# Quadrature and Collocation Methods for the Double Layer Potential on Polygons

*M.* COSTABEL, *V. J.* ERVIN and *E. P.* STEPHAN

This paper is concerned with approximation methods for Neumann's integral equation on curves with corners. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the piecewise constant  $c$  - collocation and for the quadrature method, using the rectangular rule, are given. ABEL, V. J. ERVIN and E.P. STEPHAN<br> *roximation methods for Neumann's integ*<br>
sufficient conditions for the stability of<br> *quation, polygonal domains, quadrature*<br>
35, 65R20<br>
(*I*) the second kind integral equation<br>  $A_{\Gamma$ This paper is concerned with approximation methods for Neumann's integral equation on curves with corners. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the piecewise contant  $c$ - collocation and for the quadra

*Key words: Neumann integral equation, polygonal domains, quadrature and collocation methods* 

AMS subject classification: 65N35, 65R20

## **0. Introduction**

We consider for  $f \in L^2(\Gamma) \cap \mathbf{R}(\Gamma)$  the second kind integral equation

$$
A_{\Gamma}u := (I - K)u = f \text{ on } \Gamma \tag{1}
$$

$$
K u(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma} u(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_y} \log |x - y| ds_y \tag{2}
$$

and  $\partial/\partial n$  denotes the normal derivative with respect to the outer normal n which exists except at the corners of the polygon  $\Gamma$ , consisting of straight line segments  $\Gamma^j$ . The double layer potential *(2)* can be rewritten as (*F*) the second kind integral equation<br>  $A_{\Gamma}u := (I - K)u = f$  on  $\Gamma$ <br>  $\theta = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma} u(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_y} \log |x - y| ds_y$ <br>
lerivative with respect to the outer nor<br> *Ngon*  $\Gamma$ , consisting of straight line segme<br>
tten as<br>  $K u(x) = -\frac{$ 

$$
K u(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma} u(y) d\theta_x(y) \tag{3}
$$

where  $\theta_r(y)$  denotes the angle between  $y - x$  and some fixed direction.

Many boundary value problems in physics and engineering can be reduced to the equation (1) where *u* is the unknown solution. For the numerical solution of (1) spline approximation methods are widely used, especially collocation and quadrature schemes. For  $\Gamma$  being a smooth closed curve a fairly complete error analysis of collocation methods for (1) using smooth splines has been established (see [1, 5, 10, 11]). For  $\Gamma$  being a polygon, convergence of point collocation for (1) with piecewise linear trial functions is shown in [4) by rewriting the collocation scheme as a Petrov-Galerkin scheme with delta-distributions in the break points as test functions.

In the following we prove convergence for the collocation method of (1) with piecewise constant trial functions by first analysing a quadrature scheme. Our analysis follows closely and uses heavily the analysis by Prössdorf and Rathsfeld [9) which prove convergence of collocation and quadrature schemes for singular integral equations with Cauchy kernel on closed, piecewise smooth curves.

For the collocation method with piecewise constants on the grid  $\Delta_n = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ For the collocation method with piecewise constants on the grid  $\Delta_n = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ <br>we need a finite set of collocation points  $\{\tau_k^{(n)}, k = 0, \dots, n - 1\} \subset \Gamma$  where  $\tau_k^{(n)} \notin \Delta_n$ <br>M. Costabel: Univ. de Rennes, Inst. Math., 3

M. Costabel: Univ. de Rennes, Inst. Math., 35042 Rennes Cedex, France

V.J. Ervin: Clemson Univ., Dep. Math. Sci., Clemson, S.C. 29634, USA.

E.P. Stephan: Univ. Hannover, Inst. Angew. Math., 30167 Hannover, Germany

and approximate the exact solution u of (1) by the piecewise constant functions  $u_n$  on  $\Delta_n$ satisfying

$$
(I - K)u_n(\tau_k^{(n)}) = f(\tau_k^{(n)}), \ k = 0, \ldots, n - 1.
$$
 (4)

*(I — K)u<sub>n</sub>*( $\tau_k^{(n)}$ ) =  $f(\tau_k^{(n)})$ ,  $k = 0, ..., n - 1$ . (4)<br> *(I — K)u<sub>n</sub>*( $\tau_k^{(n)}$ ) =  $f(\tau_k^{(n)})$ ,  $k = 0, ..., n - 1$ . (4)<br> *system* one has to compute  $(K u_n)(\tau_k^{(n)})$  which in the case of a<br> *to* be done by the use of quadrature r In order to solve this system one has to compute  $(Ku_n)(\tau_k^{(n)})$  which in the case of a curved polygon  $\Gamma$  has to be done by the use of quadrature rules. This leads to quadrature schemes which are another numerical method to solve (1) approximately.

Our quadrature and collocation methods both replace the equation  $A_{\Gamma}u = f$  by a discrete operator equation  $A_n u_n = f_n$  where  $A_n$  is an approximate operator of A acting in the space  $X_n$  of piecewise constant functions on a quasiuniform mesh and  $f_n \in X_n$ is an interpolation of *f.* Such a numerical method is called *stable* if *An* is invertible for n sufficiently large and  $sup||A_n^{-1}|| < \infty$ . If the method is stable, f Riemann integrable, and  $A_n$  converges strongly to  $A$ , then the approximate solutions  $u_n$  converge to  $u$  (see The calculation of the stability of the scheme. This is done<br>
[8]). Thus, the crucial point is the proof of the stability of the scheme. This is done<br>
by showing stability of a corresponding model problem on an angle, mak by showing stability of a corresponding model problem on an angle, making use of a localization principle by Gohberg and Krupnik. Following Prössdorf and Rathsfeld we

We now introduce some notation used below

apply Mellin techniques from Costabel and Stephan [3] to handle the model problem.<br>
We now introduce some notation used below :<br>  $\Pi$  — unit circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ <br>  $R(\Gamma)$  — class of bounded Riemann integrable func  $R(\Gamma)$  - class of bounded Riemann integrable functions on  $\Gamma$  $PC(\Gamma)$  - class of piecewise continuous functions on  $\Gamma$ - Hilbert space of sequences  $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $\xi_n \in \mathbb{C}$ is an interpolation of f. Such a numerical method is c<br>
n sufficiently large and  $sup||A_n^{-1}|| < \infty$ . If the method<br>
and  $A_n$  converges strongly to  $A$ , then the approximate<br>
[8]). Thus, the crucial point is the proof of the s  $\Pi$  – unit circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ <br>  $\mathbf{R}(\Gamma)$  – class of bounded Riemann integrable function<br>  $PC(\Gamma)$  – class of piecewise continuous functions on  $\Gamma$ <br>  $l^2$  – Hilbert space of sequences  $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $\xi_n \in$  $\begin{array}{l} \Pi \ \text{R}(\Gamma) \ P C(\Gamma) \ \hline l^2 \ \hline R \ X \ X_n \ X_{n \times n} \ \text{and} \ \text$ - linear space of column vectors of length n with entries from  $X$ - linear space of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries from X  $T(a)$  - Toeplitz operator generated by  $a \in PC(\Pi)$  $\mathscr{L}(X)$  – Banach space of continuous linear operators on X.

