Strong Approximation of Spherical Functions by Cesàro Means

LUOQING Li

The paper deals with the approximation of spherical functions by Cesàro means. The strong approximation order of the *Cesàro* means on sets of full measure is established.

Key words: *Strong approximation, Cesàro means, spherical harmonic expansions* AMS subject classification: 33C55, 41A25, 42C10

§1. Introduction

Let Σ_d be the unit sphere (with center at the origin) in the $(d+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space $R^{(d+1)}$. By $L^p(\Sigma_d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, we denote the space of (the equivalence classes of) p-th integrable functions on Σ_d for which the norm

$$
||f||_p := \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_d} |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

is finite. A function $f \in L^1(\Sigma_d)$ can be expanded in a series of surface spherical harmonics; i.e.,

$$
f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k(f; x),
$$

where

$$
f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k(f; x),
$$

$$
Y_k(f; x) := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)(k + \lambda)}{2\pi^{\lambda+1}} \int_{\Sigma_d} P_k^{\lambda}(xy) f(y) dy, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,
$$

 P_k^{λ} , $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(d - 1)$, being the ultraspherical (or Gegenbauer) polynomials. The Cesàro means of order δ of the harmonic series of f are defined by $C^{\delta}(f:\pi) := \sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{A_{n-k}^{\delta}}{n-k} Y_k(f:\pi)$; $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, (1 means of order δ of the harmonic series of f are defined by

$$
f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k(f; x),
$$

\n
$$
:= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)(k+\lambda)}{2\pi^{\lambda+1}} \int_{\Sigma_d} P_k^{\lambda}(xy) f(y) dy, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,
$$

\n
$$
= \text{arg the ultraspherical (or Gegenbauer) polynomials. The Cesàro
$$

\n
$$
C_n^{\delta}(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{A_n^{\delta}}{A_n^{\delta}} Y_k(f; x); \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,
$$

\n
$$
A_n^{\delta} := \binom{n+\delta}{\delta} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)\Gamma(\lambda+1)}.
$$
 It is well-known that, for some

where $\delta > -1$ and $A_n^{\delta} := \binom{n+\delta}{\delta} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(\delta+1)\Gamma(n+1)}$. It is well-known that, for some appropriate index δ , $C_n^{\delta}(f; x)$ converge to $f(x)$ almost everywhere on Σ_d and in norm; we refer the reader to Bonami and Clerc [1], Sogge [3] for details. We also know where $\delta > -1$ and $A_n^{\delta} := \binom{n+\delta}{\delta} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(\delta+1)\Gamma(n+1)}$
appropriate index δ , $C_n^{\delta}(f; x)$ converge to $f(x)$ almowe refer the reader to Bonami and Clerc [1], So,
Luoqing Li: Univ. Erlangen-Nürnberg, Math. Inst., Bi

Luoqing Li: Univ. Erlangen -NUrnberg, Math. **Inst.,Blemarckstr.** 1 1/2, D - 8520 Erlangen, and Beijing Normal University. Dep. Math., Beijing 100875, P. R. of **China**

that, for $\delta = 0$, $C_n^{\delta}(f; \cdot)$ is the usual *n*-th partial sum of the series of the surface spherical harmonics of f. Up to now, it is not known whether, for $f \in L^2(\Sigma_d)$, $C_n^0(f; x)$ converges to $f(x)$ almost everywhere or not; therefore the index δ of the Cesàro means is restricted to be positive in our arguments. Considering strong convergence, the index can be extended to be negative; similarly for strong approximation. Here we want to study strong summability and strong approximation by Cesàro means on sets *of full* measure. To be more specific, we want to study the validity *of* the formula *f*; *l*) is the usual *n*-th partial sum of the series of the surface $\int f$. Up to now, it is not known whether, for $f \in L^2(\Sigma_d)$, $C_n^0(f; x)$ ost everywhere or not; therefore the index δ of the Cesàro means tive in ou

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |C_k^{\delta}(f; x) - f(x)|^2 = 0 \qquad a.e., \qquad (1.2)
$$

and to estimate its convergence rate. Let $\beta > 0$, and let $f \in L^2(\Sigma_d)$. If there exists a function $g \in L^2(\Sigma_d)$ such that $Y_k(g; \cdot) = k^{\beta} Y_k(f; \cdot)(k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$, we call g the *Riesz derivative* of *f* of order β and write $f^{\{\beta\}} = g$ (we use this notion in analogy to the one in the theory of Fourier transforms, see [5, Chapter V]). We see that $f^{\{\beta\}}$ is uniquely determined by f, and define the Riesz space $L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$ in $L^2(\Sigma_d)$ to be

$$
L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d):=\{f\in L^2(\Sigma_d);\,||f||_{2,\beta}<\infty\},\,
$$

where

$$
L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d) := \{ f \in L^2(\Sigma_d); ||f||_{2,\beta} < \infty \},
$$

$$
||f||_{2,\beta} := ||f^{\{\beta\}}||_2 = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2\beta} ||Y_k(f)||_2^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

 $L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$ is a complete linear subspace of $L^2(\Sigma_d)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2,\beta}$, and continuously imbedded in $L^2(\Sigma_d)$.

