

# A Necessary Condition to Regularity of a Boundary Point for a Degenerate Quasilinear Parabolic Equation

S. Leonardi and I. I. Skrypnik

**Abstract.** We shall study the behaviour of solutions of the equation

$$v(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i \left( x, t, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) = a_0 \left( x, t, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \quad ((x, t) \in Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T))$$

at a point  $(x_0, t_0) \in S_T = \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ . Indeed we establish a necessary condition to the regularity of a boundary point of the cylindrical domain  $Q_T$  extending the analogous result from paper [13] to the degenerate case. The degeneration is given by weights (depending on the space variable) from a suitable Muchenhaupt class. It is important to note that the coefficients of the equation depend on time too.

**Keywords:** *Degenerate nonlinear parabolic equations, regularity at boundary points*

**AMS subject classification:** Primary 35K65, secondary 35B65

## 1. Introduction

In the present paper we are concerned with the behavior near by the boundary of a cylindrical domain of solutions to a second order degenerate parabolic equation with coefficients which depend on time.

The Wiener condition to the regularity of a boundary point for a linear parabolic equation with measurable bounded coefficients is due to Lanconelli [9]. A Wiener-type sufficient condition for a quasilinear parabolic equation has been proved by Gariepy and Ziemer [6, 14] and a necessary condition by I. V. Skrypnik [13]. In [1] Biroli has extended the result of [14] to the parabolic degenerate case with a weight in the  $A_{1+2/n}$  Muchenhaupt class (see in [1] about literature). In our paper we use the method of [13] for proving a necessary Wiener-type condition for such a problem.

---

S. Leonardi: Dipartimento di Matematica, Viale A. Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy.

I. I. Skrypnik: Ukrainian Acad. Sci., Inst. Appl. Math. Mech., R. Luxemburg str. 74, 340114 Donetsk, Ukraina.

This paper was written while the second author was visiting the Department of Mathematics of Catania.

## 2. Notations, definitions and preliminary results

To begin we recall some facts from [2] about  $A_p$  weights. Let  $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), and put

$$B(x_0, r) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x - x_0| < r \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad w(B(x_0, r)) = \int_{B(x_0, r)} w(x) dx.$$

We say that a non-negative and locally integrable function  $w = w(x)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a *doubling weight* if there exists a constant  $K_1 > 0$ , independent of  $r$  and  $x_0$ , such that

$$w(B(x_0, 2r)) \leq K_1 w(B(x_0, r)). \tag{2.1}$$

Given  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , we say the weight  $w$  belongs to  $A_p$  if there exists a constant  $K_2 > 0$  such that, for all balls  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\left( \frac{1}{\text{meas } B} \int_B w(x) dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{\text{meas } B} \int_B w^{-1/(p-1)}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} \leq K_2. \tag{2.2}$$

We say  $w \in D_\zeta$  if there exists a constant  $K_3 > 0$  such that

$$w(B(x_0, r)) \leq K_3 \left( \frac{r}{s} \right)^{n\zeta} w(B(x_0, s)) \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, r] \tag{2.3}$$

with  $K_3$  independent of  $x_0, r$  and  $s$ .

We say the Poincaré inequality holds with weights  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ ,  $\mu$ -average and exponent  $q$  ( $q > 2$ ) if there exists a constant  $K_4 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{w_2(B)} \int_B |F(x) - av_{B, \mu} F|^q w_2(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq K_4 (\text{meas } B)^{1/n} \left( \frac{1}{w_1(B)} \int_B \left| \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x} \right|^2 w_1(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for every ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and every  $F \in \text{Lip}(B)$  where

$$av_{B, \mu} F = \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B F(x) \mu(x) dx.$$

As it follows from the result by Chanillo and Wheeden [2], the inequality (2.4) holds for  $q > 0$  with  $\mu = 1$  or  $\mu = w_2$  whenever  $w_1 \in A_2$  and

$$\left( \frac{\text{meas } B_1}{\text{meas } B_2} \right)^{1/n} \left( \frac{w_2(B_1)}{w_2(B_2)} \right)^{1/q} \leq K_5 \left( \frac{w_1(B_1)}{w_2(B_2)} \right)^{1/2} \tag{2.5}$$

for all balls  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  with  $B_1 \subset B_2$  and with  $K_5$  independent of the balls.

Also, if  $v = v(x)$  is a weight,  $w \in A_p(v)$  means an analogous inequality to (2.2) with  $dx$  and  $\text{meas } B$  replaced by  $v(x)dx$  and  $v(B)$ , respectively. We also use the notation  $A_\infty(v) = \bigcup_{p=1}^\infty A_p(v)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** As it follows from the definition, if  $w \in A_p$ , then there exist constants  $K_6 > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$w(B(x_0, r)) \leq K_6 \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{n\eta} w(B(x_0, s))$$

for  $0 < r \leq s$ .

Let now  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ . We shall study the behavior of solutions of the equation

$$v(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i \left(x, t, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = a_0 \left(x, t, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) \quad ((x, t) \in Q_T) \quad (2.6)$$

at a point  $(x_0, t_0) \in S_T = \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$  under the assumptions that the functions  $a_i = a_i(x, t, u, p)$  ( $i = 0, \dots, n$ ) are defined for  $(x, t, u, p) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and satisfy the following conditions:

(C1) For almost every (fixed)  $(x, t)$  the functions  $a_i(x, t, u, p)$  are continuous with respect to  $u$  and  $p$ , and for all  $(u, p)$  they are measurable functions of  $(x, t)$ ;  $a_i(x, t, 0, 0) = 0$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ .

(C2) For some constant  $\nu_1 > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_i(x, t, u, p) - a_i(x, t, u, q)\right) (p_i - q_i) \geq \nu_1 w(x) |p - q|^2, \quad (2.7)$$

and for some constant  $\nu_2 > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a_0(x, t, u, p) - a_0(x, t, v, q) \right| &\leq \nu_2 \left( v(x) |u - v| + v^{1/2}(x) w^{1/2}(x) |p - q| \right) \\ \left| a_i(x, t, u, p) - a_i(x, t, v, q) \right| &\leq \nu_2 \left( v^{1/2}(x) w^{1/2}(x) |u - v| + w(x) |p - q| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

We will denote by  $L^2(\Omega, w)$  the Banach space of all measurable functions  $f$ , defined on  $\Omega$ , whose norm

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, w)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} f^2(x) w(x) dx$$

is finite.  $W_2^1(Q_T, v, w)$  will be the Banach space of functions  $f$  equipped with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{W_2^1(Q_T, v, w)}^2 &= \int_{Q_T} f^2(x, t) (v(x) + w(x)) dx dt \\ &+ \int_{Q_T} \left( \left| \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 w(x) + \left| \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial t} \right|^2 v(x) \right) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

