

# On the Hilbert Inequality

Gao Mingzhe

**Abstract.** It is shown that the Hilbert inequality for double series can be improved by introducing the positive real number  $\frac{1}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{s^2(a)}{\|a\|^2} + \frac{s^2(b)}{\|b\|^2} \right)$  where  $s(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n}$  and  $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^2$  ( $x = a, b$ ). The coefficient  $\pi$  of the classical Hilbert inequality is proved not to be the best possible if  $\|a\|$  or  $\|b\|$  is finite. A similar result for the Hilbert integral inequality is also proved.

**Keywords:** *Hilbert inequality, binary quadratic form, exponential integral, inner product*

**AMS subject classification:** 26 D, 46 C

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be arbitrary real sequences. Then the *Hilbert inequality* for double series can be written as

$$\left( \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} \right)^2 \leq \pi^2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right). \quad (1)$$

Additionally,

$$\left( \sum_{\substack{m,n=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m-n} \right)^2 \leq \pi^2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right) \quad (2)$$

is also called *Hilbert inequality*. Furthermore, if  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  where  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ , then the inequality analogous to (1)

$$\left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{f(s)g(t)}{s+t} ds dt \right)^2 \leq \pi^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f^2(t) dt \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g^2(t) dt \right) \quad (3)$$

is called the *Hilbert integral inequality*. The constant  $\pi$  contained in these inequalities, especially in (1), was proved to be the best possible (see [3]). However, if  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty$  or  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$ , then we can select a number  $r > 0$  such that the right-hand side of (1) can be replaced by

$$\pi^2(1-r) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right),$$

---

Gao Mingzhe: Xiangxi Educ. Coll., Dept. Math., Jishou, Hunan 416000, P.R. China

i.e. an improvement of (1) will be obtained. Similarly, an improvement of (3) will be established. Namely, the right-hand side of (3) can be written as

$$\pi^2(1 - R) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f^2(t) dt \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g^2(t) dt \right)$$

with a number  $R > 0$ . The main purpose of the present paper is to prove the existence of such numbers  $r$  and  $R$  and to find expressions for them.

We first introduce some notations and functions.

If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are elements of an inner product space  $E$ , then its inner product is denoted by  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and the norm of  $\alpha$  is given by  $\|\alpha\| = \sqrt{(\alpha, \alpha)}$ . Further, if  $a = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $b = (b_n)_{n \geq 1}$  are two real sequences, then its inner product  $(a, b)$  and the norm  $\|a\|$  of  $a$  are defined by

$$(a, b) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n \quad \text{and} \quad \|a\| = \sqrt{(a, a)}. \tag{4}$$

Analogously, for functions  $f, g \in L^2(a, b)$  its inner product  $(f, g)$  and the norm  $\|f\|$  of  $f$  are defined by

$$(f, g) = \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\| = \left( \int_a^b f^2(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{5}$$

We next introduce a binary quadratic form  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined by

$$F(x, y) = \|\alpha\|^2 x^2 - 2(\alpha, \beta)xy + \|\beta\|^2 y^2 \tag{6}$$

where  $x = (\beta, \gamma)$  and  $y = (\alpha, \gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in E$ . We further denote

$$G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = F((\beta, \gamma), (\alpha, \gamma)). \tag{7}$$

The results involve  $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  specified beforehand, and  $\gamma$  to be chosen for maximum felicity. It is obvious that if  $\gamma$  is orthogonal to both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then  $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0$ . It will turn out that if  $(\alpha, \gamma)^2 + (\beta, \gamma)^2 > 0$  (see Lemma 1). Therefore, it is shrewd in every case to choose  $\gamma$  not orthogonal to both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

For convenience, we introduce yet the notations

$$u(a, b) = \sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m + n}, \quad v(a, b) = \sum_{\substack{m, n=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m - n}, \quad s(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n}.$$

We shall frequently use these notations below.

