# General Approximation-Solvability of Nonlinear Equations Involving *A*-regular Operators

#### Ram U. Verma

Abstract. Here we consider a general approximation-solvability scheme involving A-regular operators – a generalization of A-proper operators – introduced and studied by Petryshyn [4] and further studied by Milojevic' [3], Petryshyn [6] and others. Among significant applications, an A-regular version of the Petryshyn theorem is given.

Keywords: A-regular operators, discrete convergence, consistency, stability AMS subject classification: Primary 65J15, secondary 47H17

## 0. Introduction

We consider an approximation scheme  $\pi_0 = \{X_n, Y_n, E_n, R_n, Q_n\}$  represented by the diagram



#### Diagram 1

Here X and Y are infinite-dimensional normed spaces over K (the real or complex field), and  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  are finite-dimensional normed spaces over K for all n. For all n,  $E_n: X_n \to X, Q_n: Y \to Y_n$  and  $R_n: X \to X_n$  are connection operators. The operator  $A: X \to Y$  is defined by  $A_n = Q_n A E_n$ , that is, Diagram 1 is commutative. Petryshyn [4 - 6], in a series of papers, studied the following problem:

Construct a solution x of the equation

 $Ax = b \qquad (x \in X; b \in Y)$ 

R.U. Verma: Univ. Central Florida, Dep. Math., Orlando, FL 32816, USA

ISSN 0232-2064 / \$ 2.50 © Heldermann Verlag Berlin

 $(1)_{1}$ 

as a strong limit of solutions  $x_n$  of the simpler finite-dimensional equations (the so-called *approximate equations*)

$$A_n x_n = Q_n b$$
  $(x_n \in X_n, n = 1, 2, ...)$  (2)

with respect to the approximation scheme  $\pi_0$ .

In order to solve this problem, Petryshyn developed the notion of  $\mathcal{A}$ -properness of an operator  $A: X \to Y$ , which is not only closely connected with the approximationsolvability of the equation Ax = b, but it extends and unifies investigations concerning Galerkin type methods for linear and nonlinear operator equations with other newer results in the theory of strongly  $\phi$ -monotone and accretive operators, operators of the type (S), ball-condensing and other mappings. The  $\mathcal{A}$ -properness is equally applicable to the approximation-solvability of abstract semilinear equations of the form

$$Ax + Nx = f, (3)$$

where A is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and N a quasibounded nonlinear mapping such that A + N is  $\mathcal{A}$ -proper. Among the significant applications of these results is the approximation-solvability of semilinear elliptic equations of the type

$$Ax + F(x, u, Du, ..., D^{2m}u) = f$$
(4)

exhibiting double resonance with F having a linear growth. It is known that many boundary value problems for ordinary and partial differential equations can be formulated as abstract operator equations of the type (3) if the operators are chosen in suitable spaces. While the A-properness has the tremendous power of unifying several classes of A-proper mappings, it is not strong enough to give any information about the existence of solutions of the finite-dimensional approximate equations, and even if they exist and are uniformly bounded, there exists just a subsequence converging to some solution. This leads to expect that the numerical applications of A-proper mappings are feasible under extra arguments. For more selected details on the approximation-solvability involving A-proper mappings, we refer to [3 - 8] and [10].

In this paper, we first consider the approximation-solvability of the equation Ax = bin the context of A-regularity – a generalization of the A-properness – in general normed spaces. Second, we give the A-regular version of Petryshyn's theorem [4]. Finally, we apply the obtained results to approximation schemes in Banach spaces.

Note that the approximation schemes in the context of  $\mathcal{A}$ -regular operators have definite edge over the approximation schemes for  $\mathcal{A}$ -proper operators in the sense that these require minimal hypotheses.

A word of caution: Here and in what follows, the symbols  $\rightarrow$  and  $\xrightarrow{w}$  shall denote strong convergence and weak convergence, respectively.