We use also functions from the space  $V_2(Q_T, v, w)$  endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{V_2(Q_T, v, w)}^2 = \sup_{0 < t < T} \int_{\Omega} f^2(x, t) v(x) dx + \int_{Q_T} \left| \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 w(x) dx dt. \quad (2.10)$$

We will denote by  $\dot{W}_2^1(Q_T, v, w)$  and  $\dot{V}_2(Q_T, v, w)$  the spaces of functions belonging, respectively, to  $W_2^1(Q_T, v, w)$  and  $V_2(Q_T, v, w)$  and being equal to zero on  $S_T$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a function  $u \in V_2(Q_T, v, w)$  is a solution of the equation (2.6) if, for all functions  $\psi = \psi(x, t)$  in  $\dot{W}_2^1(Q_T, v, w)$  vanishing at  $t = 0$  and  $t = T$ , the identity

$$I_T(u, \psi) = 0$$

is satisfied, where

$$I_\tau(u, \psi) = \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega \left\{ -v(x)u(x, t) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left( x, t, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} - a_0 \left( x, t, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \psi(x) \right\} dx dt. \tag{2.11}$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $f \in W_2^1(Q_T, v, w)$  and  $g \in L_2(\Omega, v)$  for which

$$u(x, t) = f(x, t) \quad ((x, t) \in S_T) \tag{2.12}$$

$$u(x, 0) = g(x) \quad (x \in \Omega). \tag{2.13}$$

We say that  $u = u(x, t)$  in  $V_2^1(Q_T, v, w)$  is a solution of the problem (2.6), (2.12), (2.13) if  $u - f \in \dot{V}_2(Q_T, v, w)$  and, moreover, for any  $\psi \in \dot{W}_2^1(Q_t, v, w)$  and  $\tau \in (0, T)$ ,

$$\int_\Omega v(x)u(x, \tau)\psi(x, \tau) dx - \int_\Omega v(x)g(x)\psi(x, 0) dx + I_\tau(u, \psi) = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

**Definition 2.3.** We say that  $(x_0, t_0) \in S_T$  is a *regular boundary point* of the region  $Q_T$  for the equation (2.6) if for any its solution  $u$ , defined in  $Q_T$ , satisfying the condition

$$\phi(u - f) \in \dot{V}_2(Q_T, v, w) \tag{2.15}$$

with  $f \in C(\bar{Q}_T) \cap W_2^1(Q_T, v, w)$  and  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  which is equal to one in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, t_0)$ , the equality

$$\lim_{(x,t) \rightarrow (x_0,t_0)} u(x, t) = f(x_0, t_0) \quad ((x, t) \in Q_T) \tag{2.16}$$

holds.

For any set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

$$\mathcal{M}(E) = \left\{ \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \phi(x) \geq 1 \text{ for all } x \in E \right\}$$

and define

$$C_{2,w}(E) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \mid \phi \in \mathcal{M}(E) \right\}.$$

In the following we shall need two lemmata.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [13]). *Let  $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a bounded numerical sequence such that*

$$\beta_i \leq A \beta_{i+1}^\delta a^i \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

*with positive constants  $A, a$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Then*

$$\beta_1 \leq CA^{1/(1-\delta)}$$

*for a constant  $C$  depending only on  $\delta$  and  $a$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** (see [5: Theorem 1.2]). *Let the Poincaré inequality hold with  $w_1 = w$  and  $w_2 = v$  or  $w_1 = w$  and  $w_2 = w$ ,  $\mu = 1$  and  $w \in A_{1+2/n}, w^{-1} \in A_{2-2/n}$ . Then for an arbitrary function  $u \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, \mathbb{R}))$  one has*

$$\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} u^2(x)v(x) dx \leq C_1 \rho^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \rho))}{w(B(x_0, \rho))} \int_{B(x_0, R)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 w(x) dx \quad (2.17)$$

or

$$\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} u^2(x)w(x) dx \leq C_1 \rho^2 \int_{B(x_0, R)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 w(x) dx \quad (2.18)$$

*with a constant  $C_1$  independent of  $u$  and  $0 < \rho < R$ .*

### 3. Regularity at the boundary

In this section we prove our main result:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let the functions  $a_i$  satisfy conditions (C1) and (C2). Suppose moreover the following:*

(i)  $v, w \in A_2$ .

(ii) *The Poincaré inequality holds for  $w_1 = w_2 = w$  with  $\mu = 1$  and some  $q > 2$ .*

(iii) *The Poincaré inequality holds for  $w_1 = w$  and  $w_2 = v$  with any  $\mu = 1$  or  $\nu = v$  and some  $q > 2$ .*

(iv) *The inequalities (2.17) and (2.18) hold.*

*Then for  $(x_0, t_0) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$  to be a regular boundary point of the domain  $Q_T$  to the equation (2.6), it is necessary that*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{C_{2,w}(B(x_0, r) \setminus \Omega) dr}{C_{2,w}(B(x_0, r)) r} = \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

**Remark 3.1.** Put, for any  $\theta > 0$ ,

$$Q_r^\theta(x_0, t_0) = B\left(x_0, \frac{r}{2}\right) \times \left(t_0 - 2\theta r^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, r))}{w(B(x_0, r))}, t_0 - \theta r^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, r))}{w(B(x_0, r))}\right)$$

and define, for any set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(F) = \left\{ \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \mid \phi \geq 1 \text{ for all } (x, t) \in F \right\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{v,w}(F) = \inf_{\psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(F)} \left\{ \sup_t \int \phi^2(x, t)v(x) dx + \iint w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx dt \right\}.$$

As well as in [14] it can be proved that

$$\Gamma_{v,w}(Q_r^\theta(x_0, t_0) \setminus Q_T) \approx r^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, r))}{w(B(x_0, r))} C_{2,w}(B(x_0, r) \setminus \Omega).$$

Also we can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{v,w}(Q_r^\theta(x_0, t_0)) &\approx v(B(x_0, r)) \\ C_{2,w}(B(x_0, r)) &\approx r^{-2}w(B(x_0, r)) \end{aligned}$$

so that condition (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma_{v,w}(Q_r^\theta(x_0, t_0) \setminus Q_T)}{v(B(x_0, r))} \frac{dr}{r} = \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

From Theorem 3.1 and (3.2) we have that, in the case  $v(x) = 1$ , our necessary condition coincides with the sufficient one from [1].

