## Differentiability Properties of the Autonomous Composition Operator in Sobolev Spaces

M. Lanza de Cristoforis

Abstract. In this paper, we study the autonomous composition operator, which takes a pair of functions (f,g) into its composite function  $f \circ g$ . We assume that f and g belong to Sobolev spaces defined on open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and we concentrate on the case in which the space for g is a Banach algebra. We give a sufficient condition in order that the composition maps bounded sets to bounded sets, and we exploit the density of the polynomial functions in the space for fin order to prove that for suitable Sobolev exponents of the spaces for f and g, the composition is continuous and differentiable with continuity up to order r, with  $r \ge 1$ . Then we show the optimality of such conditions by means of theorems of 'inverse' type.

Keywords: Superposition operators, nonlinear operators, Sobolev spaces AMS subject classification: Primary 47 H 30, secondary 46 E 35

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we study continuity and r-th order differentiability properties of the autonomous composition operator defined by

$$(f,g) \longmapsto f \circ g \qquad \left(f \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1), g \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n\right) \tag{1}$$

where  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $g(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$ , and where  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  and  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  denote Sobolev spaces of exponents  $m_1+r$ ,  $p_1$  and m, p, respectively. We note that, in general, the composition of an equivalence class of functions of  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  with an element of  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  which maps  $\Omega$  into  $\Omega_1$  does not make sense. Indeed, the representatives of the elements of  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  are defined only up to a set of measure zero. Accordingly, we will be able to consider the composition in (1) only for g's such that the g-preimage of a set of measure zero has measure zero. Even by taking r = 0, and by composing  $f \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  with a smooth g, we cannot expect that, in general,  $f \circ g$  could be more regular than a function of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . Thus, as a range space, we choose  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . Similarly, in order to have  $f \circ g \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , we must require, in general, that g is at least as regular as f. Thus we choose  $m_1 \leq m$  and  $p_1 \leq p$ . To ensure that  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is a Banach algebra, we assume mp > n. To ensure

M. Lanza de Cristoforis: Dip. di Mat. Pura ed Appl., Via Belzoni 7, 35131 Padova, Italia

ISSN 0232-2064 / \$ 2.50 © Heldermann Verlag Berlin

that  $f \circ g \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$  when  $f \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  and  $g \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ , and that the set of g's we consider is open in  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ , we assume that (m-1)p > n.

The main finding of this paper is that the composition operator in (1) is of class  $C^r$  from an appropriate subset of  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . To prove such statement, we exploit the abstract results of Lanza [16], which are in the wake of those of Lanza [15] for Schauder spaces, and some estimates of the Sobolev norm of  $f \circ g$  of the type of those contained in Lanza [13]. Then we show, with the only exception of the case  $(m_1, p_1) = (0, 1)$ , that such statement is optimal, in the sense that if f is a real-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and if  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  were to be of class  $C^r$  from the set of g's for which we have considered (1) to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , then  $f \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The composition operator normally arises in problems of nonlinear analysis, and has been studied by several authors. For extensive references, we refer to the monograph of Appell and Zabrejko [3] and to that of Runst and Sickel [26]. In the Sobolev space setting we mention, in particular, the papers of Marcus and Mizel [17 - 22], Adams [1], Szigeti [28, 29], Valent [31 - 33], Gol'dshtein and Reshetnyak [11], Drábek and Runst [10], Musina [23], Bourdaud and Meyer [7], Bourdaud [4, 5], Bourdaud and Kateb [6], and Sickel [27]. However, as far as considering the differentiability of the composition operator when both the functions f and g belong to a Sobolev space, the author is only aware of the paper of Brokate and Colonius [8], who have proved a first order differentiability statement for the composition operator from a suitable subset of  $W^{1,\infty} \times W^{1,\infty}$  to  $L^p$ , with a finite p and with f and g depending on a single real variable.

#### 2. Preliminaries and notation

We denote the norm on a (real) normed space  $\mathcal{X}$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$  or, in case of no ambiguity, more simply by  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  be normed spaces. We equip the product space  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}} + \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ , while we use the Euclidean norm for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is imbedded into  $\mathcal{Y}$  provided that there exists a continuous linear injective map of  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $\mathcal{Y}$ . By  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  we denote the normed space of the continuous linear maps of  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$  equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on the unit sphere of  $\mathcal{X}$ . For any non-zero natural number s,  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  denotes the normed space of continuous s-linear maps of  $\mathcal{X}^s$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$ . For all standard definitions and theorems of Calculus in normed spaces, we refer the reader to Cartan [9].

Further, N denotes the set of natural numbers including 0. Throughout the paper, n is an element of  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an open subset of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then  $C^r(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{Y})$  denotes the space of r-times continuously differentiable maps of  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Let f be a function. The f-preimage of a set D is denoted  $f^{-}(D)$ . The inverse function of an invertible function f is denoted  $f^{(-1)}$  as opposed to the reciprocal of a real-valued function g or the inverse of a matrix A, which are denoted  $g^{-1}$  and  $A^{-1}$ , respectively. For all R > 0 and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , |x| denotes the Eucledian modulus of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and B(x, R)denotes the ball  $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < R\}$ . A dot '.' denotes the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or the matrix product.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , diam  $[\Omega]$  its diameter and cl $\Omega$  its closure. The space of *m*-times continuously differentiable real-valued functions on  $\Omega$  is denoted by

 $C^{m}(\Omega)$ . We denote by  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  the vector space  $\cap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} C^{m}(\Omega)$  and by  $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$  the space of functions in  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with compact support. Let  $f \in (C^{m}(\Omega))^{n}$ . Then we denote by Df the gradient matrix

$$Df = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}.$$

Further, if  $\beta := (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $|\beta| := \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_n$ , then we set

$$D^{\beta}f:=\frac{\partial^{|\beta|}f}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1}\dots\partial x_n^{\beta_n}}.$$

The subspace of  $C^m(\Omega)$  of functions f such that f and its derivatives  $D^\beta f$  of order  $|\beta| \leq m$  can be extended with continuity to  $cl \Omega$  is denoted  $C^m(cl \Omega)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $C^m(cl \Omega)$  equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C^{m}(\operatorname{cl}\Omega)} := \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \sup_{\operatorname{cl}\Omega} |D^{\beta}f|$$

is a Banach space. Let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . We denote by  $\chi_B$  the characteristic function of B, i.e.  $\chi_B(\xi) = 1$  if  $\xi \in B$  and  $\chi_B(\xi) = 0$  if  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B$ . We say that a function  $\psi$  of  $[0, +\infty)$  to itself is increasing, provided that  $\psi(\rho_1) \leq \psi(\rho_2)$  whenever  $0 \leq \rho_1 < \rho_2$ .

Let  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote by  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  the Sobolev space of the (equivalence classes of) real-valued functions in  $L^{p}(\Omega)$ , which have all distributional derivatives up to order m in  $L^{p}(\Omega)$ . We introduce in  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  its usual norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)} := \sum_{|\beta| \le m, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|D^{\beta}u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$
(2)

As usual,

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(\xi)|^p d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Further,  $W_{loc}^{m,p}(\Omega)$  denotes the space of the (equivalence classes of) functions f in  $\Omega$ , such that  $f \in W^{m,p}(V)$ , for all open and relatively compact subsets V of  $\Omega$ .

We say that an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has the *cone property*, if there exist h > 0 and  $\alpha > 0$  such that, for all points  $\xi \in \partial\Omega$ , there exists an open cone of heigh h, opening  $\alpha$  and vertex  $\xi$  contained in  $\Omega$ . We say that an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is of *class*  $C^{0,1}$  (or that  $\Omega$  is bounded and has the strong Lipschitz property) if  $\Omega$  is bounded and if, locally around each point of  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\partial\Omega$  is a graph of a Lipschitz function and  $\Omega$  lies above the graph. For further details, we refer to Adams [2: p. 66]. It is well-known that if  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^{0,1}$ , then  $\Omega$  has the cone property (cf., e.g., Adams [2: p. 66]).

We collect in the following theorem three well-known results on Sobolev spaces (cf., e.g., Adams [2: Theorem 5.4/p. 97 and Theorem 5.23/p. 115], Valent [33: Theorem 2.2/p. 26] and Reshetnyak [24: Corollary 1/p. 28].) For a standard definition of Banach algebra we refer, for example, to Lanza [16: Definition 2.1].

١,

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $m, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , and mp > n. Then the following statements hold:

(i) If  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{0,1}$ , then  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)})$  is continuously imbedded into  $(C^0(\operatorname{cl}\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{C^0(\operatorname{cl}\Omega)})$ .

(ii) If  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite measure and with the cone property, then  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  equipped with a suitable positive multiple of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}$  is a commutative Banach algebra with unity. Furthermore, for all  $p_1 \in [1, p]$  and  $0 \le m_1 \le$ m, the pointwise multiplication is bilinear and continuous from  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega) \times W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ into  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ .

