## On an Application of a Modification of the Zincenko Method to the Approximation of Implicit Functions

**JOANNIS K. ARGYROS** 

We use the Zincenko iteration to approximate implicit functions in a Banach space by solving a linear algebraic system of finite order. The non-linear equations involved contain a non-differentiable term. Our hypotheses are more general than Zabrejko and Nguen's [10], in this case.

Key words: Implicit function, Banach space AMS subject classification: 47 D 15, 47 H 17, 65 J 15, 65 B 05

## 1. Introduction

Let E, A be Banach spaces and denote by  $U(x_0, R)$  the closed ball with center  $x_0 \in E$  and of radius R in E. We will use the same symbol for the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  in both spaces. Let P be a linear projection operator ( $P^2 = P$ ) which projects E on its subspace  $E_P$  and set  $Q = I - P$ . Suppose that the non-linear operators  $F(x, \lambda)$  and  $G(x, \lambda)$  with values in E are defined for  $x \in D$ , where D is some convex subset of E containing  $U(x_0, R)$  and  $\lambda \in U(\lambda_0, S)$ . For each fixed  $\lambda \in U(\lambda_0, S)$  the operator  $PF(z, \lambda)$  will be assumed to be Frechet differentiable for all  $z \in D$ . Then  $PF'(x, \lambda)$  will denote the Frechet derivative of the operator  $PF(z, \lambda)$  with respect to the argument z at  $z = x$ . Moreover, we assume that  $(PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1}$  exists and

$$
\left\| \left( PF'(x_0, \lambda_0) \right)^{-1} \left( PF'(x, \lambda) - PF'(y, \lambda) \right) \right\| \le k_1(r, s) \|x - y\|,
$$
 (1)

$$
\left\| \left( PF(x_0, \lambda_0) \right)^{-1} \left( PF'(x_0, \lambda) - PF'(x_0, \lambda_0) \right) \right\| \le k_2(s) \|\lambda - \lambda_0\|,
$$
 (2)

$$
\left\| \left( PF'(x_0, \lambda_0) \right)^{-1} \left[ \left( QF(x, \lambda) + G(x, \lambda) \right) - \left( QF(y, \lambda) + G(y, \lambda) \right) \right] \right\| \le k_3(r, s) \|x - y\|,
$$
 (3)

for all  $x, y \in U(x_0, r) \subset U(x_0, R)$  and  $\lambda \in U(\lambda_0, s) \subset U(\lambda_0, S)$ . Here  $k_1, k_2$  and  $k_3$  are nondecreasing functions on the intervals  $[0, R] \times [0, S]$ ,  $[0, R]$  and  $[0, R] \times [0, S]$ , respectively. We use a modification of the Zincenko iteration [11]

$$
X_{n+1}(\lambda) = X_n(\lambda) - (PF'(X_n(\lambda), \lambda))^{-1} (F(X_n(\lambda), \lambda) + G(X_n(\lambda), \lambda))
$$
 (n  $\ge 0$ ) (4)

to approximate a solution  $x^* = x^*(\lambda)$  of the equation

$$
F(x,\lambda) + G(x,\lambda) = 0.
$$
 (5)

By  $x_0$  we mean  $x_0(\lambda)$ . That is  $x_0$  depends on the  $\lambda$  used in (4).

It can easily be shown by induction on *n* that under the above hypotheses  $F(x_n(\lambda), \lambda)$ +  $G(x_n(\lambda), \lambda)$  belongs to the domain of  $PF'(x_n(\lambda), \lambda)^{-1}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Therefore, if the inverses exist (at it will be shown later in Theorem 1), then the iterates  $x_n$  can be computed for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Our assumptions (1) - (3) generalize the ones made by Zabrejko and Nguen [10], Yamamoto [9] and (for  $G = 0$ ) Potra and Ptak [6]. The iterates generated by the above authors cannot be easily computed in infinite dimensional spaces since the inverses of the linear operators involved *(P* = *1,* then) may be to difficult or impossible to find. It is easy to see, however, that the solution of equations (4) reduces to solving certain operator equations in the space  $E_P$ . If, moreover,  $E_P$  is a finite-dimensional space of dimension N, we obtain a system of linear algebraic equations of at most order *N.* Furthermore, several authors have treated the case when  $G = 0$ ,  $P * I$  provided that  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are constants (or not) [1,2,4 -6].

