## **Remark on the Normal Forms of Diversors of Second Order Differential Equations of Normal Hyperbolic Type**

### **M. Burkhardt**

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor Paul Ginther (1926 - 1996)* 

Abstract. With respect to the monograph of P. Günther "Huygens' Principle and Hyperbolic Equations" this paper contains a supplement to diversors of second order differential equations of normal hyperbolic type [3: Chapter IV]. We construct a "normal form" of a diversor and consider the coefficients of this form in a certain neighbourhood of the characteristic backward conoid  $C_{-}(\xi)$  of a point  $\xi$ .

Keywords: *Diversors, Riesz distributions* 

**AMS subject classification:** 35 L 10, 35 A 30, 35 A 08, 58 G 16

Let  $(M,g)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with finite dimension  $m = \dim M > 2$ AMS subject classification: 35 L 10, 35 A 30, 35 A 08, 58 G 16<br>
Let  $(M, g)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with finite dimension  $m = \dim M >$ <br>
whose metric g has Lorentz signature  $\{+,-,\ldots,-\}$ . It is always assumed that M is<br> whose metric g has Lorentz signature  $\{+, -, \ldots, -\}$ . It is always assumed that *M* is of on  $M$ .  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection of  $(M, g)$ . **a** pseudo-Riemannian manifold with finite dimension  $m = \dim M >$ <br>
has Lorentz signature  $\{+, -, ..., -\}$ . It is always assumed that *M* is<br>
ected and satisfying the second axiom of countability; *g* is of class C<br>
ices the Levi-Ci

Let  $\Omega \subseteq M$  be a geodesically normal domain and  $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$  any causal domain in  $\Omega$ (see also [3: p. 15]). We consider any domain  $\Omega$  and choose in  $\Omega$  any coordinate system  $\rho: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $\Omega \subseteq M$  is open. We denote the second order differential operator of normal hyperbolic type of *(M, g),* acting on scalar functions *u,* by *P:* 

$$
P[u] = g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j u + A^i \nabla_i u + Cu
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \right) + A^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} + Cu$   $(i, j = 1, 2, ..., m)$  (1)

and the invariant measure associated to the metric  $g$  by  $\mu$  which is given in these coordinates  $\{x^1, ... x^m\}$  by and the invariant measure associated to the metric g by  $\mu$  which is given<br>coordinates  $\{x^1, ...x^m\}$  by<br> $\mu = \sqrt{g} dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^m$ .<br>Let the point  $\xi \in \Omega$  be fixed. We denote the characteristic conoid by  $C(\xi)$  give<br>equati

$$
\mu=\sqrt{g}\,dx^1\wedge\ldots\wedge dx^m.
$$

Let the point  $\xi \in \Omega$  be fixed. We denote the characteristic conoid by  $C(\xi)$  given by the equation  $\Gamma(\xi, x) = 0$  where  $\Gamma(\xi, x)$  is the quadratic geodesic distance function.

ISSN 0232-2064 / S 2.50 © ileldermann Verlag Berlin

M. Burkhardt: Math. Institut der Universität, Augustuspl. 10/11, D - 04109 Leipzig

## .<br>108 M. Burkhardt

The notion "diversor" is due to L. Asgeirsson [1]. He defines a diversor *D of P* as a differential operator *D,* such that *D o P* can be written as divergence expression on the characteristic conoid  $C(\xi)$ , the vertex  $\xi$  excluded. The notion "diversor" is due to L. Asgeirsson [1]. He defines a diverse<br>differential operator *D*, such that  $D \circ P$  can be written as divergence experatoristic conoid  $C(\xi)$ , the vertex  $\xi$  excluded.<br>Now we consider the due to L. Asgeirsson [1]. He defines a diversor *D* of *P* as a<br>that  $D \circ P$  can be written as divergence expression on the<br>e vertex  $\xi$  excluded.<br>aracteristic backward conoid  $C_{-}(\xi)$ . Let  $\Omega'_{0} \subseteq \Omega_{0}$  be a<br> $= (C_{-}(\xi$ 