(iii) Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Each  $u \in W_{loc}^{m,p}(\Omega)$  has a continuous representative  $\tilde{u}$ . The function  $\tilde{u}$  is differentiable in the ordinary sense almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  and the ordinary partial derivative  $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \xi_j}$  of  $\tilde{u}$  is a representative of the  $D_j$ -distributional derivative  $D_j u$  of u, for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** We note that in statement (i) the inclusion is to be understood in the sense that each equivalence class of functions of  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  contains exactly one representative which admits a continuous extension to cl $\Omega$ . Concerning the first part of statement (ii), we note that we have  $||uv||_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)} \leq c||u||_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)} ||v||_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}$  for some constant c > 0 depending on  $\Omega$ , m and p, and that such constant may well be greater than 1. However, we could obtain c = 1 by simply replacing the norm  $|| \cdot ||_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}$  with the equivalent norm  $|| \cdot ||'_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)} = c|| \cdot ||_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}$ .

**Remark 2.3.** If in statement (i) we further assume that (m-1)p > n, then  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is imbedded into  $C^1(cl\Omega)$ . If this is the case, we will identify an element of  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  with its representative of class  $C^1(cl\Omega)$ .

We now note that the representatives of f are defined almost everywhere and that, accordingly, the composition of f with an equivalence class of functions g of  $\Omega$  to  $\Omega_1$ may not make sense. Thus we introduce the following

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_1)$  and  $g \in (L^1_{loc}(\Omega))^n$ . Assume that  $g(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$ , *i.e.* for each fixed representative  $\tilde{g}$  of g, we have  $\tilde{g}(\xi) \in \Omega_1$  for almost all  $\xi \in \Omega$ . We say that the composition of the equivalence classes f and g is well-defined, provided that for all representatives  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  of f, and  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  of g, we have

$$f_1 \circ g_1 = f_2 \circ g_2 \qquad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \tag{3}$$

(note that any of the two hand-sides of equation (3) may be undefined on some subset of measure zero of  $\Omega$ , *i.e.* whenever  $g_i(\xi) \notin \Omega_1$  for  $\xi \in \Omega$ ). In case the composition of fand g is well-defined, we denote by  $f \circ g$  the equivalence class of those functions which are almost everywhere equal to any of the composite functions in (3).

Concerning Definition 2.4, it is perhaps worth to note that if  $g \in (L^1_{loc}(\Omega))^n$  and if  $\tilde{g}(\xi) \in \Omega_1$ , for almost all  $\xi \in \Omega$ , for at least one representative  $\tilde{g}$  of g, then the same holds for all representatives of g, so that we can conclude that  $g(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$ . Also, it is not difficult to realize that the following holds (cf. Lanza [16: Lemma 3.23]). Lemma 2.5. Let  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $g \in (L^1_{loc}(\Omega))^n$  and  $g(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$ . Then the following statements hold.

(i) The composition  $f \circ g$  is well-defined for all  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_1)$  if and only if, for all subsets N of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of measure zero, the preimage  $\tilde{g}^{-}(N)$  has measure zero for all representatives  $\tilde{g}$  of g.

(ii) A representative  $\tilde{g}$  of g has the property that for all subsets N of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of measure zero the preimage  $\tilde{g}^{-}(N)$  has measure zero, if and only if all the representatives of g have the same property.

Thus, we will consider only g's with the property of statement (ii) of Lemma 2.5. If  $g \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  (mp > n), the formula of change of variables in multiple integrals (cf. Marcus and Mizel [19: Corollary 2/p. 791 and Theorem 2/ p. 792]) implies that if  $\tilde{g}$  is the continuous representative of g and if  $M := \{\xi \in \Omega : |\det D\tilde{g}(\xi)| = 0\}$ , then  $\int_{\tilde{g}(M)} d\eta \leq \int_M |\det D\tilde{g}(\xi)| d\xi$ . Thus we must consider g's with  $|\det D\tilde{g}(\xi)| \neq 0$  for almost all  $\xi \in \Omega$ , otherwise  $\tilde{g}$  would not satisfy the property of statement (ii) of Lemma 2.5. Now, in the specific case in which the continuous representative of g is injective and  $|\det Dg|^{-1} \in L^{\gamma}(\Omega)$ , for some  $0 < \gamma \leq +\infty$ , it can be shown (cf. Lanza [13: Theorem 3.2]) that if  $f \in W^{m,p}(\Omega_1)$ , and if  $g \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ , and if the the real number t defined by

$$t := \begin{cases} pn\{m[n-(m-1)p] + n + n\gamma^{-1}\}^{-1} & \text{if } (m-1)p < n, \\ p\{1+\gamma^{-1}\}^{-1} & \text{if } (m-1)p \ge n, \end{cases}$$

satisfies t > 1, then  $f \circ g \in W^{m,t}(\Omega)$ . Here  $\gamma^{-1} := 0$  if  $\gamma = +\infty$ . Since, as announced in the introduction, we require t = p when  $m = m_1$  and  $p = p_1$ , we take  $(m-1)p \ge n$ and  $\gamma = +\infty$ . To satisfy condition  $\gamma = +\infty$ , we assume the existence of some constant c > 0 such that  $|\det Dg| > c$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Since we are interested in the differentiability of the composition operator, we require that the set

$$\left\{g\in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n: |\det Dg| > c \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\right\}$$

be open in the space  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . Such requirement suggests that we should assume the map  $g \mapsto |\det Dg|$  to be continuous from  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  to  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . To ensure such continuity, we take (m-1)p > n. Such considerations indicate that it is for us natural to consider the composition of

$$(f,g)\in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1)\times \left\{g\in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n: \ g(\Omega)\subseteq \Omega_1 \ \text{and} \ |\text{det}Dg|>0 \ \text{a.e.} \ \text{in} \ \Omega\right\}$$

with (m-1)p > n. Then we have the following result, which is in the spirit of Lanza [13: Theorem 3.2]. We note that related results can be found in Gol'dshtein and Reshetnyak [11: Chapter 5].

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $m, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq m_1 \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq p_1 \leq p < +\infty$ , p(m-1) > n,  $0 \leq c < +\infty$ , and  $0 < \lambda \leq +\infty$ . Let  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Omega$  with finite

measure and the cone property. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{m,p,c,\lambda}(\Omega,\Omega_1) = \left\{ g \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n \middle| \begin{array}{l} g(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1, \text{ the unique representative} \\ \tilde{g} \in (C^1(\Omega))^n \text{ of } g \text{ satisfies } |\det D\tilde{g}(\xi)| > 0 \\ \forall \xi \in \Omega, \text{ and } \Gamma(\tilde{g},\eta) \leq \lambda \ \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right\},$$

$$(4)$$

where  $\Gamma(\tilde{g},\eta)$  denotes the (possibly equal to  $+\infty$ ) number of elements of the preimage  $\tilde{g}^{-}(\{\eta\})$ .

Then the following assertions hold:

(i) For all  $0 \le c < +\infty$  and  $0 < \lambda \le +\infty$ , the composition  $f \circ g$  is well-defined for all  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c,\lambda}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  and belongs to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ .

(ii) For each fixed value of  $(c, \lambda) \in (0, +\infty)^2$ , there exists an increasing function  $\psi$  of  $[0, +\infty)$  to itself such that

$$\|f \circ g\|_{W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)} \le \|f\|_{W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1)} \psi(\|g\|_{(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n})$$
(5)

for all  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c,\lambda}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ .

(iii) If  $m_1 > 0$  and  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , then the *j*-th distributional derivative  $D_j(f \circ g)$  of  $f \circ g$  coincides with  $\sum_{l=1}^{n} [(D_l f) \circ g] D_j g_l$ , where  $g := (g_1, ..., g_n)$ .

**Proof.** With our assumptions on  $\Omega$ , m, p and n, the existence of a unique representation of  $\Omega$  and n and n and n and n are existence of  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega$  are existence of  $\Omega$ . tative  $\tilde{g} \in (C^1(\Omega))^n$  of g is guaranteed by the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem (cf. Adams [2: Theorem 5.4/p. 97]). By assumption  $|\det D\tilde{g}| > 0$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\tilde{g}$  is a local diffeomorphism of  $\Omega$  onto  $\tilde{g}(\Omega)$ , and thus  $\tilde{g}^{-}(N)$  has measure zero whenever N is a subset of measure zero of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Indeed, each compact subset of  $\Omega$  can be covered by a finite number of balls, say B, on which g is a diffeomorphism onto its image,  $\tilde{g}_{|B}(N)$  has measure zero and  $\Omega$  is a countable union of compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.5/(i), the composition is well-defined. Since each measurable set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the union of a Borel set and of a subset of measure zero of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can also assert that  $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g}$  is measurable, for all representatives  $\tilde{f}$  of f. Then all representatives of  $f \circ g$  are measurable. It can be easily shown that  $\psi$  as in the statement exists provided that the composition maps bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c,\lambda}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  to bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ (cf. Lanza [16: Proposition 3.11]). Thus to prove the theorem, it suffices to fix  $m \geq 2$ and to show by (finite) induction on  $m_1 \in \{0, ..., m\}$  that whenever (m-1)p > n, the composition maps bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c,\lambda}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  to bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$  and that the chain rule holds when  $m_1 > 0$ .

