# Singular Integral Operators with Operator-Valued Kernels on Spaces of Homogeneous Type

B. BORDIN<sup>1)</sup> and D.L. FERNANDEZ<sup>2)</sup>

We give a generalized form of the Hörmander-Schwartz-Triebel theorem for singular integral operators of potential type as well as a sequential version. Applications include fractionary maximal inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood and Fefferman-Stein type.

Key words: Singular integral operators, homogeneous spaces, vector-valued inequalities, fractionary maximal functions

AMS subject classification: Primary 42 B 25, 42 B 20

## 1. Introduction

In the classical paper [8], L. Hörmander considers singular integral operators of potential type, i.e., operators which map  $L^p$  into  $L^q$ , with a kernel K satisfying

 $\left(\int\limits_{|x|>2|y|} |K(x-y) - K(x)|^{\gamma} dx\right)^{1/\gamma} \leq c,$ 

where  $1/p - 1/q = 1/\gamma$  (actually Hörmanders condition is slightly more general). The well-known potential operator of order  $\gamma$  is a particular case of these operators. Afterwards, J. Schwartz [15] and H. Triebel [16] obtained vectorial versions of Hörmander's theorem by considering operator-valued kernels. Before Triebel's work, Benedek, Calderón and Panzone [2] had also considered operator-valued kernels, but in the case p = q and  $\gamma = 1$ .

Motivated by the elegant treatment of the Benedek-Calderón-Panzone theorem given by Rubio de Francia, Ruiz and Torrea [12], we shall present here an up-dated and generalized version of the Hörmander- Schwartz-Triebel theorem. We shall work with variable kernels and in the framework of the spaces of homogeneous type.

In Section 2, we give some preliminary definitions and a version for spaces of homogeneous type of an inequality of C. Fefferman and E. Stein, proved by R. Macias (see [10]). As a consequence we are able to state an interpolation theorem of Marcinkiewicz-Riviere type in this framework. In Section 3, we prove a generalized form of the Hörmander-Schwartz-Triebel theorem (see [8, 15, 16]) for singular integral operators of potential type as well as a sequential version. Section 4 is devoted mainly to obtain norm inequalities for fractionary maximal operators (of F. Zo's type) in spaces of homogeneous type. The fractionary maximal inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood and Fefferman-Stein type are derived in Section 5. In this section, we

i) Supported in part by CNPq - Proc. Nº 301170/79

<sup>2)</sup> Supported in part by CNPq - Proc. N<sup>0</sup> 301600/78

<sup>11</sup> Analysis, Bd. 11, Heft 2 (1992)

also give an application of the sequential inequality of Fefferman and Stein, to obtain a version of an  $L^{P}-L^{q}$  inequality for a function of Marcinkiewicz type  $J_{r,\varepsilon}(x)$  (see [7]), in normalized homogeneous spaces. Finally in Section 6 we state a theorem of Littlewood-Paley type.

### 2. Preliminary definitions and results

In this section we give some definitions and a version for spaces of homogeneous type of an inequality of C. Fefferman and E. Stein.

**Definition 2.1:** Let X be an arbitrary set. A map  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *quasi-distance* provided

- (i)  $d(x, y) \ge 0$  and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y
- (ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x)
- (iii)  $d(x,y) \le k(d(x,z) + d(z,y))$  for some constant  $k \ge 1$ , independent of x, y and z.

A quasi-distance  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defines a uniform structure on X. The balls  $B(x,r) = \{y \in X: d(x,y) < r\}, r > 0$ , form a basis of neighbourhoods of  $x \in X$  for the topology induced by the uniformity on X. This topology referred to as the *d*-topology of X is a metric one since the uniform structure associated to *d* has a countable basis.

**Definition 2.2:** Let X be a set endowed with a quasi-distance d and with a positive measure  $\mu$ , defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X which contains the d-open subsets and all balls B(x,r). The triple  $(X, d, \mu)$  is said a space of homogeneous type, or short a homogeneous space, if there exist two finite constants,  $\alpha > 1$  and A > 0, such that

 $0 < \mu(B(x, \alpha r)) \leq A\mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$ 

holds for all  $x \in X$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Definition 2.3:** A space of homogeneous type X will be called  $\rho$ -normal if there is a positive continuous function  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and positive constants  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that

$$A_1 \leq \rho(r)\mu(B(x,r)) \leq A_2$$

holds for all  $x \in X$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

In particular if we take  $\rho(r) = r^{-1}$ , we obtain the so-called normalized homogeneous space (see, e.g., [4,5]). For examples of spaces of homogeneous type we refer to [4].

Let X be a space of homogeneous type and G a Banach space. We shall use the following notations in this paper:

 $L_G^p = L^p(X,G)$ , for the space of G-valued p-integrable functions on X

 $L^{o}_{G} = L^{o}(X,G)$ , for the space of G-valued strongly measurable functions on X

 $L^{\infty}_{G,c} = L^{\infty}_{c}(X,G)$ , for the space of G-valued bounded functions with compact support on X.

In any case, when  $G = \mathbb{R}$  we shall drop the index G. The capital letters E and F always indicate Banach spaces.

**Definition 2.4:** If  $f \in L^1_{loc}(X, E)$ , the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function or simply the maximal function of f is defined at  $x \in X$  by setting

$$M_E f(x) = \sup \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{\mathbf{B}} \|f(y)\|_E d\mu(y),$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B containing x.

The following result, due to A.P. Calderón, can be found in [3].

- **Theorem 2.1:** Let  $f \in L^p(X, E)$  with  $1 \le p \le \infty$ . Then  $M_E f$  is finite a.e.,  $M_E f \in L^p(X, \mathbb{R})$  and (i)  $||M_E f||_{L^p} \le c ||f||_{L^p_E}$
- (ii)  $||f(x)||_E \leq M_E f(x)$  for a.a.  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.5:** If  $f \in L_{loc}^r(X, E)$ , the sharp maximal function  $M_{E,r}^n f$ ,  $1 \le r < \infty$ , is defined at  $x \in X$  by setting

$$M_{E,r}^{*}f(x) = \sup\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int_{\mathbf{B}} \|f(y) - f_{B}\|_{E}^{r} d\mu(y)\right)^{1/r},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the open balls B containing x, and  $f_B$  denotes the mean value of f on the ball B.

**Definition 2.6:** Let  $f \in L_{loc}^r(X, E)$ . We say that  $f \in BMO^r(X, E) = BMO^r_E$ , if  $M_{E, r}^n f \in L^\infty$ , i.e., if  $\|f\|_{BMO_E^r} = \|M_{E, r}^n f\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ .

