Interdependent Components of Generating Systems in the Theory of Pseudo-Holomorphic Functions

C. WITHALM

In many studies concerning the theory of pseudo-holomorphic functions in the sense of L. Bers the interdependence of the components of a relevant generating system turns out to be essential to investigate, e.g. inquiring into periodicity problems with respect to corresponding derivatives but also into multiple reasonable configurations.

KEY WORDS: pseudo-holomorphic functions, distinctive generating systems, singularities.

AMS(MOS)SUBi.CLASS.: 30A93, 30G20, 35345

1. Preliminaries

1.1. L. Bers [1-3] pointed out that the solutions of the homogeneous Vekua equation $w_{\bar{z}} - aw - b\bar{w} = 0$ [9], by virtue of a fixed chosen generating system, say $E := \langle F, G \rangle$, accomplishing Im $(FG) > 0$ in some domain $D_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $F, G \in H_{D_0}^1$ (Hölder-continuously differentiable there) is uniquely representable by $w(z) = F(z)\varphi(z) + G(z)\psi(z)$ wherein φ and ψ are real-valued functions in $D \subset D_0$. Analogous to the classical theory we establish the differential operator d_E/dz : w is c and ψ are real-valued functions in $D \subset D_0$. Analogous to the classical theory we establish the differential operator d_E/dz : *w* is called *complex differentiable modulo E at* $z_0 \in D$ if there $w(z)$ is complex linear approximable modulo E , i.e. if

$$
w(z) = F(z)\varphi(z_0) + G(z)\psi(z_0) + \lambda(z-z_0) + \rho|z-z_0|, \qquad \lim_{\substack{|z-z_0|\to 0\\z\neq z_0}} \rho(z,z_0) = 0.
$$

Hereby the complex E-derivative $\lambda = \dot{w}(z_0) = d_E w/dz$ of $w(z)$ at z_0 is defined, and obviously the complex linear approximability modulo E of $w(z)$ is equivalent to the existence of $\lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{w(z) - F(z)\varphi(z_0) - G(z)\psi(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \lambda = \dot{w}(z_0) = \frac{d_E w}{dz}(z_0).$ ¹ *lim₁*.... $\psi(x) = F(z)\varphi(2z) + G(z)\psi(xz)$ is called functions in $D \subset D_0$. Analogous to the classical theory we establish differential operator $d_E/dz : w$ is called *complex differentiable modulo E at* $z_0 \in D$ if $z_0 \in W(z)$ is *kind* or shortly an *E-pseudo-holomorphic function.*

or $\lim_{x\to z_0} \frac{x-z_0}{z-z_0} = \lambda = w(z_0) = \frac{z}{dz}(z_0)$.²⁷ If a_Ew/dz anywhere in *D* does exist properly *w* there is called a *pseudo-holomorphic function modulo <i>E* of the first kind or shortly an *E-pseudo-holomorphic func* The set of all E-pseudo-holomorphic functions defined in *D* obviously forms an additive vector space upon \mathbb{R} , say $P_D(E)$, the subspace of all so-called generalized constants

1.2. In generalization of the classical theory in some neighbourhood of z_0 , $U = U(z_0) \subset D$, there hold the following statements:

¹) More suggestive $\lim_{x\to x_0} \frac{F(x)(\varphi(x)-\varphi(x_0))+G(x)(\psi(x)-\psi(x_0))}{x-x_0}$.

C.Withalm: Karl - Franzens - Universitat, Institut für Mathematik, Heinrichstr. 36, A-8010 Graz modulo E with $\varphi, \psi \equiv \text{const}$, shall be designed by P_D^0

1.2. In generalization of the classical theory in some nethere hold the following statements:

¹)More suggestive $\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{F(x)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_0)) + G(x)(\psi(x) - \psi(x_0))}{$

C. WITHALM

(i) If $w \in P_U(E)$, then $\varphi_z, \varphi_{\bar{z}}, \psi_z, \psi_{\bar{z}}$ exist at z_0 and
 $F\varphi_z + G\psi_z = \dot{w}, \quad F\varphi_{\bar{z}} + G\psi_z$

$$
\varphi_z, \varphi_{\bar{z}}, \psi_z, \psi_{\bar{z}} \text{ exist at } z_0 \text{ and}
$$

$$
F\varphi_z + G\psi_z = \dot{w}, \quad F\varphi_{\bar{z}} + G\psi_{\bar{z}} = 0
$$
 (1)
Cauchy-Riemann differential equations.)
inuously differentiable) and $F\varphi_{\bar{z}} + G\psi_{\bar{z}} = 0$ is true there.

is valid there. (Generalized Cauchy-Riemann differential equations.)

(ii) If $\varphi, \psi \in C_U^1$ (continuously differentiable) and $F\varphi_{\bar{x}} + G\psi_{\bar{x}} = 0$ is true there, then $w \in P_U(E)$ holds. (Generalization of the theorem of Loomann-Menchhoff.)

Remark 1: (i) Obviously $F, G \in P_D^0(E)$ and via

$$
F_{\bar{z}}=aF+b\bar{F},\ G_{\bar{z}}=aG+b\bar{G},\ F_{z}=AF+B\bar{F},\ G_{z}=AG+B\bar{G}
$$

we obtain the so-called characteristic coefficients

k 1: (i) Obviously
$$
F, G \in P_D^0(E)
$$
 and via
\n $F_{\bar{z}} = aF + b\bar{F}, G_{\bar{z}} = aG + b\bar{G}, F_z = AF + B\bar{F}, G_z = AG + B\bar{G}$
\nne so-called characteristic coefficients
\n $a =: a_E = -(\bar{F}G_{\bar{z}} - F_{\bar{z}}\bar{G})/\Delta_E, \quad b =: b_E = (FG_{\bar{z}} - F_{\bar{z}}G)/\Delta_E,$
\n $A =: A_E = -(\bar{F}G_z - F_z\bar{G})/\Delta_E, \quad B =: B_E = (FG_z - F_zG)/\Delta_E,$
\n $\det \begin{pmatrix} F & G \\ F & G \end{pmatrix}$. (The index "E" is omitted
\n $b_E = (\frac{G}{F})_z \cdot \frac{F^2}{\Delta_E}, \quad B_E = (\frac{G}{F})_z \cdot \frac{F^2}{\Delta_E},$
\na first hint at the importance of the interdependence of F and G,
\ne that conversely for any couple of bounded Hölder continuous f

