

Determination of a Real Parameter in the Coefficient of a Quasilinear Elliptic Differential Equation

S. HANDROCK-MEYER

We study the quasilinear elliptic differential equation $\operatorname{div}((b(u - u_0)^l + c)\operatorname{grad} u) = 0$ with boundary value conditions of Dirichlet type in an n -dimensional cylinder. Provided we know the numbers u_0 , l and c , the solution u on a plane which is parallel to the basic area of the cylinder, an eigenvalue and an eigenfunction of a certain eigenvalue problem, we give an explicit formula for the calculation of the real parameter b .

Key words: Inverse problems, boundary value problems, quasilinear elliptic differential equations

AMS subject classification: 35 R 30, 35 J 25

1. Introduction

Let D be a bounded region of the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with piecewise smooth boundary ∂D . Points of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} are denoted by $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, those of \mathbb{R}^n by $x = (x', x_n)$. We consider the quasilinear elliptic boundary value problem

$$Lu(x) = \operatorname{div}(a(u(x))\operatorname{grad} u(x)) = 0 \quad \text{in } D \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x) = g(x) \quad \text{on } \partial D, \quad (1.2)$$

where a is a function of the real function $u = u(x)$ which is strictly positive, continuously differentiable and satisfies the condition

$$u \in C(\bar{D}) \cap C^2(D). \quad (1.3)$$

We prove the validity of a weak maximum principle in the following sense.

Definition 1 [3]: Let $a(u)$ be strictly positive and continuously differentiable, $u \in C^1(D)$. Then, in a generalized sense, u is said to satisfy the relation $Lu = 0$ (≥ 0 , ≤ 0) in D , in dependence on whether $\int_D a(u(x))\operatorname{grad} u(x)\operatorname{grad} \varphi(x) dx = 0$ (≤ 0 , ≥ 0) for all non-negative functions $\varphi \in C_0^1(D)$.

Theorem 1: Let $a(u)$ be strictly positive and continuously differentiable, u satisfy the condition (1.3) and suppose

$$\int_D a(u(x))\operatorname{grad} u(x)\operatorname{grad} \varphi(x) dx = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

for all non-negative functions $\varphi \in C_0^1(D)$. Then

$$\inf_{\partial D} u \leq \inf_D u \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\sup_D u \leq \sup_{\partial D} u. \quad (1.6)$$

Proof: We prove (1.6) supposing, contrary to the assertion, that $\sup_D u > \sup_{\partial D} u = u_1$. Then, for some constant $c > 0$, there is a subdomain $D' \subset D$ in which $v = u - u_1 - c > 0$ and $v = 0$ on $\partial D'$. The relation (1.4) remains true with u replaced by v , and with $\varphi = v$ on D' , $\varphi = 0$ elsewhere. We have $\varphi \in C_0^1(D)$, but (1.4) can be seen to hold by approximating φ with functions in $C_0^1(D)$. It follows $\int_D a(\text{grad} v)^2 dx = 0$ and hence, since a is a positive function, we infer $\text{grad} v = 0$ in D' . On account of $v = 0$ on $\partial D'$ we have $v = 0$ in D' , which contradicts the definition of the function v . Analogously the inequality (1.5) can be proved ■

We set $u_0 = \inf_{\partial D} u$ and suppose $u_0 < u_1$. Then we obtain from (1.5) and (1.6) $u_0 \leq u(x) \leq u_1$ for all $x \in \bar{D}$. In the following the coefficient $a(u)$ in the equation (1.1) may be given in the form

$$a(u) = b(u - u_0)^l + c \text{ for all } [u_0, u_1], \tag{1.7}$$

where l is a natural number and b, c are real constants, with $c > 0$ and $b > -c(u_1 - u_0)^{-l}$. This implies $a(u) > 0$ for all $u \in [u_0, u_1]$. Further we assume $c = a(u_0)$ and l to be known. Then $a(u)$ is available completely if the constant b is known. Finally, in addition to the assumptions stated, suppose that for every function $a(u)$ of the form (1.7) (i.e. for every $b > -c(u_1 - u_0)^{-l}$) there exists a unique solution $u = u(x, b)$ of the boundary value problem (1.1) - (1.2). For brevity we write $u(x) = u(x, b)$.

