and Theorem 1 the set  $T_{ad}^*$  considered

**76.** M. GOEBEL and D. OESTREICH.<br> **where**  $k > 0$  **is a given constant.** The new optimal control pro<br>
and its set of admissible triples  $T_{aa}^a$ , i.e.,<br>  $T_{aa}^e = \{(g, y, D) : g \in G_{aa}^a, w = (y, D) \in W_0^* \text{ solves } ($ <br>
In virtue of Theorem in  $C^{1,1}[0, l] \times C_0'[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and, hence, relatively compact in  $C^{1,\mu}[0, l]$  $\times C_0^{\kappa}[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mu \in (0, 1)$  and  $\kappa \in (0, \nu)$ . We show that  $T_{ad}^{\kappa}$  is also closed in this space. Let  $\{\langle g_n, y_n, D_n \rangle\} \subset T_{ad}^*$  denote an arbitrary sequence converging to this space. Let  $\{g_n, y_n, D_n\} \subset T_{ad}^*$  denote an arbitrary sequence converging to  $\{g_0, y_0, D_0\}$  in  $C^{1,\mu}[0, l] \times C_0^*[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$ . The properties  $g_0(0) = 0, g_0(l) = d, m \leq g_0'(x)$ **Proof:** Because of the definition of  $G_i^0$ <br>in  $C^{1,1}[0, l] \times C_0^*[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$  is bounded an<br> $\times C_0^*[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mu \in (0, 1)$  and  $\kappa \in \{\text{this space. Let } \{(g_s, y_n, D_n)\} \subseteq T_{ad}^*$  deno<br> $[g_0, y_0, D_0]$  in  $C^{1,\mu}[0, l] \times C_0^*[a, b$ te an arbitrary see<br>properties  $g_0(0) = 0$ •,  $\frac{1}{m} \leq$ *Igota in the set 1 ad*  $\bigcup_{v} C^{v}(0, t) \times C_{0}[u, v] \times \mathbb{R}$  *is compute for any fixe*<br>  $\bigcup_{v \in C_{0}} [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$  *Igodard and, hence, relatively compact*  $\bigcup_{v \in C_{0}} [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$  *Ignition of*  $G_{ad}^{*}$  and Theorem 1 th efinition of  $G_{\mathbf{a}_0}^*$  and Theorem<br>
s bounded and, hence, relative<br>
0, 1) and  $x \in (0, v)$ . We show  $\} \subseteq T_{\mathbf{a}_0}^*$  denote an arbitrary<br>  $\vdots$   $b$ ]  $\times \mathbb{R}$ . The properties  $g_0(0)$ <br>
ince from<br>
...<br>  $\vdots$   $|g_n'(x) -$ 

$$
\leq M \text{ for all } x \text{ are evident. Since from}
$$
\n
$$
|g_0'(x) - g_0'(y)|
$$
\n
$$
\leq |g_0'(x) - g_n'(x)| + |g_n'(x) - g_n'(y) + |g_n'(y) - g_0'(y)|
$$
\n
$$
\leq k |x - y| + |g_0'(x) - g_n'(x)| + |g_n'(y) - g_0'(y)|
$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, l]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  it follows that  $g_0'$  is Lipschitz continuous with the Lipschitz constant *k*, we find  $g_0 \in G_{ad}^*$ . Finally, since, because of  $S \in \mathfrak{L}(C_0^*[a, b], C^*[a, b])$ and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ 

$$
|g_n(y_n(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |g_n(y_n(t)) - g_n(y_0(t))| + |g_n(y_0(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq M |y_n(t) - y_0(t)| + |g_n(y_0(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))|
$$

for all  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the relations  $g_n(y_n(t)) - Sy_n(t) - D_n = p(t)$  imply  $g_0(y_0(t))$  $Sy_0(t) - D_0 = p(t), t \in [a, b],$  we get  $\{g_0, y_0, D_0\} \in \tilde{T}_{ad}^*$  which completes the proof  $\blacksquare$ .<br>
Cheorem 2: The optimal control problem  $(\mathbf{P}^*)$  characterized by (4), (5), (9) has at the optimal solution. *Theorem 2': The optimal control probleiñ* **(P\*)** *charaaerized by (4), (5),* (9) *has at*  for all  $x, y \in [0, l]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  it follows that  $k$ , we find  $g_0 \in G_{ad}^*$ .<br>
and<br>  $|g_n(y_n(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))|$ <br>  $\leq |g_n(y_n(t)) - g_n(y_0(t))| +$ <br>  $\leq M |y_n(t) - y_0(t)| + |g_n(t)|$ <br>
for all  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the relat<br>  $- Sy_0(t) - D_0 = p(t), t$ for all  $x, y \in [0, l]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  it follows<br>chitz constant  $k$ , we find  $g_0 \in G_{\mathtt{A}a}^*$ . Find<br>and<br> $|g_n(y_n(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))|$ <br> $\leq |g_n(y_n(t)) - g_n(y_0(t))| + |g_n(y_0(t))|$ <br> $\leq M |y_n(t) - y_0(t)| + |g_n(y_0(t))|$ <br>for all  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

