

# Hyperbolic Functional-Differential Equations with Unbounded Delay

Z. Kamont

**Abstract.** The phase space for quasilinear equations with unbounded delay is constructed. Carathéodory solutions of initial problems are investigated. A theorem on the existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence upon initial data is given. The method of bicharacteristics and integral inequalities are used.

**Keywords:** *Unbounded delay, local existence, Carathéodory solutions*

**AMS subject classification:** 35 L50, 35 D 05

## 1. Introduction

For any metric spaces  $U$  and  $V$  we denote by  $C(U, V)$  the class of all continuous functions defined on  $U$  and taking values in  $V$ . We will use vectorial inequalities with the understanding that the same inequalities hold between their corresponding components.

Let

$$E = [-r_0, 0] \times [-r, +r] \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$$

where  $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty)$  and  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Assume that  $a > 0$ ,  $(t, x) = (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $z : [-r_0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We define a function  $z_{(t,x)} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$z_{(t,x)}(\tau, s) = z(t + \tau, x + s) \quad ((\tau, s) \in E).$$

For each  $(t, x) \in [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  the function  $z_{(t,x)}$  is the restriction of  $z$  to the set  $[t - r_0, t] \times [x - r, x + r]$  and this restriction is shifted to the set  $E$ . Suppose that

$$F : [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times C(E, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is a given function. In this time numerous papers were published concerning various problems for the equation

$$D_t z(t, x) = F(t, x, z_{(t,x)}, D_x z(t, x))$$

where  $D_x z = (D_{x_1} z, \dots, D_{x_n} z)$  and for adequate weakly coupled hyperbolic systems. The following questions were considered: functional-differential inequalities, uniqueness

---

Z. Kamont: Univ. of Gdańsk, Inst. Math., ul. Wita Stwosza 57, 80 - 952 Gdańsk, Poland

of initial or initial-boundary value problems, difference-functional inequalities, approximate solutions of initial or initial-boundary value problems, existence of classical or generalized solutions (see [1 - 3, 5 - 8, 10 - 15]). All these problems have the property that the set  $E$  is bounded.

In the paper we start the investigation of first order partial functional-differential equations with unbounded delay. We give sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of Carathéodory solutions of initial problems for quasilinear equations with unbounded delay. We consider functional-differential equations in a Banach space. The theory of ordinary functional-differential equations with unbounded delay is given in monographs [4, 9].

We formulate the problem. Let  $B$  be a Banach space with norm  $\| \cdot \|$  and  $D = (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$  ( $r \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ). The norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  will also be denoted by  $\| \cdot \|$ . For a function  $z : (-\infty, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  ( $b \geq 0$ ) and for a point  $(t, x) \in [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  we define a function  $z_{(t,x)} : D \rightarrow B$  by

$$z_{(t,x)}(\tau, s) = z(t + \tau, x + s) \quad ((\tau, s) \in D).$$

The phase space  $X$  for equations with unbounded delay is a linear space, with norm  $\| \cdot \|_X$  and consisting of functions mapping the set  $D$  into  $B$ . Let  $a > 0$  be fixed and suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho &= (\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_n) : [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ f &: [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times X \rightarrow B \\ \varphi &: (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B \end{aligned}$$

are given functions. We consider the quasilinear equation

$$D_t z(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \varrho_i(t, x, z_{(t,x)}) D_{x_i} z(t, x) = f(t, x, z_{(t,x)}) \quad (1)$$

with the initial condition

$$z(t, x) = \varphi(t, x) \quad \text{on } (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2)$$

We will deal with Carathéodory solutions of problem (1) - (2). A function  $\bar{u} : (-\infty, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  where  $0 < b \leq a$  is a *solution* of the above problem provided:

- (i)  $\bar{u}$  is continuous on  $[0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and the derivatives  $D_t \bar{u}(t, x)$  and  $D_x \bar{u}(t, x)$  exist for almost all  $(t, x) \in [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (ii)  $\bar{u}$  satisfies equation (1.1) almost everywhere on  $[0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and condition (1.2) holds.

We adopt the following notations. If  $z : (-\infty, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  ( $0 < b \leq a$ ) is a function such that  $z$  is continuous on  $[0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , then we put for  $(t, x) \in [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_{[0,t;x]} &= \max \left\{ \|z(\tau, s)\| : (\tau, s) \in [0, t] \times [x - r, x + r] \right\} \\ \|z\|_{[0,t;\mathbb{R}^n]} &= \sup \left\{ \|z(\tau, s)\| : (\tau, s) \in [0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^n \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Lip } z|_{[0,t;x]} = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|z(\tau, s) - z(\tau, \bar{s})\|}{\|s - \bar{s}\|} : (\tau, s), (\tau, \bar{s}) \in [0, t] \times [x - r, x + r] \right\}.$$

The fundamental axioms assumed on  $X$  are the followings.

