

## On a Certain Differential Equation of Non-Integer Order

M. W. MICHALSKI

Some differential equation of non-integer order is considered. It plays an important role in polarography.

Key words: *Derivatives of non-integer order, differential equations of non-integer order, polarographic equation*

AMS subject classification: 26A33, 34A99

### 1. Introduction. The equation

$$y^{(1/2)}(x) - vx^\beta y(x) = x^{-1/2} \quad (x > 0; -1/2 < \beta \leq 0),$$

where  $y^{(1/2)}$  is the derivative of order 1/2, plays an important role in the polarography (for chemical background cf. [2,5-7]). K. WIENER in his papers [8-10] examines the above-said equation assuming that the derivative of non-integer order appearing in the equation is defined in the Hadamard sense. In further reasoning we denote

$$y_0(x) = y(x) \text{ and } y_\alpha(x) = \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} y(t) dt / \Gamma(\alpha) \text{ for } \alpha, x > 0,$$

where the integral is understood in the Lebesgue sense.

The symbols  $y_{p-\alpha}$  and  $s_\alpha$  in the sequel will be defined analogously. Let  $\alpha > 0$  and set  $p = -[-\alpha]$ ,  $[\alpha]$  being the greatest integer not exceeding  $\alpha$ . We will consider the equation

$$y^{(\alpha)}(x) - vx^\beta y(x) = h(x), \quad x > 0, \tag{1}$$

with the initial conditions  $y_{p-\alpha}^{(p-k)}(0) = c_k$  ( $k=1,2,\dots,p$ ), where  $y^{(\alpha)} = y_{p-\alpha}^{(p)}$  is the Riemann-Liouville derivative of order  $\alpha$  (cf. DZHRBASHYAN [1, Ch. IX, 3 and 4]), provided that  $y$  is Lebesgue locally integrable and  $y_{p-\alpha}^{(p-1)}$  is absolutely continuous. The above problem for equation (1) is called the *Cauchy problem*.

One can observe in this class of functions that the Hadamard and the Riemann-Liouville derivatives coincide. It is known for  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $0 < x < A$ , with arbitrary positive  $A$  and  $h \in L(0,A)$ , that the Cauchy problem is well-posed (cf. [3]). It means that there exists exactly one solution and

the solution depends continuously on initial data in the following sense: if  $y^1$  and  $y^2$  are solutions of (1) with the initial data  $c_k^1$  and  $c_k^2$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, p$ ), respectively, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|y^1 - y^2\| < \varepsilon$ , provided that  $|c_k^1 - c_k^2| < \delta$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the norm in  $L(0, A)$ .

**2. The Cauchy problem for equation (1).** In further part of the paper we will examine equation (1) with negative  $\beta$ . Introduce the Banach space

$$L_\tau(I) = \left\{ f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: f \text{ measurable and } \|f\|_\tau < \infty \right\},$$

where  $\tau \geq 0$ ,  $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\|f\|_\tau = \int_I |f(t)| \exp(-\tau t) dt$ . Since  $|f(t)| \exp(-\tau A) \leq |f(t)| \exp(-\tau t) \leq |f(t)|$  the norms  $\|\cdot\|_0$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\tau$  are equivalent for the bounded interval  $I = (0, A)$ . Generally, for arbitrary  $I$  the relations  $L_0(I) \subset L_\tau(I) \subset L_{loc}(I)$  hold, where  $L_0(I)$  and  $L_{loc}(I)$  are the spaces of the Lebesgue integrable and the Lebesgue locally integrable functions, respectively.

Denote  $s = y^{(\alpha)}$  and  $w(x) = \sum_{k=1}^p c_k x^{\alpha-k} / \Gamma(1+\alpha-k)$ . The function  $s$  is a solution of the equation

$$s(x) = v x^\beta w(x) + h(x) + v x^\beta s_\alpha(x). \tag{2}$$

Hence, the function  $y = w + s_\alpha$  is a solution of the Cauchy problem for equation (1). Define the transformation  $T$  by the right-hand side of equation (2). Assume that  $h \in L_\tau(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $\beta$ ,  $-\alpha < \beta \leq 0$  is such that  $x^\beta w(x)$  belongs to  $L_\tau(\mathbb{R}_+)$  with  $\tau$  sufficiently large to fulfil the relation

$$v \Gamma(\alpha+\beta) \tau^{-(\alpha+\beta)} / \Gamma(\alpha) \leq q < 1. \tag{3}$$