Now we define auxiliary functions  $u_k = u_k(x, t)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) that will play a fundamental role in the proof of Theorem 3.1. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define a numerical sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\frac{\alpha_{k+1}}{\alpha_k} \leq K_7 = \left( \frac{1}{4} K_5^{-1} K_6^{-\frac{q-2}{2q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{n\gamma(q-2)}}, \quad K_7 < 1 \tag{3.3}$$

with the constant  $K_5$  from inequality (2.5),  $K_6$  and  $\eta$  from Remark 2.1 and some  $q > 2$  for which the Poincaré inequality is valid. Let

$$E_k = B(x_0, \alpha_k) \setminus \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad E^{(k)} = E_k \setminus B(x_0, \alpha_{k+1}). \tag{3.4}$$

Let further  $\mathcal{M}_k(E_k)$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{(k)}(E^{(k)})$  be the subsets of  $\mathcal{M}(E_k)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(E^{(k)})$  consisting of functions with support contained in  $B(x_0, \alpha_{k-1})$  and  $B(x_0, \alpha_{k-1}) \setminus B(x_0, \alpha_{k+2})$ , respectively.

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \mid \phi \in \mathcal{M}_k(E_k) \right\} \leq C_2 C_{2,w}(E_k) \tag{3.5}$$

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \mid \phi \in \mathcal{M}^{(k)}(E^{(k)}) \right\} \leq C_2 C_{2,w}(E^{(k)}). \tag{3.6}$$

**Proof.** We will prove only inequality (3.5), because (3.6) can be proved as well. Let  $\chi_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$\chi_k(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } |x - x_0| \leq \alpha_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_k(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x - x_0| \geq \alpha_{k-1}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial \chi_k}{\partial x} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(1 - K_7)\alpha_{k-1}}$$

Then, for  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E_k)$  with  $\chi_k \phi \in \mathcal{M}_k(E_k)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{M}_k(E_k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq C_3 \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E_k)} \int_{B(x_0, \alpha_{k-1})} \left( \chi_k^2 \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 w(x) dx + \left| \frac{\partial \chi_k}{\partial x} \right|^2 \phi^2(x) w(x) \right) dx \\ & \leq C_4 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(1 - K_7)^2} \right) \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E_k)} \int_{B(x_0, \alpha_{k-1})} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq C_2 C_{2,w}(E_k) \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion is proved ■

Let  $\text{diam } \Omega$  be the diameter of the region  $\Omega$ . From now on, putting  $R = 2 + \text{diam } \Omega$ ,  $B$  will denote the ball of radius  $R$  centered at  $x_0$ . We introduce a non-increasing function  $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with

$$0 \leq \dot{\gamma}(s) \leq 1, \quad \gamma(s) = 0 \quad \text{for } s \geq 2, \quad \gamma(s) = 1 \quad \text{for } s \leq 1, \quad \left| \frac{d\gamma(s)}{ds} \right| \leq 2.$$

Further, let

$$h(x) = \gamma(|x - x_0|) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(t) = \gamma \left( \alpha_k^{-2} \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} |t - t_0| \right).$$

For a given point  $(x_0, t_0) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$  we can choose a number  $k_0$  such that

$$\alpha_{k_0}^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k_0}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k_0}))} < t_0.$$

And for  $k > k_0$ ,  $(x, t) \in Q_k = D_k \times (0, T)$  and  $D_k = B \setminus \bar{E}_k$  we define the function  $u_k = u_k(x, t)$  as the solution of equation (2.6) in  $Q_k$  satisfying

$$u_k(x, t) = h(x)\lambda_k(t) \quad ((x, t) \in \partial D_k \times (0, T)) \tag{3.7}$$

$$u_k(x, 0) = 0 \quad (x \in D_k). \tag{3.8}$$

Extend then the function  $u_k = u_k(x, t)$  to  $B \times (0, T)$  by setting it equal to  $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(t)$  for  $(x, t) \in \bar{E}_k \times (0, T)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *There exists a constant  $K_8 > 0$  such that the function  $u_k = u_k(x, t)$  satisfies*

$$\|u_k\|_{V_2(Q_k, v, w)}^2 \leq K_8 \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} C_{2, w}(E_k). \tag{3.9}$$

**Proof.** It is easy to show that for  $h > 0$  and  $0 < \tau < T - h$  the integral identity

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{D_k} \left\{ v(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u_k(x, t)]_h \psi(x, t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ a_i \left( x, t, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} \right) \right]_h \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial x_i} \right. \\ \left. - \left[ a_0 \left( x, t, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} \right) \right]_h \psi(x, t) \right\} dx dt = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

holds for any  $\psi \in \dot{V}_2(Q_k, v, w)$  in which we use the notation

$$[g(x, t)]_h = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} g(x, \tau) d\tau.$$

Let us put in (3.10) the function

$$\psi(x, t) = [u_k(x, t)]_h - \phi(x) [\lambda_k(t)]_h \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{M}_k(E_k)). \tag{3.11}$$

In the inequality obtained from (3.10) by substituting (3.11), we integrate by parts the term containing  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u_k(x, t)]_h$ , pass to the limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , and estimate using (2.7) and (2.8). Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_k} v(x) u_k^2(x, \tau) dx + \iint_{D_k} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx dt \\ \leq C_5 \left\{ \iint_{D_k} \left( 1 + \left| \frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial t} \right| \right) u_k^2(x, t) v(x) dx dt \right. \\ \left. + \int_{D_k} \left[ v(x) \phi^2(x) + \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 w(x) \right] dx \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Using the Poincaré and Gronwall inequalities, from (3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_k} v(x) u_k^2(x, \tau) dx + \iint_{D_k} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx dt \\ \leq C_6 \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \int_{D_k} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 w(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for  $\tau \leq T$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.1, the estimate (3.9) follows ■



**Lemma 3.3.** *There exists a constant  $K_9 > 0$  such that, for  $k > k_0$ ,*

$$m(k) = \inf \left\{ |u_k(x, t)| \mid |x - x_0| \leq \alpha_{k+5}, |t - t_0| \leq \alpha_{k+5}^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+5}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+5}))} \right\} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\leq K_9 \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{C_{2,w}(E_k)}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right\}^{1/2}$$

**Proof.** Set

$$\tau(k) = \alpha_{k+5}^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+5}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+5}))} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u}_k(x, t) = \min \left[ \frac{u_k(x, t)}{m(k)}, 1 \right].$$

Then from the definition of capacity and doubling condition for  $w$  we get

$$w(B(x_0, \alpha_k)) \alpha_k^{-2} \leq C_7 C_{2,w}(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+5}))$$

$$\leq C_8 \tau^{-1}(k+5) \int_{t_0-\tau(k+5)}^{t_0+\tau(k+5)} \int_B w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_k}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx dt. \tag{3.14}$$

Now from Lemma 3.2 it follows

$$\int_{t_0-\tau(k+5)}^{t_0+\tau(k+5)} \int_B w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_k}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx dt \leq C_9 [m(k)]^{-2} \tau(k+5) C_{2,w}(E_k). \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.14) and (3.15) we have the thesis ■

In the next sections the a priori estimates on which the proof of Theorem 3.1 is based will be proved.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let all of the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be held. Then there exists a constant  $K_{10} > 0$  such that the solution  $u_k = u_k(x, t)$  of problem (2.6), (3.7), (3.8) satisfies*

$$|u_k(x, t)| \leq K_{10} \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{C_{2,w}(E_k)}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right\} \tag{3.16}$$

for

$$(x, t) \notin \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_k \mid |x - x_0| < \alpha_{k-3}, |t - t_0| < \alpha_{k-3} \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-3}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-3}))} \right\}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let all of the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be held. Then there exists a constant  $K_{11} > 0$  such that*

$$|u_k(x, t) - u_{k+1}(x, t)| \leq K_{11} \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{C_{2,w}(E_k)}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right\} \tag{3.17}$$

for

$$|x - x_0| \leq \alpha_{k-4} \quad \text{and} \quad |t - t_0| \leq \alpha_{k-4} \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-4}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-4}))}.$$

Let us remark that the Theorems 3.1 - 3.3 in the non-weighted case were proved in the paper [13].