We can now state the main results.

Theorem 1: Let $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, $0 \le \beta \le 1$, and let $\delta > 0$. For almost all x in Σ_d

$$
L^{2,\rho}(\Sigma_d) := \{f \in L^2(\Sigma_d); ||f||_{2,\beta} < \infty\},
$$

\n
$$
||f||_{2,\beta} := ||f^{\{\beta\}}||_2 = \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2\beta} ||Y_k(f)||_2^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

\nlette linear subspace of $L^2(\Sigma_d)$ under the norm $||\cdot||_{2,\beta}$, and contin-
\nn $L^2(\Sigma_d)$.
\ndate the main results.
\nLet $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d), 0 \le \beta \le 1$, and let $\delta > 0$. For almost all x in Σ_d
\n $|C_n^{\delta}(f;x) - f(x)| = \begin{cases} o_x(\frac{1}{n^{\beta}}) & \text{if } 0 \le \beta < 1 \\ O_x(\frac{1}{n}) & \text{if } \beta = 1. \end{cases}$ (1.3)

Theorem 2: Let $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, $0 \le \beta \le 1$, and let $\delta > -\frac{1}{2}$. For almost all x in *Ed*

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{n 1:} \quad & \text{Let } f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d), 0 \le \beta \le 1, \text{ and let } \delta > 0. \text{ For almost all } x \text{ in } \Sigma_d \\
|C_n^{\delta}(f; x) - f(x)| &= \begin{cases}\n o_x(\frac{1}{n^{\beta}}) & \text{if } 0 \le \beta < 1 \\
 O_x(\frac{1}{n}) & \text{if } \beta = 1.\n\end{cases}\n\end{aligned}\n\tag{1.3}
$$
\n
$$
\text{n 2:} \quad \text{Let } f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d), 0 \le \beta \le 1, \text{ and let } \delta > -\frac{1}{2}. \text{ For almost all } x \text{ in } \Delta^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d), 0 \le \beta \le 1, \text{ and let } \delta > -\frac{1}{2}. \text{ For almost all } x \text{ in } \Delta^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d) \text{ for all } \beta \le \beta \le \frac{1}{2}.\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |C_k^{\delta}(f; x) - f(x)|^2 = \begin{cases}\n O_x(\frac{1}{n^{2\beta}}) & \text{if } 0 \le \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\
 O_x(\frac{\log n}{n}) & \text{if } \beta = \frac{1}{2} \\
 O_x(\frac{1}{n}) & \text{if } \beta > \frac{1}{2}.\n\end{cases}\n\tag{1.4}
$$

Remark: Replacing the Cesàro means of f by the Bochner-Riesz means $S_R^{\delta}(f; \cdot)$, defined by $S_R^{\delta}(f; \cdot) := \sum_{k \le R} (1 - \frac{k^2}{R^2})^{\delta} Y_k(f; \cdot)$, we have analoguous results.

§2. Auxiliary lemmas

We begin with the strong summability of C_n^{δ} . For $f \in L^1(\Sigma_d)$ let

Strong Approximation of Sp

\n
$$
\text{as}
$$
\n
$$
\text{e strong summability of } C_n^{\delta} \text{ . For } f \in L^1
$$
\n
$$
C^{\delta}(f; x) = \sup_{n \ge 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f; x)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Following arguments similar to those in [1, p.237-239], we can deduce that for $\delta =$ $\sigma + i\tau$ and for any $f \in L^2(\Sigma_d)$ **Compare Approximation of Spherical Functions** 115
 Compare 1112
 Compare 112 Compare 112 Compare 12 Compare *C*⁶(*f*; *x*) = sup $\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n} |C_k^{\delta}(f;x)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

illentifiant to those in [1, p.237-239], we can deduce that for $\delta =$

any $f \in L^2(\Sigma_d)$
 $||C^{\delta}(f)||_2 \le \text{const}_{\delta} e^{c\tau^2} ||f||_2$, $\sigma > -\frac{1}{2}$, $0 < c \le \pi$

$$
||C^{\delta}(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\delta} e^{c\tau^2} ||f||_2, \quad \sigma > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 < c \leq \pi,\tag{2.1}
$$

and that for any $f \in L^p(\Sigma_d)$, $1 < p \leq 2$,

$$
||C^{\delta}(f)||_{p} \leq \text{const}_{\delta, p} e^{c\tau^{2}} ||f||_{p}, \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{2}(d-1), \quad 0 < c \leq \pi. \tag{2.2}
$$

Here and in the following, $const_{\delta,p}$,... denotes a constant depending only on the listed subindices. By linearizing the operator C^{δ} and by applying Stein's interpolation theorem, we get from (2.1) and (2.2) the following

$$
||C^*(f)||_2 \le \text{const}_\delta e^{C} ||f||_2, \quad \sigma > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 < c \le \pi,\tag{2.1}
$$
\nthat for any $f \in L^p(\Sigma_d)$, $1 < p \le 2$,

\n
$$
||C^\delta(f)||_p \le \text{const}_{\delta,p} e^{c\tau^2} ||f||_p, \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{2}(d-1), \quad 0 < c \le \pi.
$$
\nand in the following, $\text{const}_{\delta,p}$ denotes a constant depending only on the listed indices. By linearizing the operator C^δ and by applying Stein's interpolation the.

