Domain Identification for a Nonlinear Elliptic Equation

D. D. Trong

Abstract. It is proposed to identify the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of a nonlinear elliptic equation subject to given Cauchy data on part of the known outer boundary Γ and to the zero condition on the unknown inner boundary γ . It is proved that under the assumption $\overline{\Omega} = \Omega$, the domain Ω is uniquely determined.

Keywords: *Domain identification, nonlinear elliptic equations, zero Dirichiet condition* AMS subject classification: 35R30, 35J60

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain limited by an outer boundary Γ and an inner boundary γ , where Γ is known, but γ is unknown. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

$$
F: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

be a continuously differentiable function. We consider the nonlinear partial differential equation

$$
F(x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0 \qquad (x \in \Omega), \tag{1}
$$

F(x,u, Du, D² <i>u) = 0 $\sum_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \cdot \partial x}$, subject to the boundary
 F(*x,u, Du, D² <i>u*) = 0 $\sum_{x}^{\infty} (\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \cdot \partial x})$, subject to the boundary
 F(*x,u, Du, D² <i>u*) = 0 $\sum_{x}^{\infty} (\sum_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2$ Keywords: *Domain identification*, *nonlinear ellipt*

AMS subject classification: 35 R 30, 35 J 60

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain limited by an γ , where Γ is known, but γ is unknown. Let
 $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times \$ $=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)_{1\leq i\leq n}$ and $D^2u=\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$, subject to the boundary conditions $F(x, u, Du, D)$
 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\Big)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $u|_{\Gamma_0} = f,$ $(D^2u) =$
 $D^2u =$
 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\Big|_{\Gamma_n}$ $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

consider the nonlinear partial differential
 $0 \quad (x \in \Omega),$ (1)
 $\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$, subject to the boundary
 $= g, \quad u|_{\gamma} = 0$ (2)

s $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying Let
 $x \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $x^2 u = (x \in \Omega)$
 $x^2 u = (\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$
 $\frac{u}{n} \Big|_{\Gamma_0} = g, \quad u|_{\gamma} = \frac{u}{\Omega} \Big|_{\Gamma_0} = \Omega$

problem is to determ

function and the infunction and the infunction and the

$$
u|_{\Gamma_0} = f, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\Big|_{\Gamma_0} = g, \qquad u|_{\gamma} = 0 \tag{2}
$$

where Γ_0 is an open subset of Γ .

In the present paper, we consider domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$
\dot{\overline{\Omega}} = \Omega \tag{3}
$$

where \tilde{A} is the interior of the set A. Our problem is to determine a pair (Ω, u) satisfying (1) - (2). The case that *u* is a harmonic function and the interior boundary γ is a starshaped Jordan curve was considered in [1]. The present paper extends [1] in two ways. First, our equation is a fully nonlinear elliptic one (satisfying the maximum principle)

ISSN 0232-2064 / \$ 2.50 © Heldermann Verlag Berlin

D. D. Trong: Hochiminh City Nat. Univ., Dept. Math. & Comp. Sci., 227 Nguyen-Van Cu str., Q5 Hochiminh City, Vietnam

curves that are the boundaries of simply connected subdomains with mutually disjoint closures. For physical applications of the problem, the reader is referred to, e.g., [1] and the references therein. by connected subdomains with mutually disjoint

the problem, the reader is referred to, e.g., [1] and
 $d(\Gamma,\gamma) > 0$ (4)
 $d(\Gamma,\gamma) > 0$ (4) *c* bdomains with n

reader is referred
 c $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We sa
 C $|\xi|^2$
 \vdots \mathbb{R}^n , $|\xi|^2 = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2$

We assume that

$$
d(\Gamma,\gamma) > 0 \tag{4}
$$

where $d(A, B)$ is the distance between two subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that equation (1) is *elliptic* if

$$
\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{ij}}(x, u, p, q) \xi_i \xi_j \ge C |\xi|^2
$$
\n(5)

where $d(A, B)$ is the distance between two subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that equation