 $a =: a_E =$
 $A =: A_E$

with $\Delta_E := \det \begin{pmatrix} F & G \\ F & G \end{pmatrix}$). (The index *"E"is* omittable if the meaning is clear!) Note that (The index "E" is omittable if the meaning is clear!) Note that
 $b_E = (\frac{G}{F})_z \cdot \frac{F^2}{\Delta_E}, \quad B_E = (\frac{G}{F})_z \cdot \frac{F^2}{\Delta_E},$

at the importance of the interdependence of F and G, too.

ersely for any couple of bounded Hölder c

$$
b_E = (\frac{G}{F})_z \cdot \frac{F^2}{\Delta_E}, \quad B_E = (\frac{G}{F})_z \cdot \frac{F^2}{\Delta_E}
$$

which gives a first hint at the importance of the interdependence of *F* and C, too.

(ii) Note that conversely for any couple of bounded Holder continuous functions *a, b* defined in D_0 there exists a generating system *E* such that $a = a_E$ and $b = b_E$. $b_E = (\frac{G}{F})_{\bar{x}} \cdot \frac{F^2}{\Delta_E}$, B_E
 h gives a first hint at the importance of the (ii) Note that conversely for any couple of b

ed in D_0 there exists a generating system E

Thus in $U = U(z_0) \subset D$ there hold the fo

Thus in $U = U(z_0) \subset D$ there hold the following statements:

$$
w_z - Aw - B\bar{w} = \dot{w}^2, \quad w_{\bar{z}} - aw - b\bar{w} = 0 \tag{2}
$$

is valid there.

(ii) If $w \in C_U^1$ and $w_{\bar{z}} - aw - b\bar{w} = 0$ is true there, then $w \in P_U(E)$ holds.

1.3. Before inquiring.some F-G-interdependences a few basic results of the theory of pseudo-holomorphic functions shall be listed.

Remark 2: If $E = \langle F, G \rangle \equiv \langle 1, i \rangle =: I$, then, the theory of pseudo-holomorphic functions obviously leads to the classical theory. Thus $P_D(I)$ designes the algebra (upon K) of the holomorphic functions defined in *D.*

Remark 3: (i) $w \in P_D^0(E)$ is equivalent to $\dot{w}(z) \equiv 0$ in *D*.

'Pseudo-differential equation.

(ii) If $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$, then the so-called pseudo-holomorphic function modulo *E* of the second kind $\varphi + i\psi$ is a H_D^2 -function (being a K-quasiconformal mapping). Let *w* be any function of the structure $F\varphi + G\psi$ with $E = \langle F, G \rangle$ being a generating system and φ, ψ arbitrary *(w* not necessarily an element of $P_D(E)$). Then the 1-1-operator p_E shall be defined by virtue of $p_E w \equiv p_E(F\varphi + G\psi) = \varphi + i\psi$.

(iii) If $w \in P_D(E)$, then *w* is a H_D^1 -function and $\dot{w}_{\bar{z}} = a_E \dot{w} - B_E \ddot{w}$ holds in *D*. Thus is a pseudo-holomorphic function modulo a generating system $E^1 := \langle F_1, G_1 \rangle$, called the successor of $E = E^0$ (E^0 predecessor of E^1) and defined by virtue of $a_{E^1} = a_{E^0}$, $b_{E^1} = -B_{E^0}$. Iteratively we obtain a corresponding generating sequence $(E^{\nu})_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which E^0 is embedded in such that (involving derivatives of all orders) d_{E^*}/dz maps $P_D(E^*)$ into $P_D(E^{\nu+1})$. If for a fixed $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ always $E^{\nu+\mu} = E^{\nu}$, μ is called the *period* of $(E^{\nu})_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}}$. (The relating periodicity problem was solved by M.H. Protter [6].)

2. F-G-interdependences

2.1. In the well-improved theory of pseudo-holomorphic functions which was developed by L. Bers and his school (similar to Vekua's investigations on generalized analytic functions, using some different approach) the mutual correlation of the components of the generating system $E = \langle F, G \rangle$ plays an important role in considering many fundamental examinations (e.g. Remark 1,(i)). Some corresponding aspects shall be presented here.

A prominent theorem was already proved by L. Bers in the beginning fifties.

Theorem 1 (L. Bers): Let $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$. Then the minimal period μ of the generating sequence which $E = E^0$ is embedded in is equal to 1 if and only if the ratio *C/F depends on y only.*

The proof of that theorem according to the definition in Remark 3 ,(iii) is based on $b_{E^1} = -B_{E^0}$ which leads to the relation $(G/F)_{\bar{z}} + (G/F)_{z} = 0$ (cp. Remark 1,(i)).

2.2. For considering a few additional reasonable properties of dependence of *F* and C we set $w = F\varphi + G\psi = F(\varphi + \frac{G}{F}\psi) =: Fw_N$ (where the index N vaguely shall remind the reader of "norm" in the sense of standardize). Thus similar to the definition of the p_E -operator (Remark 3,(ii)) we shall define the 1-1-operator N_E by virtue of $N_Ew =$ $N_E(F\varphi + G\psi) = \varphi + \frac{G}{F}\psi = w_{N_E}$ wherein again $E = \langle F, G \rangle$ is a generating system but not necessarily $w \in P_D(\mathcal{E})$. (Using "N_E" as an index we shall write a pure "N" if not any confusion is possible.)

Comparing Subsection 1.1 it can be shown that $w_N \in P_D(\langle 1, \frac{G}{F} \rangle)$: for if $E = \langle F, G \rangle$ is a generating system in $D_0 \supset D$, then there $\langle 1, \frac{G}{F} \rangle =: E^N$ is a generating system, too, because of the facts that $0 < \text{Im}(\bar{F}G) = \text{Im}(\bar{F} \frac{G}{F}F) = |F|^2 \text{Im}(\bar{1} \frac{G}{F})$ and that $F, G \in H_{D_0}^1$
implies $\frac{G}{F} \in H_{D_0}^1$; from $F \varphi_{\bar{x}} + G \psi_{\bar{x}} = 0$ we obtain $\varphi_{\bar{x}} + \frac{G}{F} \psi_{\bar{x}} = 0$ which proves o confusion is possible.)