Let  $m_1 = 1$ . By the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem (cf. Adams [2: Theorem 5.4/p. 97]),  $W^{m-1,p}(\Omega)$  is imbedded into  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Then by using the Hölder inequality and the rule of change of variables in multiple integrals (cf. Marcus and Mizel [19: Theorem

2/p. 792]), we deduce the validity of the inequalities

$$\int_{\Omega} |f \circ \tilde{g}(\xi)|^{p_1} d\xi \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_{\Omega} |f \circ \tilde{g}(\xi)|^{p_1} |\det D\tilde{g}(\xi)| d\xi$$
$$= \frac{1}{c} \int_{\tilde{g}(\Omega)} |f(\xi)|^{p_1} \Gamma(\tilde{g}, \eta) d\eta \qquad (6)_a$$
$$\leq \frac{\lambda}{c} ||f||_{L^{p_1}(\Omega_1)}^{p_1}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| [(D_{l}f) \circ \tilde{g}(\xi)] D_{j} \tilde{g}_{l}(\xi) \right|^{p_{1}} d\xi 
\leq \frac{c'}{c} \|D_{j}g_{l}\|_{W^{m-1,p}(\Omega)}^{p_{1}} \int_{\Omega} |(D_{l}f) \circ \tilde{g}(\xi)|^{p_{1}} |\det D\tilde{g}(\xi)| d\xi 
= \frac{c'}{c} \|D_{j}g_{l}\|_{W^{m-1,p}(\Omega)}^{p_{1}} \int_{\tilde{g}(\Omega)} |D_{l}f(\eta)|^{p_{1}} \Gamma(\tilde{g},\eta) d\eta 
\leq \frac{\lambda}{c} c' \|f\|_{W^{1,p_{1}}(\Omega_{1})}^{p_{1}} \|g\|_{(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^{n}}^{p_{1}}$$
(6)

for some constant c' > 0. Thus, to conclude the proof of the case  $m_1 = 1$ , it suffices to show that the chain rule holds. Since  $\tilde{g}$  is a local diffeomorphism, for all  $P \in \Omega$ , there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\operatorname{cl} B(P,\rho) \subseteq \Omega$  and  $\tilde{g}_{|B(P,\rho)}$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Since each  $f \in W^{1,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  can be approximated by a sequence  $\{f_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $W^{1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega_1)$  (cf., c.g., Adams [2: Theorem 3.16/p. 52]), the validity of the chain rule in  $B(P,\rho)$  follows from the equalities

$$\int_{B(P,\rho)} f(\tilde{g}(\xi)) D_{j} \phi(\xi) d\xi 
= \lim_{s \to +\infty} \int_{\tilde{g}(B(P,\rho))} f_{s}(\eta) [(D_{j}\phi) \circ \tilde{g}_{|B(P,\rho)}^{(-1)}(\eta)] |(\det D\tilde{g}) \circ \tilde{g}_{|B(P,\rho)}^{(-1)}(\eta)|^{-1} d\eta 
= \lim_{s \to +\infty} \int_{B(P,\rho)} f_{s}(\tilde{g}(\xi)) D_{j} \phi(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= -\lim_{s \to +\infty} \int_{B(P,\rho)} \sum_{l=1}^{n} [(D_{l}f_{s}) \circ g(\xi)] D_{j}g_{l}(\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= -\int_{B(P,\rho)} \sum_{l=1}^{n} [(D_{l}f) \circ g(\xi)] D_{j}g_{l}(\xi) \phi(\xi) d\xi$$
(7)

for all  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B(P,\rho))$ , which hold by the rule of change of variables in multiple integrals, by the validity of the chain rule when  $f_s \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_1)$  (cf., e.g., Reshetnyak [24: Theorem 2.8/p. 21]), by the condition  $|\det D\tilde{g}|^{-1} < c^{-1}$  in  $\Omega$ , by the membership of  $D_{lg_j}$  in  $W^{m-1,p}(\Omega)$  (and thus, as remarked above, in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ), by the membership  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B(P,\rho))$ , and by the Hölder inequality. Since the chain rule holds in  $B(P,\rho)$ , a standard argument based on the partition of unity implies the validity of the chain rule in  $\Omega$ . We now assume that the claim holds for  $1 \leq m_1 < m$  and prove it for  $m_1 + 1$ . Since  $W^{m_1+1,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  is imbedded into  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1)$ , then by inductive assumption the composition maps bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1+1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c,\lambda}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  into bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$  and the chain rule holds. By inductive assumption, the map  $(f,g) \mapsto (D_l f) \circ g$  maps bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1+1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c,\lambda}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  into bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . Since  $(m-1)p > n, m_1 \leq m-1$  and  $1 \leq p_1 \leq p$ , Theorem 2.1/(ii) ensures that the pointwise multiplication is continuous from  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega) \times W^{m-1,p}(\Omega)$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , and thus we conclude that the map  $(f,g) \mapsto [(D_l f) \circ g]D_jg_l$  maps bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c,\lambda}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  to bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$  and the proof of the case  $m_1 + 1$  is complete. The case  $m_1 = 0$  follows by the inequality  $\|f \circ g\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega)} \leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{c}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega_1)}$  proved for the case  $m_1 = 1$ 

We point out that our proof of the existence of  $\psi$  as in (5) heavily relies on the assumptions c > 0 and  $\lambda < +\infty$ . Accordingly, one could not deduce from our proof the existence of  $\psi$  as in (5) for  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0,+\infty}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ .

We now state an abstract result that we need to prove our differentiability theorem for the composition. The following includes the content of Lanza [16: Remark 2.5 and Theorem 2.7].

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the space of polynomials in *n* real variables with real coefficients and let  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$  be a norm on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let, for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_r$  be the completion of  $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}_r})$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}_r}$  is the norm on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by

$$\|p\|_{\mathcal{Y}_r} := \sum_{|\beta| \le r, \, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^n} \|D^\beta p\|_{\mathcal{Y}}.$$
(8)

(Sometimes, we write  $\mathcal{Y}$  to denote the space  $\mathcal{Y}_0$ .) Let  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $t - |\beta| = s$ . Then there exists one and only one linear and continuous operator of  $\mathcal{Y}_t$  to  $\mathcal{Y}_s$  which coincides with the ordinary partial derivation of multiindex  $\beta$  on the elements of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By abuse of notation, we shall denote such operator by  $D^{\beta}$ , just as the usual partial derivative of multiindex  $\beta$ . We have

$$D^{\beta}y = \lim_{j \to \infty} D^{\beta}p_{j} \quad in \quad \mathcal{Y}_{s}, \quad whenever \quad \lim_{j \to \infty} p_{j} = y \quad in \quad \mathcal{Y}_{t}. \tag{9}$$

By analogy with the usual derivations, Dy denotes the matrix  $(D_1y, \ldots, D_ny)$ .

We now note that the following assertion holds.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $m_1, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq p_1 < +\infty$ . Let  $\Omega_1$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{0,1}$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}} := \|\cdot\|_{W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1)}$ . Then  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  is a completion of  $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}})$ , with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ , as in (8). Thus, up to a linear homeomorphism, the space  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  coincides with the space  $\mathcal{Y}_r$ . Furthermore, for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $|\beta| \leq r$ , the operator  $D^\beta$  from  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  into  $W^{m_1+r-|\beta|,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  defined in Proposition 2.7 coincides with the distributional derivative of multiindex  $\beta$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\Omega_1$  is of class  $C^{0,1}$ , it is well-known (cf., e.g., Adams [2: p. 67 and Theorem 3.18/p. 54]) that the set of restrictions of the functions of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to cl  $\Omega_1$  is dense in  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$ . By the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, the functions

of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be approximated, uniformly with their derivatives up to order  $m_1 + r$ on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with elements of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (cf., e.g., Rohlin and Fuchs [25: p. 185]). Accordingly,  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  is a completion of  $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}_r})$ . Indeed, the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}_r}$ , with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}} := \|\cdot\|_{W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)}$ , is clearly equivalent to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)}$ on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Furthermore, if  $F \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  is the limit of a sequence  $\{p_j\}$  of polynomials in  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$ , and if  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $|\beta| \leq r$ , then clearly the sequence  $\{D^\beta p_j\}$ converges to the  $D^\beta$ -distributional derivative of F in  $W^{m_1+r-|\beta|,p_1}(\Omega_1)$ , and thus in  $\mathcal{Y}_{r-|\beta|}$ . Accordingly, the operator  $D^\beta$  introduced in Proposition 2.7 coincides with the distributional derivative of multiindex  $\beta \blacksquare$ 