**Assumption H[X].** Suppose the following:

1)  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is a Banach space.

2) If  $z : (-\infty, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  ( $0 < b \leq a$ ) is a function such that  $z_{(0,x)} \in X$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $z$  is continuous on  $[0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $z_{(t,x)} \in X$  for  $(t, x) \in (0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and

(i) for  $(t, x) \in [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  we have  $\|z_{(t,x)}\|_X \leq K \|z\|_{[0,t;x]} + L \|z_{(0,x)}\|_X$  where  $K, L \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are constant independent on  $z$

(ii) the function  $(t, x) \rightarrow z_{(t,x)}$  is continuous on  $[0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

3) The linear subspace  $X_L \subset X$  is such that

(i)  $X_L$  endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{X_L}$  is a Banach space

(ii) if  $z : (-\infty, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  ( $0 < b \leq a$ ) is a function such that  $z_{(0,x)} \in X_L$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $z$  is continuous on  $[0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $z(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with a constant independent on  $t$  ( $t \in [0, b]$ ), then

( $\alpha$ )  $z_{(t,x)} \in X_L$  for  $(t, x) \in (0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$

( $\beta$ ) for  $(t, x) \in [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\|z_{(t,x)}\|_{X_L} \leq K_0 (\|z\|_{[0,t;x]} + \text{Lip } z|_{[0,t;x]}) + L_0 \|z_{(0,x)}\|_{X_L}$$

where  $K_0, L_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are constants independent on  $z$ .

Examples of phase spaces are given in Section 4.

Let us denote by  $L([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R})$  ( $[\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$ ) the class of functions

$$L([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \mu : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \mu \text{ integrable on } [\alpha, \beta] \right\}.$$

Further, we will use the symbol  $\Theta$  to denote the set of functions

$$\Theta = \left\{ \gamma : [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \left| \begin{array}{l} \gamma(t, \cdot) \text{ is non-decreasing for a.a. } t \in [0, a] \\ \gamma(\cdot, \tau) \in L([0, a], \mathbb{R}_+) \text{ for all } \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+ \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Further, write

$$\begin{aligned} X[\kappa] &= \{w \in X : \|w\|_X \leq \kappa\} \\ X_L[\kappa] &= \{w \in X_L : \|w\|_{X_L} \leq \kappa\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

## 2. Bicharacteristics of functional-differential equations

We start with assumptions on the initial function  $\varphi$ .

**Assumption H<sub>0</sub>.** Suppose that  $\varphi : (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  and

(i)  $\varphi_{(0,x)} \in X_L$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

(ii) there are  $\tilde{L}, L \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\|\varphi(0, x)\| \leq \tilde{L}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\|\varphi_{(0,x)} - \varphi_{(0,\bar{x})}\|_X \leq L\|x - \bar{x}\|$  for  $x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Assumption H[ $\rho$ ].** Suppose the following:

1) The function  $\rho(\cdot, x, w) : [0, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is measurable for  $(x, w) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times X$  and  $\rho(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous for almost all  $t \in [0, a]$ .

2) There exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \Theta$  such that  $\|\rho(t, x, w)\| \leq \alpha(t, \kappa)$  for  $(x, w) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times X[\kappa]$  almost everywhere on  $[0, a]$  and

$$\|\rho(t, x, w) - \rho(t, \bar{x}, \bar{w})\| \leq \beta(t, \kappa)[\|x - \bar{x}\| + \|w - \bar{w}\|_X] \tag{3}$$

for  $(x, w), (\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times X_L[\kappa]$  almost everywhere on  $[0, a]$ .