Due to the above Lemma 1 and the obvious fact that the cost functional *J* **maps**   $C_0^{\kappa}[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$  continuously into  $\mathbb{R}$ , the proof of this existence theorem is now stan-

## 3. Necessary optimality condition

 $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ 

 $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}=\left\{ \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \right\} .$ This section is the main part of the paper. It is devoted the optimality conditions to be satisfied by each optimal solution

$$
[g_0, w_0] \in G_{\text{ad}} \times W_0^*, \quad w_0 = \{y_0, D_0\} \cup \{y_0, y_1\} \cup \{y_0, y_1\} \cup \{y_0, y_1\} \cup \{y_0, y_1\} \cup \{y_0\} \cup \{y_0\
$$

to our original control problem (P). Unfortunately, it is not possible to apply to **(P)**  some general method to be found, e.g., in [10] and in [4, 9] and the references cited there, since these theories usually need the partial Fréchet derivatives of the operator defining the state equation and the adjoint state space to describe the adjoint state. Note that in our case the corresponding operator  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$
\mathbf{F}(q,w) = \mathbf{G}y - \mathbf{S}y - D - p, \quad \mathbf{F}: C^2[0,l] \times W_0^{\bullet} \to C^{\bullet}[a,b],
$$

is even not defined in a neighbourhood of  $\{g_0, w_0\}$  and that  $C_0$ <sup>\*</sup>[a, b]\* (and consequently  $W_0^*$  is at least very unconvenient, which is one of the reasons that the theory of linear singular integral equations of Cauchy type works only with the Hölder space and not with its dual, cf. [12, 17]. All this has led us to use the concept of directional derivative for finding necessary optimality conditions. As essential tools we use the theory of linear singular integral equations developed in MUSCHELISOHWILI [12: Kap. VI and continuity and differentiability properties of certain Nemytskij operators acting in Hölder spaces, which we have proved recently in [6]; concerning some other interesting properties of such operators we refer to  $[1,2]$ .

For arbitrarily fixed  $g \in G_{ad}$  we define the convex linear combination  $g_i = (1 - \varepsilon)$  $g_0 + \epsilon g$ ,  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ , and denote by  $\theta_{\epsilon}$  the Nemytskij operator generated by  $g_{\epsilon}$  (cf.(1)), and by  $w_{\epsilon} = \{y_{\epsilon}, D_{\epsilon}\} \in W_0$  the unique solution to (4) related to  $g_{\epsilon}$  (cf. Theorem 1). آن في موقع أن الأنواع المناسبة الموقع الموقع.<br>الموقع الموقع الموق Provided the directional derivative

$$
\delta_+ J(g_0; g - g_0) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^{-1} \big( \Phi(\epsilon) - \Phi(0) \big), \quad \Phi(\epsilon) = J(g_{\epsilon}), \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots
$$

eto<br>exists we have the obvious necessary optimality condition.

$$
\delta_+{\bf J}(g_0;g-g_0)\geqq 0\quad\forall g\in G_{\tt ad}\,.
$$

Therefore, in the following our main task is to calculate this directional derivative, which requires to study the behaviour of  $\varepsilon^{-1}(w_{\varepsilon} - w_0)$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . First, however, we

which requires to soluty the behaviour of  $e^{i\omega_e - i\omega_0}$  as  $e^{i\omega_e - i\omega_0}$ , we call (cf. [12: Kap. V])<br>
Lemma 2: For each  $f \in C$ <sup>[</sup>[a, b],  $v \in (0, \lambda)$ , there exists a unique solution  $\langle y, D \rangle \in W_0$ <sup>,</sup> to the linear sing

$$
g_0'(y_0(t)) y(t) - Sy(t) - D = f(t), \quad t \in [a, b].
$$

Theorem 3: Let  $w = \{y, D\} \in W_0$  be the unique solution to  $\{y, y\}$ 

$$
g_0'(y_0(t)) y(t) - S y(t) - D = G_0 y_0(t) - G y_0(t), \quad t \in [a, b]. \tag{11}
$$

Then there exists an abstract function  $\omega_{s} = \{ \varrho_{s}, \chi_{s} \} \in W_{0}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_{0})$  and sufficiently small, such that proposed on the complete state of the second section

 $w_i = w_0 + \epsilon w + \omega_e \forall \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0), \quad ||\omega_{\epsilon}||_{\epsilon} = o(\epsilon) \quad as \quad \epsilon \downarrow 0.$ <br>
Proof: 1. Let  $g_0, g \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  be arbitrary extensions of  $g_0, g \in G_{ad}$ . We define  $\tilde{g}_{\epsilon} = (1 - \epsilon) \tilde{g}_0 + \epsilon \tilde{g}, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ , and introduce the Nemytskij operator  $\tilde{\theta}_{\epsilon}$  by setting  $\tilde{G}_{\ell}y = \tilde{g}_{\ell}(y)$ . From [6: Theorem 2] we know the following:

At each  $z \in C[a, b]$ , the operator  $\tilde{\theta}_i : C[a, b] \to C[a, b]$  has a continuous Fréchet derivative.  $\tilde{\theta}_{i}(z)$   $y(t) = \tilde{g}_{i}(z(t))$   $y(t)$   $\forall y \in C$ <sup>t</sup>[a, b].

