Estimating Remainder Functionals by the Moduli of Smoothness

P. Köhler

Abstract: For remainder functionals (e.g., approximation or quadrature errors), estimates by the moduli of smoothness are obtained. As a by-product, the constants in the estimate of the K -functional by the moduli of smoothness are improved.

Keywords: *Error estimates, modulus of continuity, modulus of smoothness, K-functional*

AMS subject classification: 41A25, 41A80

1. Introduction

Let $R: C[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded linear functional, and let $R[\mathcal{P}_{r-1}] = 0$, where \mathcal{P}_{r-1} are the polynomials of degree less *r* (the setting in the following sections will be somewhat more general). The standard estimates for $R[f]$ are $|R[f]| \leq ||R||_j||f^{(j)}||_{\infty}$ for $f \in C^{j}[a, b]$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, (1.1) more general). The standard estimates for *R[f]* are for *f E C'[a,b]* and I < j :5 r, (1.1) be a bounded linear
degree less r (the set
standard estimates for
 $|f|| \leq ||R||_j ||f^{(j)}||_{\infty}$
 $||R||_j = \sup \left\{ \frac{|R[f]|}{||f^{(j)}||} \right\}$
formation on f is ava linear functional,
the setting in the
nates for $R[f]$ are
 $\big|\big|_{\infty}$ for $f \in C$.
 $\frac{|R[f]|}{||f^{(j)}||}$: $f \in C^{j}[a,$
f is available, e.g.
(1,1) Therefore

$$
|R[f]| \leq ||R||, ||f^{(j)}||_{\infty} \qquad \text{for } f \in C^{j}[a,b] \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq r,
$$
 (1.1)

where

$$
||R||_j = \sup \left\{ \frac{|R[f]|}{||f^{(j)}||} : f \in C^{j}[a, b], ||f^{(j)}||_{\infty} \neq 0 \right\}.
$$

But if additional information on *f* is available, e.g. $f^{(j)} \in Lip \alpha$, this information cannot be used by estimates of the type (1.1). Therefore, it is of interest to have estimates **by** the moduli of continuity, i.e., estimates of the form ates for $R[f]$ are
 $\|\infty$ for $f \in C^{j}[a,b]$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, (1.1)
 $\frac{R[f]|}{f^{(j)}|}$: $f \in C^{j}[a,b], ||f^{(j)}||_{\infty} \neq 0$.

is available, e.g. $f^{(j)} \in Lip \alpha$, this information cannot

1.1). Therefore, it is of interest to have es

$$
|R[f]| \le c(t)\omega_r(f,t). \tag{1.2}
$$

It is well known that such estimates exist, but, in general, no estimates for the constants *c(i)* are available (see, e.g., Esser **[3]** and Ivanov [41). The aim of this paper is to obtain

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

ISSN 0232-2064 / \$ 2.50 © Heldermann Verlag Berlin

P. Köhler: Inst. Angew. Mathematik, TU Braunschweig, Pockelstr. 14, D - 38106 Braunschweig

172 P; Kähler

c(t) are available such estimates in the non-periodic case, and to improve them in the periodic case. Section 2 deals with functionals on $C_{2\pi}^s$ and $L_{p,2\pi}^s$, Section 3 with functionals on $C^s[a,b]$, and Section 4 with the K-functional.

2. Functionals on $C_{2\pi}^s$ and $L_{\text{D},2\pi}^s$

Let $C_{2\pi}^s$ be the class of s-times continuously differentiable, real-valued functions with period 2π , and $L_{p,2\pi}^*$ the class of 2π -periodic, real-valued functions with absolutely con-

period
$$
2\pi
$$
, and $L_{p,2\pi}^*$ the class of 2π -periodic, real-valued functions with absolute
\ntinuous $(s - 1)$ -th and p-integrable s-th derivative, with
\n
$$
||f||_p^p = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^p dx \quad (1 \le p < \infty) \qquad \text{and} \qquad ||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{0 \le x \le 2\pi} |f(x)|.
$$
\nFor convenience, we denote these classes by X_p^s , i.e., $X_{\infty}^s = C_{2\pi}^s$ and $X_p^s = 1 \le p < \infty$. We consider functionals
\n
$$
R: X_p^s \to \mathbb{R}.
$$
\nsatisfying
\n
$$
|R[f + g]| \le |R[f]| + |R[g]|
$$
\nand
\n
$$
||B||_{\infty} = \sup_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{R}^d}} \frac{|R[f]|}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{for } N^{i-1}(\Omega) \quad \text{for } \Omega
$$

