On a Class of Multilinear Operator Equations

J. Janno and L. v. Wolfersdorf

Abstract. By means of contraction principle in a Banach space *E* with a scale of norms $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma}$ ($\sigma \ge 0$) existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions are proved for a general class of operator equations $u + G_0u + G_1u = g$ including multilinear ones where $G_0, G_1 \in (E \to E)$ are some operators. The theorems are applicable to equations with operators of generalized convolution type.

Keywords: *Nonlinear operator equations, scale of norms, existence, stability* AMS subject classification: 47 H 15, 45 C 10, 45 D 05

0. Introduction

Recently, by Bukhgeim [2] and the authors [6], global existence and stability theorems for some types of abstract one-dimensional nonlinear convolution equations are proved using norms with exponential weights. This method has been applied also to multidimensional nonlinear Volterra equations of convolution type in Lebesgue spaces L_p with mixed norm [5].

In the present paper this approach is extended to a general class of nonlinear operator equations in a Banach space with a scale of norms. In particular, this class of operator equations includes some types of equations with multilinear operators. As a special case of such multilinear operators a class of operators of generalized convolution type in classical spaces C and L_{∞} is dealt with. Frau *u* is extended to a gener
ce with a scale of norms. In
types of equations with mul
erators a class of operators of
is dealt with.
 $u+G_0u+G_1u=g$
dowed with a scale of norms *i* a game in particular, this class of

s with multilinear operators. As a

perators of generalized convolution

e of norms $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma}$ ($\sigma \ge 0$) satisfying
 $(u \in E, \sigma \ge 0)$ (1.2)

EE – 0026 Tallinn, Estonia. The paper

1. Main theorem

We study the operator equation

$$
u + G_0 u + G_1 u = g \tag{1.1}
$$

in a Banach space E, which is endowed with a scale of norms $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma}$ $(\sigma \geq 0)$ satisfying the condition ation
 $u + G_0 u + G_1 u =$
h is endowed with a scale
 $||u||_0 \le ||u||_0 \le ||u||_0$
Institute of Cybernetics

$$
\psi(\sigma) \cdot ||u||_0 \le ||u||_{\sigma} \le ||u||_0 \qquad (u \in E, \sigma \ge 0)
$$
\n
$$
(1.2)
$$

ISSN 0232-2064 / \$ 2.50 © Heldermann Verlag Berlin

J. Janno: Estonian Acad. Sci., Institute of Cybernetics, EE - 0026 Tallinn, Estonia. The paper was written during a stay of the author at the Freiberg University of Mining and Technology with a grant of DFC from September 1995 to December 1995.

L. v. Wolfersdorf: Techn. Univ. Bergakadémie Freiberg, Fakultät für Mathematik und Iriformatik, D - 09596 Freiberg

with a continuous positive function ψ . For the operators $G_0, G_1 \in (E \to E)$ there hold the following assumptions: 36 J. Janno and L. v. Wolfersdorf

vith a continuous positive function ψ . For the operators G_0 , the following assumptions:

(A1) $||G_0u_1 - G_0u_2||_{\sigma} \leq M_0(||u_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \cdot ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma}$

(A2) $||G_1u||_{\sigma} \to 0$

- (A1) $||G_0u_1 G_0u_2||_{\sigma} \leq M_0(||u_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \cdot ||u_1 u_2||_{\sigma}$ $(u_1, u_2 \in E, \sigma > 0).$
- $(A2)$ $||G_1u||_{\sigma} \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ $(u \in E)$.
- $(A3)$ $||G_1u_1-G_1u_2||_{\sigma} \leq M_1(f, ||u_1-f||_{\sigma}, ||u_2-f||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \cdot ||u_1-u_2||_{\sigma}$ for every $u_1, u_2, f \in$ *E* and $\sigma \geq 0$ with $||u_i - f||_{\sigma} \leq \rho_0$ ($i = 1, 2$) where ρ_0 is some given positive number and the coefficients M_0 and M_1 satisfy the following conditions:
- $(A4)$ *M*₀ $\in C(\mathbb{R}^3_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+)$ $M_0(\rho_1, \rho_2, \sigma)$ is increasing in ρ_1, ρ_2 and decreasing in σ $\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} M_0(\rho_1, \rho_2, \sigma) = 0$ for any positive ρ_1, ρ_2 .
- $(A5)' M_1 \in C(E \times [0, \rho_0]^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+)$ $M_1(f, \rho_1, \rho_2, \sigma)$ is increasing in ρ_1, ρ_2 and decreasing in σ $m_1(f, \rho_1, \rho_2) := \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} M_1(f, \rho_1, \rho_2, \sigma) \in C(E \times [0, \rho_0]^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+)$ $Q(f) := m_1(f,0,0) < 1.$

Here, as usual, \mathbb{R}_+ denotes the positive real semi-axis.

Let us first draw some simple conclusions from the conditions (A2), *(A4)* and (A5). Due to the monotonicity of M_0 in σ and the last condition of (A4), for every pair $\rho > 0$
and $\varepsilon > 0$ we can define
 $\sigma_0(\rho, \varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ \sigma_* \in [0, \infty) : M_0(\rho, \rho, \sigma) \le \varepsilon \text{ if } \sigma \ge \sigma_* \right\}.$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we can define can define
 $\sigma_0(\rho, \varepsilon) = \inf \Big\{ \sigma_* \in [0, \infty) : M_0(\rho, \rho, \sigma) \leq \varepsilon \text{ if } \sigma \geq \sigma_* \Big\}.$ follows from the condition (A4) that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,
 $M_0(\rho_1, \rho_2, \sigma) \leq \varepsilon \text{ if } 0 \leq \rho_i \leq \rho \ (i = 1, 2) \text{ and } \sigma \geq \sigma_0(\rho, \varepsilon)$ (1.3) $\begin{aligned}\n\pi &= m_1(f,0,0) < 1. \\
\text{all, } \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ denotes the positive real semi-axis.} \\
\text{at draw some simple conclusions from the conditions (A2), (A4) and (A5).}\n\end{aligned}$ conotonicity of M_0 in σ and the last condition of (A4), for every pair $\rho > 0$ can define
 $\sigma_0(\rho,\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ \sigma_* \in [0,\infty) : M_0(\$

$$
\sigma_0(\rho,\varepsilon)=\inf\Big\{\sigma_\star\in[0,\infty):\,M_0(\rho,\rho,\sigma)\leq\varepsilon\,\,\text{ if }\,\,\sigma\geq\sigma_\star\Big\}.
$$

Moreover, it follows from the condition (A4) that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$
M_0(\rho_1, \rho_2, \sigma) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \rho_i \leq \rho \ (i=1,2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0(\rho, \varepsilon) \tag{1.3}
$$

$$
\sigma_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+). \tag{1.4}
$$

Let us further denote

$$
\sigma \text{ and the last condition of (A4), for every pair } \rho > 0
$$

\n
$$
[0, \infty) : M_0(\rho, \rho, \sigma) \leq \varepsilon \text{ if } \sigma \geq \sigma_* \}.
$$

\n
$$
0 \leq \rho_i \leq \rho \ (i = 1, 2) \text{ and } \sigma \geq \sigma_0(\rho, \varepsilon) \qquad (1.3)
$$

\n
$$
q(f) = \frac{2 + Q(f)}{3}.
$$

\n
$$
0 \leq \beta \leq \rho \ (i = 1, 2) \text{ and } \sigma \geq \sigma_0(\rho, \varepsilon) \qquad (1.4)
$$

\n
$$
q(f) = \frac{2 + Q(f)}{3}.
$$

\n
$$
(1.5)
$$

Evidently, by (1.5) and the last condition of *(A5)* we have the inequalities

$$
Q(f) < \frac{1+Q(f)}{2} < q(f) < 1.
$$

Thus, due to the last condition of (A5) and the monotonicity of M_1 in ρ_1, ρ_2 and σ , respectively, we can define
 $r_1(f) = \sup \left\{ r \in (0, \rho_0] : m_1(f, \rho_1, \rho_2) \le \frac{1 + Q(f)}{2} \quad \text{if } \rho_1, \rho_2 \le r \right\}$ respectively, we can define

$$
r_1(f) = \sup \left\{ r \in (0, \rho_0] : m_1(f, \rho_1, \rho_2) \le \frac{1 + Q(f)}{2} \text{ if } \rho_1, \rho_2 \le r \right\}
$$

and

$$
r_1(f) = \sup \left\{ r \in (0, \rho_0] : m_1(f, \rho_1, \rho_2) \leq \frac{\sigma_2}{2} \quad \text{if} \quad \rho_1, \rho_2 \leq r \right\}
$$

$$
\sigma_1(f) = \inf \left\{ \sigma_* \in [0, \infty) : M_1(f, r_1(f), r_1(f), \sigma) \leq q(f) \quad \text{if} \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_* \right\}.
$$

Then the first two conditions of (A5) imply

On a Class of Multilinear Operator Equations 937
first two conditions of (A5) imply

$$
M(f, \rho_1, \rho_2, \sigma) \le q(f) < 1 \quad \text{if } 0 \le \rho_1, \rho_2 \le r_1(f) \quad \text{and } \sigma \ge \sigma_1(f) \qquad (1.6)
$$

On a Class of Multilinear Operator Equations 937
first two conditions of (A5) imply

$$
M(f, \rho_1, \rho_2, \sigma) \le q(f) < 1
$$
 if $0 \le \rho_1, \rho_2 \le r_1(f)$ and $\sigma \ge \sigma_1(f)$ (1.6)
 $q, r_1, \sigma_1 \in C(E \to \mathbb{R}_+).$ (1.7)
view of (A2), for every $\rho > 0$ and $f \in E$ we can define