One can observe that the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ts^1 - Ts^2\|_\tau &\leq v \int_0^\infty x^\beta \exp(-\tau x) \left( \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} |s^1(t) - s^2(t)| dt \right) dx / \Gamma(\alpha) \\ &\leq v \int_0^\infty |s^1(t) - s^2(t)| \exp(-\tau t) \left( \int_t^\infty (x-t)^{\alpha-1} x^\beta \exp(-\tau(x-t)) dx \right) dt / \Gamma(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

hold. Examine the integral with respect to  $x$ . Changing the variable of integration and bearing in mind the definition of Euler's gamma function we have

$$\int_t^\infty (x-t)^{\alpha-1} x^\beta \exp(-\tau(x-t)) dx \leq t^{\alpha+\beta} \int_0^\infty \xi^{\alpha-1} (1+\xi)^\beta \exp(-\tau t \xi) d\xi \leq \Gamma(\alpha+\beta) \tau^{-(\alpha+\beta)}$$

what implies

$$\|Ts^1 - Ts^2\|_\tau \leq v \Gamma(\alpha+\beta) \tau^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \|s^1 - s^2\|_\tau / \Gamma(\alpha).$$

Thus, for  $\tau$  satisfying relation (3), the transformation  $T$  is contractive, with the contraction constant equal  $q$ . Moreover, one can notice that  $T$  maps

$L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  into itself. Using the Banach fixed point theorem to the transformation  $T$  and the set  $L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we can assert that there exists in  $L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  exactly one solution of equation (2). Hence, the function  $y = w + s_{\alpha}$  is the only solution of the Cauchy problem such that  $y^{(\alpha)} \in L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

Following the method presented above the function

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=1}^p c_k x^{\alpha-k} \left( 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( v x^{\alpha+\beta} / \Gamma(\alpha) \right)^l \prod_{j=1}^l B(\alpha, 1-k+j(\alpha+\beta)) \right) \Big/ \Gamma(1+\alpha-k) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (v/\Gamma(\alpha))^n \int_0^x K_{n+1}(x,t) t^{-\beta} h(t) dt / \Gamma(\alpha), \tag{4}$$

where  $B$  is Euler's beta function and

$$K_{n+1}(x,t) = \begin{cases} (x-t)^{\alpha-1} t^{\beta} & \text{for } n = 0 \\ \int_t^x K_n(x,\xi) K_1(\xi,t) d\xi & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

is a solution of the Cauchy problem for equation (1).

It is seen that the solution of the Cauchy problem for equation (1) is a sum of two functions, say  $y_H$  and  $y_N$ , which are the solutions of homogeneous equation (1) with given initial conditions and non-homogeneous equation (1) with homogeneous initial conditions, respectively. Moreover, every solution depends on constants being arbitrarily up to  $p$  (the value of  $\beta$  determines the number of independent constants - cf. Remark 1 in the sequel).

The foregoing considerations imply

**Theorem 1:** *If the above assumptions are fulfilled, then there exists exactly one solution  $y$  of the Cauchy problem for the equation (1) such that  $y^{(\alpha)} \in L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $y$  is given by formula (4).*

**Remark 1:** The improper integral  $\int_0^{\infty} t^{\kappa-1} \exp(-\tau t) dt$  ( $\tau > 0$ ) is convergent if and only if  $\kappa > 0$ . Hence, if  $c_k = 0$  for  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$  and such that  $1+\alpha+\beta-k \leq 0$ , then the function  $x^{\beta} w(x)$  belongs to  $L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

**Remark 2:** We want to point at two special cases. For  $\beta = 0$  the solution of equation (1) denoted by  $y^0$  has the form

$$y^0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^p c_k x^{\alpha-k} E_{\alpha, 1+\alpha-k}(v x^{\alpha}) + \int_0^x t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(v t^{\alpha}) h(x-t) dt,$$

where  $E_{\rho, \mu}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n / \Gamma(\rho n + \mu)$  with  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\rho, \mu > 0$  is the Mittag-Leffler function. In the case  $h(x) = \gamma x^{\kappa-1}$  ( $\kappa > 0$ ) the function

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=1}^p c_k x^{\alpha-k} \left( 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( v x^{\alpha+\beta} / \Gamma(\alpha) \right)^l \prod_{j=1}^l B(\alpha, 1-k+j(\alpha+\beta)) \right) \Big/ \Gamma(1+\alpha-k)$$

$$+ (\gamma/\Gamma(\alpha))x^{\alpha+\kappa-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( vx^{\alpha+\beta}/\Gamma(\alpha) \right)^n \prod_{j=0}^n B(\alpha, \kappa+j(\alpha+\beta))$$

is a solution of the Cauchy problem for equation (1). Moreover, the function  $y(x) = \gamma x^{\alpha+\kappa-1}/(\Gamma(\alpha+\kappa)/\Gamma(\kappa) - v)$  is a solution of equation (1) with  $\beta = -\alpha$  and  $v \neq \Gamma(\alpha+\kappa)/\Gamma(\kappa)$  (cf. also WIENER [10, pp. 165-166]).