Using Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 we can now prove Theorem 3.1.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** We construct a solution of equation (2.6) in  $Q_T$  satisfying condition (2.15) with function  $f \in C(\bar{Q}_T) \cap W_2^1(Q_T, v, w)$  and discontinuous at  $(x_0, t_0)$  as soon as the inequality (3.1) is not satisfied.

Thus, let us assume the boundedness of the integral on the left-hand side of condition (3.1). Then using (3.3) and (2.5) we can easily show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{C_{2,w}(E_k)}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right\} < \infty. \tag{3.18}$$

We can then find a number  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{C_{2,w}(E_k)}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right\} < \frac{1}{4K_{11}} \tag{3.19}$$

where  $K_{11}$  is the constant from Theorem 3.3. We will show that the function  $u_{k_1} = u_{k_1}(x, t)$  defined above as the solution of the problem (2.6), (3.7), (3.8) is discontinuous at  $(x_0, t_0)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be an arbitrary number. By the convergence of the series (3.19) and estimate (3.13), we have  $m(k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In this way a number  $k_2 = k_2(\delta)$  and a point  $(x_\delta, t_\delta) \in Q_T$  can be chosen so that

$$|u_{k_2}(x_\delta, t_\delta)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad |x_\delta - x_0|^2 + |t_\delta - t_0| < \delta^2. \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.17), (3.19) and (3.20) we have

$$|u_{k_1}(x_\delta, t_\delta)| \leq |u_{k_2}(x_\delta, t_\delta)| + \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2-1} |u_{k+1}(x_\delta, t_\delta) - u_k(x_\delta, t_\delta)| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

So it follows that

$$\liminf_{(x,t) \rightarrow (x_0,t_0)} u_{k_1}(x, t) < 1 \quad ((x, t) \in Q_T).$$

This inequality proves the non-regularity of the boundary point  $(x_0, t_0)$  and thus Theorem 3.1 ■

### 4. Pointwise estimates of the function $u_k = u_k(x, t)$

In this section we will use the following

**Lemma 4.1** (see [7]). *Let the assumptions (i) - (iii) of Theorem 3.1 be satisfied. Then there exist constants  $C_{10} > 0$  and  $h > 1$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{w(Q)} \iint_Q |u|^{2h} w(x) \, dx dt + \frac{1}{v(Q)} \iint_Q |u|^{2h} v(x) \, dx dt \\ & \leq C_{10} \left\{ \sup_{t \in J} \frac{1}{v(B)} \int_B u^2(x, t) v(x) \, dx \right\}^{h-1} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \sup_{t \in J} \frac{|J|}{v(B)} \int_B u^2(x, t) v(x) \, dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{(\text{meas } B)^{2/n}}{w(Q)} \iint_Q w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 \, dx dt \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for any  $u \in \dot{V}_2(Q, v, w)$  on  $Q = B \times J$ , with  $J$  being an interval and  $B$  a ball,  $|J| = \text{meas } J$  and

$$w(Q) = |J| \int_B w(x) dx, \quad v(Q) = |J| \int_B v(x) dx, \quad v(B) = \int_B v(x) dx.$$

Let  $\alpha_{k-3} \leq \rho \leq R$ ,

$$G(\rho) = \left\{ (x, t) \left| |x - x_0| < \rho, |t - t_0| < \frac{v(B(x_0, \rho))}{w(B(x_0, \rho))} \rho^2 \right. \right\},$$

$0 < \varepsilon < \rho$  and  $\mu \geq \mu_k(\rho, \varepsilon)$  with

$$\mu_k(\rho, \varepsilon) = \sup \left\{ u_k(x, t) \left| (x, t) \in [G(\rho + \varepsilon) \setminus G(\rho - \varepsilon)] \cap Q_k \right. \right\}.$$

We then define the set

$$F(\rho, \mu) = Q_k \setminus G(\rho) \cup \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_k \cap G(\rho) \left| u_k(x, t) \leq \mu \right. \right\}$$

and the function

$$u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} u_k(x, t) & \text{for } (x, t) \in Q_k \setminus G(\rho) \\ \min \{u_k(x, t), \mu\} & \text{for } (x, t) \in Q_k \cap G(\rho). \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $\alpha_{k-3} \leq \rho \leq R$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < \rho$  and  $\mu \geq \mu_k(\rho, \varepsilon)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \int_{D_k} v(x) \left| u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t) \right|^2 dx + \iint_{F(\rho, \mu)} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C_{11} \mu P_k(E_k, \rho) \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(E_k, \rho) &= \left[ \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} C_{2,w}(E_k) \right]^{1/2} \\ & \times \left[ \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} C_{2,w}(E_k) + \rho^2 \frac{v^2(B(x_0, \rho))}{w(B(x_0, \rho))} \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and  $C_{11}$  is a constant depending only on  $n, \nu_1, \nu_2$  and  $T$ .