We provide sufficient conditions for the convergence of iteration (4) to a locally unique solution  $x^*(\lambda)$  of equation (5) as well as several error bounds on the distances  $||x_{n+1}(\lambda)||$  $-x_n(\lambda)$  and  $||x_n(\lambda) - x^{\bullet}(\lambda)||$ .

We need to define the functions

We need to define the functions  
\n
$$
a_s = k(s) \left\| (PF'(x_o, \lambda_o))^{-1} (F(x_o, \lambda) + G(x_o, \lambda)) \right\| (s = 0 \text{ if } \lambda = \lambda_o),
$$
\n
$$
\omega_s(r) = \int_0^r k_1(t, s) ds, \quad k_4(s) = \int_0^s k_2(t) dt, \quad k(s) = (1 - k_4(s))^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
k_4(s) < 1, \quad \varphi_s(r) = a_s + k(s) \int_0^r \omega_s(t) dt - r,
$$
\n
$$
\psi_s(r) = k(s) \int_0^r k_3(t, s) dt, \quad \chi_s(r) = \varphi_s(r) + \psi_s(r)
$$
\nthe iteration  $(y_o = x_o, n \ge 0)$ 

\n
$$
y_{n+1}(\lambda) = y_n(\lambda) - (PF'(x_o, \lambda_o))^{-1} (F(y_n(\lambda), \lambda) + G(y_n(\lambda), \lambda)).
$$
\nconvergence results

provided that

$$
k_4(S) < 1, \ \varphi_s(r) = a_s + k(s) \int_0^r \omega_s(t) \, dt - r,
$$
\n
$$
\psi_s(r) = k(s) \int_0^r k_3(t, s) \, dt, \ \chi_s(r) = \varphi_s(r) + \psi_s(r)
$$

and the iteration ( $y_0 = x_0$ ,  $n \ge 0$ )

$$
y_{n+1}(\lambda) = y_n(\lambda) - (PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1} \big( F(y_n(\lambda), \lambda) + G(y_n(\lambda), \lambda) \big).
$$
 (6)

## 2. **Convergence results**

We can now formulate the following result.

**Theorem 1:** *Suppose that the function*  $\chi_s = \chi_s(r)$  has a unique zero  $\rho^* = \rho_s^*$  in [o,R]<br>  $\chi_s(R) \le 0$ . Then the following statements are true. and  $\chi_s(R) \leq 0$ . Then the following statements are true. **Theorem 1:** *Suppose that the function*  $\chi_s = \chi_s(r)$  has a unique zero  $\rho^* = \rho_s^*$  in [o  $\chi_s(R) \le 0$ . *Then the following statements are true.*<br>(a) *Equation (5) has a unique solution*  $x^* = x^*(\lambda) \in U(x_0, R)$  with  $x^*(\lambda) \$ *v,.,. 1 - v*  **Theorem 1:** *Suppose that the function*  $\chi_s = \chi_s(r)$  has a unique  $\chi_s(R) \le 0$ . Then the following statements are true.<br>
(a) *Equation* (5) has a unique solution  $x^* = x^*(\lambda) \in U(x_0, R)$  *wi* (b) The estimates<br>  $||y_{n+1}(\lambda) - y_n$ 

(a) *Equation (5)* has a unique solution  $x^* = x^*(\lambda) \in U(x_0, R)$  with  $x^*(\lambda) \in U(x_0, \rho^*)$ .<br>(b) *The estimates* 