Obviously, for any  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}_t$  is an extension of  $\theta_t$  defined above. That means, setting  $\mathfrak{D} = \{y \in C^*[a, b]: 0 \leq y \leq l\}$  we have

$$
\tilde{\theta}_s'y = \theta_s y \,\forall y \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in [0,1]. \tag{14}
$$

Consider the nonlinear singular integral equation of the construction of the constant of the construction

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

the nonlinear singular integral equation  
\n
$$
\tilde{G}_t y(t) - S y(t) - D = p(t), \quad t \in [a, b],
$$

 $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $\epsilon = \epsilon$  , where  $\epsilon$ 

which using the operator

M. GOEBEL and D. OESTREICH  
ing the operator  

$$
\mathbf{F}: \mathbb{R} \times W_0^* \to C^*[a, b], \quad \mathbf{F}(\varepsilon, w) = \tilde{\mathbf{\theta}}_t y - \mathbf{S} y - D - p
$$
  
ritten as operator equation

can be written as operator equation

M. GOEBEL and D. OESTREION<br>
ing the operator<br>  $\mathbf{F}:\mathbb{R}\times W_0^* \to C^*[a, b], \quad \mathbf{F}(\varepsilon, w) = \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_t y - \mathbf{S}y - D - p$ <br>
ritten as operator equation<br>  $\mathbf{F}(\varepsilon, w) = 0.$  (15)<br>
equation and its defining operator  $\mathbf{F}$  we ca For this equation and its defining operator F we can establish the properties listed below:

(a) Because of Theorem 1 and (14), for each  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  the pair  $w_{\varepsilon} = \{y_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon}\} \in W_0'$ is the unique solution of (15). Particularly,  $F(0, w_0) = 0$ .

(b) Essentially, due to (13), in each point  $\{\delta, v\} \in \mathbb{R} \times W_0^*$ ,  $v = \{z, E\}$ , the operator **F** has a continuous partial Fréchet derivative  $\mathbf{F}_{w}(\delta, v)$  given by can be written as operator equation<br>  $F(\varepsilon, w) = 0$ .<br>
For this equation and its defining operator  $F$  we can establish the pro<br>
below:<br>
(a) Because of Theorem 1 and (14), for each  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  the pair  $w_{\varepsilon} = \{\varepsilon$  is which using the operator<br>  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R} \times W_0^* \to C^* [a, b], \quad \mathbf{F}$ <br>
can be written as operator equation<br>  $\mathbf{F}(\varepsilon, w) = 0.$ <br>
For this equation and its defining  $\mathbf{F}(\varepsilon, w) = 0.$ <br>
For this equation and its defining  $\mathbf$ 

,.

$$
\mathbf{F}_w(\delta, v) w(t) = \tilde{g}_\delta'(z(t)) y(t) - \mathbf{S}y(t) - D \ \forall w = \{y, D\} \in W_0'.
$$
  
ular we have  

$$
\mathbf{F}_w(0, w_0) w(t) = g_0'(y_0(t)) y(t) - \mathbf{S}y(t) - D \ \forall w = \{y, D\} \in W_0'.
$$

$$
\mathbf{F}_w(0, w_0) w(t) = g_0'(y_0(t)) y(t) - \mathbf{S}y(t) - D \ \forall w = \{y, D\} \in W_0^*.
$$

By Lemma 2 and a known theorem due to Banach (see., e.g., [25: Chap. III, §5] or [11: Kap. XII, §1]) the operator  $\mathbf{F}_w(0, w_0)$  has a continuous inverse  $\mathbf{F}_w(0, w_0)^{-1}$  $\in \mathfrak{L}(C^{\bullet}[\![a,b]\!],W_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\bullet}).$ 

**(e)** Clearly, F has also a continuous partial Fréchet derivative with, respect to e at each point of  $\mathbb{R} \times W_0$ .

As a consequence of these properties the implicit function theorem to be found for example in [11: Kap. XVII, §4] can be applied to equation (15). Hence, there is an abstract function  $w = w(\varepsilon)$  defined on  $(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1$ example in  $[11: Kap. XVII, §4]$  can be applied to equation (15). Hence, there is an abstract function  $w = w(\varepsilon)$  defined on  $(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1), \varepsilon_1 > 0$  sufficiently small, with the following two properties: **(d) (d) F(e, w(e) (d) F(e) (d) F(e) (d) F(e) (d) F(e) (d) F(e) (d) F(e, w(e)**) = 0  $\forall \epsilon \in (-\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1)$ , w(0) =  $w_0$ .<br> **(d) F(e, w(e)**) = 0  $\forall \epsilon \in (-\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1)$ , w(0) =  $w_0$ .