Finally, in view of (A2), for every $\rho > 0$ and $f \in E$ we can define

$$
M(f, \rho_1, \rho_2, \sigma) \le q(f) < 1 \quad \text{if } 0 \le \rho_1, \rho_2 \le r_1(f) \quad \text{and } \sigma \ge \sigma_1(f) \tag{1.6}
$$
\n
$$
q, r_1, \sigma_1 \in C(E \to \mathbb{R}_+). \tag{1.7}
$$
\n
$$
\text{view of (A2), for every } \rho > 0 \text{ and } f \in E \text{ we can define}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_2(f, \rho) = \inf \left\{ \sigma_* \in [0, \infty) : ||G_1 f||_{\sigma} \le \frac{1 - q(f)}{2} \rho \quad \text{if } \sigma \ge \sigma_* \right\}.
$$
\n
$$
\text{holds}
$$
\n
$$
||G_1 f||_{\sigma} \le \frac{1 - q(f)}{2} \rho \tag{1.8}
$$
\n
$$
\text{and due to the continuity of } G_1 \text{ (see (A3)) and } q \text{ we have}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_2 \in C(E \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+). \tag{1.9}
$$
\n
$$
\text{in formulae our main result.}
$$
\n
$$
\text{term 1.} \quad Let (1.2) \quad \text{and the assumptions (A1) - (A5) be satisfied. Then for}
$$

Then there holds

$$
||G_1f||_{\sigma} \le \frac{1 - q(f)}{2} \rho \tag{1.8}
$$

if $\sigma \geq \sigma_2(f, \rho)$ and due to the continuity of G_1 (see (A3)) and q we have

$$
\sigma_2 \in C(E \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+). \tag{1.9}
$$

Now we can formulate our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let* (1.2) and the assumptions (A1) - (A5) *be satisfied. Then for* $y \, g \in E$ equation (1.1) has a unique solution $u \in E$. For the solutions u_1 and u_2 *esponding to data* g_1 and g_2 , respectively, *every* $g \in E$ *equation* (1.1) has a unique solution $u \in E$. For the solutions u₁ and u₂ *corresponding to data 91 and 92, respectively, the estimates*

(Si) II u i - *U2II* 1 - *(fi ,p(g, , g))* (S2) J JUI - *u21I0 f+Gof=g* (1.10)

$$
\textbf{(S2)} \quad \|u_1 - u_2\|_0 \leq \frac{2}{(1 - q(f_1)) \cdot \psi(\tilde{\sigma}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2)))} \|g_1 - g_2\|_0 \ \text{if} \ \|g_1 - g_2\|_0 \leq \tilde{\delta}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2))
$$

hold. Here $p(g_1, g_2) = \max_{i=1,2} \{2 \|G_0 g_i\|_0 + \|g_i\|_0\}$ *,* f_1 *is the solution of the equation*

$$
f + G_0 f = g \tag{1.10}
$$

with g = *g*₁, *g*₂) = max_{i=1,2}{2||*G*₀*g*_i||o + ||g_i||o</sub>}, *f*₁ is the solution of the equation $f + G_0 f = g$ (1.10)
 with g = *g*₁, *q* is defined by (1.5), $\tilde{\sigma}(f_1, \cdot) \ge 0$ and $\tilde{\delta}(f_1, \cdot) > 0$ ar *functions.* with $g = g_1$, q is defined by (1.5), $\tilde{\sigma}(f_1, \cdot) \geq 0$ and $\tilde{\delta}(f_1, \cdot) > 0$ are certain continuous

Proof. Let us define the balls

$$
B_{\rho,\sigma}(v) = \{u \in E : ||u - v||_{\sigma} \leq \rho\} \qquad (\rho > 0, \sigma \geq 0, v \in E)
$$

*ⁱ*n *E.*

Step i. At first we show that the auxiliary equation (1.10) has a solution in the ball $B_{R,\sigma}(g)$, where $R = 2||G_0g||_0$ and σ is chosen large enough. By assumption (A1) and (1.2) for the operator $A_0f = g - G_0f$ we derive the estimates
 $||A_0f - g||_{\sigma} = ||G_0f||_{\sigma} \le ||G_0f - G_0g||_{\sigma} + ||G_0g||_{\sigma}$ and (1.2) for the operator $A_0 f = g - G_0 f$ we derive the estimates

$$
||A_0f - g||_{\sigma} = ||G_0f||_{\sigma} \le ||G_0f - G_0g||_{\sigma} + ||G_0g||_{\sigma}
$$

$$
\le M_0(||f||_{\sigma}, ||g||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \cdot ||f - g||_{\sigma} + \frac{R}{2}
$$

and

$$
||A_0f_1-A_0f_2||_{\sigma}=||G_0f_1-G_0f_2||_{\sigma}\leq M_0(||f_1||_{\sigma},||f_2||_{\sigma},\sigma)\cdot||f_1-f_2||_{\sigma}.
$$

If $f_1, f_2, f \in B_{R,\sigma}(g)$, then

$$
T_1 - A_0 f_2 \|_{\sigma} = \|G_0 f_1 - G_0 f_2\|_{\sigma} \le M_0(\|f_1\|_{\sigma}, \|f_2\|_{\sigma}, \sigma) \cdot \|f_1 - B_{R,\sigma}(g), \text{ then}
$$

\n
$$
\|f\|_{\sigma} \le R + \|g\|_{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_i\|_{\sigma} \le R + \|g\|_{0} \quad (i = 1, 2).
$$

Now by (1.3) we have

$$
||A_0f_1 - A_0f_2||_{\sigma} = ||G_0f_1 - G_0f_2||_{\sigma} \le M_0(||f_1||_{\sigma}, ||f_2||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \cdot ||f_1 - f_2||_{\sigma}.
$$

\n
$$
||f||_{\sigma} \le R + ||g||_0 \quad \text{and} \quad ||f_i||_{\sigma} \le R + ||g||_0 \quad (i = 1, 2).
$$

\n(1.3) we have
\n
$$
||A_0f - g||_{\sigma} \le \frac{1}{2}R + \frac{R}{2} = R \quad \text{and} \quad ||A_0f_1 - A_0f_2||_{\sigma} \le \frac{1}{2}||f_1 - f_2||_{\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
\in B_{R,\sigma}(g) \text{ with } \sigma \ge \sigma_0(R + ||g||_0, \frac{1}{2}). \text{ Thus, for such } \sigma \text{ the operator } A
$$

\n) into itself and is a contraction in $B_{R,\sigma}(a)$. This implies the existence

if $f, f_i \in B_{R,\sigma}(g)$ with $\sigma \geq \sigma_0(R + ||g||_0, \frac{1}{2})$. Thus, for such σ the operator A_0 maps $B_{R,\sigma}(g)$ into itself and is a contraction in $B_{R,\sigma}(g)$. This implies the existence of a solution to equation (1.10) in $B_{R,\sigma}(g)$, where $R = 2||G_0g||_0$ and $\sigma \ge \sigma_0(R + ||g||_0, \frac{1}{2}).$

Step 2. Next we are going to show that a unique solution of equation (1.1) exists in the ball $B_{\rho,\sigma}(f)$, where ρ is small enough, σ is large enough and f is a solution to equation (1.10). Let us denote

$$
Au=g-G_0u-G_1u.
$$

By virtue of (1.10) and the assumptions (Al) and (A3) we derive the estimates

Step 2. Next we are going to show that a unique solution of equation (1.1) exists
ne ball
$$
B_{\rho,\sigma}(f)
$$
, where ρ is small enough, σ is large enough and f is a solution to
ation (1.10). Let us denote

$$
Au = g - G_0u - G_1u.
$$

virtue of (1.10) and the assumptions (A1) and (A3) we derive the estimates

$$
||Au - f||_{\sigma}
$$

$$
= ||G_0f - G_0u - G_1u + G_1f - G_1f||_{\sigma}
$$

$$
\leq ||G_0f - G_0u||_{\sigma} + ||G_1f - G_1u||_{\sigma} + ||G_1f||_{\sigma}
$$
(1.11)
$$
\leq [M_0(||f||_{\sigma}, ||u||_{\sigma}, \sigma) + M_1(f, 0, ||u - f||_{\sigma}, \sigma)] \cdot ||u - f||_{\sigma} + ||G_1f||_{\sigma}
$$

$$
u - f||_{\sigma} \leq \rho_0 \text{ and}
$$

$$
Au_1 - Au_2||_{\sigma}
$$

$$
\leq ||G_0u_1 - G_0u_2||_{\sigma} + ||G_1u_1 - G_1u_2||_{\sigma}
$$

$$
\leq [M_0(||u_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2||_{\sigma}, \sigma) + M_1(f, ||u_1 - f||_{\sigma}, ||u_2 - f||_{\sigma}, \sigma)] \cdot ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma}
$$
(1.12)