For convenience, we denote these classes by X_p^s , i.e., $X_{\infty}^s = C_{2\pi}^s$ and $X_p^s = L_{p,2\pi}^s$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. We consider functionals

$$
R:X_p^s\to\mathbb{R}
$$

satisfying

$$
|R[f+g]| \le |R[f]| + |R[g]| \tag{2.1}
$$

and

For convenience, we denote these classes by
$$
X_p^s
$$
, i.e., $X_{\infty}^s = C_{2\pi}^s$ and $X_p^s = L_{p,2\pi}^s$ for $1 \le p < \infty$. We consider functionals
\n
$$
R: X_p^s \to \mathbb{R}
$$
\nsatisfying\n
$$
|R[f+g]| \le |R[f]| + |R[g]| \qquad (2.1)
$$
\nand\n
$$
||R||_{i,p} = \sup \left\{ \frac{|R[f]|}{||f^{(i)}||_p} : f \in X_p^i, ||f^{(i)}||_p \ne 0 \right\} < \infty \qquad (2.2)
$$
\nfor $i = s, ..., r$, for some $r > s \ge 0$. We therefore have\n
$$
|R[f]| \le ||R||_{i,p}||f^{(i)}||_p \qquad \text{for } f \in X_p^i, \quad i = s, ..., r. \qquad (2.3)
$$
\nFurther let

$$
|R[f]| \leq ||R||_{i,p}||f^{(i)}||_p \qquad \text{for } f \in X_p^i, \quad i = s, \dots, r. \tag{2.3}
$$

Further let

satisfying
\n
$$
|R[f+g]| \leq |R[f]| + |R[g]| \qquad (2.1)
$$
\nand
\n
$$
||R||_{i,p} = \sup \left\{ \frac{|R[f]|}{||f^{(i)}||_p} : f \in X_p^i, ||f^{(i)}||_p \neq 0 \right\} < \infty \qquad (2.2)
$$
\nfor $i = s, ..., r$, for some $r > s \geq 0$. We therefore have
\n
$$
|R[f]| \leq ||R||_{i,p}||f^{(i)}||_p \qquad \text{for } f \in X_p^i, \quad i = s, ..., r. \qquad (2.3)
$$
\nFurther let
\n
$$
\omega_j(f, t)_p = \sup_{|h| \leq t} ||\Delta_h^j f||_p, \quad \text{where } \Delta_h^j f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^j {j \choose i} (-1)^{j-i} f(x+ih),
$$
\nbe the *j*-th modulus of smoothness (for the properties of ω_j , see Schumaker [12: Chap. 2.8]). To simplify notation, we introduce the following abbreviations. Let

2.81). To simplify notation, we introduce the following abbreviations. Let

$$
\lceil x \rceil = \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : i \geq x\}, \qquad \beta_r = \binom{r}{\lceil r/2 \rceil}
$$

and

$$
\omega_j(f, t)_p = \sup_{|h| \le t} \|\Delta_h^j f\|_p, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_h^j f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^J {j \choose i} (-1)^{j-i} f(x + ih),
$$
\nbe the *j*-th modulus of smoothness (for the properties of ω_j , see Schumaker [12: Chap. 2.8]). To simplify notation, we introduce the following abbreviations. Let\n
$$
[x] = \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : i \ge x\}, \qquad \beta_r = {r \choose \lceil r/2 \rceil}
$$
\nand\n
$$
\rho_r = \int_0^{r/2} [x]^r N_r \left(x + \frac{r}{2}\right) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} i^r N_{r+1} \left(i + \frac{r}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{r}{2}\right]^r \qquad (2.4)
$$
\n
$$
(\rho_1 = 1/2, \rho_2 = 1/2, \rho_3 = 31/48, \rho_4 = 9/8). \text{ Here, } N_r \text{ is the B-spline of degree } r - 1 \text{ for the knots } 0 \text{ is the } r \text{ satisfies } 0 \text{ is the } r \text{ is the } r \text{ is the } r - 1 \text{ for the second }
$$

 $t_{\rho_1} = 1/2, \rho_2 = 1/2, \rho_3 = 31/48, \rho_4 =$
the knots $0, 1, ..., r$, satisfying

$$
\mathbb{Z}: i \geq x, \qquad \beta_r = \binom{r}{\lceil r/2 \rceil}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} i^r N_{r+1} \left(i + \frac{r}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{r}{2} \right]^r \qquad (2.4)
$$
\n
$$
= 9/8. \text{ Here, } N_r \text{ is the B-spline of degree } r - 1 \text{ for}
$$
\n
$$
\int_0^r N_r(x) dx = 1. \qquad (2.5)
$$

 ϵ^{-1}

 $\sim 10^7$

 γ_{\perp}

Further, there holds

Estimating Remainder Functionsals 173
\n
$$
\int_{t-1}^{t} N_r(x) dx = N_{r+1}(t)
$$
\n(2.6)
\nbeen used to obtain the second formula for ρ_r given in

(Schoenberg [11: p. 12]; this has been used to obtain the second formula for ρ_r given in (2.4)), and

