

## A Counterexample for $L^1$ -Estimates for Parabolic Differential Equations

P. KRÖGER\*

We show that the Dini (1) continuity of the coefficients of a linear parabolic differential operator in non-divergence form is in some sense the weakest condition such that the solutions of the corresponding initial value problem satisfy an  $L^1$ -estimate; here a function is called Dini ( $\alpha$ ) continuous for a positive number  $\alpha$  if the modulus of continuity  $\omega$  of the function satisfies  $\int_0^+ \omega^{1/\alpha}(\tau)/\tau \, d\tau < \infty$ . In particular, we improve a counterexample of Il'in which shows that an  $L^1$ -estimate cannot hold in general if only Dini ( $\alpha$ ) continuity with  $\alpha < 1/4$  is assumed.

*Key words:* Initial value problems for second-order, parabolic equations, a priori estimates, diffusion processes

AMS subject classification: 35K15, 35B45, 60J60

We consider the initial value problem

$$Lu = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u(0, \cdot) = f$$

where the coefficients  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  of the differential operator

$$Lu = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - L_t u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

are assumed to be continuous and bounded. Moreover, we restrict ourselves to the uniformly parabolic case  $(a_{ij}(t, x))_{i,j} \geq A \cdot \mathbb{1}$  for some positive constant  $A$ .

Suppose temporarily that the coefficients of  $L$  are continuous on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and Hölder continuous on  $[s, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  for every  $s > 0$ . Then the initial value problem

$$Lu = 0 \quad \text{for } t > s, \quad u(s, \cdot) = f$$

is uniquely solvable for every  $s > 0$ . The solution  $u$  can be written by means of the transition probability measures  $P_L(t, x; s, \cdot)$  of the diffusion process with decreasing time parameter generated by the parabolic operator  $L_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  as follows (cf. [5: Chapter 3]):

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) P_L(t, x; s, dy).$$

\*Research supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

We mention that the diffusion process generated by  $L_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  is uniquely defined if the coefficients of the uniformly parabolic operator  $L$  are merely supposed to be continuous (see [5: Chapter 7]). The transition maps  $P_L^{t_1, t_2} : C(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are defined by

$$P_L^{t_1, t_2} f(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) P_L(t_1, x; t_2, dy)$$

for every  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\beta_t^{(n)}$  be the kernel of the  $n$ -dimensional Brownian motion at time  $t$ , i.e.  $\beta_t^{(n)}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{2t})$ .

**Lemma:** *Let  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $t_1 > t_2$  and a function  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be given such that  $\beta_{t_1-t_2}^{(n)} * f(x)$  is positive for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $f(x) \geq \beta_\epsilon^{(n)}(x)$  for some positive number  $\epsilon$  and every  $x$  from the complement of an appropriate compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that, for every differential generator  $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  with matrix norm  $\|(a_{ij}(t, x)) - \mathbb{1}\| < \delta$  for every  $t$  and  $x$ ,  $P_L^{t_1, t_2} f(x)$  is positive for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\delta_0$  be a positive number with  $\delta_0 < \frac{1}{3}$  and

$$(t_1 - t_2)(1 - 2\delta_0) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} > (t_1 - t_2)(1 + 3\delta_0). \tag{1}$$

For every positive number  $r$  we denote the closed ball with radius  $r$  and center 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $B_r$ . Let  $1_{B_r}$  be the characteristic function of  $B_r$ .

We claim that  $\|(a_{ij}(t, x)) - \mathbb{1}\| < \delta_0$  for every  $t, x$  implies that  $P_L^{t_1, t_2} f$  is positive outside  $B_{r_0}$  for some positive constant  $r_0$ . The proof of the claim is based on the lower and upper bounds for transition probabilities given in [4]. It follows from [4: Theorem 2] that for appropriate positive constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} P_L^{t_1, t_2} \beta_\epsilon^{(n)} &\geq C_1 P_L^{t_1, t_2} (1_{B_1} * \beta_{\epsilon/2}^{(n)}) \\ &\geq C_2 \beta_{(t_1-t_2)(1-2\delta_0)}^{(n)} * (1_{B_1} * \beta_{\epsilon/2}^{(n)}) \\ &\geq C_3 \beta_{(t_1-t_2)(1-2\delta_0)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{(n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Choose  $r_1$  in such a way that  $K \subset B_{r_1}$ . Then

$$f \geq \beta_\epsilon^{(n)} - C_4 1_{B_{r_1}} \tag{3}$$

for an appropriate positive constant  $C_4$ . By [4: Theorem 3], we have for positive constants  $C_5, C_6$

$$P_L^{t_1, t_2} 1_{B_{r_1}} \leq C_5 \beta_{(t_1-t_2)(1+2\delta_0)}^{(n)} * 1_{B_{r_1}} \leq C_6 \beta_{(t_1-t_2)(1+2\delta_0)}^{(n)}. \tag{4}$$