if $||u - f||_{\sigma} \leq \rho_0$ and

$$
\leq ||G_0 f - G_0 u||_{\sigma} + ||G_1 f - G_1 u||_{\sigma} + ||G_1 f||_{\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
\leq [M_0(||f||_{\sigma}, ||u||_{\sigma}, \sigma) + M_1(f, 0, ||u - f||_{\sigma}, \sigma)] \cdot ||u - f||_{\sigma} + ||G_1 f||_{\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
||u - f||_{\sigma} \leq \rho_0 \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
||Au_1 - Au_2||_{\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
\leq ||G_0 u_1 - G_0 u_2||_{\sigma} + ||G_1 u_1 - G_1 u_2||_{\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
\leq [M_0(||u_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2||_{\sigma}, \sigma) + M_1(f, ||u_1 - f||_{\sigma}, ||u_2 - f||_{\sigma}, \sigma)] \cdot ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
||u_i - f||_{\sigma} \leq \rho_0 \quad (i = 1, 2). \text{ We further estimate the coefficients } M_0 \text{ and } M_1 \text{ in (1.11)}
$$
\n
$$
||u||_{\sigma} \leq r_1(f) + ||f||_0 \quad \text{and} \quad ||u_i||_{\sigma} \leq r_1(f) + ||f||_0 \quad (i = 1, 2) \tag{1.13}
$$
\n
$$
||u||_{\sigma} \leq r_1(f) + ||f||_0 \quad \text{and} \quad ||u_i||_{\sigma} \leq r_1(f) + ||f||_0 \quad (i = 1, 2) \tag{1.14}
$$
\n
$$
M_0(||f||_{\sigma}, ||u||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \leq \frac{1 - q(f)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad M_0(||u_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \leq \frac{1 - q(f)}{2} \tag{1.14}
$$

if $||u_i - f||_{\sigma} \le \rho_0$ $(i = 1, 2)$. We further estimate the coefficients M_0 and M_1 in (1.11) and (1.12). Suppose that $u, u_1, u_2 \in B_{\rho, \sigma}(f)$, where $\rho \leq r_1(f)$. Then we have $\leq [M_0(\|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|u_2\|_{\sigma}, \sigma) +$
 f $\|_{\sigma} \leq \rho_0 \quad (i = 1, 2)$. We

12). Suppose that u, u_1, u_2
 $\|u\|_{\sigma} \leq r_1(f) + \|f\|_0$ e **the coemcients
** e **re** $\rho \leq r_1(f)$ **. T
** $\leq r_1(f) + ||f||_0$

$$
||u||_{\sigma} \leq r_1(f) + ||f||_0
$$
 and $||u_i||_{\sigma} \leq r_1(f) + ||f||_0$ $(i = 1, 2)$ (1.13)

and (1.3), (1.6) imply

$$
\leq [M_0(\|u_1\|_{\sigma},\|u_2\|_{\sigma},\sigma)+M_1(f,\|u_1-f\|_{\sigma},\|u_2-f\|_{\sigma},\sigma)] \cdot \|u_1-u_2\|_{\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
(-f\|_{\sigma} \leq \rho_0 \quad (i=1,2). \text{ We further estimate the coefficients } M_0 \text{ and } M_1 \text{ in (1.11)}
$$

\n1.12). Suppose that $u, u_1, u_2 \in B_{\rho,\sigma}(f)$, where $\rho \leq r_1(f)$. Then we have
\n
$$
\|u\|_{\sigma} \leq r_1(f) + \|f\|_{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_i\|_{\sigma} \leq r_1(f) + \|f\|_{0} \quad (i=1,2) \tag{1.13}
$$

\n1.3), (1.6) imply
\n
$$
M_0(\|f\|_{\sigma},\|u\|_{\sigma},\sigma) \leq \frac{1-q(f)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad M_0(\|u_1\|_{\sigma},\|u_2\|_{\sigma},\sigma) \leq \frac{1-q(f)}{2} \tag{1.14}
$$

if $\sigma \ge \sigma_0(r_1(f) + ||f||_0, \frac{1-q(f)}{2})$ and
 $M_1(f, 0, ||u - f||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \le q(f)$ and

$$
\geq \sigma_0 \left(r_1(f) + \|f\|_0, \frac{1 - q(f)}{2} \right) \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
M_1(f, 0, \|u - f\|_{\sigma}, \sigma) \leq q(f) \quad \text{and} \quad M_1(f, \|u_1 - f\|_{\sigma}, \|u_2 - f\|_{\sigma}, \sigma) \leq q(f) \quad (1.15)
$$

if $\sigma \ge \sigma_0 (r_1(f) + ||f||_0, \frac{1 - q(f)}{2})$ and
 $M_1(f, 0, ||u - f||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \le q(f)$ and $M_1(f, ||u_1 - f||_{\sigma}, ||u_2 - f||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \le q(f)$ (1.15)

if $\sigma \ge \sigma_1(f)$. Combining (1.11), (1.12) with (1.14), (1.15) and also taking (1.8) into

account, w account, we obtain

On a Class of Multilinear Operator
\n
$$
||f||_{0}, \frac{1-q(f)}{2}
$$
 and
\n
$$
f||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \leq q(f)
$$
 and $M_{1}(f, ||u_{1} - f||_{\sigma}, ||u_{2} - f||_{\sigma}, c$
\nmbining (1.11), (1.12) with (1.14), (1.15) and also
\n
$$
||Au - f||_{\sigma} \leq \left[\frac{1-q(f)}{2} + q(f)\right] \rho + \frac{1-q(f)}{2} \rho = \rho
$$

\n
$$
||u_{2}||_{\sigma} \leq \left[\frac{1-q(f)}{2} + q(f)\right] \cdot ||u_{1} - u_{2}||_{\sigma} = \frac{1+q(f)}{2}.
$$

\n(f), $\rho \leq r_{1}(f)$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_{3}(f, \rho)$ where

and

$$
||Au_1 - Au_2||_{\sigma} \le \left[\frac{1 - q(f)}{2} + q(f)\right] \cdot ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} = \frac{1 + q(f)}{2} \cdot ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma}
$$

if $u, u_1, u_2 \in B_{\rho, \sigma}(f), \ \rho \leq r_1(f)$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_3(f, \rho)$ where

$$
-Au_{2}\|_{\sigma} \leq \left[\frac{1-q(f)}{2} + q(f)\right] \cdot \|u_{1} - u_{2}\|_{\sigma} = \frac{1+q(f)}{2} \cdot \|u_{1} - u_{2}\|_{\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
B_{\rho,\sigma}(f), \ \rho \leq r_{1}(f) \text{ and } \sigma \geq \sigma_{3}(f,\rho) \text{ where}
$$

\n
$$
\sigma_{3}(f,\rho) = \max \left\{\sigma_{1}(f), \ \sigma_{0}(r_{1}(f) + \|f\|_{0}, \ \frac{1-q(f)}{2}, \ \sigma_{2}(f,\rho)\right\}. \tag{1.16}
$$

Since $\frac{1+q(f)}{2} < 1$, we have that *A* maps $B_{\rho,\sigma}(f)$ into itself and is a contraction in $B_{\rho,\sigma}(f)$ if $\rho \leq r_1(f)$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_3(f,\rho)$. Thus, equation (1.1) has a unique solution *u* in every ball $B_{\rho,\sigma}(f)$, where $\rho \leq r_1(f)$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_3(f,\rho)$. Particularly, this proves the existence result of Theorem 1.

Step 3. Let us prove the uniqueness of the solution of equation (1.1) in *E.* Suppose that $u_1 \in E$ and $u_2 \in E$ are two arbitrary solutions of equation (1.1). Then

$$
||u_i - f||_{\sigma} = ||G_0 f - G_0 u_i - G_1 u_i||_{\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||G_0 f - G_0 u_i||_{\sigma} + ||G_1 u_i||_{\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
\leq M_0(||f||_{\sigma}, ||u_i||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \cdot ||u_i - f||_{\sigma} + ||G_1 u_i||_{\sigma} \quad (i = 1, 2).
$$

Now it follows from (1.2) and the assumptions (A4), (A2) that $||u_i - f||_{\sigma} \le r_1(f)$ if σ is greater than some number σ_4 which depends on u_1, u_2 and f. Thus, $u_i \in B_{r_1(f), \sigma}(f)$ if $\sigma \geq \sigma_4$. Taking $\sigma \geq \max{\{\sigma_4, \sigma_3(f, r_1(f))\}}$, the solutions u_1 and u_2 belong to a ball where the uniqueness of the solution has already been shown. Thus, $u_1 = u_2$.

Step 4. Now we derive a stability estimate for the solution of the auxiliary equation (1.10) , which is uniquely determined as we have just shown. Suppose that f_1 and f_2 are the solutions of (1.10) with g replaced by g_1 and g_2 , respectively. Then by assumption (A1)
 $||f_1 - f_2||_{\sigma} \le ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma} + ||G_0 f_1 - G_0 f_2||_{\sigma}$ (1.17) (Al)

which is uniquely determined as we have just shown. Suppose that
$$
f_1
$$
 and f_2 are ions of (1.10) with g replaced by g_1 and g_2 , respectively. Then by assumption

\n
$$
\|f_1 - f_2\|_{\sigma} \le \|g_1 - g_2\|_{\sigma} + \|G_0 f_1 - G_0 f_2\|_{\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
\le \|g_1 - g_2\|_{\sigma} + M_0(\|f_1\|_{\sigma}, \|f_2\|_{\sigma}, \sigma) \cdot \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\sigma}.
$$
\nand

\n
$$
p_1
$$
\nIt follows that

\n
$$
\|f_i - g_i\|_{\sigma} \le R_i
$$
\nif

\n
$$
\sigma \ge \sigma_0(R_i + \|g_i\|_0, \frac{1}{2})
$$
\nwhere

\n
$$
R_i = 2\|G_0 g_i\|_0.
$$
\nseerving (1.2) we have

\n
$$
\|f_i\|_{\sigma} \le 2\|G_0 g_i\|_0 + \|g_i\|_0 \le \max_{j=1,2} \{2\|G_0 g_j\|_0 + \|g_j\|_0\} = p(g_1, g_2)
$$
\n(1.18)