## 1. Quadrature methods on an angle

We are interested in quadrature methods for approximating the solution of (1) on polygons. We shall give local conditions which are necessary and sufficient for the stability of the methods. For simplicity we consider only the case of  $\Gamma$  being an infinite angle  $\Gamma_{\omega} = \overline{\mathbb{R}^+} \cup e^{i\omega} \overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$  with opening  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$ . The general case of a polygon  $\Gamma$  follows then by localization arguments. We fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \epsilon, \delta < 1$  and for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  choose the then by localization arguments. We fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \epsilon, \delta < 1$  and for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  choose<br>quadrature points  $t^{(n)}_{k}$  as follows. Following Prössdorf and Rathsfeld [9] we introduce (n) . fork>0 **(n)** I *±í*   $e^{i\omega} \overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$  with opening  $0 < \omega <$ <br>
alization arguments. We fix r<br>
points  $t_k^{(n)}$  as follows. Followir<br>  $= \begin{cases} \frac{k+\delta}{n_k} & \text{for } k \geq 0 \\ -\frac{k+\delta}{n_k} e^{i\omega} & \text{for } k < 0 \end{cases}$ **angle**<br>
simating the solution of (1) on po<br>
ssary and sufficient for the stabil<br>
ne case of  $\Gamma$  being an infinite an<br>
general case of a polygon  $\Gamma$  follo<br>  $\epsilon, \delta < 1$  and for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  choose if<br>
and Rathsfeld [9] we 1 conditions<br>nplicity we opening 0 <<br>ments. We<br>follows. Fol<br>for  $k \ge 0$ <br>for  $k < 0$ <br>for  $k < 0$ <br>ar rule as th<br> $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_j^{(n)}}{t_j^{(n)} - \tau_k^{(n)}}$ ds for approxim<br>hich are necessainsider only the<br> $\lambda < 2\pi$ . The ge<br> $x \ n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \epsilon$ ,<br>wing Prössdorf a<br>and  $\tau_k^{(n)} =$ <br>quadrature form<br> $\frac{1}{n} + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{\xi_j^{(n)}}{t_j^{(n)} -}$ conditions which are necessary and sufficity we consider only the case of  $\Gamma$  being  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$ . The general case of ments. We fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \epsilon, \delta < 1$  and follows. Following Prössdorf and Rathsfe for  $k \ge 0$  and  $\tau_k^{$ 

$$
t_k^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \frac{k+\delta}{n} & \text{for } k \ge 0\\ -\frac{k+\delta}{n}e^{i\omega} & \text{for } k < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_k^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \frac{k+\epsilon}{n} & \text{for } k \ge 0\\ -\frac{k+\epsilon}{n}e^{i\omega} & \text{for } k < 0 \end{cases} \tag{5}
$$

Then using the rectangular *rule* as the *quadrature formula* we obtain for a discretization of (1) the system ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ )

points 
$$
t_k^{(n)}
$$
 as follows. Following Prössdorf and Rathsfeld [9] we introduce  
\n
$$
= \begin{cases}\n\frac{k+\delta}{n} & \text{for } k \ge 0 \\
-\frac{k+\delta}{n}e^{i\omega} & \text{for } k < 0\n\end{cases} \text{ and } \tau_k^{(n)} = \begin{cases}\n\frac{k+\epsilon}{n} & \text{for } k \ge 0 \\
-\frac{k+\epsilon}{n}e^{i\omega} & \text{for } k < 0\n\end{cases} \tag{5}
$$
\nthe rectangular rule as the quadrature formula we obtain for a discretization  
\nystem ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ )  
\n
$$
\xi_k^{(n)} + \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_j^{(n)}}{t_j^{(n)} - \tau_k^{(n)}} \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{\xi_j^{(n)}}{t_j^{(n)} - \tau_k^{(n)}} \frac{-e^{i\omega}}{n} \right\} = f(\tau_k^{(n)}).
$$
\n(6)

Σ,

Quadrature and Collocation Methods 701<br>If there exists a solution  $(\xi_k^{(n)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}, \xi_k^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we obtain an approximation  $u_n$  for<br>the solution  $u \in L^2(\Gamma_\omega)$  of (1)  $Au = f, f \in \mathbb{R}(\Gamma_\omega) \cap L^2(\Gamma_\omega)$ , by setting

$$
u_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta_k^{(n)} \chi_k^{(n)} \tag{7}
$$

where

Quadrature and Collocation Methods 701  
\nsts a solution 
$$
(\xi_k^{(n)})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}
$$
,  $\xi_k^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we obtain an approximation  $u_n$  for  
\n $\in L^2(\Gamma_{\omega})$  of (1)  $Au = f, f \in \mathbb{R}(\Gamma_{\omega}) \cap L^2(\Gamma_{\omega})$ , by setting  
\n
$$
u_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_k^{(n)} \chi_k^{(n)}
$$
\n
$$
\chi_k^{(n)}(t) = \begin{cases}\n1, & \text{if } \frac{k}{n} \le t \le \frac{k+1}{n} \\
0, & \text{elsewhere}\n\end{cases} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...)
$$
\n
$$
\chi_k^{(n)}(t) = \begin{cases}\n1, & \text{if } \frac{k}{n} \le t \le \frac{k+1}{n} \\
1, & \text{if } \frac{k}{n} \le -e^{-iu}t \le \frac{k+1}{n} \\
0, & \text{elsewhere}\n\end{cases} \quad (k = -1, -2, ...)
$$
\n
$$
\text{ote the matrix of the system (6), } A_n \xi_k^{(n)} = f(\tau_k^{(n)})
$$
. We define the interpo-  
\non  $T_n$  by  
\n
$$
T_n y = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} y(\tau_k^{(n)}) \chi_k^{(n)} \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}(\Gamma))
$$
\n
$$
\text{orthogonal projection onto } imT_n \cap L^2(\Gamma_{\omega}) \text{ by } L_n. \text{ In the following we}
$$

Let  $A_n$  denote the matrix of the system (6),  $A_n \xi_k^{(n)} = f(\tau_k^{(n)})$ . We define the interpolation projection *T,.* by

1, 11 
$$
\frac{1}{n} \le -e^{-n\epsilon} t \le \frac{n\epsilon}{n}
$$
  $(k = -1, -2, ...)$   
\n20, elsewhere  
\n $x$  of the system (6),  $A_n \xi_k^{(n)} = f(\tau_k^{(n)})$ . We define the interpo-  
\n $T_n y = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} y(\tau_k^{(n)}) \chi_k^{(n)}$   $(y \in \mathbb{R}(\Gamma))$  (9)

and denote the orthogonal projection onto  $imT_n \cap L^2(\Gamma_\omega)$  by  $L_n$ . In the following we identify the continuous linear operators on *imLn* with their matrices corresponding to the and denote<br>identify the<br>base  $\{\chi_k^{(n)},\}$ *k E* Z}. Due to

$$
\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_k \chi_k^{(n)} \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\omega)} = n^{-1/2} \| \{\xi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|_{l^2}
$$
 (10)

these matrices are considered to be operators in  $\vec{l}^2$ . In particular, since the matrix  $A_n \in$  $\mathscr{L}(l^2)$  is independent of n, the sequence  $\{A_n\}$   $(A_n \in \mathscr{L}(imL_n))$  is stable if and only if  $A_1$ is invertible.

**Theorem 1** : The operator  $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{l}^2)$  is invertible for all  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$ .