\ni, we get from (2.1) and (2.2) the following

\nProposition: Let $\delta > d(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}$. For $f \in L^p(\Sigma_d)$, $1 < p \le 2$,

\n
$$
||C^\delta(f)||_p \le \text{const}_{\delta,p} ||f||_p
$$
\n(2.3)

\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^\delta(f; x) - f(x)|^2 = 0 \quad a.e. \tag{2.4}
$$
\nProof: It follows from straightforward modifications of the proof of the cor-

and

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |C_k^{\delta}(f; x) - f(x)|^2 = 0 \quad a.e.
$$
 (2.4)

Proof: It follows from straightforward modifications of the proof of the corresponding result in [4];, for the sake of completeness, let us give just a sketch. Let $n = n(u)(u \in \Sigma_d)$ be any step function taking positive integral values and let $\{\varphi_k(u)\}$ be any sequence of measurable functions defined on Σ_d which satisfy the condition $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0} |C_k^{\delta}(f; x) - f(x)|^2 = 0$ *a.e.*
ws from straightforward modifications of t
[4]; for the sake of completeness, let us gi
any step function taking positive integral vi
easurable functions defined on \S

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^n\varphi_k^2(u)\leq 1,\quad \forall u\in\Sigma_d\quad \text{and}\quad \forall n\in\mathbb{N}.
$$

Keeping the functions $n(u)$ and $\varphi_k(u)$ momentarily fixed, we define by L_{δ} the linear operators

$$
L_{\delta}(f; \cdot) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{k}^{\delta}(f; \cdot) \varphi_{k}.
$$

By Schwarz's inequality $|L_{\delta}(f; \cdot)| \leq C^{\delta}(f; \cdot)$. Moreover there is not difficulty to verify

that, for any p, $||C^{\delta}(f)||_p = \sup ||L_{\delta}(f)||_p$, here the supremum is taken over all functions $n(u)$ and $\varphi_k(u)$ of the type described above. We now define an analytic family of operators $\{T_z\}_{z \in \mathbf{C}}$ by $\mathbf{p} = \sup ||L_{\delta}(f)||_{p}$, here the sum
the type described above. We
 $T_{z}(f; \cdot) := L_{\delta(z)}(f; \cdot),$
 $-z) + (\frac{1}{2}(d-1) + \varepsilon_{1})z(\varepsilon_{0}, d)$

$$
T_z(f; \cdot) := L_{\delta(z)}(f; \cdot), \qquad z \in \mathbf{C},
$$

where $\delta(z) = (\varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{2})(1 - z) + (\frac{1}{2}(d - 1) + \varepsilon_1)z(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 > 0)$. By (2.1) and (2.2) we
have for $\tau = [-(\varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{2}) + (\frac{1}{2}(d - 1) + \varepsilon_1)]y$,
 $||T_{iy}(f)||_2 = ||L_{\delta(iy)}(f)||_2 \le ||C^{\delta(iy)}(f)||_2 \le \text{const}_{\varepsilon_0} e^{\varepsilon \tau^2} ||f||_2, \quad$ *have for* $\tau=[-(\varepsilon_0-\frac{1}{2})+(\frac{1}{2}(d-1)+\varepsilon_1)]y$,

$$
||T_{iy}(f)||_2 = ||L_{\delta(iy)}(f)||_2 \leq ||C^{\delta(iy)}(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\epsilon_0} e^{c\tau^2} ||f||_2, \ \ 0 < c \leq \pi,
$$

and

$$
||T_{1+iy}(f)||_{p_1} \leq ||C^{\delta(1+iy)}(f)||_{p_1} \leq \text{const}_{\epsilon_1} e^{c\tau^2} ||f||_{p_1}, \quad 1 < p_1 < 2, \ 0 < c \leq \pi.
$$

It is important to notice that $const_{\epsilon_0}$ and const_{en} do not depend on $n(u)$ and $\varphi_k(u)$. Let $0 < t < 1$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2}(1-t) + \frac{1}{p'}t$, and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Applying Stein's interpolation theorem (see [4]), $||T_t(f)||_p \leq \text{const}_t||f||_p$. Again const, does not depend on $n(u)$ and $\varphi_k(u)$. Finally, $||C^{\delta(t)}(f)||_p \leq \text{const}_t ||f||_p$. It is clear that $\delta(t)$ is a continuous function of p_1, ε_0 , and ε_1 . Thus, by continuity, we can always realize any $\delta(t)$ satisfying *8(t)> 4i(* -1) - **r** by choosing *Pi > 1, co >* 0, and *61 >* 0 appropriately. **^U**

