Umbral Calculus and Cancellative Semigroup Algebras

V. V. Kisil

Dedicated to the memory of Gian-Carlo Rota

Abstract. We describe some connections between three different fields: combinatorics (umbral calculus), functional analysis (linear functionals and operators) and harmonic analysis (convolutions on group-like structures). Systematic usage of cancellative semigroups, their convolution algebras, and *tokens* between them provides a common language for description of objects from these three fields.

Keywords: Cancellative semigroups, umbral calculus, harmonic analysis, tokens, convolution algebras, integral transforms

AMS subject classification: 43 A 20, 05 A 40, 20 N ++

1. Introduction

We discuss some interactions between the representation theory of semigroups, umbral calculus in combinatorics, and linear operators in functional analysis. Our main bridge between them is the notion of cancellative semigroups, convolution algebras over them and tokens from such a semigroup to another.

We look for a vocabulary, which is reasonably general and allows us to translate without alterations as much about umbral calculus as possible. The language we select relies on convolution algebras. Such algebras arise from essentially different sources like groups, posets, or linear operators. The goal of the present paper is to study properties of convolutions algebras, which are independent from their origins.

Umbral calculus was known long ago but it was not well understood till very recent time. During its history it took many different faces: linear functionals [14], Hopf algebras [13], axiomatic definition [19] to list only few different interpretations.

The goal of our paper is to give a model, which realizes described features by means of common objects. What is the purpose of such models? One can think on the Poincaré model of non-Euclidean geometry in a disk. The model does not only demonstrate the

V. V. Kisil: Dept. Pure Math. of the Univ., Leeds LS2 9JT, UK; kisilv@amsta.leeds.ac.uk Supported by grant 3GP03196 of the FWO-Vlaanderen (Fund of Scientific Research-Flanders), Scientific Research Network "Fundamental Methods and Technique in Mathematics".

logical consistency of Lobachevski's geometry. Another (no less important) psychological function is to express the unusual geometry via objects of the intuitive classic geometry. The logical consistence of umbral calculus is evident (from papers [13, 14, 19], for example). But it is our feeling that some more links with fundamental objects are still desirable.

The paper presents basic definitions and properties of cancellative semigroups, tokens, convolution algebras, and their relations with umbral calculus. We will illustrate our consideration mostly by the simplest example: the cancellative semigroup of nonnegative integers \mathbb{N}_+ . More non-trivial examples will be present elsewhere.

The layout is as follows. In Section 2 we sketch three different interpretations of umbral calculus from papers [13, 14, 19]. Section 3 introduces cancellative semigroups, convolutions, and tokens and their basic properties. We use them in Section 4 to describe principal combinatorial objects like delta families, generating functions, and recurrence operators. We conclude the paper with Section 5 which links our construction with three realizations of umbral calculus from [13, 14, 19] recalled in Section 2.

2. Umbral calculus

Umbral calculus was put on a solid ground by G.-C. Rota and collaborates [11, 13, 14, 19] in three different ways at least. We repeat here some essential definitions from these papers.

2.1 Finite operator description. The three ways are based essentially on the two following fundamental notions [16]:

1. A polynomial sequence of binomial type, that is, a sequence of polynomials p_n (deg $p_n = n$) with complex coefficients, satisfying the identities

$$p_n(x+y) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k(x)p_{n-k}(y).$$
(2.1)

Our definition is different from the original one – we take polynomials divided by n!. Our choice will be explained shortly in connection with the group property. Such form of the defining identity together with the more accurate name *polynomial sequence of integral type* were used in [4]. Paper [10] also start from this formula, the polynomials are called there *convolution polynomials* and their investigation is made with the help of *Mathematica* software.

2. A shift-invariant operator, namely a linear operator S on the vector space \mathcal{P} of all such polynomials, which commutes with the ordinary derivative Dp = p', that is, an operator S with the property TDp = DTp for all polynomials p. Within shift invariant operators the following one plays an exceptional role: an operator Q is said to be a *delta* operator (associated to a polynomial sequence p_n) when

$$Qp_n = p_{n-1}$$
 or, more general, $Q^k p_n = p_{n-k}$. (2.2)

2.2 Hopf algebras description. There is a canonical isomorphism between shiftinvariant operators and linear functionals (see Proposition 3.11), thus we can think on powers of delta Q^k as functionals q_k on polynomials connected with an associated polynomial sequence p_n by *duality*:

$$\langle q_k, p_n \rangle = \delta_{kn} \tag{2.3}$$

which easily follows from (2.2). Here δ_{kn} is the Kronecker delta ¹⁾. We are going to show that delta operators and polynomial sequences are not only dual notions, but two faces of the same object – tokens between cancellative semigroups (Examples 3.15 and 3.16).

2.3 The semantic description. This subsection contains definitions from [18, 19].

Definition 2.1 (see [18]). An *umbral calculus* is an ordered quadruple, consisting of the following items:

1. A commutative integral domain D whose quotient field is of characteristic zero.

2. An alphabet A whose elements are termed *umbrae* and denoted by Greek letters. This alphabet generates the polynomial ring D[A], the elements of which are termed *umbral polynomials*.

3. A linear functional eval: $D[A] \to D$, such that eval(1) = 1, i.e. eval leaves D fixed, and such that

$$\operatorname{eval}\left(\alpha^{i}\beta^{j}\cdots\gamma^{k}\right) = \operatorname{eval}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)\operatorname{eval}\left(\beta^{j}\right)\cdots\operatorname{eval}\left(\gamma^{k}\right)$$

$$(2.4)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \ldots, \gamma$ are distinct umbrae.

4. A distinguished umbrae ε in A, such that $eval(\varepsilon^i) = \delta_{i,0}$. The umbrae ε is sometimes called the augmentation.

Definition 2.2 (see [18]). Let f and g be two umbral polynomials in D[A]. Then f and g are said to be *umbrally equivalent*, written $f \simeq g$, when eval(f) = eval(g).

Definition 2.3 (see [18]). Two umbral polynomials p and q are termed *exchange-able*, written $p \equiv q$, when $p^n \simeq q^n$ for all $n \ge 0$.

¹⁾ We use the same letter δ to denote also the Dirac delta function. The Kronecker delta δ_{kn} and the Dirac function $\delta(x)$ can be distinguished by their arguments.

3. Cancellative semigroups and tokens

3.1 Cancellative semigroups: definition and examples. Our consideration is based on the following notion of *semigroups with cancellation* or *cancellative semigroups* [3: Section IV.1.1].

Definition 3.1. A semigroup C is called a *left* or a *right cancellative semigroup* if for any $a, b, c \in C$ the identity ca = cb or ac = bc, respectively, implies a = b. A *cancellative semigroup* is both a left and a right cancellative semigroup.

Equivalently, a left or right cancellative semigroup is defined by the condition that for arbitrary $a, b \in C$ the equation $a \cdot x = b$ or $x \cdot a = b$, respectively, has at most one solution (if any). We hope that the readability of the paper will be better if we use the notion *c-semigroup* to denote a *cancellative semigroup*. We also use the notion of a *c-set* C (which is weaker than *c-semigroup*) by allowing the multiplication $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ on Cbe defined only on a proper subset of $C \times C$.

Definition 3.2. An element e is called a (left, right) *source* of a (left, right) csemigroup C if both equations (the first equation, the second equation) $x \cdot e = b$, $e \cdot x = b$ do (does, does) have a (unique) solution for any $b \in C$.

Obviously, a (left, right) identity on C will be a (left, right) source.

We still denote the unique-if-exist solutions to equations xa = b and ax = b by $[ba^{-1}]$ and $[a^{-1}b]$, respectively. Here the braces stress that both $[ba^{-1}]$ and $[a^{-1}b]$ are *monosymbols* and just " a^{-1} " is not defined in general. Let us also assume that all c-semigroups under consideration can be equipped by an *invariant measure db*, namely db = d(ab) for all $a \in C$. We will not discuss herein conditions for its existence or possible modifications of our constructions for the case of *quasi*-invariant measures.

