Some Discrete Inequalities

S. Varošanec, J. Pečarić and J. Sunde

Abstract. A number of inequalities with finite differences which are connected with weighted, quasiarithmetic and logarithmic means and some well-known general inequalities are considered.

Keywords: *Bellman inequality, Cebyaev inequality, Holder inequality, Jensen inequality, Mm*kowski inequality, Popoviciu inequality, weighted mean, quasiarithmetic mean, log*arithmic mean*

AMS subject classification: 26 D 15

1. Introduction

In [3¹ one can find the following generalization of the so-called Pólya inequality (see [3] and $[5: Vol. I/p. 57 and Vol. II/p. 114]$ and of a result of Balzer (see [1]). Be one can find the following generalization of the so-called Pólya inequality (see [3] [5: Vol. I/p. 57 and Vol. II/p. 114]) and of a result of Balzer (see [1]).
Theorem A. Let $x_i : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$ be non-negat

I. Introduction

In [3] one can find the following generalization of the so-called Pólya inequality (

and [5: Vol. I/p. 57 and Vol. II/p. 114]) and of a result of Balzer (see [1]).
 Theorem A. Let $x_i : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (*ictions*
 $p_i = 1$ and $f:[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ a non-negative function.

a) If f is non-decreasing, then

on
\nthe following generalization of the so-called Pólya inequality (see [3]
\n7 and Vol. II/p. 114]) and of a result of Balzer (see [1]).
\nLet
$$
x_i : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \ (1 \le i \le n)
$$
 be non-negative increasing functions
\nrst derivative, p_i $(1 \le i \le n)$ real positive numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1$
\na non-negative function.
\ndecreasing, then
\n
$$
\int_{a}^{b} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i(t))^{p_i} \right)' f(t) dt \ge \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{a}^{b} x'_i(t) f(t) dt \right)^{p_i}
$$
\n(1)

is valid.

b) If f is non-increasing and $x_i(a) = 0$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, then in (1) the reverse inequality *is valid.*

In this paper we give a discrete analogue of inequality (1) and some other discrete results.

ISSN 0232-2064 / \$ 2.50 *0* Heldermann Verlag Berlin

S. Varošanec: Univ. Zagreb, Dept. Math., Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

J. Pečarić: Univ. Zagreb, Fac. Textile Techn., Pierottijeva 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

J. Sunde: Univ. Adelaide, Fac. Math. Comp. Sci., Adelaide, South Australia 5001, Australia

2. Main results

2.1 Results in connection with general inequalities. In the following theorems we state some inequalities for finite differences. It is interesting that every of them have its reverse version. It could be done because in the proof we combine general inequalities such as those of Holder or Minkowski with their reverses such as those of Popoviciu and Bellman. Generally, the proof is given with all details only for one case and the other ones can be proved analogously using related inequalities. **2.1 Results in connection with general inequalities.** In the following theore we state some inequalities for finite differences. It is interesting that every of them has its reverse version. It could be done because in t its reverse version. It could be done beca
such as those of Hölder or Minkowski wi
Bellman. Generally, the proof is given v
ones can be proved analogously using re
Theorem 1. Let $w = (w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ an
n-tuples and th **nnection with general inequalities for finite differences. It is in

could be done because in the pro

er or Minkowski with their revers

the proof is given with all detail

nalogously using related inequali
 \{w = (w_i)_{1** could be done because in the proof we combine general inequalities
 er or Minkowski with their reverses such as those of Popoviciu and

the proof is given with all details only for one case and the other

raladogously u

Theorem 1. Let $w = (w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $a_j = (a_{ji})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ be non-negative. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} j \leq 1$.

a) Let $p_j \geq 0$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$. If w is non-decreasing, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \,\Delta(a_{1i}^{p_1} \cdots a_{mi}^{p_m}) \ge \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \,\Delta a_{ji}\right)^{p_j} \tag{2}
$$

 $j \leq m$) be real numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j = 1$.

a) Let $p_j \geq 0$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$. If w is non-decreasing, then
 $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \Delta(a_{1i}^{p_1} \cdots a_{mi}^{p_m}) \geq \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \Delta a_{ji} \right)^{p_j}$ (2)

is valid where If w is non-increasing and $a_{j1} = 0$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$, then in (2) the reverse inequality is valid.

b) Let $p_1 > 0$ *and* $p_j < 0$ ($2 \leq j \leq m$). *If w is non-increasing and* $a_{j1} = 0$ (1 $j \leq m$), then (2) *is valid.*

Proof. First, let us recall Popoviciu's inequality (see [2: p. 118]): Let $w =$ $(w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $a_j = (a_{ji})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ be non-negative *n*-tuples such that let us recall Popoviciu's inequality (see [2: p. $=(a_{ji})_{1 \le i \le n}$ ($1 \le j \le m$) be non-negative *n*-tuple $w_1 a_{j1} - w_2 a_{j2} - \ldots - w_n a_{jn} \ge 0$ ($1 \le j \le m$)

$$
w_1 a_{j1} - w_2 a_{j2} - \ldots - w_n a_{jn} \ge 0 \qquad (1 \le j \le m)
$$

and let p_j $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$.

