## A Note on Benford's Law for Second Order Linear Recurrences with Perodical Coefficients

P. SCHATTE and K. NAGASAKA

The solutions of second order linear recurrences with periodical coefficients are shown to obey Benford's law.

Key words: Benford's law, linear recurrences, uniform distribution of sequences

AMS subject classification: 11K06

1. Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers which satisfy a second order linear recurrence

$$u_{n+2} = a_{n+2} u_{n+1} + b_{n+2} u_n, \ n \ge 0, \tag{1}$$

where  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are given real sequences with a common period r, i.e.,  $a_{n+r} = a_n$  and  $b_{n+r} = b_n$ .

Linear recurrences of such type arise,e.g., in the theory of continued fractions. If  $\omega$  is a quadratic irrational, then the numerators and the denominators of the n-th convergent  $p_n/q_n$  of the continued fraction of  $\omega$  fulfil the relation (1) with  $b_n = 1$  and a periodic positive integer sequence  $(a_n)$  (cf.,e.g., [4:Satz 1/p.S and Satz 28 /p.S2]). Linear recurrences with periodical coefficients are also treated in [1:p.148-150].

The sequence  $(u_n)$  is said to obey *Benford's law* if

 $N^{-1}$ # $\{n: 1 \le n \le N, 1 \le \text{mantissa of } u \le x\} \rightarrow \lg x \quad (N \rightarrow \infty)$ 

for 1 < x < 10. Here lg  $x = \log_{10} x$  and #A is the number of the elements of A. More specially this means that

 $N^{-1}$ #{ $n:1 \le n \le N$ ,  $u_n$  has leading digit k}  $\rightarrow$  lg(k + 1) - lg k,

for k = 1, ..., 9 (cf.,e.g.,[9] for a survey on Benford's law). The sequence  $(u_n)$  obeys Benford's law if and only if the sequence  $(\lg |u_n|)$  is uniformly distributed mod 1, put  $\lg 0 = 1$  in this connection. For the uniform distribution mod 1 of sequences cf.,e.g.,[6].

In case of r = 1, i.e.,  $a_n = a$  and  $b_n = b$ , the solutions  $(u_n)$  of (1) obey Benford's law under weak suppositions. This case was extensively treated in the literature (cf.,e.g.,[3] for a survey and [8]). In the following we shall show that the solutions  $(u_n)$  of (1) obey Benford's law also in the case r > 1 by reducing this case to that of r = 1. As a corollary we obtain

17\*

that the numerators  $(p_n)$  and the denominators  $(q_n)$  of the *n*-th convergent  $p_n/q_n$  of the continued fraction of a quadratic irrational  $\omega$  obey Benford's law.Previous proofs of this fact can be found in [2], where a result from [5] is applied, and in [10]. Our result generalizes this assertion on continued fractions.

2. We put  $z_{n,i} = u_{nr+i}$  for  $0 \le i \le r-1$ . Furthermore we introduce the shift operator S and write  $z_{n+1,i} = Sz_{n,i}$ . Then the linear recurrence (1) is equivalent to the system

$$c_{1}z_{n,0} + a_{2}z_{n,1} - z_{n,2} = 0,$$

$$c_{2}z_{n,0} + b_{3}z_{n,1} + a_{3}z_{n,2} - z_{n,3} = 0,$$

$$c_{r-2}z_{n,0} + b_{r-1}z_{n,r-3} + a_{r-1}z_{n,r-2} - z_{n,r-1} = 0,$$

$$c_{r-1}z_{n,0} + b_{r}z_{n,r-2} + a_{r}z_{n,r-1} = 0,$$

$$c_{r}z_{n,0} - Sz_{n,1} + b_{r-1}z_{n,r-3} + a_{r-1}z_{n,r-2} = 0,$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(3)$$

$$(3)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(3)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(3)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(4)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5$$

where  $c_1 = b_2, c_2 = \dots = c_{r-2} = 0, c_{r-1} = -S, c_r = a_1S$ . Now we multiply the r-th equation in this system by the signed minor of the element  $c_r$  of the matrix of coefficients of this system. After summing up all the arising equations we arrive at

 $\begin{vmatrix} b_{2} & a_{2} & -1 \\ & b_{3} & a_{3} & -1 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & & \\ & & b_{r-1} & a_{r-1} & -1 \\ -S & & b_{r} & a_{r} \\ a_{1}S & -S & & b_{1} \end{vmatrix} z_{n,0} = 0.$ 

By expanding the determinant with respect to powers of S we obtain finally

$$z_{n+2,0} = D_r \dot{z}_{n+1,0} + E_r z_{n,0} , \qquad (3)$$

where

$$E_r = (-1)^{r-1} b_1 \cdots b_r$$
 and  $D_r = a_1 A_r + b_1 A_{r-1} + B_r$ ,

with

The determinants  $A_r$  and  $B_r$  are so-called *continuants* (cf.[7:p.8]). If we multiply the equations of (2) with the signed minors of the *i*-th column of the matrix of coefficients of (2) and after that sum up, then we obtain

$$z_{n+2,i} = D_r z_{n+1,i} + E_r z_{n,i}$$
(5)

for  $0 \le i \le r-1$  in generalization of (3).