**Definition 0.1** (Discrete convergence). A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  is said to converge discretely to an element  $x \in X$ , denoted  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$ , if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - R_n x||_{X_n} = 0$ . Similarly, a sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y$  is said to converge discretely to an element  $b \in Y$ , denoted  $y_n \xrightarrow{d} b$ , if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||y_n - Q_n b||_{Y_n} = 0$ . **Definition 0.2** (Discrete equality). An element  $x \in X$  is said to equal discretely another element  $u \in X$ , denoted  $x \stackrel{d}{=} u$ , if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||R_n x - R_n u||_{X_n} = 0$ .

**Definition 0.3** (Discrete Unique Approximation-Solvability). The equation Ax = b has discretely unique approximation-solvability if, for each  $b \in Y$ , the following hold:

- (i) For each  $n \ge n_0$ , the approximate equation  $A_n x_n = Q_n b$   $(x_n \in X_n)$  has a unique solution.
- (ii) The equation Ax = b has a discretely unique solution.
- (iii) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges discretely to the solution x of the equation Ax = bin the sense that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - R_n x||_{X_n} = 0.$

Definition 0.4 (*A*-Regularity). The operator  $A: X \to Y$  is *A*-regular with respect to the approximation scheme  $\pi_0$  if, for given any bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $A_n x_n \xrightarrow{d} b$  (that is,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||A_n x_n - Q_n b||_{Y_n} = 0$ ), there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n'}\}$  and an  $x \in X$  such that  $x_{n'} \xrightarrow{d} x$  and Ax = b.

To this end, we are just about to consider the general approximation-solvability of equation (1) involving  $\mathcal{A}$ -regular operators relating to the approximation scheme  $\pi_0$ .

#### 1. General approximation-solvability

In this section we give an upgrade of the approximation-solvability based on the concept of  $\mathcal{A}$ -regularity – a generalization of  $\mathcal{A}$ -properness.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\pi_0 = \{X_n, Y_n, E_n, R_n, Q_n\}$  be an approximation scheme represented by Diagram 1 with the following assumptions:

- (A1) Admissible Approximation Scheme.  $\pi_0$  is an approximation scheme, that is, the following hold:
  - (i) X and Y are infinite-dimensional normed spaces over K.
  - (ii)  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  are finite-dimensional normed spaces over K with dim  $X_n = \dim Y_n$ .
  - (iii)  $Q_n: Y \to Y_n$  are not necessarily linear connection operators with  $||Q_n b|| \le \nu(b)$ for each  $b \in Y$ , where  $\nu(b) > 0$ , and is independent of n. The other connection operators  $R_n: X \to X_n$  and  $E_n: X_n \to X$  are not necessarily linear with
  - $E_n(0) = 0$  for all n. All operators  $A_n = Q_n A E_n$  are nonlinear and continuous, where the operator  $A: X \to Y$  is also nonlinear.
- (A2) Consistency.  $\pi_0$  is consistend, that is, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||Q_n Ax A_n R_n x||_{Y_n} = 0$ .
- (A3) Stability.  $\pi_0$  is stable, that is, for all  $u, v \in X_n$ , there is an  $n_0$  such that  $||A_nu A_nv||_{Y_n} \ge \mu(||u v||_{X_n})$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Here  $\mu : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a gauge function, that is,  $\mu$  is continuous and strictly monotone increasing with  $\mu(0) = 0$  and  $\mu(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ .
- Then the following conditions are equivalent:
- (C1) Solvability. For each  $b \in Y$ , the equation Ax = b has a solution.
- (C2) Discretely Unique Approximation-Solvability. The equation Ax = b has a discretely unique approximation-solvability.
- (C3) A-Regularity. The operator  $A: X \to Y$  is A-regular with respect to the approximation scheme  $\pi_0$ .