### 2. Lemmas

To prove our theorems, we need the following results.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  be defined as in (7). If  $\alpha, \beta \in E$  are linearly independent and  $(\alpha, \gamma)^2 + (\beta, \gamma)^2 > 0$ , then  $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) > 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  be defined as defined in (7). If  $\alpha, \beta \in E$  are linearly dependent, then  $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0$ .*

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  be defined as defined in (7). If  $\alpha, \beta \in E$  are arbitrary and  $\gamma \in E$  with  $\|\gamma\| = 1$ , then*

$$(\alpha, \beta)^2 \leq \|\alpha\|^2 \|\beta\|^2 - G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), \tag{8}$$

and equality holds in (8) if and only if  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are linearly dependent.

The proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2 have been given in our previous paper [1]. Lemma 3 is actually a sharpening of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This result has been given also in the paper [1], and in [5]. Hence the proofs of all lemmas are omitted.

Using the inner product defined by (5) and Lemma 3, we have the following result.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $f, g \in L^2(a, b)$ , then*

$$(f, g)^2 \leq \|f\|^2 \|g\|^2 - F(x, y) \tag{9}$$

where  $F(x, y) = \|f\|^2 x^2 - 2(f, g)xy + \|g\|^2 y^2$  with  $x = (g, \gamma)$  and  $y = (f, \gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in L^2(a, b)$  with  $\|\gamma\| = 1$ .

### 3. Main results

In this section we will combine the two forms (1) and (2) of the Hilbert inequality into one similar form, and make inequalities (1) - (3) realize significant improvements. The following theorems are the main results in this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $a = (a_n)$  and  $b = (b_n)$  are real sequences with non-negative terms, with  $0 < \|a\| < \infty$  or  $0 < \|b\| < \infty$ , then*

$$u^2(a, b) + v^2(a, b) < \pi^2(1 - r)\|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 \tag{10}$$

where  $r = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{s^2(a)}{\|a\|^2} + \frac{s^2(b)}{\|b\|^2} \right)$ .

**Proof.** Let us define two real functions  $f, g : (0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sqrt{t} \sin(nt) \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sqrt{t} \cos(nt).$$

It is easily to deduce that, with the notations of the space  $L^2(0, 2\pi)$ ,

$$|u(a, b) + v(a, b)| = \frac{1}{\pi} |(f, g)|. \tag{11}$$

According to (5) and (6) we have  $(f, g)^2 \leq \|f\|^2 \|g\|^2 - F(x, y)$  where  $\|f\|^2 = \pi^2 \|a\|^2$ ,  $\|g\|^2 = \pi^2 \|b\|^2$  and

$$F(x, y) = \|f\|^2 x^2 - 2(f, g)xy + \|g\|^2 y^2 \geq (\|f\|x - \|g\|y)^2 = \pi^2 (\|a\|x - \|b\|y)^2.$$

Hence

$$(f, g)^2 \leq \pi^4 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - \pi^2 (\|a\|x - \|b\|y)^2 \tag{12}$$

where  $x = (g, \gamma)$  and  $y = (f, \gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in L^2(0, 2\pi)$  with  $\|\gamma\| = 1$ . We can choose  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{2} \bar{t}$ . Then  $x = 0$  and  $y = -\sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = -\sqrt{2} s(a)$ . Hence

$$(\|a\|x - \|b\|y)^2 = 2\|b\|^2 s^2(a). \tag{13}$$

In virtue of (11) - (13) we obtain

$$|u(a, b) + v(a, b)|^2 \leq \pi^2 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - 2\|b\|^2 s^2(a). \tag{14}$$

Since the vectors  $f, g, \gamma$  are linearly independent, by Lemma 3, it is impossible to take equality in (14). Hence we have

$$|u(a, b) + v(a, b)|^2 < \pi^2 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - 2\|b\|^2 s^2(a). \tag{15}$$

Notice that  $u(b, a) = u(a, b)$  and  $v(b, a) = -v(a, b)$ . Interchanging  $a$  and  $b$  in (11), similarly we obtain

$$|u(a, b) - v(a, b)|^2 < \pi^2 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - 2\|a\|^2 s^2(b). \tag{16}$$

Adding (15) and (16), inequality (10) is yielded after some simplifications. Thus the proof of the theorem is completed ■

**Remark.** Since  $a = (a_n)$  and  $b = (b_n)$  are real sequences with non-negative terms, with  $0 < \|a\| < \infty$  or  $0 < \|b\| < \infty$ , it follows that  $r > 0$ . Hence inequality (10) is a significant refinement of the paper [4].