- 
- 

(d)  $F(\varepsilon, w(\varepsilon)) = 0 \ \forall \varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1), \quad w(0) = w_0.$ <br>
(e) At  $\varepsilon = 0, w = w(\varepsilon)$  has a Frechet derivative.<br>
In other words, after setting  $w'(0) = w = \{y, D\}$  we can write

$$
w(\varepsilon)=w(0)+\varepsilon w+\omega, \ \forall \varepsilon\in (-\varepsilon_0,\varepsilon_0),
$$

In other words, after setting  $w'(0) = w = \{y, D\}$  we can write<br>  $w(\varepsilon) = w(0) + \varepsilon w + \omega_{\varepsilon} \ \forall \varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0),$ <br>
where  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$  is sufficiently small and  $\omega_{\varepsilon} = \{ \varrho_{\varepsilon}, \chi_{\varepsilon} \} \in W_0^*$  with  $||\omega_{\varepsilon$  $o(\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Since, because of (a),  $w(\varepsilon) = w_i$  for  $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_i) \wedge [0, 1]$ , we have proved (12).

2. In virtue of Lemma 2 the linear integral equation (11) is uniquely solvable in  $W_0$ <sup>t</sup>. Therefore, the theorem is completely proved after showing that its solution is just given by  $w = \{y, D\}$  introduced in the first part of the proof. ample in [11: Kap. XVII, §4] can be applied to equation (15<br>
stract function  $w = w(\varepsilon)$  defined on  $(-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1), \varepsilon_1 > 0$  sufficie<br>
llowing two properties:<br>
(d)  $F(\varepsilon, w(\varepsilon)) = 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1), w(0) = w_0.$ <br>
(e)  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Since, because of (a),  $w(\varepsilon) = w_i$  for  $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_1) \wedge [0, 1]$ ,<br>
2. In virtue of Lemma 2 the linear integral equation (11) is<br>
Therefore, the theorem is completely proved after showing<br>
given by  $w = \{y, D\}$ 1,  $w(e) = w_i$  for  $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_1) \wedge [0, 1]$ , we have proved (12).<br>
the linear integral equation (11) is uniquely solvable in  $W_0$ .<br>
completely proved after showing that its solution is just<br>
luced in the first part of the

$$
\mathbf{G}_{\epsilon}y_{\epsilon}-\mathbf{S}y_{\epsilon}-D_{\epsilon}=p\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbf{G}_{0}y_{0}-\mathbf{S}y_{0}-D_{0}=p\,,
$$

 $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , on [a, b] from which with  $\Delta_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_{\varepsilon}y_{\varepsilon} - \hat{\theta}_0y_0)$  we get  $\Delta_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1}(\hat{D}_{\varepsilon} - D_0) = 0$  on [a, b]. Because of  $S \in \mathcal{L}(C_0^*[a, b], C^*[a, b])$ , cf. [17: § 3.4.1], and relation (12),

$$
\varepsilon^{-1}S(y_{\varepsilon}-y_0)-\varepsilon^{-1}(D_{\varepsilon}-D_0)\to Sy-D\quad\text{in }C'[a,b].
$$

as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Hence, it remains to show

$$
\Delta_{\epsilon} \overline{z_{40}} + g_0'(y_0) y - \mathbb{G}_0 y_0 + \mathbb{G} y_0 \quad \text{in } C^r[a, b]. \tag{16}
$$

Let us introduce a Nemytskij operator  $G^*$  by setting  $G^*y = g_0'(y)$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{D}$ . We notice that troduce a Ner<br> $G_0, G, G^*$ : D Optimal Control of a Nonlinear Sing. Int. Equ. 79<br>
mytskij operator  $G^*$  by setting  $G^*y = g_0'(y)$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ . We notice<br>  $\rightarrow C^*[a, b]$  continuously, (17)<br>
consequence of [6: Theorem 1]. Now, because of<br>  $=(1 - \varepsilon) G_0y + \vare$ 

$$
G_0, G, G^*: \mathfrak{D} \to C[a, b] \quad \text{continuously}, \tag{17}
$$

which is an immediate consequence of  $[6:$  Theorem 1]. Now, because of

Optimal Control of a Nonlinear Sing. Int. Equ. 79  
\n100 us introduce a Nemytskij operator 
$$
G^*
$$
 by setting  $G^*y = g_0'(y), y \in \mathfrak{D}$ . We notice  
\n $G_0, G, G^*: \mathfrak{D} \to C^*[a, b]$  continuously,  
\n(c) is an immediate consequence of [6: Theorem 1]. Now, because of  
\n $G_i y_\epsilon - G_0 y_0 = (1 - \epsilon) G_0 y + \epsilon G y_\epsilon - G_0 y_0$   
\n $= (G_0 y_\epsilon - G_0 y_0) + \epsilon (G y_0 - G_0 y_0), \quad \epsilon \in (0, 1],$   
\n $= (\epsilon G_0 y_\epsilon - G_0 y_0) + \epsilon (G y_\epsilon - G y_0), \quad \epsilon \in (0, 1],$   
\n $\Delta_i(t) - g_0'(y_0(t)) y(t) + G_0 y_0(t) - G y_0(t)$   
\n $= [\epsilon^{-1}(G_0 y_\epsilon(t) - G_0 y_0(t)) - y(t) G^* y_0(t)]$   
\n $\Delta_i(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\epsilon^{-1}(G_0 y_\epsilon(t) - G_0 y_0(t)) + (G y_\epsilon(t) - G_0 y_0(t))]$   
\nthere exists to +0, then, by (12) and (17), the last two (...)-terms on the right-hand  
\ne converge to zero in  $C^*[a, b]$ . Concerning the first expression in [...] we have

we can write for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ 

$$
= (\cos \epsilon - \cos \theta_0) + \epsilon (\cos \theta - \cos \theta_0)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \epsilon (\theta_0 y_{\epsilon} - \theta_0 y_0) + \epsilon (\theta y_{\epsilon} - \theta y_0), \quad \epsilon \in (0, 1],
$$
  