More precisely, Theorem 1.1 can be stated as: If  $\pi_0$  is an admissible approximation scheme with consistency and stability, then the equation Ax = b has a discretely unique approximation-solvability if and only if the operator A is  $\mathcal{A}$ -regular.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $\pi_0$  be an admissible approximation scheme satisfying the stability condition. If  $A: X \to Y$  is A-regular, then the following hold:

- (a) For each  $b \in Y$ , the equation Ax = b  $(x \in X)$  has a solution.
- (b) For each  $b \in Y$  and each  $n \ge n_0$ , the approximate equation  $A_n x_n = Q_n b$   $(x_n \in X_n)$  has a unique solution.
- (c) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{x_{n'}\}$  such that  $x_{n'} \xrightarrow{d} x$  in X.
- (d) If, for each  $b \in Y$ , the equation Ax = b has exactly one solution x, then the whole sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges discretely to x, that is,  $x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} x$ .

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $\pi_0$  represent an admissible approximation scheme, and let  $A : X \to Y$  be A-regular. If, for some  $b \in Y$  and all  $n \ge n_0$ , the approximate equation  $A_n x_n = Q_n b$   $(x_n \in X_n)$  has a unique solution, and if we have the a-priori estimate  $\sup_n ||x_n|| < \infty$ , then the following implications hold:

- (a) There exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n'}\}$  such that  $x_{n'} \xrightarrow{d} x$  and Ax = b.
- (b) If the equation Ax = b  $(x \in X)$  has exactly one solution x, then  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The method of the proof follows the sequence  $(C3) \Rightarrow (C2) \Rightarrow (C1) \Rightarrow (C3)$ .

Step (C3)  $\Rightarrow$  (C2). We first show that, for fixed  $b \in Y$  and each  $n \geq n_0$ , the approximate equation  $A_n x_n = Q_n b$   $(x_n \in X_n)$  has a unique solution. To achieve this, we show that the set  $A_n X_n$  is both open and closed. The set  $A_n X_n$  is open. Indeed, the operator  $A_n : X_n \to Y_n$  is injective by the stability condition (A3). The set  $A_n X_n$  is closed. To show this, assume  $A_n x_k \to z$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Then  $\{A_n x_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. By the stability condition (A3),  $\{x_k\}$  is also a Cauchy sequence, and hence  $x_k \to x$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Since the operator  $A_n : X_n \to Y_n$  is continuous this implies  $A_n x = z$ , that is,  $z \in A_n X_n$ . Since the non-empty set  $A_n X_n$  is both open and closed in  $Y_n$ , we get  $A_n X_n = Y_n$ .

Second, we show that, for fixed  $b \in Y$ , the equation Ax = b  $(x \in X)$  has at most one discrete solution. To prove this, assume Ax = Au for  $x, u \in X$ . Then the consistency and stability conditions imply that, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\mu(\|R_n x - R_n u\|) \le \|A_n R_n x - A_n R_n u\| \\ \le \|A_n R_n x - Q_n A x\| + \|Q_n A u - A_n R_n u\| \to 0.$$

Hence,  $||R_n x - R_n u|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , that is,  $x \stackrel{d}{=} u$ .

Third, we prove that, for each  $b \in Y$ , the equation Ax = b has exactly one solution  $x \in X$ . Let us choose  $x_n \in X_n$  with  $A_n x_n = Q_n b$ . From  $A_n(0) = Q_n A E_n(0) = Q_n A(0)$  and Assumption (A1)/(iii), it follows that

$$\nu(b) \geq \|Q_n b\| = \|A_n x_n\| = \|A_n x_n - A_n(0)\| - \|A_n(0)\| \geq \mu(\|x_n\|) - \nu(A(0)),$$

that is,  $\mu(||x_n||) \leq \nu(b) + \nu(A(0))$  for all *n*. This implies that  $\sup_n \mu(||x_n||) < \infty$ , and hence  $\sup_n ||x_n|| < \infty$ . It easily follows from the hypothesis that  $A_n x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} b$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

To this end, the A-regularity of the operator A ensures the existence of a subsequence  $\{x_{n'}\}$  such that  $x_{n'} \xrightarrow{d} x$  and Ax = b.