Suppose that  $\varphi : (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  and  $\varphi_{(0,x)} \in X_L$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $c \in [0, a]$ ,  $d = (d_0, d_1) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  and  $\omega \in L([0, a], \mathbb{R}_+)$ . The symbol  $Y_{c,\varphi}[\omega, d]$  denotes the function class

$$Y_{c,\varphi}[\omega, d] =$$

$$\left\{ z : (-\infty, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B \left\{ \begin{array}{l} z(t, x) = \varphi(t, x) \text{ on } (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|z(t, x)\| \leq d_0 \text{ on } [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|z(t, x) - z(\bar{t}, \bar{x})\| \leq \left| \int_{\bar{t}}^t \omega(\tau) d\tau \right| + d_1 \|x - \bar{x}\| \\ \text{for } (t, x), (\bar{t}, \bar{x}) \in [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

For the above  $\varphi$  and for  $z \in Y_{c,\varphi}[\omega, d]$  consider the Cauchy problem

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \eta'(\tau) = \rho(\tau, \eta(\tau), z(\tau, \eta(\tau))) \\ \eta(t) = x \end{array} \right\} \tag{4}$$

where  $(t, x) \in [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . We consider Carathéodory solutions of problem (4). Denote by  $g[z](\cdot, t, x)$  the solution of the above problem. The function  $g[z]$  is the bicharacteristic of equation (1) corresponding to  $z \in Y_{c,\varphi}[\omega, d]$ .

Let  $\Delta_c = [0, c] \times [0, c] \times [0, c]$ . For  $\varphi$  satisfying Assumption H<sub>0</sub> define

$$\|\varphi\|_{(X,\infty)} = \sup \{ \|\varphi_{(0,x)}\|_X : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that Assumptions H[X] and H[ $\rho$ ] are satisfied and*

- 1) the functions  $\varphi, \bar{\varphi} : (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  satisfy Assumption  $H_0$
- 2)  $c \in [0, a]$ ,  $z \in Y_{c,\varphi}[\omega, d]$  and  $\bar{z} \in Y_{c,\bar{\varphi}}[\omega, d]$ .

Then the solutions  $g[z](\cdot, t, x)$  and  $g[\bar{z}](\cdot, t, x)$  are defined on  $[0, c]$  and they are unique. Moreover, we have the estimates on  $\Delta_c$

$$\|g[z](\tau, t, x) - g[z](\tau, \bar{t}, \bar{x})\| \leq \left[ \|x - \bar{x}\| + \left| \int_t^{\bar{t}} \alpha(\xi, \bar{\kappa}) d\xi \right| \right] \exp \left[ \bar{d} \left| \int_t^{\bar{t}} \beta(\xi, \kappa_0) d\xi \right| \right] \tag{5}$$

and

$$\|g[z](\tau, t, x) - g[\bar{z}](\tau, t, x)\| \leq \left| \int_t^{\tau} \beta(\xi, \kappa_0) \left[ K \|z - \bar{z}\|_{[0,\xi;\mathbb{R}^n]} + M \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_{(X,\infty)} \right] d\xi \exp \left[ \bar{d} \int_t^{\tau} \beta(\xi, \kappa_0) d\xi \right] \right| \tag{6}$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \bar{d} &= 1 + Kd_0 + ML \\ \bar{\kappa} &= Kd_0 + M \|\varphi\|_{(X,\infty)} \\ \kappa_0 &= K_0(d_0 + d_1) + L_0 \sup \{ \|\varphi_{(0,x)}\|_{X_L} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \}. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(\xi, \eta), (\xi, \bar{\eta}) \in [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and a function  $\bar{z} : (-\infty, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  is defined by

$$\bar{z}(\tau, s) = z(\tau, s + \bar{\eta} - \eta) \quad ((\tau, s) \in (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then  $\bar{z}_{(\xi,\eta)} = z_{(\xi,\bar{\eta})}$ . It follows from Assumptions  $H[X]$  and  $H_0$  that

$$\|z_{(\xi,\eta)} - z_{(\xi,\bar{\eta})}\|_X = \|(z - \bar{z})_{(\xi,\eta)}\|_X \leq (Kd_0 + ML) \|\eta - \bar{\eta}\|.$$

The existence and uniqueness of the solutions of problem (4) follows from classical theorems. On this purpose, note that the right-hand side of the differential system satisfies the Carathéodory assumptions, and the Lipschitz condition

$$\|\varrho(\tau, \eta, z_{(\tau,\eta)}) - \varrho(\tau, \bar{\eta}, z_{(\tau,\bar{\eta})})\| \leq \bar{d} \beta(\tau, \kappa_0) \|\eta - \bar{\eta}\|$$

holds on  $[0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . The function  $g[z](\cdot, t, x)$  satisfies the integral equation

$$g[z](\tau, t, x) = x + \int_t^{\tau} \varrho(\xi, g[z](\xi, t, x), z_{(\xi, g[z](\xi, t, x))}) d\xi.$$