\nwrite for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$   
\n
$$
\Delta_{\epsilon}(t) = g_0'(y_0(t)) y(t) + \theta_0 y_0(t) - \theta y_0(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
= [\epsilon^{-1}(\theta_0 y_{\epsilon}(t) - \theta_0 y_0(t)) - y(t) \theta^* y_0(t)]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (G_0 y_{\epsilon}(t) - \theta_0 y_0(t)) + (\theta y_{\epsilon}(t) - \theta y_0(t)).
$$

If here  $\varepsilon$  tends to  $+0$ , then, by (12) and (17), the last two (...)-terms on the right-han side converge to zero in  $C^{\bullet}[a, b]$ . Concerning the first expression in [...] we have

Let us introduce a Nemytskij operator 
$$
G^*
$$
 by setting  $G^*y = g_0'(y), y \in \mathfrak{D}$ . We notice  $G_0, G_1 \oplus \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow C^*[a, b]$  continuously,  $(17)$  which is an immediate consequence of [6: Theorem 1]. Now, because of  $G_0y_i - G_0y_0 = (1 - \varepsilon)G_0y + \varepsilon(0y_i - G_0y_0)$  $= (G_0y_i - G_0y_0) + \varepsilon(Gy_0 - G_0y_0)$  $= \varepsilon(G_0y_i - G_0y_0) + \varepsilon(Gy_0 - G_0y_0)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\triangle (t) - g_0'(g_0(t)) y(t) + G_0y_0(t) - G_0y_0(t)$  $= [\varepsilon^{-1}(G_0y_1(t) - G_0y_0(t)) + (Gy_1(t) - Gy_0(t)) + (Gy_1(t) - Gy_0(t)) + (Gy_2(t) - Gy_0(t)) + (Gy$ 

$$
\begin{aligned} ||\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{G}y_{\varepsilon}-\mathbf{G}_{0}y_{0})-y\mathbf{G}^{*}y_{0}||, \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{-1}||y_{\varepsilon}-y_{0}||_{\varepsilon}\int_{0}^{1}||\mathbf{G}^{*}(y_{0}+r(y_{\varepsilon}-y_{0}))-\mathbf{G}^{*}y_{0}||, d\tau+\varepsilon^{-1}||\varrho_{\varepsilon}||, ||\mathbf{G}^{*}y_{0}||, \end{aligned}
$$

where we have used a lemma proved in  $[6]$  and the fact that  $C[a, b]$  is a Banach algebra. From this, again in virtue of  $(12)$  and  $(17)$ , conclude also we that the  $[...]$ term of (18) tends to zero in  $C^*[a, b]$  provided  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Thus, (16) is shown and the proof is complete

Next we want to define the adjoint state. For this we introduce the set  $H^*(a, b)$  of all functions *z* on [a, b] which are Hölder continuous on each subinterval of  $(a, b)$ and for which there are two functions  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  that are Hölder continuous in a neighbourhood of  $c_1 = a$  and  $c_2 = b$ , respectively, such that in the corresponding neighbourhood  $z(t) = \zeta_i(t)/|t - c_i|^{\nu_i}$ ,  $0 \leq \nu_i < 1$  (i = 1, 2). Consider the linear singular integral equation proved in [[tue of (12) i<br>  $\mathcal{F}[a, b]$  provi<br>
adjoint stan<br>
a adjoint stan<br>
h are Hölde<br>
notions  $\zeta_1$  and<br>
b, respectiv<br>  $\zeta_1$  of  $\zeta_2$ <br>  $\zeta_3$ <br>  $\zeta_4$ <br>  $\zeta_5$ <br>  $\zeta_6$ <br>  $\zeta_7$ e fact that  $C[a, b]$  is a Banach alconclude also we that the  $[...]$  -<br>Thus, (16) is shown and the proof<br>is we introduce the set  $H^*(a, b)$  of<br>ious on each subinterval of  $(a, b)$ <br>i are Hölder continuous in a neigh-<br>that in the *f***z** and to define the adjoint state. For this we introduce the set  $H^*(a, b)$  of  $z$  on  $[a, b]$  which are Hölder continuous on each subinterval of  $(a, b)$  ontich there are two functions  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  that are Hölder

equation  
\n
$$
g_0'(y_0(t)) z(t) + Sz(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{c}^{d} \frac{h_0(s)}{s-t} ds, \quad t \in (a, b);
$$
\nwith the additional condition  
\n
$$
\int_{c}^{b} z(t) dt = 2 \int_{c}^{d} h_0(t) dt,
$$
\n(19b)

together with the additional condition

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$ 

$$
\int_{a}^{b} z(t) dt = 2 \int_{c}^{d} h_0(t) dt,
$$
\n(19b)