(1) is elliptic if
 $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{ij}}(x, u, p, q) \xi_i \xi_j \ge C |\xi|^2$ (5)

with a constant where $C > 0$, for all $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}$ *physical applications of the problem, the reader is referred to, e.g., [1] and*
 af therein.
 af d(Γ , γ) > 0 (4)
 aff
 aff $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{ij}}(x, u, p, q) \xi_i \xi_j \ge C |\xi|^2$ (5)
 ant where $C > 0$, for all *F* that $d(\Gamma, \gamma) > 0$ (4)

is the distance between two subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that equation
 $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{ij}}(x, u, p, q) \xi_i \xi_j \ge C |\xi|^2$ (5)

tt where $C > 0$, for all $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\xi|^2 = \xi_1^2 + ... +$

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u, p, q) \le 0 \qquad \text{for all } (x, u, p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \tag{6}
$$

$$
F(x,0,0,0) = 0 \qquad \text{for all} \ \ x \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{7}
$$

We note here that if $F(x, u, p, q) = q_{11} + q_{22} + ... + q_{nn}$, then $F(x, u, Du, D^2 u) = \Delta u$.

We have the following result.

Theorem. If $f \neq 0$ or if $g \neq 0$ on Γ_0 , then there exists at most one pair (Ω, u) *with* $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma_0) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ *satisfying* $(1) - (2)$ *provided* $(3) - (7)$ *hold.* $(0, 0) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
 $F(x, u, p, q) = q_{11} + q_{22} + ... + q_{nn}$, then $F(x, u, Du, D^2u)$ is

wing result.
 $\neq 0$ or if $g \neq 0$ on Γ_0 , then there exists at most one pair
 $(\Omega \cup \Gamma_0) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $(1) - (2)$ provi

Proof. Suppose (Ω^i, u^i) satisfy (1) - (2) with

$$
u^i\in C^2(\Omega^i)\cap C^1(\Omega^i\cup\Gamma_0)\cap C(\overline{\Omega^i})
$$

and let γ^i be the inner boundaries of Ω^i (i = 1, 2). Put

$$
\omega = \left\{ x \in \Omega^1 : d(x, \Gamma) < \min\{d(\Gamma, \gamma^1), d(\Gamma, \gamma^2)\} \right\}.
$$
 (8)

By (4), ω is connected and $\omega \neq \emptyset$. By the properties of Ω^i we have $\omega \subset \Omega^1 \cap \Omega^2$. Let *W* be the connected component of $\Omega^1 \cap \Omega^2$ such that $\omega \subset W$. We shall prove that U $\partial V = 0$ on Γ_0 , then there exists at most one pair (X, u)
 $\cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $(1) - (2)$ provided $(3) - (7)$ hold.

satisfy $(1) - (2)$ with
 $\in C^2(\Omega^i) \cap C^1(\Omega^i \cup \Gamma_0) \cap C(\overline{\Omega^i})$

daries of Ω^i $(i = 1, 2)$. Put

$$
u^1 = u^2 \qquad \text{on} \quad W \subset \Omega^1 \cap \Omega^2. \tag{9}
$$

Putting $v = u^2 - u^1$ and

$$
u^{0}(x,t) = u^{1}(x) + t(u^{2}(x) - u^{1}(x))
$$

\n
$$
p^{0}(x,t) = Du^{1}(x) + t(Du^{2}(x) - Du^{1}(x))
$$

\n
$$
q^{0}(x,t) = D^{2}u^{1}(x) + t(D^{2}u^{2}(x) - D^{2}u^{1}(x))
$$

we have

$$
g(x, 1) - g(x, 0) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x, t) dt
$$

where

$$
g(x,t) = F(x, u^{0}(x,t), p^{0}(x,t), q^{0}(x,t)).
$$

This gives

Domain identification
\n
$$
g(x,t) = F(x, u^{0}(x,t), p^{0}(x,t), q^{0}(x,t)).
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} + c(x) v = 0
$$
\n
$$
a_{ij}(x) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{ij}}(x, u^{0}, p^{0}, q^{0}) dt
$$
\n(10)