Estimating Remainder Functionsals 173
\n
$$
\int_{t-1}^{t} N_r(x) dx = N_{r+1}(t)
$$
\n(2.6)
\np. 12]; this has been used to obtain the second formula for ρ_r given in
\n
$$
\int_{0}^{r} F^{(r)}(x + \alpha v) N_r(v) dv = \alpha^{-r} \Delta_{\alpha}^r F(x)
$$
 for $F \in X_1^r$ (2.7)
\n
$$
\text{Taker } [12: p. 54]). \text{ The following lemma is well known (see, e.g., DeVore
\nmodified the proof such that better constants are obtained.
$$

and $\alpha > 0$ (Schumaker [12: p. 54]). The following lemma is well known (see, e.g., DeVore [2]), but we have modified the proof such that better constants are obtained.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $r \ge 1$ *and* $1 \le p \le \infty$ *. Then for all* $f \in X_p^0$ *and all* $t > 0$ *, there exists a function* $g \in X_p^r$ *such that*

a)
$$
||f - g||_p \le 2\beta_r^{-1} \rho_r \omega_r(f, t)_p
$$
 and $||g^{(r)}||_p \le \beta_r^{-1} (2^r - \beta_r) t^{-r} \omega_r(f, t)_p$,
or

 $\|f - g\|_p \leq \beta_r^{-1} \omega_r(f, t)_p$ and $\|g^{(r)}\|_p \leq \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil^r \delta_r t^{-r} \omega_r(f, t)_p$, where $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1$ and $\delta_r = 2$ *for* $r \geq 3$.

Proof. Let
$$
\alpha_r = \lceil r/2 \rceil
$$
, and let g be defined by
\n
$$
g(x) = \beta_r^{-1} \int_{-r/2}^{r/2} (\beta_r f(x) + (-1)^{r-\alpha_r-1} \Delta_{vt}^r f(x - \alpha_r vt)) N_r(v + \frac{r}{2}) dv
$$
\n
$$
= \beta_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i \neq \alpha_r}}^{r} {r \choose i} (-1)^{i-\alpha_r-1} ((i - \alpha_r)t)^{-r} \Delta_{(i-\alpha_r)t}^r F(x + \frac{r}{2}(\alpha_r - i)t),
$$

by (2.7), where $F^{(r)} = f$.

0

Carl Corpora

$$
= \beta_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha}} {r \choose i} (-1)^{i-\alpha_r-1} ((i-\alpha_r)t)^{-r} \Delta_{(i-\alpha_r)t}^r F\left(x + \frac{r}{2}(\alpha_r - i)t\right),
$$
\n(2.7), where $F^{(r)} = f$.
\na) Minkowski's inequality and the periodicity of f yield\n
$$
\|f - g\|_p \leq \beta_r^{-1} \int_{-r/2}^{r/2} \|\Delta_{\nu t}^r f\|_p N_r \left(v + \frac{r}{2}\right) dv
$$
\n
$$
\leq \beta_r^{-1} \int_{-r/2}^{r/2} \omega_r (f, |vt|)_p N_r \left(v + \frac{r}{2}\right) dv
$$
\n(2.8)\n
$$
\leq \beta_r^{-1} \int_{-r/2}^{r/2} + r/2 \{|v|\}^r \omega_r (f, t)_p N_r \left(v + \frac{r}{2}\right) dv
$$
\n
$$
= 2\beta_r^{-1} \rho_r \omega_r (f, t)_p,
$$
\n
$$
\|g^{(r)}\|_p \leq \beta_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq \alpha_r}}^{r} {r \choose i} |i - \alpha_r|^{-r} t^{-r} \|\Delta_{(i-\alpha_r)t}^r F^{(r)}\|_p
$$

$$
= 2\beta_r^{-1} \rho_r \omega_r(f, t)_p,
$$

while, by the triangle inequality,

$$
||g^{(r)}||_p \leq \beta_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i \neq \alpha_r}}^r {r \choose i} |i - \alpha_r|^{-r} t^{-r} ||\Delta_{(i-\alpha_r)t}^r F^{(r)}||_p
$$

$$
\leq \beta_r^{-1}t^{-r} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i \neq \alpha_r}}^r \binom{r}{i} |i - \alpha_r|^{-r} \omega_r(f, |i - \alpha_r|t)_p
$$
\n
$$
\leq \beta_r^{-1}t^{-r} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i \neq \alpha_r}}^r \binom{r}{i} \omega_r(f, t)_p
$$
\n
$$
= \beta_r^{-1}t^{-r} (2^r - \beta_r) \omega_r(f, t)_p.
$$
\n(2.9)

b) From (2.9), we also get

$$
\leq \beta_r^{-1}t^{-r} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i\neq \alpha_r}}^r {r \choose i} |i - \alpha_r|^{-r} \omega_r(f, |i - \alpha_r|t)_p
$$

\n
$$
\leq \beta_r^{-1}t^{-r} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i\neq \alpha_r}}^r {r \choose i} \omega_r(f, t)_p
$$