$$
h_0 = Sy_0 + D_0 + p - q. \tag{20}
$$

(80 M. GOEBEL and D. OESTREICH<br>
where  $h_0$  denotes the known function<br>  $h_0 = Sy_0 + D_0 + p - q$ .<br>
From MUSCHELISCHWILI [12: Kap. V] it can be *M.* GOEBEL and D. OESTREICH<br>
denotes the known function<br>  $h_0 = Sy_0 + D_0 + p - q$ . (20)<br>
SCHELISCHWILI [12: Kap. V] it can be seen that in  $H^*(a, b)$  the solution to<br>
uniquely determined apart from a constant.; Because this cons From MUSCHELISCHWILI [12: Kap. *V*] it can be seen that in  $H^*(a, b)$  the solution to  $(19a)$  is uniquely determined apart from a constant. Because this constant can be chosen in such a way that (19b) is also satisfied (cf. [23: *§2.2])* there is a unique *So*lution  $z_0 \in H^*(a, b)$  to (19). In the sequel  $z_0$  will be called *adjoint state* (to  $(g_0, y_0, D_0)$ ).

Theorem 4: The directional derivative of  $J: C^{2}[0, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at  $g_0 \in G_{ad}$  in the direction *of*  $g - g_0$ ,  $g \in G_{ad}$ , is given by

$$
\delta_{+}J(g_{0};g-g_{0})=\int_{a}^{a}[g(y_{0}(t))-g_{0}(y_{0}(t))]z_{0}(t) dt,
$$

*where*  $z_0 \in H^*(a, b)$  denotes the adjoint state.

*Proof:* With the notations introduced at the beginning.of this section we have to show

*=f [g(yo(t)) - go(*y*0('))]* z0 *(t) dl '(21)* 

Straightforward calculation leads to

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  , and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$ 

$$
\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0) = \mathbf{J}(g_{\varepsilon}) - \mathbf{J}(g_0)
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{d} h_0(t) \left[ S(y_{\varepsilon} - y_0) (t) + (D_{\varepsilon} - D_0) \right] dt + \delta(\varepsilon),
$$
\n
$$
\varepsilon \in (0, 1], \text{ with } \delta(\varepsilon) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{d} \left[ S(y_{\varepsilon} - y_0) (t) + (D_{\varepsilon} - D_0) \right]^2 dt \text{ and the function}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d}{d} = \frac{d}{d}.
$$

 $e \in (0, 1]$ , with  $\delta(e) = \int [S(y_i - y_0)] (t) + (D_e - D_0)]^2 dt$  and the function  $h_0$  given

show  
\n
$$
\lim_{t\downarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0)}{\varepsilon} = \int_{c}^{d} [g(y_0(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))] z_0(t) dt.
$$
\nStragphforward calculation leads to  
\n
$$
\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0) = J(g_t) - J(g_0)
$$
\n
$$
\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0) = J(g_t) - J(g_0)
$$
\n
$$
\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0) = \int_{c}^{d} h_0(t) [S(y_t - y_0)(t) + (D_t - D_0)] dt + \delta(\varepsilon),
$$
\n
$$
\varepsilon \in (0, 1], \text{ with } \delta(\varepsilon) = \int_{c}^{d} [S(y_t - y_0)(t) + (D_t - D_0)]^2 dt \text{ and the function } h_0
$$
\n
$$
\int_{c}^{d} h_0(t) S y(t) dt = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{c}^{b} y(t) \int_{c}^{d} \frac{h_0(s)}{s - t} ds dt \quad \forall y \in C_0[a, b],
$$
\nwe get  
\n
$$
\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{c}^{b} (y_c(t) - y_0(t)) \left[ \int_{c}^{d} \frac{h_0(s)}{s - t} ds dt + 2(b_t - D_0) \int_{c}^{d} h_0(t) dt + \delta(\varepsilon),
$$
\nand by means of the definition of  $z_0 \in H^*(a, b)$  as the unique solution to (19) we

we get  
\n
$$
\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} (y_{\varepsilon}(t) - y_{0}(t)) \int_{c}^{d} \frac{h_{0}(s)}{s-t} ds dt
$$

$$
+ 2(D_{\epsilon} - D_0) \int_{c}^{a} h_0(t) dt + \delta(\epsilon),
$$

and by means of the definition of  $z_0 \in H^*(a, b)$  as the unique solution to (19) we come to

$$
+ 2(D_{\epsilon} - D_{0}) \int_{c}^{d} h_{0}(t) dt + \delta(\epsilon),
$$
  
eans of the definition of  $z_{0} \in H^{*}(a, b)$  as the unique solution to (19) we come  

$$
\Phi(\epsilon) - \Phi(0) = -\int_{a}^{b} (y_{\epsilon}(t) - y_{0}(t)) (g_{0}'(y_{0}(t)) z_{0}(t) + Sz_{0}(t)) dt
$$

$$
+ (D_{\epsilon} - D_{0}) \int_{a}^{b} z_{0}(t) dt + \delta(\epsilon)
$$

$$
= -\int_{a}^{b} [g_{0}'(y_{0}(t)) (y_{\epsilon}(t) - y_{0}(t)) - S(y_{\epsilon} - y_{0}) (t) - (D_{\epsilon} - D_{0})] \times z_{0}(t) dt + \delta(\epsilon).
$$