As in the case  $E = \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $BMO_E^r = BMO_E^1$  with norm equivalence. We shall use this fact in the proof of our main result. We shall write  $M_E^{\texttt{m}}$  instead of  $M_{E,1}^{\texttt{m}}$  and  $BMO_E$  instead of  $BMO_E^1$ .

The following result, due to R. Macias (see [10]), is a version for spaces of homogeneous type of an inequality of C. Fefferman and E. Stein.

**Theorem 2.2:** Let  $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  be given such that  $1 < q_0 \le \infty$ . If  $g \in L^{q_0}(X, E)$ , then for all  $q \ge q_0$  we have

$$\|M_{E}g\|_{L^{q}} \leq C \begin{cases} \|M_{E}^{*}g\|_{L^{q}} & \text{if } \mu(X) = \infty \\ \|M_{E}^{*}g\|_{L^{q-1}} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}} & \text{if } \mu(X) < \infty \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where the constant is independent of g.

Actually, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are established for scalar-valued functions, but the proofs in the vectorial case follow in the same lines.

As a consequence of the inequalities (2.1) a Marcinkiewicz-Riviére interpolation theorem in spaces of homogeneous type can be established.

**Theorem 2.3:** Let X be a space of homogeneous type, let E and F be Banach spaces and  $T: L_c^{\infty}(X, E) \rightarrow L^0(X, F)$  a sublinear operator such that, for some  $p_0, q_0, r \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $1 \le p_0 \le r \le \infty$  and  $1 \le q_0 \le \infty$ , we have

(i)  $||Tf||_{L_{F}^{q_{0}}} \leq C_{0} ||f||_{L_{E}^{p_{0}}}$  (ii)  $||Tf||_{BMO_{F}} \leq C_{1} ||f||_{L_{E}^{r}}$ 11\* for all  $f \in L_c^{\infty}(X, E)$ . Then T has a bounded extension from  $L^p(X, E)$  into  $L^q(X, F)$ , where  $1/p = (1 - \vartheta)/p_0 + \vartheta/r$  and  $1/q = (1 - \vartheta)/q_0$ ,  $0 \le \vartheta \le 1$ . Moreover, if  $1/p_0 - 1/q_0 = 1/r$ , we also have 1/p - 1/q = 1/r.

**Proof:** Let us consider the sublinear operator  $M_E^{\#} \circ T$  and  $f \in L_c^{\infty}(X, E)$ . Since  $q_0 > 1$ , by the maximal Theorem 2.1 and (i) we get

$$\|M_E^* \circ Tf\|_{L^{q_0}} \le 2 \|M_E \circ Tf\|_{L^{q_0}} \le 2 \|Tf\|_{L^{q_0}} \le C_0^{\prime} \|f\|_{L^{p_0}}$$

and the definition of  $BMO_F$  yields at once that

$$\|M_E^{\sharp} \circ Tf\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_E^r}.$$

Having both these inequalities at hand, the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem assures that  $M_E^{\texttt{m}} \circ T$  is bounded from  $L^p(X, E)$  into  $L^q(X, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $1/p = (1 - \vartheta)/p_0 + \vartheta/r$  and  $1/q = (1 - \vartheta)/q_0$ ,  $0 < \vartheta < 1$ . Now, if  $\mu(X) = \infty$ , by (2.1) we have for  $f \in L_c^{\infty}(X, E)$ 

$$\|Tf\|_{L_{E}^{q}} \le \|M_{E} \circ Tf\|_{L^{q}} \le C \|M_{E}^{*} \circ Tf\|_{L^{q}} \le C \|f\|_{L_{E}^{p}}.$$

On the other hand, if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , by (2.1) and applying Hölder's inequality twice, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|Tf\|_{L_{F}^{q}} &\leq C_{i} \Big( \|M_{E}^{n} \circ Tf\|_{L^{q}} + \|Tf\|_{L_{F}^{1}} \Big) \\ &\leq C_{i} \Big( C' \|f\|_{L_{E}^{p}} + \mu(X)^{i/q_{0}'} \|Tf\|_{L_{F}^{q_{0}}} \Big) \\ &\leq C_{i} \Big( C' \|f\|_{L_{E}^{p}} + C'' \mu(X)^{i/q_{0}'} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{p_{0}}} \Big) \\ &\leq C_{i} \Big( C' \|f\|_{L_{E}^{p}} + C'' \mu(X)^{i/q_{0}'+1-p_{0}/p} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{p_{0}}} \Big) \\ &\leq C_{i} \Big( C' \|f\|_{L_{E}^{p}} + C'' \mu(X)^{i/q_{0}'+1-p_{0}/p} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{p_{0}}} \Big), \end{split}$$

since  $p > p_0$ . The density of  $L_c^{\infty}(X, E)$  in  $L^p(X, E)$ , 1 , leads finally to the desired result

#### 3. Singular integral operators

Let E and F be Banach spaces and let X be a space of homogeneous type, endowed with a quasi-distance d and a measure  $\mu$ . In this section we shall deal with operators T on  $L_c^{\infty}(X, E)$  which have a representation of the type

$$Tf(x) = \int_{X} K(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \text{ for } x \notin \text{supp } f$$
(3.1)

with a kernel  $K \in L^1_{loc}(X \times X \setminus \Delta, L(E, F))$ , where  $\Delta$  stands for the diagonal set in  $X \times X$ .

**Definition 3.1:** We say that the kernel  $K \in L^{1}_{loc}(X \times X \setminus \Delta, L(E, F))$  satisfies condition  $(C_{\gamma})$ ,  $1 \leq \gamma < \infty$ , if for all  $y \in X$  and fixed  $x_{0} \in X$  we have

$$\int_{d(x,x_0)>ad(y,x_0)} \|K(x,y) - K(x,x_0)\|_{L(E,F)}^{\gamma} d\mu(x) \le C, \ a \ge 1.$$
(3.2)

We say that this kernel satisfies condition  $(C'_{\gamma})$  if K'(x,y) = K(y,x) satisfies  $(C_{\gamma})$ .