\n
$$
= \beta_r^{-1}t^{-r} (2^r - \beta_r) \omega_r(f, t)_p.
$$

\n(2.9), we also get
\n
$$
||g^{(r)}||_p \leq \beta_r^{-1}t^{-r} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i\neq \alpha_r}}^r {r \choose i} |i - \alpha_r|^{-r} \omega_r(f, \alpha_r t)_p \leq \delta_r t^{-r} \omega_r(f, \alpha_r t)_p,
$$

b) From (2.9), we also get
 $||g^{(r)}||_p \leq \beta_r^{-1}t^{-r} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i \neq \alpha_r}}^{r} {r \choose i} |i - \alpha_r|^{-r} \omega_r(f, \alpha_r t)_p \leq \delta_r t^{-r} \omega_r(f, \alpha_r t)_p$,

since $\beta_r^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ i \neq \alpha_r}}^{r} {r \choose i} |i - \alpha_r|^{-r} \leq \delta_r$, by some elementary calculations, while with (2.5) gives (r_1)

$$
||f-g||_p \leq \beta_r^{-1} \omega_r \left(f, \frac{r}{2}t\right)_p \leq \beta_r^{-1} \omega_r(f, \alpha_r t)_p.
$$

Replacing $u = \alpha_r t$ proves part b)

From Lemma 2.1, we get the following estimates for $|R[f]|$ in terms of the moduli of smoothness.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $R : X_p^s \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a functional satisfying (2.1) and (2.3), and let* 0 *and* $1 \leq j \leq r - s$. *Then*
 $|R[f]| \leq \beta_j^{-1}(2\rho_j||R||_{s,p} + (2^j - \beta_j)t^{-j}||R||_{j+s,p})\omega_j(f^{(s)},t)_p$ *for* $f \in X_p^s$. $t>0$ and $1\leq j\leq r-s$. Then in terms of the moduli of
 cag (2.1) and *(2.3)*, and let
 c_1
 $c_2 \omega_j(F, t)_p$. (2.10)

$$
|R[f]| \leq \beta_j^{-1} \left(2\rho_j \|R\|_{s,p} + (2^j - \beta_j) t^{-j} \|R\|_{j+s,p} \right) \omega_j(f^{(s)}, t)_p \quad \text{for } f \in X_p^s.
$$

of. Let $F = f^{(s)} \in X_p^0$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $G \in X_p^j$ such that

$$
\|F - G\|_p \leq c_1 \omega_j(F, t)_p \quad \text{and} \quad \|G^{(j)}\|_p \leq c_2 \omega_j(F, t)_p.
$$

Proof. Let $F = f^{(a)} \in X_p^0$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $G \in X_p^j$ such that

$$
||F - G||_p \leq c_1 \omega_j(F_{\cdot,j})_p \quad \text{and} \quad ||G^{(j)}||_p \leq c_2 \omega_j(F, t)_p. \tag{2.10}
$$

Now choose $g \in X_p^{j+s}$ with $g^{(s)} = G$. Then

$$
- G||_p \leq c_1 \omega_j(F_{\gamma_j} t)_p \quad \text{and} \quad ||G^{(j)}||_p \leq c_2 \omega_j(F_{\gamma_j} t) + \text{ with } g^{(s)} = G. \text{ Then}
$$

\n
$$
|R[f]| \leq |R[f - g]| + |R[g]|
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||R||_{s,p}||f^{(s)} - g^{(s)}||_p + ||R||_{j+s,p}||g^{(j+s)}||_p
$$

\n
$$
= ||R||_{s,p}||F - G||_p + ||R||_{j+s,p}||G^{(j)}||_p.
$$

Inserting the estimates from (2.10) , together with the constants of Lemma 2.1a) (with r replaced by j) completes the proof \blacksquare

Example 2.1. Let $E_n[f] = \inf_{g \in T_n} ||f - g||_{\infty}$ be the error in the approximation of *I* $F \in C_{2\pi}$ by trigonometric polynomials of degree lesser or equal *n*. By the Theorem of Favard-Achieser-Krein,
 $||E_n||_{r,\infty} = K_r(n+1)^{-r}$ where $K_r = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{2\nu+1}\right)^{r+1} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ Favard-Achieser-Krein, be estimates from (2.10), together with the constants of Lem

i) completes the proof **I**
 e 2.1. Let $E_n[f] = \inf_{g \in T_n} ||f - g||_{\infty}$ be the error in the trigonometric polynomials of degree lesser or equal *n*. By

eser-Krei

$$
||E_n||_{r,\infty} = K_r(n+1)^{-r}
$$
 where $K_r = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{2\nu + 1} \right)^{r+1} \le \frac{\pi}{2}$