To prove this we need some results on Toeplitz operators which are due to Gohberg and Krupnik [6, 7]. Let  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(l^2)$  denote the smallest algebra containing all Toeplitz operators  $T(a)$  with  $a \in PC(\Pi)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}_{n \times n} \subset \mathcal{L}(l^2)_{n \times n}$  is an algebra of continuous operators in  $l_n^2$ . There exists a multiplicative linear mapping  $\mathfrak{A}_{n \times n} \ni B \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_B$  into the algebra of bounded  $n \times n$  - matrix functions over  $\Pi \times [0,1]$ . The symbol  $\mathscr{A}_B$  of  $B = (B_{k,j})_{k,j=1}^n$ ,  $B_{k,j} \in \mathfrak{A}$ , is equal to  $(\mathscr{A}_{B_{k,j}})_{k,j=1}^n$  and the symbol  $\mathscr{A}_{T(a)}$  with  $a \in PC(\Pi)$ is given by  $\mathscr{A}_{T(a)}(\tau,\mu) = \mu a(\tau+0)+(1-\mu)a(\tau-0)$ , where  $(\tau,\mu) \in \Pi \times [0,1]$ . Furthermore,  $B \in \mathfrak{A}_{n \times n}$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if  $\det \mathscr{A}_B(\tau,\mu) \neq 0$  for all  $\tau \in \Pi$  and  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ .

By virtue of  $l^2 \oplus l^2 = \tilde{l}^2$  we can identify  $\mathscr{L}(\tilde{l}^2)$  with  $\mathscr{L}(l^2)_{2 \times 2}$ . In order to prove the assertion of Theorem 1 we show  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2}$  and *index*  $\mathscr{A}_{A_1} = 0$ . First we need the following result by Ratsfeld [10].  $0 \le \mu \le 1$ .<br>
By virtue of  $l^2 \oplus l^2 = l^2$  we can identify  $\mathscr{L}(l^2)$  with  $\mathscr{L}(l^2)_{2 \times 2}$ . In order to prove<br>
the assertion of Theorem 1 we show  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2}$  and index  $\mathscr{A}_{A_1} = 0$ . First we need the<br>
fo

*following result by Ratsfeld [10].*<br> *Lemma* 2 : Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $-1/2 < \text{Re } z < 1/2$ ,  $\Lambda^* := ((k+1)^* \delta_{k,j})_{k,j=0}^{\infty}$  and  $a \in PC(\Pi)$ . Suppose that there exists  $\omega_j \in (0, 2\pi), \omega_0 = 0, \omega_{k+1} = 2\pi$ , such that the restriction *assertions hold.*  I  $-\mu$ ) $a(\tau - 0)$ , where  $(\tau, \mu) \in \Pi$ <br>
f and only if det  $\mathscr{A}_B(\tau, \mu) \neq 0$ <br>
identify  $\mathscr{L}(\tilde{l}^2)$  with  $\mathscr{L}(l^2)_{2 \times 2}$ <br>  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2}$  and index  $\mathscr{A}_{A_1} = 0$ <br>  $\leq R \in \mathbb{Z} \leq 1/2$ ,  $\Lambda^* := ((k + \frac{1}{2}, 0,$  $\mu^2 \oplus l^2 = l^2$  we can identify  $\mathscr{L}(l^2)$  with  $\mathscr{L}(l^2)_{2 \times 2}$ . In order to p:<br> *heorem* 1 we show  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2}$  and index  $\mathscr{A}_{A_1} = 0$ . First we need<br> *y* Ratsfeld [10].<br> *Let*  $z \in \mathbb{C}, -1/2 < \text{Re } z < 1/$ 

(i) The matrix  $\Lambda^{-1}T(a)\Lambda^2$  belongs to  $\mathfrak A$  and  $(j = 0, \ldots, k)$ 

$$
\mathscr{A}_{\Lambda^{-\tau}T(a)\Lambda^s}(\tau,\mu)=\begin{cases}a(\tau) & \text{if } \tau\neq e^{i\omega_j}\\ \frac{\mu a(\tau+0)+(1-\mu)a(\tau-0)e^{-i2\pi s}}{\mu+(1-\mu)e^{-i2\pi s}} & \text{if } \tau=e^{i\omega_j}\end{cases}.
$$
 (11)

(ii) The function  $z \mapsto \Lambda^{-z}T(a)\Lambda^z$  is continuous on  $\{z, -1/2 < \text{Re } z < 1/2\}$ .

Now we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1:** Firstly, the expression (3) shows that the equation (1) on  $\Gamma_{\omega}$ takes the form

a  
\na  
\n
$$
\Lambda^{-s}T(a)\Lambda^s \text{ is continuous on } \{z, -1/2 < \text{Re } z < 1/2\}.
$$
\n  
\nposition to prove Theorem 1.  
\na  
\n
$$
Au = \begin{pmatrix} I & -K_{12} \\ -K_{21} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
u_2(u) = K_{21}u(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Im } \frac{1}{xe^{i\omega} - y} u(y) dy \qquad (13)
$$
\n
$$
e^{i\omega} \mathbf{R} + \text{Fix mesh width } n = 1. \text{ Then } A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(l^2)_{2 \times 2} \text{ takes the form}
$$

where

 

$$
K_{12}u(x) = K_{21}u(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Im} \, \frac{1}{xe^{i\omega} - y} u(y) dy \tag{13}
$$

prove Theorem 1.  
\n7, the expression (3) shows that the equation (1) on 
$$
\Gamma_{\omega}
$$
  
\n
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nI & -K_{12} \\
K_{21} & I\n\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\nu_1 \\
u_2\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\
f_2\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(12)  
\n
$$
\nu_1 u(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Im} \frac{1}{xe^{i\omega} - y} u(y) dy
$$
\n(13)  
\nmesh width  $n = 1$ . Then  $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(l^2)_{2 \times 2}$  takes the form  
\n
$$
A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} K_{1,1} & K_{1,2} \\ K_{2,1} & K_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(14)

where  $K_{1,1} = K_{2,2} = I$  and

takes the form  
\n
$$
Au = \begin{pmatrix} I & -K_{12} \\ -K_{21} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(12)  
\nwhere  
\n
$$
K_{12}u(x) = K_{21}u(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Im} \frac{1}{xe^{i\omega} - y} u(y) dy
$$
\n(13)  
\nand  $u_1 = u|_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ ,  $u_2 = u|_{e^{i\omega} \mathbb{R}^+}$ . Fix mesh width  $n = 1$ . Then  $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(l^2)_{2 \times 2}$  takes the form  
\n
$$
A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} K_{1,1} & K_{1,2} \\ K_{2,1} & K_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(14)  
\nwhere  $K_{1,1} = K_{2,2} = I$  and  
\n
$$
K_{2,1} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{(j + \delta) + (-k - 1 + \epsilon)e^{i\omega}} - \frac{1}{(j + \delta) + (-k - 1 + \epsilon)e^{-i\omega}} \right)_{k,j=0}^\infty
$$
\n
$$
K_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{(-j - 1 + \delta) + (k + \epsilon)e^{-i\omega}} - \frac{1}{(-j - 1 + \delta) + (k + \epsilon)e^{i\omega}} \right)_{k,j=0}^\infty
$$
\nIn the following we show that  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2}$  is a Fredholm operator by computing its  
\nsymbol making use of the Mellin transformation and Lemma 2.  
\nFor  $-1 < \nu < 1$ ,  $\nu \neq 0$ , we set  
\n
$$
f'(e^{i2\pi x}) = \frac{ie^{i\pi\nu(1-2x)}}{\sin \pi\nu}, 0 \leq x < 1.
$$
\n(15)  
\nThen computation shows  $f' = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f''_k t^k$  where  $f''_k = -1/i\pi(k + \nu)$ . Now let us prove  
\n $K_{2,1} \in \mathfrak{A}$ . The residue theorem together

$$
K_{1,2}=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\frac{1}{(-j-1+\delta)+(k+\epsilon)e^{-i\omega}}-\frac{1}{(-j-1+\delta)+(k+\epsilon)e^{i\omega}}\right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}
$$