Finally, we need the following abstract result, which has been proved in Lanza [16: Theorems 3.1 and 4.1, and Proposition 4.17]. In order to write the formulas in a coincise way, we put a '' symbol on a term which we wish to suppress. So, for example,  $\xi_1 \cdots \hat{\xi_j} \cdots \xi_s$  denotes  $\prod_{i=1,\dots,s} \xi_i$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$  be a norm on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and let  $\mathcal{Y}_r$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}_r}$  defined in (8). (As above, we write  $\mathcal{Y}$  to denote the space  $\mathcal{Y}_{0.}$ ) Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a real commutative Banach algebra with unity and  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  a real Banach space. Assume that there exists a continuous linear and injective map  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  and let  $(\cdot) * (\cdot)$  be a continuous and bilinear map of  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathcal{X}$  into  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  with '\*' satisfying the condition

$$\mathcal{J}[x_1] * x_2 = \mathcal{J}[x_1 x_2] \quad \text{for all } x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}.$$
(10)

Let A be a subset of  $\mathcal{X}^n$ . Assume that there exists an increasing function  $\psi$  of  $[0, +\infty)$  to itself such that

$$\left\|\mathcal{J}\left[p(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\right]\right\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \leq \|p\|_{\mathcal{Y}}\psi\big(\|(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\|_{\mathcal{X}^n}\big) \tag{11}$$

for all  $(p, (x_1, \ldots, x_n)) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists a unique map  $\tilde{A}$  of  $\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{A}$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  such that the following two conditions hold:

$$A[p,x] = \mathcal{J}[p(x)] \quad \text{for all } (p,x) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{A}, \tag{12}$$

and

for all fixed 
$$x := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}$$
,  
the map  $y \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{A}}[y, x]$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{Y}$  into  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ . (13)

Furthermore, the map  $\tilde{A}[\cdot, x]$  of (13) is linear, and  $\tilde{A}$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{A}$  into  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ , and if  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  with  $y = \lim_{j \to \infty} p_j$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$  for  $p_j \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then

$$\tilde{A}[y,x] = \lim_{j \to \infty} \mathcal{J}[p_j(x)] \quad in \quad \tilde{\mathcal{X}},$$
(14)

and

$$\|\tilde{A}[y,x]\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \le \|y\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \psi(\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}^n}).$$
(15)

If we further assume that A is open, then  $\tilde{A}$  is of class  $C^r$  from  $\mathcal{Y}_r \times A$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ , for all  $r \geq 1$ . If  $r \geq 1$  and  $s \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ , then the differential  $d^s \tilde{A}$  of order s of  $\tilde{A}$  at

 $(y^{\#}, x^{\#}) \in \mathcal{Y}_r \times \mathcal{A}$ , which can be identified with an element of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathcal{Y}_r \times \mathcal{X}^n, \tilde{\mathcal{X}})$ , is delivered by the formula

$$d^{s}\tilde{A}[y^{\#}, x^{\#}]((v_{[1]}, w_{[1]}), \dots, (v_{[s]}, w_{[s]}))$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l_{1}, \dots, \widehat{l_{j}}, \dots, l_{s}=1}^{n} \tilde{A}[D_{l_{s}} \cdots \widehat{D_{l_{j}}} \cdots D_{l_{1}} v_{[j]}, x^{\#}] * (w_{s, l_{s}} \cdots \widehat{w_{j, l_{j}}} \cdots w_{1, l_{1}})$$

$$+ \sum_{l_{1}, \dots, l_{s}=1}^{n} \tilde{A}[D_{l_{s}} \cdots D_{l_{1}} y^{\#}, x^{\#}] * (w_{s, l_{s}} \cdots w_{1, l_{1}})$$
(16)

for all  $(v_{[j]}, w_{[j]} := (w_{j,1}, \ldots, w_{j,n})) \in \mathcal{Y}_r \times \mathcal{X}^n$   $(j = 1, \ldots, s)$ , where the symbols  $l_1, \ldots, l_s$  denote summation indexes ranging from 1 to n. In particular, if s = 1, we have the map

$$(v,w) \mapsto \tilde{A}[v,x^{\#}] + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \tilde{A}[D_{l}y^{\#},x^{\#}] * w_{l}$$
 (17)

for all  $(v, w) := (v, (w_1, \ldots, w_n)) \in \mathcal{Y}_r \times \mathcal{X}^n$ . (The symbol  $D_l$  has been defined in Proposition 2.7.)

# 3. Continuity and differentiability theorems for the composition operator in Sobolev spaces

If  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\Omega$  of class  $C^{0,1}$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with (m-1)p > n, then we introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1) := \left\{ g \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n \middle| \begin{array}{l} g(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1, \text{ the unique represent} \\ \text{tative } \tilde{g} \in (C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n \text{ of } g \\ \text{satisfies } |\det D\tilde{g}(\xi)| > c \; \forall \xi \in \operatorname{cl} \Omega \end{array} \right\}.$$

As we have indicated in the discussion preceding Theorem 2.6,  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  is a natural set for our g's. In order to study the composition on  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  with  $0 \leq m_1 \leq m$  and  $1 \leq p_1 \leq p$ , and to apply Theorem 2.9, we need the existence of a function  $\psi$  as in (11) for  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$ , while Theorem 2.6 guarantees the existence of  $\psi$  only for  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c,\frac{1}{c}}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$ , with c > 0. To circumvent this difficulty, we need the following technical proposition, which is known at least in part.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following statements hold.

(i) Let  $g \in (C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$  be such that  $\operatorname{det} Dg(\xi) \neq 0$  for all  $\xi \in \operatorname{cl} \Omega$ . Assume that there exist R > 0 such that  $\operatorname{cl} \Omega \subseteq B(0, R)$  and  $\gamma \in (C^1(\operatorname{cl} B(0, R)))^n$  such that  $\gamma_{|\operatorname{cl} \Omega} = g$ . Then, for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the number of elements  $\Gamma(g, \eta)$  of the set  $g^-(\{\eta\})$  is finite and

$$C_g := \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(g, \eta) < +\infty.$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

(ii) Let the sequence  $\{g_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  converge to g in  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$  and let  $\det Dg(\xi) \neq 0 \neq \det Dg_l(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in \operatorname{cl} \Omega$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that there exist R > 0 such that  $\operatorname{cl} \Omega \subseteq B(0, R)$  and a sequence  $\{\gamma_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  converging to some  $\gamma$  in  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl} B(0, R)))^n$  such that  $\gamma_{|c|\Omega} = g$  and  $\gamma_{l|c|\Omega} = g_l$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\limsup_{l\to+\infty} C_{g_l} \leq C_g$ .

(iii) Let  $\Omega$  have the  $C^1$ -extension property, i.e. there exist R > 0 such that  $\operatorname{cl} \Omega \subseteq B(0, R)$  and a linear and continuous operator E of  $C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega)$  into  $C^1(\operatorname{cl} B(0, R))$  such that  $(Ef)_{|\operatorname{cl} \Omega} = f$  for all  $f \in C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega)$ . Then, for all  $g \in (C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$  such that  $\operatorname{det} Dg(\xi) \neq 0$  for all  $\xi \in \operatorname{cl} \Omega$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $W_g$  in the Banach space  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$ , with

$$\mathcal{W}_g \subseteq \left\{ h \in (C^1(\operatorname{cl}\Omega))^n : \operatorname{det} Dh(\xi) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \operatorname{cl}\Omega 
ight\},$$

such that

$$\sup_{h \in \mathcal{W}_g} C_h \le C_g. \tag{20}$$

In particular, the integer-valued map  $g \mapsto C_g$  is upper semicontinuous on

$$\left\{h\in (C^1(\operatorname{cl}\Omega))^n: \operatorname{det} Dh(\xi)\neq 0 \text{ for all } \xi\in\operatorname{cl}\Omega\right\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\eta \in g(\operatorname{cl} \Omega)$ . By applying the Inverse Function Theorem to the map  $\gamma$  around the points of  $g^{-}(\{\eta\})$ , we see that the set  $g^{-}(\{\eta\})$  is discrete. Since  $g^{-}(\{\eta\})$  is clearly compact, we conclude that  $\Gamma(g,\eta) < +\infty$  (which is a known fact).

To prove at once both statements (i) and (ii), it suffices to show the following claim: If  $\{g_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  and g are as in statement (ii), and if  $\{\eta_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence converging to  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\limsup_{l\to\infty} \Gamma(g_l,\eta_l) \leq \Gamma(g,\eta)$ . Indeed, if the claim were true, then by taking  $g_l = g$  and  $\gamma_l = \gamma$ , there could be no bounded sequence  $\{\eta_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\{\Gamma(g,\eta_l)\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  converging to infinity, and since  $\Gamma(g,\cdot)$  is zero outside of  $g(c|\Omega)$ , statement (i) would follow. Similarly, there could exist no sequence  $\{g_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  converging to g as in statement (ii) with  $C_{g_l} > C_g$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , otherwise, we would have  $\Gamma(g_l,\eta_l) \geq C_g + 1$  for some bounded sequence  $\{\eta_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ , and by taking a convergent subsequence of  $\{\eta_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ , our claim would yield a contradiction. Thus also statement (ii) would follow.