Comparing Subsection 1.1 it can be shown that $w_N \in P_D((1, \frac{G}{F}))$: for if $E = \langle F, G \rangle$

is a generating system in $D_0 \supset D$, then there $\langle 1, \frac{G}{F} \rangle =: E^N$ is a generating system, too,

because of (cp. (1)). Note that $p_E w = p_{E^N} w_N$.

In this paper we shall discuss the cases $G/F \in P_{D_0}(I)$ (cp. Remark 2) and $G/F \in$ $P_{D_0}(I), P_{D_0}(I)$ being the set of all anti-holomorphic functions defined in the domain D_0 .

Theorem 2: Let $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$ and $G/F \in P_{D_0}(I)$ with $D \subset D_0$. Then $w_N \in P_D(I)$.

C. WITHALM

In this paper we shall discuss the cases $G/F \in P_{D_0}(I)$ (cp. Remark 2) and $G/F \in \bar{I}$, $P_{D_0}(\bar{I})$ being the set of all anti-holomorphic functions defined in the domain D_0 .

Theorem 2: Let $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P$ **Proof:** From $w \in P_D(E)$ it follows $w_N \in P_D(E^N)$ such that $\varphi_{\bar{x}} + \frac{G}{F} \psi_{\bar{x}} = 0$. Because of G/F being holomorphic we obtain $(\varphi + \frac{G}{F} \psi)_{\bar{x}} = 0$. \Box

Thus by definition of N_E under the assumptions of Theroem 2 we can write N_E : $P_D(E) \rightarrow P_D(I).$

Remark 4: (i) $w_N = \varphi + \frac{G}{F} \psi$ being holomorphic, $w = Fw_N$ already is one possible appearance of *w* according to the similarity principle (L. Bers) or the formula.of reciprocity (I.N. Vekua [9]), which says that $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$ allows a representation of the structure $e^s f$ with f being holomorphic in D and (defining the T_D integral operator by virtue of

$$
(T_D h)(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta d\eta
$$

as usual) $s(z) = T_D(a + b\bar{w}/w)$ et vice versa. Thus in the upper case *F* plays the part of a suitable exponential function e^s . Setting $w_N = u + iv$ we obtain $Fu_{\bar{x}} + iFv_{\bar{x}} = 0$ such that $w = Fw_N \in P_D(\langle F, iF \rangle)$ ($\langle F, iF \rangle$ obviously is a generating system).

(ii) In general E and *E* 'are called *equipotent generating systems relative* to *W* if $P_D(E) \ni W \in P_D(\tilde{E})$ such that in the objective case $\langle F, G \rangle$ and $\langle F, iF \rangle$ are equipotent generating systems relative to *w*, or more general: if $w = F\varphi + G\psi = e^*f$, then $\langle F, G \rangle$ and $\langle e^s, i e^s \rangle$ are equipotent generating systems relative to *w*. Because of the elementary consequences generating systems of the structure $\langle e^s, ie^s \rangle$ (or $\langle F, iF \rangle$, respectively) are called *main generating* systems.

(iii) If in $w = e^s f$ the function f is a pure constant, then L. Bers calls w a generalized *constant;* but because of *w* generally is interpretable as an element of $P_D((e^s, ie^s))$ we use the relevant definition given in Subsection 1.1 (cp., e.g., [10] and Remark 3,(i)).

Remark 5: Finally note that $(G/F)_{\bar{z}} = 0$ implies $b_E = 0$ (cp. Remark 1).

2.3. Let $f = u + iv$ be an element of the algebra $P_{D_0}(\overline{I})$, i.e. $f_x =$ respectively. 0 or $u_x = -v_y$, u respectively.

'Theorem 3: Let $E = \langle F, G \rangle$ be a generating system in D_0 and let $G/F \in P_{D_0}(\overline{I}).$ *Then for each* $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$ *,* $D \subset D_0$ *, there exists a function* $\tilde{w} = F\tilde{\varphi} + G\tilde{\psi} \in$ *P_D*(*E*) such that $\Phi := \varphi + i\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\Psi := \psi + i\tilde{\psi}$ are *holomorphic in D* (see [12]).

Proof: Defining $-iG/F =: \sigma + i\tau$ and $-iF/G =: \hat{\sigma} + i\hat{\tau}$ the relation $F\varphi_{\bar{x}} + G\psi_{\bar{x}} = 0$ is equivalent to the system Interdependent Components of Generating Systems

Proof: Defining $-iG/F =: \sigma + i\tau$ and $-iF/G =: \hat{\sigma} + i\hat{\tau}$ the relation $F\varphi_{\tilde{x}} + G\psi_{\tilde{z}}$

is equivalent to the system
 $\varphi_x = \tau \psi_x + \sigma \psi_y,$ $\varphi_y = -\sigma \psi_x + \tau \psi_y$
 $\psi_x = \hat{\tau}$ Interdependent Components of Generating Systems 267
 LiG/*F* =: σ + *i* r and $-iF/G$ =: $\hat{\sigma}$ + *i* r the relation $F\varphi_{\tilde{z}} + G\psi_{\tilde{z}} = 0$

stem
 $\varphi_z = \tau \psi_z + \sigma \psi_y$, $\varphi_y = -\sigma \psi_z + \tau \psi_y$
 $\psi_x = \hat{\tau} \varphi_z + \hat{\sigma} \varphi_y$