For  $(\tau, t, x), (\tau, \bar{t}, \bar{x}) \in \Delta_c$  we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|z_{(\tau, g[z](\tau, t, x))}\|_X &\leq \bar{\kappa} \\ \|z_{(\tau, g[z](\tau, t, x))}\|_{X_L} &\leq \kappa_0 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\|z_{(\tau, g[z](\tau, t, x))} - z_{(\tau, g[z](\tau, \bar{t}, \bar{x}))}\|_X \leq (Kd_1 + ML) \|g[z](\tau, t, x) - g[z](\tau, \bar{t}, \bar{x})\|. \quad (8)$$

It follows from Assumption H[X] and from (7) - (8) that the integral inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g[z](\tau, t, x) - g[z](\tau, \bar{t}, \bar{x})\| \\ & \leq \|x - \bar{x}\| + \left| \int_t^{\bar{t}} \alpha(\xi, \bar{\kappa}) d\xi \right| + \bar{d} \left| \int_t^{\tau} \beta(\xi, \kappa_0) \|g[z](\xi, t, x) - g[z](\xi, \bar{t}, \bar{x})\| d\xi \right| \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied. Now we obtain (5) by the Gronwall inequality.

For  $z \in Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$  and  $\bar{z} \in Y_{c, \bar{\varphi}}[\omega, d]$  we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|z_{(\xi, g[z](\xi, t, x))} - \bar{z}_{(\xi, g[\bar{z}](\xi, t, x))}\|_X \\ & \leq (Kd_1 + M\bar{L}) \|g[z](\xi, t, x) - g[\bar{z}](\xi, t, x)\| \\ & \quad + K \|z - \bar{z}\|_{[0, \xi; \mathbb{R}^n]} + M \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_{(X, \infty)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It follows from Assumption H[X] and from (9) that the integral inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g[z](\tau, t, x) - g[\bar{z}](\tau, t, x)\| \\ & \leq \left| \int_t^{\tau} \beta(\xi, \kappa_0) [\|z - \bar{z}\|_{[0, \xi; \mathbb{R}^n]} + M \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_{(X, \infty)}] d\xi \right| \\ & \quad + \bar{d} \left| \int_t^{\tau} \beta(\xi, \kappa_0) \|g[z](\xi, t, x) - g[\bar{z}](\xi, t, x)\| d\xi \right| \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied. Now we obtain (6) by the Gronwall inequality. This completes the proof of the lemma ■

### 3. Existence and uniqueness of solutions

Now we construct an integral operator corresponding to problem (1) - (2). Suppose that the function  $\varphi$  satisfies Assumption H<sub>0</sub>,  $c \in (0, a]$ ,  $z \in Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$  and  $g[z](\cdot, t, x)$  is the bicharacteristic corresponding to  $z$ . Let us define the operator  $U_\varphi$  for all  $z \in Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$  be the formulas

$$U_\varphi z(t, x) = \varphi(0, g[z](0, t, x)) + \int_0^t f(\tau, g[z](\tau, t, x), z_{(\tau, g[z](\tau, t, x))}) d\tau \quad (10)$$

where  $(t, x) \in [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$U_\varphi z(t, x) = \varphi(t, x) \quad \text{on } (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (11)$$

**Remark 3.1.** The operator  $U_\varphi$  is obtained by integration of equation (1) along bicharacteristics.

Now we give sufficient conditions for the solvability of the equation  $z = U_\varphi z$  on  $Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$ .

**Assumption H[f].** Suppose the following:

1) The function  $f(\cdot, x, w) : [0, a] \rightarrow B$  is measurable for  $(x, w) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times X$  and  $f(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times X \rightarrow B$  is continuous for almost all  $t \in [0, a]$ .

2) For  $(x, w) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times X[\kappa]$  and for almost all  $t \in [0, a]$  we have

$$\|f(t, x, w)\| \leq \alpha(t, \kappa). \tag{12}$$

3) For  $(x, w), (\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times X_L[\kappa]$  and for almost all  $t \in [0, a]$  we have

$$\|f(t, x, w) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{w})\| \leq \beta(t, \kappa) [\|x - \bar{x}\| + \|w - \bar{w}\|_X].$$