Estimating Remainder F
\nis Favard's constant. Choosing
$$
t = 2/(n + 1)
$$
 in Theorem 2.1, we obtain
\n
$$
E_n[f] \le \frac{\pi c_j}{(n+1)^s} \omega_j \left(f^{(s)}, \frac{2}{n+1}\right)_{\infty} \quad \text{for } f \in C_{2\pi}^s,
$$
\n
$$
s \ge 0 \text{ and } j \ge 1; \text{ where}
$$
\n
$$
c_j = \frac{1}{\beta_j} \left(\rho_j + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}
$$

 $s \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$; where

$$
c_j = \frac{1}{\beta_j} \left(\rho_j + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \tag{2.11}
$$

 $(c_1 = 3/4, c_2 = 3/8, c_3 = 23/72$ and $c_4 = 23/96$, but $c_j \to \infty$ for $j \to \infty$). The asymptotic behaviour of *c,* is probably be given by

$$
c_j = \frac{1}{\beta_j} \left(\rho_j + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}
$$

3/72 and $c_4 = 23/96$, but $c_j \to \infty$ for
y be given by

$$
c_r \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi r}}{2} \left(\frac{r}{4\sqrt{3e}} \right)^r \qquad \text{for } r \to \infty,
$$
to prove this.
theorem 2.1 remains true if $||R||_{i,p}$ is

$$
\left\{ \frac{|R[f]|}{||f^{(i)}||_p} : f \in X_p^i, ||f^{(i)}||_p \neq 0, \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(i)} \right\}
$$

p, but with equality for $i \ge 1$ because,
of quadratic errors

but we have not been able to prove this.

It can be shown that Theorem 2.1 remains true if $||R||_{i,p}$ is replaced by

shown that Theorem 2.1 remains true if
$$
||R||_{i,p}
$$
 is replaced by
\n $||R||_{i,p} = \sup \left\{ \frac{|R[f]|}{||f^{(i)}||_p} : f \in X_p^i, ||f^{(i)}||_p \neq 0, \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(i)}(x) dx = 0 \right\}$

(obviously, $||R||_{i,p} \le ||R||_{i,p}$, but with equality for $i \ge 1$ because of the periodicity of the functions considered). E.g., for quadrature errors

$$
\frac{|R[f]|}{|f^{(i)}||_p} : f \in X_p^i, \|f^{(i)}\|_p \neq 0, \int_0^{2i}
$$

but with equality for $i \ge 1$ bec
for quadrature errors

$$
R[f] = \int_a^b f(x) dx - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i)
$$

there holds

÷.

$$
||R||_{0,\infty} = b - a + \sum i = 1^n |a_i|
$$
, but $||R||_{0,\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$

(for an example and some other details omitted here, see [61).

3. Functionals on C8 [4, b]

Let $C^s[a, b]$ be the class of s-times continuously differentiable, real-valued functions on $[a, b]$, and $||f|| = \sup |f(x)|$. We consider functionals $R : C^s[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (2.1), and assume that, for $f \in C^{i}[a, b]$ and $i = s, \ldots, r$, *R[f] I* :5 *II RIIIIf II*

$$
|R[f]| \leq ||R||_i||f^{(i)}|| \tag{3.1}
$$

holds, where $||R||_i$ is defined analogous to (2.2), and $||R||_i < \infty$. Further, let

$$
\omega_j(f,t)=\sup\Big\{|\Delta_h^j f(x)|:|h|\leq t\text{ and }x,x+jh\in[a,b]\Big\}
$$

denote the j -th modulus of continuity of f . The proof of an analogue of Lemma 2.1 is more complicated, since f is not defined outside $[a, b]$. A standard method to overcome this difficulty is to extend *f* in a suitable way (see DeVore [2]), but then one has to know the constants related to this extension. For the case of the sup-norm considered in this

Contract

section, this difficulties can be avoided by a modification of the step size of the differences involved in the proof (this does not work for the L_p -norms, $1 \leq p < \infty$, which is the reason why we do not treat this case here). This modification is a useful tool to obtain explicit constants, when Steklov functions are applied to non-periodic functions. It was derived by the author some years ago for a first version of this paper, but afterwards, I discovered that it had already been used by Sendov in [9]; inserting $t = (b - a)/r^2$ in part b) of the following lemma, gives the estimate of Sendov. Let be avoided by a modification of the step size of the differences

does not work for the L_p -norms, $1 \le p < \infty$, which is the
 x this case here). This modification is a useful tool to obtain

reklov functions are applied

$$
\tau_{r} = \int_{0}^{r} [x]^{\dagger} N_{r}(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{r} i^{\dagger} N_{r+1}(i) \leq r^{\dagger}
$$
 (3.2)

 \mathcal{L}^{max} , where \mathcal{L}^{max}

 $(\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = 5/2, \tau_3 = 10, \tau_4 = 331/6$; the second formula for τ follows from (2.6)).