**Corollary 2.** *If  $a = (a_n)$  is a real sequence with non-negative terms and  $0 < \|a\| < \infty$ , then*

$$u^2(a, a) + v^2(a, a) < \pi^2 (1 - \tilde{r}) \|a\|^4 \tag{17}$$

where  $\tilde{r} = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{s^2(a)}{\|a\|^2}$ .

If  $v^2(a, b)$  in (10) is replaced by 0, then we have the following

**Corollary 3.** *With the assumptions of Theorem 1, then*

$$u^2(a, b) < \pi^2 (1 - r) \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 \tag{18}$$

where  $r = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{s^2(a)}{\|a\|^2} + \frac{s^2(b)}{\|b\|^2} \right)$ .

We see from the above Remark that inequality (18) is a significant improvement of (1). According to Corollary 2 we obtain at once the following

**Corollary 4.** *If  $a = (a_n)$  is a real sequence with non-negative terms and  $0 < \|a\| < \infty$ , then*

$$u^2(a, a) < \pi^2(1 - \tilde{r})\|a\|^4 \tag{19}$$

where  $\tilde{r} = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{s^2(a)}{\|a\|^2}$ .

Similarly, we can establish an improvement of the Hilbert integral inequality. For this we need the integral

$$e(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{e^{-s}}{s+t} ds \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$$

called *exponential integral with parameter t*.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be positive. Then*

$$\left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{f(s)g(t)}{s+t} dsdt \right)^2 < \pi^2(1 - R)\|f\|^2\|g\|^2 \tag{20}$$

where  $R = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{x}{\|g\|} - \frac{y}{\|f\|} \right)^2$  with  $x = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, e)$  and  $y = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(f, e^{-s})$ ,  $e$  being the exponential integral with parameter.

**Proof.** Define functions  $F$  and  $G$  by

$$F(s, t) = \frac{f(s)}{(s+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad \text{and} \quad G(s, t) = \frac{g(t)}{(s+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Using inequality (9) we have in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{f(s)g(t)}{s+t} dsdt \right)^2 &= (F, G)^2 \\ &\leq \|F\|^2\|G\|^2 - F(x, y) \\ &\leq \|F\|^2\|G\|^2 - (\|F\|x - \|G\|y)^2 \quad \spadesuit \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where  $x = (G, \gamma)$  and  $y = (F, \gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  with  $\|\gamma\| = 1$ . We can choose

$$\gamma(s, t) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{e^{-s}}{(s+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Hence we get

$$x = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, e) \quad \text{and} \quad y = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(f, e^{-s}). \tag{22}$$

It is easy to deduce that

$$\|F\|^2 = \pi\|f\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|G\|^2 = \pi\|g\|^2. \tag{23}$$

Substituting (22) and (23) into (21) we obtain

$$(F, G)^2 \leq \pi^2\|f\|^2\|g\|^2 - \pi(\|f\|x - \|g\|y)^2. \tag{24}$$

Since  $F, G, \gamma$  are linearly independent, it is impossible to have equality in (24). Consequently, inequality (20) is obtained from (24) after some simplifications. Thus the theorem is proved ■

**Corollary 5.** *If  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is positive, then*

$$\left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{f(s)f(t)}{s+t} dsdt \right)^2 < \pi^2(1 - \tilde{R})\|f\|^4$$

where  $\tilde{R} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{(x-y)^2}{\|f\|^2}$  with  $x = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(f, e)$  and  $y = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(f, e^{-s})$ ,  $e$  being the exponential integral with parameter.

Obviously, this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.