Finally, we show that  $x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} x$  and Ax = b. Let us choose, for fixed  $b \in Y$  and  $n \geq n_0, x_n \in X_n$  with  $A_n x_n = Q_n b$ . Then we have  $\sup_n ||x_n|| < \infty$ . It is obvious that  $||A_n x_n - Q_n b|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . The A- regularity of the operator A ensures the existence of a subsequence  $\{x_{n'}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n'} \stackrel{d}{\to} x$  and Ax = b. It follows that each subsequence  $\{x_{n'}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  has another subsequence  $\{x_{n''}\}$  with  $x_{n''} \stackrel{d}{\to} x$  and Ax = b. The limit element x is the same for all subsequences since the equation Ax = b has exactly one solution x. It follows that the entire sequence converges discretely to x, that is  $x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} x$ . As a matter of fact, this follows from an analogous argument as in the proof of the convergence principle [9: Proposition 10.13 (1)].

Step  $(C2) \Rightarrow (C1)$ . The proof of this implication is trivial.

Step (C1)  $\Rightarrow$  (C3). For given  $b \in Y$ , we solve the equation Ax = b ( $x \in X$ ). To show that  $A: X \to Y$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ - regular, assume  $\sup_n ||x_n|| < \infty$  and  $A_n x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} b$ , that is,  $||A_n x_n - Q_n b|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . For the sake of brevity, we shall write n for n'. To this end, by the stability and consistency conditions, we obtain, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\mu(\|x_n - R_n x\|) \le \|A_n x_n - A_n R_n x\| \le \|A_n x_n - Q_n b\| + \|Q_n A x - A_n R_n x\| \to 0.$$

This implies that  $||x_n - R_n x|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , that is, the operator A is A-regular

**Remark 1.4.** Suppose that we replace the assumptions for the connection operators  $E_n$  and  $Q_n$  in Theorem 1.1 by the following:

- (A4)  $E_n: X_n \to X$  and  $Q_n: Y \to Y_n$  are linear and continuous with  $\sup_n ||E_n|| < \infty$ and  $\sup_n ||Q_n|| < \infty$ .
- (A5)  $\pi_0$  is compatible, that is,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||E_nR_nx x||_X = 0$ .

Then A-regularity implies A-properness.

**Proof.** Since  $A: X \to Y$  is A-regular, this implies that there exists a subsequence, again denoted by  $\{x_n\}$ , such that  $x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} x$  in X, that is,  $||x_n - R_n x|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since  $\sup_n ||E_n|| < \infty$ , we obtain  $||E_n x_n - E_n R_n x|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . By the compatibility condition (A5), it follows that  $||E_n x_n - x|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , that is, A is A-proper.

Now, in the light of Remark 1.4, we give the A-regular version of Petryshyn's theorem [4].

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $\pi_1 = \{X_n, Y_n, E_n, R_n, Q_n\}$  be a consistend and stable approximation scheme represented by Diagram 1 with the following assumptions:

- (A) Admissible Inner Approximation Scheme.  $\pi_1$  is an admissible approximation scheme, that is, we have the following:
- (i) X and Y are infinite-dimensional normed spaces over  $\mathbf{K}$ .
- (ii)  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  are finite-dimensional normed spaces over K with dim  $X_n = \dim Y_n$ .
- (iii)  $E_n$  and  $Q_n$  are linear and continuous operators with  $\sup_n ||E_n|| < \infty$  and  $\sup_n ||Q_n|| < \infty$ . All operators  $A_n = Q_n A E_n$  are nonlinear and continuous, and  $R_n$  are also nonlinear.

#### (iv) Compatibility Condition (A5) is satisfied.

Then equation Ax = b, for each  $b \in Y$ , is solvable and uniquely approximation-solvable and the operator  $A: X \to Y$  is A-regular with respect to  $\pi_1$ .

**Corollary 1.6.** Let  $\pi_1$  be an admissible inner approximation scheme. If the operator  $A: X \to Y$  is A-regular with respect to  $\pi_1$ , and if  $C: X \to Y$  is compact, then  $A+C: X \to Y$  is also A-regular.