Now we consider the characteristic backward conoid  $C_-(\xi)$ . Let  $\Omega'_0 \subseteq \Omega_0$  be a

**Definition 1.** Let  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_0')$  be any test function. A differential operator *D* is said to be a *diversor* of P with respect to  $C_-(\xi)$  if

$$
\int_{C_{-}(\xi)} (D \circ P)[\phi](x)\nu(x) = 0, \qquad (2)
$$

i.e. the distribution  $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega'_0)$  with

function 
$$
\zeta
$$
 characteristic backward conoid  $C_{-}(\xi)$ . Let  $\Omega'_{0} \subseteq \Omega_{0}$  be a  $= (C_{-}(\xi)\setminus\{\xi\}) \cap \Omega_{0}$ , i. e. the vertex  $\xi \notin \Omega'_{0}$ .

\n $\int_{0}^{100} (\Omega'_{0})$  be any test function. A differential operator  $D$  is h respect to  $C_{-}(\xi)$  if

\n
$$
\int_{C_{-}(\xi)} (D \circ P)[\phi](x)\nu(x) = 0,
$$
 (2)

\n $\int_{0}^{100} \int_{0}^{100} \int_{0}^{100} |\phi|(x)\nu(x)$  (3)

\n $\int_{0}^{100} \int_{0}^{100} |\phi|(x)\nu(x)$ 

is a solution of  $P^*[v] = 0$  in  $\Omega'_0$  with supp  $v \subseteq C_-(\xi)\setminus\{\xi\}$  where  $v(x)$  denotes the Leray form of the submanifold  $C_{-}(\xi)$  (see also [3: Chapter II, §2]),  $P^*$  denotes the (invariantly) formally adjoint operator of *P.*   $P^*[v] = 0$  in<br>manifold  $C_-($ <br>t operator of<br>entity (2) is o<br>the submani<br>2. Two div<br> $D_1[\phi](x)$ <br> $(\xi)$ <br> $[\phi]$  is a diver  $\begin{aligned} \n\mathcal{L}(\ell) &= \mathcal{L}(\ell) \cdot \math$ 

Such an identity (2) is only possible if  $(D \circ P)[\phi]$  can be written in divergence form with respect to the submanifold  $C_{-}(\xi)$  in  $\Omega'_{0}$ . **Definition 2.** Two diversors *D*<sub>1</sub> and *D*<sub>2</sub> in  $\Omega'_0$  are called *equivalent* if  $D \circ P$  is only possible if  $(D \circ P)(\phi)$  can be written in diverse to the submanifold  $C_{-}(\xi)$  in  $\Omega'_0$ .<br>**Definition 2.** Two diversors *D* 

**Definition 2.** Two diversors  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  in  $\Omega'_0$  are called *equivalent* if

in identity (2) is only possible if 
$$
(D \circ P)[\phi]
$$
 can be written in div-  
t to the submanifold  $C_{-}(\xi)$  in  $\Omega'_{0}$ .  
\n**bin 2.** Two diversos  $D_{1}$  and  $D_{2}$  in  $\Omega'_{0}$  are called *equivalent* if  
\n
$$
\int_{C_{-}(\xi)} D_{1}[\phi](x)\nu(x) = \int_{C_{-}(\xi)} D_{2}[\phi](x)\nu(x) \qquad (\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega'_{0})),
$$

i.e.  $D_1[\phi] - D_2[\phi]$  is a divergence expression on the characteristic semiconoid  $C(\xi)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\{\bar{x}^1, \bar{x}^2, \ldots, \bar{x}^m\}$  be a local coordinate system in  $\Omega'_0$ , such that  $C_{-}(\xi)$  is given by  $\bar{x}^{1} = 0$ , i.e.

$$
\bar{x}^1 = \Gamma(\xi, x) \bar{x}^\alpha = x^\alpha \quad (\alpha = 2, 3, \ldots, m)
$$