Remark 3: The presented method allows to examine the more general equation  $y^{(\alpha)}(x) - g(x)y(x) = h(x)$ ,  $x > 0$ , with the function  $g$  being measurable and such that the estimate  $|g(x)| \leq vx^\beta$  holds a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

The above-obtained theorem yields the following corollaries.

Corollary 1: Assume that  $c_k \geq 0$  ( $k=1,2,\dots,p$ ),  $v \geq 0$  and  $h \geq 0$  a.e. on  $(0,\delta) \subset (0,1)$ . If  $y$  and  $y^0$  are two solutions of the Cauchy problem for equation (1) with  $\beta < 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ , respectively, then  $y > y^0 > 0$  a.e. on  $(0,\delta)$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$  and  $c_p > 0$ , then  $y(0+) = \infty$ .

Proof. By the method of mathematical induction one can assert that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the relation  $K_n(x,t)t^{-\beta} > (\Gamma(\alpha))^n(x-t)^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(n\alpha)$  holds. And if  $x < 1$  then

$$x^{\alpha+\beta} B(\alpha, 1-k+j(\alpha+\beta)) = x^{\alpha+\beta} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{j(\alpha+\beta)-k} dt$$

$$> x^\alpha \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{j\alpha-k} dt = x^\alpha B(\alpha, 1-k+j\alpha).$$

Hence, bearing in mind formula (4), we get our assertion  $\square$

Corollary 2: If  $c_k \geq 0$  ( $k=1,2,\dots,p$ ),  $\sum_{k=1}^p |c_k| > 0$ ,  $v \geq 0$  and  $h \geq 0$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , then  $y > 0$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $y(\infty) = \infty$ , provided that  $y$  is a solution of the Cauchy problem for equation (1).

3. Continuous dependence of solution. If  $s = y^{(\alpha)} \in L_\tau(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then  $y = w + s_\alpha$  is measurable and, moreover,  $\|y\|_\tau \leq \tau^{-\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \tau^{k-1} + \|s\|_\tau \right)$ , what imply that  $y \in L_\tau(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Now, we can establish

Theorem 2: Let the assumptions of Section 2 be fulfilled, let  $y^1$  and  $y^2$  be the solutions of the Cauchy problem for equation (1) with the initial constants  $c_k^1$  and  $c_k^2$  ( $k=1,2,\dots,p$ ), and the right-hand sides  $h^1$  and  $h^2$ , respectively. If for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  with  $\max_k |c_k^1 - c_k^2| < \delta$  and  $\|h^1 - h^2\|_\tau < \delta$ , then  $\|y^1 - y^2\|_\tau < \epsilon$ .

Proof. Denote  $\delta_k = |c_k^1 - c_k^2|$  and  $h(t) = |h^1(t) - h^2(t)|$ . Using mathematical induction it can be proved that the estimate  $K_n(x, t)t^{-\beta} \leq (x-t)^{\alpha+\beta-1} \times \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} B(\alpha, j\alpha+\beta)$  holds for integers  $n \geq 2$ . Hence, bearing in mind formula (4) and the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y^1 - y^2\|_\tau &\leq \|h\|_\tau \left[ \tau^{-\alpha} + (\tau^{-\beta}/\nu) \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \left( \nu \tau^{-\alpha}/\Gamma(\alpha) \right)^l \Gamma((l+1)\alpha+\beta) \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} B(\alpha, j\alpha+\beta) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^p \delta_k \tau^{-(1+\alpha-k)} \left( 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \nu \tau^{-(\alpha+\beta)}/\Gamma(\alpha) \right)^l \Gamma(1+\alpha-k+l(\alpha+\beta)) \right) / \Gamma(1+\alpha-k) \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^1 B(\alpha, 1-k+j(\alpha+\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

One can prove that for every finite value of  $\tau$  the infinite series appearing on the right-hand side of the above inequality are finite. Thus, the relations  $\|y^1 - y^2\|_\tau \leq \text{const} \cdot \delta = \epsilon$ , with  $\max_k \delta_k < \delta$  and  $\|h\|_\tau < \delta$ , hold.