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $f \in C[a,b], r \ge 1$ *and* $t \in (0,(b-a)/r^2]$. *Then there exists a* **Lemma 3.1.** Let $f \in C[a, b]$, $r \ge 1$ and $t \in (0, (b - c))$
 ction $g \in C^{r}[a, b]$ such that
 a) $||f - g|| \le \tau_r \omega_r(f, t)$ and $||g^{(r)}|| \le (2^{r} - 1)t^{-r}\omega_r(f, t)$, *function* $g \in C^{r}[a, b]$ such that ~ 100

a)
$$
||f-g|| \leq \tau_r \omega_r(f,t)
$$
 and $||g^{(r)}|| \leq (2^r-1)t^{-r} \omega_r(f,t)$,

or

b)
$$
||f - g|| \le \omega_r(f, rt)
$$
 and $||g^{(r)}|| \le (r + 1)t^{-r}\omega_r(f, rt)$.

Proof. Let

$$
|f-g|| \le \omega_r(f,rt) \text{ and } ||g^{(r)}|| \le (r+1)t^{-r}\omega_r(f,rt).
$$

of. Let

$$
g(x) = \int_0^r \left(f(x) + (-1)^{r+1} \Delta_u^r f(x)\right) N_r(v) dv \quad \text{with} \quad u = vt - \frac{x-a}{b-a}rt.
$$

Here, *u* and the restriction for *t* stated in the lemma have been chosen such that $x + iu \in$ $[a, b]$ always (i.e., for $x \in [a, b]$ and $i = 0, ..., r$).

a) We obtain

$$
x) + (-1)^{r+1} \Delta_u^r f(x) N_r(v) dv \quad \text{with} \quad u = vt - \frac{x - a}{b - a} rt.
$$

riction for *t* stated in the lemma have been chosen such that $x + iu \in r$
 $x \in [a, b]$ and $i = 0, ..., r$).

$$
|f(x) - g(x)| \le \int_0^r \omega_r \left(f, t \Big| v - \frac{x - a}{b - a} r \Big| \right) N_r(v) dv.
$$
(3.3)
= 0 and $b = 1$, and let

For simplicity, let $a = 0$ and $b = 1$, and let

in
\n
$$
|f(x) - g(x)| \le \int_0^r \omega_r(f, t|v - \frac{x - a}{b - a}r|) N_r(v) dv.
$$
\nlet $a = 0$ and $b = 1$, and let
\n
$$
\phi(x) = \omega_r(f, tx) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \int_0^r \phi(|v - rx|) N_r(v) dv.
$$

From the monotonicity of $\omega_r(f, \cdot)$, it follows that ϕ is an increasing function, and the symmetry of N_r yields $\psi(1 - x) = \psi(x)$. Using the symmetry properties of N_r and ψ , and the monotonicity of ϕ and of N_r on $[0, r/2]$, we obtain for $x \in [0, 1/2]$

$$
\psi(0) - \psi(x) = \int_0^x (\phi(v) - \phi(rx - v))N_r(v) dv + \int_{rx} (\phi(v) - \phi(v - rx))N_r(v) dv
$$

\n
$$
\geq \int_0^{r_x} (\phi(v) - \phi(rx - v))N_r(v) dv
$$

\n
$$
= \int_0^{r_x/2} (\phi(rx - v) - \phi(v))(N_r(rx - v) - N_r(v)) dv
$$

\n
$$
\geq 0.
$$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

Because of the symmetry, the same holds for $x \in [1/2, 1]$, so that

$$
||f-g|| \leq \psi(0) = \int_{0}^{t} \omega_r(f,tv) N_r(v) dv \leq \int_{0}^{t} [v]^r N_r(v) dv \omega_r(f,t) = \tau_r \omega_r(f,t).
$$

Because of the symmetry, the same holds for
$$
x \in [1/2, 1]
$$
, so that

\n
$$
||f - g|| \leq \psi(0) = \int_0^1 \omega_r(f, tv) N_r(v) \, dv \leq \int_0^r [v]^r N_r(v) \, dv \, \omega_r(f, t) = \tau_r \omega_r(f, t).
$$
\nFor the r-th derivative of g , we obtain, using (2.7),

\n
$$
|g^{(r)}(x)|^r = \left| \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^{1-i} (it)^{-r} \left(1 - \frac{irt}{b-a} \right)^r \Delta_{it}^r f \left(x - \frac{x - a}{b - a}irt \right) \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{r}{i} (it)^{-r} \omega_r(f, it)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{r}{i} t^{-r} \omega_r(f, t)
$$
\n
$$
= (2^r - 1) t^{-r} \omega_r(f, t).
$$
\n(3.4)

b) From (3.4), we also get

, we also get
\n
$$
|g^{(r)}(x)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^r {r \choose i} i^{-r} t^{-r} \omega_r(f, rt) \leq (r+1) t^{-r} \omega_r(f, rt).
$$

Further, $||f - g|| \leq \omega_r(f, rt)$, by (3.3) and (2.5)

In the same way as Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following one.