The inverse problem to the boundary value problem (1.1) - (1.2) consists in the determination of the coefficient $a(u)$ (i.e. of the real parameter b) under some additional information on u . In the second section we describe (under some restrictions on the domain D) a procedure for the calculation of the number b , which also implies its existence and uniqueness.

The third section contains three special cases and one example.

A quasilinear parabolic equation with a coefficient of the form (1.7) is investigated by MEYER [4,5]. CANNON [2] has considered the equation (1.1) with the Neumann boundary condition $a(u) \partial u / \partial \nu = g$ on ∂D and the additional condition $u = f$ on C , where C denotes a smooth curve on a portion of ∂D . Some results on inverse problems in quasilinear equations are given by ANGER [1].

2. A method for the determination of the number b

We use the following notations:

- $D_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a bounded region with sufficiently smooth boundary ∂D_{n-1} ,
- (d_1, d_2) is an interval on the x_n -axis,
- $D = D_{n-1} \times (d_1, d_2), \bar{D} = D_{n-1} \times [d_1, d_2]$,
- Δ_k is the Laplacian in the k -dimensional space.

The boundary value problem (1.1) - (1.2) is considered with a coefficient $a(u)$ of the form (1.7) and the special boundary conditions

$$u(x', d_1) = p_1(x') \text{ for } x' \in D_{n-1}, x_n = d_1 \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(x', d_2) = p_2(x') \text{ for } x' \in D_{n-1}, x_n = d_2 \tag{2.2}$$

$$u(x) = q(x) \text{ for } x \in \bar{B}, \tag{2.3}$$

where p_1, p_2 and q are given functions satisfying the conditions

$$p_1, p_2 \in C(\overline{D_{n-1}}), q \in C(\bar{B}) \tag{2.4}$$

$$p_i(x') = q(x', d_i) \text{ for } x' \in D_{n-1} \text{ and } i = 1, 2. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.4) and Theorem 1 it follows

$$u_0 = \min \left\{ \min_{x' \in \overline{D}_{n-1}} p_i(x') (i = 1, 2), \min_{x \in \overline{B}} q(x) \right\},$$

$$u_1 = \max \left\{ \max_{x' \in \overline{D}_{n-1}} p_i(x') (i = 1, 2), \max_{x \in \overline{B}} q(x) \right\}.$$

Moreover, suppose that the following additional information on the solution $u(x', x_n)$ of the direct problem is available:

$$u(x', x_n^0) = f(x') \text{ for } x' \in D_{n-1}, \tag{2.6}$$

where x_n^0 is a fixed point in the interval (d_1, d_2) and

$$f \in C(\overline{D}_{n-1}). \tag{2.7}$$

Further, we consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta_{n-1} y(x') = -\lambda y(x'), x' \in D_{n-1} \text{ and } y(x') = 0, x' \in \partial D_{n-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

It is known [6] that for every n and for a bounded domain with piecewise smooth boundary the set of eigenvalues of this problem is discrete and all eigenvalues are positive. We assume that we know one of the eigenvalues $\lambda > 0$ and the corresponding eigenfunction $y = y(x')$ of the problem (2.8). Setting

$$v(x) = v(x', x_n) = \int_{u_0}^{u(x', x_n)} a(s) ds = \int_{u_0}^{u(x', x_n)} (b(s - u_0)^l + c) ds \tag{2.9}$$

we obtain from (1.1) and (2.1)-(2.3) the linear boundary value problem

$$\Delta_n v = \Delta_{n-1} v + v_{x_n} x_n = 0, \tag{2.10} \quad (x \in D)$$

$$v(x', d_1) = \int_{u_0}^{p_1(x')} (b(s - u_0)^l + c) ds = b g_1(x') + c g_2(x') \tag{2.11} \quad (x' \in D_{n-1})$$

$$v(x', d_2) = \int_{u_0}^{p_2(x')} (b(s - u_0)^l + c) ds = b g_3(x') + c g_4(x') \tag{2.12} \quad (x' \in D_{n-1})$$

$$v(x', x_n) = \int_{u_0}^{q(x', x_n)} (b(s - u_0)^l + c) ds = b h_1(x', x_n) + c h_2(x', x_n) \tag{2.13} \quad (x \in \overline{B}).$$

From the additional information (2.6) and (2.9) we receive

$$v(x', x_n^0) = \int_{u_0}^{f(x')} (b(s - u_0)^l + c) ds = b r_1(x') + c r_2(x') \tag{2.14} \quad (x' \in D_{n-1}).$$