C-semigroups were investigated as an algebraic object in connection with groups. It is particularly known that any commutative c-semigroup can be embedded in a group, but this is not necessary true for a non-commutative c-semigroup [3: Section IV.1.1]. Our motivation in this object is the following. If we have a group G, then the important associated object is the right regular (linear) representation by "shifts" $\pi_g f(h) = f(hg)$ in $\mathcal{L}_p(G, d\mu)$. Then one can introduce their linear span – convolutions:

$$Kf(h) = \int_{G} k(g)\pi_{g} \, dg \, f(h) = \int_{G} k(g)f(hg) \, dg.$$
(3.1)

Thus one may consider the composition of convolutions K_2K_1 with two kernels $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{L}_1(G)$:

$$K_2 K_1 f(h) = \int_G k_2(g_2) \int_G k_1(g_1) f(hg_2g_1) dg_1 dg_2$$

=
$$\int_G \left(\int_G k_2(g_2) k_1(g_2^{-1}g) dg_2 \right) f(hg) dg$$

where $g = g_2 g_1$. Thereafter it again is a convolution with the kernel

$$k(g) = \int_{G} k_2(g_2) \, k_1(g_2^{-1}g) \, dg_2. \tag{3.2}$$

An important role in the consideration is played by the unique solvability of equation $g_1g_2 = g$ with respect to g_1 . For an algebraic structure weaker than a group, if the inverse g^{-1} is not defined, one can try to define a composition of convolutions by the formula

$$(k_1 * k_2)(h) = \iint_{g_1g_2 = h} k_1(g_1) k_2(g_2) dg_1 dg_2.$$
(3.3)

However, such a definition generates problems with the understanding of the set double integral (3.3) is taken over and the corresponding measure. These difficulties disappear for left c-semigroups: for given b and a there is at most one such $x = [a^{-1}b]$ that ax = b. Thus we can preserve for a c-semigroup C definition (3.2) with the small modification

$$(k_2 * k_1)(b) = \int_C k_2(a) \, k_1([a^{-1}b]) \, da.$$
(3.4)

To avoid problems with the definition of convolution we make the *agreement* that for any function f

$$f([a^{-1}b]) = 0 \qquad \text{when } [a^{-1}b] \text{ does not exist in } C \tag{3.5}$$

(i.e., the equation ax = b does not have a solution). Our agreement is equivalent to the introduction of an incidence algebra of functions f(a, b), such that f(a, b) = 0 for a > b for a poset (see Example 3.7).

Remark 3.3. As soon as one passes directly to convolution algebras and abandon points of c-semigroups themselves, future generalizations in the spirit of noncommutative geometry [6] are possible.

We give several examples of c-semigroups now.

Example 3.4 (Principal). The main source of c-semigroups is the following construction. Let us have a set S with an additional structure \mathcal{A} . Then the set of all mappings from S into S, which preserve \mathcal{A} , forms a right c-semigroup.

Example 3.5. If one considers in Example 3.4 only mappings S onto S, then they give us a definition of groups. Moreover, a large set of c-semigroups can be constructed from groups directly. Namely, let C be a subset of a group G such that $C \cdot C \subset C$. Then C with multiplication induced from G will be a c-semigroup. In such a way we obtain the c-semigroup of positive real (natural, rational) numbers with the usual addition. Positive entire numbers with multiplication also form a c-semigroup. If C contains the identity e of G, then e is a source of C. Particularly, entire group G is also a c-semigroup. We should note that even for groups some of our technique will be new (for example tokens), at least up to the author knowledge.

The last example demonstrates that we have many c-semigroups with (left, right) invariant measures such that Fubini's theorem holds. This follows from corresponding constructions for groups.

We sign out the most important for the present paper example from the described family and his alternative realization.

Proposition 3.6. The algebra of formal power series in a variable t is topologically isomorphic to the convolution algebra $C(\mathbb{N}_+)$ of continuous functions with point-wise convergence over the c-semigroup of non-negative integers \mathbb{N}_+ with the discrete topology. The subalgebra of polynomials in one variable t is isomorphic to the convolution algebra $C_0(\mathbb{N}_+)$, which is dense in $C(\mathbb{N}_+)$, of compactly supported functions on \mathbb{N}_+ .

The proof is hardly needed. Note that "puzzling questions" about convergence of formal power series and continuity of functionals on them correspond to the natural topology on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{N}_+)$.

A less obvious family of examples can be constructed from a structure quite remoted (at least at the first glance) from groups.

Example 3.7. Let P be a poset (i.e. partially ordered set) and let C denote the subset of the Cartesian square $P \times P$ such that $(a, b) \in C$ if and only if $a \leq b$ $(a, b \in P)$. We can define a multiplication on C by the formula

$$(a,b)(c,d) = \begin{cases} \text{undefined} & \text{if } b \neq c \\ (a,d) & \text{if } b = c. \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

One can see that C is a c-set. If P is locally finite, i.e. for any $a \leq b$ $(a, b \in P)$ the number of z between a and b (i.e. $a \leq z \leq b$) is finite, then we can define a measure d(a, b) = 1 on C for any $(a, b) \in C$. With such a measure (3.4) defines a correct convolution on C:

$$h(a,b) = \int_C f(c,d) g([(c,d)^{-1}(a,b)]) d(c,d) = \sum_{a \le z \le b} f(a,z) g(z,b).$$
(3.7)

The constructed algebra is the fundamental *incidence algebra* in combinatorics [7].

Our present technique can be successfully applied only to c-semigroups, not to csets. Thus it is an important observation that the *reduced incidence algebra* construction [7: Section 4] contracts c-sets to c-semigroups in many important cases (however, not in general). Particularly, *all combinatorial* reduced incidence algebras listed in [7: Examples 4.5 - 4.9] are convolution algebras over c-semigroups. However, the incidence coefficients should be better understood.

Example 3.8. Any groupoid (see [22] and references herein) is a c-set.

After the examples given, it is reasonable to expect an applicability of c-semigroups to combinatorics. We describe applications in the next section explicitly.

Now we point out some basic properties of c-semigroups, trying to be as near as possible to their prototype – groups. All results will be stated for left c-semigroups. Under agreement (3.5) we have

Lemma 3.9 (Shift invariance of integrals). For any function f we have

$$\int_C f(c) dc = \int_C f([a^{-1}b]) db \qquad \forall a \in C.$$
(3.8)

Proof. It follows from the observation that the integrals in both sides of (3.8) are taken over the whole C and that the measure db is invariant

One should be warned that $\int_C f(c) dc \neq \int_C f(ab) db$ for c-semigroups (unlike for groups) in general as well as Lemma 3.9 is false for c-sets. Another very useful property (which c-semigroups possess from groups) is a connection between linear functionals and shift invariant operators. Again unlike in the group case we should make the right choice of shifts.

Definition 3.10. We define a *left shift operator* λ_a on the space of function $\mathcal{L}_1(C)$ by the formula $[\lambda_a f](b) = f(ab)$. A linear operator S is (left) *shift-invariant* if $S\lambda_a = \lambda_a S$ for all $a \in C$. The *augmentation* ε associated to a right source e is the linear functional defined by $\varepsilon(f) = f(e)$.

Proposition 3.11. Let C be a c-semigroup with a right source e. The following three spaces are in one-to-one correspondence:

- **1.** The space $\mathcal{B}(L)$ of liner functionals on the space of functions $\mathcal{L}(C)$.
- **2.** The space $\mathcal{B}(S)$ of shift invariant operators.
- **3.** The algebra of convolutions \mathfrak{C} with functions from $\mathcal{L}^*(C)$.

The correspondences are given by the formulas $(l \in \mathcal{L}^*(C), S \in \mathcal{S}, k \in \mathfrak{C}, f \in \mathcal{L}(C))$

$$\begin{split} l &\to S \qquad where \ [Sf](a) = \langle l, \lambda_a f \rangle \\ S &\to l \qquad where \ lf = \varepsilon(Sf) \\ k &\to l \qquad where \ lf = \int_C k(c) f(c) \ dc \\ k &\to S \qquad where \ Sf(a) = \int_C k(c) f(ac) \ dc. \end{split}$$

Proof. Let us have a linear shift invariant operator S. Clearly, its composition $lf = \varepsilon Sf = [Sf](e)$ with the augmentation is a linear functional. By the definition of distributions [9: Section III.4] such a linear functional can be represented as integral $lk = \int_C k(c)f(c) dc$ with a (probably generalized) function k from the dual space. For any function f we have $f(a) = \lambda_{[ae^{-1}]}f(e)$, thus by the shift-invariance of S, $Sf(a) = [\lambda_{[ae^{-1}]}Sf](e) = [S\lambda_{[ae^{-1}]}f](e)$ or, by the definition of l, $Sf(a) = \langle l, \lambda_{[ae^{-1}]}f \rangle$. The integral form of the last identity is $Sf(a) = \int_C k(c)f(ac) dc$, which coincides with (3.1)

An essential rôle in all three approaches (operators, Hopf algebras, semantics) to umbral calculus is played by linear functionals (and thus associated linear shift-invariant operators). Connections between linear functionals and shifts can be greatly simplified if we are able to express shifts via some "linear coefficients" of functions. The known tool to do that is the Fourier transform. An alternative tool for c-semigroups is presented in the next section.