(a) Equation (3) has a unique solution 
$$
x^2 = x^2(\lambda) \in U(x_0, R)
$$
 with  $x^2(\lambda) \in U(x_0, \rho^*)$ .  
\n(b) The estimates  
\n
$$
||y_{n+1}(\lambda) - y_n(\lambda)|| \le v_{n+1} - v_n
$$
\n(7)  
\nand  
\n
$$
||y_n(\lambda) - x^*(\lambda)|| \le \rho^* - v_n
$$
\n(8)  
\nare true where the scalar sequence  $\{v_n\}_{n \ge 0}$  is monotonically increasing and convergent

*and*

$$
\|y_n(\lambda) - x^*(\lambda)\| \le \rho^* - \nu_n \tag{8}
$$

*to p' with* 

$$
V_{n+1} = d_s(v_n) \quad (n \ge 0, v_0 = 0) \quad \text{and} \quad d_s(r) = r + \chi_s(r) \tag{9}
$$

**Proof:** It is a simple calculus to show that the sequence  $\{v_n\}$  is monotonically increasing and convergent to  $\rho^*$  (see also, [10: p. 675]). Using induction to *n* we will show that the estimate  $(7)$  is true, from which  $(8)$  will follow immediately. From  $(6)$  for  $n = 0$ , we get

$$
||y_1(\lambda) - y_0(\lambda)|| \le ||(PF'(x_0, \lambda))^{-1}(F(x_0, \lambda) + G(x_0, \lambda))|| \le a_s = d_s(0) = v_1 - v_0.
$$

That is, the estimate (7) is true for  $n = 0$ . Let us assume that (7) is true for  $n \leq k$ . Then by  $(6)$ ,  $(1)$ ,  $(3)$ ,  $[10: p. 674]$  and the induction hypothesis we get

$$
\|y_{n+1}(\lambda) - y_k(\lambda)\|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left\| (y_k(\lambda) - y_{k-1}(\lambda)) - (PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1} (PF(y_k(\lambda), \lambda) - PF(y_{k-1}(\lambda), \lambda)) \right\|
$$
\n
$$
+ \left\| (PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1} \{ (QF(y_k(\lambda), \lambda) + G(y_k(\lambda), \lambda)) - (QF(y_{k-1}(\lambda), \lambda) + G(y_{k-1}(\lambda), \lambda)) \} \right\|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_0^1 \left\| (PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1} \{ PF'(1 - t)y_{k-1}(\lambda) + ty_k(\lambda)) - PF'(x_0, \lambda_0) \} \right\| \|y_k(\lambda) - y_{k-1}(\lambda) \| dt
$$
\n
$$
+ \left\| (PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1} \{ (QF(y_k(\lambda), \lambda) + G(y_k(\lambda), \lambda)) - (QF(y_{k-1}(\lambda), \lambda) + G(y_{k-1}(\lambda), \lambda)) \} \right\|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_0^1 \omega((1 - t)v_{k-1} + tv_k)(v_k - v_{k-1}) dt + \int_{v_{k-1}}^{v_k} k_3(t, s) dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq k \left\{ \int_{v_{k-1}}^{v_k} v_{s}(t) dt + \int_{v_{k-1}}^{v_k} k_3(t, s) dt \right\} = d_s(v_k) - d_s(v_{k-1}) = v_{k+1} - v_k.
$$
\nIt is, the estimate (7) is true for  $n = k$ . Hence,  $\{y_n(\lambda)\}$  is a cauchy sequence in a Banac