We use the following notations ($i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, 3, 4$):

$$A_i(x_n) = \int_{\partial D_{n-1}} (\partial y(x') / \partial \nu) h_i(x', x_n) d\sigma \tag{2.15}$$

$$\bar{B}_j = \int_{D_{n-1}} g_j(x') y(x') dx' \tag{2.16}$$

$$E_i(x_n) = -1/2\sqrt{\lambda} \left(e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}x_n} \int_0^{x_n} e^{\sqrt{\lambda}t} A_i(t) dt - e^{\sqrt{\lambda}x_n} \int_0^{x_n} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} A_i(t) dt \right) \tag{2.17}$$

$$F_i = \int_{D_{n-1}} r_i(x') y(x') dx', \tag{2.18}$$

where y denotes the known eigenfunction of the problem (2.8) and $\partial/\partial v$ the normal derivative in the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional space. Further, we set $w = e^{\sqrt{\lambda}(d_1 - d_2)} - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}(d_1 - d_2)}$.

Theorem 2: Let p_1, p_2, q and f be given functions satisfying (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7), respectively. Suppose

$$F_1 - \left[\left(B_1 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}d_2} - B_3 e^{\sqrt{\lambda}d_1} + E_1(d_2) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}d_1} \right) e^{\sqrt{\lambda}x_n^0} + \left(B_3 e^{\sqrt{\lambda}d_1} - B_1 e^{\sqrt{\lambda}d_2} - E_1(d_2) e^{\sqrt{\lambda}d_1} \right) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}x_n^0} \right] w^{-1} - E_1(x_n^0) \neq 0, \tag{2.19}$$

where λ denotes an eigenvalue of the problem (2.8). Then the inverse problem (1.1), (2.1)-(2.3), (2.6) of the determination of the real parameter b has a unique solution, which can be found explicitly.

Proof: Multiplication of the formula (2.10) by $y(x')$ and integration with respect to x' supplies

$$\int_{D_{n-1}} (\Delta_{n-1} v(x', x_n) + v_{x_n x_n}(x', x_n)) y(x') dx' = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

We put

$$z(x_n) = \int_{D_{n-1}} v(x', x_n) y(x') dx'. \tag{2.21}$$

Then we have

$$z''(x_n) = z_{x_n x_n}(x_n) = \int_{D_{n-1}} v_{x_n x_n}(x', x_n) y(x') dx'. \tag{2.22}$$

Applying (2.8) and (2.13) we obtain by partial integration

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_{n-1}} \Delta_{n-1} v(x', x_n) y(x') dx' \\ &= \int_{D_{n-1}} \Delta_{n-1} y(x') v(x', x_n) dx + \int_{\partial D_{n-1}} (\partial v(x', x_n) / \partial v) y(x') - (\partial y(x') / \partial v) v(x', x_n) d\sigma \\ &= -\lambda \int_{D_{n-1}} v(x', x_n) y(x') dx' - \int_{\partial D_{n-1}} (\partial y(x') / \partial v) [b h_1(x', x_n) + c h_2(x', x_n)] d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Using (2.21)-(2.23) we receive from (2.20) an inhomogeneous linear ordinary differential equation of second order with respect to $z(x_n)$:

$$z''(x_n) - \lambda z(x_n) = s(x_n), \tag{2.24}$$

with right-hand side

$$s(x_n) = \int_{\partial D_{n-1}} (\partial y(x') / \partial v) [b h_1(x', x_n) + c h_2(x', x_n)] d\sigma = b A_1(x_n) + c A_2(x_n),$$

where $A_i(x_n)$ ($i = 1, 2$) given by (2.15) are known functions independent of b and c . Moreover, we obtain from (2.11) and (2.12) the boundary conditions

$$z(d_1) = \int_{D_{n-1}} v(x', d_1) y(x') dx = bB_1 + cB_2 \tag{2.25}$$

$$z(d_2) = \int_{D_{n-1}} v(x', d_2) y(x') dx = bB_3 + cB_4, \tag{2.26}$$

where B_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) given by (2.16) are known constants independent of b and c . Because $-\lambda < 0$ we conclude that the boundary value problem (2.24)-(2.26) is always uniquely solvable [7]. The general solution of the equation (2.24) can be written in the form