Interdependent Components of Ge
\n
$$
G/F =: \sigma + i\tau \text{ and } -iF/G =: \hat{\sigma} + i\hat{\tau} \text{ the}
$$
\n
$$
\varphi_x = \tau \psi_x + \sigma \psi_y, \qquad \varphi_y = -\sigma \psi_x + \tau \psi_y
$$
\n
$$
\psi_x = \hat{\tau} \varphi_x + \hat{\sigma} \varphi_y, \qquad \psi_y = -\hat{\sigma} \varphi_x + \hat{\tau} \varphi_y.
$$

from which by a repeated differentiation (being legitimate, cp. Remark 3,(ii)) and by

$$
\Delta \varphi + \hat{\alpha} \varphi_z + \hat{\beta} \varphi_y = 0, \quad \Delta \psi + \alpha \psi_z + \beta \psi_y = 0 \tag{3}
$$

wherein

Defining
$$
-iG/F =: \sigma + i\tau
$$
 and $-iF/G =: \hat{\sigma} + i\hat{\tau}$ the relation $F\varphi$
\nto the system
\n
$$
\varphi_z = \tau \psi_x + \sigma \psi_y, \qquad \varphi_y = -\sigma \psi_x + \tau \psi_y
$$
\n
$$
\psi_x = \hat{\tau} \varphi_x + \hat{\sigma} \varphi_y, \qquad \psi_y = -\hat{\sigma} \varphi_x + \hat{\tau} \varphi_y
$$
\nby a repeated differentiation (being legitimate, cp. Remark 3)
\nwe gain the system
\n
$$
\Delta \varphi + \hat{\alpha} \varphi_x + \hat{\beta} \varphi_y = 0, \quad \Delta \psi + \alpha \psi_x + \beta \psi_y = 0
$$
\n
$$
\alpha = \frac{\sigma_x + \tau_y}{\sigma}, \quad \hat{\alpha} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_x + \hat{\tau}_y}{\hat{\sigma}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{\sigma_y - \tau_x}{\sigma}, \quad \hat{\beta} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_y - \hat{\tau}_x}{\hat{\sigma}}
$$
\nthat $\alpha, \beta, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ vanish if $-iG/F$ (and so $-iF/G$) are anti-holom-
\ntheory: one finds the complementary $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\psi}$ (concurated harmonic

Defining $-iG/F =: \sigma + i\tau$ and $-iF/G =: \hat{\sigma} + i\hat{\tau}$ the relation

to the system
 $\varphi_x = \tau \psi_x + \sigma \psi_y, \qquad \varphi_y = -\sigma \psi_x + \tau \psi_y$
 $\psi_x = \hat{\tau} \varphi_x + \hat{\sigma} \varphi_y, \qquad \psi_y = -\hat{\sigma} \varphi_x + \hat{\tau} \varphi_y$

by a repeated differentiation (being legitimate, which means that α , β , α , β vanish if $-iG/F$ (and so $-iF/G$) are anti-holomorphic. As in the classical theory one finds the supplements $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ (conjugated harmonic to φ and ψ *,* respectively) at which $F\tilde{\varphi} + G\tilde{\psi}$ turns out to be an element of $P_D(E)$ again. (Note that $iF\tilde{\varphi} + iG\tilde{\psi} \in P_D(iE)$.) \square which means that $\alpha, \beta, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ vanish if $-iG/F$ (and so $-iF/G$) are anti-holomorphic. As in
the classical theory one finds the supplements $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ (conjugated harmonic to φ and ψ ,
respectively) at

Remark 6: (i) If conversely φ and consequently ψ (et vice versa) satisfy a (non-Theorem 3 is necessary and sufficient.

(ii) Note that every complex-valued potential function being regular in some domain. ${z:Re(z - z_0) < a, Im (z - z_0) < b}$ can be written as the sum of a holomorphic and an anti-holomorphic function.

Theorem 4: Under the premises of Theorem 3 $N_{E_1} \frac{d_E}{dx}$: $P_D(E) \rightarrow P_D(I)$ is true for E^1 being a successor of $E = E^0$ in $D_0 \subset D$.

Proof: From $(G/F)_z = 0$ we obtain $B_E = 0$ (cp. Remark 1,(i)). According to the definition in Remark 3,(iii) from $b_{E^1} = -B_{E^0}$ it follows $b_{E^1} = 0$ which implies $G_1/F_1 \in$ $P_{D_0}(I)$. Thus the proof is accomplished by Remark 3,(iii) again and by Theorem 2. \Box Theorem 4: Under the premises of Theorem 3 $N_{E^1} \frac{dE}{dx}$: $P_D(E) \rightarrow P_D(I)$ is true for
eeing a successor of $E = E^0$ in $D_0 \subset D$.
Proof: From $(G/F)_z = 0$ we obtain $B_E = 0$ (cp. Remark 1,(i)). According to the
ition in Remark

 $P_D(E^1)$ but $d_{E^N}w_N/dz$ is not equal to w_{1N} in general.

3. Integral operators

3.1. With regard to the underlying Vekua equation $w_i - aw - b\bar{w} = 0$ or the pseudodifferential equation $w_x - Aw - B\overline{w} = \dot{w}$, respectively (cp. (2)) it is useful to establish some relevant features concerning w_N .

First it is easy to compute (cp. Remark $1,(i)$) that

$$
a_{E^N}=-b_E\frac{\bar{F}}{\bar{F}},\quad b_{E^N}=b_E\frac{\bar{F}}{\bar{F}},\quad A_{E^N}=-B_E\frac{\bar{F}}{\bar{F}},\quad B_{E^N}=B_E\frac{\bar{F}}{\bar{F}}
$$

are the characteristic coefficients for the generating system E^N such that the correlative Vekua equation and the pseudo-differential equation, respectively, reduce to

$$
(w_N)_z + b_E \frac{\bar{F}}{F} \cdot 2i \text{ Im } w_N = 0, \ (w_N)_z + B_E \frac{\bar{F}}{F} \cdot 2i \text{ Im } w_N = \dot{w}_N.
$$

If specifically $G/F \in P_{D_0}(I)$, because of $b_E = 0$ it follows of course w_N to be holomorphic (cp. Theorem 2 and Remark 5). If specifically $G/F \in P_{D_0}(\bar{I})$ because of $B_E = 0$ it follows *(w_N)_z* + *b_E* $\frac{\bar{F}}{F}$ \cdot 2*i* Im $w_N = 0$, $(w_N)_z + B_E \frac{\bar{F}}{F}$ \cdot 2*i* Im $w_N = w_N$.
 (w_N)_z + *b_E* $\frac{\bar{F}}{F}$ \cdot 2*i* Im $w_N = 0$, $(w_N)_z + B_E \frac{\bar{F}}{F}$ \cdot 2*i* Im $w_N = w_N$.
 Mi specifically $G/F \in$