(i) If $p_i > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), then

Let
$$
p_1 > 0
$$
 and $p_j < 0$ (2 \nleq $j \nleq m$). If w is non-increasing and $a_{j1} = 0$ (1 \nleq n , then (2) is valid.
\n**oof.** First, let us recall Popoviciu's inequality (see [2: p. 118]): Let $w =$
\n $\leq n$ and $a_j = (a_{ji})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ (1 \nleq $j \leq m$) be non-negative *n*-tuples such that
\n $w_1a_{j1} - w_2a_{j2} - \ldots - w_na_{jn} \geq 0$ (1 \nleq $j \leq m$)
\n p_j (1 \nleq $j \leq m$) be real numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j = 1$.
\nIf $p_j > 0$ (1 \nleq $j \leq m$), then
\n
$$
\prod_{j=1}^{m} (w_1a_{j1} - w_2a_{j2} - \ldots - w_na_{jn})^{p_j}
$$
\n
$$
\leq w_1a_{11}^{p_1}a_{21}^{p_2} \ldots a_{m1}^{p_m} - w_2a_{12}^{p_1}a_{22}^{p_2} \ldots a_{m2}^{p_m} - \ldots - w_na_{1n}^{p_1}a_{2n}^{p_n} \ldots a_{mn}^{p_m}
$$
\n(3)

is valid

(ii) If $p_1 > 0$ and $p_j < 0$ $(2 \le j \le m)$, then in (3) the reverse inequality is valid. Now, for proving assertion a) define $\Delta w_{i-1} = w_i - w_{i-1}$. If *w* is non-decreasing, then $\Delta w_{i-1} \geq 0$ and we have

Some Discrete inequalities 1027
\n
$$
\Delta w_{i-1} \ge 0
$$
 and we have
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \Delta(a_{1i}^{p_1} \cdots a_{mi}^{p_m})
$$
\n
$$
= w_n a_{1n}^{p_1} a_{2n}^{p_n} \cdots a_{mn}^{p_m} - w_1 a_{11}^{p_1} a_{21}^{p_2} \cdots a_{mi}^{p_m} - \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{i1}^{p_1} a_{i1}^{p_2} \cdots a_{i1}^{p_m} \Delta w_{i-1}
$$
\n
$$
\ge \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(w_n a_{jn} - w_1 a_{j1} - \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_{ji} \Delta w_{i-1} \right)^{p_j}
$$
\n
$$
= \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \Delta a_{ji} \right)^{p_j}
$$
\nwhere inequality (3) is used. If w is non-increasing, then the Hölder inequality is used
\ninstead that of Popoviciu. The proof of assertion b) is similar to the previous one
\nTheorem 2. Let $w = (w_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$ and $a_j = (a_{ji})_{1 \le i \le n}$ $(1 \le j \le m)$ be non-negative.
\n n -tuples such that for some $p \in \mathbb{R}$ the sums $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \Delta a_{ji}^{p_i}$ $(1 \le j \le m)$ are non-negative.
\na) Let w be non-decreasing. If $p > 1$ or $p < 0$, then

where inequality (3) is used. If *w* is non-increasing, then the Holder inequality is used instead that of Popoviciu. The proof of assertion b) is similar to the previous one

a) Let w be non-decreasing. If $p > 1$ or $p < 0$, then

11
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j}
$$

\ny (3) is used. If *w* is non-increasing, then the Hölder inequality is used
\nPopoviciu. The proof of assertion b) is similar to the previous one
\n2. Let $w = (w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $a_j = (a_{ji})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) be non-negative
\nat for some $p \in \mathbb{R}$ the sums $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \Delta a_{ji}^p$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) are non-negative.
\nnon-decreasing. If $p > 1$ or $p < 0$, then
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \Delta(a_{1i} + \ldots + a_{mi})^p \ge \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \Delta a_{ji}^p\right)^{1/p}\right)^p
$$
\n
$$
p < 1, \text{ then in (4) the reverse inequality is valid.}
$$

is valid. If $0 < p < 1$ *, then in (4) the reverse inequality is valid.*

b) Let w be non-increasing and $a_{j1} = 0$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$. If $0 < p < 1$, then (4) is *valid. If* $p > 1$, then in (4) the reverse inequality is valid.