**Proof.** For brevity, we shall write n for n'. Let  $\sup_n ||x_n|| < \infty$ , and let  $A_n x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} b$ , that is,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||A_n x_n - Q_n b|| = 0$  with  $A_n = Q_n (A + C) E_n$ . Since  $\sup_n ||E_n|| < \infty$ , the sequence  $\{E_n x_n\}$  is bounded. Given that the operator C is compact, there exists a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ , again denoted  $\{x_n\}$ , such that

$$CE_n x_n \to z \quad \text{in } Y \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (5)

Since  $\sup_n ||Q_n|| < \infty$ , this implies that  $||Q_n C E_n x_n - Q_n z|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . It follows that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||Q_m A E_n x_n - Q_n (b-z)|| = 0$ . Given that the operator A is A-regular, there is a subsequence, again denoted by  $\{x_n\}$ , such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - R_n x|| = 0$  and Ax = b - z. Since  $\sup_n ||E_n|| < \infty$ , this implies that  $||E_n x_n - E_n R_n x|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . By the compatibility condition (A5), we get

$$||E_n x_n - x|| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
(6)

Since C is compact, by (5) and (6), we obtain Cx = z. Hence,  $x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} x$  and (A+C)x = b, that is, A + C is  $\mathcal{A}$ -regular

Here we consider an example, in which we compare the A-properness with A-regularity.

**Example 1.7** [2: Theorem 17.1]. Let X be a Banach space,  $T \in L(X)$ ,  $X_n$  a closed subspace of X and  $P_n : D(P_n) \subset X \to X_n$  a (possibly) unbounded projection onto  $X_n$  such that  $R(T) \subset D(P_n)$  and  $P_nT \in L(X)$ . Consider

x = Tx + y with  $y \in D(P_n)$  and  $x = T_n x + y_n$  with  $T_n \in L(X_n)$ .

for  $y_n \in X_n$ . Suppose that  $(I-T)^{-1} \in L(X)$  and

$$||T_n - P_n T_{|X_n}|| \to 0, \quad ||t - P_n T|| \to 0, \quad ||y_n - P_n y|| \to 0, \quad ||P_n y - y|| \to 0.$$

Then  $x = T_n x + y_n$  has a unique solution  $x_n$  for all large n, and  $x_n \to x_0 = (I-T)^{-1}y$ .

Note that if we try to place this result in an A-proper setting of Theorem 1.1 under the approximation scheme represented by Diagram 1, it is difficult, while it is easier to handle with an A-regular setting.

## 2. *A*-Regularity in Banach spaces

Let  $\pi_2 = \{X_n, X_n^*, E_n, R_n, E_n^*\}$  be an approximation scheme represented by the diagram



#### Diagram 2

Here X is a real reflexive separable infinite-dimensional Banach space. Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a Galerkin scheme in X with  $X_n = \operatorname{span}\{e_{1n}, \dots, e_{n'n}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $E_n : X_n \to X$ be the embedding operator with  $X_n \subseteq X$ . The connection operator  $R_n : X \to X_n$  is constructed in a manner that, for each  $x \in X$ , there exists at least one element  $R_n x$ such that  $||x - R_n x|| = \operatorname{dist}(x, X_n)$ . Let all operators  $A_n = E_n^* A E_n$  be continuous.

Consider the operator equation

$$Ax = b \qquad (x \in X, b \in X^*) \tag{7}$$

along with the approximate equations

$$A_n x_n = E_n^* b$$
  $(x_n \in X_n; n = 1, 2, ...)$  (8)

with respect to approximation scheme  $\pi_2$ . For n = 1, 2, ..., the approximate equations above are equivalent to Galerkin equations

$$[Ax_n, e_{jn}] = [b, e_{jn}] \qquad (x_n \in X_n; j = 1, ..., n')$$
(9)

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the dual pairing between  $X^*$  and X.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\pi_2 = \{X_n, X_n^*, E_n, R_n, E_n^*\}$  be an approximation scheme represented by Diagram 2. If the operator  $A : X \to X^*$  is continuous and uniformly monotone, then A is A-regular and, for each  $b \in X^*$ , the equation Ax = b  $(x \in X)$  is uniquely approximation-solvable. If  $C : X \to X^*$  is compact, then the operator  $A + C : X \to X^*$  is A-regular.