3.2. Considering boundary value problems, pseudo-holomorphic functions modulo a generating system of the structure $(1, H)$ play a remarkable part; that follows from the configuration of the differential equations (3) (canonical form) being a basis to investigate correlative problems. Note that the definition of the corresponding coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ depends on the ratio G/F again, and that for $\langle 1, G/F \rangle$ by definition of $\sigma, \tau, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau}$ in the proof of Theorem 3 we can use the same abbreviations - obtaining an equivalent system to (3). See [1]. figuration of the differential equations (3) (canonical form) being a basis to in
correlative problems. Note that the definition of the corresponding coefficients
depends on the ratio G/F again, and that for $\langle 1, G/F \rangle$ *If* $\alpha, \beta, \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$
 If depends on the ratio G/F again, and that for $(1, G/F)$ by definition of $\sigma, \tau, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau}$ in the

proof of Theorem 3 we can use the same abbreviations – obtaining an equivalent system

to (3 figuration of the differential equations (3) (canonical form) being a base correlative problems. Note that the definition of the corresponding coef depends on the ratio G/F again, and that for $(1, G/F)$ by definition of p

3.3. Let $E = \langle F, G \rangle$ be a generating system defined in $D_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$, let $\Gamma = \Gamma[z_0, z] \subset D \subset D_0$

$$
p_E \int_{\Gamma[z_0,z]} W d_E \zeta := \text{Re} \int_{\Gamma[z_0,z]} G^* W d\zeta + i \text{Re} \int_{\Gamma[z_0,z]} F^* W d\zeta
$$

 $E^* = \langle F^*, G^* \rangle$ is the generating system *adjoint* to *E*. (Note that indeed E^* is a generating system anew.) Moreover $p_E \int W d_E \zeta := \text{Re} \int G^* W d\zeta + i \text{Re} \int F^* W d\zeta$
 $\Gamma[z_0, z]$

ed the $p_E\text{-integral of } W$ over Γ wherein $F^* := -2\overline{F}/\Delta_E$ and $G^* := 2$
 $\langle F^*, G^* \rangle$ is the generating system adjoint to E . (Note that indeed E^* is a

n anew.) heorem 3 we can use the same abbreviations – obtaining an

[1].
 $f' = \langle F, G \rangle$ be a generating system defined in $D_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$, let $\Gamma =$

of integration, and let W be a function continuous on Γ . The
 $p_E \int W d_E \zeta := \text{Re} \$ $\Gamma_{z_0,z}$

is called the p_E -integral of W over
 $E^* = \langle F^*, G^* \rangle$ is the generating syst

system anew.) Moreover
 $\int W d_E \zeta := F(z) \text{ Re}$
 $\Gamma_{z_0,z}$

is called the E-integral of W over Γ .
 Remark 8: Note that in cont

$$
\int_{[z_0,z]} W d_E \zeta := F(z) \operatorname{Re} p_E \int_{\Gamma[z_0,z]} W d_E \zeta + G(z) \operatorname{Im} p_E \int_{\Gamma[z_0,z]} W d_E \zeta
$$

is called the E -integral of W over Γ .

Remark 8: Note that in contrast to the p_E -integral the E -integral is no additive functional of the path of integration.

ional of the path of integration.
Remark 9: (i) If specifically $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$, then $\dot{w} = d_Ew/dz$ is p_E - and tegrable as well and

$$
p_E \int_{\Gamma[z_0,z]} \dot{w} d_E \zeta = (\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_0)) + i(\psi(z) - \psi(z_0)) = p_E(w(z) - w(z_0)),
$$

$$
\int_{\Gamma[z_0,z]} \dot{w} d_E \zeta = F(z)(\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_0)) + G(z)(\psi(z) - \psi(z_0))
$$

$$
= w(z) - (F(z)\varphi(z_0) + G(z)\psi(z_0)).
$$

(ii) Setting $W = FW_N$, W_N being some suitable function and F naturally being the first component of E , we obtain the formulas

Interdependent Components of Generating Systems)
\n). Setting
$$
W = FW_N
$$
, W_N being some suitable function and F naturally be
\nimponent of E, we obtain the formulas
\n
$$
p_{E^N} \int W_N d_{E^N} \zeta = p_E \int V d_E \zeta, \quad F(z) \int W_N d_{E^N} \zeta = \int \int W d_E \zeta
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma[z_0, z] \qquad \Gamma[z_0, z] \qquad \Gamma[z_0, z]
$$
\nresult from the fact that $(E^N)^* = \langle 1^*, (G/F)^* \rangle = \langle FF^*, FG^* \rangle$. Formulas cor

which result from the fact that $(E^N)^* = \langle 1^*, (G/F)^* \rangle = \langle FF^*, FG^* \rangle$. Formulas correlativ to (i) obviously we obtain for $W_N = \dot{w}_N = d_{E^N} w_N/dz$ (cp. Remark 7).

Theorem 5 (L. Bers): Let E^1 be a successor of $E^0 = E$. Then every E^1 -pseudo*holomorphic function is an E-derivative (of a not necessarily single-valued function).*

Thus the statements of the present Subsection 3.3 should be formulated with regard to Theorem 5. Before considering some consequences we are to investigate several properties of the derivatives of anti-holomorphic depending components of a generating system. *Guine Example 1* Fig. 3.3 should be for the present Subsection 3.3 should be for the property of *s*): Let E^1 be a successor of $E^0 = E$. Then every
 i E-derivative (of a not necessarily single-valued fun

f the present Subsection 3.3 should be formulated wit

ring some consequences we are to investigate severa *i ingle-valued fun*
 *inculd be formulated with

<i>ince to investigate severa*
 incents of a generating s
 $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{F^* \dot{w}}{2}$
 i $G/F \in P_{D_0}(\bar{I})$ which is