Proof. For proving assertion a) we will use the same idee as in the previous theorem and the Bellman inequality (see [2: p. 118]): Let $a = (a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $b = (b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be two non-negative n -tuples such that *be non-increasing and* $a_{j1} = 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$). If $0 < p <$, then in (4) the reverse inequality is valid.
 a b is valid.
 a p is valid.
 a p is a serion a) we will use the same idee as in the press an inequali

where $p>1$ or $p<0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left((a_1^p - a_2^p - \dots - a_n^p)^{1/p} + (b_1^p - b_2^p - \dots - b_n^p)^{1/p} \right)^p \\
&\le (a_1 + b_1)^p - (a_2 + b_2)^p - \dots - (a_n + b_n)^p\n\end{aligned} \tag{5}
$$

is valid. If $0 < p < 1$, then in (5) the reverse inequality is valid. An analogous formula states for m-tuples a_j $(1 \leq j \leq m)$. Further, analogously assertion b) can be proved using the Minkowski inequality \blacksquare

Remark 2. An integral version of the previous theorem is given in [6].

Theorem 3. Let $g = (g_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $h = (h_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be non-negative and nondecreasing n-tuples such that $g_1 = h_1 = 0$. If $f = (f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is a non-negative and **1028 5.** Varošanec, J. Pečarić and
 non-increasing *n***-tuples such that** g_1 **
** *non-increasing n-tuple with* $f_1 \neq$ **
** $h=1$ *non-increasing n-tuple with* $f_1 \neq 0$, then

ec, J. Pečarić and J. Šunde
\nLet
$$
g = (g_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}
$$
 and $h = (h_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be non-negative and non-
\nsuch that $g_1 = h_1 = 0$. If $f = (f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is a non-negative and
\nple with $f_1 \neq 0$, then
\n
$$
f_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta(g_i h_i) \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta g_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta h_i \right)
$$
\n(5)

is valid.

Proof. Using the Cebysev inequality we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta(g_i h_i) = f_n g_n h_n - \sum_{i=2}^{n} g_i h_i \Delta f_{i-1}
$$

= $f_n g_n h_n + \sum_{i=2}^{n} g_i h_i \Delta \overline{f_{i-1}}$

$$
\geq \frac{1}{f_n + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \Delta \overline{f_{i-1}}}
$$

$$
\times \left(f_n g_n + \sum_{i=2}^{n} g_i \Delta \overline{f_{i-1}} \right) \left(f_n h_n + \sum_{i=2}^{n} h_i \Delta \overline{f_{i-1}} \right)
$$

= $\frac{1}{f_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta g_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta h_i \right)$

where $\overline{f_i} = -f_i$

2.2 Results in connection with weighted, quasiarithmetic and logarithmic means. The previous results are connected with general inequalities as of Holder, Minkowski and Čebyšev and their reverse versions. In the following theorem we deal with weighted mean. So, let us recall the definition of that mean. **ection with weighted, qua**

results are connected with g
 y and their reverse versions. In
 g, let us recall the definition of
 $a = (a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $p = (p_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$
 $\binom{a}{a}$ defined by
 $M_p^{[r]}(a) = \begin{cases} (\sum_{i$ th weighted, quasiarithment

2. connected with general in

1. reverse versions. In the fo

all the definition of that me
 \leq_n and $p = (p_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be pos

by
 $\left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i^r \right)^{1/r} \text{ for } r \neq 0 \right\}$
 $\prod_{i=1}$

Definition 1. Let $a = (a_1)_{1 \le i \le n}$ and $p = (p_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$ be positive *n*-tuples, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ 1 and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $M_p^{[r]}(a)$ defined by

$$
M_p^{[r]}(a) = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i^r\right)^{1/r} & \text{for } r \neq 0\\ \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i} & \text{for } r = 0 \end{cases}
$$

is the *weighted mean* of order *r* of *a* with weight p.