The proof of the claim can now be completed as follows. By (2)-(4),

$$P_L^{t_1, t_2} f \geq C_3 \beta_{(t_1-t_2)(1-2\delta_0)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{(n)} - C_4 C_6 \beta_{(t_1-t_2)(1+3\delta_0)}^{(n)}.$$

The existence of an  $r_0$  such that the above claim is correct follows from (1).

On account of the assumptions on  $f$  the number  $C_7 \equiv \inf_{\|x\| \leq r_0} \{\beta_{t_1-t_2}^{(n)} * f(x)\}$  is positive. By [4: Theorem 1],

$$(P_L^{t_1, t_2} f - \beta_{t_1-t_2}^{(n)} * f)(x) = \int_{t_2}^{t_1} P_L^{t_1, t} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right) (\beta_{t-t_2}^{(n)} * f)(x) dt.$$

Since  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we can choose a positive number  $\delta_1$  such that  $\|(a_{ij}(t, x) - \mathbb{1}) - \mathbb{1}\| < \delta_1$  for every  $t, x$  implies  $\|P_L^{t_1, t_2} f - \beta_{t_1-t_2}^{(n)} * f\|_\infty < C_7$ . Set  $\delta \equiv \min\{\delta_0; \delta_1\}$ . The assertion of the Lemma follows immediately ■

For every  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$  we denote by  $f_\lambda$  the function from  $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which is given by

$$f_\lambda(x) \equiv f\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

here  $f$  stands for a function which satisfies the conditions of the Lemma. Using the scaling transformation  $(t, x) \mapsto (\lambda t, \sqrt{\lambda} x)$  and taking into account that  $\|(a_{ij}(t, x) - \mathbb{1}) - \mathbb{1}\| < \delta$  for every  $t, x$  implies a similar condition for the transformed coefficients, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary:** *Under the assumptions of the Lemma there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that, for every  $L$  with  $\|(a_{ij}(t, x) - \mathbb{1}) - \mathbb{1}\| < \delta$  for every  $t$  and  $x$ ,  $P_L^{\lambda t_1, \lambda t_2} f_\lambda(x)$  is positive for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

We will restrict ourselves to the consideration of one-dimensional processes in the remainder of this paper. Therefore we will write simply  $\beta_t$  for the one-dimensional heat kernel.

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem:** *Let  $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing continuous function with  $\omega(0) = 0$ . Suppose that  $\lambda \mapsto \frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda}$  is decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\int_0^1 \frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda = +\infty$ . Let  $\delta_0$  be a positive number.*

*Then there exists a continuous function  $a : \mathbb{R}^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\|a - 1\|_\infty < \delta_0$  and*

$$|a(t_1, x_1) - a(t_2, x_2)| \leq \omega(|(t_1 - t_2, x_1 - x_2)|) \tag{5}$$

*for every  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for the corresponding initial value problem*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} a(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad u(0, x) = f(x)$$

*for any given  $c > 0$  there is a function  $f$  such that*

$$\|u(1, \cdot)\|_1 > c \cdot \|f(\cdot)\|_1.$$

**Proof:** Let  $b$  be a function from  $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$  with  $0 \leq b \leq 1$ ,  $|b'| \leq 2$ , and  $b(\lambda) = 1$  for every  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \geq 2$ , and  $b(\lambda) = 0$  for every  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ . We set

$$a(t, x) \equiv 1 + C_1 \cdot b\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right) \omega(t) \quad \text{for every } (t, x) \in (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$$

and  $a(0, x) \equiv 1$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The constant  $C_1$  will be chosen later in such a way that  $0 < C_1 < \min\{\frac{1}{20}; \frac{1}{\omega(1)}; \frac{\delta_0}{\omega(1)}\}$ . Obviously, we have  $\|a - 1\|_\infty < \delta_0$ .