 $\leq ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma} + M_0(||f_1||_{\sigma}, ||f_2||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \cdot ||f_1 - f_2||_{\sigma}.$
From Step 1 it follows that $||f_i - g_i||_{\sigma} \leq R_i$ if $\sigma \geq \sigma_0(R_i + ||g_i||_0, \frac{1}{2})$, where $R_i = 2||G_0g_i||_0$.
Thus, observing (1.2) we have Thus, observing (1.2) we have

$$
||f_i||_{\sigma} \le 2||G_0g_i||_0 + ||g_i||_0 \le \max_{j=1,2} \{2||G_0g_j||_0 + ||g_j||_0\} = p(g_1, g_2)
$$
 (1.18)

940 J. Janno and L. v. Wolfersdorf
if $\sigma \geq \sigma_0(p(g_1, g_2), \frac{1}{2})$. In view of (1.18) condition (1.3) implies

and L. v. Wolfersdorf
),
$$
\frac{1}{2}
$$
). In view of (1.18) condition (1.3) implies
 $M_0(||f_1||_{\sigma}, ||f_2||_{\sigma}, \sigma) \le \frac{1}{2}$ if $\sigma \ge \sigma_0(p(g_1, g_2), \frac{1}{2})$.

This together with (1.17) yields

$$
||f_1 - f_2||_{\sigma} \le 2 ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma} \quad \text{if } \sigma \ge \sigma_0(p(g_1, g_2), \frac{1}{2}). \tag{1.19}
$$

and L. v. Wolfersdorf

), $\frac{1}{2}$). In view of (1.18) condition (1.3) implies
 $M_0(\|f_1\|_{\sigma}, \|f_2\|_{\sigma}, \sigma) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ if $\sigma \geq \sigma_0(p(g_1, g_2), \frac{1}{2})$.

h (1.17) yields
 $\|f_1 - f_2\|_{\sigma} \leq 2 \|g_1 - g_2\|_{\sigma}$ if $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ **Step 5.** Finally, let us derive the estimates (S1) and (S2). Suppose that u_1 and u_2 are the solutions of equation (1.1) with *g* replaced by g_1 and g_2 , respectively. Then, by the assumptions (A1) and (A3),
 $||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} \le ||G_0 u_1 - G_0 u_2||_{\sigma} + ||G_1 u_1 - G_1 u_2||_{\sigma} + ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma}$ the assumptions (Al) and (A3),

Step 5. Finally, let us derive the estimates (S1) and (S2). Suppose that
$$
u_1
$$
 and u_2
the solutions of equation (1.1) with g replaced by g_1 and g_2 , respectively. Then, by
assumptions (A1) and (A3),

$$
||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} \le ||G_0u_1 - G_0u_2||_{\sigma} + ||G_1u_1 - G_1u_2||_{\sigma} + ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma}
$$

$$
\le [M_0(||u_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2||_{\sigma}, \sigma) + M_1(f_1, ||u_1 - f_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2 - f_1||_{\sigma}, \sigma)] \qquad (1.20)
$$

$$
\times ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} + ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma}
$$

$$
u_i - f_1||_{\sigma} \le \rho_0 \ (i = 1, 2) \text{ where as above } f_1 \text{ is the solution of equation (1.10) for}
$$

$$
g_1. \text{ We estimate the quantities } ||u_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2||_{\sigma} \text{ and } ||u_1 - f_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2 - f_1||_{\sigma} \text{ in (1.20).}
$$
llows from Step 2 that

$$
||u_1 - f_1||_{\sigma} \le r_1(f_1) \qquad \text{if } \sigma \ge \sigma_3(f_1, r_1(f_1)) \qquad (1.21)
$$

$$
||u_2 - f_2||_{\sigma} \le \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_2) \qquad \text{if } \sigma \ge \sigma_3(f_2, \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_2)). \qquad (1.22)
$$

if $||u_i - f_1||_{\sigma} \le \rho_0$ $(i = 1, 2)$ where as above f_1 is the solution of equation (1.10) for $g = g_1$. We estimate the quantities $||u_1||_{\sigma}$, $||u_2||_{\sigma}$ and $||u_1 - f_1||_{\sigma}$, $||u_2 - f_1||_{\sigma}$ in (1.20). It follows from Step 2 that

$$
||u_1 - f_1||_{\sigma} \le r_1(f_1) \quad \text{if } \sigma \ge \sigma_3(f_1, r_1(f_1)) \tag{1.21}
$$

and

It follows from Step 2 that
\n
$$
||u_1 - f_1||_{\sigma} \le r_1(f_1) \quad \text{if } \sigma \ge \sigma_3(f_1, r_1(f_1))
$$
\nand
\n
$$
||u_2 - f_2||_{\sigma} \le \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_2) \quad \text{if } \sigma \ge \sigma_3(f_2, \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_2)).
$$
\n(1.21)
\nBy virtue of the continuity properties (1.7), (1.4) and (1.9) the functional σ_3 defined by

(1.16) is also continuous in its arguments. Thus, there exists $\delta(f_1) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_1))$ such that $||u_2 - f_2||_{\sigma} \le \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_2)$ if $\sigma \ge \sigma_3(j)$

the continuity properties (1.7), (1.4) and (1.

is also continuous in its arguments. Thus, there $\sigma_3(f_2, \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_2)) \le 2\sigma_3(f_1, \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_1))$ and $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\sigma_4(f_1)$ $|u_2 - f_2||_{\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_2)$ if $\sigma \geq \sigma_3(f_2, \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_2)).$
 α linuity properties (1.7), (1.4) and (1.9) the functional σ
 σ ious in its arguments. Thus, there exists $\delta(f_1) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}r_2)$
 $\leq 2\sigma_3(f_1$

$$
\sigma_3(f_2,\tfrac{1}{2}r_1(f_2))\leq 2\sigma_3(f_1,\tfrac{1}{2}r_1(f_1))\qquad \text{and} \qquad \tfrac{1}{2}r_2(f_2)\leq r_1(f_1)-2\delta(f_1)
$$

if $||f_1 - f_2||_0 \le 2\delta(f_1)$. From (1.22) and (1.2) we now obtain

$$
||u_2 - f_1||_{\sigma} \le ||u_2 - f_2||_{\sigma} + ||f_2 - f_1||_0 \le r_1(f_1)
$$
\n(1.23)

if $\sigma \geq 2\sigma_3(f_1, \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_1))$ and $||f_1 - f_2||_0 \leq 2\delta(f_1)$. Denote

$$
\sigma_5(f_1)=\max\Big\{\sigma_3(f_1,r_1(f_1)),2\sigma_3(f_1,\tfrac{1}{2}r_1(f_1))\Big\}.
$$

The estimates (1.21) and (1.23) imply

$$
||u_i||_{\sigma} \le ||f_1||_0 + r_1(f_1) \qquad (i = 1, 2)
$$
\n(1.24)

for $\sigma_3(f_1, \frac{1}{2}r_1(f_1))$ and $\frac{1}{2}r_2(f_2) \le r_1(f_1) - 2\delta(f_1)$

om (1.22) and (1.2) we now obtain

f₁ $\|\sigma \leq \|\alpha_2 - f_2\|_{\sigma} + \|f_2 - f_1\|_{0} \leq r_1(f_1)$ (1.23)

d $\|f_1 - f_2\|_{0} \leq 2\delta(f_1)$. Denote
 $= \max \Big\{ \sigma_3(f_1, r$ if $\sigma \ge \sigma_5(f_1)$ and $||f_1 - f_2||_0 \le 2\delta(f_1)$. With the help of the bounds (1.21) and (1.23) for $||u_i - f_1||_{\sigma}$ and (1.24) for $||u_i||_{\sigma}$ and the conditions (1.3) and (1.6) we continue the estimation of $||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma}$ in (1.20) obtaining $|f_1 - f_2||_0 \le 2\delta(f_1)$. With (1.24) for $||u_i||_\sigma$ and the $|u_2||_\sigma$ in (1.20) obtaining $||u_1 - u_2||_\sigma \le \frac{1 + q(f_1)}{2}$.

$$
||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} \le \frac{1 + q(f_1)}{2} \cdot ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} + ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma}
$$

if

On a Class of Multilinear Operator Equations 94
\n
$$
\sigma \ge \sigma_5(f_1), \ \sigma \ge \sigma_0 \left(||f_1||_0 + r_1(f_1), \frac{1-q(f_1)}{2} \right), \ \sigma \ge \sigma_1(f_1), \ ||f_1 - f_2||_0 \le 2\delta(f_1).
$$

\nSince
\n $\sigma_5(f_1) \ge \sigma_0 \left(||f||_0 + r_1(f_1), \frac{1-q(f_1)}{2} \right)$ and $\sigma_5(f_1) \ge \sigma_1(f_1)$
\nWe obtain
\n $||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} \le \frac{2}{1-q(f_1)} \cdot ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma}$ (1.2)
\n $\sigma \ge \sigma_5(f_1)$ and $||f_1 - f_2||_0 \le 2\delta(f_1)$. Taking (1.19) and (1.2) into account we see the
\n.25) holds if