#### 4. Conclusions

Some classical results concerning the Hilbert inequality show that the constant  $\pi$  in (1) is the best possible (see, i.e., [1, 2, 5, 6]). We see from (18) that inequality in (1) can be obtained only if  $r = 0$ . However, to change  $r$  into 0, it is necessary to take both  $\|a\|$  and  $\|b\|$  infinite. Therefore, generally, the constant  $\pi$  in (1) is not the best possible because the constant  $r$  contained in (18) is not equal to 0 if  $\|a\|$  or  $\|b\|$  is finite. In other words, the factor  $\pi$  in (1) can be decreased if  $0 < \|a\| < \infty$  or  $0 < \|b\| < \infty$ .

Similarly, we see from (20) that strong inequality in (3) can be obtained only if  $R = 0$ . In other words, the factor  $\pi$  in (3) is also not the best possible if  $\|f\|$  or  $\|g\|$  is finite.

**Acknowledgement.** The author is indebted to the referees for many valuable suggestions in this subject.

#### References

- [1] Gao Mingzhe, Tan Li and L. Debnath: *Some improvements on Hilbert's integral inequality*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 229 (1999), 682 - 689.
- [2] Gao Mingzhe and Yang Bichen: *On the extended Hilbert's inequality*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 751 - 759.
- [3] Hardy, G. H., Littlewood, J. E. and G. Polya: *Inequalities*. Cambridge: Univ. Press 1952.
- [4] Hu Ke: *On Hilbert's inequality*. Chin. Ann. Math. (Ser. B) 13 (1992), 35 - 39.
- [5] Mitrinovic, D. S.: *Analytic Inequalities*. New York: Springer-Verlag 1970.
- [6] Oleszkiewicz, K.: *An elementary proof on Hilbert's inequality*. Amer. Math. Monthly 100 (1993), 276 - 280.

Received 04.01.1999

# Recursion Formulae for $\sum_{m=1}^n m^k$

Sen-Lin Guo and Feng Qi

**Abstract.** Using elementary approach and mathematical induction, several recursion formulae for  $S_k(n) = \sum_{m=1}^n m^k$  are presented which show that  $S_{k+1}(n)$  could be obtained from  $S_k(n)$ . A method and a formula of calculating Bernoulli numbers are proposed.

**Keywords:** *Recursion formulas, sum of powers, mathematical induction, Bernoulli numbers*

**AMS subject classification:** Primary 11 B 37, secondary 11 B 68, 11 B 83

## 1. Introduction

By definition and geometric meanings of the definite integral, it is well-known that the area under the curve  $y = x^k$  over the closed interval  $[0, 1]$  equals

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^n m^k\right).$$

To complete the solution of this and many similar problems, it is then necessary to find the sums

$$S_k(n) = \sum_{m=1}^n m^k. \quad (1)$$

For small integer  $k > 0$ , the sums always appear in many calculus courses. For example,

$$S_7(n) = \frac{1}{24} n^2 (n+1)^2 (3n^4 + 6n^3 - n^2 - 4n + 2)$$

and the like [6: p. 11]. Such sums are usually proved by induction or derived from simple geometric pictures. For arbitrary  $k$ , unfortunately, the standard closed forms involve Bernoulli numbers or Stirling numbers of the second kind [4: p. 119], which come from reasonably complicated recurrence relations.

H. J. Schultz [10] derived a procedure for finding  $S_k(n)$ ,  $k$  a positive integer, that is easy to remember, arises naturally, and can be used with very little background.

---

Sen-Lin Guo: Zhengzhou Textile Inst., Dept. Basic Sci., Zhengzhou City, Henan 450007, P. R. China

Feng Qi: Jiaozuo Inst. Techn., Dept. Math., Jiaozuo City, Henan 454000, P. R. China; e-mail: qifeng@jzit.edu.cn. Partially supported by NSF of Henan Province, P. R. China

However, he only illustrated the method by finding  $S_6(n)$ . According to [10], if one wants to compute, in general,

$$S_k(n) = A_{k+1}n^{k+1} + \dots + A_1n + A_0, \tag{2}$$

a system of  $k + 1$  equations

$$\sum_{i=j+1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i-j+1} \binom{i}{j} A_i = 0 \quad (0 \leq j \leq k)$$

must be solved.