Here, in the last step we have used the formula (cf., e.g.,  $[12:§96]$ )

Optimal Control of a Nonlinear Sing. Int. Equ. §1  
\nthe last step we have used the formula (cf., e.g., [12: § 96])  
\n
$$
\int_a^b y(t) Sz_0(t) dt = - \int_a^b z_0(t) Sy(t) dt \ \forall y \in C_0^*[a, b].
$$
\n
$$
\in (0, \varepsilon_0), \text{ where } \varepsilon_0 \text{ is the same as in Theorem 3, we can apply (11) and (12);}
$$
\n
$$
\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0) = \varepsilon \int_a^b [g(y_0(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))] z_0(t) dt + \gamma(\varepsilon) + \delta(\varepsilon), \qquad (22)
$$
\n
$$
\text{with}
$$

If now  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the same as in Theorem 3, we can apply (11) and (12): Doing this we obtain

$$
\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0) = \varepsilon \int_a^b \left[ g(y_0(t)) - g_0(y_0(t)) \right] z_0(t) dt + \gamma(\varepsilon) + \delta(\varepsilon), \tag{22}
$$
\n
$$
\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0), \text{ with}
$$
\n
$$
[0, \varepsilon_0] \leftarrow \left[ \int_a^b \left[ g(y_0(t)) - g_0(y_0(t)) \right] z_0(t) dt + \gamma(\varepsilon) + \delta(\varepsilon), \tag{23}
$$

 $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , with

Here, in the last step we have used the formula (cf., e.g., [12: § 96])  
\n
$$
\int_a^b y(t) Sz_0(t) dt = -\int_a^b z_0(t) Sy(t) dt \forall y \in C_0[a, b].
$$
\nIf now  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the same as in Theorem 3, we can apply (11) and (12):  
\nDoling this we obtain  
\n
$$
\Phi(\varepsilon) - \Phi(0) = \varepsilon \int_a^b [g(y_0(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))] z_0(t) dt + \gamma(\varepsilon) + \delta(\varepsilon),
$$
\n
$$
\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)
$$
, with  
\n
$$
\gamma(\varepsilon) = -\int_a^b [g_0'(y_0(t)) \varrho_1(t) - S\varrho_1(t) - \chi_2] z_0(t) dt.
$$
\nBecause of  $|\gamma(\varepsilon)| \leq \text{const} (||\varrho_1||_1 + ||S\varrho_1||_1 + |\chi_1|) \cdot \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , we see that  $\gamma(\varepsilon) = o(\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Since the same is true for  $\delta(\varepsilon)$  the wanted relation (21) follows from (22) **ii**  
\nBecause of (10), Theorem 4 yields at once a necessary optimality condition formulated as  
\nTheorem 5: If  $\{g_0, y_0, D_0\} \in G_{ad} \times C_0^*[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$  is optimal to the control problem  
\n(P), then  
\n
$$
\int_a^b [g(y_0(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))] z_0(t) dt \geq 0 \quad \forall g \in G_{ad},
$$
\n(23)  
\nwhere  $z_0 \in H^*(a, b)$  is the adjoint state defined by (19).

Because of  $|\gamma(\varepsilon)| \leq \text{const } (||\varrho_{\varepsilon}||_r + ||\mathbf{S}\varrho_{\varepsilon}||_r + |\chi_{\varepsilon}|), \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , we see that  $\gamma(\varepsilon) = o(\varepsilon)$ as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Since the same is true for  $\delta(\varepsilon)$  the wanted relation (21) follows from (22) ال المؤسسة التي يقول المعارفة التي تعالى المؤسسة التي يتوسط التي يتوسط المؤسسة التي توسط المؤسسة التي يتوسط ال<br>التوسط المؤسسة المؤسسة التي توسط المؤسسة التي توسط المؤسسة التي يتوسط المؤسسة التي توسط المؤسسة التي توسط الت

Because of (10), Theorem 4 yields at once a necessary optimality condition formuted as lated as  $\cdot$ 

Theorem 5: *If*  $\{g_0, y_0, D_0\} \in G_{ad} \times C_0$ <sup>'</sup>[a, b]  $\times \mathbb{R}$  is optimal to the control problem *(P), then*

$$
\int_{c}^{d} [g(y_0(t)) - g_0(y_0(t))] z_0(t) dt \geq 0 \ \forall g \in G_{ad}^1,
$$
 (23)

*c*  $\therefore$   $\therefore$   $\mathbf{H}^*(a, b)$  is the adjoint state defined by (19).