That is, the estimate (7) is true for  $n = k$ . Hence,  $\{y_n(\lambda)\}$  is a cauchy sequence in a Banach space and as such converges to some  $x^*(\lambda) \in U(x_0, \rho^*) \subset U(x_0, R)$ . By letting  $n \to \infty$  in (6) we deduce that  $x^*(\lambda)$  is a solution of equation (5). *Z*, is, the estimate (7) is true for  $n = k$ . Hence,  $\{y_n(\lambda)\}$  is a cauchy sequence in a Banach e and as such converges to some  $x^*(\lambda) \in U(x_0, \rho^*) \subset U(x_0, R)$ . By letting  $n \to \infty$  in (6) leduce that  $x^*(\lambda)$  is a solution o **Example 1** as such convergent (7) is<br> **as** such convergent (2) is a<br> **b** is a vill now show the<br> **g** the sequences<br>  $\lambda$ ) =  $z_n(\lambda) - (PF^2)$ <br>  $= d_s(w_n)$ . is true for  $n = k$ . Hence,<br>ges to some  $x^*(\lambda) \in U$ <br>a solution of equation ( $2$ <br>is actually in the unique<br>given by  $(n \ge 0; z_0 \in U)$ <br> $x^*(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1} (F(z_n(\lambda), \lambda_0))^{-1}$ <br> $F(z_n(\lambda), \lambda_0)$ <br>of the scalar<br>if for z we choose the

We will now show that  $x^*(\lambda)$  is the unique solution of equation (5) in  $U(x_0, R)$ , by considering the sequences given by  $(n \geq 0; z_0 \in U(x_0, R)$  and  $w_0 = R$ <sup>3</sup>

$$
z_{n+i}(\lambda) = z_n(\lambda) - (PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1} \big( F(z_n(\lambda), \lambda) + G(z_n(\lambda), \lambda) \big), \tag{10}
$$

and

$$
w_{n+1} = d_s(w_n).
$$
\n(11)

\nenough to show that

\n
$$
||y_n(\lambda) - z_n(\lambda)|| \leq w_n - v_n, \, n \geq 0.
$$
\n(12)

\na simple calculus to show that the complex groups given by (11) is non-orthonically.

It is enough to show that

$$
|y_n(\lambda) - z_n(\lambda)| \le w_n - v_n, \quad n \ge 0. \tag{12}
$$

It is a simple calculus to show that the scalar sequence given by (11) is monotonically convergent to  $\rho^*$ . Hence, if for  $z_0$  we choose the second solution  $y^*(\lambda) \in U(x_0, r)$  of equation (5), then, by (12),  $||x^*(\lambda) - y^*(\lambda)|| \leq w_n - v_n$ . That is,  $x^*(\lambda) = y^*(\lambda)$ .

For  $n = 0$ , (12) becomes  $||y_0 - x_0|| \le R - 0 = R$ . Hence, (12) is true for  $n = 0$ . Let us assume that (12) holds for  $n \leq k$ . Then by (6), (10) as before we get

$$
\|y_{k+1}(\lambda) - z_{k+1}(\lambda)\|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \|(z_k(\lambda) - y_k(\lambda)) - (PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1}(PF(z_k(\lambda), \lambda) - PF(y_k(\lambda), \lambda))\|
$$
\n
$$
+ \|(PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1}\{(QF(z_k(\lambda), \lambda) + G(z_k(\lambda), \lambda)) - (QF(y_k(\lambda), \lambda) + G(y_k(\lambda), \lambda))\}\|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_0^1 \|(PF'(x_0, \lambda_0))^{-1}\{PF'((1-t)y_k(\lambda) + tz_k(\lambda)) - PF'(x_0, \lambda_0)\}\| \|z_k(\lambda) - y_k(\lambda)\| dt
$$

26 Analysis, Bd. 10. Heft 3 (1991)

$$
+ \int_{v_k}^{w_k} k_3(t,s)dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\int_0^1 \omega_s ((1-t)v_k + t w_k)(w_k - v_k)dt + \int_{v_k}^{w_k} k_3(t,s)dt
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq k(s) \left[ \int_{v_k}^{w_k} \omega(s)dt + \int_{v_k}^{w_k} k_3(t,s)dt \right] = d_s(w_k) - d_s(v_k) = w_{k+1} - v_{k+1}.
$$