We check that Condition (5) is met. Let  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. In view of the continuity of  $a$  we may and will assume that  $t_1, t_2$  are not equal to zero. Moreover we suppose that  $t_2 \leq t_1$ . It is obvious from the definition of  $a$  that  $|a(t_1, x_1) - a(t_2, x_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\omega(t_1)$ . Since  $\lambda \mapsto \frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda}$  is decreasing, we have  $\frac{1}{2}\omega(t_1) \leq \omega(\frac{t_1}{2})$ . On account of the assumption that  $\omega$  is increasing, Condition (5) is established if  $|(t_1 - t_2, x_1 - x_2)| \geq \frac{1}{2}t_1$ . Now assume that  $|(t_1 - t_2, x_1 - x_2)| < \frac{1}{2}t_1$ . In particular, we have  $\frac{1}{2}t_1 < t_2$ . Thus,

$$|a(t_1, x_1) - a(t_2, x_2)| \leq C_1 |\omega(t_1) - \omega(t_2)| + C_1 \left| b\left(\frac{x_1^2}{t_1}\right) - b\left(\frac{x_2^2}{t_2}\right) \right| \omega(t_2). \tag{6}$$

Since  $|b'| \leq 2$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} b\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right) \right| \leq \frac{2x^2}{t^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} b\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right) \right| \leq \frac{4|x|}{t}.$$

Since  $(t, x) \mapsto b(\frac{x^2}{t})$  is constant outside the set of all  $t, x$  with  $x^2 \leq 2t$ , we can conclude that

$$|\nabla_{t,x} b(\frac{x^2}{t})| \leq \frac{10}{t} \quad \text{for every } t \text{ with } 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Hence,

$$\left| b\left(\frac{x_1^2}{t_1}\right) - b\left(\frac{x_2^2}{t_2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{10}{t_2} |(t_1 - t_2, x_1 - x_2)|.$$

Taking into account that  $|(t_1 - t_2, x_1 - x_2)| < t_2$  and that  $\lambda \mapsto \frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda}$  is decreasing, we can estimate the second term on the right-hand side of (6) as follows:

$$C_1 \left| b\left(\frac{x_1^2}{t_1}\right) - b\left(\frac{x_2^2}{t_2}\right) \right| \omega(t_2) \leq \frac{1}{2}\omega(|(t_1 - t_2, x_1 - x_2)|).$$

On the other hand,

$$0 \leq \omega(t_1) - \omega(t_2) \leq \omega(t_1) - \frac{t_2}{t_1}\omega(t_1) = \frac{t_1 - t_2}{t_1}\omega(t_1) \leq \omega(t_1 - t_2).$$

This completes the proof of Condition (5).

Let  $\tau \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  be given. We aim to estimate  $P_L^{1,0}\beta_\tau$  from below. Explicit calculation yields (recall that  $0 < a \leq 2$ )

$$\frac{1}{2}a(t, x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \beta_\tau(x) \geq -\frac{1}{\tau} \beta_\tau(x) \quad \text{for every } t, x.$$

The maximum principle (or [4: Corollary 1]) yields immediately

$$P_L^{\tau,0} \beta_\tau \geq \exp(-1)\beta_\tau. \tag{7}$$

In order to apply a comparison result (see [4: Theorem 1]) we set

$$\tilde{L}_t \equiv \frac{\Delta}{2} + \frac{1}{2}C_1C_2 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \quad \text{for every } t \in [\tau, \frac{1}{2}],$$

the positive constant  $C_2$  will be chosen later. The corresponding transition maps will be denoted by  $\tilde{P}^{t_1, t_2}$  (the transition maps  $\tilde{P}^{t_1, t_2}$  are well-defined although  $\tilde{L}_t$  contains a zero order term, cf. for instance [4]). We have

$$\tilde{P}^{t, \tau} \beta_\tau = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}C_1C_2 \int_\tau^t \frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda\right) \beta_t \tag{8}$$

for every  $t \in [\tau, \frac{1}{2}]$ . By [4: Theorem 1],

$$P_L^{\frac{1}{2}, \tau} \beta_\tau - \tilde{P}^{\frac{1}{2}, \tau} \beta_\tau = \int_\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} P_L^{\frac{1}{2}, t} (L_t - \tilde{L}_t) \tilde{P}^{t, \tau} \beta_\tau dt. \tag{9}$$