Since

$$
\sigma_5(f_1) \ge \sigma_0 \left(||f||_0 + r_1(f_1), \frac{1 - q(f_1)}{2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_5(f_1) \ge \sigma_1(f_1)
$$

we obtain

$$
||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} \le \frac{2}{1 - q(f_1)} \cdot ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma}
$$
 (1.25)

we obtain
 $||u_1 -$

if $\sigma \ge \sigma_5(f_1)$ and $||f_1 - f_2||_0 \le$

(1.25) holds if $||f_1 - f_2||_0 \le 2\delta(f_1)$. Taking (1.19) and (1.2) into account we see that
 $\sigma \ge \tilde{\sigma}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2)) = \max \{ \sigma_5(f_1); \sigma_0(p(g_1, g_2), \frac{1}{2}) \}$ (1.25) holds if Since
 $\sigma_5(f_1) \ge \sigma_0 \left(||f||_0 +$

we obtain
 $||u_1||_0$

if $\sigma \ge \sigma_5(f_1)$ and $||f_1 - f_2||_0 \le$

(1.25) holds if
 $\sigma \ge \tilde{\sigma}(f_1, p)$

and
 $||g_1 - g_2||_0 \le \tilde{\delta}$

Thus, we have proved the estimate (S2)

$$
\sigma \geq \tilde{\sigma}(f_1,p(g_1,g_2)) = \max \big\{ \sigma_5(f_1); \sigma_0\big(p(g_1,g_2),\tfrac{1}{2}\big) \big\}
$$

$$
0 \leq \theta(11, p(y1, y2)) = \max \{ \theta(5, 11), \theta(0, p(y1, y2), \frac{1}{2}) \}
$$

$$
||g_1 - g_2||_0 \leq \tilde{\delta}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2)) = \delta(f_1) \cdot \psi(\sigma_0(p(g_1, g_2), \frac{1}{2})).
$$

Thus, we have proved the estimate $(S1)$. But the estimate $(S1)$ together with (1.2) implies the estimate (S2). Finally, since σ_0 is continuous, $\psi > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, the functions $\tilde{\sigma}(f_1,.)$ and $\tilde{\delta}(f_1,.)$ in the estimates (S1) and (S2) are also continuous and $\tilde{\delta} > 0$. The proof is complete $g_2||_0 \leq \tilde{\delta}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2)) = \delta(f_1) \cdot \psi(\sigma_0(p(g_1, g_2), \frac{1}{2})).$

ed the estimate (S1). But the estimate (S1) together with (1.2)
 \in (S2). Finally, since σ_0 is continuous, $\psi > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, the
 $\delta(\tilde{\delta}(f_1, \cdot))$

2. Equation with multilinear operator

As a particular case of equation (1.1) we consider the operator equation

$$
u + G_0 u + \sum_{k=2}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} K_{k,j} [G_{k,1}^j u, \dots, G_{k,k}^j u] = g
$$
 (2.1)

where $N \geq 2$ and $n_k \geq 1$, $G_{k,i}^j \in (E \to E_{k,i}^j)$, $E_{k,i}^j$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ are Banach spaces and *K_k*,*j* are multilinear operators from $E_{k,1}^j \times ... \times E_{k,k}^j$ into *E*. We suppose that the spaces $E_{k,i}^j$ are endowed with scales of norms $\|\cdot\|_{k,i,j,\sigma}$ ($\sigma \ge 0$) which satisfy the condition **2. Equation with multilinear operator**
 As a particular case of equation (1.1) we consider the operator equation
 $u + G_0 u + \sum_{k=2}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} K_{k,j} [G_{k,1}^j u, ..., G_{k,k}^j u] = g$ (2

where $N \ge 2$ and $n_k \ge 1$, $G_{k,i}^j \in ($ **1 multilinear operator**

equation (1.1) we consider the operator equation
 $+ G_0 u + \sum_{k=2}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} K_{k,j} [G_{k,1}^j u, \ldots, G_{k,k}^j u] = g$ (2.1)
 $\geq 1, G_{k,i}^j \in (E \to E_{k,i}^j), E_{k,i}^j$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ are Banach spaces and

era

$$
||u||_{k,i,j,\sigma} \leq ||u||_{k,i,j,0} \qquad (u \in E_{k,i}^j, \sigma \geq 0)
$$
 (2.2)

and for the operators $K_{\bm{k},\bm{j}}$ and $G^{\bm{j}}_{\bm{k},\bm{i}}$ there hold the following assumptions:

$$
||u||_{k,i,j,\sigma} \le ||u||_{k,i,j,\sigma} \quad (\sigma \ge 0) \text{ when satisfy the condition}
$$
\n
$$
||u||_{k,i,j,\sigma} \le ||u||_{k,i,j,0} \quad (u \in E_{k,i}^j, \sigma \ge 0) \tag{2.2}
$$
\nand for the operators $K_{k,j}$ and $G_{k,i}^j$ there hold the following assumptions:

\n
$$
(B1) \quad ||K_{k,j}[f_1,...,f_k]||_{\sigma} \le c_{k,j} \prod_{i=1}^k ||f_i||_{k,i,j,\sigma} \text{ for } f_i \in E_{k,i}^j \quad (1 \le i \le k, \sigma \ge 0, c_{k,j} \ge 0).
$$
\n
$$
(B2) \quad ||K_{k,j}[f_1,...,f_k]||_{\sigma} \le \lambda_{k,j}(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{l \ne i} ||f_l||_{k,l,j,\sigma} \cdot ||f_i||_{k,i,j,0} \text{ for } f_l \in E_{k,l}^j \quad (1 \le l \le k, \sigma \ge 0).
$$

(B2)
$$
||K_{k,j}[f_1, ..., f_k]||_{\sigma} \leq \lambda_{k,j}(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{l \neq i} ||f_l||_{k,l,j,\sigma} \cdot ||f_i||_{k,i,j,0}
$$
 for $f_l \in E_{k,l}^j$ $(1 \leq l \leq k, \sigma \geq 0, i = 1, ..., k).$

942 J. Janno and L. v. Wolfersdorf

- (B3) $||G_{k,i}^j u_1 G_{k,i}^j u_2||_{k,i,j,\sigma} \leq M_{k,i}^j(||u_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2||_{\sigma}) \cdot ||u_1 u_2||_{\sigma}$ for $u_1, u_2 \in E$ ($\sigma \geq 0$) where the coefficients $\lambda_{k,j}$ and $M_{k,i}^j$ satisfy the following conditions: **(B3)** $||G_{k,i}^j u_1 - G_{k,i}^j u_2||_{k,i,j,\sigma} \leq M_{k,i}^j(||u_1||_{\sigma}, ||u_2||_{\sigma}) \cdot ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma}$ for
where the coefficients $\lambda_{k,j}$ and $M_{k,i}^j$ satisfy the following cor
(B4) $\lambda_{k,j} \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+)$, $\lambda_{k,j}^i$ is decreasing,
-
- (B5) $M_{k,i}^j \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+), M_{k,i}^j(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ is increasing in ρ_1 and ρ_2 .

Concerning the operator G_0 we assume (A1) and (A4).

Theorem 2. Let (1.2) and (2.2), the assumptions (Bi) - (B5) *as well as the assumptions* (A1) and (A4) *be satisfied. Then equation* (2.1) has for every $g \in E$ a *unique solution* $u \in E$. For the solutions u_1 and u_2 corresponding to data g_1 and g_2 , respectively, the estimates

(C1) $||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} \le 6 ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma}$ if $\sigma \ge \tilde{\sigma}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2))$ and $||g_1 - g_2||_{0} \le \tilde{\delta}(f$ *respectively, the estimates f* (1.2) and (2.2), the assumptions (B1) - (B5) as well as the as-

(A4) be satisfied. Then equation (2.1) has for every $g \in E$ a
 E. For the solutions u_1 and u_2 corresponding to data g_1 and g_2 ,
 $6 \parallel g_1 - g_$

nique solution
$$
u \in E
$$
. For the solutions u_1 and u_2 corresponding to data g_1 and g_2 ,
respectively, the estimates
(C1) $||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma} \le 6 ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma}$ if $\sigma \ge \tilde{\sigma}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2))$ and $||g_1 - g_2||_{0} \le \tilde{\delta}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2))$
(C2) $||u_1 - u_2||_{0} \le \frac{6}{\psi(\tilde{\sigma}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2)))} ||g_1 - g_2||_{0}$ if $||g_1 - g_2||_{0} \le \tilde{\delta}(f_1, p(g_1, g_2))$
old. Here $p(g_1, g_2)$ is defined as in Theorem 1, $\tilde{\sigma}(f_1, \cdot) \ge 0$ and $\tilde{\delta}(f_1, \cdot) > 0$ are certain
continuous functions.
Proof. Theorem 2 reduces to Theorem 1 if the operator

$$
G_1 u = \sum_{k=2}^N \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} K_{k,j} [G_{k,1}^j u, ..., G_{k,k}^j u]
$$
(2.3)
atisfies the conditions (A2), (A3) and (A5) with $Q(f) = 0$.

hold. Here $p(g_1, g_2)$ *is defined as in Theorem* 1, $\tilde{\sigma}(f_1, \cdot) \geq 0$ and $\tilde{\delta}(f_1, \cdot) > 0$ are certain *continuous functions.*

Proof. Theorem 2 reduces to Theorem 1 if the operator

duces to Theorem 1 if the operator
\n
$$
G_1 u = \sum_{k=2}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} K_{k,j} [G_{k,1}^j u, ..., G_{k,k}^j u]
$$
\n(2.3)
\n2), (A3) and (A5) with $Q(f) = 0$.
\nand (2.2) imply
\n
$$
..., f_k |||_{\sigma} \leq \lambda_{k,j}(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{l \neq i} ||f_l||_{k,l,j,p_l} \cdot ||f_l||_{k,i,j,0}
$$
\n(2.4)

satisfies the conditions (A2), (A3) and (A5) with $Q(f) = 0$.