We now turn to prove our claim. If  $\limsup_{l\to\infty} \Gamma(g_l,\eta_l) > \Gamma(g,\eta)$ , then by possibly selecting a subsequence, we can assume that for each l there exist at least  $t := \Gamma(g,\eta) + 1$  distinct points  $\xi_{l,1}, \ldots, \xi_{l,t}$  of cl $\Omega$  such that  $g_l^{-}(\{\eta_l\}) \supseteq \{\xi_{l,1}, \ldots, \xi_{l,t}\}$ . Since  $(cl \Omega)^t$  is compact, there exists a subsequence  $\{(\xi_{l_k,1}, \ldots, \xi_{l_k,t})\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{(\xi_{l,1}, \ldots, \xi_{l,t})\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  converging to some  $(\overline{\xi}_1, \ldots, \overline{\xi}_t) \in (cl \Omega)^t$ . Then by the inequality

$$\left|g(\bar{\xi}_{j}) - \eta_{l_{k}}\right| \leq \left|g(\bar{\xi}_{j}) - g(\xi_{l_{k},j})\right| + \left|g(\xi_{l_{k},j}) - g_{l_{k}}(\xi_{l_{k},j})\right|$$
(21)

and by taking the limit as  $k \to \infty$ , we obtain  $\eta = g(\overline{\xi}_j)$  for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ . Since  $\Gamma(g,\eta) < t$ , at least two of the points  $\overline{\xi}_j$  must coincide. There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $\overline{\xi}_1 = \overline{\xi}_2 =: \overline{\xi}$ . By the Inverse Function Theorem, there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\operatorname{cl} B(\overline{\xi}, \rho) \subseteq B(0, R)$  and that  $\gamma_{|\operatorname{cl} B(\overline{\xi}, \rho)}$  be injective and satisfy  $\operatorname{det} D\gamma(\xi) \neq 0$  for all  $\xi \in \operatorname{cl} B(\overline{\xi}, \rho)$ . Since

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \gamma_{l_k | \operatorname{cl} B(\overline{\xi}, \rho)} = \gamma_{|\operatorname{cl} B(\overline{\xi}, \rho)} \quad \text{in } \left( C^1(\operatorname{cl} B(\overline{\xi}, \rho)) \right)^n,$$

there exists  $k_0$  such that  $\gamma_{l_k|c|B(\overline{\xi},\rho)}$  is injective for all  $k \ge k_0$  (cf., e.g., Lanza [14: Corollary 4.30]). Since

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\xi_{l_k,1}=\overline{\xi}=\lim_{k\to+\infty}\xi_{l_k,2},$$

we can assume that  $\xi_{l_{k},1} \in \operatorname{cl} B(\overline{\xi},\rho)$  and  $\xi_{l_{k},2} \in \operatorname{cl} B(\overline{\xi},\rho)$  for all  $k \ge k_0$ . Since for all k we have  $\xi_{l_{k},1} \neq \xi_{l_{k},2}$  and  $g_{l_{k}}(\xi_{l_{k},1}) = g_{l_{k}}(\xi_{l_{k},2})$ , then we have a contradiction.

Statement (iii) is a trivial consequence of statement (ii) and of the continuity of the map  $g \mapsto |\det Dg|$  from  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$  to  $C^0(\operatorname{cl} \Omega) \blacksquare$ 

As an application of Theorem 2.9, case r = 0, we now deduce the following fact, a variant of which has already been proved in Lanza [13: Theorem 3.2]. Related continuity results for the composition operator can be found in Marcus and Mizel [22], Valent [32, 33], Drábek and Runst [10], Musina [23], Sickel [27], Runst and Sickel [26].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $m, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \leq m_1 \leq m, 1 \leq p_1 \leq p < +\infty$ , and (m-1)p > n. Let  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\Omega$  be of class of class  $C^{0,1}$ . Then the following statements hold.

(i) The composition  $f \circ g$  is well-defined for all  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ and belongs to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . For each value of c > 0, there exists an increasing function  $\psi$  of  $[0, +\infty)$  to itself such that

$$\|f \circ g\|_{W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)} \le \|f\|_{W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1)} \psi(\|g\|_{(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n}), \tag{22}$$

for all  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ .

(ii) If the set of restrictions to  $\Omega_1$  of the polynomials is dense in  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1)$ , then the composition is continuous from  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** The obvious inclusion  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0,+\infty}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  and Theorem 2.6 imply that the composition of  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  is well-defined and belongs to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . Let R > 0 be such that  $B(0,R) \supseteq cl \Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^{0,1}$ , there exists a linear and continuous extension operator  $\tilde{E}$  of  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  into  $(W^{m,p}(B(0,R)))^n$  (cf., e.g., Jones [12: Theorem A/p. 72]). Now let  $\{(f_l,g_l)\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a bounded sequence of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ , with c > 0, and assume by contradiction that, by possibly selecting a subsequence,  $\lim_{l\to+\infty} ||f_l \circ g_l||_{W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)} = +\infty$ . Since (m-1)p > n, then  $W^{m,p}(B(0,R))$  is compactly imbedded into  $C^1(\operatorname{cl} B(0,R))$ (cf., e.g., Adams [2: Theorem 5.4, Part II/p. 98] together with Lanza [15: Lemma 2.4]), and thus there exists a subsequence  $\{\tilde{E}g_{l_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{\tilde{E}g_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\gamma \in (C^1(\operatorname{cl} B(0,R)))'$ such that  $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \tilde{E}g_{l_k} = \gamma$  in  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl} B(0,R)))^n$ . Obviously,  $|\det D\gamma| \geq c$  in  $\operatorname{cl} \Omega$ . Let  $\tilde{g}_{l_k}$  be the representative of class  $C^1$  of  $g_{l_k}$ . Then by Proposition 3.1 there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $C_{\tilde{g}_{l_k}} \leq C_{\gamma_{l\in \Omega}} < +\infty$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ . Then by Theorem 2.6, we have  $\sup_{k_0 \le k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_{l_k} \circ g_{l_k}\|_{W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)} \le +\infty$ , which is a contradiction. Since the composition maps bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  to bounded sequences of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , it can be easily seen that  $\psi$  as in statement (i) exists (cf. Lanza [16: Proposition 3.11]). Clearly,  $\psi$  may well depend on c. Since  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is imbedded into  $C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega)$  and the map  $g \mapsto |\operatorname{det} Dg|$  is continuous from  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$  into  $C^0(\operatorname{cl} \Omega)$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  is open in  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  for all c > 0, and  $\bigcup_{c>0} \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1) =$ 

 $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ . Then the continuity of the composition on  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  follows from that on  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  for all c > 0, which in turn follows from statement (i) and Theorem 2.9, case r = 0

Now, we note that, in general,  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  is not open in  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . Indeed, a map  $g^*$  may be close to some  $g \in \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  in the norm of  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ , but the condition  $g^*(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$  may well be violated. Thus, in order to study differentiability properties of the composition, we introduce a suitable open subset of  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  by means of the following statement.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , with (m-1)p > n. Let  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\Omega$  be of class  $C^{0,1}$ . Then, for all  $0 \leq c < +\infty$ , the set

$$\mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1) \middle| \begin{array}{c} \text{the unique representative } \tilde{g} \text{ in} \\ (C^1(\operatorname{cl}\Omega))^n \text{ of } g \text{ satisfies } \tilde{g}(\operatorname{cl}\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1 \end{array} \right\}$$
(23)

is open in  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1) = \bigcup_{c>0} \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1).$$
(24)

Proof. Since in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we have already pointed out that

$$\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1) = \bigcup_{c>0} \mathcal{G}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1),$$

equality (24) holds, and thus it suffices to show that the set  $\mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  is open in  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . Since  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  is imbedded into  $(C^1(\mathfrak{cl}\Omega))^n$ , it suffices to show that

$$\left\{g\in (C^1(\operatorname{cl}\Omega))^n: |\operatorname{det} Dg| > c \text{ and } g(\operatorname{cl}\Omega)\subseteq \Omega_1\right\}$$

is open in  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$ . Now if  $g, g_1 \in (C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$  with  $g(\operatorname{cl} \Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$ , and if  $\sup_{\operatorname{cl} \Omega} |g-g_1|$ is smaller than the distance of  $g(\operatorname{cl} \Omega)$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_1$ , then  $g_1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$ . Since the map  $g \mapsto |\operatorname{det} Dg|$  is continuous from  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$  to  $C^0(\operatorname{cl} \Omega)$ , the proof is complete