Let  $B_n$  be the  $n$ -th Bernoulli number defined in [6: p. 648] and [9: p. 632] by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad (|x| \leq 2\pi). \tag{3}$$

Then  $A_1$  obtained from the formula for  $S_k(n)$  is the  $k$ -th Bernoulli number  $B_k$  (for details see [11: p. 320]). It is noted that the concept of Bernoulli polynomial is generalized in [8] by the second author.

There are many inequalities related to the sum  $S_\alpha(n) = \sum_{m=1}^n m^\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary real number. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} n^{\alpha+1} &< (\alpha + 1)S_\alpha(n) < (n + 1)^{\alpha+1} - 1 \\ (\alpha + 1)[S_\alpha(n) - 1] &< n^{\alpha+1} - 1 < (\alpha + 1)S_\alpha(n - 1) \\ (n + 1)^{\alpha+1} - n^{\alpha+1} &< (\alpha + 1)[S_\alpha(n) - S_\alpha(n - 1)] < n^{\alpha+1} - (n - 1)^{\alpha+1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha < -1$  and  $-1 < \alpha < 0$ , respectively. The proofs of these inequalities could be found in [7: pp. 84 - 85].

In [5, 12, 13] the relationships between Bernoulli numbers and the sum (1) were also studied using the Euler-Maclaurin formula and other devices. It is worth noting that a fascinating account of the early history of the problem above and standard recursion formulas for  $S_k(n)$  as originally stated by Pascal are given in [3].

In this article, we prove that  $S_k(n)$  is a  $(k + 1)$ -th degree polynomial for  $n$  with constant term 0 (that is, formula (2) is valid) and

$$S_{k+1}(n) = (k + 1) \left( \frac{A_{k+1}}{k+2} n^{k+2} + \frac{A_k}{k+1} n^{k+1} + \dots + \frac{A_2}{3} n^3 + \frac{A_1}{2} n^2 \right) + b_1 n \tag{4}$$

where

$$b_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for even } k > 0 \\ 1 - (k + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{A_i}{i+1} & \text{for odd } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

Formula (4) shows that we can use the coefficients  $A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$ ) in  $S_k(n)$  to get the expression of  $S_{k+1}(n)$ . In fact, it also gives a method of computing Bernoulli numbers  $B_{k+1}$ . At last, other formulae for calculating Bernoulli numbers and  $\sum_{m=1}^n m^k$  are given.



## 2. Lemmas

To obtain our main results, the following lemmas are necessary. Moreover, these lemmas also give some recursion formulae for  $S_k(n)$ .

**Lemma 1.** For any integers  $k \geq 0$  and  $n > 0$ , we have

$$(1+n)^{k+1} = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k+1}{i} S_i(n). \tag{5}$$

**Proof.** Recalling the binomial expansion  $(1+m)^{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{i} m^i$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1+n)^{k+1} + S_{k+1}(n) - 1 &= \sum_{m=1}^n (1+m)^{k+1} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{i} m^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{i} \left( \sum_{m=1}^n m^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{i} S_i(n). \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$(1+n)^{k+1} = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k+1}{i} S_i(n).$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is completed ■

Lemma 1 shows that  $S_k(n)$  could be deduced from  $S_0(n), S_1(n), \dots, S_{k-1}(n)$ . Using Lemma 1 we can get

**Lemma 2.** For arbitrary integer  $k > 0$ ,

$$S_k(n) = \frac{1}{k+1} n^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} n^k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i n^i. \tag{6}$$

**Proof.** By mathematical induction on  $k$ , the result that  $S_k(n)$  is a  $(k+1)$ -th degree polynomial with constant term 0 follows straightforwardly. Equating the coefficients on the two sides of (5), it is deduced easily that the coefficients of  $n^{k+1}$  and  $n^k$  in  $S_k(n)$  are  $\frac{1}{k+1}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ , respectively. This completes the proof of Lemma 2 ■

Since  $S_k(1) = 1$ , formula (6) implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i = 12 - \frac{1}{k+1}. \tag{7}$$

For any integer  $k > 0$ , let  $\langle k \rangle$  stand for the largest odd number less than  $k$ . Then

$$k - \langle k \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for any even } k \\ 2 & \text{for any odd } k. \end{cases}$$

For example,  $\langle 2 \rangle = 1$ ,  $\langle 5 \rangle = 3$ , and so forth.