3.2 Tokens: Definition and Examples. We formalize identity (2.1) in the following notion, which will be useful in our consideration and seems to be of interest for the representation theory. The main usage of this notion is t-transform defined in the next subsection.

Definition 3.12. Let C_1 and C_2 be two c-semigroups. We will say that a function $t(c_1, c_2)$ on $C_1 \times C_2$ is a *token* from C_1 to C_2 if for any $c'_1 \in C_1$ and any $c_2, c'_2 \in C_2$ we have

$$\int_{C_1} t(c_1, c_2) t([c_1^{-1}c_1'], c_2') dc_1 = t(c_1', c_2c_2').$$
(3.9)

322 V. V. Kisil

Remark 3.13. We derive this definition "experimentally" from (2.1) (see also the examples bellow). However, one can discover it "theoretically" as well. We already know from Proposition 3.11 that a shift-invariant operator S can be represented as a combination of a shift and a linear functional: $[Sf](a) = \langle l, \lambda_a f \rangle$. This suggests to represent a function f as a linear combination

$$f(b) = \int e(b,c) \,\hat{f}(c) \, dc$$
 (3.10)

of elementary components e(b, c), which behave simply under shifts. Here "simply" means do not destroy linear combination (3.10).

A way to achieve this is provided by the Fourier transform. Here $e(b,c) = e^{ibc}$ and the action of a shift λ_a reduces to multiplication:

$$\lambda_a e(b, c) = e(b + a, c) = e^{iac} e(b, c).$$
(3.11)

This probably is the simplest solution but not the only possible one. More general transformation of this sort is given by abstract wavelets (or coherent states):

$$\lambda_a e(b,c) = e(b+a,c) = \int k(a,c,c_1) e(b,c_1) dc_1$$
(3.12)

where we can particularly select $k(a, c, c_1) = e^{iac}\delta(c - c_1)$ to get the rule of exponents (3.11) and $k(a, c, c_1) = e(c_1^{-1}c, a)$ to get the token property (3.9). Then shifts act on functions defined via (3.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_a f(b) &= \lambda_a \int e(b,c) \,\hat{f}(c) \, dc \\ &= \iint k(a,c,c_1) \, e(b,c_1) \, dc_1 \, \hat{f}(c) \, dc \\ &= \int e(b,c_1) \left(\int k(a,c,c_1) \, \hat{f}(c) \, dc \right) \, dc_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it again looks like (3.10) where the action of a shift reduced to an integral operator on the symbol $\hat{f}(c)$. In the case of token shifts affect in the way (3.9), which stand between the extreme simplicity of (3.11) and the almost unaccessible generality of (3.12). This analogy with the Fourier transform will be employed in the next subsection for the definition of t-transform.

Remark 3.14. Relationships between harmonic analysis (group characters) and token-like structures (polynomial of binomial type) was already pointed in [5]. Note that tokens are a complementary (in some sense) tool for the exponent e^{ibc} . While both are particularly useful in the investigation of shift invariant operators they work in different ways. The Fourier transform maps shift-invariant operators to operators of multiplication. t-transform defined in the next subsection by means of tokens maps shift-invariant operators on one c-semigroup to shift-invariant operators on another c-semigroup.

We present examples of tokens within classical objects.

Example 3.15. Let C_1 be the c-semigroup of non-negative natural numbers \mathbb{N}_+ and C_2 be the c-semigroup (a group in fact) of real numbers, both equipped by addition. Let $t(n, x) = p_n(x)$, where p_n is a polynomial sequence of binomial type. Then the characteristic property of a sequence (2.1) is equal to the definition of token (3.9) read from the right to the left.

Example 3.16. We exchange C_1 and C_2 from the previous example, they are real numbers and non-negative natural numbers, respectively. Let us take a shift-invariant operator L. Any its power L^n is again a shift-invariant operator represented by a convolution with a function l(n, x) with respect to the variable x. The identity $L^{n+k} = L^n L^k$ can be expressed in the term of the correspondent kernels of convolutions:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} l(n,x) \, l(k,y-x) \, dx = l(n+k,y). \tag{3.13}$$

The first two examples with their explicit duality generate a hope that our development can be of some interest for combinatorics. But before working out this direction we would like to present some examples of tokens outside combinatorics.

Example 3.17. Let $C_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $C_2 = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ – the "upper half space" in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . For the space of harmonic functions in C_2 there is an integral representation over the boundary C_1 :

$$f(v,t) = \int_{C_1} P(u;v,t)f(u) \, du \qquad (u \in C_1, (v,t) \in C_2).$$

Here P is the celebrated Poisson kernel $P(u; v, t) = \frac{2}{|S_n|} \frac{t}{(|u-v|^2+t^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}$ with the property usually referred as a *semigroup property* [2: Chapter 3/Prob. 1]

$$P(u; v + v', t + t') = \int_{C_1} P(u'; v', t') P(u - u'; v, t) \, du'.$$

We meet the token in analysis.

Example 3.18. We preserve the meaning of $C_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $C_2 = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ from the previous example and define the Weierstrass (or Gauss-Weierstrass) kernel by the formula

$$W(z;w,\tau) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi\tau})^n} e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{2\tau}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{\tau}{2}|u|^2} e^{-(u,z-w)} du$$

where $z \in C_1$ and $(w, \tau) \in C_2$. The function W is the fundamental solution to the heat equation [8: Section 2.3]. We again have [2: Chapter3, Prob. 1]

$$W(z; w + w', \tau + \tau') = \int_{C_1} W(z'; w', \tau') W(z - z'; w, \tau) \, dz.$$

Thus we again meet a token.

Examples 3.17 and 3.18 open a huge list of integral kernels [2] which are tokens of analysis. We will see the role of tokens for analytic function theory and reproducing kernels later.

We finish the subsection by the following elementary property of tokens.

Lemma 3.19. Let the c-semigroup C_2 has a left source e_2 . Then $k(c_1) = t(c_1, e_2)$ has the reproducing property

$$t(c_1', c_2) = \int_{C_1} k(c_1) t([c_1^{-1}c_1'], [e_2^{-1}c_2]) dc_1$$

for all $c'_1 \in C_1$ and $c_2 \in C_2$. Particularly, if $e_2 \in C_2$ is a left unit, then

$$t(c_1', c_2) = \int_{C_1} k(c_1) t([c_1^{-1}c_1'], c_2) dc_1$$

for all $c'_1 \in C_1$ and $c_2 \in C_2$.

Proof. We apply the characteristic property of a token (3.9) for particularly selected elements in C:

$$\begin{aligned} t(c_1', c_2) &= t(c_1', e_2[e_2^{-1}c_2]) \\ &= \int_{C_1} t(c_1, e_2) t\big([c_1^{-1}c_1'], [e_2^{-1}c_2]\big) dc_1 \\ &= \int_{C_1} k(c_1) t\big([c_1^{-1}c_1'], [e_2^{-1}c_2]\big) dc_1 \end{aligned}$$

and the statement is proved \blacksquare

3.3 t-transform. We would like now to introduce a transformation associated with tokens (t-transform). t-transform is similar to the Fourier and wavelet transforms. The main difference – we do not insist that our transformation maps convolutions to multiplications. We will be much more modest – our transformation maps convolutions to other convolutions, eventually more simple or appropriate.

Having a function $\hat{f}(c_1)$ on C_1 we can consider it as coefficients (or a *symbol*) and define the function $f(c_2)$ on C_2 by means of the token $t(c_1, c_2)$:

$$f(c_2) = \int_{C_1} \hat{f}(c_1) t(c_1, c_2) dc_1.$$
(3.14)

We will say that $f(c_2) \in \mathcal{A}(C_2)$ if in (3.14) $\hat{f}(c_1) \in \mathcal{C}_0(C_1)$ – the space of continuous functions on C_1 with compact support. So we can consider the token $t(c_1, c_2)$ as a kernel of an integral transform $\mathcal{C}_0(C_1) \to \mathcal{A}(C_2)$. The use of the notation reserved for the Fourier transform ("hat") is justified (at least partially) by Remark 3.13.