**Theorem 3.1:** Let the operator T be given as in (3.1) and let there are numbers  $\gamma, r_0, s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying  $1 \le \gamma < \infty$ ,  $1 < r_0 \le \infty$  and  $1/r_0 - 1/s_0 = 1/\gamma - 1$ , such that

$$\|Tf\|_{L_{F}^{r_{0}}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{E}^{s_{0}}}.$$
(3.3)

(i) If the kernel  $K \in L^1_{loc}(X \times X \setminus \Delta, L(E, F))$  satisfies condition  $(C_{\gamma})$ , then the operator T of (3.1) is of weak type  $(1,\gamma)$ , i.e.,

 $\mu\bigl(\bigl\{x\colon \|\,Tf(x)\|_F>t\bigr\}\bigr) \leq Ct^{-\gamma}\,\|f\,\|_{L^1_F}^{\gamma}\,.$ 

(ii) If the kernel  $K \in L^1_{loc}(X \times X \setminus \Delta, L(E,F))$  satisfies condition  $(C_{\gamma})$ , then the operator T of (3.1) is of type  $(L_E^{\gamma}, BMO_F)$ , i.e.,

 $\left\|Tf\right\|_{BMO_{F}} \leq C \left\|f\right\|_{L_{F}^{\gamma'}},$ 

where  $1/\gamma + 1/\gamma' = 1$ . Moreover, after an extension, T is of strong type (p,q), i.e.,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q}_{F}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p}_{E}},$$

where

$$1/p - 1/q = 1 - 1/\gamma, \text{ with } \begin{cases} 1$$

**Proof:** Step 1. Let  $f \in L_c^{\infty}(X, E)$  and  $\lambda$  a positive constant such that we shall make more precise later (if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , we restrict  $\lambda$  to be greater than  $||f||_{L_E^1}/\mu(X)^{1/\gamma}$ ). Then there are a function  $g \in L_E^1 \cap L_E^\infty$ , a sequence  $\{b_j\} \subset L^1(X, E)$  and balls  $B(x_j, R_j)$  such that

 $f = g + \sum_j b_j$ 

with

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x)\|_{E} &\leq C\lambda \text{ for a.a. } x \in X, \ \|g\|_{L_{E}^{1}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \in B(x_{j}, R_{j}) \text{ and } \int b_{j}(x) d\mu(x) &= 0 \text{ for all } j, \sum_{j} \|b_{j}\|_{L_{E}^{1}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4)$$

The proofs of these properties follow the same lines as in the scalar case (see [5]).

Step 2. If the kernel K satisfies  $(C_{\gamma})$ , then the operator T is of weak type  $(1,\gamma)$ . In fact, given  $f \in L_c^{\infty}(X, E)$  we shall control the measure of the set  $\{x \in X : \|Tf(x)\|_F > t\}$ . Observe that in the case  $\mu(X) < \infty$  it is enough to consider  $t > \|f\|_{L_F^1}/\mu(X)^{L'\gamma}$ . Since

$$\mu(\{x \in X: \|Tf(x)\|_{F} > t\}) \leq \mu(\{x \in X: \|Tg(x)\|_{F} > t/2\}) + \mu(\{x \in X: \|Tb(x)\|_{F} > t/2\}),$$

it is enough to estimate the two terms on the right-hand side of the above inequality. By (3.4) it follows that  $\|g\|_{L_{E}^{S_{0}}} \leq C \lambda^{1-1/S_{0}} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1/S_{0}}}^{1/S_{0}}$ . Thus by (3.3) we obtain

$$\mu(\{x \in X : \|Tg(x)\|_{F} > t/2\}) \leq 2^{r_{0}}t^{-r_{0}}\|g\|_{L_{E}^{s_{0}}}^{r_{0}} \leq Ct^{-r_{0}}\lambda^{r_{0}/\gamma-1}\|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}}^{r_{0}/s_{0}}$$

Now, let  $B_j = B(x_j, R_j)$  be the balls of the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of f = g + b, where  $b = \sum_j b_j$ , and let  $\widetilde{B} = B(x_j, 2aR_j)$ , where a is the constant of Definition 3.1. First, we observe that

$$\left(\int_{(\upsilon_j \ B_j)^c} \|Tb(x)\|_F^{\gamma} d\mu(x)\right)^{1/\gamma} \le C \|f\|_{L_E^1}.$$
(3.5)

In fact, for each j we have  $Tb_j(x) = \int_{B_j} K(x, y) b_j(y) d\mu(y)$  and hence, taking into account that

 $\int b_i(y) d\mu(y) = 0$ , we get

$$Tb_{j}(x) = \int_{B_{j}} \left( K(x, y) - K(x, x_{j}) \right) b_{j}(y) d\mu(y).$$

It follows by Minkowski's inequality and Fubini's theorem that

$$\left(\int_{\left(\widetilde{B}_{j}\right)^{C}}\left\|Tb_{j}(x)\right\|_{F}^{\gamma}d\mu(x)\right)^{\nu^{\gamma}} \leq \int_{B_{j}}\left(\int_{\left(\widetilde{B}_{j}\right)^{C}}\left\|K(x,y)-K(x,x_{j})\right\|_{L(E,F)}^{\gamma}\left\|b_{j}(y)\right\|_{E}^{\gamma}d\mu(x)\right)^{\nu^{\gamma}}d\mu(y).$$

Now, since  $x \in (\widetilde{B}_j)^c$  and  $y \in B_j$ , we have  $d(x, x_j) > a d(y, x_j)$ , and by condition (3.2) it results that

$$\left(\int_{\left(\widetilde{B}_{j}\right)^{C}}\left\|Tb_{j}(x)\right\|_{F}^{\gamma}d\mu(x)\right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C\int_{B_{j}}\left\|b_{j}(y)\right\|_{E}d\mu(y)$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{(\cup_{j} \widetilde{B}_{j})^{C}} \|Tb(x)\|_{F}^{\gamma} d\mu(x) &\leq C \sum_{j} \int_{(\cup_{j} \widetilde{B}_{j})^{C}} \|Tb_{j}(x)\|_{F}^{\gamma} d\mu(x) \leq C \sum_{j} \int_{(\widetilde{B}_{j})^{C}} \|Tb_{j}(x)\|_{F}^{\gamma} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{j} \int_{B_{j}} \|b_{j}(y)\|_{E} d\mu(y)\right)^{\gamma} \leq C \left(\sum_{j} \|b_{j}\|_{L_{E}^{1}}\right)^{\gamma} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}}^{\gamma} \end{split}$$

and (3.5) follows.