From (8) and the definition of  $a$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} ((L_t - \tilde{L}_t)\tilde{P}^{t, \tau} \beta_\tau)(x) &= c_t \left( C_1\omega(t) b\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \beta_t(x) - C_1C_2 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \beta_t(x) \right) \\ &= c_t C_1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \left( b\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right) \left(\frac{x^2}{t} - 1\right) - C_2 \right) \beta_t(x) \end{aligned}$$

for a positive number  $c_t$  which depends only on  $t$  and for every  $t \in [\tau, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Since  $b(\lambda^2)(\lambda^2 - 1)$  is non-negative for every  $\lambda$  and bounded below by a positive number outside a compact set, we can choose the positive constant  $C_2$  such that the following function is positive:

$$\beta_1 * ((b(\cdot^2)(\cdot^2 - 1) - C_2)\beta_1).$$

In view of the Lemma and the Corollary which we have already proved we can choose the positive number  $\delta$  such that the function  $P_L^{2t, t} \left( (b(\frac{x^2}{t})(\frac{x^2}{t} - 1) - C_2) \cdot \beta_t(x) \right)$  is positive for every  $a$  with  $\|a - 1\|_\infty < \delta$ . Thus, we can conclude that  $P_L^{1, t} (L_t - \tilde{L}_t) \tilde{P}^{t, \tau} \beta_\tau$  is positive for every  $t \in [\tau, \frac{1}{2}]$ . By (9),

$$P_L^{1, \tau} \beta_\tau - P_L^{1, \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{P}^{\frac{1}{2}, \tau} \beta_\tau = \int_\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} P_L^{1, t} (L_t - \tilde{L}_t) \tilde{P}^{t, \tau} \beta_\tau dt \geq 0. \tag{10}$$

Finally, we remark that  $a(t, \cdot) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \beta_t \geq \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \beta_t$  for every  $t$ . The maximum principle (or [4: Corollary 1]) yields immediately

$$P_L^{1, \frac{1}{2}} \beta_{1/2} \geq \beta_1. \tag{11}$$

We can now conclude from (7), (8), (10), and (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} P_L^{1, 0} \beta_\tau &\geq \exp(-1) P_L^{1, \tau} \beta_\tau \\ &\geq \exp(-1) P_L^{1, \frac{1}{2}} \tilde{P}^{\frac{1}{2}, \tau} \beta_\tau \\ &= P_L^{1, \frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}C_1C_2 \int_\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda - 1\right) \beta_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}C_1C_2 \int_\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda - 1\right) \beta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\beta_\tau\|_1 = 1$  for every  $\tau$ , we obtain in particular that

$$\|P_L^{1,0}\beta_\tau\|_1 \geq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}C_1C_2 \int_\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda - 1\right) \|\beta_\tau\|_1.$$

In view of the assumptions on  $\omega$  the proof is now complete ■

**Example:** We define the function  $\omega$  by  $\omega(0) \equiv 0$ ,  $\omega(\lambda) \equiv -\frac{1}{\ln \lambda}$  for every  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{e}]$ , and  $\omega(\lambda) \equiv 1$  for every  $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{e}, \infty)$ . It is easy to check that the assumptions of the Theorem are met. Moreover,  $a$  is Dini ( $\alpha$ ) continuous for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  (cf. the abstract of the present paper or [2] for the definition of Dini ( $\alpha$ ) continuity). In view of the results in [2] corresponding counterexamples for  $L^p$ -estimates with  $0 < p < 1$  and differential operators with Dini ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) continuous coefficients cannot exist.

## REFERENCES

- [1] FABES, E. B. and C. E. KENIG: *Examples of singular parabolic measures and singular transition probability densities*. Duke Math. J. **48** (1981), 845 - 856.
- [2] FABES, E. B., SROKA, S. and K.-O. WIDMAN: *Littlewood-Paley a priori estimates for parabolic equations with sub-Dini continuous coefficients*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. IV. Ser. **6** (1979), 305 - 334.
- [3] IL'IN, A. M.: *On fundamental solutions of parabolic equations*. Sov. Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 1697 - 1700.
- [4] KRÖGER, P.: *Comparison theorems for diffusion processes*. J. Theor. Prob. **3** (1990), 515 - 531.
- [5] STROOCK, D. W. and S. R. S. VARADHAN: *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes*. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag 1979.

Received 01.08.1991

Dr. Pawel Kröger  
 Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg  
 Mathematisches Institut  
 Bismarckstr. 1 1/2  
 D - 8520 Erlangen