We would like to mention the following

Corollary 3.20. The reproducing property of $k(c_1)$ from Lemma 3.19 can be extended by linearity to $\mathcal{A}(C_1)$:

$$f(c_1') = \int_{C_1} k(c_1) f([c_1^{-1}c_1']) dc_1.$$

Example 3.21. We continue with a polynomial sequence of binomial type $p_k(x)$, which was presented as a token p(k, x) in Example 3.15. Having few numbers $\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \ldots$, which are interpreted as a function $\hat{a}(k)$ in $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{N}_+)$, we can construct a polynomial

$$a(x) = \int_{\mathbb{N}_+} \hat{a}(k) \, p(k,x) \, dk = \sum_k \hat{a}_k p_k(x),$$

that is a function on \mathbb{R} .

Example 3.22. Let $\delta^{(k)}$ be a token from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{N}_+ (Example 3.16). Then for a smooth at point 0 function f the integral transformation $f^{(k)}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, \delta^{(k)}(x) \, dx$ produces a function on \mathbb{N}_+ .

Example 3.23. Let a real-valued function f be defined on $C_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we can define the function Pf on $C_2 = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ by means of the Poisson integral:

$$Pf(v,t) = \int_{C_1} P(u;v,t)f(u) \, du = \frac{2}{|S_n|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t}{(|u-v|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} f(u) \, du$$

The image is a harmonic function solving the Dirichlet problem (see [20: Appendix/Section 2] and [8: Subsection 2.2.4]).

Example 3.24. Similarly we define the Weierstrass transform

$$Wf(w,\tau) = \int_{C_1} W(z;w,\tau)f(z) \, dz = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi\tau})^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|z-w|^2}{2\tau}} f(z) \, dz.$$

The image is a function satisfying the heat equation.

Let K be a convolution on C_2 with kernel k. Then it acts on a function f defined by (3.14) as

$$[Kf](c_{2}) = \int_{C_{2}} k(c_{2}')f(c_{2}c_{2}') dc_{2}'$$

$$= \int_{C_{2}} k(c_{2}') \int_{C_{1}} \hat{f}(c_{1}')t(c_{1}', c_{2}c_{2}') dc_{1}'dc_{2}'$$

$$= \int_{C_{2}} k(c_{2}') \int_{C_{1}} \hat{f}(c_{1}') \int_{C_{1}} t(c_{1}, c_{2})t([c_{1}^{-1}c_{1}'], c_{2}') dc_{1}dc_{1}'dc_{2}' \qquad (3.15)$$

$$= \int_{C_{1}} \int_{C_{1}} \int_{C_{2}} k(c_{2}') t([c_{1}^{-1}c_{1}'], c_{2}') dc_{2}' \hat{f}(c_{1}') dc_{1}' t(c_{1}, c_{2}) dc_{1}$$

$$= \int_{C_{1}} \int_{C_{1}} \int_{C_{2}} k(c_{2}') t(c_{1}'', c_{2}') dc_{2}' \hat{f}(c_{1}c_{1}'') dc_{1}'' t(c_{1}, c_{2}) dc_{1} \qquad (3.16)$$

$$= \int_{C_1} \left(\int_{C_1} [\mathcal{T}k](c_1'') \hat{f}(c_1 c_1'') \, dc_1'' \right) t(c_1, c_2) \, dc_1 \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$[\mathcal{T}k](c_1'') = \int_{C_2} k(c_2') t(c_1'', c_2') dc_2'.$$
(3.18)

We used in (3.15) the characteristic property of tokens (3.9) and the change of variables $c_1'' = [c_1^{-1}c_1']$ in (3.16). Note that big brasses in (3.17) contain a convolution on C_1 with kernel (3.18). So transformation (3.14) maps convolutions on C_2 to convolutions on C_1 .

The mapping deserves a special name.

Definition 3.25. *t-transform* is a linear integral transformation $\mathcal{C}(C_2) \to \mathcal{C}(C_1)$ defined on kernels by the formula

$$[\mathcal{T}k](c_1) = \int_{C_2} t(c_1, c_2) \, k(c_2) \, dc_2. \tag{3.19}$$

According to Lemma 3.9 we have

$$[\mathcal{T}k](c_1) = \int_{C_2} t(c_1, [c_2^{-1}c_2']) \, k([c_2^{-1}c_2']) \, dc_2' \qquad \forall \ c_2 \in C_2.$$
(3.20)

The t-transform is more than just a linear map:

Theorem 3.26. t-transform is an algebra homomorphism of the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{C}(C_2)$ to the convolution algebra $\mathfrak{C}(C_1)$, namely

$$[\mathcal{T}(k_1 * k_2)] = [\mathcal{T}k_1] * [\mathcal{T}k_2]$$
(3.21)

where * in the left-hand side denotes the composition of convolutions on C_2 and in the right-hand side on C_1 .

Proof. We silently assume that the conditions of Fubini's theorem are fulfilled. It turns to be that Fubini's theorem will be our main tool, which is used in almost all proofs. Thereafter we assume that it fulfils through the entire paper. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{T}(k_{2} * k_{1})](c_{1}') \\ &= \int_{C_{2}} t(c_{1}', c_{2}') \int_{C_{2}} k_{2}(c_{2}) k_{1}([c_{2}^{-1}c_{2}']) dc_{2} dc_{2}' \\ &= \int_{C_{2}} \int_{C_{2}} \int_{C_{1}} t(c_{1}, c_{2}) t([c_{1}^{-1}c_{1}'], [c_{2}^{-1}c_{2}']) dc_{1}k_{2}(c_{2}) k_{1}([c_{2}^{-1}c_{2}']) dc_{2} dc_{2}' \quad (3.22) \\ &= \int_{C_{1}} \int_{C_{2}} t(c_{1}, c_{2}) k_{2}(c_{2}) dc_{2} \int_{C_{2}} t([c_{1}^{-1}c_{1}'], [c_{2}^{-1}c_{2}']) k_{1}([c_{2}^{-1}c_{2}']) dc_{2}' dc_{1} \\ &= \int_{C_{1}} [\mathcal{T}k_{2}](c_{1}) [\mathcal{T}k_{1}]([c_{1}^{-1}c_{1}']) dc_{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, we use in transformation (3.22) the characteristic property of the tokens (3.9) and conclusion (3.23) is based on (3.20)

Knowing the connection between shift invariant operators and convolutions (Proposition 3.11) we derive

Corollary 3.27. t-transform maps left shift-invariant operators on C_2 to left shift-invariant operators on C_1 .

4. Shift invariant operators

In this section we present some results in terms of c-semigroups, tokens and t-transforms and illustrate them by examples from combinatorics and analysis.

4.1 Delta families and basic distributions. This subsection follows the paper [17] with appropriate modifications.

Definition 4.1. Let C_1 and C_2 be two c-semigroups, and let e_1 be a right source of C_1 . We will say that linear shift-invariant operators $Q(c_1)$ $(c_1 \in C_1)$ on $\mathcal{A}(C_2)$ over a c-semigroup C_2 form a *delta family* if

- **1.** for a token $t(c_1, c_2), Q(c_1) t(c_1, c_2) = t(e_1, c_2)$ for all $c_2 \in C_2$
- **2.** the semigroup property $Q(c_1) Q(c'_1) = Q(c_1c'_1)$

hold.

Example 4.2. Let C_1 and C_2 be as in Examples 3.15 and 3.21. Let also $t(n, x) = \frac{x^n}{n!}$. Then the family of positive integer powers of the derivative $Q(n) = D^n$ forms a delta family. Other delta families for given C_1 and C_2 are listed in [12: Section 3]. They are positive integer powers of difference, backward difference, central difference, Laguerre, and Abel operators.