$$z(x_n) = C_1 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} + C_2 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} + z_s(x_n), \tag{2.27}$$

where C_i ($i = 1, 2$) are arbitrary constants and

$$z_s(x_n) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} \int_{d_1}^{x_n} e^{\sqrt{\lambda} t} s(t) dt - e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} \int_{d_1}^{x_n} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} t} s(t) dt \right).$$

is a particular solution of the inhomogeneous equation (2.24). For brevity we write $z_s(x_n) = bE_1(x_n) + cE_2(x_n)$, where the functions $E_j(x_n)$ ($j = 1, 2$) given by (2.17) are independent of b and c . From (2.17) it follows $z_s(d_1) = 0$. Inserting (2.27) into (2.25) and (2.26) we obtain a particular solution

$$\begin{aligned} z(x_n) = b & \left\{ \left[B_1 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - B_3 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} + E_1(d_2) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} \right. \\ & \left. + \left[B_3 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} - B_1 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - E_1(d_2) e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} \right\} w^{-1} + E_1(x_n) \\ & + c \left\{ \left[\left[B_2 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - B_4 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} + E_2(d_2) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \left[B_4 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} - B_2 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - E_2(d_2) e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} \right] w^{-1} + E_2(x_n) \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

with $w = e^{\sqrt{\lambda}(d_1 - d_2)} - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}(d_1 - d_2)}$. By means of (2.14) we calculate $z(x_n^0)$ as

$$z(x_n^0) = \int_{D_{n-1}} v(x', x_n^0) y(x') dx' = bF_1 + cF_2, \tag{2.29}$$

where the constants F_i ($i = 1, 2$) given by (2.18) are known and independent of b and c . Replacing $z(x_n)$ by $z(x_n^0)$ in the solution (2.28) and using (2.29) we arrive at an algebraic equation for the determination of the real parameter b :

$$\begin{aligned} b = c & \left\{ \left[\left[B_2 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - B_4 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} + E_2(d_2) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \left[B_4 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} - B_2 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - E_2(d_2) e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} \right] w^{-1} + E_2(x_n^0) - F_2 \right\} \\ & \times \left\{ F_1 - \left[\left[B_1 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - B_3 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} + E_1(d_2) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \left[B_3 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} - B_1 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - E_1(d_2) e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} \right] w^{-1} - E_1(x_n^0) \right\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.19) the uniqueness of the parameter b follows ■

3. Special cases

Throughout what follows the compatibility conditions are assumed to be fulfilled.

3.1 We consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}((b(u - u_0)^l + c) \operatorname{grad} u(x)) &= 0 && \text{for } x \in D \\ u(x', d_1) &= p_1(x') && \text{for } x' \in D_{n-1}, x_n = d_1 \\ u(x', d_2) &= p_2(x') && \text{for } x' \in D_{n-1}, x_n = d_2 \\ u(x) &= 0 && \text{for } x \in \bar{B} \\ u(x', x_n^0) &= f(x') && \text{for } x' \in D_{n-1}, x_n^0 \in (d_1, d_2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $f \in C(\overline{D_{n-1}})$ satisfies the condition

$$F_1 - \left[B_1 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - B_3 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} + \left[B_3 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} - B_1 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} \right] e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} w^{-1} \neq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

The inverse problem (3.1) of the determination of b can be written as an inverse problem for the homogeneous ordinary differential equation of the variable x_n :

$$z''(x_n) - \lambda z(x_n) = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

with the inhomogeneous boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} z(d_1) &= bB_1 + cB_2 \\ z(d_2) &= bB_3 + cB_4 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and the additional assumption

$$z(x_n^0) = bF_1 + cF_2, \tag{3.5}$$

where the constants B_j ($j=1,2,3,4$) and F_i ($i=1,2$) are independent of b and c and are given by (2.16) and (2.18). The solution of the direct problem (3.3)-(3.4) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} z(x_n) &= b \left[\left[B_1 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - B_3 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} + \left[B_3 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} - B_1 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} \right] e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} \right] w^{-1} \\ &\quad + c \left[\left[B_2 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - B_4 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} + \left[B_4 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} - B_2 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} \right] e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n} \right] w^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Because of (3.2) the inverse problem (3.3)-(3.5) is uniquely solvable:

$$\begin{aligned} b &= c \left\{ \left[\left[B_2 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - B_4 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} + \left[B_4 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} - B_2 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} \right] e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} \right] w^{-1} - F_2 \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ - \left[\left[B_1 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} - B_3 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} + \left[B_3 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_1} - B_1 e^{\sqrt{\lambda} d_2} \right] e^{-\sqrt{\lambda} x_n^0} \right] w^{-1} + F_1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce the uniqueness of the parameter b in the problem (3.1).