where

Domain identification
\n
$$
x, t) = F(x, u^{0}(x, t), p^{0}(x, t), q^{0}(x, t)).
$$
\n
$$
x_{ij}(x) = \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} + c(x) v = 0
$$
\n
$$
a_{ij}(x) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{ij}}(x, u^{0}, p^{0}, q^{0}) dt
$$
\n
$$
b_{i}(x) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{i}}(x, u^{0}, p^{0}, q^{0}) dt
$$
\n
$$
c(x) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u^{0}, p^{0}, q^{0}) dt.
$$
\n
$$
m (2)
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0.
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0.
$$
\n(12)\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0.
$$
\n(12)\n
$$
e_{i}g_{i} = 0 \quad \text{(12)}
$$
\n
$$
e_{i}g_{i} = 0 \quad \text{(13)}
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{(14)}
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{(15)}
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{(16)}
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{(17)}
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{(18)}
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{(19)}
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{(19)}
$$
\n
$$
v|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0 \quad \text{(10)}
$$

Since $\Gamma \subset \partial W$, we get from (2)

$$
v|_{\Gamma_0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right|_{\Gamma_0} = 0. \tag{12}
$$

In view of (10), (12) and (6), we can use the uniqueness theorem for the Cauchy problem for elliptic equations (see, e.g., [3]) to get $v = 0$ on *W*, i.e. (9) holds. To continue with the proof, we suppose by contradiction that $\Omega^1 \neq \Omega^2$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{\Omega^2} \neq \emptyset$ (in fact, if $\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{\Omega^2} = \Omega^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega^1} = \emptyset$, then $\overline{\Omega^1} = \overline{\Omega^2}$, and by (3), $\Omega^1 = \frac{1}{\Omega^1} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} = \Omega^2$). Since $\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{\Omega^2} \subset \Omega^1 \setminus \overline{W}$ we have $\frac{\partial v}{\partial u}(x, u^*, p^*, q^*)$ at.

and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}\Big|_{\Gamma_0} = 0.$ (12)

se the uniqueness theorem for the Cauchy problem

get $v = 0$ on W , i.e. (9) holds. To continue with

on that $\Omega^1 \neq \Omega^2$. Without loss of generality, we
 $\partial n \ln \sigma$

and (6), we can use the uniqueness theorem for the Cauchy

is (see, e.g., [3]) to get $v = 0$ on W, i.e. (9) holds. To continuous

by contradiction that $\Omega^1 \neq \Omega^2$. Without loss of general
 $\Gamma \setminus \overline{\Omega^2} \neq \$ on that $\Omega^1 \neq \Omega^2$. Without loss of generality, we

if $\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{\Omega^2} = \Omega^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega^1} = \emptyset$, then $\overline{\Omega^1} = \overline{\Omega^2}$, and by
 $\overline{\Omega^2} \subset \Omega^1 \setminus \overline{W}$ we have
 $\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{W} \neq \emptyset$. (13)

of $\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{W}$. In vie

$$
\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{W} \neq \emptyset. \tag{13}
$$

Let *U* be a connected component of $\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{W}$. In view of (8), we have

Let *U* be a connected component of
$$
\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{W}
$$
. In view of (8), we have
\n
$$
U \subset \left\{ x \in \Omega^1 : d(x, \Gamma) \ge \min\{ d(\Gamma, \gamma^1), d(\Gamma, \gamma^2) \} \right\}.
$$
\n(14)
\nHence
\n
$$
\partial U \cap \Gamma = \emptyset.
$$
\nNote that
\n
$$
\partial U \subset \partial(\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{W}) = \partial(\Omega^1 \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{W})) \subset \partial \Omega^1 \cup \partial W.
$$
\nWe can combine the above inclusion with (15) to get
\n
$$
\partial U \subset \gamma^1 \cup \partial W.
$$
\n(16)
\nWe claim that
\n
$$
u^1|_{\partial U} = 0.
$$
\n(17)

Hence

$$
\partial U \cap \Gamma = \emptyset. \tag{15}
$$

Note that

$$
\partial U \subset \partial(\Omega^1 \setminus \overline{W}) = \partial(\Omega^1 \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{W})) \subset \partial\Omega^1 \cup \partial W.
$$