Example 4.3. For the Poisson kernel from Example 3.17 we can introduce a delta family as Euclidean shift operators $Q(x) f(v, t) = f(v + x, t) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 4.4. Let $q(c_1, c_2)$ be the kernel corresponding to a delta family $Q(c_1)$ as a convolution over C_2 . Then $q(c_1, c_2)$ is a token from C_2 to C_1 . Namely,

$$q(c_1c'_1, c'_2) = \int_{C_2} q(c_1, c_2) q(c'_1, [c_2^{-1}c'_2]) dc_2.$$

Proof. This is a simple restatement in terms of kernels of the semigroup property $Q(c_1) Q(c'_1) = Q(c_1c'_1) \blacksquare$

Definition 4.5. A function $t(c_1, c_2)$ is called the *basic distribution* for a delta family $Q(c_1)$ if

1. $t(c_1, e_2) = k(c_1)$ has the reproducing property from Lemma 3.19 over C_1 (particularly, if $k(c_1) = \delta(c_1)$)

2.
$$Q(c_1) t(c'_1, c_2) = t([c_1^{-1}c'_1], c_2).$$

Example 4.6. For the classic umbral calculus this conditions turn to be $p_0(x) = 1$, $p_n(0) = 0$ (n > 0) and $Qp_n = p_{n-1}$.

Example 4.7. For the Poisson integral the reproducing property can be obtained if we consider a generalized Hardy space $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ of \mathcal{L}_2 -integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^n , which are limit value of harmonic functions in the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the kernel k(u - u') is defined as a distribution given by the limit of integral on $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(u - u') f(u') du'$$

= $\frac{2}{|S_n|} \lim_{(v,t)\to(0,0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t}{(|(u - u') - v|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} f(u') du'.$

This follows from the boundary property of the Poisson integral (see [20: Appendix/Section 2] and [8: Subsection 2.2.4]).

Theorem 4.8. Let C_1 and C_2 be c-semigroups.

1. If $t(c_1, c_2)$ is a basic distribution for some delta family $Q(c_1)$ $(c_1 \in C_1)$, then $t(c_1, c_2)$ is a token from C_1 to C_2 .

2. If $t(c_1, c_2)$ is a token from C_1 to C_2 , then it is a basic distribution for some delta family $Q(c_1)$ $(c_1 \in C_1)$.

Proof. Let $t(c_1, c_2)$ be a basic distribution for $Q(c_1)$ and let $q(c_2, c_1)$ be a kernel of the operator $Q(c_1)$ as a convolution on C_2 . This means that

$$\left\langle q(c_2,c_1),t(c_1',c_2)\right\rangle = k([c_1^{-1}c_1'])$$

has a reproducing property due to Lemma 3.19 and Corollary 3.20. Thus we can trivially express $t(c'_1, c'_2)$ as integral $t(c'_1, c'_2) = \int_{C_1} t(c_1, c'_2) \langle q(c_2, c_1), t(c'_1, c_2) \rangle dc_1$. By linearity we have a similar expression $f(c'_2) = \int_{C_1} t(c_1, c'_2) \langle q(c_2, c_1), f(c_2) \rangle dc_1$ for any function in $\mathcal{A}(C_2)$. In particular,

$$t(c_1', c_2''c_2') = \int_{C_1} t(c_1, c_2') \langle q(c_2, c_1), t(c_1', c_2''c_2) \rangle dc_1$$

But

$$\langle q(c_2, c_1), t(c_1', c_2''c_2) \rangle = Q(c_1)\lambda_{c_1''}t(c_1', c_2) \big|_{c_2=e_2} = \lambda_{c_2''}Q(c_1)t(c_1', c_2) \big|_{c_2=e_2} = \lambda_{c_2''}t([c_1^{-1}c_1'], c_2) \big|_{c_2=e_2} = t([c_1^{-1}c_1'], c_2'')$$

and therefore

$$t(c_1', c_2''c_2') = \int_{C_1} t(c_1, c_2') t([c_1^{-1}c_1'], c_2'') dc_1,$$

that is, the distribution $t(c_1, c_2)$ is a token.

Suppose now that $t(c_1, c_2)$ is a token. We define a family of operators $Q(c_1)$ on $\mathcal{A}(C_2)$ by identities $Q(c_1) t(c'_1, c_2) = t([c_1^{-1}c'_1], c_2)$ and extend it to the whole $\mathcal{A}(C_2)$ by linearity. The semigroup property $Q(c_1)Q(c_2) = Q(c_1c_2)$ follows automatically. The main point is to show that $Q(c_1)$ are shift invariant. We may trivially rewrite the characteristic property of the token as

$$t(c_1', c_2'c_2) = \int_{C_1} t(c_1, c_2') Q(c_1) t(c_1', c_2) dc_1$$

which can be extended by linearity as $f(c'_2c_2) = \int_{C_1} t(c_1, c'_2) Q(c_1) f(c_2) dc_1$ to any function in $\mathcal{A}(C_2)$. Now replace f by $Q(c'_1)f$ to get

$$Q(c_1')f(c_2'c_2) = \int_{C_1} t(c_1, c_2') Q(c_1'c_1) f(c_2) dc_1.$$

But the left-hand side of the previous identity is nothing else as $[\lambda_{c'_2}Q(c'_1)f](c_2)$ and the right-hand side is

$$\begin{split} \int_{C_1} t(c_1, c_2') \, Q(c_1'c_1) \, f(c_2) \, dc_1 &= Q(c_1') \left(\int_{C_1} t(c_1, c_2') \, Q(c_1) f(c_2) \, dc_1 \right) \\ &= Q(c_1') (f(c_2'c_2)) \\ &= [Q(c_1')\lambda_{c_2'} f](c_2), \end{split}$$

i.e. Q is shift-invariant

The following Lemma is obvious.

Lemma 4.9. Let $q(c_2, c_1)$ be the kernel of $Q(c_1)$ as a convolution on C_2 . Then the t-transform for the token $q(c_2, c_1)$ is a right inverse operator for the t-transform (3.19) with respect to $t(c_1, c_2)$.

The above results justify the following definition.

Definition 4.10. Let $t(c_1, c_2)$ be the basic distribution for a delta family $Q(c_1)$. Then we call $t(c_1, c_2)$, which is a token by Theorem 4.8, and the kernel $q(c_2, c_1)$ of $Q(c_1)$, which is a token by Proposition 4.4, *dual tokens*.

Example 4.11. The polynomials $t(n, x) = \frac{x^n}{n!}$ and distributions $q(n, x) = \delta^{(n)}(x)$ (which are kernels of derivative operators $D^{(k)}$) are canonical dual tokens.

As the reader may see in Theorem 4.8 we almost do not change proofs of [17: Section 2/Theorem 1]. So we give our version of the next two results without proofs.

Theorem 4.12 (First Expansion Theorem). Let S be a shift-invariant operator on $\mathcal{A}(C_2)$ and let $Q(c_1)$ ($c_1 \in C_1$) be a delta family with basic distribution $t(c_1, c_2)$. Then

$$S = \int_{C_1} a(c_1) Q(c_1) \, dc_1$$

with $a(c_1) = \langle s(c_2), t(c_1, c_2) \rangle_{c_2} = S t(c_1, c_2) \big|_{c_2 = e_2}$.

Theorem 4.13 (Isomorphism Theorem). Let $Q(c_1)$ $(c_1 \in C_1)$ be a delta family with basic distribution $t(c_1, c_2)$. Then a mapping which carries a shift-invariant operator S to a function

$$s(c_2) = \int_{C_1} a(c_1) q(c_2, c_1) dc_1$$

with $a(c_1) = \langle s(c_2), t(c_1, c_2) \rangle_{c_2} = St(c_1, c_2) \Big|_{c_2=e_2}$ is an isomorphism of an operator algebra to a convolution algebra on C_2 .

Example 4.14. For a sequence of binomial type p_n and the associated delta operator Q we can decompose a shift invariant operator S on the space of polynomials as

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k Q^k, \quad \text{where} \quad a_k = [Sp_k(x)]_{x=0}.$$

Example 4.15. Let a shift invariant operator S on $\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ be given by a kernel s(v,t):

$$[Sf](v,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} s(v',t') f(v+v',t+t') \, dv' dt'$$

Then we can represent S by means of the delta family $Q(u) \ \ (u \in \mathbb{R}^n)$ from Example 4.3 as

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(u) Q(u) du \qquad \text{where} \quad a(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} s(v,t) P(u;v,t) dv dt.$$

And a similar representation is true for the Weierstrass kernel.