The proposition makes our results meaningful. For the proof of the theorem we introduce the auxiliary maximal functions

xiliary maximal functions
\n
$$
M_{\beta}^{\delta}(f;x) := \sup_{n\geq 0} n^{\beta} |C_n^{\delta}(f;x) - f(x)|
$$
\n
$$
N_{\beta}^{\delta}(f;x) := \sup_{n\geq 0} n^{\beta} |C_n^{\delta+1}(f;x) - C_n^{\delta}(f;x)|
$$
\n
$$
g_{\beta}^{\delta}(f;x) := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-1} |C_n^{\delta+1}(f;x) - C_n^{\delta}(f;x)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
\nsee the previous, while the last case is a

The first two ones are maximal functions, while the last one is a Littlewood-Paley function.We want to study the boundedness of these functions; to do so we need some extra-ordinary identities of the Cesàro means. Throughout this paper, the indeterminant expression 0^0 will be understood to be equal to 1 whenever it comes up. imal functions, while the last complement of the boundedness of these function
the Cesaro means. Throughout the Cesaro means. Throughout the
nderstood to be equal to 1 when $\frac{1}{2}$. For $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, $0 \le \beta < 1$,
 $||g^$ mal functions, while the last one
e boundedness of these functions;
ie Cesàro means. Throughout this
derstood to be equal to 1 whenev
. For $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, $0 \le \beta < 1$,
 $||g^{\delta}_{\beta}(f)||_2 \le \text{const}_{\delta,\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta}$. between the same maximal functions, while the last

ant to study the boundedness of these functions in the Cesaro means. Throughor
 0^0 will be understood to be equal to 1 **w**
 0^0 will be understood to be equal to 1

Lemma 1: Let $\delta > -\frac{1}{2}$. For $f \in L^{2,\beta}$

$$
||g_{\beta}^{\delta}(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\delta,\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta}.
$$
 (2.5)

Proof: We have

$$
C_n^{\delta+1}(f;x) - C_n^{\delta}(f;x) = \frac{1}{n+\delta+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{A_{n-k}^{\delta}}{A_n^{\delta}} k Y_k(f;x).
$$

It follows from the orthogonality of the projection operators $Y_k (k \in {\bf N}_0)$ that

$$
||g_{\beta}^{\delta}(f)||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-1} \frac{1}{(n+\delta+1)^{2}} \int_{\Sigma_{d}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{A_{n-k}^{\delta}}{A_{n}^{\delta}} k Y_{k}(f;x) \right|^{2} dx
$$

$$
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-1} \frac{1}{(n+\delta+1)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{A_{n-k}^{\delta}}{A_{n}^{\delta}} \right)^{2} k^{2} ||Y_{k}(f)||_{2}^{2}
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2\beta} ||Y_{k}(f)||_{2}^{2} k^{2-2\beta} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2\beta-1}}{(n+\delta+1)^{2}} \left(\frac{A_{n-k}^{\delta}}{A_{n}^{\delta}} \right)^{2}
$$

$$
\leq \text{const}_{\delta,\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta}^{2}.
$$

In the last estimate we used the fact that, for $0 \le \beta < 1$,

$$
\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2\beta-1}}{(n+\delta+1)^2} \Big(\frac{A_{n-k}^{\delta}}{A_n^{\delta}}\Big)^2 \leq \mathrm{const}_{\delta,\beta} \; k^{2\beta-2}.
$$

Lemma 2: Let $\delta > 0$. For $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, $0 \le \beta \le 1$,

$$
||N^{\delta}_{\beta}(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\delta,\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta}.
$$
 (2.6)

Proof: We first notice that

$$
C_n^{\delta+1}(f;x) - C_n^{\delta}(f;x) = \frac{1}{2A_n^{\delta+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} A_k^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} \left(C_k^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}(f;x) - C_k^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}}(f;x) \right). \tag{2.7}
$$

In fact, for $\gamma > 0$ and $\alpha > -1$, we have

$$
C_n^{\gamma+\alpha+1}(f;x) - C_n^{\gamma+\alpha}(f;x) = \frac{1}{\gamma+\alpha+1} \frac{1}{A_n^{\gamma+\alpha+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n k A_{n-k}^{\gamma+\alpha} Y_k(f;x). \tag{2.8}
$$

Since

 $\sum_{k=0}^n$

$$
A_{n-k}^{\gamma+\alpha} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} A_j^{\gamma-1} A_{n-k-j}^{\alpha},
$$
\n
$$
k A_{n-k}^{\gamma+\alpha} Y_k(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} A_j^{\gamma-1} A_{n-k-j}^{\alpha} Y_k(f; x)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-k} k A_j^{\gamma-1} A_{n-k-j}^{\alpha} Y_k(f; x)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j^{\gamma-1} A_{n-j}^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1) \Big(C_{n-j}^{\alpha+1}(f; x) - C_{n-j}^{\alpha}(f; x) \Big)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{n-k}^{\gamma-1} A_k^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1) \Big(C_k^{\alpha+1}(f; x) - C_k^{\alpha}(f; x) \Big).
$$
\n(2.10)