Now, we are in conditions to obtain

$$\begin{split} \mu\Big(\Big\{x \in X: \|Tb(x)\|_{F} > t/2\Big\}\Big) &\leq \mu\Big(\bigcup_{j} \widetilde{B}_{j}\Big) + 2^{\gamma} \int_{\{x \in (\bigcup_{j} \widetilde{B}_{j})^{C}: \|Tb(x)\|_{F} > t/2\}} t^{-\gamma} \|Tb(x)\|_{F}^{\gamma} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C_{1} \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}} + C_{2} t^{-\gamma} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}}^{\gamma}, \end{split}$$

where the first term of the last inequality is a consequence of the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition theorem associated with  $\lambda$ . Thus by (3.5) we get

$$\mu\Big(\Big\{x \in X: \|Tf(x)\|_{F} > t\Big\}\Big) \leq C\Big(\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^{1}_{E}} + t^{-\gamma} \|f\|_{L^{1}_{E}}^{\gamma} + t^{-\tau_{0}} \lambda^{\tau_{0}/\gamma-1} \|f\|_{L^{1}_{E}}^{\tau_{0}/\tau_{0}}\Big).$$

Choosing  $\lambda > 0$  so that  $\lambda^{-1} = t^{-\gamma} \|f\|_{L_E^1}^{\gamma-1}$  (observe that when  $\mu(X) < \infty$  such  $\lambda$  always exists because in this case it is enough to consider  $t > \|f\|_{L_E^1} / \mu(X)^{1/\gamma}$ ) we obtain

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in X \colon \left\|Tf(x)\right\|_{F} > t\right\}\right) \leq Ct^{-\gamma} \left\|f\right\|_{L^{1}_{E}}^{\gamma}$$

which proves (i) when  $r_0 \neq \infty$ .

In the case  $r_0 = \infty$  we proceed as in the previous case. We have

$$\|Tg\|_{L_{F}^{\infty}} \leq C \Big( \int \|g(y)\|_{E}^{s_{0}} d\mu(y) \Big)^{1/s_{0}} = C \Big( \int \|g(y)\|_{E}^{s_{0}-1} \|g(y)\|_{E} d\mu(y) \Big)^{1/s_{0}}.$$

By (3.4) it follows that

$$\|Tg\|_{L_{F}^{\infty}} \leq C\gamma^{1-1/s_{0}} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}}^{1/s_{0}} = C\lambda^{1/\gamma} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}}^{1/\gamma'}.$$
(3.6)

Thus

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in X: \|Tf(x)\|_{F} > 2C \lambda^{1/\gamma} \|f\|_{L_{E}}^{1/\gamma'}\right\}\right)$$

$$\leq \mu\left(\left\{x \in X: \|Tg(x)\|_{F} > C \lambda^{1/\gamma} \|f\|_{L_{E}}^{1/\gamma'}\right\}\right) + \mu\left(\left\{x \in X: \|Tb(x)\|_{F} > C \lambda^{1/\gamma} \|f\|_{L_{E}}^{1/\gamma'}\right\}\right)$$

$$= \mu \left( \left\{ x \in X : \|Tb(x)\|_{F} > C \lambda^{1/\gamma} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}}^{1/\gamma} \right\} \right)$$
  
$$\le C_{1} \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}} + C_{2} \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}} = C \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}} = C_{3} \left( C \lambda^{1/\gamma} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}}^{1/\gamma'} \right)^{-\gamma} \|f\|_{L_{E}^{1}}^{\gamma}$$

which proves (i) when  $r_0 = \infty$ .

Step 3. Let  $x_0 \in X$  be fixed and consider the balls  $B = B(x_0, R)$  and  $\tilde{B} = B(x_0, 2aR)$ . Let  $f = \tilde{g} + \tilde{b}$  where  $\tilde{g} = f_{X_{\tilde{B}}}$  and  $\tilde{b} = f - \tilde{g}$ . We shall prove that T is of type  $(L_{\tilde{E}}, BMO_{\tilde{E}})$ . We have  $Tf = T\tilde{g} + T\tilde{b}$  and consequently

$$\int_{B} \|Tf(x) - a_{B}\|_{F}^{r_{0}}d\mu(x) \leq \int_{B} \|T\widetilde{g}(x)\|_{F}^{r_{0}}d\mu(x) + \int_{B} \|T\widetilde{b}(x) - a_{B}\|_{F}^{r_{0}}d\mu(x).$$

Since T is bounded from  $L^{s_0}$  into  $L^{r_0}$ , we obtain

$$\Big(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int_B \left\|\mathcal{T}\widetilde{g}(x)\right\|_F^{r_0}d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/r_0} \leq \frac{C}{\mu(B)^{1/r_0}}\Big(\int_B \left\|\widetilde{g}(x)\right\|_E^{s_0}d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/s_0}.$$

Applying Hölder inequality, it results that the last term of the above inequality is majorized by

$$C\left(\int_{B} \left\|\widetilde{g}(x)\right\|_{E}^{\gamma'} d\mu(x)\right)^{1/\gamma'} \mu(B)^{1/s_{0}-1/\gamma'-1/r_{0}}.$$

Since, by hypothesis,  $1/s_0 - 1/r_0 = 1/\gamma'$ , it follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int_{B} \left\|T\widetilde{g}(x)\right\|_{F}^{r_{0}} d\mu(x)\right)^{1/r_{0}} \leq C \left\|g\right\|_{L_{E}^{\gamma'}}.$$
(3.7)

Now, choosing  $a_{\mathbf{B}} = \int_{\mathbf{X}} K(x_0, y) \widetilde{b}(y) d\mu(y)$ , we have

$$T\widetilde{b}(x) - a_{\mathbf{B}} = \int_{(\widetilde{B})^{\mathbf{c}}} (K(x, y) - K(x_{\mathbf{o}}, y))\widetilde{b}(y) d\mu(y)$$

and hence, by Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \Big(\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} \|T \widetilde{b}(x) - a_{B}\|_{F}^{r_{0}} d\mu(x) \Big)^{1/r_{0}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{1/r_{0}}} \left\{ \int_{B} \left\{ \left( \int_{(\widetilde{B})^{c}} \|K(x,y) - K(x_{0},y)\|_{L(E,F)}^{\gamma} d\mu(y) \right)^{r_{0}/\gamma} \\ & \times \left( \int \|\widetilde{b}(y)\|_{E}^{\gamma'} d\mu(y) \right)^{r_{0}/\gamma'} \right\} d\mu(x) \right\}^{1/r_{0}}. \end{split}$$

Now, since  $y \in (\widetilde{B})^c$  and  $x \in \text{supp } \widetilde{b}$ , it follows that  $d(x, x_0) > a d(y, x_0)$ . Then by condition  $(C_{\gamma})$  we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int_{B}\left\|T\widetilde{b}(x)-a_{B}\right\|_{F}^{r_{0}}d\mu(x)\right)^{1/r_{0}}\leq C\left\|\widetilde{b}\right\|_{L_{F}^{\gamma'}}.$$

From (3.6) and (3.7) it results that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int_{B}\left\|Tg(x)-a_{B}\right\|_{F}^{r_{0}}d\mu(x)\right)^{1/r_{0}}\leq C\left\|f\right\|_{L_{E}^{\gamma'}},$$

i.e., T is of type  $(L_E^{\gamma'}, BMO_F^{r_0})$ . Taking into account that  $BMO_F^{r_0} = BMO_F$ , we obtain (ii).