The following result has a somewhat cumbersome formulation and a completely evident proof. In the classic umbral calculus case the formulation turns to be very natural, but the proof is more hidden (see the next example).

Theorem 4.16. Let $t_1(c_1, c_2)$ and $t_2(c_1, c_2)$ be two tokens from C_1 to C_2 , let the *c*-semigroup C_1 be equipped with a partial order relation > and, moreover, let

$$t_2(c_1, c_2) = \int_{C_1} a(c_1, c_1') t_1(c_1', c_2) dc_1'$$
(4.1)

where $a(c_1, c_1) = 0$ whenever $c_1 > c'_1$. Let $Q_2(c_1)$ be a delta family associated to $t_2(c_1, c_2)$ and let $\sup_{t_1}(f) \in C_1$ denotes the support of t-transform of a function $f(c_2)$ with respect to $t_1(c_1, c_2)$. Then for any function $f(c_2)$ and $c_1 \in C_1$

$$\lambda_{c_1}\left(\sup_{t_1}(Q_2(c_1)f)\right) \subset \sup_{t_1}(f).$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of the shift invariance of the delta family $Q_2(c_1)$ as operators on C_2 and Corollary 3.27

Example 4.17. The c-semigroup \mathbb{N}_+ of natural numbers is naturally ordered. By the very definition [17: Section 3], for any polynomial sequence of binomial type, p(k, x) is exactly of degree k for all k. Thus for any two such sequences $p_1(k, x)$ and $p_2(k', x)$ we have

$$p_1(k,x) = \sum_{k'=1}^k a(k,k') p_2(k',x),$$

i.e. (4.1) is satisfied. Let now $Q_2(k) = Q^k$ be the delta family associated to $p_2(k, x)$. Then by Theorem 4.16 the polynomial $Q_2(k)p_1(k', x)$ if of degree k' - k. This gives [17: Section 2/Propositions 1 and 2].

Generally speaking, an order on a set of combinatorial numbers allows to consider some recurrence relations, which express a combinatorial number via smaller numbers of the same kind. We will return to this subject in Subsection 4.3 in connection with generating functions.

4.2 Generating functions and umbral functionals. It is known that generating functions are a powerful tool in combinatorics. We try to interpret the notion of generating functions in our terms. Particularly, we will treat [7: Examples 4.5 - 4.9] accordingly.

Definition 4.18. Let the function $f(c_1)$ represents a set of combinatorial quantities indexed by points of a c-semigroup C_1 (a *combinatorial function* for short). Let C_2 be another c-semigroup and $t(c_1, c_2)$ be a token between them. The t-transform

$$\hat{f}(c_2) = \int_{C_1} f(c_1) t(c_1, c_2) dc_1$$

of $f(c_1)$ is called *generating function* for $f(c_1)$ (with respect to C_2 and $t(c_1, c_2)$).

Following [14] an exceedingly useful method for defining a function $f(c_1)$ is to apply an umbral linear functional l to a token $t(c_1, c_2)$:

$$f(c_1) = \langle l, t(c_1, c_2) \rangle := \int_{C_2} l(c_2) t(c_1, c_2) dc_2.$$
(4.2)

If we now consider the generating function $\hat{f}(c_2)$ with respect to the token $q(c_2, c_1)$, which is dual to $t(c_1, c_2)$, then we will found that

$$\hat{f}(c_2) = \int_{C_1} f(c_1) q(c_2, c_1) dc_1$$

=
$$\int_{C_1} \int_{C_2} l(c'_2) t(c_1, c'_2) dc'_2 q(c_2, c_1) dc_1$$

=
$$\int_{C_2} l(c'_2) \int_{C_1} t(c_1, c'_2) q(c_2, c_1) dc_1 dc'_2$$

=
$$\int_{C_2} l(c'_2) \delta(c'_2, c_2) dc'_2$$

=
$$l(c_2).$$

So we found the following simple connection between umbral functionals and generating functions:

Theorem 4.19. The generating function $\hat{f}(c_2)$ for a combinatorial function $f(c_1)$ with respect to a token $q(c_2, c_1)$ is the kernel of the umbral functional for $f(c_1)$ with respect to the token $p(c_1, c_2)$ dual to token $q(c_2, c_1)$.

Example 4.20. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers and let F be the generating function associated to $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by the token $q(k, x) = \delta^{(k)}(x)$:

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_n \,\delta^{(k)}(x) \qquad \left[= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - (i\xi) - (i\xi)^2} \,e^{-i\xi x} \,d\xi \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \,e^{\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}x} \left(1 + (e^{\sqrt{5}x} - 1)\chi(-x) \right)$$

where χ is the Heaviside function, i.e. $\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \ge 0. \end{cases}$ Then F is the kernel of an umbral functional, which generates the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ from the polynomial sequence

 $t(n,x) = \frac{x^n}{n!}$ which is dual to $q(k,x) = \delta^{(k)}(x)$ (Example 4.11): $f_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) \frac{x^n}{n!} dx$. In this way we obtain a constructive solution for the classic moment problem [1].

4.3 Recurrence operators and generating functions. We are looking for reasons why some generating functions are better suited to handle given combinatorial functions than others. The answer is given by their connections with recurrence operators.

We will speak on *combinatorial functions* here that are functions defined on csemigroups. Different numbers (Bell, Fibonacci, Stirling, etc.) known in combinatorics are, of course, combinatorial functions defined on the c-semigroup \mathbb{N}_+ .

Definition 4.21. An operator R on C_1 is said to be a *recurrence operator* with respect to a token $t(c_1, c_2)$ for a combinatorial function $f(c_1)$ if

$$[Rf](c_1) = t(c_1, e_2). (4.3)$$

Particularly, $[Rf](c_1)$ has a reproducing property from Lemma 3.19.

If we consider an algebra of convolutions of combinatorial functions, then by the general property of t-transforms *it is isomorphic to convolution algebra of their generating function*, which occasionally can be isomorphic to an algebra with multiplication (multiplication of formal power series, exponential power series, Dirichlet series, etc.). This was already observed by other means in [7].

The following theorem connects generating functions and recurrent operators.

Theorem 4.22. Let C_1 , C_2 be c-semigroups with a token $t(c_1, c_2)$ between them, let $q(c_2, c_1)$ be its dual token and $f(c_1)$ a combinatorial function with corresponding recurrence operator R defined by its kernel $r(c_1, c'_1)$ as $[Rf](c_1) = \int_{C_1} r(c_1, c'_1) f(c'_1) dc'_1$. Then the generating function $\hat{f}(c_1)$ satisfies the equation

$$[\tilde{R}\hat{f}](c_2) = t(e_1, c_2) \tag{4.4}$$

where \tilde{R} is defined by the kernel

$$\tilde{r}(c_2, c'_2) = \int_{C_1} \int_{C_1} t(c''_1, c_2) \, r(c''_1, c'_1) \, q(c'_1, c'_2) \, dc'_1 dc''_1. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. We start from the observation that

$$\int_{C_2} \tilde{r}(c_2, c_2') t(c_1, c_2') dc_2' = \int_{C_1} t(c_1'', c_2) r(c_1'', c_1) dc_1''$$
(4.6)

which follows from application to both sides of (4.5) the integral operator with kernel $t(c_1, c'_2)$ and the identity $\int_{C_2} q(c'_1, c'_2) t(c'_2, c_1) dc'_2 = \delta(c'_1, c_1)$. Then

$$[\tilde{R}\hat{f}](c_2) = \int_{C_2} \tilde{r}(c_2, c'_2) \, \hat{f}(c'_2) \, dc_2$$

=
$$\int_{C_2} \tilde{r}(c_2, c'_2) \int_{C_1} f(c_1) \, t(c_1, c'_2) \, dc_1 dc_2$$

=
$$\int_{C_1} \int_{C_2} \tilde{r}(c_2, c'_2) \, t(c_1, c'_2) \, dc_2 \, f(c_1) \, dc_1$$

and, further on,

$$[\tilde{R}\hat{f}](c_{2}) = \int_{C_{1}} \int_{C_{1}} t(c_{1}'', c_{2}) r(c_{1}'', c_{1}) dc_{1}'' f(c_{1}) dc_{1}$$

$$= \int_{C_{1}} t(c_{1}'', c_{2}) \int_{C_{1}} r(c_{1}'', c_{1}) f(c_{1}) dc_{1} dc_{1}''$$

$$= \int_{C_{1}} t(c_{1}'', c_{2}) \delta(c_{1}'') dc_{1}''$$

$$= t(e_{1}, c_{2})$$

$$(4.7)$$

where we deduce (4.7) from (4.6)

In fact, our calculation just says that $\tilde{R}g = T\delta$ for g = Tf and operator $\tilde{R} = TRT^{-1}$ if $Rf = \delta$. A touch of non-triviality appears when we use special properties of functions $t(c_1, c_2)$ and $q(c_2, c_1)$ be a pair of dual tokens:

Corollary 4.23. If under the assumptions of Theorem 4.22 the operator R is shiftinvariant on C_1 , i.e. has a kernel of the form $r(c_1, c'_1) = r(c'_1^{-1}c_1)$, then the operator \tilde{R} is also shift-invariant on C_2 with a kernel of the form $\tilde{r}(c_2, c'_2) = r(c'_2^{-1}c_2)$.