*For each diversor D there exists an equivalent linear differential operator which is called DN of the form*

\n
$$
c_1(x)
$$
\n \n  $c_2(x)$ \n \n  $c_3(x)$ \n \n  $c_4(x)$ \n \n  $c_5(x)$ \n \n  $c_6(x)$ \n \n  $c_7(x)$ \n \n  $c_8(x)$ \n \n  $c_9(x)$ \n \n  $$ 

The coefficients  $w_{K-\nu}$  are of class  $C^{\infty}$  in  $\Omega'_0$  and are uniquely determined on  $C_{-}(\xi)$ . *The form (4) of a diversor is said to be normal form*  $D_N$  *of D of order*  $\kappa$ *.* 

**Proof.** The proof is obvious. The derivates of highest order in  $D[\phi]$  are not all interior derivates  $\partial^{\alpha}/\partial \bar{x}^{\alpha}$  with respect to the manifold  $\bar{x}^1=0$ , consequently, the order of *D* cannot be reduced with the help of integration by parts [3: pp. *270, 271] 1*

**Proposition 2.** To each diversor D of order  $\kappa$  of P with respect to  $C_{-}(\xi)$  there exists an equivalent diversor in normal form  $(4)$  whose "modified coefficients"  $W_{\nu}$  with

$$
W_{\nu} := \frac{\partial_1 \Gamma(\xi, x)}{\sqrt{g}} w_{\nu} \qquad (\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots \kappa)
$$
 (5)

in  $\Omega_0'$  are given by

$$
g^{ij}\nabla_i \Gamma \nabla_j W_0 + (M^* + n - 4 - 2\kappa)W_0 = 0
$$
  

$$
g^{ij}\nabla_i \Gamma \nabla_j W_\nu + (M^* + n - 4 - 2\kappa + 2\nu)W_\nu = \frac{1}{2} P^*[W_{\nu-1}] \quad (\nu = 1, 2, ..., \kappa)
$$
 (6)  

$$
L^*[W_\kappa] = 0 \quad on \quad C_-(\xi)
$$

with

$$
M^*(\xi, x) = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j \Gamma - \frac{1}{2} A^i \nabla_i \Gamma - n
$$

**Proof.** Let  $\Omega_0'' \subseteq \Omega_0'$  be a neighbourhood of  $C_{-}(\xi)$  with the condition  $\partial_1 \Gamma \neq 0$ .  $(\Delta_2 = g^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j$  denotes the 2. Beltrami operator.) In  $\Omega_0''$  we obtain by the (regular) transformation to the coordinates  $\bar{x}^i$ 

$$
\bar{g}^{11} = 4\Gamma, \qquad \bar{g}^{1\beta} = g^{i\beta}\partial_i\Gamma, \qquad \bar{g}^{\alpha 1} = g^{\alpha j}\partial_j\Gamma, \qquad \bar{g}^{\alpha \beta} = g^{\alpha \beta}
$$

$$
\sqrt{g} = |\partial_1\Gamma|\sqrt{\bar{g}}, \qquad \sqrt{\bar{g}} = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{|\partial_1\Gamma|}
$$

$$
\bar{\Gamma}^1 = -\Delta_2\Gamma, \qquad \bar{\Gamma}^{\alpha} = \Gamma^{\alpha} \qquad (\Gamma^i = g^{kj}\Gamma^i_{kj})
$$

$$
\bar{A}^1 = A^i\nabla_i\Gamma, \qquad \bar{A}^{\alpha} = A^{\alpha}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^1} = \frac{1}{|\partial_1\Gamma|} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial_{\alpha}}{|\partial_1\Gamma|} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}}
$$

$$
\bar{g}^{1j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^j} = g^{ij}\nabla_i\Gamma\nabla_j
$$