4. Derivating E

4.1. Generally let $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$. Then from $0 = F\varphi_{\bar{z}} + G\psi_{\bar{z}}$ (respectively $0 = \bar{F}\varphi_z + \bar{G}\psi_z, \, \varphi, \psi$ real) and $\dot{w} = F\varphi_z + \dot{G}\psi_z$ it follows

$$
w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E). \text{ Then from } 0 = F\varphi_{\tilde{z}} + G\psi_{\tilde{z}} \text{ (respectively }\n\psi \text{ real) and } \dot{w} = F\varphi_{\tilde{z}} + G\psi_{\tilde{z}} \text{ it follows}
$$
\n
$$
\varphi_z = \frac{\bar{G}\dot{w}}{\Delta_E} = \frac{G^*\dot{w}}{2}, \qquad \psi_z = -\frac{\bar{F}\dot{w}}{\Delta_E} = \frac{F^*\dot{w}}{2}. \tag{4}
$$
\n
$$
\psi \in H_D^2, \text{ see Remark 3, (ii). Now let } G/F \in P_{D_0}(\bar{I}) \text{ which implies that }\n3) \text{ such that } \Delta\varphi = 0 = \Delta\psi; \text{ hence, because of}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\bar{G}\dot{w}}{\Delta_E}\big)_{\tilde{z}} = \left(\frac{G^*\dot{w}}{2}\right)_{\tilde{z}} = 0, \qquad \left(-\frac{\bar{F}\dot{w}}{\Delta_E}\right)_{\tilde{z}} = \left(\frac{F^*\dot{w}}{2}\right)_{\tilde{z}} = 0, \qquad (5)
$$
\n
$$
\text{orphy of } G^*\dot{w} \text{ and } F^*\dot{w} \text{ in } D \subset D_0.
$$

Remember that $\varphi, \psi \in H_D^2$, see Remark 3,(ii). Now let $G/F \in P_{D_0}(\bar{I})$ which implies that **4.1.** Generally let $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$. Then from $0 = F$
 $0 = \bar{F}\varphi_z + \bar{G}\psi_z$; φ, ψ real) and $\dot{w} = F\varphi_z + G\psi_z$ it follows
 $\varphi_z = \frac{\bar{G}\dot{w}}{\Delta_E} = \frac{G^*\dot{w}}{2}, \qquad \psi_z = -\frac{\bar{F}\dot{w}}{\Delta_E} = \frac{F^*\dot{w}}{2}$

Remember that $\$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\gamma_2 - \Delta_E & 2 \end{array}, \qquad \gamma_2 - \Delta_E = 2 \tag{1}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\psi \in H_D^2, \text{ see Remark 3, (ii). Now let } G/F \in P_{D_0}(\bar{I}) \text{ which implies that} \\
(3) \text{ such that } \Delta \varphi = 0 = \Delta \psi; \text{ hence, because of} \\
(\frac{\bar{G}\dot{w}}{\Delta_E})_z = (\frac{G^* \dot{w}}{2})_z = 0, \qquad (-\frac{\bar{F}\dot{w}}{\Delta_E})_z = (\frac{F^* \dot{w}}{2})_z = 0,\n\end{array}
$$

we learn the holomorphy of $G^* \dot{w}$ and $F^* \dot{w}$ in $D \subset D_0$.

4.2. Let again $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$ and $G/F \in P_{D_0}(\bar{I}), D \subset D_0$. First of all from $E \in H_{D_0}^1 \times H_{D_0}^1$ (cp. Subsection 1.1) and $0 = F\varphi_{\bar{z}} + G\psi_{\bar{z}}$, by (4) and (5), respectively, ensues $0 = F_z \varphi_z + G_z \psi_z$ such that probably $W_1 := F_z \varphi + G_z \psi \in P_D(E_z)$. Indeed presuming $E \in H_{D_0}^2 \times H_{D_0}^2$ on account of $F_z = AF + B\overline{F}$, $G_z = AG + B\overline{G}$ (cp. Remark 1,(i)) and $B \equiv 0$ (see Theorem 4) we obtain Im $(F_x G_x) = \text{Im}(\overline{AF}AG) = |A|^2 \text{ Im}(\overline{FG}) > 0$, (respecting eventual zeros of *A* in D_0), hence $W_1 = Aw \in P_D(AE) \equiv P_D(E_z)$. Note *that* $N_E w = N_E(F\varphi + G\psi) = \varphi + \frac{G}{F}\psi \in P_D(E^N)$ and $N_{E_x} W_1 = N_{E_x}(F_x\varphi + G_x\psi) =$ $N_{AE}(AF\varphi + AG\psi) = \varphi + \frac{G}{F}\psi \in P_D(E^N)$ as well. For $\theta = P_x \varphi_i + G_z \psi_i$ such that probably $W_1 := F_x \varphi + G_x \psi \in P_D(E_x)$. Indeed presuming $F \in H_{D_0}^2 \times H_{D_0}^2$ on account of $F_z = AF + B\overline{F}$, $G_z = AG + B\overline{G}$ (cp. Remark 1,(i)) and $B \equiv 0$ (see Theorem 4) we obtain Im $(\overline{F}_z G$

we analogously obtain $F_{zz} = A_z F + AF_z = (A_z + A^2)F$ and G_{zz}

respectively, such that from $\text{Im}(\overline{F_{zz}}G_{zz}) = |A_z + A^2|^2 \text{Im}(FG) > 0$ (again regarding
possible zeros of the factors at E in D₀) we get $W_2 := F_{zz}\varphi + G_{zz}\psi$ as an element of
 $P_D((A_z + A^2)E)$ or of $P_D(E_{zz})$, respectively, whe respectively, such that from $\text{Im}(\overline{F_{zz}}G_{zz}) = |A_z + A^2|^2 \text{Im}(FG) > 0$ (again regarding possible zeros of the factors at *E* in *D₀*) we get $W_2 := F_{zz}\varphi + G_{zz}\psi$ as an element of $P_D((A_z + A^2)E)$ or of $P_D(E_{zz})$, respectively, where again $N_{E_{zz}}W_2 = \varphi + \frac{G}{F}\psi \in P_D(E^N)$, and so on.

Thus we have proved

Theorem 6: Let $E \in H_{D_0}^{\nu+2} \times H_{D_0}^{\nu+2}$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2, ..., w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$ and $G/F \in P_{D_0}(\bar{I})$. Then $d^{\nu}E/dz^{\nu}$ is a generating system with $\frac{d^{\nu}G}{dz^{\nu}}/\frac{d^{\nu}F}{dz^{\nu}} = G/F$, $W_{\nu} =$ $\frac{d^{\nu}F}{dx^{\nu}}\varphi + \frac{d^{\nu}\tilde{G}}{dx^{\nu}}\psi \in P_D(d^{\nu}E/dz^{\nu})$ and $N_{d^{\nu}E/dz^{\nu}}W_{\nu} = N_Ew = w_N \in P_D(E^N)$, omitting *AE E<i>C E_{D*^{*t*}₀^{*x*}</sup> \leq *EP*_{**D**^{*t*}₀^{*z*} *EP*_D^{*z*} *EP*_D^{*z*} *EP*_D^{*z*} *EP*_D^{*z*} *EP*_D^{*z*} *EP*_D^{*z*} *EP*_D^{*d*}*EP*^{*d*}_{*zz^{<i>v*}</sup> \leq *P*_D*d^{<i>v*}*Ed*}}} eventual zeros of the factors at E in D_0 .