**Proof.** Into the integral identity (3.10) substitute the function

$$\psi(x, t) = \left[ u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t) \right]_h - \phi(x) \lambda_{k,h}^{(\mu)}(t) \quad \text{for } 0 < h < \varepsilon^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \rho))}{w(B(x_0, \rho))}.$$

Here

$$\lambda_{k,h}^{(\mu)}(t) = \min \{ [\lambda_k(t)]_h, \mu \} \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E_k)).$$

After this substitution we transform the term containing  $\frac{\partial [u_k(x, t)]_h}{\partial t}$ , obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\tau \int_{D_k} v(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u_k(x, t)]_h \left\{ [u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t)]_h - \phi(x) \lambda_{k,h}^{(\mu)}(t) \right\} dx dt \\ &= \int_{D_k} v(x) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [u_k^{(\mu)}(x, \tau)]_h^2 + \mu \left( [u_k(x, \tau)]_h - [u_k^{(\mu)}(x, \tau)]_h \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [u_k(x, \tau)]_h \phi(x) \lambda_{k,h}^{(\mu)}(\tau) \right\} dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^\tau \int_{D_k} v(x) [u_k(x, t)]_h \phi(x) \frac{\partial \lambda_{k,h}^{(\mu)}(t)}{\partial t} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using this representation on passing to limit with respect to  $h$  in the integral identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_k} v(x) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [u_k^{(\mu)}(x, \tau)]^2 + \mu \left( u_k(x, \tau) - u_k^{(\mu)}(x, \tau) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u_k(x, \tau) \phi(x) \lambda_k^{(\mu)}(\tau) \right\} dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^\tau \int_{D_k} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left( x, t, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t) - \phi(x) \lambda_k^{(\mu)}(t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a_0 \left( x, t, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} \right) [u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t) - \phi(x) \lambda_k^{(\mu)}(t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + v(x) u_k(x, t) \phi(x) \frac{\partial \lambda_k^{(\mu)}(t)}{\partial t} \right\} dx dt \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Using inequalities (2.7), (2.8) and (3.9) we can estimate the left-hand side of (4.4) obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_k} v(x) [u_k^{(\mu)}(x, \tau)]_h^2 dx + \iint_{F(\rho, \mu)} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq C_{12} \left\{ \mu \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} C_{2,w}(E_k) + \iint_{F(\rho, \mu)} v(x) u_k^2(x, t) dx dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\tau \int_{D_k} \left[ v(x) |u_k(x, t)| + w^{1/2}(x) v^{1/2}(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right| \right] |u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t)| dx dt \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

We estimate the latter part on the right-hand side of (4.5) using the Hölder and Young inequalities, (3.9) and the observation that

$$u_k(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \leq t_0 - 2\alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\tau \iint_{D_k} \left[ v(x) |u_k(x, t)| + w^{1/2}(x) v^{1/2}(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right| \right] |u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t)| \, dx dt \\ & \leq \mu \iint_{Q_k \cap G(\rho)} \left[ v(x) |u_k(x, t)| + w^{1/2}(x) v^{1/2}(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right| \right] \, dx dt \\ & \quad + \iint_{F(\rho, \mu)} \left[ v(x) |u_k(x, t)| + w^{1/2}(x) v^{1/2}(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right| \right] |u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t)| \, dx dt \\ & \leq \frac{C_{12}^{-1}}{2} \iint_{F(\rho, \mu)} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 \, dx dt \\ & \quad + C_{13} \left\{ \iint_{F(\rho, \mu)} v(x) u_k^2(x, t) \, dx dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu \left[ \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} C_{2, w}(E_k) \right]^{1/2} \left[ \rho^2 \frac{v^2(B(x_0, \rho))}{w(B(x_0, \rho))} \right]^{1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (4.5) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_k} \left[ u_k^{(\mu)}(x, \tau) \right]^2 v(x) \, dx + \iint_{F(\rho, \mu)} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 \, dx dt \\ & \leq C_{14} \left\{ \int_0^\tau \iint_{D_k} v(x) \left[ u_k^{(\mu)}(x, t) \right]^2 \, dx dt + \mu P_k(E_k, \rho) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the Gronwall inequality we obtain (4.2) ■

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\alpha_{k-3} \leq \rho \leq R$ . We define two numerical sequences  $\{\rho_{1,i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\rho_{2,i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  by putting

$$\rho_{1,i}^2 = \frac{1 + \alpha_i}{1 + \alpha_0} \rho^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{2,i}^2 = \frac{1 + 2\alpha_0 - \alpha_i}{1 + \alpha_0} \rho^2$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are defined by (3.3). Moreover consider infinitely differentiable functions  $\chi = \chi_i(s)$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$0 \leq \chi_i(s) \leq 1$$

$$\chi_i(s) = 1 \quad \text{on } [\rho_{1,i}^2, \rho_{2,i}^2] \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_i(s) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R} \setminus [\rho_{1,i+1}^2, \rho_{2,i+1}^2]$$

$$\left| \frac{d\chi_i(s)}{ds} \right| \leq \frac{1 + \alpha_0}{1 - K_7} \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \rho^{-2}.$$

Then let

$$\psi_i(x) = \chi_i \left( |x - x_0|^2 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_i(t) = \chi_i \left( \frac{w(B(x_0, \rho))}{v(B(x_0, \rho))} |t - t_0| \right)$$

and substitute into (3.10) the function

$$\psi(x, t) = [u_k(x, t)]_h^{r+1} \psi_i^{s+2}(x) \phi_i^{s+2}(t)$$

for arbitrary non-negative numbers  $r$  and  $s$ . Integrating by parts the term containing  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u(x, t)]_h$ , passing to the limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$  and estimating using (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_k} u_k^{r+2}(x, \tau) \psi_i^{s+2}(x) \phi_i^{s+2}(\tau) v(x) dx \\ & + \int_0^\tau \int_{D_k} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} \right|^2 u_k^r(x, t) \psi_i^{s+2}(x) \phi_i^{s+2}(t) dx dt \\ & \leq C_{15} \frac{\alpha_i^{-2}(r+s+1)^2}{\rho^2} \int_0^\tau \int_{D_k} u_k^{r+2}(x, t) \left[ w(x) + \frac{w(B(x_0, \rho))}{v(B(x_0, \rho))} v(x) \right] \psi_i^s(x) \phi_i^s(t) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence using Lemma 4.1 and applying the iterative technique (see, e.g. [13: Lemma 2.2]) to

$$m_i = m_i(\rho) = \sup \left\{ |u_k(x, t)| \left| \begin{array}{l} (x, t) \in Q_k, \rho_{1,i} \leq |x - x_0| \leq \rho_{2,i} \\ \rho_{1,i}^2 \leq \frac{w(B(x_0, \rho))}{v(B(x_0, \rho))} |t - t_0| \leq \rho_{2,i}^2 \end{array} \right. \right\} \quad (4.6)$$

we obtain the following estimate with some  $h > 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [m_i]^2 & \leq C_{16} \alpha_i^{-\frac{2h}{h-1}} \\ & \times \int_0^\tau \int_{D_k} u_k^2(x, t) \left[ \frac{w(x)}{\rho^2 v(B(x_0, \rho))} + \frac{w(B(x_0, \rho))v(x)}{\rho^2 v^2(B(x_0, \rho))} \right] \psi_i^2(x) \phi_i^2(t) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Let us estimate the integral (4.7) applying Lemmata 2.2 and 4.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\tau \int_{D_k} u_k^2(x, t) \left[ \frac{w(x)}{\rho^2 v(B(x_0, \rho))} + \frac{w(B(x_0, \rho))v(x)}{\rho^2 v^2(B(x_0, \rho))} \right] \psi_i^2(x) \phi_i^2(t) dx dt \\ & \leq \iint_{G(\rho_{i+1}) \cap Q_k} |u_k^{(m_{i+1})}(x, t)|^2 \left[ \frac{w(x)}{\rho^2 v(B(x_0, \rho))} + \frac{w(B(x_0, \rho))v(x)}{\rho^2 v^2(B(x_0, \rho))} \right] dx dt \\ & \leq C_{17} \frac{1}{v(B(x_0, \rho))} \iint_{F(\rho_{i+1}, m_{i+1})} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C_{18} \frac{1}{v(B(x_0, \rho))} m_{i+1} P_k(E_k, \rho). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Here  $\rho_{i+1}$  is such that  $\rho_{i+1} < \rho_{2,i+1}$ , and  $\chi_{i+1}(s) = 0$  for  $s \geq \rho_{i+1}$ .