*That completes the proof of the theorem U* 

*We can now formulate the main result.* 

*Theorem 2: Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem I are satisfied. Then the following statements are true.*  e main result.<br>
the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Then the follow<br>
ren by<br>
(1) with  $u_s(r) = -\chi_s(r)/\varphi_s'(r)$ <br>
(13) *(4)* are well defined for all  $n \ge 0$  and remain in  $U(x_0, \rho^*)$ .<br>
es<br>  $-\rho_n$  ( $n \ge 1$ ) (13)<br>
(14) theses of T<sub>1</sub><br>  $\chi(r) = -\chi_s(r)$ <br>
re well defi<br>  $\chi \ge 1$ 

(a) The sequence  $(\rho_n)$  given by

$$
\rho_{n+1} = \rho_n + u_s(\rho_n) \quad (\rho_0 = 0) \text{ with } u_s(r) = -\chi_s(r)/\varphi'_s(r)
$$

*is monotonically increasing and converges to p.* 

(b) The iterates generated by (4) are well defined for all  $n \ge 0$  and remain in  $U(x_0, \rho^*)$ . *(c) Moreover, the estimates*  onotonically increasing and<br>
(b) The iterates generated<br>
(c) Moreover, the estimat<br>  $||x_{n+1}(\lambda) - x_n(\lambda)|| \le \rho_{n+1}$ 

$$
\|x_{n+1}(\lambda) - x_n(\lambda)\| \le \rho_{n+1} - \rho_n \quad (n \ge I)
$$
\n(13)

*and*

$$
||x_{n+1}(\lambda) - x^{\bullet}(\lambda)|| \le \rho^{\bullet} - \rho_n \quad (n \ge 0)
$$
 (14)

*are true.* 

**Proof:** Part (a) can be shown exactly as in Proposition 3 in [10: p. 677]. We will only show (13) since (14) will follow then from it immediately. For  $n = 0$  we get  $||x_1(\lambda) - x_0(\lambda)||$  $25$  *a<sub>s</sub>* =  $\rho_1 - \rho_0$ . That is, (13) is true for *n* = 0. Let us assume that (13) is true for *n* < *k*. By the induction hypothesis<br>  $\|x_k(\lambda) - x_0\| \le \sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j(\lambda) - x_{j-1}(\lambda)\| \le \sum_{j=1}^k (\rho_j - \rho_{j-1}) = \rho_k$ , *the induction hypothesis* 

$$
||x_k(\lambda) - x_0|| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k ||x_j(\lambda) - x_{j-1}(\lambda)|| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k (\rho_j - \rho_{j-1}) = \rho_k,
$$

The Banach *lemma on invertible operators, (2) and the estimate* 

$$
\left\|\left( P F'(x_o, \lambda_o))^{\mathsf{-1}}\right( P F'(x_k(\lambda) \lambda) - P F'(x_o, \lambda_o)\right\| \leq k(s) \omega_s(\rho_k) \leq k(s) \omega_s(\rho^*) = \varphi'_s(\rho^*) + 1 \leq 1,
$$

if follows that 
$$
PF'(x_0, \lambda_0)^{-1} \{PF'(x_k(\lambda, \lambda)) \geq R(S) \omega_s(\rho_k) \leq R(S) \omega_s(\rho) \} = \varphi_s(\rho) + 1 \leq 1
$$
,  
it follows that  $PF'(x, \lambda)$  is invertible for all  $(x, \lambda) \in U(x_0, R) \times U(\lambda_0, S)$  and  

$$
\left\| \left( PF'(x_k(\lambda, \lambda)) \right)^{-1} PF'(x_0, \lambda_0) \right\|
$$

$$
\leq \left\| \left\{ I + \left( PF'(x_0, \lambda) \right)^{-1} \left( PF'(x, \lambda) - PF'(x_0, \lambda_0) \right) \right\}^{-1} \right\| \left\| \left( PF'(x_0, \lambda) \right)^{-1} PF'(x_0, \lambda_0) \right\|
$$
(15)  