In the following we show that  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2}$  is a Fredholm operator by computing its symbol making use of the Mellin transformation and Lemma *2.* 

For  $-1 < \nu < 1$ ,  $\nu \neq 0$ , we set

$$
f^{\nu}(e^{i2\pi x}) = \frac{ie^{i\pi\nu(1-2x)}}{\sin \pi\nu}, \ 0 \leq x < 1.
$$
 (15)

Then computation shows  $f^{\nu} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{\nu}_k t^k$  where  $f^{\nu}_k = -1/i\pi(k + \nu)$ . Now let us prove

$$
K_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{(-j-1+\delta) + (k+\epsilon)e^{-i\omega}} - \frac{1}{(-j-1+\delta) + (k+\epsilon)e^{-i\omega}} \right)
$$
  
In the following we show that  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{2\times 2}$  is a Fredholm operator  
symbol making use of the Mellin transformation and Lemma 2.  
For  $-1 < \nu < 1$ ,  $\nu \neq 0$ , we set  

$$
f^{\nu}(e^{i2\pi x}) = \frac{ie^{i\pi\nu(1-2x)}}{\sin \pi\nu}, 0 \leq x < 1.
$$
  
Then computation shows  $f^{\nu} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{\nu}_{k} t^{k}$  where  $f^{\nu}_{k} = -1/i\pi(k+\nu)$   
 $K_{2,1} \in \mathfrak{A}$ . The residue theorem together with the formula [3]  

$$
\frac{1}{i\pi} \frac{1}{1 - xe^{-i\omega}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re}\,z=1/2} x^{-x} \left\{ \frac{-ie^{-i(\omega-\pi)x}}{\sin \pi z} \right\} dz
$$
  
gives  

$$
\frac{1 - x}{1 - xe^{-i\omega}} = \frac{1 - e^{-i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re}\,z=1/4} x^{-x} \left\{ \frac{-ie^{-i(\omega-\pi)x}}{\sin \pi z} \right\} dz +
$$
  
Rewriting  $K_{2,1}$  as

gives

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{(j+\delta)+(k-1+\epsilon)e^{i\omega}} - \frac{1}{(j+\delta)+(k-1+\epsilon)e^{-i\omega}} \right)_{k,j=0}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{(-j-1+\delta)+(k+\epsilon)e^{-i\omega}} - \frac{1}{(-j-1+\delta)+(k+\epsilon)e^{i\omega}} \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}.
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{(-j-1+\delta)+(k+\epsilon)e^{-i\omega}} - \frac{1}{(-j-1+\delta)+(k+\epsilon)e^{i\omega}} \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}.
$$
\ng. (c) (d) (e) (1.27) (1.28) (1.29) (1.20) (1.20) (1.20) (1.21) (1.21) (1.22) (1.22) (1.23) (1.24) (1.25) (1.26) (1.27) (1.27) (1.28) (1.29) (1.20) (1.20) (1.21) (1.21) (1.22) (1.22) (1.23) (1.24) (1.25) (1.27) (1.29) (1.29) (1.20) (1.20) (1.21) (1.21) (1.22) (1.22) (1.23) (1.24) (1.25) (1.27) (1.29) (1.29) (1.20) (1.20) (1.20) (1.21) (1.21) (1.22) (1.22) (1.22) (1.23) (1.23) (1.24) (1.25) (1.27) (1.29) (1.20) (1.20) (1.21) (1.21) (1.22

Rewriting  $K_{2,1}$  as

$$
\frac{1-x}{-xe^{-i\omega}} = \frac{1-e^{\frac{1}{4}i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re } z=1/4} x^{-z} \left\{ \frac{-ie^{\frac{1}{4}i(\omega-\pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \right\} dz + e^{\frac{1}{4}i\omega}.
$$
\n(16)\n  
\nas\n  
\n
$$
K_{2,1} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1-\frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}}{1-\frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}} \frac{1}{(j+\delta)-(k+1-\epsilon)} - \frac{1-\frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}}{1-\frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}} \frac{1}{(j+\delta)-(k+1-\epsilon)} \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}.
$$
\n(17)

and setting  $x = \frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}$ in (16) we have  $K_{2,1} = K_{2,1}^+ + K_{2,1}^-$  with

$$
\text{Putting } x = \frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta} \text{ in (16) we have } K_{2,1} = K_{2,1}^+ + K_{2,1}^- \text{ with}
$$
\n
$$
K_{2,1}^+ = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re } z = 1/4} \frac{-ie^{-i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \frac{\left(\frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}\right)^{-z}}{\left(j-k\right) + \left(\epsilon + \delta - 1\right)} dz \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2\pi i} e^{-i\omega} \left( \frac{1}{(j-k) + (\epsilon + \delta - 1)} \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty} \tag{18}
$$
\n
$$
K_{2,1}^- = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1 - e^{i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re } z = 1/4} \frac{-ie^{+i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \frac{\left(\frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}\right)^{-z}}{\left(j-k\right) + \left(\epsilon + \delta - 1\right)} dz \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1 - e^{i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re } z = 1/4} \frac{-ie^{+i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \frac{\left(\frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}\right)^{-z}}{\left(j-k\right) + \left(\epsilon + \delta - 1\right)} dz \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty} \tag{19}
$$

and setting 
$$
x = \frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}
$$
 in (16) we have  $K_{2,1} = K_{2,1}^+ + K_{2,1}^-$  with  
\n
$$
K_{2,1}^+ = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re } z = 1/4} \frac{-ie^{-i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \frac{\left(\frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}\right)^{-z}}{(j-k) + (\epsilon + \delta - 1)} dz \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2\pi i} e^{-i\omega} \left( \frac{1}{(j-k) + (\epsilon + \delta - 1)} \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}
$$
\n
$$
K_{2,1}^- = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1 - e^{i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re } z = 1/4} \frac{-ie^{+i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \frac{\left(\frac{k+1-\epsilon}{j+\delta}\right)^{-z}}{(j-k) + (\epsilon + \delta - 1)} dz \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2\pi i} e^{i\omega} \left( \frac{1}{(j-k) + (\epsilon + \delta - 1)} \right)_{k,j=0}^{\infty}.
$$
\nThus following Prössdorf and Rathsfeld [9, p.204] we obtain  $K_{2,1}^+ \in \mathfrak{A}$  by Lemma 2 and  
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(-)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - e^{\frac{-}{i}i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re } z = 1/4} \frac{-ie^{\frac{-}{i}i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \mathscr{A}^z dz - \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{-}{i}i\omega} \mathscr{A}^0
$$
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(-)} = -\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{-}{i}i\omega} \mathscr{A}^1
$$
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(-)} = -\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{-}{i}i\omega} \mathscr{A}^1
$$
\nAnalytically extending  $z \to \mathscr{A}^z$  to a 1-periodic function

Thus following Prössdorf and Rathsfeld [9, p.204] we obtain  $K_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathfrak{A}$  by Lemma 2 and

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{(-)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - e^{\frac{1}{T}i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re } z = 1/4} \frac{-ie^{\frac{1}{T}i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \omega^z dz - \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{T}i\omega} \omega^0
$$
 (20)