Proof. It follows from the property of t-transforms to map a shift-invariant operator on C_1 to a shift-invariant operator on C_2

Remark 4.24. The above corollary clearly indicates what type of generating functions is reasonable: a simple generating function can be constructed with respect to a token $t(c_1, c_2)$ such that the recurrence operator R is shift-invariant with respect to it.

As it usually occurs, a simple fact may have interesting realizations.

Example 4.25. Let f_n be the Fibonacci numbers. Their known recursion $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ should be stated in a more accurate way with the help of agreement (3.5) as $f_n - f_{n-1} - f_{n-2} = \delta_{n,0}$ $(n \ge 0)$. Then the recurrence operator is given by $R = I - S - S^2$, where S is a backward shift Sf(n) = f(n-1) on \mathbb{N}_+ , particularly, R is shift-invariant with respect to the token $t(x,n) = x^n$. The corresponding kernel is $r(n,i) = \delta_{n,i} - \delta_{n-1,i} - \delta_{n-2,i}$ which is a function of n-i. For the pair of dual tokens $t(x,n) = x^n$ and $q(i,y) = \frac{\delta^{(i)}(y)}{i!}$ we obtain a transformed kernel:

$$\tilde{r}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t(x,n) r(n,i) q(i,y)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^n \left(\delta_{n,i} - \delta_{n-1,i} - \delta_{n-2,i}\right) \frac{\delta^{(i)}(y)}{i!}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n (1 - x - x^2) \frac{\delta^{(n)}(y)}{n!}$$

$$= (1 - x - x^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \frac{\delta^{(n)}(y)}{n!} .$$
(4.8)

From the Taylor expansion the sum in (4.8) obviously represents an integral kernel of the identity operator, therefore the transformation \tilde{R} is the operator of multiplication by $1-x-x^2$ and the generating function \hat{f} should satisfy $\tilde{R}f(x) = (1-x-x^2)\hat{f}(x) = x^0 = 1$. Thus

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n x^n = \frac{1}{1 - x - x^2}.$$

Note that the operator \tilde{R} of multiplication by $(1 - x - x^2)$ is "shift-invariant" – it commutes with the operator of multiplication by x (both these operators are certain convolutions under Fourier transform).

An attempt to construct a transformation \tilde{R} with respect to a similar pair of dual tokens $t_1(x,n) = \frac{x^n}{n!}$ and $q_1(i,y) = \delta^{(i)}(y)$ will not enjoy the above simplicity.

Example 4.26. Let B_n be the Bell numbers (see [14] or [18: Section 3]). The known recursion for them [18: Section 3] can again be restated as

$$B_n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose k} B_k = \delta_{n,0} \qquad (n \ge 0).$$
(4.9)

Such a recurrence identity is *shift-invariant* with respect to W defined by the identity $Wf(n) = \frac{f(n-1)}{n}$ on \mathbb{N}_+ . In other words, it is *shift-invariant* with respect to the token $t(x,n) = \frac{x^n}{n!}$. The kernel r(n,i) corresponding to the recurrence operator R in (4.9) is

$$r(n,i) = \delta_{n,i} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \delta_{k,i}.$$

We apply a transformation with respect to a pair of dual tokens $t(x,n) = \frac{x^n}{n!}$ and $q(i,y) = \delta^{(i)}(y)$ by

$$\tilde{r}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t(x,n) r(n,i) q(i,y)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \left(\delta_{n,i} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \delta_{k,i} \right) \delta^{(i)}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \delta_{n,i} \delta^{(i)}(y) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \delta_{k,i} \delta^{(i)}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \delta^{(n)}(y) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^n}{n!} \frac{(n-1)!}{i! (n-1-i)!} \delta^{(i)}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \frac{\delta^{(n)}(y)}{n!} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} x^n \frac{\delta^{(i)}(y)}{i! (n-1-i)!} \frac{x^i \delta^{(i)}(y)}{i!} .$$
(4.10)

One verifies that integration of a function f(y) with sum $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{n-i-1}}{(n-1-i)!} \frac{x^i \delta^{(i)}(y)}{i!}$ in (4.10) produces the (n-1)-th term in the Taylor expansion of the product $e^x f(x)$. Thus the entire expression in (4.10) is a kernel of the operator: $\tilde{R} = I - \int e^x$ where I is the identity operator and \int is the operator of the anti-derivation fixed by the condition $[\int g](0) = 0$, particularly $\int \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$. So the generating function \hat{b} should satisfy the equation $(I - \int e^x)\hat{b}(x) = x^0 = 1$. Taking the derivative from both sides we found the differential equation $(D - e^x)\hat{b}(x) = 0$. With the obvious initial condition $\hat{b}(0) = 1$ it determines that $\hat{b}(x) = \exp(\exp(x) - 1)$.

One may interpret (4.3) as if $f(c_1)$ is the fundamental solution to operator R. This is indeed a right way of thinking if one can found a group action such that R is shift-invariant. Then the convolution $h(c_1) = [g * f](c_1)$ will give a solution to the equation $[Rh](c_1) = g(c_1)$.

5. Models for the umbral Calculus

We present realizations (models) for different descriptions of the umbral calculus mentioned in Section 2.

5.1 Finite operator description: a realization. As was mentioned in Subsection 2.1, the main ingredients of the approach are polynomial sequences of binomial type and shift invariant operators. As was already pointed in Examples 3.15 and 3.21, the notion of token is a useful refinement of polynomial sequences of binomial type. It is much more useful if we consider it together with shift invariant operators on c-semigroups not just groups. The next subsections contains some details of this.

5.2 Hopf algebra description: a Realization. We describe some applications of c-semigroups and tokens. First we can add new lines to Proposition 3.11:

Proposition 5.1. Let C_2 be a c-semigroup with a right source e, let C_1 be another c-semigroup and $t(c_1, c_2)$ be a token between them. Then the list in Proposition 3.11 for C_2 can be extended via t-transforms by

- **4.** the subspace of convolutions over C_1
- **5.** the subspace of linear functionals over $\mathcal{C}(C_1)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.26 the space of convolutions over C_2 can be mapped to the space of convolutions over C_1 via a t-transform. A backward mapping from convolutions over C_1 to convolutions over C_2 is given by the dual token $q(c_2, c_1)$ of the associated delta family $Q(c_1) \blacksquare$

We establish the correspondence of four mentioned sets as linear spaces. However, two of them (convolutions over C_1 and C_2) have isomorphic structures as convolution algebras. This allows us to transfer also their multiplication law on the space of linear functionals.

Definition 5.2. The product $l = l_1 * l_2$ of two functionals l_1 and l_2 is again a linear functional corresponding to the convolution $S = S_1 * S_2$ where the convolutions S_1 and S_2 correspond to l_1 and l_2 . It acts on a function $p(c_1)$ as

$$\langle l, p(c_1', \cdot) \rangle = \int_{C_1} \langle l_1, p(c_1, \cdot) \rangle \langle l_2, p([c_1^{-1}c_1'], \cdot) \rangle \, dc_1.$$

Example 5.3. We return to the notations of Examples 3.15 and 3.21. For a linear functional l on $C_2 = \mathbb{R}$ we introduce its t-transform $[\mathcal{T}l](n)$ as its action (with respect to the x variable) on the token $t(n, x) = p_n(x)$ given by a sequence of polynomial type p_n : $[\mathcal{T}l](n) = lT(n, x) = lp_n(x)$. Then the product l of two functionals l_1 and l_2 are defined by the convolution on \mathbb{N}_+ :

$$[\mathcal{T}l](n) = \int_{\mathbb{N}_+} l_1(k) \, l_2([k^{-1}n]) \, dn = \sum_{k=0}^n l_1(k) \, l_2(n-k).$$
(5.1)

The above definition of a product can be found in [16]. The c-semigroup algebra over \mathbb{N}_+ can be naturally realized as the multiplicative algebra of a formal power series of one variable t (see Proposition 3.6). So we obtain one more face an umbral algebras as follows:

Corollary 5.4 (see [16]). The algebra of linear functionals on \mathbb{R} with Hopf multiplication (5.1) is in a natural correspondence $\{l_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} l_n t^n$ with the algebra of formal power series in one variable t.