Let  $A_p^{(q)}$  denote the coefficient of  $n^p$  in  $S_q(n)$ . Then

**Lemma 3.** For any integer  $k > 1$ ,

$$S_k(n) = \frac{1}{k+1} n^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} n^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{\langle k \rangle + 1}{2}} \frac{1}{i} \binom{k}{2i-1} A_1^{(2i)} n^{k-2i+1}, \tag{9}$$

that is,

$$A_{k-2i+1}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2i} \binom{k}{2i-1} A_1^{(2i)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq \frac{\langle k \rangle + 1}{2}) \tag{10}$$

where  $A_1^{(2i)}$  is the coefficient of the term  $n$  in  $S_{2i}(n)$ .

**Proof.** We will use mathematical induction on  $k$ . It is clear that formula (9) is true for  $k = 2$ . Suppose the result is true for  $3, \dots, k - 1$ . From Lemma 2, we have

$$S_k(n) = \frac{1}{k+1} n^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} n^k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{k-i}^{(k)} n^{k-i}.$$

Equating the coefficients of  $n^{k-j}$  for  $j = 1, 3, \dots, \langle k \rangle$  in (5) gives us

$$A_{k-j}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k+1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{k+1}{k-j} - \frac{1}{k-j} \binom{k+1}{k-j-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{j-3}{2}} A_{k-j}^{(k-j+2i+1)} \binom{k+1}{k-j+2i+1} \right]. \tag{11}$$

By the inductive assumption, we have

$$A_{k-j}^{(k-j+2i+1)} = A_1^{(2(i+1))} \frac{1}{k-j+2(i+1)} \binom{k-j+2(i+1)}{2(i+1)} \tag{12}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq \frac{j-3}{2}$ . Combining (11) and (12) yields

$$A_{k-j}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k+1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{k+1}{k-j} - \frac{1}{k-j} \binom{k+1}{k-j-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{j-1}{2}} A_1^{(2i)} \frac{1}{k-j+2i} \binom{k-j+2i}{2i} \binom{k+1}{k-j+2i-1} \right]. \tag{13}$$

From (7) and the inductive assumption, it follows that

$$A_1^{(j+1)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{j+2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{j-1}{2}} A_{2i+1}^{(j+1)} \tag{14}$$

and

$$A_{j-2i}^{(j+1)} = A_1^{(2(i+1))} \frac{1}{j+2} \binom{j+2}{2(i+1)} \quad (0 \leq i \leq \frac{j-3}{2}). \tag{15}$$

Substituting (15) into (14) produces

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^{(j+1)} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j+1} &= \frac{1}{k+1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{k+1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{j+2} \binom{k+1}{j+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{j-1}{2}} A_1^{2i} \frac{1}{j+2} \binom{j+2}{2i} \binom{k+1}{j+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \binom{k+1}{k-j} - \frac{1}{k-j} \binom{k+1}{k-j-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{j-1}{2}} A_1^{2i} \frac{1}{k-j+2i} \binom{k-j+2i}{2i} \binom{k+1}{k-j+2i-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

From (13) and (16),

$$A_{k-j}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j+1} A_1^{(j+1)} \quad (j = 1, 3, \dots, \langle k \rangle)$$

is obtained. Similarly, by mathematical induction, we can prove that

$$A_{k-i}^{(k)} = 0 \quad (i = 2, 4, 6, \dots, \langle k \rangle + 1).$$

The proof of Lemma 3 is completed ■

Note Lemma 3 shows that the coefficients of the term  $n$  in  $S_2(n), \dots, S_{2i-2}(n)$  can be used to calculate  $S_{2i-1}(n)$  and  $S_{2i}(n)$ .