3. Now we are in a position to formulate our main result.

**Theorem 1:** Let  $\lambda_i, \lambda_j$  be real roots of the common characteristic equation

$$\lambda^2 = D_r \lambda + E_r \tag{6}$$

of the linear recurrences (5); assume  $|\lambda_1| \ge |\lambda_2|$ . If  $\lg |\lambda_1|$  is irrational and  $u_n \ne 0$  for  $n \ge n_0$ , then the sequence  $(u_n)$  obeys Benford's law.

**Proof:** Since the sequences  $(z_{n,i})$  fulfil (5), they all obey Benford's law as well-known (cf.,e.g.,[3]). But then the sequence  $(u_n)$  obeys Benford's law, likewise

**Remarks:** 1.If we set 
$$A_{0} = 0, A_{1} = 1, B_{0} = 1, B_{1} = 0$$
, then we get from (4)

$$A_{i} = a_{i}A_{i-1} + b_{i}A_{i-2}$$
,  $B_{i} = a_{i}B_{i-1} + b_{i}B_{i-2}$  (7)

for  $i \ge 2$ . Thus we find that  $A_i, B_i \ge 1, D_i \ge 3$  if  $a_i, b_j$  are positive integers. 2. In order to derive yet another expression for  $D_r$  we rewrite (7) in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{j} & A_{j-1} \\ B_{j} & B_{j-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{j-1} & A_{j-2} \\ B_{j-1} & B_{j-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{j} & 1 \\ b_{j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(cf.[7:p.13]). Then we obtain

$$D_{r} = \operatorname{trace} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i} & 1 \\ b_{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{r} & A_{r-1} \\ B_{r} & B_{r-1} \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{trace} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i} & 1 \\ b_{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(cf.[2:Equality (2.7)]). **3.** The proof of Theorem 1 works also if some  $b_i = 0$  and therefore  $E_r = 0$ . In this case  $D_r \neq 0$  must hold since otherwise  $u_n = 0$  for  $n \ge 2r$ . **4.** The line of reasoning can easily be generalized to linear recurrences of higher order.

4. From the main result we conclude the following

**Corollary**: Let  $p_n / q_n$  be the n-th convergent of the continued fraction of a quadratic irrational  $\omega$ . Then the sequences  $(p_n)$  and  $(q_n)$  obey Benford's law.

**Proof:** The sequences  $(p_n)$  and  $(q_n)$  satisfy recurrences of type (1) with  $b_n = 1$  and positive integers  $a_n$ . The case r = 1 being treated in [3: Theorem 3.1] we can restrict to that of r > 1. But then  $D_r \ge 3$  according to Remark 1. Therefore the roots

$$\lambda_{1} = \left( D_{r} + \sqrt{D_{r}^{2} - 4(-1)^{r}} \right) / 2 \quad , \quad \lambda_{2} = \left( D_{r} - \sqrt{D_{r} - 4(-1)^{r}} \right) / 2$$

of (6) are real and irrational. If  $\lambda_i = 10^{p/q}$  with integers p and q, then  $\lambda_i^q = 10^p$  must be an integer which is an obvious contradiction, apply the binomial theorem

5. Finally we shortly treat the case of complex conjugate roots of (6), i.e.,  $D_r^2 - 4E_r < 0$ . Let  $\beta = \sqrt{E_r}$ , cos  $2\pi\gamma = D_r/2\beta$ .

**Theorem 2**: If 1,  $\lg \beta$ , and  $\gamma$  are linearly independent over the rationals and  $u_n \neq 0$  for  $n \ge n_0$ , then the sequence  $(u_n)$  obeys Benford's law.

**Proof** : Apply Corollary 2 in [8] or Theorem 2.1 in [3] ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] Berg,L.: Lineare Gleichungssysteme mit Bandstruktur.Berlin:Dt.Verlag Wiss. 1986.
- [2] Jager, H., and P.Liardet: Distributions arithmetiques des denominators de convergents de fractions continues. Indag. Math. 91 (1988), 181-197.
- [3] Kanemitsu,S.,Nagasaka,K.,Rauzy,G.,and J.-S.Shiue: On Benford's law: The first digit problem.Lect.Notes Math. **1299** (1988),158-169.
- [4] Khintchine, A.: Kettenbrüche. Leipzig: B.G. Teubner Verlagsges. 1956.
- [5] Kiss, P.: On second order recurrences and continued fractions. Bull. Malaysian Math Soc. (2) 5 (1982), 33-41.
- [6] Kuipers, L., and H. Niederreiter: Uniform distribution of sequences. New York: J. Wiley & Sons 1974.
- [7] Perron, O.: Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen, Bd.I. Stuttgart: B.G. Teubner Verlagsges. 1954.
- [8] Schatte, P.: On the uniform distribution of certain sequences and Benford's law. Math. Nachr. **136** (1988), 271-273.
- [9] Schatte, P.: On mantissa distributions in computing and Benford's law. J.Inf.Process.Cybern. EIK 24 (1988),443-455.
- [10] Schatte, P.: On Benford's law for continued fractions. Math.Nachr. **148** (1990)( to appear).

Received 21.06.1989

## Author's address :

Doz.Dr.Peter Schatte Sektion Mathematik der Bergakademie Freiberg Bernhard-von-Cotta-Str. 2 D(Ost)-9200 Freiberg Dr.Kenji Nagasaka The University of the Air 2-11,Wakaba Chiba City 260 Japan