5.3 The semantic description: a realization. We start from the c-semigroup S, which is the direct product of many copies of the c-semigroup \mathbb{N}_+ . Different copies of \mathbb{N}_+ are labelled by letters of an alphabet A, which is denoted by Greek letters. An element k of a copy \mathbb{N}_+ labelled by a letter α can be written interchangeably as k_{α} or α^k . In this sense any function $p(k_{\alpha}, l_{\beta}, \ldots)$ in $\mathcal{C}_0(S)$ can be identified with the polynomial

$$\mathbf{p}(\alpha,\beta,\ldots) = \sum_{k_{\alpha},l_{\beta},\ldots} p(k_{\alpha},l_{\beta},\ldots)\alpha^{k}\beta^{l}\cdots$$

More over this identification send the convolution p * q of two functions $p, q \in C_0(S)$ over S to the product of polynomials pq. So we will not distinguish a function $p(k_{\alpha}, l_{\beta}, \ldots)$ and the corresponding polynomial $p(\alpha, \beta, \ldots)$ any more as well as the convolution algebra $C_0(S)$ and polynomial ring D[A] over the alphabet A.

A linear functional eval is defined as

$$\operatorname{eval}(p) = \int_{S} p(k_{\alpha}, l_{\beta}, \ldots) \, ds$$

via a measure ds on S such that $ds(1_{\alpha}) = 1$ for any $\alpha \in A$. Because every p has compact (i.e. finite) support in S the integration does not generate any difficulties. Equality (2.4) expresses the fact that S is the direct sum of \mathbb{N}_+ 's and the measure ds is the direct product of corresponding measures ds_{α} . In spirit of 2.1.4 we require that the measure ds_{ε} on the copy \mathbb{N}_+ labelled by the distinguished umbra ε is defined by the sequence $(1, 0, 0, 0, \ldots)$.

Now eval defines an equivalence relation – umbral equivalence on $C_0(S)$, namely $f \simeq g$ $(f, g \in C_0(S))$ if (f - g) belongs to the kernel of eval (i.e. $\operatorname{eval}(f - g) = 0$). Because eval is additive but not multiplicative we see that umbral equivalence is invariant under addition but multiplication (unlike the support of functions belongs to different components in the direct product of \mathbb{N}_+).

Finally, we will define $n \cdot \beta$ as a function

$$\beta^1 \otimes \beta^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta^0 + \beta^0 \otimes \beta^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta^0 + \beta^0 \otimes \beta^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta^1$$

in the *n*-th tensor power $\otimes_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{N}_{+}(\beta)$ of a copy of \mathbb{N}_{+} labelled by β (this means that eval has identical distribution on all of them).

This gives a realization for classical umbral calculus described via a semantic approach in [18, 19].

Acknowledgments. This paper was inspired by works of Gian-Carlo Rota and his personal encouragement and interest supported me during its preparation. V. Kharchenko kindly enlightened me in the field of algebra. I am grateful to anonymous referees who took a hard job to read the initial version of the paper and suggested innumerable improvements and corrections.

The essential part of the paper was written while author's stay at the Department of Mathematical Analysis, University of Ghent, as a Visiting Postdoctoral Fellow of the FWO-Vlaanderen (Fund of Scientific Research- Flanders), Scientific Research Network 3GP03196 "Fundamental Methods and Technique in Mathematics", whose hospitality h gratefully acknowledges.

References

- Akhiezer, N. I.: Classical Moment Problem (in Russian). Moscow: Gos. Izd. Fis.-Mat. Lit. 1961; Engl. transl.: New York: Hafner Publ. Co. 1965.
- [2] Akhiezer, N. I.: Lectures on Integral Transforms. Providence (RI): Amer. Math. Soc. 1988.
- [3] Artamonov, V. A. et al.: Genereal Algebra, Vol. 2 (in Russian). Moscow: Nauka 1991.
- [4] Barnabei, M., Brini, A. and G. Nicoletti: Polynomial sequences of integral type. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 78 (1980), 598 - 617.
- [5] Barnabei, M., Brini, A. and G.-C. Rota: Section coefficients and section sequences. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend., Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 68 (1980)1, 5 – 12 (reprinted in [11: pp. 337 – 344]).
- [6] Connes, A.: Non-Commutative Geometry. New York: Acad. Press 1994.
- [7] Doubilet, P., Rota, G.-C. and R. Stanley: On the foundation of combinatorial theory. Part VI: The idea of generating function. In: Proceedings of the Sixth Berkelay Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 2: Probability Theory (eds.: L.M. Le Cam, etc.). Berkeley: Univ. California Press 1971, pp. 267 318 (reprinted in [15: pp. 83 134] and [11: pp. 148 199]).

- [8] Evans, L. C.: Partial Differential Equations. Providence (RI): Amer. Math. Soc. 1998.
- [9] Kirillov, A. A. and A. D. Gvishiani: *Theorems and Problems in Functional Analysis* (Problem Books in Mathematics). New York: Springer-Verlag 1982.
- [10] Knuth, D. K.: Convolution polynomials. Preprint. Stanford: University 1996. http://www-cs-faculty.stanford.edu/~knuth/papers/cp.tex.gz.
- [11] Kung, J. P. S. (ed.): Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics: Introductory Papers and Commentaries. (Contemporary Mathematicians: Vol. 1). Boston: Birkhäuser Verlag 1995.
- [12] Mullin, R. and G.-C. Rota: On the foundation of combinatorial theory. Part III: Theory of binomial enumeration. In: Graph Theory and Its Applications (ed.: B. Harris). New York: Acad. Press 1970, pp. 167 – 213 (reprinted in [11: pp. 118 – 147]).
- [13] Roman, S. and G.-C. Rota: The umbral calculus. Adv. Math. 27 (1978), 95 188.
- [14] Rota, G.-C.: The number of partitions of a set. Amer. Math. Monthly 71 (1964), 498 504 (reprinted in [15: pp. 1 6] and [11: pp. 111 117]).
- [15] Rota, G.-C.: Finite Operator Calculus. New York: Acad. Press 1975.
- [16] Rota, G.-C.: Hopf algebras methods in combinatorics. In: Problèmes Combinatoires et Théorie des Graphes (Coll. Intern. du Centre Nat. de la Rech. Sci.: Vol. 260). Paris: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 1978, pp. 363 – 365 (reprinted in [11: pp. 287 – 289]).
- [17] Rota, G.-C., Kahaner, D. and A. Odlyzko: *Finite operator calculus*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 42 (1973), 685 760 (reprinted in [15: pp. 7 82]).
- [18] Rota, G.-C. and B. D. Taylor: An introduction to the umbral calculus. In: Analysis, Geometry and Groups: A Riemann Legacy Volume (eds.: H. M. Srivastava and Th. M. Rassias). Palm Harbor (Florida): Hadronic Press 1983, pp. 513 – 525.
- [19] Rota, G.-C. and B. D. Taylor: The classical umbral calculus. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 25 (1994), 694 – 711.
- [20] Shabat, B. V.: Introduction into Komplex Analysis, Vol. I: Functions of a Single Variable, 3rd ed. Moscow: Nauka 1985.
- [21] Stanley, R. P.: Foundation I and the development of algebraic combinatorics. In [11: pp. 105 107].
- [22] Weinstein, A.: Groupoids: Unifying internal and external symmetries. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1996), 744 – 752.

Received $23.01.1998^{(2)}$; in revised form 11.02.2000

²⁾ See also http://arXiv.org/abs/funct-an/9704001/