3.2 We consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}((b(u - u_0)^l + c) \operatorname{grad} u(x)) &= 0 && \text{for } x \in D \\ u(x', d_1) &= 0 && \text{for } x' \in D_{n-1}, x_n = d_1 \\ u(x', d_2) &= 0 && \text{for } x' \in D_{n-1}, x_n = d_2 \\ u(x) &= q(x) && \text{for } x \in \bar{B} \\ u(x', x_n^0) &= f(x') && \text{for } x' \in D_{n-1}, x_n^0 \in (d_1, d_2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $f \in C(\overline{D_{n-1}})$ satisfies the condition

$$F_1 - E_1(d_2) \left[e^{\sqrt{\lambda}(x_n^0 - d_1)} - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}(x_n^0 - d_1)} \right] W^{-1} - E_1(x_n^0) \neq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

The inverse problem (3.7) of the determination of b can be written as an inverse problem for the inhomogeneous ordinary differential equation of the variable x_n :

$$z''(x_n) - \lambda z(x_n) = bA_1(x_n) + cA_2(x_n), \tag{3.9}$$

with the homogeneous boundary conditions

$$z(d_j) = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2) \tag{3.10}$$

and the additional assumption

$$z(x_n^0) = bF_1 + cF_2, \tag{3.11}$$

where the functions $A_j(x_n)$ and the constants F_j ($j = 1, 2$) are independent of b and c and are given by (2.15) and (2.18). The solution of the direct problem (3.9)-(3.10) has the form

$$z(x_n) = b \left[E_1(d_2) \left(e^{\sqrt{\lambda}(x_n - d_1)} - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}(x_n - d_1)} \right) W^{-1} + E_2(x_n) \right] + c \left[E_2(d_2) \left(\dots \right) W^{-1} + E_2(x_n) \right].$$

Because of (3.8) the inverse problem (3.9)-(3.11) is uniquely solvable:

$$b = c \left[E_2(d_2) \left(e^{\sqrt{\lambda}(x_n^0 - d_1)} - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}(x_n^0 - d_1)} \right) W^{-1} + E_2(x_n^0) - F_2 \right] \left[-E_1(d_2) \left(\dots \right) W^{-1} - E_1(x_n^0) + F_1 \right]^{-1}.$$

From this we deduce the uniqueness of the parameter b in the problem (3.7).

3. Let $n = 1$. Then we have the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} ((b(u - u_0)^l + c)u)' &= 0 && \text{for } x \in (d_1, d_2) \\ u(d_i) &= g_i && \text{for } i = 1, 2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

$$u(x_0) = h \quad \text{for a fixed } x_0 \in (d_1, d_2). \tag{3.13}$$

Let $g_1 \neq g_2$. It is easily seen that the solution u is a strictly monotone increasing function if $g_1 < g_2$ and a strictly monotone decreasing function if $g_1 > g_2$. Without loss of generality we suppose $g_1 < g_2$. Then we have $u_0 = \min\{g_1, g_2\} = g_1$ and $u_1 = \max\{g_1, g_2\} = g_2$. Using the transformation

$$v(x) = \int_{g_1}^{u(x)} a(s) ds = \int_{g_1}^{u(x)} (b(u - u_0)^l + c) ds$$

we obtain from (3.12)

$$v''(x) = 0 \text{ and } v(d_1) = 0, v(d_2) = \int_{g_1}^{g_2} (b(s - g_1)^l + c) ds$$

with the solution $v(x) = (x - d_1)(d_2 - d_1)^{-1}v(d_2)$. We replace v by u to obtain

$$\int_{g_1}^{u(x)} (b(s - g_1)^l + c) ds = (x - d_1)(d_2 - d_1)^{-1} \int_{g_1}^{g_2} (b(s - g_1)^l + c) ds$$

and then receive the algebraic equation

$$\begin{aligned} b &= c(l + 1) \left[(x - d_1)(d_1 - d_2)^{-1}(g_2 - g_1) - (u(x) - g_1) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[-(x - d_1)(d_2 - d_1)^{-1}(g_2 - g_1)^{l+1} + (u(x) - g_1)^{l+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for the determination of the parameter b . Because of (3.13), b is uniquely determined.