The assumptions (B2) and (2.2) imply

$$
||K_{k,j}[f_1,...,f_k]||_{\sigma} \leq \lambda_{k,j}(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{l \neq i} ||f_l||_{k,l,j,p_l} \cdot ||f_i||_{k,i,j,0}
$$
 (2.4)

for $f_l \in E_{k,l}^j$ and $p_l \in \{0,\sigma\}$ $(1 \leq l \leq k, \sigma \geq 0, i = 1,...,k)$. Condition (A2) is a simple consequence of assumption (B4) and (2.4) with $(p_1, ..., p_k) = (0, ..., 0)$.

Let us show condition (A3). Due to the multilinearity of $K_{k,j}$ we can write

$$
||K_{k,j}[f_1, ..., f_k]||_{\sigma} \leq \lambda_{k,j}(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{l \neq i} ||f_l||_{k,l,j,p_l} \cdot ||f_i||_{k,i,j,0}
$$

$$
\vdots
$$

$$
\
$$

where $l'_p = (l_1, ..., l_{p-1}, l_{p+1}, ..., l_k)$ with all $l_s \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$
\chi_s^l = \begin{cases} G_{k,s}^j u_2 - G_{k,s}^j f & \text{if } l_s = 1 \text{ and } s \leq p-1 \\ G_{k,s}^j u_1 - G_{k,s}^j f & \text{if } l_s = 1 \text{ and } s \geq p+1 \\ G_{k,s}^j f & \text{if } l_s = 0 \end{cases}
$$

and u_1, u_2 and f are arbitrary elements in E . Taking into account the assumptions (B1) - (B3), we can estimate as follows:

On a Class of Multilinear Operator Equations
\nand
$$
u_1, u_2
$$
 and f are arbitrary elements in E . Taking into account the assumptions (B1)
\n- (B3), we can estimate as follows:
\n
$$
\left\| K_{k,j} [G_{k,1}^j u_1, \ldots, G_{k,k}^j u_1] - K_{k,j} [G_{k,1}^j u_2, \ldots, G_{k,k}^j u_2] \right\|_{\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{p=1}^k \left\{ c_{k,j} \cdot M_{k,1}^j (\|u_2\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}) \cdots M_{k,p-1}^j (\|u_2\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}) \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\times \|u_2 - f\|_{\sigma}^{p-1} \cdot M_{k,p}^j (\|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|u_2\|_{\sigma}) \cdot \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
\times M_{k,p+1}^j (\|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}) \cdots M_{k,k}^j (\|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}) \cdot \|u_1 - f\|_{\sigma}^{k-p} + \sum_{l_p' \neq (1, \ldots, 1)} \lambda_{k,j}(\sigma) \cdot \mu_1^l \cdots \mu_{p-1}^l
$$
\n
$$
\times M_{k,p}^j (\|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|u_2\|_{\sigma}) \cdot \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\sigma} \cdot \mu_{p+1}^l \cdots \mu_k^l \right\}
$$
\nfor $u_i, f \in E$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\sigma \geq 0$, where $l_p' = (l_1, \ldots, l_{p-1}, l_{p+1}, \ldots, l_k)$ with all $l_s \in \{0, 1\}$
\nand
\n
$$
\mu_s^l = \begin{cases} M_{k,s}^j (\|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}) \cdot \|u_2 - f\|_{\sigma} \cdot \text{if } l_s = 1 \text{ and } s \leq p-1 \\ M_{k,s}^j (\|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}) \cdot \|u_1 - f\|_{\sigma} \cdot \text{if }
$$

and $\int M^j$ (*Il us*^{II} IIf II) $\lim_{n \to \infty} -f$ II_n, if I

$$
u_i, f \in E \ (i = 1, 2) \text{ and } \sigma \ge 0, \text{ where } l'_p = (l_1, ..., l_{p-1}, l_{p+1}, ..., l_k) \text{ with a}
$$

$$
d
$$

$$
\mu'_s = \begin{cases} M^j_{k,s}(\|u_2\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}) \cdot \|u_2 - f\|_{\sigma} & \text{if } l_s = 1 \text{ and } s \le p-1 \\ M^j_{k,s}(\|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}) \cdot \|u_1 - f\|_{\sigma} & \text{if } l_s = 1 \text{ and } s \ge p+1 \\ \|G^j_{k,s}f\|_{k,s,j,0} & \text{if } l_s = 0. \end{cases}
$$

timating further, we have

$$
K_{k,j} [G^j_{k,1}u_1, ..., G^j_{k,k}u_1] - K_{k,j} [G^j_{k,1}u_2, ..., G^j_{k,k}u_2] \Big\|_{\sigma}
$$

$$
< \overline{M} \cdot \left(||u_1||_p ||u_2||_p ||f||_p ||G^j_{k,1}u_1, ..., G^j_{k,k}u_2 \right)
$$

Estimating further, we have

$$
\mu_s^l = \begin{cases}\n\mathcal{M}_{k,s}^j(\|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}) \cdot \|u_1 - f\|_{\sigma} & \text{if } l_s = 1 \text{ and } s \ge p+1 \\
\|G_{k,s}^j f\|_{k,s,j,0} & \text{if } l_s = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\nEstimating further, we have\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\|K_{k,j}[G_{k,1}^j u_1, ..., G_{k,k}^j u_1] - K_{k,j}[G_{k,1}^j u_2, ..., G_{k,k}^j u_2]\big\|_{\sigma} \\
&\le \overline{M}_{k,j}([|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|u_2\|_{\sigma}, \|f\|_{\sigma}, \|G_{k,1}^j f\|_{k,1,j,0}, \dots, \|G_{k,k}^j f\|_{k,k,j,0}) \\
&\times \left[\sum_{\substack{s_1,s_2 \ge 0 \\ s_1+s_2 \ge s-1}} \|u_1 - f\|_{\sigma}^{s_1} \|u_2 - f\|_{\sigma}^{s_2} + \lambda_{k,j}(\sigma) \cdot \sum_{\substack{s_1,s_2 \ge 0 \\ s_1+s_2 \le k-1}} \|u_1 - f\|_{\sigma}^{s_1} \|u_2 - f\|_{\sigma}^{s_2}\right] \\
&\times \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\sigma}\n\end{aligned}
$$

for $u_i, f \in E$ and $\sigma \ge 0$ where due to assumption (B5) the function $\overline{M}_{k,j}$ is continuous and increasing in each of its arguments. Let us replace the arguments $||u_1||_{\sigma}$, $||u_2||_{\sigma}$ respectively, and take a sum over k, j to get an estimate for the operator G_1 defined by (2.3). We obtain

and Integrating in each of its algorithms. Let us replace the arguments
$$
||u_1||\sigma
$$
, $||u_2||\sigma$
and $||f||_{\sigma}$ of $\overline{M}_{k,j}$ by their majorants $||u_1 - f||_{\sigma} + ||f||_{0}$, $||u_2 - f||_{\sigma} + ||f||_{0}$ and $||f||_{0}$, respectively, and take a sum over k, j to get an estimate for the operator G_1 defined by
(2.3). We obtain

$$
||G_1u_1 - G_1u_2||_{\sigma} \le \overline{M}_1(f, ||u_1 - f||_{\sigma}, ||u_2 - f||_{\sigma})
$$

$$
\times \left[\sum_{\substack{i_1,i_2 \ge 0 \\ i \le i_1 + i_2 \le N-1}} ||u_1 - f||_{\sigma}^{s_1} \cdot ||u_2 - f||_{\sigma}^{s_2} \right]
$$

$$
+ \lambda(\sigma) \sum_{\substack{i_1,i_2 \ge 0 \\ i_1 + i_2 \le N-1}} ||u_1 - f||_{\sigma}^{s_1} \cdot ||u_2 - f||_{\sigma}^{s_2} \right] \cdot ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma}
$$

for $u_i, f \in E$ and $\sigma \geq 0$ where due to the mentioned properties of $\overline{M}_{k,j}$, the continuity of $G_{k,i}^j$ and assumption (B4) the coefficients \overline{M}_1 and λ satisfy the conditions

$$
\overline{M}_1 \in C(E \times \mathbb{R}_+^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{M}_1(f, \rho_1, \rho_2) \text{ is increasing in } \rho_1, \rho_2
$$

and

$$
C(E \times \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+)
$$
 and $\overline{M}_1(f, \rho_1, \rho_2)$ is increasing in

$$
\lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+), \quad \lambda \text{ is decreasing, } \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \lambda(\sigma) = 0.
$$

Hence there follow the assumptions (A3) and (A5) with $Q(f) = 0$ and an arbitrary ρ_0 . The Theorem is proved \blacksquare

3. Equations with generalized convolution operators

As an example for a multilinear operator we deal with the following integral operator of generalized convolution type:

follow the assumptions (A3) and (A5) with
$$
Q(f) = 0
$$
 and an arbitrary ρ_0 .
\ni is proved
\ni is proved
\n**W**
\ni is proved
\n**W**
\ni as proved
\n**W**
\ni as proved
\n**W**
\n**W**<

where

$$
x_{1}(j_{1},...,j_{k})(x) = \int_{0}^{x_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{m} (x,y) \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(a_{i}x - p_{i}y_{i}y_{i}) \cdots dy_{n}
$$