Analytically extending  $z \to \mathscr{A}^z$  to a 1-periodic function, we have

following Prössdorf and Rathsfeld [9, p.204] we obtain 
$$
K_{2,1}^{-} \in \mathfrak{A}
$$
 by Lemma 2 and  
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(-)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - e^{\frac{1}{4}i\omega}}{2} \int_{\text{Re}\, z = 1/4} \frac{-ie^{\frac{1}{4}i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \mathscr{A}^z dz - \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{4}i\omega} \mathscr{A}^0
$$
\n(20)  
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}^z = \mathscr{A}_{\Lambda^{-z}T(f^{(1 - \epsilon - \epsilon)})\Lambda^z} \quad \text{Analytically extending } z \to \mathscr{A}^z \text{ to a 1-periodic function,}
$$
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(-)} = -\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{4}i\omega} \mathscr{A}^1
$$
\n
$$
-\frac{1}{4} \left[ \int_{\text{Re}\, z = 1/4} \frac{-ie^{\frac{1}{4}i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \mathscr{A}^z dz - \int_{\text{Re}\, z = 5/4} \frac{-ie^{\frac{1}{4}i(\omega - \pi)z}}{\sin \pi z} \mathscr{A}^z dz \right].
$$
\n(21)  
\nthe strip  $\{z : 1/4 < \text{Re}\, z < 5/4\}$ , the function  $z \to \mathscr{A}^t(\tau, \mu)$  is constant if  $\tau \neq 1$   
\ns a pole at  $z_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\pi} \log(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})$  at  $\tau = 1$ . With Lemma 2, this can be seen as  
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{\Lambda^{-z}T(\alpha)\Lambda^z}(\tau, \mu) = \begin{cases} a(\tau) & \text{if } \tau \neq 1 \\ \frac{\mu a(\tau+0) + (1-\mu)a(\tau-0)e^{-i2\pi z}}{\mu + (1-\mu)e^{-i2\pi z}} & \text{if } \tau = 1 \end{cases}
$$
\n(22)  
\n
$$
(\tau) = f^{1-\epsilon-6}(\tau), \tau = e^{i2\pi z} \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
a(1
$$

In the strip  $\{z : 1/4 < \text{Re } z < 5/4\}$ , the function  $z \to \mathscr{A}^2(\tau, \mu)$  is constant if  $\tau \neq 1$ and has a pole at  $z_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})$  at  $\tau = 1$ . With Lemma 2, this can be seen as follows: Using

$$
L z_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{1}{1-\mu}\right) \text{ at } \tau = 1. \text{ With Lemma 2, this can be seen as}
$$
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{\Lambda^{-1}T(a)\Lambda^s}(\tau,\mu) = \begin{cases} a(\tau) & \text{if } \tau \neq 1 \\ \frac{\mu a(\tau+0) + (1-\mu)a(\tau-0)e^{-i2\pi t}}{\mu+(1-\mu)e^{-i2\mu s}} & \text{if } \tau = 1 \end{cases} \tag{22}
$$
\n
$$
-^{\delta}(\tau), \tau = e^{i2\pi \tau} \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
a(1+0) = \frac{ie^{i\pi \nu}}{\sin \pi \nu} \text{ and } a(1-0) = \frac{ie^{-i\pi \nu}}{\sin \pi \nu}, \quad \nu = 1 - \epsilon - \delta
$$
\n
$$
\frac{a(1+\mu)a(\tau-0)e^{-i2\pi \tau}}{\mu+(1-\mu)e^{-i2\pi \tau}} = \frac{i \sin(\pi(\nu+1/2)+\pi z-\frac{i}{2}\log\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})}{\sin \pi \nu \cos(\pi z-\frac{i}{2}\log\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})}. \tag{23}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{a(\tau)}{\lambda} = f^{1-\epsilon-\delta}(\tau) \text{ is continuous for } \tau \neq 1. \text{ Consequently, the residue}
$$
\n
$$
0 \leq \mu \leq 1
$$

with  $a(\tau) = f^{1-\epsilon-\delta}(\tau)$ ,  $\tau = e^{i2\pi\tau}$  and

$$
a(1+0)=\frac{ie^{i\pi\nu}}{\sin \pi\nu} \text{ and } a(1-0)=\frac{ie^{-i\pi\nu}}{\sin \pi\nu}, \ \nu=1-\epsilon-\delta
$$

we obtain

$$
a(1+0) = \frac{ie^{i\pi\nu}}{\sin \pi\nu} \text{ and } a(1-0) = \frac{ie^{-i\pi\nu}}{\sin \pi\nu}, \ \nu = 1 - \epsilon - \delta
$$
  

$$
\frac{\mu a(\tau + 0) + (1 - \mu)a(\tau - 0)e^{-i2\pi z}}{\mu + (1 - \mu)e^{-i2\pi z}} = \frac{i\sin(\pi(\nu + 1/2) + \pi z - \frac{i}{2}\log\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})}{\sin \pi\nu\cos(\pi z - \frac{i}{2}\log\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})}.
$$
 (23)

On the other hand  $a(\tau) = f^{1-\epsilon-\delta}(\tau)$  is continuous for  $\tau \neq 1$ . Consequently, the residue

$$
a(1+0) = \frac{ie^{i\pi\nu}}{\sin \pi\nu} \text{ and } a(1-0) = \frac{ie^{-i\pi\nu}}{\sin \pi\nu}, \quad \nu = 1 - \epsilon - \delta
$$
  
\nwe obtain  
\n
$$
\frac{\mu a(\tau + 0) + (1 - \mu)a(\tau - 0)e^{-i2\pi\tau}}{\mu + (1 - \mu)e^{-i2\pi\tau}} = \frac{i \sin(\pi(\nu + 1/2) + \pi z - \frac{i}{2}\log\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})}{\sin \pi\nu \cos(\pi z - \frac{i}{2}\log\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})}.
$$
\n(23)  
\nOn the other hand  $a(\tau) = f^{1-\epsilon-\delta}(\tau)$  is continuous for  $\tau \neq 1$ . Consequently, the residue  
\ntheorem yields  $(0 \le \mu \le 1)$   
\n
$$
\omega_{K_{2,1}}^{(\pm)}(1,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{\tau}{4}i\omega}\omega^1(1,\mu)
$$
\n
$$
-2\pi i \left(\frac{ie^{\frac{\tau}{4}i(\omega-\pi)}}{4\pi}\right)\omega^1(1,\mu) - 2\pi i \left(\frac{ie^{\frac{\tau}{4}i(\omega-\pi)z_0}}{4\sin \pi z_0} \frac{i \sin \pi(\nu + 1)}{-\pi \sin \pi\nu}\right)
$$
\n(24)

M. COSTABLEL et al  
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(\tau)}(\tau,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{\tau}{\tau}i\omega}\mathscr{A}^{1}(\tau,\mu) - 2\pi i \left(\frac{ie^{\frac{\tau}{\tau}i(\omega-\pi)}}{4\pi}\right)\mathscr{A}^{1}(\tau,\mu) = 0, \ \tau \neq 1 \qquad (25)
$$
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}(1,\mu) = \mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(+)}(1,\mu) - \mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(-)}(1,\mu) = \frac{\sin(\omega-\pi)z_{0}}{\sin \pi z_{0}} \text{ if } \tau = 1. \qquad (26)
$$
\nSimilarly we can show  $K_{1,2} \in \mathfrak{A}$  and compute its symbol  $\mathscr{A}_{K_{1,2}}$ . Finally we have