The remainder of the theorem follows by the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem  $(C_{\gamma} - case)$  and Theorem 2.3  $(C_{\gamma} - case)$ 

**Remark:** If we take  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^n$ ,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure and K(x, y) = K(y, x), from Theorem 3.1 we obtain a generalization of Triebel's theorem (see [4]). The hypothesis of reflexivity on the Banach spaces assumed by Triebel is unnecessary. In particular Theorem 3.1 can be applied to singular integral operators induced by kernels of type  $K(x, y) = |x - y|^{-n + \beta}$ ,  $\beta$ > 0, i.e., the so-called singular integral operators of potential type.

Corollary 1: Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j} \|Tf_{j}\|_{F}^{r} \right)^{1/r} \right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C \left\| \left( \sum_{j} \|f_{j}\|_{E}^{r} \right)^{1/r} \right\|_{L^{p}}$$
(3.8)

for

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}, \text{ with } \begin{cases} 1$$

**Proof:** We shall apply Theorem 3.1 twice. We have that T is bounded from  $L^{s}(X, E)$  into  $L^{r}(X, F)$  for r and s such that

$$\frac{1}{s_{o}} - \frac{1}{r} = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}, \text{ with } \begin{cases} 1 < s \leq s_{o} \text{ and } \gamma < r < r_{o} \text{ if } K \text{ satisfies } (C_{\gamma}) \\ s_{o} < s < \gamma' \text{ and } r_{o} < r < \infty \text{ if } K \text{ satisfies } (C_{\gamma}). \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the operator  $\widetilde{T}$  on  $L^{\infty}_{c}(X, I^{r}(E))$  given by  $\widetilde{T}\{f_{j}\} = \{Tf_{j}\}$ , and the kernel  $\widetilde{K}(x, y)$  given by  $\widetilde{K}(x, y)\{\lambda_{j}\} = \{K(x, y)\lambda_{j}\}$ . Since

$$\|\tilde{K}(x,y)\|_{L(1^{r}(E),1^{r}(F))} \le \|K(x,y)\|_{L(E,F)}$$

we see that  $\widetilde{K}$  satisfies  $(C_{\gamma})$  and  $(C_{\gamma})$  whenever K satisfies  $(C_{\gamma})$  and  $(C_{\gamma})$ , respectively. Moreover,

$$\widetilde{T}{f_j}(x) = \int_X \widetilde{K}(x, y){f_j(y)} d\mu(y).$$

By considering the counting measure  $\nu$  in N, Fubini's theorem first and then Theorem 3.1 yields that

$$\left\|\widetilde{T}\{f_{j}\}\right\|_{L_{I^{T}(F)}^{r}} = \left\|\{Tf_{j}\}_{j}\right\|_{L_{I^{T}(F)}^{r}} = \left\|\{\|Tf_{j}\|_{L_{F}^{r}}\}_{j}\right\|_{I^{r}} \le C \left\|\{\|f_{j}\|_{L_{E}^{s}}\}_{j}\right\|_{I^{r}}.$$
(3.9)

Since  $1/s - 1/r = 1 - 1/\gamma > 0$ , we have r > s or r/s > 1. Hence Minkowski's inequality assures that

$$\left\|\left\{\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{L_{E}^{s}}\right\}_{j}\right\|_{L^{r}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{N}}\left(\left|f_{j}\right|_{E}^{s} d\mu\right)^{r/s} d\nu\right)^{1/r} \le \left(\int_{X}\left(\int_{\mathbb{N}}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{E}^{r} d\nu\right)^{s/r} d\mu\right)^{1/s} d\mu^{1/s} d\mu^{1/s}$$

From (3.9) we get  $\|\widetilde{T}\{f_j\}\|_{L^r_{I^r(F)}} \le C \|\{f_j\}\|_{L^s_{I^r(E)}}$ , and hence  $\widetilde{T}$  is bounded from  $L^s(X, I^r(E))$  into  $L^r(X, I^r(F))$ . Consequently, we are in the conditions of Theorem 3.1 with E and F replaced by  $I^r(E)$  and  $I^r(F)$ , respectively. Hence, the assertion follows

**Corollary 2:** Let  $\{T_j\}$  be a sequence of singular integral operators, induced by a sequence of kernels  $\{K_j\}$  uniformly bounded from  $L^{s_0}(X, E)$  into  $L^{r_0}(X, F)$  for some  $s_0, r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $1/s_0 - 1/r_0 = 1 - 1/\gamma$ ,  $1 \le \gamma < \infty$ . Suppose further that the sequence  $\{K_j\}$  of associated kernels satisfies

$$\int_{d(x, x_0) > 2d(y, x_0)} \sup_{j} \|K_j(x, y) - K_j(x, x_0)\|_{L(E, F)}^{\gamma} d\mu(x) \le C$$
(3.10)

$$\int_{d(y,y_0)>2d(x,y_0)} \sup_j \|K_j(x,y) - K_j(y_0,y)\|_{L(E,F)}^{\gamma} d\mu(y) \le C.$$
(3.11)

Then we have

$$\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left\|T_{j}f_{j}\right\|_{F}^{r}\right)^{1/r}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{E}^{r}\right)^{1/r}\right\|_{L^{p}}$$

for

$$1/p - 1/q = 1 - 1/\gamma, \text{ with } \begin{cases} 1$$

**Proof:** Firstly, we observe that the operators  $T_j$  are uniformly bounded from  $L^{s}(X, E)$  into  $L^{r}(X, F)$  with s and r given in Corollary 1. Let us consider the operator T on  $L_{c}^{\infty}(X, I^{r}(E))$  given by  $T\{f_j\} = \{T_j, f_j\}$  and the kernel  $K \in L(I^{r}(E), I^{r}(F))$  given by  $K(x, y)\{b_j\} = \{K_j(x, y)b_j\}$ . Since

 $||K(x,y)||_{L(I^{r}(E),I^{r}(F))} = \sup_{j} ||K_{j}(x,y)||_{L(E,F)}$ 

we see that the kernel K satisfies  $(C_{\gamma})$  and  $(C'_{\gamma})$  with a = 2. Moreover,

 $T\{f_j\}(x) = \int_X K(x, y)\{f_j\}(y) d\mu(y), x \in \operatorname{supp} f_j.$ 

Arguing as in Corollary 1, we have  $||T\{f_j\}||_{L^{r}_{I}(F)} \leq C ||\{f_j\}||_{L^{s}_{I}(E)}$ , that is, T is bounded from the space  $L^{s}(X, I^{r}(E))$  into  $L^{r}(X, I^{r}(F))$ . Thus, Theorem 3.1 applies giving the desired result

Some related results, for  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , can be found in F.J. Ruiz and J.L. Torrea [14].