By taking $\alpha = \frac{\delta - 1}{2}$ (> - $\frac{1}{2}$) and $\gamma = \delta - \alpha$, hence $\gamma + \alpha = \delta$ and $\gamma = \frac{\delta + 1}{2}$, the formulas (2.8) and (2.10) yield (2.7). Next we apply Schwarz's inequality, use the estimate $A_n^{\delta} = O(n^{\delta})$, and obtain

$$
|C_{n}^{\delta+1}(f;x) - C_{n}^{\delta}(f;x)| \leq \frac{1}{2A_{n}^{\delta+1}} \Big\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(A_{n-k}^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}} A_{k}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}} \right)^{2} k^{1-2\beta} \Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\times \Big\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{2\beta-1} |C_{k}^{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}(f;x) - C_{k}^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}}(f;x)|^{2} \Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\leq \text{const}_{\delta,\beta} n^{-\delta-1} g_{\beta}^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}}(f;x) \Big\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} (n-k)^{\delta-1} k^{\delta+1} k^{1-2\beta} \Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\leq \text{const}_{\delta,\beta} n^{-\beta} g_{\beta}^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}}(f;x),
$$

or

$$
n^{\beta}|C_n^{\delta+1}(f;x)-C_n^{\delta}(f;x)|\leq \mathrm{const}_{\delta,\beta} g_\beta^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}}(f;x),\quad a.e.
$$

and finally,

$$
N_{\beta}^{\delta}(f;x) \leq \mathrm{const}_{\delta,\beta} g_{\beta}^{\frac{\delta-1}{2}}(f;x) \quad a.e
$$

It is important to recall that $\frac{6-1}{2} > -\frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 1, estimate (2.6) is proven for $0 \leq \beta < 1$. For $\beta = 1$, we have

$$
n|C_n^{\delta+1}(f;x)-C_n^{\delta}(f;x)|=\left|\frac{n}{n+\delta+1}\sum_{k=0}^n\frac{A_{n-k}^{\delta}}{A_n^{\delta}}kY_k(f;x)\right|\leq \sup_{n\geq 1}|C_n^{\delta}(f^{\{1\}};x)|.
$$

Here $f^{\{1\}} \in L^2(\Sigma_d)$ is, again, the 1-st Riesz derivative of f having the spherical harmonic expansion $f^{(1)}(\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k Y_k(f; \cdot)$. It follows from the L^2 -boundedness of sup_n $|C_n^{\delta}(f; x)|, \delta > 0$, that

$$
||N_1^{\delta}(f)||_2 \leq ||\sup_n |C_n^{\delta}(f^{\{1\}})||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\delta} ||f^{\{1\}}||_2 = \text{const}_{\delta} ||f||_{2,1},
$$

which provides the estimate (2.6) for $\beta = 1$.

Remark: Let $\delta > 0$. For $f \in L^{2,1}(\Sigma_d)$,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} n(f(x)-C_n^{\delta}(f;x))=f^{\{1\}}(x)\qquad a.e.
$$

Indeed, because of

$$
f(x) - C_n^{\delta+1}(f; x) = (\delta+1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+\delta+1)} C_k^{\delta}(f^{\{1\}}; x),
$$

À

we can verify the equation

Strong Approximation of Spherical Functions

\nan verify the equation

\n
$$
n(f(x) - C_k^{\delta}(f; x)) - f^{(1)}(x) = \left[n(f(x) - C_n^{\delta+1}(f; x)) - (\delta + 1)f^{(1)}(x) \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{n}{n + \delta + 1} \left[C_n^{\delta}(f^{(1)}; x) - f^{(1)}(x) \right] - \frac{\delta + 1}{n + \delta + 1} f^{(1)}(x).
$$
\nknow that the three terms on the right-hand side of the equation above tend almost everywhere as $n \to \infty$.

\nLemma 3: For $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, $0 \le \beta \le 1$,

\n
$$
||M_{\beta}^1(f)||_2 \le \text{const}_{\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta}.
$$
\n9 of: Set

\n
$$
n, k = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^{1-\beta} & \text{if } 0 \le k \le n \\ \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^{-\beta} & \text{if } n+1 \le k < \infty \end{cases}
$$
\nand $\Delta^2 b_{n,k} = b_{n,k} - 2b_{n,k+1} + b_{n,k+2}$.

\ndefine a sequence of linear operators $\{E_n\}$ by $E_n(f; \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} Y_k(f; \cdot)$. The

We know that the three terms on the right-hand side of the equation above tend to zero almost everywhere as $n \to \infty$.