### 3. Main results

Now we use Lemma 3 to prove

**Main Theorem.** For any integer  $k > 1$ , let

$$S_k(n) = \frac{1}{k+1} n^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} n^k + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{(k)+1}{2}} A_{k-2i+1} n^{k-2i+1}.$$

Then

$$S_{k+1}(n) = \frac{1}{k+2} n^{k+2} + \frac{1}{2} n^{k+1} + (k+1) \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{\langle k \rangle + 1}{2}} \frac{A_{k-2i+1}}{k-2(i-1)} n^{k-2(i-1)} + b_1 n$$

where

$$b_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for even } k \\ \frac{1}{2} - \left[ \frac{1}{k+2} + (k+1) \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \frac{A_{k-2i+1}}{k-2i+2} \right] & \text{for odd } k. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

**Proof.** From (10) we know that the coefficients of  $n^{k-j}$  ( $j = 1, 3, \dots, \langle k \rangle$ ) in  $S_k(n)$  are

$$A_{k-j}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j+1} A_1^{(j+1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k-j}^{(k)} \frac{k+1}{k-j+1} &= A_1^{(j+1)} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j+1} \frac{k+1}{k-j+1} \\ &= A_1^{(j+1)} \frac{1}{k+2} \binom{k+2}{j+1} \\ &= A_{k-j+1}^{(k+1)} \end{aligned}$$

is the coefficient of  $n^{k+1-j}$  ( $j = 1, 3, \dots, \langle k \rangle$ ) in  $S_{k+1}(n)$ . If  $k$  is even, since  $k - \langle k \rangle + 1 = (k+1) - \langle k+1 \rangle$ , then  $b_1 = 0$  follows from (9). If  $k$  is odd, formula (17) follows from (7). This completes the proof ■

**Corollary.** Let  $A_i$  be the coefficients of the terms  $n^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ ) in  $S_k(n)$  and let  $B_i$  ( $i > 1$ ) be the  $i$ -th Bernoulli numbers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2j+1} &= 0 \\ B_{2j} &= \frac{1}{2} - \left[ \frac{1}{2j+1} + 2j \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{A_{2(j-i)}}{2(j-i)+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for every integer  $j \geq 1$ ,

**Remark.** By Lemmas 1 - 3 and Main Theorem, calculating directly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{10}(n) &= \frac{1}{11} n^{11} + \frac{1}{2} n^{10} + \frac{5}{6} n^9 - n^7 + n^5 - \frac{1}{2} n^3 + \frac{5}{66} n \\ S_{11}(n) &= \frac{1}{12} n^{12} + \frac{1}{2} n^{11} + \frac{11}{12} n^{10} - \frac{11}{8} n^8 + \frac{11}{6} n^6 - \frac{11}{8} n^4 + \frac{5}{12} n^2 \\ S_{12}(n) &= \frac{1}{13} n^{13} + \frac{1}{2} n^{12} + n^{11} - \frac{11}{6} n^9 + \frac{22}{7} n^7 - \frac{33}{10} n^5 + \frac{5}{3} n^3 - \frac{691}{2730} n \\ S_{20}(n) &= \frac{1}{21} n^{21} + \frac{1}{2} n^{20} + \frac{5}{3} n^{19} - \frac{19}{2} n^{17} + \frac{1292}{21} n^{15} - 323 n^{13} + \frac{41990}{33} n^{11} \\ &\quad - \frac{223193}{63} n^9 + 6460 n^7 - \frac{68723}{10} n^5 + \frac{219335}{63} n^3 - \frac{174611}{330} n \\ S_{21}(n) &= \frac{1}{22} n^{22} + \frac{1}{2} n^{21} + \frac{7}{4} n^{20} - \frac{133}{12} n^{18} + \frac{323}{4} n^{16} - \frac{969}{2} n^{14} + \frac{146965}{66} n^{12} \\ &\quad - \frac{223193}{30} n^{10} + \frac{33915}{2} n^8 - \frac{481061}{20} n^6 + \frac{219335}{12} n^4 - \frac{1222277}{220} n^2. \end{aligned}$$

From here the Bernoulli numbers

$$B_{10} = 566, \quad B_{12} = -\frac{691}{2730}, \quad B_{20} = -\frac{174611}{330}$$

are obtained.