$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}(1,\mu) = \mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(+)}(1,\mu) - \mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(-)}(1,\mu) = \frac{\sin((\omega - \pi)z_0)}{\sin \pi z_0} \text{ if } \tau = 1.
$$
 (26)

altogether

$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(-1)}(\tau,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{\tau}{T}i\omega}\mathscr{A}^{1}(\tau,\mu) - 2\pi i \left(\frac{ie^{\frac{\tau}{T}i(\omega-\pi)}}{4\pi}\right)\mathscr{A}^{1}(\tau,\mu) = 0, \ \tau \neq 1 \qquad (25)
$$
\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}(1,\mu) = \mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(+)}(1,\mu) - \mathscr{A}_{K_{2,1}}^{(-)}(1,\mu) = \frac{\sin(\omega-\pi)z_{0}}{\sin \pi z_{0}} \text{ if } \tau = 1. \qquad (26)
$$
\nly we can show  $K_{1,2} \in \mathfrak{A}$  and compute its symbol  $\mathscr{A}_{K_{1,2}}$ . Finally we have

\n
$$
\mathscr{A}_{A_{1}}(\tau,\mu) = \begin{cases}\n\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0\\0 & 1\end{array}\right) & \text{if } \tau \neq 1, \ 0 \leq \mu \leq 1 \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \frac{\sin(\omega-\pi)z_{0}}{i\sin \pi z_{0}}\\-\frac{\sin(\omega-\pi)z_{0}}{i\sin \pi z_{0}}& 1\end{array}\right) & \text{if } \tau = 1, \ 0 \leq \mu \leq 1.\n\end{cases} \qquad (27)
$$
\ne observe for all  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$  with  $z_{0} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\pi} \log(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu}), 0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ ,\n
$$
\det \mathscr{A}_{A_{1}}(1,\mu) = 1 - \left(\frac{\sin(\omega-\pi)z_{0}}{\sin \pi z_{0}}\right)^{2} \neq 0, \qquad (28)
$$
\n
$$
\det \mathscr{A}_{A_{1}}(\tau,\mu) = 1, \ \tau \neq 1. \qquad (29)
$$
\nis a Fredholm operator of index zero for all  $\omega$  with  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$ . But for  $\omega = \pi$ 

Now we observe for all  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$  with  $z_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\pi} \log(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})$ ,  $0 \le \mu \le 1$ ,

$$
\det \mathscr{A}_{A_1}(1,\mu) = 1 - \left(\frac{\sin(\omega - \pi)z_0}{\sin \pi z_0}\right)^2 \neq 0,
$$
\n(28)

$$
\det \mathscr{A}_{A_1}(\tau, \mu) = 1, \ \tau \neq 1 \ . \tag{29}
$$

Hence  $\mathscr{A}_{A_1}$  is a Fredholm operator of index zero for all  $\omega$  with  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$ . But for  $\omega = \pi$ we have  $A_1 = I$ , hence  $A_1$  is invertible. Therefore  $A_1$  is invertible on  $I^2$  for all  $\omega$  with  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$  **I** 

Now, let  $\Gamma$  be a polygon having a parameter representation  $\gamma$  which is twice continuously differentiable outside the vertices. Let us assume that the vertices are grid points and that grid points and collocation points are chosen such that a quadrature scheme corresponding to  $(6)$  is given on  $\Gamma$ . Before presenting the stability result for this scheme we introduce some notation. For  $\tau \in \Gamma$ , let us define  $\omega_{\tau} \in (0, 2\pi)$  by a parameter<br>ices. Let us<br>n points are<br>Before press<br> $\in \Gamma$ , let us d<br>=  $arg \left(-\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma'}\right)$ 

$$
\omega_{\tau} = arg\left(-\frac{\gamma\prime(\tau-0)}{\gamma\prime(\tau+0)}\right)
$$

and set

$$
A^{\tau}=I+K_{\Gamma_{\omega_{\tau}}}.
$$

The model problem for the quadrature problem on  $\Gamma$  is the method (6) applied to the operator  $A^{\tau} \in \mathscr{L}(L^2(\Gamma_{\omega_{\tau}}))$ . The matrix of the corresponding system of equations we denote by  $A_1^r$ . In the proof of Theorem 1 we have shown that  $A_1^r \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2}$  is invertible on 12.

Applying a local principle for spline approximation methods given in Prôssdorf and Rathsfeld [9] we obtain Corollary 3 as a consequence of Theorem 1. Note that the proof follows from the analysis given in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [9].

*Corollary 3 : We have the following assertions.* 

(a) The method (6) is stable if and only if the operators  $A_{\Gamma} = (I + K) \in \mathscr{L}(L^2(\Gamma))$  and  $A_1^r \in \mathscr{L}(l^2)$  for all  $\tau \in \Gamma$ , and are *invertible*.

*(b) If the quadrature method is stable and if*  $f \in \mathbf{R}(\Gamma)$ , *then (6) is uniquely solvable for* n *large enough and the approximate solutions*  $u_n$  *converge to*  $u = (I + K)^{-1}f$  *as*  $n \to \infty$ .

Since  $I + K : L^2(\Gamma) \to L^2(\Gamma)$  is continuous and bijective, and the invertibility of  $A_1^{\tau} \in \mathscr{L}(\bar{l}^2)$  for all  $\tau \in \Gamma$  follows from Theorem 1, we have

**Theorem 4** : The quadrature method (6) is stable and has a unique solution  $u_n$ *for n sufficiently large, and*  $u_n \to u$  *as n*  $\to \infty$ .

## 2. Collocation methods on an angle

For simplicity we consider only the collocation method for (1) with piecewise constant trial functions. We establish the stability of the model problem on  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\omega}$ . With this result one can prove convergence of the collocation method for (1) on general curves with corners following the arguments in Prössdorf and Rathsfeld [9]. We omit the corresponding details.  ${\bf \emph{collocation}}$ <br>  ${\bf \emph{splicity we con}}$ <br>  ${\bf \emph{noncions. We es}}$ <br>  ${\bf \emph{on}}$   ${\bf \emph{inocap}}$ <br>  ${\bf \emph{inocap}}$ Quadrature and Collocation Methods 705<br> **(Auntifyring the collocation method for (1) with piecewise constant**<br>
the stability of the model problem on  $\Gamma = \Gamma_w$ . With this<br>
nce of the collocation method for (1) on general cu

For the  $\epsilon$ -collocation method  $(0 < \epsilon < 1)$ , we look for an approximate solution  $u_n =$  $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\xi_k^{(n)}\chi_k^{(n)}\in imL_n\subset L^2(\Gamma_\omega)$  satisfying the equation

$$
(Au_n)(\tau_k^{(n)}) = f(\tau_k^{(n)}), \ k \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$
 (30)

The latter system we rewrite as  $A_n u_n = T_n f$  where  $A_n := T_n A |im L_n \in \mathcal{L}(im L_n)$ . Again  $A_n \in \mathscr{L}(\tilde{l}^2)$  and  $A_n$  does not depend on *n*. Hence the sequence  $\{A_n\}$  with  $A_n \in \mathscr{L}(imL_n)$ is stable if and only if  $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{l}^2)$  is invertible.