$$
\leq -k(s) / \varphi'_s(\rho_k).
$$

*Then by (4), (1) - (3), (15) and the induction Hypothesis we get* 

$$
\|x_{k+1}(\lambda) - x_k(\lambda)\|
$$
  
= 
$$
\|(PF'(x_k(\lambda)\lambda))^{-1}(F(x_k(\lambda)\lambda) + G(x_k(\lambda)\lambda))\|
$$
  

$$
\leq \|(PF'(x_k(\lambda)\lambda))^{-1}\{F(x_k(\lambda)\lambda) - F(x_{k-1}(\lambda)\lambda)\}\|
$$

On an Application of the Zincenko Method 393  
\n- PF'(x<sub>k-1</sub>(
$$
\lambda
$$
), $\lambda$ )(x<sub>k</sub>( $\lambda$ ) - x<sub>k-1</sub>( $\lambda$ )) + G(x<sub>k</sub>( $\lambda$ ), $\lambda$ ) - G(x<sub>k-1</sub>( $\lambda$ ), $\lambda$ )}  
\n
$$
\leq ||(PF'(xk(\lambda),\lambda))^{-1}PF'(x0,\lambda0)||[ \int_0^1 ||(PF'(x0,\lambda0))^{-1}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \{ (PF'(1-t)xk-1(\lambda)+txk(\lambda)) - PF'(xk-1(\lambda)) \} ||xk(\lambda)-xk-1(\lambda)|| dt
$$
  
\n+  $||(PF'(x0,\lambda0))^{-1} \{ (QF(xk(\lambda),\lambda)+G(xk(\lambda),\lambda)) - (QF(xk-1(\lambda),\lambda)+G(xk-1(\lambda),\lambda)) \}|| ]$   
\n
$$
\leq -\frac{k(s)}{\varphi'_s(\rho_k)} \int_0^1 {\{\omega_s((1-t)\rho_{k-1}+t\rho_k)-\omega_s(\rho_{k-1})\}(\rho_k-\rho_{k-1})dt} - \frac{1}{\varphi'_s(\rho_k)}(\psi_s(\rho_k)-\psi_s(\rho_{k-1}))
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\varphi_s(\rho_k)-\varphi_s(\rho_{k-1})-\varphi'_s(\rho_{k-1})(\rho_k-\rho_{k-1})+\psi_s(\rho_k)-\psi_s(\rho_{k-1})}{\varphi'_s(\rho_k)}
$$
  
\n=  $\rho_{k+1}-\rho_k$ .

Hence, (13) is true for  $n = k$ 

We will now derive some a posteriori error bounds for iteration (4). Let

$$
r_{n,s} = r_n = ||x_n(\lambda) - x_0||, q_{n,s}(r) = q_n(r) = k_1(r_n + r, s),
$$
  

$$
f_{n,s}(r) = f_n(r) = k_3(r_n + r, s) \text{ for } r \in [0, R - r_n]
$$

and set

$$
a_{n,s} = a_n = ||x_{n+1}(\lambda) - x_n(\lambda)||, \quad b_{n,s} = b_n = k(s)(1 - k(s)\omega_s(r_n))^{-1}.
$$

Without loss of generality we assume that  $a_n > 0$ . Then exactly as in Theorem 2 in [9: p. 989] we can show

Theorem 3: *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem* I *are satisfied. Then the following statements are true.*  **has a** ing statements are true.<br>
(a) The equation<br>  $r = a_n + b_n \int_0^r ((r - t)q_n(t) + f_n(t)) dt$ <br>
has a unique positive zero  $\rho_{n, s}^* = \rho_r^*$ <br>
(b) The estimates

*(a) The equation* 

$$
r = a_n + b_n \int_0^r ((r - t)q_n(t) + f_n(t)) dt
$$

*in the interval*  $[0, R - r_n]$ ,  $n \ge 0$  *and*  $\varphi_0^* = \varphi^*$ .