\n
$$
x = (x_{1},...,x_{n}), y = (y_{1},...,y_{n}) \in D = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (0,X_{j}) \qquad (0 < X_{j} < \infty).
$$

\nlet the operator K in the spaces $E = C(\overline{D})$ and $E = L_{\infty}(D)$ (for mo
\ncp. [1]). The function m should have the form
\n
$$
m(x,y) = m_{0}(x,y) \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}(\alpha_{i}x - \beta_{i}y)
$$

\n
$$
\vdots C(\overline{D} \times \overline{D}) \text{ or } m_{0} \in L_{\infty}(D \times D), \text{ respectively. The parameters}
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_{i} = (\alpha_{i}^{1}, ..., \alpha_{i}^{n}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_{i} = (\beta_{i}^{1}, ..., \beta_{i}^{n})
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_{i}x = (\alpha_{i}^{1}x_{1}, ..., \alpha_{i}^{n}x_{n}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_{i}y = (\beta_{i}^{1}y_{1}, ..., \beta_{i}^{n}y_{n})
$$

\nwhere we suppose the componentwise inequalities

We consider the operator *K* in the spaces $E = C(\overline{D})$ and $E = L_{\infty}(D)$ (for more general spaces L_p cp. [1]). The function m should have the form

\n- \n The function
$$
m
$$
 should have the form\n
$$
m(x, y) = m_0(x, y) \prod_{i=1}^k m_i(\alpha_i x - \beta_i y)
$$
\n
$$
\times \overline{D}
$$
\n or\n
$$
m_0 \in L_\infty(D \times D)
$$
, respectively. The parameters\n
$$
\alpha_i = (\alpha_i^1, \ldots, \alpha_i^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = (\beta_i^1, \ldots, \beta_i^n)
$$
\n
$$
\alpha_i = (\alpha_i^1 x_1, \ldots, \alpha_i^n x_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i y = (\beta_i^1 y_1, \ldots, \beta_i^n y_n)
$$
\n we suppose the componentwise inequalities\n
$$
0 < \beta_i \leq \alpha_i \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_i - 1 \leq \beta_i < 0 \leq \alpha_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq k)
$$
\n
\n- \n The equation $\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \leq \alpha_i \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ \n
\n

with $m_0 \in C(\overline{D} \times \overline{D})$ or $m_0 \in L_\infty(D \times D)$, respectively. The parameters

$$
\alpha_i = (\alpha_i^1, ..., \alpha_i^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = (\beta_i^1, ..., \beta_i^n)
$$

$$
\alpha_i x = (\alpha_i^1 x_1, ..., \alpha_i^n x_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i y = (\beta_i^1 y_1, ..., \beta_i^n y_n)
$$

are in \mathbb{R}^n where we suppose the componentwise inequalities

$$
0 < \beta_i \le \alpha_i \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_i - 1 \le \beta_i < 0 \le \alpha_i \qquad (1 \le i \le k) \tag{3.3}
$$

and

$$
n(x, y) = m_0(x, y) \prod_{i=1} m_i(\alpha_i x - \beta_i y)
$$
(3.2)
\n
$$
n_0 \in L_{\infty}(D \times D),
$$
 respectively. The parameters
\n
$$
(\alpha_i^1, ..., \alpha_i^n)
$$
 and $\beta_i = (\beta_i^1, ..., \beta_i^n)_n$
\n1, ..., $\alpha_i^n x_n$) and $\beta_i y = (\beta_i^1 y_1, ..., \beta_i^n y_n)$
\nsee the componentwise inequalities
\n
$$
a_i \text{ or } \alpha_i - 1 \leq \beta_i < 0 \leq \alpha_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq k)
$$
(3.3)
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \geq 0
$$
(3.4)
\n
$$
\text{if } 0 \leq y \leq x \leq X, X = (X_1, ..., X_n).
$$

so that $0 \leq \alpha_i x - \beta_2 y \leq X$ if $0 \leq y \leq x \leq X, X = (X_1, ..., X_n).$

The operator *K* is defined on $F = \prod_{i=1}^{k} E_i$, $E_i = L_{p_i}(D)$ $(1 \leq p_i \leq \infty)$, and we assume that $m_i \in L_{q_i}(D)$ $(1 \leq q_i < \infty)$ where

On a Class of Multilinear Operator Equations 945
defined on
$$
F = \prod_{i=1}^{k} E_i
$$
, $E_i = L_{p_i}(D)$ $(1 \le p_i \le \infty)$, and we
 $(1 \le q_i < \infty)$ where

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{r_i} = 1
$$
 and
$$
\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{q_i}
$$
 (3.5)
se assumptions we have $K \in (F \to E)$ in both cases $E = C(\overline{D})$

with $r_i < \infty$. Due to these assumptions we have $K \in (F \to E)$ in both cases $E = C(\overline{D})$

with $r_i < \infty$. Due to these assumptions we have $K \in (F \to E)$ in both cases $E = C(\overline{D})$
and $E = L_{\infty}(D)$; for the proof in case $E = C(\overline{D})$ compare [1: Section 10/Theorem 1].
We have to show that the operator *K* fulfils th We have to show that the operator *K* fulfils the assumptions (B1) and (B2) with (B4) in suitably chosen scales of norms in *E* and *F*. For this purpose we use the well-known norms with exponential weights
 $||u||_{\sigma} = ||e^{-\sigma |x|}u||_{E}$ and $||f_{i}||_{i,\sigma} = ||e^{-\sigma |x|}f_{i}||_{E_{i}}$ ($\sigma \ge 0$) (3.6) well-known norms with exponential weights ably chosen scales of
norms with exponential
 $||u||_{\sigma} = ||e^{-\sigma |x|}u||_E$

$$
||u||_{\sigma} = ||e^{-\sigma |x|}u||_{E} \quad \text{and} \quad ||f_i||_{i,\sigma} = ||e^{-\sigma |x|}f_i||_{E_i} \qquad (\sigma \ge 0) \tag{3.6}
$$

where $|x| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j$. These norms fulfil condition (1.2) with $\psi(\sigma) = \exp(-\sigma|X|)$ and condition (2.2), respectively.

There holds $|m_0| \leq M_0$ with a positive constant M_0 and by (3.4) we have

own norms with exponential weights
\n
$$
||u||_{\sigma} = ||e^{-\sigma |x|}u||_{E} \text{ and } ||f_{i}||_{i,\sigma} = ||e^{-\sigma |x|}f_{i}||_{E_{i}} \qquad (\sigma \ge 0)
$$
\n
$$
|x| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}.
$$
 These norms fulfill condition (1.2) with $\psi(\sigma) = \exp(-\sigma)$ on (2.2), respectively.
\nere holds $|m_{0}| \le M_{0}$ with a positive constant M_{0} and by (3.4) we have
\n
$$
e^{-\sigma |x|} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{-\sigma |\alpha_{i} x - \beta_{i} y|} \exp\left(-\sigma \left| \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}\right) x\right| \right) \exp\left(-\sigma \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i} y\right| \right)
$$
\n
$$
\le \prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{-\sigma |\alpha_{i} x - \beta_{i} y|}.
$$

Hence using the Holder inequality in view of (3.5), we obtain

$$
||u||_{\sigma} = ||e^{-\sigma |x|}u||_{E} \text{ and } ||f_{i}||_{i,\sigma} = ||e^{-\sigma |x|}f_{i}||_{E_{i}} \qquad (\sigma \ge 0) \qquad (3
$$

ere $|x| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}$. These norms fulfill condition (1.2) with $\psi(\sigma) = \exp(-\sigma |X|)$ a
diition (2.2), respectively.
There holds $|m_{0}| \le M_{0}$ with a positive constant M_{0} and by (3.4) we have

$$
e^{-\sigma |x|} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{-\sigma |\alpha_{i} x - \beta_{i} y|} \exp\left(-\sigma \left| \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}\right) x\right| \right) \exp\left(-\sigma \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i} y\right| \right)
$$

$$
\le \prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{-\sigma |\alpha_{i} x - \beta_{i} y|}.
$$
nce using the Hölder inequality in view of (3.5), we obtain

$$
||K[f_{1},...,f_{k}]||_{\sigma}
$$

$$
\le M_{0} \operatorname*{ess} \sup_{x \in D} \int_{0}^{x_{n}} ... \int_{0}^{x_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |m_{i}(\alpha_{i} x - \beta_{i} y) f_{i}(\alpha_{i} x - \beta_{i} y)| e^{-\sigma |\alpha_{i} x - \beta_{i} y|} dy_{1} ... dy_{n}
$$

$$
\le C_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\int_{D} |m_{i}(z) f_{i}(z)|^{r_{i}} e^{-\sigma |z| r_{i}} dz_{1} ... dz_{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_{i}}}
$$
th
th

with

$$
C_0 = M_0 \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\prod_{j=1}^n |\beta_i^j|^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}.
$$

Again by the Hölder inequality there hold the estimations

$$
\leq C_0 \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\int_D |m_i(z)f_i(z)|^{r_i} e^{-\sigma |z| r_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}
$$

$$
C_0 = M_0 \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\prod_{j=1}^n |\beta_i^j|^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}.
$$

$$
\int_D |m_i(z)f_i(z)|^{r_i} e^{-\sigma |z| r_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \leq M_i^{r_i} \left(\int_D |f_i(z)|^{p_i} e^{-\sigma |z| p_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \right)^{\frac{r_i}{p_i}}
$$

with $M_i = (\int_D |m_i(z)|^{q_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n)^{\frac{1}{q_i}}$ and