Now, from (4.7) and (4.8) it follows that

$$[m_i]^2 \leq C_{19} \frac{\alpha_i^{-\frac{2h}{k-1}}}{v(B(x_0, \rho))} P_k(E_k, \rho) m_{i+1} \tag{4.19}$$

and further, by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$m_i(\rho) \leq C_{20} \frac{1}{v(B(x_0, \rho))} P_k(E_k, \rho). \tag{4.10}$$

Now for proving Theorem 3.2 it suffices to show that for  $\rho \geq \alpha_{k-3}$

$$\mu(\rho, \alpha_k) \leq C_{21} \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{C_{2,w}(E_k)}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right\}. \tag{4.11}$$

For  $\rho = \alpha_{k-3}$ , inequality (4.11) follows from (4.10). If for some  $\rho > \alpha_{k-3}$  we had  $m_1(\rho) \leq \mu(\alpha_{k-3}, \alpha_k)$ , then that  $\rho$  will satisfy (4.11). If however  $m_1(\rho) > \mu(\alpha_{k-3}, \alpha_k)$  for some  $\rho$ , then for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $m_{i+1}(\rho) > \mu(\alpha_{k-3}, \alpha_k)$  and we operate a change in (4.8). In this case

$$F(\rho_{i+1}, m_{i+1}(\rho)) \subset F(\alpha_{k-3}, m_{i+1}(\rho))$$

and we obtain instead of (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{D_k} u_k^2(x, t) \left[ \frac{w(x)}{\rho^2 v(B(x_0, \rho))} + \frac{w(B(x_0, \rho))v(x)}{\rho^2 v^2(B(x_0, \rho))} \right] \psi_i^2(x) \phi_i^2(t) dx dt \\ & \leq C_{22} \frac{1}{v(B(x_0, \rho))} \iint_{F(\alpha_{k-3}, m_{i+1}(\rho))} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial u_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

So as above we get the inequality

$$[m_i(\rho)]^2 \leq C_{23} \frac{\alpha_i^{-\frac{2h}{k-1}}}{v(B(x_0, \rho))} P_k(E_k, \alpha_{k-3}) m_{i+1}(\rho).$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, for a given  $\rho$  we have (4.11) and this completes the proof of Theorem 3.2 ■

### 5. Integral estimates for the difference $u_k(x, t) - u_{k+1}(x, t)$

We shall need auxiliary functions  $f_k = f_k(x)$  and  $g_k = g_k(x)$  defined, respectively, as the solutions of the problems

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( w(x) \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i}(x) \right) = 0 \quad \left( x \in D_k = B \setminus E_k, f_k - h \in \dot{W}_2^1(D_k, w) \right) \quad (5.1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( w(x) \frac{\partial g_k}{\partial x_i}(x) \right) = 0 \quad \left( x \in D^{(k)} = B \setminus E^{(k)}, g_k - h \in \dot{W}_2^1(D^{(k)}, w) \right). \quad (5.2)$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *There exists a constant  $C_{24} > 0$  such that*

$$\|f_k\|_{W_2^1(D_k, w)} \leq C_{24} C_{2, w}(E_k) \quad (5.3)$$

$$\|g_k\|_{W_2^1(D^{(k)}, w)} \leq C_{24} C_{2, w}(E^{(k)}). \quad (5.4)$$

**Proof.** We will prove only the inequality (5.3), the proof of inequality (5.4) is analogous. In the definition of a weak solution for equation (5.3), choose as test function

$$\psi = f_k - \phi \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E_k)).$$

We then obtain

$$\int_{D_k} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial f_k(x)}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \leq \int_{D_k} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx.$$

Now, using Lemma 3.1, we have (5.3) ■

Let us denote

$$\delta_k = u_k - u_{k+1} \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$d_k = \sup \left\{ |\delta_k(x, t)| \left| \begin{array}{l} (x, t) \in Q_k, |x - x_0| < \alpha_{k-3} \\ |t - t_0| < \alpha_{k-3}^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-3}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-3}))} \end{array} \right. \right\}. \quad (5.6)$$

For any function  $f = f(x, t)$  and numbers  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  with  $A_1 < A_2$ , we define

$$[f(x, t)]_{\pm} = \max \{ \pm f(x, t), 0 \}$$

$$[f(x, t)]_{(A_1, A_2)} = \max \{ \min [f(x, t), A_2], A_1 \}.$$

Moreover we define for

$$\mu > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_k = t_0 + \alpha_{k-1}^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-1}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-1}))}$$

the sets

$$F^{\pm}(\mu) = \left\{ (x, t) \in B \times [0, t_k] \mid \pm [\delta_k(x, t)]_{(-\mu, \mu)} \geq \mu [f_k(x, t) + \bar{g}_k(x, t)] \right\},$$

$$F(\mu) = F^+(\mu) \cup F^-(\mu)$$

$$T(\mu) = \left\{ (x, t) \in B \times [0, t_k] \mid |\delta_k(x, t)| \leq \mu \right\}$$



where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_k(x, t) &= f_k(x) \gamma(\alpha_{k-1}^{-1} |x - x_0|) \{ \lambda_{k-2}(t) - \lambda_{k+2}(t) \} \\ \bar{g}_k(x, t) &= g_k(x) \left\{ \gamma(\alpha_{k-1}^{-1} |x - x_0|) - \gamma(\alpha_{k+2}^{-1} |x - x_0|) \right\} \lambda_{k-2}(t) \end{aligned}$$

and where  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda_k$  are the functions introduced in Section 3. Further, for an arbitrary subset  $E \subset B \times (0, T)$ , we denote by  $\chi = \chi_E(x, t)$  its characteristic function.