and by explicit calculations the expression

$$
D \circ P[\phi] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{g}}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\kappa} \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial \Gamma^{\nu}} (w_{\kappa-\nu} \cdot P[\phi])
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{g}}} \cdot \text{Div}[\phi]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{g}}} \phi \left[ P^0[\phi] + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa+1} \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial \Gamma^{\nu}} (P^0[w_{\kappa-\nu}] + (N+4\nu+4)[w_{\kappa-\nu+1}]) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{g}}} \sum_{r=1}^{\kappa} \frac{\partial^r \phi}{\partial \Gamma^r} \left[ \sum_{\nu=r}^{\kappa+1} { \nu \choose r} \frac{\partial^{\nu-r}}{\partial \Gamma^{\nu-r}} (P^0[w_{\kappa-\nu}] + (N+4\nu+4)[w_{\kappa-\nu+1}]) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{g}}} \frac{\partial^{\kappa+1} \phi}{\partial \Gamma^{\kappa+1}} \cdot (N+4\kappa+8)[w_0]
$$

# **110 M. Burkhardt**   $\frac{1}{2}$

with

Butkhardt

\n
$$
N[φ] := -2 \frac{\partial(\bar{g}^{1j}φ)}{\partial \bar{x}^{j}} + (\bar{A}^{1} - \bar{\Gamma}^{1})φ
$$
\n
$$
= -2 \frac{\sqrt{g}}{|\partial_{1}\Gamma|} g^{ij} \nabla_{i}\Gamma \nabla_{j} \left(\frac{|\partial_{1}\Gamma|}{\sqrt{g}} φ\right) + (-\Delta_{2}\Gamma + (\nabla_{i}\Gamma)A^{i})φ \qquad (7)
$$
\n
$$
(N + k)[φ] := N[φ] + kφ \qquad (k ∈ ℕ)
$$
\n
$$
P^{0}[φ] := \sqrt{\bar{g}} P^{*} \left[\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\bar{g}}}\right] = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{|\partial_{1}\Gamma|} P^{*} \left[\frac{|\partial_{1}\Gamma|}{\sqrt{g}} φ\right]. \qquad (9)
$$
\n2) we obtain that the coefficient  $w_{0}$  satisfies the equation

\n
$$
(N + 4κ + 8)[w_{0}] = 0 \qquad (10)
$$
\n
$$
C_{-}(\xi)
$$
. Now (10) (and  $w_{0}$ ) can be extended to  $\Omega'_{0}$ . (It is a transition to an  
\ndiversor.) Successively, in  $\Omega'_{0}$  we obtain that the coefficients  $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{s}$ .

$$
(N+k)[\phi] := N[\phi] + k\phi \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N})
$$
 (8)

$$
P^{0}[\phi] := \sqrt{\bar{g}} P^* \left[ \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\bar{g}}} \right] = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{|\partial_1 \Gamma|} P^* \left[ \frac{|\partial_1 \Gamma|}{\sqrt{g}} \phi \right]. \tag{9}
$$

Because (2) we obtain that the coefficient  $w_0$  satisfies the equation

$$
(N+4\kappa+8)[w_0]=0\tag{10}
$$

at first on  $C_-(\xi)$ . Now (10) (and  $w_0$ ) can be extended to  $\Omega'_0$ . (It is a transition to an equivalent diversor.) Successively, in  $\Omega'_0$  we obtain that the coefficients  $w_1, w_2, ..., w_8$ <br>
are solutions of<br>  $(N + 4\kappa - 4\nu + 8) = -P^0[w_{\nu-1}],$  (11)<br>
and, finally,<br>  $P^0[w_8) = 0$  on  $C_-(\xi)$ . (12)<br>
Consequently from (10) (1 are solutions of ow (10) (and  $w_0$ ) can be extended to<br>
Successively, in  $\Omega'_0$  we obtain that t<br>  $(N + 4\kappa - 4\nu + 8) = -P^0[w_{\nu-}$ <br>  $P^0[w_{\kappa}) = 0$  on  $C_{-}(\xi)$ .<br>
(10), (11), (12) and with respect to (<br>
order  $\kappa = \frac{n-4}{2}$  a comparision of

$$
(N+4\kappa-4\nu+8)=-P^{0}[w_{\nu-1}], \qquad (11)
$$

and, finally,

$$
P^{0}(w_{\kappa}) = 0 \qquad \text{on} \quad C_{-}(\xi). \tag{12}
$$

Consequently, from (10), (11), *(12)* and with respect to (7), (8), (9) the assertion fol $lows$ 