We now state our main differentiability theorem. We note that previous reults on the differentiability of the composition operator in Sobolev spaces were given in Valent [31, 33], who considered the first order differentiability in the variable (f, g), with f of class  $C^{m_1+1}$  and  $g \in W^{m,p}$  in order to have  $f \circ g \in W^{m_1,p}$ , and the differentiability of order  $r \ge 1$  of the map  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  from  $W^{m,p}$  into  $W^{m_1,p}$  for a fixed f of the class  $C^{m_1+r}$ , and by Sickel [27], Runst and Sickel [26], who considered the infinite differentiability of the map  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  in  $W^{m,p}$  with an f of class  $C^{\infty}$ . A first order differentiability theorem when both f and g belong to a Sobolev class was given, as mentioned in the introduction, by Brokate and Colonius [8]. The methods and results of those authors are different from those of this paper. **Theorem 3.4.** Let  $m, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \leq m_1 \leq m, 1 \leq p_1 \leq p < +\infty$  with (m-1)p > n, and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\Omega$  be of class  $C^{0,1}$ . Then the composition is well-defined and of class  $C^r$  from the open subset

$$W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

of  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . If  $r \geq 1$  and  $s \in \{1,\ldots,r\}$ , then the differential of order s of the composition at  $(f^\#, g^\#) \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$ , which can be identified with an s-linear function of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n, W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega))$ , is delivered by the map

$$((v_{[1]}, w_{[1]}), \dots, (v_{[s]}, w_{[s]})) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l_{1}, \dots, \widehat{l_{j}}, \dots, l_{s}=1}^{n} [(D_{l_{s}} \cdots \widehat{D_{l_{j}}} \cdots D_{l_{1}} v_{[j]}) \circ g^{\#}] w_{s, l_{s}} \cdots \widehat{w_{j, l_{j}}} \cdots w_{1, l_{1}} + \sum_{l_{1}, \dots, l_{s}=1}^{n} [(D_{l_{s}} \cdots D_{l_{1}} f^{\#}) \circ g^{\#}] w_{s, l_{s}} \cdots w_{1, l_{1}}$$

$$(26)$$

for all  $(v_{[j]}, w_{[j]} := (w_{j,1}, \ldots, w_{j,n})) \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$   $(j = 1, \ldots, s)$ , where the symbols  $l_1, \ldots, l_s$  denote summation indexes ranging from 1 to n. In particular, if s = 1, we have the map

$$(v,w) \longmapsto v \circ g^{\#} + \sum_{l=1}^{n} [(D_l f^{\#}) \circ g^{\#}] w_l$$
 (27)

for all  $(v,w := (w_1,\ldots,w_n)) \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ .

**Proof.** By equality (24), the set in (25) can be written as

$$W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \left\{ \bigcup_{c>0} \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1) \right\},$$
(28)

and by Proposition 3.3, the set  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  is open in  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . For the sake of brevity we understand that, for a given  $g \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ , the inclusion  $g(\operatorname{cl}\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$  means that the unique representative  $\tilde{g} \in (C^1(\operatorname{cl}\Omega))^n$  of g satisfies  $\tilde{g}(\operatorname{cl}\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$ . Now, let  $(f^{\#},g^{\#}) \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ . By Theorem 3.2/(i), the composition  $f^{\#} \circ g^{\#}$  is well-defined. Since  $g^{\#}$  has a unique continuous representative in  $\operatorname{cl}\Omega$ , there exists an open and relatively compact subset V of  $\Omega_1$  such that  $g^{\#}(\operatorname{cl}\Omega) \subseteq V \subseteq \operatorname{cl} V \subseteq \Omega_1$ . Let  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $\phi = 1$  on  $\operatorname{cl} V$  and  $\phi = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_1$ . Let R > 0 be such that the support of  $\phi$  is contained in the ball B(0, R). As it is well-known,  $\phi f \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(B(0,R))$ , for all  $f \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$ . Furthermore,  $(\phi f) \circ g = f \circ g$  for all  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega, V)$ . By Proposition 3.3,  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega, V)$  is an open neighborhood of  $(f^{\#},g^{\#})$  in  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ .

Thus it suffices to show that the map

$$(f,g) \mapsto (\phi f) \circ g$$

is of class  $C^r$  from  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega, V)$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . By writing the Leibnitz rule for the derivatives of  $\phi f$ , it is immediate to recognize that the map  $f \mapsto \phi f$  is linear and continuous from  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  to  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(B(0,R))$ . Then it suffices to show that the composition is of class  $C^r$  from  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(B(0,R)) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega, V)$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . Now, by Proposition 2.8, the space  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(B(0,R))$  coincides with the completion of  $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}_r})$ , with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}} := \|\cdot\|_{W^{m_1,p_1}(B(0,R))}$  and with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}_r}$  as in (8). Furthermore, by Theorem 3.2/(i), there exists an increasing function  $\psi$  of  $[0, +\infty)$  to itself such that

$$\|f \circ g\|_{W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)} \le \|f\|_{W^{m_1,p_1}(B(0,R))} \psi(\|g\|_{(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n})$$
(29)

for all  $(f,g) \in W^{m_1,p_1}(B(0,R)) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega, V)$ , and by Theorem 2.1/(ii),  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is a commutative Banach algebra with unity, and the pointwise product is bilinear and continuous from  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega) \times W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  into  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . Then by Theorem 2.9,  $\tilde{A}[f,g]$  coincides with the composition  $f \circ g$ , for all (f,g) as in (29), and by the same Theorem 2.9, we can conclude that the composition is of class  $C^r$  on  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(B(0,R)) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,c}(\Omega, V)$ . Furthermore, if  $r \geq 1$ , then the differential of the composition at  $(\phi f^{\#}, g^{\#})$  is delivered by

$$(u,w) \longmapsto u \circ g^{\#} + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left[ D_{l}(\phi f^{\#}) \circ g^{\#} \right] w_{l}$$

$$(30)$$

for all  $(u, w := (w_1, \ldots, w_n)) \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(B(0,R)) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . We note that in Proposition 2.8 we have shown that  $D_l$  in (30) actually coincides with the  $D_l$ distributional derivative. Since  $D_l(\phi f^{\#}) \circ g^{\#} = (D_l f^{\#}) \circ g^{\#}$  and  $(\phi v) \circ g^{\#} = v \circ g^{\#}$ for all  $v \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$ , we obtain the formula (27) by (30) and by the chain rule. Formula (26) can be obtained similarly

We observe that sometimes in applications the condition  $g(\operatorname{cl}\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$  may not be satisfied, although  $g(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$  and the composition  $f \circ g$  is well-defined. The role of such condition was to ensure that the domain of the composition be open in  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . Indeed, in general  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  is not open in  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . Now that we have studied the case in which the domain is open, we are ready to consider the case in which  $g \in \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $m, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \leq m_1 \leq m, 1 \leq p_1 \leq p < +\infty$  with (m-1)p > n, and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_1$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\Omega$  be of class  $C^{0,1}$ . Let  $\Omega_1$  have the  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}$ -extension property, i.e. there exists a linear and continuous operator E from  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$  to  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $Ef_{|\Omega_1|} = f$  for all  $f \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1)$ .

Then there exists an open neighborhood W of  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$  in the Banach space  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  and an operator  $\hat{\circ}$  of class  $C^r$  from W to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$  such that

$$f \circ g = f \circ g \qquad for \ all \ (f,g) \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1). \tag{31}$$

If  $r \geq 1$  and  $s \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ , then the differential of order s of the operator  $\hat{o}$  at  $(f^{\#}, g^{\#}) \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$ , which can be identified with an s-linear function of the space  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n, W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega))$ , is delivered by the map

$$((v_{[1]}, w_{[1]}), \dots, (v_{[s]}, w_{[s]})) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l_{1}, \dots, \widehat{l_{j}}, \dots, l_{s}=1}^{n} [(D_{l_{s}} \cdots \widehat{D_{l_{j}}} \cdots D_{l_{1}} v_{[j]}) \circ g^{\#}] w_{s, l_{s}} \cdots \widehat{w_{j, l_{j}}} \cdots w_{1, l_{1}} + \sum_{l_{1}, \dots, l_{s}=1}^{n} [(D_{l_{s}} \cdots D_{l_{1}} f^{\#}) \circ g^{\#}] w_{s, l_{s}} \cdots w_{1, l_{1}}$$

$$(32)$$

for all  $(v_{[j]}, w_{[j]} := (w_{j,1}, \ldots, w_{j,n})) \in W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$   $(j = 1, \ldots, s)$ , where the symbols  $l_1, \ldots, l_s$  denote summation indexes ranging from 1 to n.

In particular, if s = 1, we have the map

$$(v,w) \longmapsto v \circ g^{\#} + \sum_{l=1}^{n} [(D_l f^{\#}) \circ g^{\#}] w_l$$
 (33)

for all  $(v, w := (w_1, \ldots, w_n)) \in W^{m_1+r, p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m, p}(\Omega))^n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Lambda : W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1) \longrightarrow W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\Omega_1)$ be defined by  $\Lambda[(f,g)] := (Ef,g)$ . The operator  $\Lambda$  is clearly the restriction of the operator  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  of  $\mathcal{W} := W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by  $\tilde{\Lambda}[(f,g)] := (Ef,g)$ . Moreover, the domain of  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  contains the domain of  $\Lambda$ and is open in the space  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}(\Omega_1) \times (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ , by Proposition 3.3. We define  $f \hat{\circ} g = T \circ \tilde{\Lambda}[(f,g)]$ , where we have denoted by T the composition of Theorem 3.4 in case  $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  is linear and continuous,  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  is of class  $C^{\infty}$  and thus the statement follows by Theorem 3.4

As shown in Jones [12], extension operators as in the statement of Theorem 3.5 exist for a general class of domains. We now have the following 'inverse' result.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $p, p_1 \in [1+\infty)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $m, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  with mp > n. Let f be a function of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subset of  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  containing the equivalence classes of the restrictions to  $\Omega$  of the affine invertible functions of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into itself (a function G of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to itself is said to be affine if there exists an element  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $G - c \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ). Then the following statements hold.