Theorem 3.1. Let $R: C^{\bullet}[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a functional satisfying (2.1) and (3.1), and *let* $1 \leq j \leq r - s$ *and* $t \in (0, (b - a)/j^2]$ *. Then* Fig. 3.1. Let $R: C^{\bullet}[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a functional satisfying (2.1) and $\leq r - s$ and $t \in (0, (b - a)/j^2]$. Then
 $|R[f]| \leq (\tau_j ||R||_s + (2^j - 1)t^{-j} ||R||_{j+s}) \omega_j(f^{(s)}, t)$ for $f \in C^{\bullet}[a, b]$

$$
|R[f]| \leq (\tau_j ||R||_s + (2^j - 1)t^{-j} ||R||_{j+s}) \omega_j(f^{(s)},t) \quad \text{for } f \in C^s[a,b].
$$

The estimate of Theorem 3.1 makes use of $||R||$, and $||R||_{j+s}$, which, however, may not be known. This difficulty can be overcome if $||R||_m$ and $||R||_r$ are known for some $m < s$ In the same way as Theorem 2.1, we obtain the fol

Theorem 3.1. Let $R: C^s[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a functio

let $1 \leq j \leq r - s$ and $t \in (0, (b - a)/j^2]$. Then
 $|R[f]| \leq (\tau_j ||R||_a + (2^j - 1)t^{-j} ||R||_{j+s}) \omega_j(f$

The estimate of Theorem 3.1 mak and some $r > j + s$, by using the estimate *(i)*
 (i), and $||R||_{j+s}$, wh
 $||_m$ and $||R||_r$ are k
 $\left(\frac{||R||_r}{K_{r-m}}\right)^{(i-m)/(r-m)}$

ad Köhler [5]: the

$$
||R||_{i} \leq K_{i-m} ||R||_{m}^{(r-i)/(r-m)} \left(\frac{||R||_{r}}{K_{r-m}}\right)^{(i-m)/(r-m)}
$$
(3.5)

which holds for $0 \le m \le i \le r$ (see Ligun [7] and Köhler [5]; the K_i are again Favard's constants). $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y})$ are the set of the $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y})$ \sim \sim

Example 3.1. Let $R_n^G[f] = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx - Q_n^G[f]$ be the error of the *n*-point Gauss-
endre quadrature formula. It is well known that
 $||R_n^G||_{2n} = \frac{2^{2n+1}n!^4}{n!}$. Legendre quadrature formula. It is well known that

Let
$$
R_n^G[f] = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx - Q_n^G[f]
$$
 be the error of the formula. It is well known that
\n $||R_n^G||_0 = 4$ and $||R_n^G||_{2n} = \frac{2^{2n+1}n!^4}{(2n+1)(2n)!^3}$.

Using Stirling's and Wallis' formula, it can be shown that
 $t_{2n} := \left(\frac{\|R_n^G\|_{2n}}{\|R_n^G\|_{2n}}\right)^{1/(2n)} \le \frac{1}{2n}$

formula, it can be shown that

$$
t_{2n} := \left(\frac{\|R_n^G\|_{2n}}{\|R_n^G\|_0 K_{2n}}\right)^{1/(2n)} \leq \frac{e}{4n}.
$$

Using (3.5) to estimate $||R_n^G||$, and $||R_n^G||_{j+s}$ by $||R_n^G||_0$ and $||R_n^G||_{2n}$, and applying Theo
rem 3.1 with $r = 2n$ and $t = t_{2n}$, yields
 $|R_n^G[f]|\leq 4\left(\frac{e}{4n}\right)^s \left(\tau_j K_s + (2^j - 1)K_{j+s}\right) \omega_j \left(f^{(s)}, \frac{e}{4n}\right)$ for $f \$ rem 3.1 with $r = 2n$ and $t = t_{2n}$, yields

$$
|R_n^G[f]| \leq 4\left(\frac{e}{4n}\right)^s\left(\tau_jK_s + (2^j-1)K_{j+s}\right)\omega_j\left(f^{(s)},\frac{e}{4n}\right) \quad \text{for } f \in C^s[a,b],
$$

 $0 \leq s < j+s \leq 2n$ and $n \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}/8$.

Finally, let us shortly consider compound functionals, and state an estimate given by Sendov and Popov $[10: p. 49]$ for the τ -moduli, in the framework of this paper. Let the function $R: C^{\bullet}[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (2.1) and (3.1), and let the N-compound functional $R_N: C^{\bullet}[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by **8, and
frame**
d let t

$$
R_N[f] = \frac{b-a}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} R\left[f\left(a + (i + \cdot)\frac{b-a}{N}\right)\right] \quad \text{for } f \in C^s[a, b].
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let
$$
1 \leq j \leq r - s
$$
. Then
\n
$$
|R_N[f]| \leq 6 \frac{(b-a)^{s+1}}{N^s} ||R||_{s} \omega_j \left(f^{(s)}, \frac{b-a}{N(j+1)}\right) \quad \text{for } f \in C^s[a, b].
$$