Lemma 3: *For* $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, $0 \le \beta \le 1$,

$$
||M_{\beta}^1(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta}.
$$
 (2.11)

Lemma 3: For
$$
f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)
$$
, $0 \le \beta \le 1$,
\n
$$
||M^1_{\beta}(f)||_2 \le \text{const}_{\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta}.
$$
\n(2.11)
\nProof: Set
\n
$$
b_{n,k} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)^{1-\beta} & \text{if } 0 \le k \le n \\ \left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)^{-\beta} & \text{if } n+1 \le k < \infty \end{cases}
$$
\nand $\Delta^2 b_{n,k} = b_{n,k} - 2b_{n,k+1} + b_{n,k+2}.$
\nWe define a sequence of linear operators $\{E_n\}$ by $E_n(f; \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} Y_k(f; \cdot)$. Then
\n
$$
E_n(f; \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \Delta^2 b_{n,k} C^1_k(f; \cdot).
$$
\n(2.12)
\nindeed, on the one hand,

We define a sequence of linear operators ${E_n}$ by $E_n(f; \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} Y_k(f; \cdot)$. Then

$$
E_n(f; \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \Delta^2 b_{n,k} C_k^1(f; \cdot).
$$
 (2.12)

Indeed, on the one hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{coof: Set} \\
b_{n,k} &= \begin{cases}\n\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)^{1-\beta} & \text{if } 0 \le k \le n \\
\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)^{-\beta} & \text{if } n+1 \le k < \infty\n\end{cases} \\
\text{and } \Delta^2 b_{n,k} = b_{n,k} - 2b_{n,k+1} + b_{n,k+2}.\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\text{define a sequence of linear operators } \{E_n\} \text{ by } E_n(f; \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} Y_k(f; \cdot). \text{ T!}
$$
\n
$$
E_n(f; \cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \Delta^2 b_{n,k} C_k^1(f; \cdot). \tag{2.}
$$
\n
$$
\text{eed, on the one hand,}
$$
\n
$$
\sup_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) |\Delta^2 b_{n,k}| = \sup_n \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1) |\Delta^2 b_{n,k}| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k+1) |\Delta^2 b_{n,k}| \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\le \sup_n 2 \left[1 + (n+1) \left(1 - \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right)^{\beta} \right) \right] \le \text{const}_{\beta} \left(\le \infty \right);
$$
\n
$$
\text{the sequences of multiplication } (k, n) \text{ is uniformly equal to } \text{square, } \text{Consequently, } \mathbb{R} \text{ is a constant.}
$$

i.e., the sequence of multipliers ${b_{n,k}}$ is uniformly quasi-convex. Consequently,

$$
\leq \sup_{n} 2 \left[1 + (n+1) \left(1 - \left(\frac{n+2}{n+2} \right) \right) \right] \leq \text{con}
$$

ace of multipliers $\{b_{n,k}\}$ is uniformly quasi-convex. Con-

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \Delta^{2} b_{n,k} C_{k}^{1}(f; \cdot) \in L^{2}(\Sigma_{d}) \quad \text{if } f \in L^{2}(\Sigma_{d}),
$$

and

$$
\leq \sup_{n} \mathbb{E}\left[1 + (n+1)(1 - \binom{n+2}{n+2})\right] \leq \text{const}_{\beta}(\leq \infty),
$$
\nace of multipliers $\{b_{n,k}\}$ is uniformly quasi-convex. Consequently,

\n
$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)\Delta^{2}b_{n,k}C_{k}^{1}(f;\cdot) \in L^{2}(\Sigma_{d}) \quad \text{if } f \in L^{2}(\Sigma_{d}),
$$
\n
$$
\sup_{n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)\Delta^{2}b_{n,k}C_{k}^{1}(f;x) \right| \leq \text{const}_{\beta} \sup_{k} |C_{k}^{1}(f;x)|. \tag{2.13}
$$
\nhand, $Y_{m} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)\Delta^{2}b_{n,k}C_{k}^{1}(f;\cdot) \right) = b_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f;\cdot) \text{ for each } m > 0.$

On the other hand, $Y_m\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1)\Delta^2b_{n,k}C_k^1(f; \cdot)\right) = b_{n,m}Y_m(f; \cdot)$ for each $m \geq 0$.

In fact, $Y_m(C_k^1(f; \cdot)) = 0$ if $k < m$, and $=(1 - \frac{m}{k+1})Y_m(f; \cdot)$ if $k \geq m$. Therefore,