Theorem 5 : *The operator*  $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{l}^2)$  *is invertible in*  $\tilde{l}^2$  *for any*  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$ .<br> **Proof:** Firstly, we show  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2}$  and det  $\mathcal{A}_A$ , is independent of  $\omega$ . For the previty we consider o **Proof:** Firstly, we show  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2}$  and det  $\mathscr{A}_{A_1}$  is independent of  $\omega$ . For the sake<br>previty we consider only  $\epsilon = 1/2$ . Then one of the typical terms in the collocation<br>emes is given by (for  $n = 1, j \ge$ schemes is given by (for  $n = 1, j \ge 0$ )

The latter system we rewrite as 
$$
A_{n}u_{n} = T_{n}f
$$
 where  $A_{n} := T_{n}A|imL_{n} \in \mathcal{L}(imL_{n})$ . Again  
\n $A_{n} \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{l}^{2})$  and  $A_{n}$  does not depend on *n*. Hence the sequence  $\{A_{n}\}$  with  $A_{n} \in \mathcal{L}(imL_{n})$ . Again  
\nis stable if and only if  $A_{1} \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{l}^{2})$  is invertible.  
\n**Theorem 5** : The operator  $A_{1} \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{l}^{2})$  is invertible in  $\tilde{l}^{2}$  for any  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$ .  
\n**Proof:** Firstly, we show  $A_{1} \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{l}^{2})$  is invertible in  $\tilde{l}^{2}$  for any  $0 < \omega < 2\pi$ .  
\n**Proof:** Firstly, we show  $A_{1} \in \mathcal{L}_{2\times 2}$  and det  $\mathcal{A}_{A_{1}}$  is independent of  $\omega$ . For the sake  
\nof brevity we consider only  $\epsilon = 1/2$ . Then one of the typical terms in the collocation  
\nschemes is given by (for  $n = 1, j \ge 0$ )  
\n
$$
\operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{j}^{(1)}(\tau)}{\tau - \tau_{k}^{(1)}} d\tau = \operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{1}{t_{j}^{5} - \tau_{k}^{(1)}} + \frac{1}{t_{j}^{1-6} - \tau_{k}^{(1)}} \right\} d\delta
$$
(31)  
\nwhere for  $0 < \delta < 1$   
\n $t_{j}^{6} = \begin{cases} j + \delta & \text{if } j \ge 0 \\ -(j + \delta)e^{i\omega} & \text{if } j < 0. \end{cases}$ (32)  
\nLet  
\n
$$
M_{2,1}^{\delta} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t_{j}^{6} - \tau_{k}^{(1)}} \\ \frac{t_{j}^{6} - \tau_{k}^{(1)}}{t_{j}^{6} - \tau_{k}^{(1)}} \end{cases} = \frac{-
$$

where for  $0 < \delta < 1$ 

$$
t_j^{\delta} = \begin{cases} j + \delta & \text{if } j \ge 0 \\ -(j + \delta)e^{i\omega} & \text{if } j < 0. \end{cases}
$$
 (32)

Let

$$
\left\{\n\begin{aligned}\n\text{(for } n = 1, j \geq 0) & \text{if } n = 1 \\
\frac{\chi_j^{(1)}(\tau)}{\tau - \tau_k^{(1)}} d\tau &= \text{Im} \int_0^{1/2} \left\{\n\frac{1}{t_j^{\delta} - \tau_k^{(1)}} + \frac{1}{t_j^{1-\delta} - \tau_k^{(1)}}\right\} d\delta\n\end{aligned}\n\right.\n\tag{31}
$$
\n
$$
t_j^{\delta} = \begin{cases}\nj + \delta & \text{if } j \geq 0 \\
-(j + \delta)e^{i\omega} & \text{if } j < 0.\n\end{cases}\n\tag{32}
$$
\n
$$
M_{2,1}^{\delta} = \left(\frac{1}{t_j^{\delta} - \tau_k^{(1)}}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \in \mathbb{Z}^-}\n\end{cases}\n\tag{33}
$$
\n
$$
r + K_{2,1}(\delta) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \left\{\n(M_{2,1}^{\delta} + M_{2,1}^{1-\delta}) - \overline{(M_{2,1}^{\delta} + M_{2,1}^{1-\delta})}\n\right\}\n\tag{34}
$$
\n
$$
h \text{ the proof of Theorem 1 shows } A_1(\delta) \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2} \subset \mathcal{L}(\tilde{I}^2) \text{ where}
$$
\n
$$
A_1(\delta) = \n\begin{pmatrix}\nI & K_{2,1}(\delta) \\
K_{1,2}(\delta) & I\n\end{pmatrix}.\n\tag{35}
$$
\n
$$
e \text{ verifies the continuity of the function } \delta \to A(\delta). Furthermore (31),
$$
\n
$$
h = \int^{1/2} A_1(\delta) d\delta \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2} \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{2}(\tilde{I}^2) \text{ where}
$$

and consider the operator-valued function

$$
\delta \to K_{2,1}(\delta) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \left\{ (M_{2,1}^{\delta} + M_{2,1}^{1-\delta}) - \overline{(M_{2,1}^{\delta} + M_{2,1}^{1-\delta})} \right\}
$$
(34)

defined on [0,1/2]. The proof of Theorem 1 shows  $A_1(\delta) \in \mathfrak{A}_{2 \times 2} \subset \mathscr{L}(\tilde{l}^2)$  where

$$
M_{2,1}^{o} = \left(\frac{1}{t_{j}^{6} - \tau_{k}^{(1)}}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{-}}
$$
\n(33)\n\ncalled function

\n
$$
\delta) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \left(M_{2,1}^{6} + M_{2,1}^{1-6}\right) - \left(M_{2,1}^{6} + M_{2,1}^{1-6}\right) \right\} \qquad (34)
$$
\nof of Theorem 1 shows  $A_{1}(\delta) \in \mathfrak{A}_{2\times 2} \subset \mathcal{L}(\tilde{I}^{2})$  where

\n
$$
A_{1}(\delta) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} I & K_{2,1}(\delta) \\ K_{1,2}(\delta) & I \end{array}\right) \qquad (35)
$$
\nes the continuity of the function  $\delta \to A(\delta)$ . Furthermore (31),

\n
$$
M_{1}^{1/2} \qquad (36)
$$
\nHence the invertibility of  $A_{1}$  follows in the same way as of

Moreover as in [9] one verifies the continuity of the function  $\delta \to A(\delta)$ . Furthermore (31),  $(34)$  show for  $A_1$  given by  $(30)$ 

$$
\rightarrow K_{2,1}(\delta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ (M_{2,1}^{\delta} + M_{2,1}^{1-\delta}) - (M_{2,1}^{\delta} + M_{2,1}^{1-\delta}) \right\}
$$
  
The proof of Theorem 1 shows  $A_1(\delta) \in \mathfrak{A}_{2\times 2} \subset \mathscr{L}$   

$$
A_1(\delta) = \begin{pmatrix} I & K_{2,1}(\delta) \\ K_{1,2}(\delta) & I \end{pmatrix}
$$
  
ne verifies the continuity of the function  $\delta \to A(\delta)$   
ven by (30)  

$$
A_1 = \int_0^{1/2} A_1(\delta) d\delta \in \mathfrak{A}_{2\times 2}, \quad \mathscr{A}_{A_1} = \int_0^{1/2} \mathscr{A}_{A_1(\delta)} d\delta
$$
  
en by (27). Hence the invertibility of  $A_1$  follows in

where  $\mathscr{A}_{A_1(\delta)}$  is given by (27). Hence the invertibility of  $A_1$  follows in the same way as at the end of the proof of Theorem 1

 