#### 4. Another formulae for $\sum_{m=1}^n m^k$ and Bernoulli numbers

In this section, another formulae for computing Bernoulli numbers and  $\sum_{m=1}^n m^k$  will be given, from which we can get the Bernoulli numbers more easily (see [1] and [2: pp. 246 - 265]).

Define functions  $B_n$  by

$$\frac{ze^{zx}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} z^n \quad (|z| < 2\pi)$$

and write  $B_n = B_n(0)$  for the Bernoulli numbers. Then formula (3) follows by putting  $x = 0$ . We can equate coefficients of  $z^n$  in

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} z^n = \frac{z}{e^z - 1} \cdot e^{zx} = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} z^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} z^n \right)$$

to get

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k}. \tag{18}$$

Also, since

$$\frac{ze^{(x+1)z}}{e^z - 1} - \frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = ze^{xz},$$

we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(x+1) - B_n(x)}{n!} z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} z^{n+1},$$

and by equating coefficients of  $z^n$  we get

$$B_n(x+1) - B_n(x) = nx^{n-1}. \tag{19}$$

So putting  $x = 0$  we have

$$B_n = B_n(0) = B_n(1) \quad (n \neq 1). \tag{20}$$

Thus for  $n \geq 2$  we can put  $x = 1$  in (18) and use (20) to obtain

$$B_n = B_n(1) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k.$$

This is a much simpler recursion formula for computing Bernoulli numbers.

Result (19) can be used, taking  $x = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1, k$  and adding, to give

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(k+1) - B_n(1) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [B_n(k+1-i) - B_n(k-i)] \\ &= n \cdot k^{n-1} + n(k-1)^{n-1} + \dots + n \cdot 2^{n-1} + n \cdot 1^{n-1} \\ &= n \sum_{m=1}^k m^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{m=1}^k m^{k-1} = \frac{B_n(k+1) - B_n}{n}.$$

## References

- [1] Apostol, T.: *Mathematical Analysis*, 2nd edition. New York: Addison-Wesley 1974.
- [2] Apostol, T.: *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag 1976.
- [3] Boyer, C. B.: *Pascal's formula for the sums of powers of the integers*. Scripta Math. 9 (1943), 237 - 244.
- [4] Brualdi, R.: *Introductory Combinatorics*. Amsterdam: North-Holland 1977.
- [5] Jing-Tian Cao: *A method of summing series and some corollaries* (in Chinese). Mathematics in Practice and Theory 20 (1990)2, 77 - 84.
- [6] Group of Compilation: *Handbook of Mathematics* (in Chinese). Beijing: Higher Education Press 1979.
- [7] Ji-Chang Kuang: *Applied Inequalities*, 2nd ed. (in Chinese). Changsha: Hunan Education Press 1993.
- [8] Feng Qi: *Generalized Bernoulli polynomial*. Mathematics and Informatics Quarterly (to appear).
- [9] Mitrinović, D. S., Pečarić, J. E. and A. M. Fink: *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*. Dordrecht - Boston - London: Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1993.
- [10] Schultz, H. J.: *The sums of the k-th powers of the first n integers*. Amer. Math. Monthly 87 (1980), 478 - 481.
- [11] Struik, D. J.: *A Source Book in Mathematics 1200 - 1800*. Cambridge (Mass.): Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge 1969.
- [12] Bi-Cheng Yang: *Formulae related to Bernoulli number and for sums of the same power of natural numbers* (in Chinese). Mathematics in Practice and Theory 24 (1994)4, 52 - 56 and 74.
- [13] Nan-Yue Zhang: *Euler's number and some sums related to Zeta function* (in Chinese). Mathematics in Practice and Theory 20 (1990)4, 62 - 70.