**Remark 3.2.** We prove a theorem on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of problem (1) - (2). For simplicity of notations, we have assumed the same estimation for  $\varrho$  and for  $f$ . We have assumed also the Lipschitz condition for these functions with the same coefficient.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that Assumptions H[X], H<sub>0</sub>, H[ϱ] and H[f] are satisfied. Then there are  $(d_0, d_1) = d \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $c \in (0, a]$  and  $\omega \in L([0, c], \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $U_\varphi : Y_{c,\varphi}[\omega, d] \rightarrow Y_{c,\varphi}[\omega, d]$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that the constants  $(d_0, d_1) = d$  and  $c \in (0, a]$  and the function  $\omega \in L([0, c], \mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfy the conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d_0 &\geq \bar{L} + \int_0^c \alpha(\tau, \bar{\kappa}) d\tau \\ d_1 &\geq \Gamma_c \\ \omega(t) &\geq (1 + \Gamma_c) \alpha(t, \bar{\kappa}) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

where

$$\Gamma_c = \left[ L + \bar{d} \int_0^c \beta(\tau, \kappa_0) d\tau \right] \exp \left[ \bar{d} \int_0^c \beta(\tau, \kappa_0) d\tau \right]. \tag{13}$$

Suppose that  $z \in Y_{c,\varphi}[\omega, d]$ . Then we have

$$\|U_\varphi z(t, x)\| \leq \bar{L} + \int_0^c \alpha(\tau, \bar{\kappa}) d\tau \leq d_0 \quad \text{on } [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{14}$$

If  $(t, x), (\bar{t}, \bar{x}) \in [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , then using Lemma 2.1 and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|U_\varphi z(t, x) - U_\varphi z(\bar{t}, \bar{x})\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi(0, g[z](0, t, x)) - \varphi(0, g[z](0, \bar{t}, \bar{x}))\| + \left| \int_t^{\bar{t}} \alpha(\tau, \bar{\kappa}) d\tau \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^t \beta(\tau, \kappa_0) \left[ \|g[z](\tau, t, x) - g[z](\tau, t, \bar{x})\| + \|z_{(\tau, g[z](\tau, t, x))} - z_{(\tau, g[z](\tau, t, \bar{x}))}\|_X \right] d\tau \\
 & \leq \Gamma_c \left[ \|x - \bar{x}\| + \left| \int_t^i \alpha(\tau, \bar{\kappa}) d\tau \right| \right] + \left| \int_t^i \alpha(\tau, \bar{\kappa}) d\tau \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that

$$\|U_\varphi z(t, x) - U_\varphi z(\bar{t}, \bar{x})\| \leq d_1 \|x - \bar{x}\| + \left| \int_t^i \omega(\tau) d\tau \right|. \tag{15}$$

It follows from (14) and (15) that  $U_\varphi z \in Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$  which completes the proof of the lemma ■

Next we will show that there exists exactly one solution of problem (1) - (2). The solution is local with respect to  $t$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose that Assumptions  $H[X]$ ,  $H_0$ ,  $H[\varrho]$  and  $H[f]$  are satisfied. Then there are  $(d_0, d_1) = d \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $c \in (0, a]$  and  $\omega \in L([0, c], \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that problem (1) - (2) has exactly one solution  $u \in Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$ .*

*If  $\bar{\varphi} : (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow B$  satisfies Assumption  $H_0$  and  $\bar{u} \in Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$  is a solution of equation (1) with the initial condition  $z = \bar{\varphi}$  on  $(-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , then there is  $\Lambda_c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that*

$$\|u - \bar{u}\|_{[0, t, \mathbb{R}^n]} \leq \Lambda_c \left[ \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_{(X, \infty)} + \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\varphi(0, y) - \bar{\varphi}(0, y)\| \right] \tag{16}$$

where  $t \in [0, c]$ .

**Proof.** Lemma 3.3 shows that there are  $(d_0, d_1) = d, c \in (0, a]$  and  $\omega \in L([0, c], \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $U_\varphi : Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d] \rightarrow Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$ . Write

$$\lambda_c = K(1 + \Gamma_c) \int_0^c \beta(\tau, \kappa_0) d\tau$$

where  $\Gamma_c$  is given by (13). Let  $c \in (0, a]$  be such a constant that  $\lambda_c < 1$ . Now we prove that  $U_\varphi$  is a contraction on  $Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$ . If  $z, \bar{z} \in Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|U_\varphi z(t, x) - U_\varphi \bar{z}(t, x)\| & \leq L \|g[z](0, t, x) - g[\bar{z}](0, t, x)\| \\
 & + \int_0^t \beta(\tau, \kappa_0) \left[ \|g[z](\tau, t, x) - g[\bar{z}](\tau, t, x)\| \right. \\
 & \left. + \|z_{(\tau, g[z](\tau, t, x))} - \bar{z}_{(\tau, g[\bar{z}](\tau, t, x))}\|_X \right] d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

The estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|z_{(\tau, g[z](\tau, t, x))} - \bar{z}_{(\tau, g[\bar{z}](\tau, t, x))}\|_X \\
 & \leq (Kd_1 + M\bar{L}) \|g[z](\tau, t, x) - g[\bar{z}](\tau, t, x)\| + K \|z - \bar{z}\|_{[0, \tau, \mathbb{R}^n]}
 \end{aligned}$$



and Lemma 2.1 imply

$$\|U_\varphi z(t, x) - U_\varphi \bar{z}(t, x)\| \leq K(1 + \Gamma_c) \int_0^t \beta(\tau, \kappa_0) \|z - \bar{z}\|_{[0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^n]} d\tau$$

for all  $(t, x) \in [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , and consequently

$$\|U_\varphi z - U_\varphi \bar{z}\|_{[0, c; \mathbb{R}^n]} \leq \lambda_c \|z - \bar{z}\|_{[0, c; \mathbb{R}^n]}.$$

By the Banach fixed point theorem there exists a unique solution  $u \in Y_{c, \varphi}[\omega, d]$  of the equation  $z = U_\varphi z$ .

Now we prove that  $u$  is a solution of (1). We have proved that

$$u(t, x) = \varphi(0, g[u](0, t, x)) + \int_0^t f(\tau, g[u](\tau, t, x), u_{(\tau, g[u](\tau, t, x))}) d\tau \tag{17}$$

on  $[0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . For given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let us put  $\eta = g[u](0, t, x)$ . It follows that  $g[u](\tau, t, x) = g[u](\tau, 0, \eta)$  for  $\tau \in [0, c]$  and that  $x = g[u](t, 0, \eta)$ . The relations  $\eta = g[u](0, t, x)$  and  $x = g[u](t, 0, \eta)$  are equivalent for  $x, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . It follows from (17) that

$$u(t, g[u](t, 0, \eta)) = \varphi(0, \eta) + \int_0^t f(\tau, g[u](\tau, 0, \eta), u_{(\tau, g[u](\tau, 0, \eta))}) d\tau \tag{18}$$

where  $(t, \eta) \in [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . By differentiating (18) with respect to  $t$  and by using the transformation  $\eta = g[u](0, t, x)$  which preserves sets of measure zero, we obtain that  $u$  satisfies equation (1) for almost all  $(t, x) \in [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . It follows from (11) that  $u$  satisfies also condition (2).

Now we prove relation (16). If  $u = U_\varphi u$  and  $\bar{u} = U_\varphi \bar{u}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t, x) - \bar{u}(t, x)\| \\ & \leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\varphi(0, y) - \bar{\varphi}(0, y)\| + L \|g[u](0, t, x) - g[\bar{u}](0, t, x)\| \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \beta(\tau, \kappa_0) \left[ d \|g[u](\tau, t, x) - g[\bar{u}](\tau, t, x)\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + K \|u - \bar{u}\|_{[0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^n]} + M \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_{(X, \infty)} \right] d\tau \end{aligned}$$

where  $(t, x) \in [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Put

$$A_c = (1 + \Gamma_c)M \int_0^t \beta(\tau, \kappa_0) d\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(t) = K(1 + \Gamma_c)\beta(t, \kappa_0).$$

Then we get the integral inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - \bar{u}\|_{[0, t; \mathbb{R}^n]} \\ & \leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\varphi(0, y) - \bar{\varphi}(0, y)\| + A_c \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_{(X, \infty)} + \int_0^t \gamma(\tau) \|u - \bar{u}\|_{[0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^n]} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, c]$ . It follows from the Gronwall inequality that we have estimate (16) for  $\Lambda_c = \exp\left[\int_0^c \gamma(\tau) d\tau\right]$ . This completes the proof of the theorem ■

#### 4. Phase spaces

We give examples of spaces  $X$  satisfying Assumption  $H[X]$ .

**Example 4.1.** Let  $X$  be the class of all function  $w : (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \rightarrow B$  which are uniformly continuous and bounded on  $(-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r]$ . For  $w \in X$  we write

$$\|w\|_X = \sup \left\{ \|w(\tau, s)\| : (\tau, s) \in (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \right\}.$$

Let  $X_L \subset X$  denote the set of all  $w \in X$  such that

$$|w|_L = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|w(\tau, s) - w(\tau, \bar{s})\|}{\|s - \bar{s}\|} : (\tau, s), (\tau, \bar{s}) \in (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \right\} < +\infty. \quad (19)$$

Write  $\|w\|_{X_L} = \|w\|_X + |w|_L$  where  $w \in X_L$ . Then Assumption  $H[X]$  is satisfied.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $X$  be the class of all functions  $w : (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \rightarrow B$  such that

- (i)  $w$  is continuous and bounded on  $(-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r]$
- (ii) the limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} w(t, x)$  exists uniformly with respect to  $x \in [-r, +r]$ .