Note that all W_{ν} involve the same pseudo-holomorphic functions of the second kind!

Remark 10: If *E* is a generating system for a suitable *H* (see the conditions in Subsection 1.1), also $HE = E$ is a generating system too. In that case E and E are called *similar generating systems* (L. Bers). Of course by hypotheses of Theorem 6 *d"E/dz'* for all *v* are similar generating systems in pairs. Note that $w \in P_D(E)$ and $\tilde{w} = Hw \in P_D(E)$ $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} p_E w = p_{\varepsilon} \tilde{w}$ and $N_E w = N_{\varepsilon} \tilde{w}$.

Let be $E^* = \langle F^*, G^* \rangle = \langle -\frac{2\tilde{F}}{\Delta_E}, \frac{2\tilde{G}}{\Delta_E} \rangle$ the adjoint generating system to $E = \langle F, G \rangle$ (cp. Subsection 3.3). Then it is easy to check that for $G/F \in P_{D_0}(\overline{I})$ we obtain $F^*_z = -a_EF^* \bar{B}_E \bar{F}^* = -a_E \tilde{F}^*$ and $G^*_z = -a_E G^*$ such that for $E \in H_{D_0}^2 \times H_{D_0}^2$ - like above - $\langle F_z^*, G_z^* \rangle =$ $E_{\bar{z}}^{*}$ turns out to be a generating system, and so on. Thus for corresponding E^{*} -pseudoholomorphic functions a statement similar to Theorem 6 obviously can be formulated. Let be $E^* = \langle F^*, G^* \rangle = \langle -\frac{2\tilde{F}}{\Delta_E}, \frac{2\tilde{G}}{\Delta_E} \rangle$ the adjoint generating system to $E = \langle F, G \rangle$ (cp
ection 3.3). Then it is easy to check that for $G/F \in P_{D_0}(\overline{I})$ we obtain $F^*_z = -a_E F^*$.
 $F^* = -a_E F^*$ and $G^*_z =$

Note that $G/F \in P_D(\overline{I})$ implies $G^*/F^* = -\overline{G}/\overline{F} \in P_D(I)$ such that for those E^* -pseudo-holomorphic functions Theorem 2 becomes valid.

5. Cauchy's theorems

5.1. Let be $E^1 = \langle F_1, G_1 \rangle$ a successor of $E = \langle F, G \rangle$ defined in the domain D_0 and let $W \in P_D(E^1)$ (cp. Theorem 5) with $\tilde{D} \subset\subset D \subset D_0$ wherein \tilde{D} is a simply connected domain and $\partial \tilde{D}$ being a path of integration. Then L. Bers proved $\int_{\partial \tilde{D}} W dE \zeta = 0$ applying Green's identity

$$
\int\limits_{\partial D} F^*Wd\zeta = 2i\iint\limits_{D} (F^*W)_{\bar{\zeta}}d\zeta d\eta
$$

and showing that the integral on the right-hand side is a pure real number. Thus by definition (cp. Subsection 3.3) the proof is finished by deliberating that the same argument holds for $\int_{\partial \bar{D}} G^* W d\zeta$. But note that by that definition we obtain $\int_{\partial \bar{D}} W d_E \zeta = F(z) \cdot O +$ $G(z)$. O wherein *z* may by any point on the boundary of \tilde{D} . Thus interpreting $\int_{\partial \tilde{D}(z)} W dE \zeta$ as a function in respect of the (upper bound) variable $z \in \partial \tilde{D}$ (mind Remark 8) we more

exactly should expound that integral as the neutral element of $P_D^0(E)$ restricted onto $\partial \tilde{D}$.
Consequently regarding a family of simply connected subdomains \tilde{D}_{κ} , $0 < \kappa \le 1$, being Consequently regarding a family of simply connected subdomains \bar{D}_{κ} , $0 < \kappa \le 1$, being an exhausting of \tilde{D} , i.e. $\bar{D}_1 = \tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{D}'_{\kappa} \subset \subset \tilde{D}_{\kappa}$ if $\kappa' < \kappa$, where $dist(\tilde{D}_{\kappa'}, \partial \tilde{D}_{\kappa}) \$ const/($\kappa - \kappa'$), and respecting the consideration above, *z* varying on ∂D_{κ} , naturally the neutral element of $P_{\tilde{D}}^{0}(E)$ is generated (cp. Subsection 1.1).

5.2. Now let $w = F\varphi + G\psi \in P_D(E)$ and let $G/F \in P_{D_0}(\overline{I})$ again. From (5) we know that $G^* \dot{w}$ and $F^* \dot{w}$ turn out to be holomorphic in the domain of definition such that by Cauchy's theorem $\int_{\partial \bar{D}} G^* \dot{w} d\zeta$ and $\int_{\partial \bar{D}} F^* \dot{w} d\zeta$ vanish (defining \bar{D} as above) without using any values of the integrands in \tilde{D} of course (cp. Subsection 5.1). Naturally – taking account of the definitions in Subsection 3.3 - together with the p_E -integral the E-integral vanishes as well wherein $\int_{\partial \tilde{D}(z)} \dot{w} dE \zeta$ is interpretable as above. F^{*}*w* turn out to be holomorphic in the domain of definition
rem $\int_{\partial \bar{D}} G^* \dot{w} d\zeta$ and $\int_{\partial \bar{D}} F^* \dot{w} d\zeta$ vanish (defining \bar{D} as above) v
the integrands in \bar{D} of course (cp. Subsection 5.1). Natur