*(b) The estimates*

about loss of generality we assume that 
$$
a_n > 0
$$
. Then exactly as in Theorem 2 in [9: p.]

\nWe can show

\nTheorem 3: Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Then the follow-g statements are true.

\n(a) The equation

\n
$$
r = a_n + b_n \int_0^r ((r - t)q_n(t) + f_n(t)) dt
$$
\na unique positive zero  $\rho_{n,s}^* = \rho_n^*$  in the interval  $[0, R - r_n]$ ,  $n \ge 0$  and  $\rho_0^* = \rho^*$ .

\n(b) The estimates

\n
$$
||x_n(\lambda) - x^*(\lambda)|| \leq \rho_n^* \leq \begin{cases} ( \rho - \rho_n) a_n / \Delta \rho_n & \text{for } n \ge 0 \\ ( \rho^* - \rho_n) a_{n-1} / \Delta \rho_{n-1} & \text{for } n \ge 1 \\ \rho^* - \rho_n & \text{for } n \ge 0 \end{cases}
$$
\n(16)

\ntrue, where  $\Delta \rho_n = \rho_{n+1} - \rho_n$ . That is, our bound (16) is sharper than Miel-type bounds and more general than the corresponding one in [9: p. 989] (for  $P = I$ ).

are true, where  $\Delta \rho_n = \rho_{n+1} - \rho_n$ . That is, our bound (16) is sharper than Miel-type bounds  $[3, 7]$  and more general than the corresponding one in  $[9: p. 989]$  (for  $P = I$ ).

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] ARGYROS. 1K.: *On Newtons method and nondiscrete mathematical induction.* Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. 38 (1988). 131 - 140.
- *12) BALAZS,* M.. and G. GOLDNER *On the method of the cord and on a modification of it for the solution of nonlinear operator equations.* Stud. Cerc. Mat. 20 (1968). 981-990.
- (3J GItaGo, W. B., and R. A. TAPIA: *Optimal error bounds for the* Newton-kantoro *vich Theorem.* SIAM I. Numer. Anal. 1(1974), 10 - 13.
- <sup>1</sup> <sup>4</sup> 1 KANTOROVICH, L. V., and G. P. AKILOV: *Functional Analysis in Nor,ned Spaces.*  New York: Pergamon Press 1964.
- *151 KRASNOSELSKII, M.A.,VAINIKKO, G. M., ZABREJK0, P. P., et al.: The apprximate solution of operator equations* **LRussianj. Mocow: Nauka 1969.**
- **[6]** POTRi\, F. A., and V. PTAK: *Sharp error bounds for Newton's process.* Numer. Moth, 34 (1980). 63 - 72.
- [7] RHEINBOLDT, W. C.: A unified convergence theory for a class of iterative processes. SIAM *J.* Numer. Anal. S (1968), 42 - 63.
- [8] YAMAMOTO, T.: A method for finding sharp error bounds for Newton's method under the Kantorovich assumptions. Num. Math. 44 (1986), 203 - 220.
- [9] YAMAMOTO,T.: *A note on* a *posteriori error bound of Zabrejko and Nguen for Zincenko's iteration.* Numer. Funct. Anal, and Optimiz. 9 (1987), 987 - 994.
- [10] ZABREJKO. P. P., and D. F. NGUEN: *The majorant method in the theory of Newton-Kantorovich approximations and the Ptak error estimates. Numer. Funct. Anal, and*  Optim. 9 (1987), 671 - 674.
- [11] ZINCENKO, A. I.: Some approximate methods of solving equations with nondiffer*entiable operators* (Ukrainian). Dopovidi Akad. Nauk Ukrain. RSR (1963), 156 - 161.

Received 02. 10. 1989; in revised form

Author's address:

Prof. Dr. loannis K. Argyros Cameron University Department of Mathematics Lawton, OK 73505-6377, U.S.A.