Let

$$\|w\|_X = \sup \left\{ \|w(\tau, s)\| : (\tau, s) \in (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \right\}.$$

Let  $X_L \subset X$  denote the class of all  $w \in X$  such that the Lipschitz condition (19) is satisfied. Write  $\|w\|_{X_L} = \|w\|_X + |w|_L$  where  $w \in X_L$ . Then Assumption  $H[X]$  is satisfied.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $\gamma : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  be a continuous function. Assume also that  $\gamma$  is non-increasing on  $(-\infty, 0]$ . Let  $X$  be the space of continuous functions  $w : (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \rightarrow B$  for which

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\|w(\tau, x)\|}{\gamma(\tau)} = 0 \quad (x \in [-r, +r]).$$

Put

$$\|w\|_X = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|w(\tau, s)\|}{\gamma(\tau)} : (\tau, s) \in (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \right\}.$$

Denote by  $X_L \subset X$  the set of all  $w \in X$  such that

$$|w|_{\gamma, L} = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|w(\tau, s) - w(\tau, \bar{s})\|}{\gamma(\tau) \|s - \bar{s}\|} : (\tau, s), (\tau, \bar{s}) \in (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \right\} < +\infty.$$

For  $w \in X_L$  put  $\|w\|_{X_L} = \|w\|_X + |w|_{\gamma, L}$ . Then Assumption  $H[X]$  is satisfied.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $p \geq 1$  be fixed. Denote by  $X$  the class of all functions  $w : (-\infty, 0] \times [-r, +r] \rightarrow B$  such that

- (i)  $w$  is continuous on  $[-\delta, 0] \times [-r, +r]$
- (ii) for  $x \in [-r, +r]$  we have  $\int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} \|w(\tau, x)\|^p d\tau < +\infty$

(iii)  $w(t, \cdot) : [-r, +r] \rightarrow B$  is continuous for  $t \in (-\infty, -\delta]$ .

Write

$$\|w\|_X = \sup \left\{ \|z(\tau, s)\| : (\tau, s) \in [-\delta, 0] \times [-r, +r] \right\} + \sup \left\{ \left( \int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} \|w(\tau, x\|{}^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} : x \in [-r, +r] \right\}.$$

Let  $X_L \subset X$  be the set of functions  $w \in X$  such that the Lipschitz condition (19) is satisfied. Write  $\|w\|_{X_L} = \|w\|_X + |w|_L$  where  $w \in X_L$ . Then Assumption H[X] is satisfied.

**Remark 4.5.** Differential equations with a deviated argument and differential-integral equations can be obtained from equation (1) by specializing operators  $\varrho$  and  $f$ .

**Remark 4.6.** It is important in our considerations that we have assumed the Lipschitz condition for given functions on some special function spaces. More precisely, we have assumed that the functions  $\varrho(t, \cdot)$  and  $f(t, \cdot)$  satisfy the Lipschitz condition on the space  $\mathbb{R}^n \times X_L$  for almost all  $t \in [0, a]$ , and the condition is local with respect to the functional variable.

Let us consider simplest assumption on  $\varrho$  and  $f$ . Suppose that there is  $P \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that for almost all  $t \in [0, a]$  we have

$$\|\varrho(t, x, w) - \varrho(t, \bar{x}, \bar{w})\| \leq P[\|x - \bar{x}\| + \|w - \bar{w}\|_X] \tag{20}$$

$$\|f(t, x, w) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{w})\| \leq P[\|x - \bar{x}\| + \|w - \bar{w}\|_X] \tag{21}$$

where  $(x, w), (\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times X$ . Of course, our results are true if we assume (20), (21) instead of (3), (12).