4. Now an example for $n = 2$ and $l = 1$ follows. Let $D = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid 0 < x_1, x_2 < 1\}$. We consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}((b(u - u_0) + c) \operatorname{grad} u(x)) &= 0 && \text{for } (x_1, x_2) \in D \\ u(x_1, 0) = u(x_1, 1) &= x_1(1 - x_1) && \text{for } 0 < x_1 < 1 \\ u(0, x_2) = u(1, x_2) &= 0 && \text{for } 0 < x_2 < 1 \\ u(x_1, x_2^0) &= f(x_1) && \text{for } 0 < x_1 < 1, x_2^0 \in (0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in C[0, 1]$ satisfies the condition

$$F_1 - R(x_2^0)B_1 \neq 0 \quad (3.14)$$

with $R(x_2^0) = (e^{\pi(x_2^0 - 1)} - e^{\pi x_2^0} + e^{-\pi x_2^0} - e^{-\pi(x_2^0 - 1)})(e^{-\pi} - e^{+\pi})^{-1}$ and B_1 and F_1 given by (2.16), (2.18). The solutions of the eigenvalue problem $y''(x_1) + \lambda y(x_1) = 0$, $y(0) = y(1) = 0$ are known: $\lambda_k = k^2 \pi^2$, $y_k(x_1) = \sin(k \pi x_1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For the following calculations we choose $\lambda_1 = \pi^2$, $y_1(x_1) = \sin(\pi x_1)$. By virtue of Subsection 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z''(x_2) - \pi^2 z(x_2) &= 0 \\ z(0) = z(1) &= b \int_0^1 (x_1^3 - x_1^4) \sin(\pi x_1) dx_1 \\ &+ c \int_0^1 (x_1 - x_1^2) \sin(\pi x_1) dx_1 = bB_1 + cB_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} z(x_2^0) &= \frac{1}{2} b \int_0^1 (f(x_1))^2 \sin(\pi x_1) dx_1 \\ &+ c \int_0^1 f(x_1) \sin(\pi x_1) dx_1 = bF_1 + cF_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Setting in (3.6) $B_1 = B_3$ and $B_2 = B_4$ we get the solution of the direct problem (3.15) in the form $z(x_2) = (bB_1 + cB_2)R(x_2)$. Putting $x_2 = x_2^0$ and using (3.14), (3.16) it finally results that $b = 2c(R(x_2^0)B_2 - F_2)(F_1 - R(x_2^0)B_1)^{-1}$ is uniquely determined.

REFERENCES

- [1] ANGER, G.: *Inverse Problems in Differential Equations*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag 1990.
- [2] CANNON, J.R.: *Determination of the Unknown Coefficient $k(u)$ in the Equation $\nabla k(u) \nabla u = 0$ from Overspecified Boundary Data*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **18** (1967), 112 - 114.
- [3] GILBARG, D. and N.S. TRUDINGER: *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag 1977.
- [4] MEYER, S.: *Die Existenz einer Lösung für ein inverses Problem der Wärmeleitungsgleichung im quasilinearen Fall*. *Wiss. Z. Techn. Hochschule Chemnitz (Karl-Marx-Stadt)* **26** (1984), 259 - 264.
- [5] MEYER, S.: *Die Eindeutigkeit der Lösung eines inversen Problems für die Wärmeleitungsgleichung im quasilinearen Fall*. *Wiss. Z. Techn. Hochschule Chemnitz (Karl-Marx-Stadt)* **27** (1985), 117 - 120.
- [6] MICHLIN, S.G.: *Lehrgang der mathematischen Physik*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag 1972.
- [7] SPERB, R.P.: *Maximum Principles and Their Applications*. New York: Academic Press 1981.

Received 22.12.1989; in revised form 13.06.1990

Author's address:

Dr. Sybille Handrock-Meyer
 Sektion Mathematik der Technischen Universität Chemnitz
 Reichenhainer Str. 39/41
 D (Ost) - 9022 Chemnitz