Especially, this can be applied to compound quadrature rules. Better (and partly sharp) estimates by $\omega_r(f, \cdot)$ have been obtained by Büttgenbach, Lüttgens and Nessel [1] for the compound midpoint and the first four compound Newton-Cotes rules, using representations for the error by differences of order *r.*

4. Estimates for the *K*-functional

a) Let us first consider the K -functional of Peetre in the periodic case, i.e.,

For the
$$
\lambda
$$
-functional of Peetre in the periodic case,

\n
$$
\mathcal{K}_r(f, u)_p = \inf_{g \in X_p^r} \left(\|f - g\|_p + u \|g^{(r)}\|_p \right) \qquad \text{for } f \in X_p^0.
$$

Specializing to g from Lemma 2.1a), and choosing $t = 2u$, yields the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $r \geq 1$ *and* $u > 0$ *. Then*

$$
u_{fp} = \frac{u_{1fp}}{g \in X_{p}^{\perp}} (\|J - g\|_{p} + u_{1} \|g - \|_{p}) \quad \text{for } j
$$
\nLemma 2.1a), and choosing $t = 2u$, yields

\n
$$
1 \le p \le \infty, r \ge 1 \text{ and } u > 0. \text{ Then}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{K}_{r}(f, u^{r})_{p} \le 2c_{r} \omega_{r}(f, 2u)_{p} \quad \text{for } f \in X_{p}^{0},
$$

with c_r as in (2.11) .

For $r = 1, 2$, this coincides with the estimate given by Maligranda [8]. The unmodified proof of Lemma 2.1, as given by DeVore [2], yields $\mathcal{K}_r(f, u^r)_p \le (r^r + 1 - 2^{-r})\omega_r(f, 2u)_p$ (see also Maligranda [8]).

b) For the K -functional in the non-periodic case,

and a [8]).
notional in the non-periodic case,

$$
\mathcal{K}_r(f, u) = \inf_{g \in C^r[a, b]} (||f - g|| + u||g^{(r)}||) \quad \text{for } f \in C[a, b],
$$

choose, e.g., g from Lemma 3.1a) with $t = 2u$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $r > 1$ and $0 < u < (b - a)/(2r^2)$. Then

m Lemma 3.1a) with
$$
t = 2u
$$
.
\n2. Let $r \ge 1$ and $0 < u \le (b-a)/(2r^2)$. Then
\n
$$
\mathcal{K}_r(f, u^r) \le (\tau_r + 1 - 2^{-r})\omega_r(f, 2u) \quad \text{for } f \in C[a, b].
$$

It is well known that K_r can be estimated by ω_r , but, as far as we know, no explicit constants have been given for *r > 2.*

References

- [1] BUTTGENHACH, B., LUTTGENs, G. and R.J. NESSEL: *An explicit representation'of the remainder of some Newton-Cotes formulas in terms of higher order differences.* Z. Anal. Anw. 11 (1992), $135 - 141$.
- [2] DEV0RE, R.A.: *Degree of approximation.* In: Approximation Theory II (eds.: G.G. Lorentz, C.K. Chui and L.L. Schumaker). New York: Academic Press 1976, pp. 117 - 161.
- *[3] EsSER, H.: Abschätzungen durch Stetigkeitsmoduli bei* Folgen von *linearen Funktionalen.* Lect. Notes Math. 556 (1976), 184 - 190.
- [4] IVANOV, K.G.: *New* estimates of *errors of quadrature formulae, formulae of numerical differentiation and interpolation.* Anal. Math. 6 (1980), 281 - 303.
- [5] KöHLER, P.: *Intermediate* error *estimates.* J. Comp. Appl. Math. (to appear).
- *[6] KöHLER, P.: Estimating remainder functionals by* the *moduli of smoothness.* Report. Braunschweig: Institute für Mathematik, Bericht 93/15.
- *[7] LIGUN, A.A.: Exact inequalities for the upper bounds of seminorms on* a *class of periodic* functions. Math. Notes 13 (1973), 389 - 393.
- [8] MALIGRANDA, L.: *Interpolation spaces in the theory of approximation.* In: Methods of Functional Analysis in Approximation Theory (ISNM 76) (eds.: C.A. Micchelli, D.V. Pal and B.V. Limaye). Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag 1986, pp. 264 - 279.
- [9] SEND0v, BL.: *A modified Steklov function* (in Russian). Comp. Rend. Acad. BuIg. Sci. **36** (1983), 315 - 317.
- [10] SENDOv, BL. and V.A. Popov: *The Averaged Moduli of* Smoothness. Chichester: Wiley 1988.
- [11] SCHOENBERG, I.J.: *Cardinal Spline Interpolation.* Philadelphia: SIAM 1973.
- [12] SCHUMAKER, L.L.: *Spline Functions.* New York: Wiley 1981.

Received 12.07.1993, in revised form 04.10.1983