## 4. Maximal operators of F. Zo's type

Let  $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}_{\nu>0}$  be a family of scalar-valued functions on  $X \times X$  which satisfies for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \le \gamma < \infty$ , the conditions

$$\int_{d(x, y') > 2d(y', y)} \sup_{y > 0} |\varphi_{y}(x, y') - \varphi_{y}(x, y'')|^{\Upsilon} d\mu(x) \le C$$
(4.1)

$$\int_{d(x'',y)>2d(x',x'')} \sup_{y>0} |\varphi_{y}(x',y) - \varphi_{y}(x'',y)|^{\Upsilon} d\mu(y) \le C$$
(4.2)

$$\int_{X} |\varphi_{v}(x,y)|^{\gamma} d\mu(y) \leq C$$
(4.3)

for all  $x \in X$  and v > 0. We define the operator  $\Phi_v$  by

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{v}}f(x) = \int_{X} \varphi_{\mathbf{v}}(x, y)f(y)d\mu(y)$$
(4.4)

and observe that  $\varphi_{\nu}$  satisfies  $(C_{\gamma})$  and  $(C'_{\gamma})$  from (4.1) and (4.2), respectively.

**Theorem 4.1:** Let  $\{\Phi_{\nu}\}_{\nu>0}$  be a family of operators given by (4.4) which satisfy (4.1) - (4.3) for a fixed  $\gamma \ge 1$ . If  $\Phi^{\bullet}f$  is the maximal operator given by

$$\Phi^{\bullet}f(x) = \sup_{v \geq 0} |\Phi_v f(x)|$$

we have, for  $1/p - 1/q = 1 - 1/\gamma$  and  $\gamma < r, q \le \infty$ ,

(i) 
$$\|\Phi^{\bullet}f\|_{L^{q}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p}}$$
 and (ii)  $\|\{\Phi^{\bullet}f_{j}\}_{j}\|_{L^{q}_{l^{r}}} \leq C \|\{f_{j}\}_{j}\|_{L^{p}_{l^{r}}}$ 

**Proof:** Step 1. Let  $\mathbb{Q}_+$  be the set of positive rational numbers increasingly ordered. We have  $\Phi^{\bullet}f(x) = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{Q}_+} |\Phi_v f(x)|$ . Also, if we set  $\mathbb{Q}_n = \mathbb{Q}_+ \cap [0, v_n]$ , we see that

$$\Phi_n^* f(x) = \sup_{v \in \mathbf{Q}_n} |\Phi_v f(x)|$$
 increases to  $\Phi^* f(x)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Next, let us denote by  $I_n^{\infty} = I^{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}_n)$  the set of all *n*-tuples  $(a_{v_1}, \dots, a_{v_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We can look at  $\Phi_n^{\bullet} f$  as an  $I_n^{\infty}$ -valued operator. In fact, if we set  $T_n f = (\Phi_{v_1} f, \dots, \Phi_{v_n} f)$  we have  $||T_n f||_{I_n^{\infty}} = \Phi_n^{\bullet} f$ , and consequently  $||T_n f||_{L^{\infty}(I_n^{\infty})} \le C ||f||_{L^{\gamma'}}$ , where  $1/\gamma + 1/\gamma' = 1$ .

Step 2. Let us consider the kernel

$$K_n: \mathbb{C} \to I_n^{\infty}$$
 defined by  $K_n(x, y)\lambda = (\varphi_{v_1}(x, y)\lambda, \dots, \varphi_{v_n}(x, y)\lambda).$ 

We see that  $K_n \in L(\mathbb{C}, l_n^{\infty})$  is locally integrable and satisfies  $(C_{\gamma})$  and  $(C'_{\gamma})$  with a = 2. Moreover we have

$$T_n f(x) = \int_X K_n(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Therefore, all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and consequently,  $T_n$  is a bounded operator from  $L^P(X, \mathbb{R})$  into  $L^q(X, I_n^{\infty})$ , i.e.  $\|\Phi_n^* f\|_{L^q} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $1/p - 1/q = 1 - 1/\gamma$  and  $\gamma < q \leq \infty$ . Now an application of the monotone convergence theorem yields the desired inequality (i). The sequential inequality (ii) follows from Corollary 1 of Theorem 3.1

## 5. A maximal inequality of Hardy-Littlewood type

In this section we will derive fractionary maximal inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood and Fefferman-Stein type, but also a version of an  $L^{p}-L^{q}$  inequality for a function of Marcinkiewicz type  $J_{r,c}$ .

**Proposition 5.1:** Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a  $\rho$ -normal homogeneous space,  $\varphi$  a  $C^{\infty}$ -function on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $0 \le \varphi(t) \le 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = 1$  for  $0 \le t \le 1/2$  and  $\varphi(t) = 0$  for t > 1, and let,

$$\varphi_{\nu}(x,y) = \rho(\nu)^{1/\gamma} \varphi(\nu^{-1} d(x,y)), 1 \leq \gamma < \infty, \text{ for } 0 < \nu < \infty.$$

Then there is a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{X} |\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}(x,y)|^{\gamma} d\mu(y) \leq C$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, if d(y,x'') > 2 d(x',x''), then

$$|\varphi_{v}(x',y) - \varphi_{v}(x'',y)| \leq C \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(y,x'')^{\alpha} \mu(B(x'',d(y,x'')))^{1/\gamma}}$$

for some  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

**Proof:** Step 1. Since  $\varphi_v(x, y) = 0$  if d(x, y) > v, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{X} |\varphi_{\nu}(x,y)|^{\gamma} d\mu(y) &= \int_{d(x,y) \leq \nu} |\varphi_{\nu}(x,y)|^{\gamma} d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{d(x,y) \leq \nu} \rho(\nu) \Big| \varphi\Big(\frac{d(x,y)}{\nu}\Big) \Big|^{\gamma} d\mu(y) \leq C \rho(\nu) \int_{d(x,y) \leq \nu} d\mu(y) = C. \end{split}$$