**Theorem 5.1.** *For arbitrary  $\mu > d_k$  there exists a constant  $K_{12} > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t \leq t_k} \int_B v(x) \left| [\delta_k(x, t)]_{(-\mu, \mu)} \right|^2 \chi_{F(\mu)}(x, t) dx dt \\ & \quad + \iint_{T(\mu) \cap F(\mu)} w(x) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta_k(x, t) \right|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq K_{12} \mu \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} C_{2,w}(E_k) \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{v^2(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + C_{2,w}(E_k) \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

**Proof.** Since  $F(\mu) = F^+(\mu) \cup F^-(\mu)$ , we prove the inequality (5.7) only for  $F^+(\mu)$ . Define for

$$h < \alpha_{k+2}^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+2}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+2}))}$$

the function

$$\psi(x, t) = \left[ [\delta_{k,h}(x, t)]_{(-\mu, \mu)} - \mu [\bar{f}_k(x, t)]_h - \mu [\bar{g}_k(x, t)]_h \right]_+ \tag{5.8}$$

where  $\delta_{k,h}(x, t) = [u_k(x, t)]_h - [u_{k+1}(x, t)]_h$ . As well as in [13], using condition (3.3), we check that the function  $\psi$  defined by (5.8) belongs to the space  $\dot{V}_2(D_K \times (0, t_k), v, w)$ . We plug  $\psi$  in the integral identity (3.10) for  $u_k$  and  $u_{k+1}$  obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_B \left\{ v(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta_{k,h} \psi(x, t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left[ a_i \left( x, t, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} \right) \right]_h \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \left[ a_i \left( x, t, u_{k+1}, \frac{\partial u_{k+1}}{\partial x} \right) \right]_h \right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( \left[ a_0 \left( x, t, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} \right) \right]_h - \left[ a_0 \left( x, t, u_{k+1}, \frac{\partial u_{k+1}}{\partial x} \right) \right]_h \right) \psi \right\} dx dt \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

We transform the first term under the integral sign in (5.9) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\tau \int_B v(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta_{k,h} \psi(x, t) \, dx dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_B v(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \left[ [\delta_{k,h}(x, \tau)]_{(-\mu, \mu)} - \mu [\bar{f}_k(x, \tau) + \bar{g}_k(x, \tau)]_h \right]_+^2 \right. \\
 &\quad + 2 \left( \delta_{k,h}(x, \tau) - [\delta_{k,h}(x, \tau)]_{(-\mu, \mu)} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left[ [\delta_{k,h}(x, \tau)]_{(-\mu, \mu)} - \mu [\bar{f}_k(x, \tau) + \bar{g}_k(x, \tau)]_h \right]_+ \right\} dx \\
 &\quad + \mu \int_0^\tau \int_B v(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\bar{f}_k(x, t) + \bar{g}_k(x, t)]_h \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left[ \delta_{k,h}(x, t) - \mu [\bar{f}_k(x, t) + \bar{g}_k(x, t)]_h \right]_+ dx dt. \tag{5.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.10), passing to the limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$  and applying (2.7), (2.8), (2.17), (2.18), (3.9), (5.3), (5.4), we obtain from (5.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_B v(x) [\delta_{k,h}(x, \tau)]_{(-\mu, \mu)}^2 \chi_{F+(\mu)}(x, \tau) dx \\
 &\quad + \int_0^\tau \int_B w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \delta_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 \chi_{F+(\mu) \cap T(\mu)}(x, t) \, dx dt \\
 &\leq C_{25} \left\{ \mu \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} C_{2,w}(E_k) \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_0^\tau \int_B v(x) \delta_k^2(x, t) \chi_{F+(\mu) \cap T(\mu)}(x, t) \, dx dt \\
 &\quad + \int_0^\tau \int_B \left( v(x) |\delta_k(x, t)| + w^{1/2}(x) v^{1/2}(x) \left| \frac{\partial \delta_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right| \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left. [\delta_{k,h}(x, t)]_{(-\mu, \mu)} \chi_{F+(\mu)}(x, t) dx dt \right\}. \tag{5.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the last integral of the right-hand side of (5.11) using the Hölder inequality,

getting

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\tau \int_B \left( v(x) |\delta_k(x, t)| + w^{1/2}(x) v^{1/2}(x) \left| \frac{\partial \delta_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right| \right) \\ & \quad \times [\delta_{k,h}(x, t)]_{(-\mu, \mu)} \chi_{F^+(\mu)}(x, t) dx dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2C_{25}} \int_0^\tau \int_B w(x) \left| \frac{\partial \delta_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 \chi_{F^+(\mu) \cap T(\mu)}(x, t) dx dt \\ & \quad + C_{26} \int_0^\tau \int_B v(x) \delta_k^2(x, t) \chi_{F^+(\mu) \cap T(\mu)}(x, t) dx dt \\ & \quad + C_{26} \mu \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right\}^{1/2} \{v(F^+(\mu) \setminus T(\mu))\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v(F^+(\mu) \setminus T(\mu)) = \iint_{F^+(\mu) \setminus T(\mu)} v(x) dx dt. \tag{5.12}$$

Since  $\mu > d_k$ , from (5.6) we have

$$F^+(\mu) \setminus T(\mu) \subset \left\{ (x, t) \left| |x - x_0| < \alpha_{k-3}, |t - t_0| < \alpha_{k-3}^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-3}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k-3}))} \right. \right\}$$

and so

$$v(F^+(\mu) \setminus T(\mu)) \leq C_{27} \alpha_k^2 \frac{v^2 B(x_0, \alpha_k)}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}. \tag{5.13}$$

Now applying the Gronwall inequality, from (5.11) - (5.13) we get (5.7) ■

### 6. Proof of the Theorem 3.3

From the integral identity (3.10) for  $u_k = u_k(x, t)$  and  $u_{k+1} = u_{k+1}(x, t)$  it follows that, for an arbitrary function  $\psi \in \dot{V}_2(Q_K, v, w)$  and for  $0 < \tau \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\tau \int_{D_k} \left\{ v(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta_{k,h}(x, t) \psi(x, t) \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ a_i \left( x, t, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} \right) - a_i \left( x, t, u_{k+1}, \frac{\partial u_{k+1}}{\partial x} \right) \right]_h \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \\ & \quad \left. - \left[ a_0 \left( x, t, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x} \right) - a_0 \left( x, t, u_{k+1}, \frac{\partial u_{k+1}}{\partial x} \right) \right]_h \psi(x, t) \right\} dx dt \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Define the numerical sequences  $\{\rho_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i &= \alpha_{k+4}(1 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_i) \\ \sigma_i &= \alpha_{k+4}^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+4}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+4}))} (1 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_i). \end{aligned}$$

Then define infinitely differentiable functions  $\gamma_i = \gamma_i(x)$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ) and  $\tilde{\gamma}_i = \tilde{\gamma}_i(t)$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ) such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

a)  $\gamma_i(x) = 1$  on the set  $\{x \mid |x - x_0| \leq \rho_i\}$ ,  $\gamma_i(x) = 0$  outside the set  $\{x \mid |x - x_0| \leq \rho_{i+1}\}$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma_i(x) \leq 1$  and  $\left| \frac{\partial \gamma_i}{\partial x} \right| \leq C_{28} \alpha_k^{-1} \alpha_i^{-1}$ .

b)  $\tilde{\gamma}_i(t) = 1$  on the set  $\{t \mid |t - t_0| \leq \sigma_i\}$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}_i(t) = 0$  outside the set  $\{t \mid |t - t_0| \leq \sigma_{i+1}\}$ ,  $0 \leq \tilde{\gamma}_i(t) \leq 1$  and  $\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}_i}{\partial t} \right| \leq C_{29} \alpha_k^{-2} \alpha_i^{-1} \frac{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}$ .