Condition (23) can be named Pontryagin minimum principle. Clearly, Theorem 5 remains valid for any other convex set of admissible controls  $\bar{G}_{ad} \subset C^2[0, l]$ . The proof of Theorem 5 for the modified control problem (P\*), which we have considered in Section 2, is still open. med Fontryagm mi<br>convex set of admiss<br>fied control problem<br>in the state of the

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] APPELL, J.: The superposition operator in function **spaces** a survey. Preprint Nr. 141 Augsburg: Univ. 1987.
- **[2] A1'PELL,** J., **DE PASCALE,** E., and P. P. Zssaxjxo: An Application of B. N. Sadovskij's Fixed Point Principle to Nonlinear Singular Equations. Z. Anal. Anw. 6 (1987), 193-208.
- **[3] BIRKHOFF,** G., and E. H. **ZABANTONELLO:** Jets, Wakes and Cavities. New York: Academic Press 1957.
- **[4] BITTNER,** L.: On optimal control of processes governed by abstract functional, integral and hyperbolic differential equations. Math. Operationsforsch. Statist. 6 (1975), 107-134.
- **[5] GOEBEL,** M.: On control problems for a quasilinear second order ordinary differential equation. Math. Nachr.  $142$  (1989),  $277-286$ .
- **[6] GOEBEL,** M.: On Fréchet-differentiability of Nemytskij operators acting in Holder spaces. Glasgow Math. J. (submitted).
- **[7] GOEBEL,** M., und D. **OESTREICH:** Zur Optimierung der elektrochemischen Bearbeitung. Wiss. Z. Techn. Hochsch. Leuna—Merseburg 81 (1989) 3, 293-298.
- **[8] GOEBEL,** M., und L. v. **WOLFERSDORF:** Optimale Steuerprobleme bei linearen Integralgleichungen. II: Singuläre Integralgleichungen. Beiträge zur Analysis 9 (1976), 149–158.
- [9] GOEBEL, M., und L. v. WOLFERSDORF: Optimale Steuerprobleme bei Noetherschen Operatorgleichungen III. Math. Nachr. 82 (1978), 77-85.
- [10] IOFFE, A. D., und V. M. TICHOMIROV: Theorie der Extremalaufgaben. Berlin: Dt. Verlag Wiss. 1979.
- [11] KANTOBOWITSCH, L. W., und G. P. AKILOW: Funktionalanalysis in normierten Räumen. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag 1964.  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$
- [12] MUSCHELISCHWILI, N. I.: Singuläre Integralgleichungen. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag 1965.,,
- [13] Мусхвлишвили, Н. И.: Некоторые основные вадачи математической теории упругости. Москва: Изд-во Наука 1966.
- [14] OESTREICH, D.: Zum Staudammproblem mit Drainage. Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 67 (1987),  $293 - 300.$
- [15] OESTREICH, D.: Ein Problem der elektrochemischen Bearbeitung. Demonstratio Mathematica 22 (1989), 401-412.
- [16] OESTREICH, D.: Optimale Steuerung bei der elektrochemischen "Bearbeitung (Design-Optimierung). Tagungsbericht 33. IWK Techn. Hochschule Ilmenau 1988, Heft 4, 93-96.
- [17] PRÖSSDORF, S.: Einige Klassen singulärer Gleichungen. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag 1974.
- [18] RODRIGUES, J.-F.: Obstacle Problems in Mathematical Physics. Amsterdam New York - $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$  ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_k)$  , and
- $\langle \cdots \rangle$  Oxford-Tokyo: North-Holland 1987.
- [19] Седов, Л. И.: Плоские задачи гидродинамики и аэродинамики. Москва: Изд-во Наука 1980.
- [20] WOLFERSDORF, L. v.: Optimale Steuerprobleme bei Noetherschen Operatorgleichungen. Math., Nachr. 61 (1974), 93-109.  $\therefore$   $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the set of  $\frac{1}{2}$  in  $\frac{1}{2}$
- [21] WOLFERSDORF, L. v.: Necessary optimality conditions for control processes with singular integral equations and elliptic equations. Abh. Akad. Wiss. DDR 6N (1978), 279-292.
- [22] WOLFERSDORF, L. v.: A class of nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problems for holomorphic functions. Math. Nachr. 116 (1984), 89-107. া ব
- [23] WOLFERSDORF, L. v.: On the theory of nonlinear singular integral equations of Cauchy type. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 7 (1985), 493-517.
- [24] YAO-TSU WU, T., and A. K. WHITNEY: Variational calculus involving singular integral equations. Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 58 (1973), 737-749.
- [25] YOSIDA, K.: Functional Analysis. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag 1965.

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{X}^{(1)}$ 

and the basic control of the control of the

 $\sim 10^{11}$  km s  $^{-1}$ 

 $\sim 10^{-10}$ 

 $\mathbf{z}$  , and  $\mathbf{z}$  , and  $\mathbf{z}$  , and  $\mathbf{z}$  , and  $\mathbf{z}$ 

 $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{u})$  , where  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{u})$ 

 $\mathcal{L} = \{T_{\text{max}}\}_{\text{max}}$ 

events of their  $\alpha$  ,  $\alpha$  ,  $\alpha$ ÷ Manuskripteingang: 22. 11. 1988  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O})$  is a set of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O})$ 

VERFASSER:

 $\sim 10$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$  .  $\mathcal{A}$ 

Doz. Dr. MANFRED GOEBEL und Dr. DIETER OESTREICH Fachbereich Mathematik der Bergakademie Freiberg **PSF 47** O - 9200 Freiberg

 $\sim$  1

Bundesrepublik Deutschland