(i) If  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  maps  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , then f is a representative of an element of  $W^{m_1,p_1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(ii) Assume that if  $g \in A$ , then for all subsets N of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of measure zero, the preimage  $\tilde{g}^{\leftarrow}(N)$  has measure zero in  $\Omega$ , for all representatives  $\tilde{g}$  of g. If A is open and if the map  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  is of class  $C^r$  from A to  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , then f is a representative of an element of  $W_{\text{loc}}^{m+r,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proof.** We first prove statement (i). It clearly suffices to show that f is a representative of an element of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(B(0,R))$ , for all R > 0. Let G be an affine and invertible map of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $G(\Omega) \supseteq B(0,R)$ . By assumption,  $G_{|\Omega}$  is the representative of an element in  $\mathcal{A}$  and thus  $f \circ G_{|\Omega}$  defines an element of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$  by the hypothesis of statement (i). By the inclusion  $G^{(-1)}(B(0,R)) \subseteq \Omega$ , the function  $f \circ G_{|G^{(-1)}(B(0,R))}$  defines an element of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(G^{(-1)}(B(0,R)))$ . Since  $G^{(-1)}$  is affine and invertible, and maps B(0,R) onto  $G^{(-1)}(B(0,R))$ , and  $f \circ G_{|G^{(-1)}(B(0,R))}$  defines an element of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(G^{(-1)}(B(0,R)))$ , then a well known result on the change of variables by means of smooth diffeomorphisms (cf., e.g., Adams [2: Section 3.34, Theorem 3.35/p. 63]), implies that

$$(f \circ G_{|G^{(-1)}(B(0,R))}) \circ (G^{(-1)}_{|B(0,R)}) = f_{|B(0,R)}$$

defines an element of  $W^{m_1,p_1}(B(0,R))$ .

We now prove statement (ii) by induction on  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . If r = 0, then we can conclude by statement (i). Let statement (ii) hold for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that the map  $g \mapsto f \circ g$ is of class  $C^{r+1}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Since  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  is of class  $C^{r+1}$ , then the same map is of class  $C^0$  and accordingly f defines an element of  $W^{m,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by case r = 0. By Theorem 2.1/(iii), the element of  $W^{m,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by f admits a continuous representative  $\tilde{f}$ , and  $\tilde{f}$  is differentiable in the ordinary sense outside of some subset Sof measure zero of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus the ordinary partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \eta_1}$   $(l \in \{1, \ldots, n\})$  exist in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ . Since  $f = \tilde{f}$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and since the  $\tilde{g}$ -preimage of sets of measure zero has measure zero, for all representatives  $\tilde{g}$  of  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\tilde{f} \circ g = f \circ g$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ . In particular, the map  $g \mapsto \tilde{f} \circ g$  is of class  $C^{r+1}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ .

Let  $T_{\tilde{f}}[g] := \tilde{f} \circ g$ . We now compute  $dT_{\tilde{f}}[g]$ . Let g be an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $h := (h_1, \ldots, h_n) \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  and

$$\|h\|_{(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n} = \sum_{l=1}^n \|h_l\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)} \neq 0.$$

Let  $\tilde{g}$ ,  $\tilde{h}$  and  $\tilde{h}_l$  be representatives of g, h and  $h_l$ , repectively. By Theorem 2.1/(iii),  $\tilde{f}$  is differentiable at  $\tilde{g}(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in \Omega \setminus \tilde{g}^{-}(S)$ . Since S has measure zero, the set  $\tilde{g}^{-}(S)$  has measure zero by our hypothesis on the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\tilde{f}(\tilde{g}(\cdot) + t\tilde{h}(\cdot)) - \tilde{f}(\tilde{g}(\cdot))}{t} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} F_{l}(\tilde{g}(\cdot)) \tilde{h}_{l}(\cdot) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$
(34)

where  $F_l$  denotes the function of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $F_l(\eta) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_l}(\eta)$  if  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$  and  $F_l(\eta) = 0$  if  $\eta \in S$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is open, for any fixed element  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $g + th \in \mathcal{A}$  for |t| sufficiently small. Since  $T_{\tilde{f}}$  is differentiable at  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\tilde{f}(g(\cdot) + th(\cdot)) - \tilde{f}(g(\cdot))}{t} = dT_{\tilde{f}}[g](h) \quad \text{in } W^{m,p}(\Omega).$$
(35)

Now let  $\{t_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence of non-zero real numbers converging to zero. Since the limiting relation in (35) holds also in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , the sequence  $\{t_n^{-1}[\tilde{f}(\tilde{g}(\cdot) + t_n\tilde{h}(\cdot)) - t_n\tilde{h}(\cdot)]$ 

 $f(\tilde{g}(\cdot))$  has a subsequence converging almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  to a representative of  $dT_{\tilde{f}}[g](h)$ . Then, by (34), we have

$$dT_{\tilde{f}}[g](h) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} F_l(g(\cdot))h_l(\cdot).$$
(36)

We now show that  $\Omega$  must have finite measure. By assumption, the restriction to  $\Omega$  of the identity map in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . Then the inequality

$$\chi_{\Omega}(\xi) \leq \sup\left\{\chi_{[-1,1]^n}(\xi), \chi_{\Omega}(\xi) \sum_{l=1}^n |\xi_l|^p\right\} \qquad \forall \ \xi := (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{37}$$

implies that the measure of  $\Omega$  is finite. Now let  $\tilde{\delta}^j$  be the function of  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the *j*-th component equal to 1, and with the remaining components equal to zero. Since the measure of  $\Omega$  is finite,  $\tilde{\delta}^j$  defines an element  $\delta^j$  of  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . Since the map  $T_{\tilde{f}}$  is of class  $C^{r+1}$ , then  $dT_{\tilde{f}}$  is a map of of class  $C^r$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into the space  $\mathcal{L}((W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n, W^{m,p}(\Omega))$ . Since the 'evaluation' map  $A \mapsto A[\delta^j]$   $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$  is linear and continuous from  $\mathcal{L}((W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n, W^{m,p}(\Omega))$  into  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , we conclude that the map  $g \mapsto dT_{\tilde{f}}[g](\delta^j) = F_j(g)$  is of class  $C^r$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . Then by inductive assumption,  $F_j$  defines an element of  $W^{m+r,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By Theorem 2.1/(iii),  $F_j$  is a representative of the  $D_j$ -distributional derivative of the element of  $W^{m,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by  $\tilde{f}$  (or by f). Thus we can conclude that f defines an element of  $W^{m,r+r+1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 

In part from Theorem 3.6, we deduce the following result.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{0,1}$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $p, p_1 \in [1, +\infty)$  and  $m, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  with (m-1)p > n. Let f be a function of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the following statements hold:

(i) If  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  maps  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , then f is a representative of an element in  $W^{m_1,p_1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(ii) Let  $m_1 > 0$ . If the map  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  is of class  $C^r$  from  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , then f is a representative of an element in  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(iii) Let  $m_1 = 0$  and  $p_1 > 1$ . If the map  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  is of class  $C^r$  from  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , then f is a representative of an element in  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.3, the set  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , which equals  $\mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , is open in  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  contains the elements of  $(W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  defined by the restrictions to  $\Omega$  of invertible affine maps. Furthermore, if  $g \in \mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and if  $\tilde{g}$  is the unique representative of class  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl} \Omega))^n$  of g, then  $\tilde{g}_{|\Omega}$  is a local diffeomorphism of  $\Omega$  onto  $\tilde{g}(\Omega)$ , and thus, as we have already pointed out at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2.6,  $\tilde{g}_{|\Omega|}(N)$  has measure zero, whenever N is a subset of measure zero of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we can conclude the proof of statement (i) by Lemma 2.5/(ii) and Theorem 3.6.