Let us put in (6.1) the function  $\psi(x, t) = [\delta_{k,h}(x, t)]^r \gamma_i^{s+2} \tilde{\gamma}_i^{s+2}$  with arbitrary positive numbers  $r$  and  $s$ . After integratig by parts in the term containing  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta_{k,h}(x, t)$ , passing to the limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$  and using the inequalities (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_k} v(x) |\delta_k(x, \tau)| \gamma_i^{s+2}(x) \tilde{\gamma}_i^{s+2}(\tau) dx \\ & + \int_0^r \int_{D_k} v(x) |\delta_k(x, t)|^r \left| \frac{\partial \delta_k(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|^2 \tilde{\gamma}_i^{s+2}(x) \tilde{\gamma}_i^{s+2}(t) dx dt \\ & \leq C_{30} (r + s + 1)^2 \alpha_i^{-2} \\ & \times \int_0^r \int_{D_k} \alpha_k^{-2} \left[ v(x) \frac{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + w(x) \right] |\delta_k(x, t)|^{r+2} \tilde{\gamma}_i^s(x) \tilde{\gamma}_i^s(t) dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

From (6.2), as well as in Section 4, we obtain with some  $h > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2(i) & \leq C_{31} \alpha_i^{-\frac{2h}{h-1}} \iint_{R(i+1)} \delta_k^2(x, t) \tilde{\gamma}_i^2(x) \tilde{\gamma}_i^2(t) \alpha_k^{-2} \\ & \times \left[ \frac{w(x)}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + \frac{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{v^2(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} v(x) \right] dx dt \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$\mu(i) = \sup \left\{ |\delta_k(x, t)| \mid (x, t) \in R(i) \right\}$$

with

$$R(i) = \left\{ (x, t) \in Q_k \mid |x - x_0| \leq \rho_i \text{ and } |t - t_0| \leq \sigma_i \right\}.$$

Let us consider two possibilities:

- 1)  $\mu(i + 1) \leq d_k$
- 2)  $\mu(i + 1) > d_k$ .

If  $\mu(i + 1) \leq d_k$ , then from the definition of  $\mu(i + 1)$  we have

$$\sup \left\{ |\delta_k(x, t)| \mid |x - x_0| < \alpha_{k+4}, |t - t_0| < \alpha_{k+4}^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+4}))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_{k+4}))} \right\} \leq \mu(i + 1) \leq d_k$$

and (3.17) follows from (3.16) for  $u_k$  and the analogous estimate for  $u_{k+1}$ . If  $\mu(i + 1) > d_k$ , then, as in [12], we can show that  $R(i + 1) \subset F(\mu(i + 1))$  and using the inequality (5.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{R(i+1)} \delta_k(x, t)^2 \gamma_i^2(x) \tilde{\gamma}_i^2(t) \alpha_k^{-2} \left[ v(x) \frac{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{v^2(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + \frac{w(x)}{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right] dx dt \\ &= \iint_{R(i+1)} \left| [\delta_k(x, t)]_{(-\mu(i+1), \mu(i+1))} \right|^2 \gamma_i^2(x) \tilde{\gamma}_i^2(t) \chi_{F(\mu(i+1))} \alpha_k^{-2} \\ & \quad \times \left[ v(x) \frac{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{v^2(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} + \frac{w(x)}{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right] dx dt \\ & \leq C_{32} \mu(i + 1) \frac{\alpha_i^{-\frac{2h}{h-1}}}{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} C_{2,w}(E_k) \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} C_{2,w}(E_k) + \alpha_k^2 \frac{v(B(x_0, \alpha_k))}{w(B(x_0, \alpha_k))} \right\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Then applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain (3.17) and with this the proof of the theorem is complete ■

### References

- [1] Birolì, M.: *Wiener estimates at boundary points for parabolic degenerate equations*. Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. 40, Mem. Mat. (5) 15 (1991), 1-17.
- [2] Chanillo, S. and R. L. Wheeden: *Weighted Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities and estimates for weighted Peano maximal functions*. Amer. J. Math. 107 (1985), 1191 - 1226.
- [3] Chiarenza, F. and R. Serapioni: *A Harnack inequality for degenerate parabolic equations*. Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. 9 (1984), 719 - 749.
- [4] Eklund, N.: *Boundary behavior of solutions of parabolic equations with discontinuous coefficients*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 788 - 792.
- [5] Fabes, E. B., Kenig, C. E. and K. P. Serapioni: *The local regularity of solutions of degenerate parabolic equations*. Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. 7 (1982), 77 - 116.
- [6] Gariepy, R. F. and W. P. Ziemer: *Thermal capacity and boundary regularity*. J. Diff. Equ. 45 (1982), 347 - 388.
- [7] Gutierrez, C. E. and R. L. Wheeden: *Harnack's inequality for degenerate parabolic equations*. Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. 16 (1991), 745 - 770.
- [8] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A., Solonnikov, V. A. and N. Ural'tseva: *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*. Moscow: Nauka 1967; Engl. transl.: Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc. 1968.

- [9] Lanconelli, E.: *Sul problema di Dirichlet per equazioni paraboliche del secondo ordine a coefficienti discontinui*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 106 (1975), 11 - 38.
- [10] Landis, E. M.: *Second Order Equations of Elliptic and Parabolic Type* (in Russian). Moskow: Nauka 1971.
- [11] Skrypnik, I. V.: *Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems* (Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik: Vol. 91). Leipzig: B. G. Teubner Verlagsges. 1986.
- [12] Skrypnik, I. V.: *Methods for Investigating Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems* (in Russian). Moskow: Nauka 1990; Engl. Trans.: Providence, R.I.: Amer. Math. Soc. 1994.
- [13] Skrypnik, I. V.: *A necessary condition for regularity of a boundary point for a quasilinear parabolic equation* (in Russ.). Sbornik Math. 76 (1993), 265 - 281.
- [14] Ziemer, W. P.: *Behavior at the boundary of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations*. J. Diff. Equ. 35 (1980), 291 - 305.

Received 15.05.1995