In the case of order  $\kappa = \frac{n-4}{2}$  a comparision of (6) with the equations for the amard coefficients  $V_{\nu}$  of the Riesz distributions (see also [5, 7, 8]) Hadamard coefficients  $V_{\nu}$  of the Riesz distributions (see also [5, 7, 8])

(13 <sup>9</sup> <sup>1</sup> v1 rvw *+ (M +2v)V = \_P\*[W\_ <sup>1</sup> ] (v* = 1,2,...) *W,x)* <sup>=</sup>( -i'1 V(,x). *(14) '* 

shows the relations

$$
W_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (-1)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
 (14)

Consequently, in  $\Omega_0'' \subseteq \Omega_0'$  the coefficients  $w_{\nu}$  are smooth.

Now we consider (2), respectively (3), but  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_0)$  (vertex  $\xi \in \Omega_0!$ ):

$$
g^{ij}\nabla_i \Gamma \nabla_j W_0 + M^* V_0 = 0
$$
\n
$$
\nabla_j W_{\nu} + (M^* + 2\nu)V_{\nu} = -P^*[W_{\nu-1}] \qquad (\nu = 1, 2, ...)
$$
\n
$$
W_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (-1)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (1)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (14)
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (12)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (15)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (14)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (14)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (14)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (14)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (14)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (14)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (14)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (14)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (12)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{\nu}} V_{\nu}(\xi, x).
$$
\n
$$
V'_{\nu}(\xi, x) = (13)^
$$

with *D* in normal form (4) with (5) and (6). However, because these singularities of  $w_{\nu}$ (for  $x \to \xi$  on  $C_-(\xi)$ ) are algebraic, it is possible to show (see [2: pp. 21, 22, 53]) that the integral (15) exists or can be regularized (in the sense of distributions). Consequently, the distribution  $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_0')$  in (3) can be extended to a distribution  $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_0)$  over  $\Omega_0$ . Then the results about diversors in  $[3: Chapter IV, §3]$  of P. Günther are applicable.

ł,

## References

- [1] Asgeirsson, L.: *Some hints on Huygens' principle and Hadamards conjecture.* Comm. Pure AppI. Math. 9 (1956), 307 - 326.
- [2] Gelfand, I. M. and G. E. Schilow: *Verallgemeinerte Funktionen (Distributionen).* Band I. Berlin: Dt. Verlag Wiss. 1967.
- [3] Günther, P.: *Huygens' Principle and Hyperbolic Equations* (Perspectives in Mathematics: Vol. 5). Boston: Academic Press 1988.
- *[4) Gunther, P.: Ober die Darbouzsche Differentialgleichung mit variablen Koeffizienten.*  Math. Nachr. 22 (1965), 285 - 321.
- [5] Gunther, P. and V. Wünsch: *Mazwellsche Gleichungen und Huygenssches Prinzip.* 'Part I. Math. Nachr. 63 (1974), 97 - 121.
- *[6] Hadamard, J.: Lectures on Cauchy's Problem in Linear Partial Differential Equations.*  New Haven: Yale University Press 1923.
- *[7] Riesz, M.: L' intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le probl'eme de Cauchy.* Acta Math. 81  $(1949)$ , 1 – 223.
- [8] Schimming, R.: *Das Huygenssche Prinzip bei linearen hyperbolischen Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung fur allgemeine Felder.* Beitr. Anal. 11(1978), 45 - 90.
- [9] Schwartz, L.: *Theórie des distributions.* Tome 1 et 2. Paris: Hermann 1951 1957.

Received 06.06.1996