**4. The multipoint problem for equation (1).** Let  $r$  be the largest integer such that  $1+\alpha+\beta-r > 0$ . We will consider equation (1) with the multipoint conditions  $y_{p-\alpha}^{(p-m)}(x_m) = \eta_m$  ( $m=1, 2, \dots, r$ ), where  $x_m \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\eta_m \in \mathbb{R}$  are given (for more general multipoint problems cf. [4]).

Imposing the multipoint conditions on the general solution (4) of equation (1) we get the following algebraic system with respect to  $c_k$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, r$ ; obviously  $c_k = 0$  for  $r < k \leq p$ , cf. Remark 1):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^r c_k x_m^{m-k} \left( 1/\Gamma(1+m-k) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \nu x_m^{\alpha+\beta}/\Gamma(\alpha) \right)^l \prod_{j=1}^l B(\alpha, 1-k+j(\alpha+\beta)) \right) \\ \times \Gamma(1+\alpha-k+l(\alpha+\beta)) / \left( \Gamma(1+m-k+l(\alpha+\beta)) \Gamma(1+\alpha-k) \right) = \eta_m - y_N^{(\alpha-m)}(x_m), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $y_N$  is a solution of the non-homogeneous equation (1) with homogeneous initial conditions. Bearing in mind Section 2, the above considerations and the theory of the algebraic systems, one can formulate

**Theorem 3:** *If the assumptions of Section 2 are fulfilled and the algebraic system (5) has a solution, then the multipoint problem for equation (1) has a solution in the class of locally integrable functions such that their derivatives of order  $\alpha$  belongs to  $L_\tau(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Moreover, if the determinant of the system (5) is not equal 0, then the solution is unique.*

**Remark 4:** Consider a solution  $y$  of the above-set multipoint problem. We say that the solution  $y$  is *stable at infinity* if there exists a  $\epsilon > 0$  such

that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  with  $\max_k |\eta_k - \tilde{\eta}_k| < \delta$  for every  $(\tilde{\eta}_k)_1^r \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , then  $|y(x) - \tilde{y}(x)| < \varepsilon$  a. e. for  $x > a$ , where  $\tilde{y}$  is an arbitrary solution of the multipoint problem for equation (1), with the multipoint constants  $\tilde{\eta}_m$  ( $m=1,2,\dots,r$ ).

In virtue of this definition and formula (4), one can immediately notice that the solution  $y$  of the Cauchy problem for equation (1) is stable at infinity if and only if  $y = 0$  is stable at infinity solution of the homogeneous Cauchy problem for the homogeneous equation (1) (i. e.  $h \equiv 0$  and  $c_k = 0$  for  $k=1,2,\dots,p$ ). Let us observe that if  $\nu > 0$  the solution is not stable at infinity.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Dzhrbashyan, M.M.: Integral transformations and representations of functions in complex domain (in Russian). Moscow: Nauka 1966.
- [2] Grennes, M., and K.B. Oldham: *Semiintegral electroanalysis: theory and verification*. Anal. Chem. **44**(1972), 1124-1129.
- [3] Michalski, M.W.: *The Cauchy problem for a differential equation of non-integer order* (to appear).
- [4] Michalski, M.W.: *Multipoint problem for a differential equation of non-integer order*. Z. Anal. Anw. **8**(1989), 479-483.
- [5] Oldham, K.B.: *Unified treatment of electrolysis at an expanding mercury electrode*. Anal. Chem. **41**(1969), 936-945.
- [6] Oldham, K.B.: *A new approach to the solution of electrochemical problems involving diffusion*. Anal. Chem. **41**(1969), 1904-1905.
- [7] Oldham, K.B., and J. Spanier: *The replacement of Fick's laws by a formulation involving semidifferentiation*. J. Electroanal. Chem. **26**(1970), 331-341.
- [8] Wiener, K.: *Über Lösungen einer in der Theorie der Polarographie auftretenden Differentialgleichung von nichtganzzahliger Ordnung*. Wiss. Z. Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenberg, Math.-Natur. Reihe **32**(1983)1, 41-46.
- [9] Wiener, K.: *Über das asymptotische Verhalten der Lösungen einer Differentialgleichung von nichtganzzahliger Ordnung aus der Polarographie*. Wiss. Z. Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenberg, Math.-Natur. Reihe **32**(1983)5, 75-86.
- [10] Wiener, K.: *Lösungen einer Differentialgleichung von nichtganzzahliger Ordnung aus der Polarographie*. Wiss. Z. Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenberg, Math.-Natur. Reihe **35**(1986)4, 162-167.

Received: 14.06.1989, in revised form 26.03.1990

## Author's address:

Dr. Marek W. Michalski,  
 Institute of Mathematics of Warsaw University of Technology  
 Plac Politechniki 1  
 P-00-661 Warszawa