5.3. Indeed, that interpretation is motivated considering the integral definitions in Subsection 3.3 being relevant for $W(\zeta) := \dot{w}(\zeta)/(\zeta - z_0)$ wherein z_0 denotes a fixed point of \tilde{D} and ζ is the variable of integration. Naturally from $\dot{w} = F\varphi_z + G\psi_z$ and $0 = F\varphi_{\bar{z}} + G\psi_{\bar{z}}$ we obtain $i\dot{w} = F\varphi_y + G\psi_y$. Applying Cauchy's integral formula with respect to Subsection 4.1 we get

$$
\operatorname{Re} \int\limits_{\partial \bar{D}} G^* \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta = \operatorname{Re} \left[2\pi i \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\varphi_x - i \varphi_y)(z_0) \right] = 2\pi \varphi_y(z_0)
$$

and analogously

$$
\varphi_y + G\psi_y.
$$
 Applying Cauchy's integral formula with respect to Su²
\n
$$
\text{Re} \int G^* \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta = \text{Re} [2\pi i \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\varphi_x - i\varphi_y)(z_0)] = 2\pi \varphi_y(z_0)
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } \int \mathbf{F}^* \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta = i \text{Re} [2\pi i \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\psi_x - i\psi_y)(z_0)] = 2\pi i \psi_y(z_0)
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } \int \mathbf{F}^* \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta = i \text{Re} [2\pi i \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\psi_x - i\psi_y)(z_0)] = 2\pi i \psi_y(z_0)
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } \frac{1}{2\pi} p_E \int \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} dE \zeta = (\varphi_y + i\psi_y)(z_0) = p_E i \dot{w}(z_0)^3
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} dE \zeta = F(z) \varphi_y(z_0) + G(z) \psi_y(z_0)^4
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } z \text{ may be any point on the boundary of } \tilde{D}. \text{ Thus } \int_{\partial \tilde{D}(\zeta)} \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} dE \zeta = F(z) \varphi_y(z_0) + G(z) \psi_y(z_0)^4
$$

which means that

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi}p_E \int\limits_{\partial \bar{D}} \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} d_E \zeta = (\varphi_y + i\psi_y)(z_0) = p_E i \dot{w}(z_0)^3
$$

and

$$
F^* \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta = i \text{Re} \left[2\pi i \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\psi_x - i\psi_y)(z_0) \right] = 2i
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} p_E \int \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} d_E \zeta = (\varphi_y + i\psi_y)(z_0) = p_E i \dot{w}(z_0)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} d_E \zeta = F(z) \varphi_y(z_0) + G(z) \psi_y(z_0)^4
$$

wherein again *z* may be any point on the boundary of \tilde{D} . Thus $\int_{\partial \tilde{D}(z)} \frac{\dot{w}}{\zeta - z_0} d_E \zeta$ more precisely characterizes a generalized constant modulo *E* in $D \setminus \{z_0\}$ restricted onto $\partial \bar{D}$, and an exhausting of \tilde{D} correlative to Subsection 5.1 leads to an element of $P_{\tilde{D}\setminus\{x_0\}}^0(E)$; by continuation into z_0 we obtain an element of $P^0_{\tilde{D}}(E)$.

³⁾ See the definition of the p_E -operator in Remark 3,(ii).

⁴⁾ Note that for $\partial \tilde{D} \rightarrow \langle z_0 \rangle$ that expression tends to i $\dot{w}(z_0)$.

5.4. In general let $z_0 \in \tilde{D}$ contain any singularity of \dot{w} the support of which being $p_E \dot{w}$. (Note that w and simultaneously \dot{w} have in some *z* singularities of the same characteristic (L. Bers).) Then considerations near to those of Subsections 5.1 - 5.3 show that

$$
\int_{\partial \tilde{D}(z)} \dot{w} d_E \zeta = F(z) \text{ Re } [2\pi i \text{ res}_{z_0} G^* \dot{w}] + G(z) \text{ Re } [2\pi i \text{ res}_{z_0} F^* \dot{w}]
$$

generates an element at least of $P^0_{\bar{D}\setminus\{z_0\}}(E)$ anew.

6. Remark

Apparently F-G-interdependences in the theory of pseudo-holomorphic functions are not unessential to study. In the present paper only the simple case $G/F \in P_D(I)$ and the case $G/F \in P_D(\overline{I})$ was inquired for several function-theoretical configurations. It is a reasonable assumption that investigations on further correlative dependences (cp. Remark 6,(ii)) could mature interesting results. parently F-G-interdependences in the
ssential to study. In the present paper
 $F \in P_D(\bar{I})$ was inquired for several fu
umption that investigations on furthe
ture interesting results.
FERENCES
Bers, L., *Theory of pseudo-an*

REFERENCES

- [1] Bers, L., *Theory of pseudo-analytic functions,* New York University, 1953.
- [2) Bers, L., *Local theory of pseudo-analytic functions,* Lectures on Functions of a Complex Variable, University of Michigan Press, 1955, pp. 213-244.
- ^[3] Bers, L., An outline of the theory of pseudo-analytic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Sci. 62 No. 1 (1956), 291-331.
- [4] Goldschmidt, B., *Funktionentheoretische Eigenschaften der Lösungen der Vekuaschen Differentialgleichungen mit Koeffizienten in L,i0 (G),* Math. Nachr. 84 . (1978), 229— 246.
- [5] Lanckau, E. and Tutschke, W., Eds., *Complex Analysis Methods*, Trends and Applications, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [6] Protter, M.H., *The periodicity problem for pseudo-analytic functions,* Ann. of Math. (2) 64 (1956), 154-174.
- [7] Tutschke, W., *Partielle komplexe Differeniialgleichungen in einer und mchreren komplexen Variablen,* Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [8] Ttitschke, W. and Withaim, Cl., *The Cauchy-Kovalevska theorem for pseudo-holomorphic functions* in the sense of L. Bers, Complex Variables: Theory and Applications 1 (1983), 389-393.
- [9] Vekua, I.N., Verallgemeinerte analytische Funktionen, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
- [10] Withalm Cl., *Über einen Integraloperator für pseudo-holomorphe Funktionen modulo eines Haupter*zeugendensystems, Complex Variables: Theory and Applications 4 No. 2 (1985), 155-161.
- [11] Withalm Cl., Ed., *Complex methods on partial differential equations aspects in complex analysis*, Berlin, 1989.
- [12] Withalm Cl. Supplierende pseudo-holomorphe Funktionen, Rostocker Mathematisches Kolloquium 40 (1990), 19-28.

Received 27.03.1992; in revised form 01.09.1992