We now turn to prove by induction statements (ii) and (iii) at one time. As in the previous proof, we proceed by induction on r. If r = 0, then we can conclude by statement (i). Let the statement (ii) or (iii) hold for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that the map  $T_f[\cdot]$  defined by  $T_f[g] = f \circ g$  is of class  $C^{r+1}$  from  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ . By case r = 0, f defines an element of  $W^{m_1,p_1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In the case (ii), we have  $f \in W^{m_1,p_1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq W^{1,p_1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We now prove that  $f \in W^{1,p_1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  holds also if  $m_1 = 0$  and  $p_1 > 0$ . Let  $\delta^j \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n$  be as in the proof of Theorem 3.6. By assumption,  $T_f$  is differentiable at all invertible affine transformations G. Then

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \left\| \frac{f(G(\cdot) + t\delta^{j}) - f(G(\cdot))}{t} - dT_{f}[G](\delta^{j}) \right\|_{L^{p_{1}}(\Omega)} = 0.$$
(38)

By changing the variable in the integral which defines the norm in the limiting relation of (38) by means of the affine transformation  $G^{(-1)}$  (cf., e.g., Adams [2: Section 3.34/p. 63]), we obtain

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{f(\mathrm{id}_{G(\Omega)}+t\delta^j)-f(\mathrm{id}_{G(\Omega)})}{t}=\{dT_f[G](\delta^j)\}\circ G^{(-1)}$$

in  $L^{p_1}(G(\Omega))$  (j = 1, ..., n), where  $\operatorname{id}_{G(\Omega)}$  denotes the identity map in  $G(\Omega)$ . Since  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ , for all open and relatively compact subset  $\omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists G as above such that  $G(\Omega) \supseteq \omega$ . Then the well-known difference quotient method and the assumption  $p_1 > 1$  imply that  $f \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (cf., e.g., Troianiello [30: Theorem 1.21/p. 43]). Thus, in both cases (ii) and (iii), we have  $f \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now let  $g^{\#} \in \mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Assume that the ball  $B(0, R_{g^{\#}})$  contains  $\tilde{g}^{\#}(\operatorname{cl}\Omega)$ , where  $\tilde{g}^{\#}$  is the representative of class  $(C^1(\operatorname{cl}\Omega))^n$  of  $g^{\#}$ . By Proposition 3.3,  $\mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, B(0, R_{g^{\#}}))$  is an open neighborhood of  $g^{\#}$  contained in  $\mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and by Theorem 3.4 the map  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  is of class  $C^1$  from  $\mathcal{K}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , which coincides with  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , to  $L^{\min\{p,p_1\}}(\Omega)$ , with differential delivered by (27), with v = 0. By inductive assumption, both in cases (ii) and (iii),  $T_f$  is of class  $C^1$  from  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , and thus to  $L^{\min\{p,p_1\}}(\Omega)$ . Then

$$dT_f[g](w) = \sum_{l=1}^n [(D_l f) \circ g] w_l \quad \text{for all } w := (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in (W^{m,p}(\Omega))^n.$$

By computing  $dT_f[g]$  on  $\delta^j$  as in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we conclude that  $g \mapsto (D_j f) \circ g$  is of class  $C^r$  from  $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W^{m_1,p_1}(\Omega)$ , for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then, by inductive assumption, each of the representatives of  $D_j f$  defines an element of class  $W^{m_1+r,p_1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and accordingly  $f \in W^{(m_1+r)+1,p_1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 

**Remark 3.8.** Concerning Theorem 3.6/(i), we mention that Marcus and Mizel [22], Bourdaud and Meyer [7], Bourdaud [4, 5], Bourdaud and Kateb [6], and Sickel [27] have investigated the problem of characterizing the f's of one real variable such that  $g \mapsto f \circ g$  maps  $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to itself. Their results cover large classes of values of the exponents m and p and their approach is different from that of ours. If n = 1, then the statement (i) of Theorem 3.6 becomes a variant of the corresponding results of Bourdaud [4] and of Sickel [27].

#### References

- [1] Adams, D. R.: On the existence of capacitary strong type estimates in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Ark. Mat. 14 (1976), 125 140.
- [2] Adams, R. A.: Sobolev Spaces. New York San Francisco London: Acad. Press 1975.
- [3] Appell, J. and P. P. Zabrejko: Nonlinear Superposition Operators (Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics: Vol. 95). Cambridge: Univ. Press 1990.
- [4] Bourdaud, G.: Le calcul fonctionnel dans les espaces de Sobolev. Inv. Math. 104 (1991), 435-446.
- [5] Bourdaud, G.: The Functional Calculus in Sobolev Spaces. In: Function Spaces, Differential Operators and Nonlinear Analysis (Teubner Texte Math.: Vol. 133; ed.: H.-J. Schmeisser). Stuttgart – Leipzig: Teubner Verlag 1993, pp. 127 – 142.
- [6] Bourdaud, G. and M. E. D. Kateb: Calcul fonctionnel dans les espace de Sobolev fractionnaire. Math. Z. 210 (1992), 607 - 613.
- Bourdaud, G. and Y. Meyer: Fonctions qui opèrent sur les espaces de Sobolev. J. Funct. Anal. 97 (1991), 351 - 360.
- [8] Brokate, M. and F. Colonius: Linearizing equations with state-dependent delays. Applied Math. Optim. 21 (1990), 45 - 52.
- [9] Cartan, H.: Cours de calcul différentiel. Paris: Hermann 1967.
- [10] Drábek, P. and T. Runst: On the existence of solutions of a semilinear elliptic boundary value problem with superlinear nonlinearities. Z. Anal. Anw. 21 (1990), 105 - 112.
- [11] Gol'dshtein, V. M. and Y. G. Reshetnyak: Quasiconformal Mappings and Sobolev Spaces. Providence, Rhode Island: Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1990.
- [12] Jones, W. P.: Quasiconformal mappings and extendability of functions in Sobolev spaces. Acta Math. 147 (1981), 71 – 88.
- [13] Lanza de Cristoforis, M.: A note on composition operators in Sobolev spaces and an extension of the chain rule. Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. Detta XL 14 (1990), 341 - 360.
- [14] Lanza de Cristoforis, M.: Properties and pathologies of the composition and inversion operators in Schauder spaces. Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. Detta XL 15 (1991), 93 - 109.
- [15] Lanza de Cristoforis, M.: Higher order differentiability properties of the composition and of the inversion operator. Ind. Math. (N.S) 5 (1994), 457 - 482.
- [16] Lanza de Cristoforis, M.: Differentiability properties of an abstract autonomous composition operator. Submitted (1996).
- [17] Marcus, M. and V. J. Mizel: Absolute continuity on tracks and maps of Sobolev spaces. Archive Rat. Mech. Anal. 45 (1972), 294 - 320.
- [18] Marcus, M. and V. J. Mizel: Nemitsky operators on Sobolev spaces. Archive Rat. Mech. Anal. 51 (1973), 347 - 370.
- [19] Marcus, M. and V. J. Mizel: Transformation by functions in Sobolev spaces and lower semicontinuity for parametric variational problems. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 790 - 795.
- [20] Marcus, M. and V. J. Mizel: Continuity of certain Nemitsky operators on Sobolev spaces and the chain rule. J. Anal. Math. 28 (1975), 303 - 334.
- [21] Marcus, M. and V. J. Mizel: Superposition mappings which operate on Sobolev spaces. Nonlin. Anal.: Theory, Meth. Appl. 2 (1978), 257 - 258.

- [22] Marcus, M. and V. J. Mizel: Every superposition operator mapping one Sobolev space into another is continuous. J. Funct. Anal. 33 (1979), 217 - 229.
- [23] Musina, R: On the continuity of the Nemitsky operator induced by a Lipschitz continuous map. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), 1029 - 1041.
- [24] Reshetnyak, Yu. G: Space Mappings with Bounded Distortion (Translations of Mathematical Monographs: Vol. 73). Providence (R.I.): Amer. Math. Soc. 1989.
- [25] Rohlin, V. and D. Fuchs: Premier cours de topologie chapitres géométriques. Moscow: Mir 1981.
- [26] Runst, T. and W. Sickel: Sobolev Spaces of Fractional Order, Nemytskij Operators and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations (de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications). Berlin: de Gruyter 1996.
- [27] Sickel, W.: Superposition of functions in Sobolev spaces of fractional order. A survey. Banach Cent. Publ. 27 (1992), 481 - 497.
- [28] Szigeti, F.: On Nemitsky operators on Sobolev spaces. Z. Ang. Math. Mech. (ZAMM) 63 T 332 (1983), p. 5.
- [29] Szigeti, F.: Multivariable composition of Sobolev functions. Acta Sci. Math. 48 (1985), 469 - 476.
- [30] Troianiello, G. M.: Elliptic Differential Equations and Obstacle Problems. New York: Plenum Publ. Comp. 1987.
- [31] Valent, T.: Local Existence and Uniqueness Theorems in Finite Elastostatics. Proceedings of the IUTAM Symposium on Finite Elasticity (ed.: D. E. Carlson and R. T. Shield). The Hague: M. Nijhoff Publ. 1982.
- [32] Valent, T.: A property of multiplication in Sobolev spaces. Some Applications. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 74 (1985), 63 - 73.
- [33] Valent, T.: Boundary Value Problems of Finite Elasticity Local Theorems on Existence, Uniqueness and Analytic Dependence on Data (Springer Tracts Nat. Philos.: Vol. 31). New York et al.: Springer-Verlag 1988.

Received 05.11.1996; in revised form 17.04.1997