**Proof.** Under the assumptions, it follows easily that  $\pi_2$  is an admissible approximation scheme with the consistency and stability ensured by the uniform monotonicity of the operator  $A: X \to X^*$ . To this end, it suffices to show that A is A-regular. Let  $\sup_n ||x_n|| < \infty$  and  $A_n x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} b$  in  $X^*$  for  $x_n \in X_n$ . Since X is reflexive, there exists a subsequence, again denoted by  $\{x_n\}$ , such that  $x_n \stackrel{w}{\to} x$  in X. Since  $\{X_n\}$  is a Galerkin scheme, dist $(x, X_n) \to 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and, hence, it follows from the construction of

95

the operator  $R_n: X \to X_n$  that  $||x - R_n x|| \to 0$ . This implies that  $b - AR_n x \to b - Ax$ as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus,  $x_n - R_n x \xrightarrow{w} 0$ . Now, by the stability condition, we have, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mu(\|x_n - R_n x\|) &\leq [A_n x_n - A_n R_n x, x_n - R_n x] \\ &= [A_n x_n - E_n^* b + E_n^* b - A_n R_n x, x_n - R_n x] \\ &= [A_n x_n - E_n^* b, x_n - R_n x] + [E_n^* b - A_n R_n x, x_n - R_n x] \\ &= [A_n x_n - E_n^* b, x_n - R_n x] + [E_n^* b - E_n^* A R_n x, x_n - R_n x] \\ &= [A_n x_n - E_n^* b, x_n - R_n x] + [b - A_n R_n x, E_n x_n - E_n R_n x] \\ &= [A_n x_n - E_n^* b, x_n - R_n x] + [b - A R_n x, x_n - R_n x] \rightarrow 0. \end{split}$$

It follows that  $||x_n - R_n x|| \to 0$ , that is,  $x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} x$ . Since A is continuous and  $||x_n - R_n x|| \to 0$ , we get Ax = b. Hence, A is A-regular, and Theorem 2.1 follows from an application of Theorem 1.1

**Remark 2.2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1,  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$  implies  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , and, for  $x, u \in X$ , we have that  $x \stackrel{d}{=} u$  implies x = u.

# References

- [1] Anselone, P. M. and R. Ansorge: A unified framework for the discretization of nonlinear operator equations. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optimiz. 4 (1981), 61 99.
- [2] Krasnoselskii, M. A. et al.: Approximate Solutions of Operator Equations. Groningen: Noordhoff 1972.
- [3] Milojevic', P. S.: Approximation-solvability of some non-coercive nonlinear equations and semilinear problems at resonance with applications. In: Functional Analysis, Holomorphy and Approximation Theory II. Proc. Intern. Sem. (ed.: G. Zapata). Elsevier Science (North-Holland) 1984, pp. 259 - 295.
- [4] Petryshyn, W.: Nonlinear equations involving noncompact operators. In: Nonlinear Functional Analysis. In: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. (ed.: F. Browder). Providence (R.I): Amer. Math. Soc. 1970, pp. 206 - 233.
- [5] Petryshyn, W.: On the approximation solvability of equations involvong A-proper and pseudo-A-proper mappings. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975), 223 - 312.
- [6] Petryshyn, W.: Approximation-solvability of periodic boundary value problems via the Aproper mapping theory. In: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Providence (R.I): Amer. Math. Soc. 1986, pp. 261 - 282.
- [7] Verma, R. U.: Role of numerical range in approximation-solvability of nonlinear functional equations. Appl. Math. Lett. 5 (1992), 25 27.
- [8] Verma, R. U.: On the external approximation-solvability of nonlinear equations. PanAmer. Math. J. 2 (1992)3, 23 - 42.
- [9] Zeidler, E.: Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications. Vol. I: Fized-Point Theorems. New York: Springer-Verlag 1986.
- [10] Zeidler, E.: Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications. Vol. II/B: Nonlinear Monotone Operators. New York: Springer-Verlag 1990.

Received 06.11.1992; in revised form 21.09.1993