Theorem 4. Let $a = (a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $b = (b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be non-negative and non*decreasing n-tuples such that* $a_1 = b_1$ *and* $a_n = b_n$, *let* p_1 *and* p_2 *be positive real numbers such that* $p_1 + p_2 = 1$, and let r and s be arbitrary real numbers. Further, let $f = (f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a non-negative n-tuple. *f* f for $r \neq 0$

for $r = 0$
 i
 *f*₁₁ $\leq i \leq n$ *be non-negative and b₂ <i>be positive arbitrary real numbers.*
 *f*_{*i*} Δa_i , $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta b_i$

a) *Let f be non-decreasing. If r, s < 1, then*

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta M_p^{[r]}(a_i, b_i) f_i \ge M_p^{[s]} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta a_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta b_i \right) \tag{7}
$$

is valid. If $r, s > 1$, *then in* (7) *the reverse inequality is valid.*

b) Let f be non-increasing. If $r < 1 < s$, then (7) is valid. If $r > 1 > s$, then in (7) *the reverse inequality is valid.*

Proof. For proving assertion a) let us suppose that $r, s < 1$. Using the inequality between means we obtain

$$
c_{\mathbf{F}} \text{ inequality is valid.}
$$
\n
$$
\text{of. For proving assertion a) let us suppose that } r, s < 1. \text{ Using the in terms we obtain}
$$
\n
$$
M_p^{[s]} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta a_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta b_i \right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq M_p^{[1]} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta a_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i \Delta b_i \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (p_1 \Delta a_i + p_2 \Delta b_i) f_i
$$
\n
$$
= f_n M_p^{[1]}(a_n, b_n) - f_1 M_p^{[1]}(a_1, b_1) - \sum_{i=2}^{n} M_p^{[1]}(a_i, b_i) \Delta f_i
$$
\n
$$
\leq f_n M_p^{[1]}(a_n, b_n) - f_1 M_p^{[1]}(a_1, b_1) - \sum_{i=2}^{n} M_p^{[r]}(a_i, b_i) \Delta f_i
$$
\n
$$
= f_n M_p^{[1]}(a_n, b_n) - f_1 M_p^{[1]}(a_1, b_1)
$$
\n
$$
- \left(f_n M_p^{[r]}(a_n, b_n) - f_1 M_p^{[r]}(a_1, b_1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta M_p^{[r]}(a_i, b_i) f_i \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta M_p^{[r]}(a_i, b_i) f_i
$$

which is the first assertion. The other cases can be proved analogously using the inequality between means **I**

Definition 2. Let $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a monotone function with inverse f^{-1} , and let $p = (p_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $a = (a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be real *n*-tuples. Then $M_f(a; p)$ defined by Definition 2
 $p = (p_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and

with $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n$

If p is non-n

$$
M_f(a; p) = f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(a_i)\right)
$$

p, is the *quasiarithrnetic f-mean* of *a* with weight *p.*

If *p* is non-negative, $P_n = 1$ and $f(x) = x^r$ ($r \neq 0$) or $f(x) = \ln x$, then the quasiarithmetic mean $M_f(a;p)$ is the weighted mean r $M_p^{[r]}(a)$ of order r .

 $M_f(a; p) = f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(a_i)\right)$
 $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ is the quasiarithmetic f-mean of a with weight p.

If p is non-negative, $P_n = 1$ and $f(x) = x^r$ ($r \neq 0$) or $f(x) = \ln x$, then the

siarithmetic mean $M_f(a; p)$ is the **Theorem 5.** Let $p = (p_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a positive n-tuple, $x_i = (x_{ij})_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$
non-negative m-tuples with $x_{i'1} = x_{i''1}$ and $x_{i'm} = x_{i''m}$ for $1 \leq i', i'' \leq n$, and $w =$ $(w_j)_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ *a non-negative m-tuple. Further, let f and g be real functions and suppose that all quasiarithmetic means below are well defined.*

1030 S. Varoanec, J. Peark and J. Sunde

a) Let w be non-decreasing. If f and g are convex increasing or concave decreasing, then

Since, J. Pečarić and J. Šunde

\nnon-decreasing. If f and g are convex increasing or concave decreasing,

\n
$$
M_f\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} w_k \Delta x_{ik}\right) : p\right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} w_k \Delta M_g((x_{ik})_i; p)
$$
\n(8)

\nUsing are concave increasing or convex decreasing, then in (8) the reverse

is valid. If *f* and *g* are concave increasing or convex decreasing, then in (8) the reverse *inequality is valid.*

b) Let w be non-increasing. If I is convex increasing or concave decreasing and g concave increasing or convex decreasing, then (8) *is valid. If f is concave increasing or convex decreasing and g convex increasing or concave decreasing, then in (8) the reverse inequality is valid.*