946 J. Janno and L. v. Wolfersdor
\nwith
$$
M_i = \left(\int_D |m_i(z)|^{q_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n\right)^{\frac{1}{q_i}}
$$
 and
\n
$$
\int_D |m_i(z) f_i(z)|^{r_i} e^{-\sigma |z| r_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \leq N_i^{r_i}(\sigma) \left(\int_D |f_i(z)|^{p_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n\right)^{\frac{r_i}{p_i}}
$$
\nwith $N_i(\sigma) = \left(\int_D |m_i(z)|^{q_i} e^{-\sigma |z| q_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n\right)^{\frac{1}{q_i}}$ if $p_i < \infty$ (besides $q_i, r_i < \infty$). There-

fore we have the desired inequalities

Wolfersdorf
\n
$$
dz_1 \cdots dz_n \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_i}} \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
z^{-\sigma |z|r_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \leq N_i^{r_i}(\sigma) \left(\int_D |f_i(z)|^{p_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \right)^{\frac{r_i}{p_i}}
$$
\n
$$
|q_i e^{-\sigma |z|q_i} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_i}} \text{ if } p_i < \infty \text{ (besides } q_i, r_i < \infty\text{). Therefore,}
$$
\n
$$
||K[f_1, ..., f_k]||_{\sigma} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^k ||f_i||_{i,\sigma} \qquad (3.7)
$$
\n
$$
||f_k||_{\sigma} \leq \lambda(\sigma) \prod_{l \neq i} ||f_l||_{l,\sigma} \cdot ||f_i||_{i,0} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq k) \qquad (3.8)
$$
\n
$$
..., k \} \left(\prod_{l \neq i} M_l \cdot N_i(\sigma) \right) \text{ where by the Lebesgue dominant constant.}
$$

with $C = C_0 \prod_{i=1}^k M_i$ and

$$
||K[f_1, ..., f_k]||_{\sigma} \leq \lambda(\sigma) \prod_{l \neq i} ||f_l||_{l, \sigma} \cdot ||f_i||_{i, 0} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq k)
$$
 (3.8)

with $\lambda(\sigma) = C_0 \cdot \max_{i \in \{1, ..., k\}} \left(\prod_{l \neq i} M_l \cdot N_i(\sigma) \right)$ where by the Lebesgue dominant convergence theorem $N_i(\sigma) \to 0$ as $\sigma \to \infty$, hence also $\lambda(\sigma) \to 0$ as $\sigma \to \infty$. Corresponding inequalities hold in case $p_i = \infty$ f vergence theorem $N_i(\sigma) \to 0$ as $\sigma \to \infty$, hence also $\lambda(\sigma) \to 0$ as $\sigma \to \infty$. Corresponding inequalities hold in case $p_i = \infty$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

We point out the particular case $k = p + 1$ ($p \ge 1$) with

$$
\alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = -\frac{1}{p} \quad (1 \le i \le p) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha_k = \beta_k = 1
$$

of (3.3) and (3.4), which leads for $f_i = u$ ($1 \le i \le k$) to the power operator of convolution type

$$
K_p[u] = \bigcup_{l \neq i} M_l \cdot N_i(\sigma) \text{ where } u \in [-1, ..., k]
$$
\n
$$
K_i(\sigma) \to 0 \text{ as } \sigma \to \infty, \text{ hence also } \lambda(\sigma) \to 0 \text{ as } \sigma \to \infty. \text{ Corresponding}
$$
\n
$$
K_i(\sigma) \to 0 \text{ as } \sigma \to \infty, \text{ hence also } \lambda(\sigma) \to 0 \text{ as } \sigma \to \infty. \text{ Corresponding}
$$
\n
$$
K_p[u] = \frac{1}{p} \quad (1 \leq i \leq p) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha_k = \beta_k = 1
$$
\nwhich leads for $f_i = u \left(1 \leq i \leq k\right)$ to the power operator of convolution\n
$$
K_p[u] = \int_0^{x_n} \dots \int_0^{x_1} m(x, y) u^p \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) u(x - y) dy_1 \cdots dy_n. \tag{3.9}
$$
\n
$$
K_0(\sigma) = \int_0^{x_n} \dots \int_0^{x_1} m(x, y) u^p \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) u(x - y) dy_1 \cdots dy_n. \tag{3.9}
$$
\n
$$
K_p[u] = \int_0^{x_n} \dots \int_0^{x_1} m(x, y) u^p \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) u(x - y) dy_1 \cdots dy_n. \tag{3.9}
$$
\n
$$
K_p[u] = \int_0^{x_n} \dots \int_0^{x_1} m(x, y) u^p \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) u(x - y) dy_1 \cdots dy_n. \tag{3.9}
$$
\n
$$
K_p[u] = \int_0^{x_n} \dots \int_0^{x_1} m(x, y) u^p \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) u(x - y) dy_1 \cdots dy_n. \tag{3.9}
$$
\n
$$
K_p[u] = \int_0^{x_n} \dots \int_0^{x_1} m(x, y) u^p \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) u(x - y) dy_1 \cdots dy_n. \tag{3.9}
$$
\n
$$
K_p[u] = \int_0^{x_n} \dots \int_0^{x_1} m(x, y) u^p \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) u(x - y) dy_1 \cd
$$

Examples of operators $G_{k,i}^{j}$ fulfilling the Lipschitz conditions (B3) with (B5) in the weighted norms (3.6) are also given by powers of functions with deviating argument, for instance. So let us consider in the space $E = C(\overline{D})$ or $E = L_{\infty}(D)$ the operator

$$
(Gu)(x) = up(h(x)) \qquad (p \ge 1, \text{entire})
$$
 (3.10)

where $h \in C_n(\overline{D})$ is a continuous *n*-dimensional vector function satisfying $0 \leq h(x) \leq \frac{x}{p}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}\n0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\text{for the values } G_{k,i}^j \text{ t is the probability of } (B3) \\
\text{for the values } (3.6) \text{ are also given by powers of functions with deviating.} \\
\text{So let us consider in the space } E = C(\overline{D}) \text{ or } E = L_{\infty}(D) \\
\text{the } G = \frac{C(\overline{D})}{D} \text{ if } E = \
$$

i.e. condition (B3) is fulfilled with $M(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \rho_1^j \rho_2^p$

As an application of the foregoing considerations we show that the initial-value

i.e. condition (B3) is fulfilled with
$$
M(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \rho_1^j \rho_2^{p-1-j}
$$
.
\nAs an application of the foregoing considerations we show that the initial-value
\nproblem for the one-dimensional integro-functional-differential equation
\n
$$
v'(t) + \Phi\left(t, v(t), v^2(\frac{t}{2}), ..., v^{n_0}(\frac{t}{n_0})\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_0^t a_0(s, t)v(t - s) ds + \int_0^t b_0(s, t)v'(t - s) ds
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_0^t v(t - s) \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} a_k(s, t)v^k(\frac{s}{k}) ds
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_0^t v'(t - s) \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} b_k(s, t)v^k(\frac{s}{k}) ds
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_0^t v'(t - s) \sum_{k=1}^{n_3} c_k(s, t)[v'(\frac{s}{k})]^k ds = g(t), \quad v(0) = c_0
$$
\n(3.11)

with $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and entire $n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 1$ has a unique solution $u \in C^1[0,T]$ for $g \in C[0,T]$ in any finite interval $[0,T]$ $(T > 0)$, if the functions a_k, b_k and c_k are continuous and the function $\Phi(t, v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n_0})$ is continuous and fulfills a uniform Lipschitz condition in the variables $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n_0})$.

The statement immediately follows from the above results by taking $u = v' \in C[0,T]$ with

$$
v(t) = \int_{0}^{t} u(s) ds + c_0
$$

observing that by the Young

$$
||v_1 - v_2||_{\sigma} \le \min(T, \frac{1}{\sigma}) ||u_1||
$$

as unknown function and observing that by the Young inequality

$$
||v_1 - v_2||_{\sigma} \leq \min(T, \frac{1}{\sigma}) ||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma}
$$

for the norm (3.6) in $C[0,T]$ (cp. [6: Example 5]). So the term with the function Φ generates an operator of the form G_0 , the integral terms are operators of the form (3.1). Of course, the functions $v^p(\frac{t}{p})$ in (3.11) can be replaced by other operators of the form (3.10). For functional-differential equations of the form (3.11) without integrals cp. [3, 4], for instance.

We finally remark that further examples related to the examples in [6] are possible, also for systems of differential and integral equations and for operators $G'_{k,i}$ with functional dependence on *u.*

References

- [1] Benedek, A. and R. Panzone: *The spaces L" with mixed norm.* Duke Math. J. 28 (1961), $301 - 324$.
- [2] Bukhgeim, A. L.: *Inverse problems of memory reconstruction.* J. Inv. Ill-Posed Probl. 1 $(1993), 193 - 205.$
- *[3] El'sgol'z, L. E. and S. B. Norkin: Introduction in the Theory of Differential Equations with Deviated Argument* (in Russian). Moscow: Nauka 1971.
- *[4] Hale, J. K.: Theory of Functional Differential Equations.* New York: Springer-Verlag 1977.
- *[5] Wolfersdorf, L. von: A class of multi-dimensional nonlinear Volterra equations of convolution type.* Demonstratio Math. 28 (1995), 807 - 820.
- *[6] Wolfersdorf, L. von and J. Janno: On a class of nonlinear convolution equations.* Z.Anal. Anw. 14 (1995), 497 - 508.

Received 04.07.1996