	M. COSTABEL et al							
		Quadrature Method	Collocation Method					
	$\delta = .50$		$\delta = .25$					
h	$\epsilon = .25$	$\alpha_N$	$\epsilon = 0.50$	$\alpha_N$	$\epsilon = .25$	$\alpha_N$	$\epsilon = 0.50$	$\alpha_N$
3/2	.4598E-0		.4629E-0		.2087E-0		.1040E-0	
		0.58		0.33		1.01		1.00
3/4	.3078E-0		.3682E-0		.1035E-0		.5203E-1	
		0.55		0.37		1.01		1.00
1/2	$.2465E-0$		.3174E-0		$.6869E-1$		.3471E-1	
		0.53		0.38		1.01		1.00
3/8	.2114E-0		.2843E-0		.5135E-1		.2604E-1	

Table 1: Relative *L2* error and experimental convergence rate for Example 1

## **3. Numerical Results**

Below we present two examples which illustrate the quadrature and collocation methods discussed above. In both examples we seek the solution of the Laplace's equation in the exterior domain  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ . Explicitly, consider the following *Neumann problem: For*  $g \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$  find  $u \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega)$  satisfying **Results**<br>
camples which illustrate the examples we seek the  $\setminus \overline{\Omega}$ . Explicitly, consider<br>  $I_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega)$  satisfying<br>  $\Delta u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$  $\begin{array}{|l|l|}\n5135E-1 & .2604E-1 & \text{.} \end{array}$ <br>
al convergence rate for Example 1<br>
he quadrature and collocation methods<br>
e solution of the Laplace's equation in<br>
the following Neumann problem: For<br>
= g on  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ , (36)<br>
x|) **S**<br>
ich illustrate the quadrature ar<br>
ss we seek the solution of the<br>
icitly, consider the following N<br>
satisfying<br>  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g$  on  $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ ,<br>
og  $|x| + O(1/|x|)$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ <br>
ative of u on  $\Gamma$ . Th

$$
\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g \text{ on } \Gamma = \partial \Omega, \tag{36}
$$

and

$$
u(x) \sim A \log |x| + O(1/|x|) \text{ as } |x| \to \infty
$$

where  $\Delta u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g$  on  $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ , (36)<br>  $u(x) \sim A \log |x| + O(1/|x|)$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ <br>  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$  denotes the normal derivative of *u* on  $\Gamma$ . The function *u* satisfying (36) can be<br>
ented as the sol  $\overline{\partial n}$ represented as the solution of the second kind integral equation

$$
(I - K)u = f \text{ on } \Gamma \tag{37}
$$

where

$$
(I - K)u = f \text{ on } \Gamma
$$
  

$$
f(x) = -Vg(x) \quad \text{and } Vg(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma} g(y) \ln|x - y| ds_y.
$$

Example  $1: \Gamma$  is the triangle with vertices  $(0,0), (3,0), (0,4)$ . Here we take for the true solution  $u(x) = \text{Re}(\log(x - (0.5, 0.5)))$ .

Example 2 :  $\Gamma$  is taken to be the square with vertices  $(-1,-1)$ ,  $(1,-1)$ ,  $(1,1)$ ,  $(-1,1)$ . For the true solution we use  $u(x) = \text{Re}(\sqrt{x^2 - 1} - x)$ .

Given in Table 1 (Table 2) is the relative  $L^2$  error for Example 1 (Example 2) for the quadrature methods with parameters  $\epsilon = 0.25, \delta = 0.5$ , and  $\epsilon = 0.5, \delta = 0.25$ , as well as for the collocation method with parameters  $\epsilon = 0.25$ , and  $\epsilon = 0.5$ . Also given are the experimental convergence rates  $\alpha_N$ .

In Example 1 the solution *u* is analytic on F, whereas in Example 2 it has singularities at the points  $(-1,0)$  and  $(1,0)$ .

The numerical results given in Tables 1, 2 indicate convergence of the quadrature and collocation method as was proven in Sections 1 and 2. Chandler and Graham give in [2] that the optimal order of convergence in the uniform norm for the 'Nyström' interpolant of the collocation method for (37) is 0.5, when piecewise constant trial functions on a uniform grid are used.Theoretical estimates for the asymptotic order of convergence of the *L2* error are not proven.

					Quadrature and Collocation Methods			
		Quadrature Method	<b>Collocation Method</b>					
	$\delta = .50$		$\delta = .25$					
$\mathbf h$	$\epsilon = .25$	$\alpha_N$	$\epsilon = 0.50$	$\alpha_N$	$\epsilon = .25$	$\alpha_N$	$\epsilon = 0.50$	$\alpha_N$
1/2	.2908E-0		.3037E-0		.2878E-0		.2378E-0	
		0.77		0.69		0.79		0.82
1/4	.1708E-0		.1884E-0		.1667E-0		.1346E-1	
		0.81		0.66		0.84		0.86
1/8	.9760E-1		.1189E-0		.9323E-1		.7425E-1	
		0.82		0.63		0.86		0.88

Table 2: Relative  $L^2$  error and experimental convergence rate for Example 2

Acknowledgement: V.J. Ervin grateful acknowledges the support from the A. von Humboldt Stiftung. This work was started while visiting at the Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Hannover.

## References

- [11 ARNOLD, D. N., and W. L. WENDLAND : *The convergence of spline collocation for strongly elliptic equations on curves.* Numer. Math. 47 (1985) , 317 - 341.
- [2] CHANDLER G. A., and I. G. GRAHAM : Product integration-collocation methods for non*compact integral equations.* Math. Comp. **50** (1988), 125 - 138.
- [3) CO5TABEL, M., and E. P. STEPHAN *Boundary integral equations for mixed boundary value problems in polygonal domains and Galerkin approximation.* Banach Center PubI. 15 Warsaw (1985), 175 - 251.
- [4] COSTABEL, M., and E. P. STEPHAN : *On the convergence of collocation methods for boundary integral equations on polygons.* Math. Comp. 49 (1987), 461 - 478.
- [5) ELSCHNER, J., PRóssDoRF, S., RATIISFELD, A., and C. SCHMIDT : *Spline approximation*  of singular integral equations. Demonstratio Math. 18 3 (1985), 661 - 672. ary integral equations on polygons. Math. Comp. 49 (1987), 461 - 478.<br>
[5] ELSCHNER, J., PRÖSSDORF, S., RATIISFELD, A., and G. SCHMIDT : Spline approximation<br>
of singular integral equations. Demonstratio Math. 18 3 (1985),
- *Integraloperatoren.* Basel : Birkhäuser-Verlag 1979. (6) GoHBERG, I., and N. KRUPNIK: Einführung in die Theorie eindimensionaler singulärer<br>Integral operatoren. Basel: Birkhäuser-Verlag 1979.<br>(7) KRUPNIK, N. : Banach algebras with symbol and integral operators. Basel: Birkhä
- Verlag 1987
- [8] MIKHLIN, S. C., and S. PR65SD0RF *Singular integral operators.* Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag 1986.
- [9] PR6SSD0RF, S., and A. RATHSFELD *Quadrature and collocation methods for singular*  integral equations on curves with corners. Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen 8 (1989), 197 - 220.
- [10) RATHSFELD, A. : *Quadrat urformelmethode für Mellin-Operatoren nullter Ordnung.* Math. Nachr. 137 (1988), 321 - 354.
- [11] SARANEN, J. and W. L. WENDLAND : *On the asymptotic convergence of collocation methods with spline functions of even degree.* Math. Comp. *45* (1985), 91 - 108.

Receivd 17.07.1991, in revised form 05.08.1993