120 LUOGING LI
\nIn fact,
$$
Y_m(C_k^1(f; \cdot)) = 0
$$
 if $k < m$, and $= (1 - \frac{m}{k+1})Y_m(f; \cdot)$ if $k \ge m$. Then
\n
$$
Y_m\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \Delta^2 b_{n,k} C_k^1(f; \cdot)\right) = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} (k+1) \Delta^2 b_{n,k} (1 - \frac{m}{k+1}) Y_m(f; \cdot)
$$
\n
$$
= Y_m(f; \cdot) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} (k+1-m) \Delta^2 b_{n,k}
$$
\n
$$
= Y_m(f; \cdot) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \Delta^2 b_{n,k+m}
$$
\n
$$
= b_{n,m} Y_m(f; \cdot), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$
\nUsing the fact that the sequence of harmonic projections forms a total system
\nobtain equation (2.12). If $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, then $(n+1)^{\beta}(f(\cdot) - C_n^1(f; \cdot)) = E_n(f^{\beta})$
\nFurthermore; by estimates (2.12) and (2.13),
\n
$$
M_{\beta}^1(f; \cdot) = \sup_n |E_n(f^{\{\beta\}}; \cdot)| \le \text{const}_{\beta} \sup_k |C_k^1(f^{\{\beta\}}; \cdot)|,
$$
\nand
\n
$$
||M_{\beta}^1(f)||_2 \le \text{const}_{\beta} ||\sup_k |C_k^1(f^{\{\beta\}})||_2 \le \text{const}_{\beta} ||f^{\{\beta\}}||_2 = \text{const}_{\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta},
$$
\nwhich gives the desired estimate.
\n§3. Proof of the theorems

Using the fact that the sequence of harmonic projections forms a total system, we obtain equation (2.12). If $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, then $(n+1)^{\beta}(f(\cdot) - C_n^1(f; \cdot)) = E_n(f^{\{\beta\}}; \cdot)$. Furthermore, by estimates (2.12) and (2.13),

$$
M_{\beta}^1(f; \cdot) = \sup_n |E_n(f^{\{\beta\}}; \cdot)| \leq \mathrm{const}_{\beta} \sup_k |C_k^1(f^{\{\beta\}}; \cdot)|,
$$

and

$$
||M_{\beta}^{2}(f)||_{2} \leq \text{const}_{\beta} ||\sup_{k}|C_{k}^{1}(f^{\{\beta\}})||_{2} \leq \text{const}_{\beta} ||f^{\{\beta\}}||_{2} = \text{const}_{\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta},
$$

§3. Proof of the theorems

Having done all necessary preparations, we can present the proof of the theorems by taking, once again, the special properties of the Cesàro means into account. The Banach continuity principle is also used. present the proof of
Cesàro means into
 \cdot any $\gamma > 0$,
 $||f||_{2,\beta}$.

Proof of Theorem 1: First we note that, for any $\gamma > 0$, $||M_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\gamma,\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta}.$

$$
||M_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\gamma,\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta}.
$$
 (2.14)

In fact, we have

$$
n^{\beta} |C_n^{\gamma+1}(f; x) - f(x)| = n^{\beta} |(A_n^{\gamma+1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n A_n^1 A_{n-k}^{\gamma-1} (C_k^1(f; x) - f(x))|
$$

$$
\leq n^{\beta} (A_n^{\gamma+1})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\gamma-1} k^{-\beta} M_{\beta}^1(f; x)
$$

$$
\leq \text{const}_{\beta,\gamma} M_{\beta}^1(f; x).
$$

Therefore $M_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(f; x) \leq \text{const}_{\beta,\gamma} M_{\beta}^1(f; x)$, and by Lemma 3,

 $M^1_\beta(f;x), \text{ and by Lemma 3},$

Strong Approximation of Sphere
\n
$$
||M_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\beta} ||M_{\beta}^1(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\beta,\gamma} ||f||_{2,\beta}.
$$

\n $\int I^{2,\beta}(\nabla \cdot) \cdot 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, we have $M^{\delta}(f, \pi) \leq N^{\delta}(f, \pi)$

 $||M_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(f)||_2 \le \text{const}$
Let $\delta > 0$. For $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, $0 \le \beta \le$
Applying Lemma 2 and the estimate (1, we have $M_{\beta}^{\delta}(f; x) \leq N_{\beta}^{\delta}(f; x) + M_{\beta}^{1+\delta}(f; x)$. Applying Lemma 2 and the estimate (2.14),

$$
||M_{\beta}^{\delta}(f)||_2 \leq ||N_{\beta}^{\delta}(f)||_2 + ||M_{\beta}^{1+\delta}(f)||_2 \leq \text{const}_{\delta,\beta} ||f||_{2,\beta};
$$

i.e. $|C_n^{\delta}(f;x) - f(x)| = O_x(\frac{1}{n^{\beta}})$ *a.e.*, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $0 \leq \beta < 1$. For any spherical polynomial g we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\beta} |C_n^{\delta}(g; x)-g(x)|=0$. Since the spherical polynomials are dense in $L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, and M^{δ}_{β} is bounded in $L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, by the Banach continuity principle (see, e.g., [2]), we finally obtain for $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$, $\begin{aligned} &M_{\beta}^{\gamma+1}(f) \|_2 \leq \mathrm{const}_{\beta} \|M_{\beta}^1(f) \|_2 \leq \mathrm{const}_{\beta,\gamma} \|f\|_{2,\beta}. \ &L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d),\ 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, \text{ we have } M_{\beta}^{\delta}(f;x) \leq N_{\beta}^{\delta}(f;x) + M_{\beta}^{1+\delta}(f;x). \ &\text{and the estimate (2.14)}, \ &f) \|_2 \leq \|N_{\beta}^{\delta}(f) \|_2 + \|M_{\beta}^{1+\delta}(f) \|_2 \leq \mathrm{const}_{\delta,\beta} \|f\|_{2,\beta}; \$

$$
|C_n^{\delta}(f;x)-f(x)|=o_x\big(\frac{1}{n^{\beta}}\big) \quad a.e., \quad 0\leq \beta<1.
$$

Proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly from the one of (1.3). In order to handle the case $-\frac{1}{2} < \delta \leq 0$, we need the help of the Littlewood-Paley function. For $0 \le \beta \le \frac{1}{2}$,

$$
|C_n^{\delta}(f;x) - f(x)| = o_x(\frac{1}{n^{\beta}}) \quad a.e., \quad 0 \le \beta < 1.
$$
\nProof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we need the help of the two of theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the estimate (1.4) directly for the proof of Theorem 2: If $\delta > 0$, we can deduce the set $\overline{a} =$

A combination of (2.5) with the inequality above yields

$$
\Big\|\sup_n\Big\{\frac{n^{2\beta}}{n}\sum_{k=0}^n|C_k^{\delta}(f;\cdot)-C_k^{\delta+1}(f;\cdot)|^2\Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\|_2\leq\|g_{\beta}^{\delta}(f)\|_2\leq\mathrm{const}_{\delta,\beta}\|f\|_{2,\beta}.
$$

This gives

ċ

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n}|C_k^{\delta}(f;x)-C_k^{\delta+1}(f;x)|^2=O_x(\frac{1}{n^{2\beta}}) \quad a.e.
$$

for $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$ and $0 \le \beta \le \frac{1}{2}$. If $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d), \beta > \frac{1}{2}$, then $f \in L^{2,\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma_d)$. We also have

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n}|C_k^{\delta}(f;x)-C_k^{\delta+1}(f;x)|^2=O_x(\frac{1}{n})\qquad a.e.
$$

We summarise the above and obtain

Lemma 4: Let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. For $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$,

maxis the above and obtain

\n
$$
a \ 4: \ Let \ 0 \leq \beta \leq 1. \ For \ f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f; x) - C_k^{\delta+1}(f; x)|^2 =\n\begin{cases}\nO_x(\frac{1}{n^{2\beta}}) & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\
O_x(\frac{1}{n}) & \text{if} \quad \beta \geq \frac{1}{2}\n\end{cases}
$$
\nwhere.

\nlemma, Theorem 1, and the inequality

\n
$$
|x) - f(x)|^2 \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f; x) - C_k^{\delta+1}(f; x)|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta+1}(f; x)|^2 + \frac{2
$$

almost everywhere.

By this lemma, Theorem 1, and the inequality

Lemma 4: Let
$$
0 \le \beta \le 1
$$
. For $f \in L^{2,\beta}(\Sigma_d)$,
\n
$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f;x) - C_k^{\delta+1}(f;x)|^2 = \begin{cases} O_x(\frac{1}{n^{2\beta}}) & \text{if } 0 \le \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\ O_x(\frac{1}{n}) & \text{if } \beta \ge \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}
$$
\nalmost everywhere.
\nBy this lemma, Theorem 1, and the inequality
\n
$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f;x) - f(x)|^2 \le \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f;x) - C_k^{\delta+1}(f;x)|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta+1}(f;x) - f(x)|^2,
$$
\nwe finally get
\n
$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f;x) - C_k^{\delta+1}(f;x)|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta+1}(f;x) - f(x)|^2,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f;x) - C_k^{\delta+1}(f;x)|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta+1}(f;x) - f(x)|^2.
$$

we finally get

$$
|x) - f(x)|^2 \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f; x) - C_k^{\delta+1}(f; x)|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta+1}(f; x)|^2
$$

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k^{\delta}(f; x) - f(x)|^2 = \begin{cases} O_x(\frac{1}{n^2\delta}) & \text{if } 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\ O_x(\frac{\log n}{n}) & \text{if } \beta = \frac{1}{2} \\ O_x(\frac{1}{n}) & \text{if } \beta > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}
$$

almost everywhere.

Acknowledgement. **I** would like to thank Professor **Dr. H.** Berens for his helpful suggestions during the preparation of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] BONAMI, A. **and** J. L. CLERC: *Sommes de Cesaro at multiplicataurs des dveloppe*ments en harmonics spheriques. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 183 (1973), 223 - 263.
- [2] GUZMAN, M.: *Real Variable Methods in Fourier Analysis.* **Amsterdam: North Holland 1981.**
- [3] SOGGE, C. D.: *Oscillatory integrals and spherical harmonics.* **Duke Math. J. 53 (1986). 43 -65.**
- *[4] STEIN,* E. M.: *Localization and summability of multiple Fourier series.* **Acre Math. 100 (1958), 93 - 147.**
- *[5] STEIN,* E. M.: *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions.* **Princeton (N.J.): Princeton University Press 1971.**

Received 09.01.1992