We can combine the above inclusion with (15) to get

$$
\partial U \subset \gamma^1 \cup \partial W. \tag{16}
$$

$$
u^1|_{\partial U}=0.\t\t(17)
$$

In fact, for $x \in \partial U$, there are two cases:

(a) $x \in \gamma^1$. In this case, (2) gives

$$
u^1(x) = 0.\t\t(18)
$$

s:
 *u*¹(*x*) = 0.
 But $\partial W \subset \partial(\Omega^1 \cap \Omega)$
 C $\overline{\Omega^1}$. From (15), w (b) $x \notin \gamma^1$. By (16), $x \in \partial W \setminus \gamma^1$. But $\partial W \subset \partial(\Omega^1 \cap \Omega^2) \subset \gamma^1 \cup \gamma^2$. Hence $x \in \gamma^2$. We prove that $x \in \Omega^1$. Indeed, $x \in \partial U \subset \overline{\Omega^1}$. From (15), we get u'(x) = *^u ² (x)* = 0. (19)

$$
x\in\overline{\Omega^1}\setminus(\Gamma\cup\gamma^1)=\overline{\Omega^1}\setminus\partial\Omega^1=\Omega^1.
$$

In this case, one has in view of (9)

$$
u^{1}(x) = u^{2}(x) = 0.
$$
 (19)

In either case, from (18) and (19) we have that (17) holds.

Similarly as for (10), we get in view of (7)

(16),
$$
x \in \partial W \setminus \gamma^1
$$
. But $\partial W \subset \partial(\Omega^1 \cap \Omega^2) \subset \gamma^1 \cup \gamma^2$. Hence $x \in \gamma^2$.
\n Ω^1 . Indeed, $x \in \partial U \subset \overline{\Omega^1}$. From (15), we get
\n $x \in \overline{\Omega^1} \setminus (\Gamma \cup \gamma^1) = \overline{\Omega^1} \setminus \partial \Omega^1 = \Omega^1$.
\nas in view of (9)
\n $u^1(x) = u^2(x) = 0$.
\n(19)
\n $u^1(x) = u^2(x) = 0$.
\n(19)
\n $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^1(x) \frac{\partial^2 u^1}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^1(x) \frac{\partial u^1}{\partial x_i} + c^1(x)u^1 = 0$ (20)
\n u^1_{ij}, b^1_{ij}, c^1 have the same forms as in (11). We do not write out their
\nonly note that (20) is an elliptic equation with

for $x \in \Omega^1$, where a_{ij}^1, b_i^1, c^1 have the same forms as in (11). We do not write out their explicit forms but only note that (20) is an elliptic equation with
 $c^1(x) \le 0.$ (21)

Using the maximum principle for elli explicit forms but only note that (20) is an elliptic equation with

$$
c^1(x) \le 0. \tag{21}
$$

Using the maximum principle for elliptic equations (see [2: Chapter 2/p. 53]), we get in view of (17) and (21)

$$
u^1(x) = 0 \qquad \text{on} \quad U. \tag{22}
$$

Now, using the uniqueness result for elliptic continuation [3], we get from (22) $u^1(x) = 0$ on Ω^1 . Hence

$$
c^{1}(x) \leq 0.
$$

elliptic equations (a)

$$
u^{1}(x) = 0 \qquad \text{on } U.
$$

for elliptic continuation

$$
u^{1}|_{\Gamma_{0}} = \frac{\partial u^{1}}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma_{0}} = 0.
$$

This is a contradiction and the proof of the theorem is completed \blacksquare

References

- [1] Ang, D. D. and L. K. Vy: *Domain identification for harmonic functions.* Acta App!. *Math. 38 (1995), 217 - 238.*
- [2] Friedman, A.: *Partial Diferential Equations of Parabolic Type.* Englewood Cliffs (N.J.): Prentice Hall 1964.
- *[3] Pederson, R. N.: On the unique continuation theorem for certain second and fourth order* elliptic equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 11 (1958), 67 - 80.

Received 17.02.1998