Proof. Let us suppose that *I* and g are convex increasing. We will use the wellknown Jensen inequality, namely, if $0 < p_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_i \in [a, b]$ $(1 \le i \le n)$ are such that $\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \in [a, b]$, then for every convex function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
f\left(\frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i)
$$

where $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$. So, we obtain

$$
M_{f}\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} w_{k} \Delta x_{ik}\right); p\right)
$$
\n
$$
= f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} w_{k} \Delta x_{ik}\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\geq \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} w_{k} \Delta x_{ik}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{P_{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \Delta x_{ik}\right) w_{k}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{im}\right) w_{m} - \frac{1}{P_{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i1}\right) w_{1}
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{1}{P_{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{ik}\right) \Delta w_{k}
$$
\n
$$
\geq \frac{1}{P_{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{im}\right) w_{m} - \frac{1}{P_{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i1}\right) w_{1}
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{k=2}^{m} g^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{P_{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} g(x_{ik})\right)\right) \Delta w_{k}
$$

Some Discrete
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \Delta g^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{P_n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(x_{ik}) \right) \right) w_k
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} w_k \Delta M_g((x_{ik})_i; p)
$$

which is the first assertion. The other cases can be proved analogously \blacksquare

Remark 3. If $p_1 > 0$ and $p_i < 0$ ($2 \le i \le n$), then using the reverse version of the Jensen inequality we can state similar results as in the previous theorem. For another weaker condition on p see $[2: p. 6]$.

the first assertion. The other cases can be proved analogously:

\n**Remark 3.** If
$$
p_1 > 0
$$
 and $p_i < 0$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$), then using the reverse inequality we can state similar results as in the previous theorem condition on p see [2: p. 6].

\n**Definition 3.** Let us define the *logarithmic mean* $L_r(x, y)$ by $L_r(x, y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{y-x} \frac{y^{r+1} - x^{r+1}}{r+1}\right)^{1/r} & \text{for } r \neq -1, 0 \\ \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{y^y}{x^x}\right)^{1/(y-x)} & \text{for } r = 0 \\ \frac{y-x}{\ln y - \ln x} & \text{for } r = -1 \end{cases}$

if $x > 0$ and $y > 0$ are such that $x \neq y$, and by $L_r(x, x) = x$ (see [2: p. 41]).

Theorem 6. Let $a = (a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $b = (b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be non-negative and non*decreasing n-tuples such that* $a_1 = b_1$ *and* $a_n = b_n$, and $w = (w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ *a non-negative n-tuple. Further, let r and s be real numbers.*

a) Let w be non-decreasing. If $r, s \leq 1$, then

$$
\left(\frac{y}{\ln y - \ln x}\right) \quad \text{for } r = -1
$$
\nare such that $x \neq y$, and by $L_r(x, x) = x$ (see [2: p. 41]).
\nLet $a = (a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $b = (b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be non-negative and non-
\nas such that $a_1 = b_1$ and $a_n = b_n$, and $w = (w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ a non-negative
\nlet r and s be real numbers.
\non-decreasing. If $r, s \leq 1$, then
\n
$$
L_r\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \Delta a_j, \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \Delta b_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j \Delta L_s(a_j, b_j)
$$
\n(9)
\n1, then in (9) the reverse inequality is valid.

is valid. If $r, s \geq 1$, then in (9) the reverse inequality is valid.

b) Let w be non-increasing. If $r < 1 < s$, then (9) is valid. If $r > 1 > s$, then in *(9) the reverse inequality is valid.*

Theorem 6 can be proved using the inequality for logarithmic mean, i.e. $L_r(x, y) \leq$ $L_s(x,y)$ for $r \leq s$.

Remark 4. An integral version of Theorems 4 - 6 is given in [4].

References

- [1] Alzer, H.: An extension of an inequality of G. Pólya. Bul. Inst. Polit. Din Iasi 36 (1990), $17 - 18.$
- [2) Mitrinovié, D. S., Pearié, J. E. and A. M. Fink: *Classical and New Inequalities in Anal*ysis. Dortrecht: Kluwer Acad. PubI. 1993.
- [3] Pečarić, J. E. and S. Varošanec: A generalization of Pólya's inequalities. In: Inequalities and Applications. Singapore: World Sci. Publ. Comp. Vol. 3, 1994, pp. 501 - 504.
- (4] Pearié, J., Sunde, J. and S. Varoanec: *On Gauss-Polya's inequality.* Manuscript.
- [5] Pólya, G. and G. Szegö: Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis. Vol. I and II., 2nd ed. Berlin: Springer-Verlag 1954.
- [6] Varošanec, S.: *Inequalities of Minkowski's type*. Real Analysis Exchange 20 (1994 95), $250 - 255$.

Received 02.01.1996