Step 2. There is a constant C such that the quasi-distance d satisfies  $|d(x',y) - d(x'',y)| \le Cv^{1-\alpha}d(x',x'')^{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , whenever  $\max(d(x',y), d(x'',y)) < v$  (see R.A. Macias and C. Segovia [11: Theorem 2]). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_{v}(x',y) - \varphi_{v}(x'',y)| &= \rho(v)^{i/\gamma} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{d(x',y)}{v}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{d(x'',y)}{v}\right) \right| &\leq C \rho(v)^{i/\gamma} v^{-1} \left| d(x',y) - d(x'',y) \right| \\ &\leq C \rho(v)^{i/\gamma} v^{-1} d(x',x'')^{\alpha} \leq C \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x'',y)^{\alpha} \mu(B(x'',y)))^{i/\gamma}} \,. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $\min(d(x', y), d(x'', y)) > v$ , then  $|\varphi_v(x', y) - \varphi_v(x'', y)| = 0$ . If, say, d(x', y) > 2kv and  $d(x'', y) \le v$ , then

$$d(x',y) \le k (d(x',x'') + d(x'',y)) \le k (d(x',x'') + v) \text{ and } d(x',x'') \ge k^{-1} d(x',y) - v > v$$

and thus

$$|\varphi_{\nu}(x',y) - \varphi_{\nu}(x'',y)| = |\varphi_{\nu}(x'',y)| \le \rho(\nu)^{1/\gamma} \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{\nu^{\alpha}} \le \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x'',y)^{\alpha} \mu(B(x'',d(x'',y)))^{1/\gamma}}.$$

This complete the proof

**Corollary:** Let  $\varphi$  be given as in Proposition 5.1. Then the family  $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}_{\nu>0}$  satisfies the inequalities (4.1)-(4.3).

**Theorem 5.2:** For  $f \in L^1_{loc}(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $0 \le 1$ , let  $M_c f$  be the fractionary maximal operator given by

 $M_{\varepsilon}f(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{1}{\mu(B)^{\varepsilon}} \int_{B} |f(y)| d\mu(y).$ 

Then, if  $1/p - 1/q = 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $1/\varepsilon < q \le \infty$ , we have

$$\|M_{c}f\|_{L^{q}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p}}.$$
(5.1)

Moreover, for r satisfying  $\gamma < r \leq \infty$  we have

$$\left\|\left\{M_{\varepsilon}f_{j}\right\}\right\|_{L_{l}^{q}r} \leq C \left\|\left\{f_{j}\right\}\right\|_{L_{l}^{p}r}.$$
(5.2)

**Proof:** Let  $\varphi$  be a  $C^{\infty}$ -function as in Proposition 5.1. Then

$$\rho(\nu)^{\iota} \gamma \chi_{B(x,\nu)}(y) = \rho(\nu)^{\iota} \gamma \chi_{[0,1]}(\nu^{-\iota} d(x,y)) \leq \varphi_{\nu}(x,y)$$

where  $\gamma$  is also given as in Proposition 5.1. Since  $0 < C < \rho(\nu)\mu(B(x,\nu))$ , we have

$$M_{\varepsilon}f(x) \leq C \sup_{v} \int \varphi_{v}(x, y) |f(y)| d\mu(y)$$

with  $\varepsilon = 1/\gamma$ . Now, we see that (5.1) follows at once from (4.5). From (4.6) we obtain (5.2)

**Corollary:** Let X be a normalized homogeneous space,  $\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of disjoint balls in X with  $B_j$  centerd at  $x_j$ , and

$$J_{r,\epsilon}(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu(B_j)}{d(x,x_j)^{\epsilon} + \mu(B_j)^{\epsilon}}\right)^r\right)^{1/r}$$

a function of Marcinkiewicz type, where  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$  and  $1/\varepsilon < r \le \infty$ . Then there exists a finite

constant C such that

$$\left\|J_{r,\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_{j})^{p}\right)^{1/p} with 1/p - 1/q = 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Proof: We have

$$M_{\varepsilon}\chi_{B_{i}}(x) \ge \mu(B)^{-\varepsilon} \int_{B} \chi_{B_{i}}(y) d\mu(y)$$
 for some ball  $B = B(x, R)$ ,

with  $R = 2k d(x, x_j)$ , where k is the constant of homogeneity of X. Therefore,

$$M_{\varepsilon}\chi_{B_{j}}(x) \geq C\mu(B_{j})/(d(x,x_{j})^{\varepsilon} + \mu(B_{j})^{\varepsilon})$$

since  $B_j \subset B$  and X is a normalized homogeneous space. The result now follows from Theorem 5.2, taking  $f_j = \chi_{B_j}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ 

We remark that, if we take the normalized homogeneous space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d, \mu)$ , where  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^n$  and  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure, we have for  $\varepsilon = 1 - \gamma/n$  a result due to H.P. Heinig and R. Johnson (see [7]) for a Marcinkiewicz-type function.

## 6. A theorem of Littlewood-Paley type

Taking  $s_0 = r_0 = 2$  and  $\gamma = 1$  in Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following result of Littlewood-Paley type.

**Theorem 6.1:** Let X be a  $\rho$ -normal homogeneous space and  $\{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a sequence of functions on  $X \times X$  such that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{X} \psi_{j}(x,y) \, d\mu(x) = \int_{X} \psi_{j}(x,y) \, d\mu(y) = 0 \\ &|\psi_{j}(x,y)| \le \rho(2^{-j}) \text{ and } \psi_{j}(x,y) = 0 \text{ whenever } d(x,y) \ge 2^{j} \\ &|\psi_{j}(x,y') - \psi_{j}(x,y'')| \le \rho(2^{-j}) \left(2^{-j}d(y',y'')\right)^{\alpha}, \alpha > 0 \\ &|\psi_{j}(x',y) - \psi_{j}(x'',y)| \le \rho(2^{-j}) \left(2^{-j}d(x',x'')\right)^{\beta}, \beta > 0, \end{split}$$

and let us set

$$f_j(x) = \int_X \psi_j(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$
 and  $g(f)(x) = \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_j(x)|^2\right)^{1/2}$ 

Then, for all p with 1 , we have

 $\|g(f)\|_{L^{p}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p}}, f \in L^{p}(X, \mathbb{R}).$ 

**Proof:** Step 1. For each positive integer N, let us consider the mapping

$$\widetilde{g}_{\mathcal{N}}(f): X \to l^2(\mathbb{Z})$$
 given by  $\widetilde{g}_{\mathcal{N}}(f)(x) = \left\{ f_j(x)\chi_{\mathcal{N}}(j) \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ 

where  $\chi_N$  is the characteristic function of [-N, N]. Then

$$\|\widetilde{g}_{N}(f)(x)\|_{l^{2}} = \left(\sum_{j=-N}^{N} |f_{j}(x)|^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, let us consider the kernel

$$K_N$$
 given by  $K_N(x,y)\lambda = \{\psi_j(x,y)\chi_N(j)\lambda\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ .

We have  $K_N \in L(\mathbb{C}, l^2) \approx l^2$  and

$$\left\{\int_{\mathcal{X}}\psi_j(x,y)\chi_{\mathcal{N}}(j)f(y)d\mu(y)\right\}=\int_{\mathcal{X}}\left\{\psi_j(x,y)\chi_{\mathcal{N}}(j)\right\}d\mu(y)=\int_{\mathcal{X}}K_{\mathcal{N}}(x,y)f(y)d\mu(y).$$

Moreover, if A is a compact subset of  $X \times X$ , we also have

$$\iint_{A} \|K_{N}(x,y)\|_{L(\mathbb{C},\,l^{2})} d\mu^{\otimes}\mu(x,y) \leq \mu^{\otimes}\mu(A) \left(\sum_{j=-N}^{N} |\rho(2^{-j})^{2}\right)^{1/2},$$

i.e.,  $K_N \in L^1_{loc}(X \times X, L(\mathbb{C}, l^2)).$ 

Step 2. In [1: pp. 119-120] it was proved that the operator

$$f \rightarrow \sum_{j=-N}^{N} f_j = \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \int_X \psi_j(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

is bounded in  $L^{2}(X)$ . Hence

$$\left\|\widetilde{g}_{N}(f)\right\|_{L^{2}(X,I^{2})} = \left\|\sum_{j=-N}^{N} |f_{j}|^{2}\right|^{1/2} \left\|_{L^{2}} \le \left\|\sum_{j=-N}^{N} \int_{X} \psi_{j}(x,y) |f(y)| \, d\mu(y)\right\|_{L^{2}} \le C \, \|f\|_{L^{2}}.$$

Step 3. From Step 1 and Step e we see that  $\tilde{g}_N$  is a singular integral with an operator-valued kernel  $K_N$ . To see that  $\tilde{g}_N$  maps  $L^{P}(X,\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{P}(X,l^2)$ ,  $1 , we have only to check the conditions (C<sub>1</sub>) and (C<sub>1</sub>) for the kernel <math>K_N$ . But from [1: p. 125] we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{d(x,y')>2\,k\,d(y',y'')} |\psi_j(x,y') - \psi_j(x,y'')| \,d\mu(x) \leq C\min\left\{ (2^{-j}d(y',y''))^{\alpha}, (2^{-j}d(y',y''))^{-\alpha} \right\} \\ &\int_{d(x',y)>2\,k\,d(x',x'')} |\psi_j(x',y) - \psi_j(x'',y)| \,d\mu(y) \leq C\min\left\{ (2^{-j}d(x',x''))^{\beta}, (2^{-j}d(x',x''))^{-\beta} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where k is the constant of homogeneity of X. Consequently we have

$$\begin{split} &\int d(x, y'') >_{2kd}(y', y'') \left\| K_{N}(x, y') - K_{N}(x, y'') \right\|_{L(\mathbb{C}, I^{2})} d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{d(x, y'') >_{2kd}(y', y'')} \left( \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \left| \psi_{j}(x, y') - \psi_{j}(x, y'') \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \int_{d(x, y'') >_{2kd}(y', y'')} \left| \psi_{j}(x, y') - \psi_{j}(x, y'') \right| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \min\left\{ (2^{-j}d(y', y''))^{\alpha}, (2^{-j}d(y', y''))^{-\alpha} \right\} \leq C \end{split}$$

with C independent of N and d(x',x''), i.e.,  $K_N$  satisfies (C<sub>1</sub>). Analogously, we see that  $K_N$  is subject to (C<sub>1</sub>).

Step 4. From Theorem 3.1, with  $s_0 = r_0 = 2$ , a = 2k and  $\gamma = 1$ , we get

$$\|\widetilde{g}_{N}(f)\|_{L^{p}(X, l^{2})} = \|\sum_{j=-N}^{N} |f_{j}|^{2} \|_{L^{p}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p}}.$$

The monotone convergence theorem yields now the desired result

Finally, we observe that Theorem 6.1 generalizes slightly Theorem 5.2.1 of J. Aguirre [1], but the proof given is based on the theory of sungular integral operators with operator-valued kernels.

#### REFERENCES

- AGUIRRE, J.: Multilinear Pseudo Differential Operators and Paraproducts. Thesis. St. Louis (Mo): Washington Univ. 1981.
- [2] BENEDEK, A., CALDERÓN, A. P., and R. PANZONE: Convoluton operators on Banach space valued functions. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 48 (1962), 356 - 365.
- [3] CALDERÓN, A.P.: Inequalities for the maximal function relative to a metric. Studia Math. 57 (1976), 297 - 306.
- [4] COIFMAN, R. R., and G. WEISS: Analyse Harmonique Non-Commutative sur Certains Spaces Homogenes. Lect. Notes Math. 242 (1971), 1 158.
- [5] COIFMAN, R. R., and G. WEISS: Extensions of Hardy spaces and their uses in analysis. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569 - 645.
- [6] GARCIA-CUERVA, J., and J. L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA: Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publ. Comp. 1985.
- [7] HEINIG, H. P., and R. JOHNSON: Weighted norm inequalities for L<sup>r</sup>-valued integral operators and applications. Math. Nachr. 107 (1982), 161 - 174.
- [8] HÖRMANDER, L.: Estimates for translation invariant operators in L<sup>p</sup> spaces. Acta Math. 104 (1960), 93 - 139.
- [9] KORANYI, A., and S. VAGI: Singular integrals on homogeneous spaces and some problems of classical analysis. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 25 (1971), 575 - 647.
- [10] MACIAS, R. A.: Interpolation Theorem on Generalized Hardy Spaces. Ph. D. Thesis. St. Louis (Mo.): Washington Univ. 1975.
- [11] MACIAS, R. A., and C. SEGOVIA: Lipschitz functions on spaces of homogeneous type. Adv. Math. 33 (1979), 257 - 270.
- [12] RUBIO DE FRANCIA, J. L., RUIZ, F. J., and J. L. TORREA: Calderón Zygmund theory for operator-valued kernels. Adv. Math. 62 (1982), 7 - 48.
- [13] RUIZ, F. J., and J. L. TORREA: Vector-valued Calderón Zygmund theory and Carleson measures on spaces of homogeneous nature. Studia Math. 88 (1988), 221 - 243.
- [14] RUIZ, F. J., and J. L. TORREA: Weighted and vector-valued inequalities for potential operators. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 295 (1986), 213 - 232.
- [15] SCHWARTZ, J.: A remark on inequalities of Calderón Zygmund type for vector-valued functions. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1961), 785 - 799.
- [16] TRIEBEL, H.: Spaces of distributions of Besov type on Euclidean n-space. Duality, interpolation. Ark. Math. 11 (1973), 13 - 64.

Received 30.05.1991

Prof. Dr. Benjamin Bordin and Prof. Dr. Dicesar Lass Fernandez Universidade Estadual de Campinas Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Ciência da Computação Caixa Postal 6065 13081 - Campinas SP, Brasil