Now we show that formulations (3), (12) are important. We show that there is a class of quasilinear equations satisfying (3), (12) but not satisfying (20), (21). Let  $X$  and  $X_L$  be the spaces given in Example 4.1. Consider the equation with a deviated argument

$$D_t z(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\varrho}_i(t, x, z(\psi_0(t), \psi(t, x))) D_{x_i} z(t, x) = \bar{f}(t, x, z(\psi_0(t), \psi(t, x))) \tag{22}$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \bar{\varrho} &= (\bar{\varrho}_1, \dots, \bar{\varrho}_n) : [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ f &: [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times B \rightarrow B \\ \psi_0 &: [0, a] \rightarrow (-\infty, a] \\ \psi &: [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

We assume that  $\psi(t) \leq t$  and  $-r \leq \psi(t, x) - x \leq +r$  for  $(t, x) \in [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . We get (22) by putting in (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(t, x, w) &= \bar{\varrho}(t, x, w(\psi_0(t) - t, \psi(t, x) - \bar{x})) \\ f(t, x, w) &= \bar{f}(t, x, w(\psi_0(t) - t, \psi(t, x) - x)). \end{aligned}$$

From now we consider the function  $\varrho$  only. Suppose that there are  $\bar{C}, \tilde{C} \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\varrho}(t, x, \zeta) - \tilde{\varrho}(t, \bar{x}, \bar{\zeta})\| &\leq \bar{C} [\|x - \bar{x}\| + \|\zeta - \bar{\zeta}\|] \\ \|\psi(t, x) - \psi(t, \bar{x})\| &\leq \tilde{C} \|x - \bar{x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that for  $(x, w), (\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times X_L[\kappa]$  and for almost all  $t \in [0, a]$  we have

$$\|\varrho(t, x, w) - \varrho(t, \bar{x}, \bar{w})\| \leq \bar{C} [1 + \kappa(1 + \tilde{C})] \|x - \bar{x}\| + \bar{C} \|w - \bar{w}\|_X.$$

Then condition (3) is satisfied.

We see at once the the function  $\varrho(t, \cdot)$  does not satisfy the global Lipschitz condition (20) for  $(x, w), (\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times X$ . Similar consideration apply to  $f$ .

## References

- [1] Brandi, P. and R. Ceppitelli: *Existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence for a first order nonlinear partial differential equation in a hereditary structure*. Ann. Polon. Math. 47 (1986), 121 - 136.
- [2] Czlapiński, T.: *On the existence of generalized solutions of nonlinear first order partial differential-functional equations in two independent variables*. Czech. Math. J. 41 (1991), 490 - 506.
- [3] Czlapiński, T.: *On the mixed problem for quasilinear partial differential-functional equations of the first order*. Z. Anal. Anw. 16 (1997), 464 - 478.
- [4] Hino, Y., Murakami, S. and T. Naito: *Functional Differential Equations with Infinite Delay*. Lect. Notes Math. 1473 (1991), 1 - 317.
- [5] Jaruszewska - Walczak, D.: *Existence of solutions of first order partial differential-functional equations*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (7), 4 - B (1990), 57 - 82.
- [6] Kamenskii, G. A. and A. D. Myshkis: *Mixed functional-differential equations*. Nonl. Anal.: TMA 30 (1997), 2577 - 2584.
- [7] Kamont, Z.: *On the Chaplygin method for partial differential-functional equations of the first order*. Ann. Polon. Math. 37 (1980), 27 - 46.
- [8] Kamont, Z. and H. Leszczyński: *Uniqueness result for the generalized entropy solutions to the Cauchy problem for first-order partial differential-functional equations*. Z. Anal. Anw. 13 (1994), 477 - 491.
- [9] Lakshmikantham, V., Wen Li Zhi and Zhang Bing Gen: *Theory of Differential Equations with Unbounded Delay*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1994.
- [10] Leszczyński, H.: *On CC-solutions to the initial-boundary value problem for first order partial differential-functional equations*. Rend. Math. 15 (1995), 173 - 190.
- [11] Prządka, K.: *Difference methods for non-linear partial differential-functional equations of the first order*. Math. Nachr. 138 (1988), 105 - 123.
- [12] Szarski, J.: *Generalized Cauchy problem for differential-functional equations with first order partial derivatives*. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. sci. math. astr. phys. 24 (1976), 575 - 580.
- [13] Topolski, K.: *On the uniqueness of viscosity solutions for first order partial differential-functional equations*. Ann. Polon. Math. 59 (1994), 65 - 75.

- [14] Turo, J.: *A boundary value problem for hyperbolic systems of differential-functional equations*. Nonlin. Anal.: TMA 13 (1989), 7 - 18.
- [15] Walter, W.: *Functional differential equations of the Cauchy-Kovalevsky type*. Aeqat. Math. 28 (1985), 102 - 113.

Received 24.08.1998