Asymptotic Justification of the Conserved Phase-Field Model with Memory

V. Felli

Abstract. We consider a conserved phase-field model with memory in which the Fourier heat conduction law is replaced by a constitutive assumption of Curtin-Pipkin type; the system is conserved in the sense that the initial mass of the order parameter is preserved during the evolution. We investigate a Cauchy-Neumann problem for this model which couples an integro-differential equation with a nonlinear fourth-order equation for the phase field. Here we assume that the heat flux memory kernel has a decreasing exponential as principal part and we study the behaviour of solutions when this kernel converges to a Dirac mass. We show that the solution to the conserved phase-field model with memory converges to a solution to the phase-field problem without memory under suitable assumptions on the data.

Keywords: *Phase-field models, phase transitions, heat conduction with memory, asymptotic analysis, error estimates*

AMS subject classification: 35R99, 45K05, 80A22

1. Introduction

A material subject to variation of temperature and phase-transitions occupies an open bounded connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. $(N = 1,2,3)$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, in a given time interval $[0, T]$ $(T > 0)$. We assume that only two phases are observed. The dynamic of the system can be characterized by two state variables, namely the relative temperature ϑ (fixed in order that $\vartheta = 0$ is the equilibrium temperature between the two phases) and the phase field χ (which may stand for the local proportion of one of the two phases). *o*^{*t*} **(i)** $\theta = 0$ *is the equilibri* eld χ (which may stand for χ is governed by the different $\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell \chi) - k_0 \Delta \vartheta = g_0$
 $\Delta \chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell \vartheta = 0$ two phases are observed. The
variables, namely the relative
um temperature between the
the local proportion of one of
ial model
in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ (1.1)

The evolution of ϑ and χ is governed by the differential model

$$
\partial_t \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{v} = \partial_t (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \
$$

where q_0 stands for the heat source, k_0 is a positive constant and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$ represents the latent heat. We associate with (1.1) the Neumann boundary conditions

$$
k_0 \partial_\nu \vartheta = \partial_\nu \chi = \partial_\nu (-\Delta \chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell \vartheta) = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T) \tag{1.2}
$$

V. Felli: S.1.S.S.A./I.S.A.S., Via Beirut 2-4, 34014 Trieste, Italy; fclli©sissa.it

ISSN 0232-2064 / \$ 2.50 © Heldermann Verlag Berlin

where ∂_{ν} denotes the outward normal partial derivative on $\partial\Omega,$ and the initial conditions

$$
θ(0) = θ0
$$
\n
$$
θ(0) = θ0
$$
\n
$$
x(0) = x0
$$
\n
$$
x(0) = x
$$

where ϑ_0 and χ_0 are the initial data for the temperature and phase field, respectively.

In this paper we study some connections between problem $(1.1)-(1.3)$ and the phasefield model analyzed in [9], by developing techniques which are similar to those employed in [7] for the non-conserved phase-field models introduced and analyzed in *[5,* 6]. For some of our results one can also see [10]. *k* (1.2) determine the temperature and phase field, respectively.
 k is between problem (1.1)-(1.3) and the phase-chniques which are similar to those employed

odels introduced and analyzed in [5, 6]. For
 nergy wher

We notice that (1.1) is a balance of energy where the diffusion term $k_0 \Delta \vartheta$ comes out from the Fourier law.

Let us assume the Gurtin-Pipkin law (see [11]) according to which the heat flux q is given by

$$
\mathbf{q}(x,t) = -\int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s) \nabla \vartheta(x,s) \, ds \tag{1.4}
$$

so that q depends only on the temporal history of the temperature gradient $\nabla \vartheta$. In (1.4) k : $(0,T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represents a heat relaxation kernel. Consequently, under this assumption, the balance of energy is given by *b* see [10].
 n alance of energy where the diffusion term $k_0 \Delta \vartheta$ comes
 Pipkin law (see [11]) according to which the heat flux **q**
 $0 = -\int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s)\nabla \vartheta(x, s) ds$ (1.4)

temporal history of the temperature gradie

$$
\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell \chi) - k * \Delta \vartheta = g \tag{1.5}
$$

where $*$ denotes the time convolution product over $(0, T)$, i.e. for *a* and *b* summable in $(0, T),$

$$
\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell \chi) - k * \Delta \vartheta = g
$$

time convolution product over (0, T), i.e. for

$$
(a * b)(t) = \int_0^t a(s)b(t - s) ds \qquad (t \in [0, T]).
$$

The right-hand side g of equation (1.5) takes not only g_0 but also the assumption that the past history of the system is known up to $t = 0$ into account. Letting δ stand for the Dirac mass located at $t = 0$, we remark that $k_0 \Delta \vartheta$ may be equivalently set as $k_0 \delta * \Delta \vartheta$. Arguing as in [7], we take $k = k_{\epsilon}$ for a suitable sequence $\{k_{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon>0}$ approximating $k_0 \delta$ and study the problem with memory corresponding to the kernels $k_{\epsilon},$ in order to discuss the convergence of the solution to the ε –problem (with memory) to the solution to the limit problem (1.1) - (1.3) (without memory) as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. *s*) *ds* ($t \in [0, T]$).

not only g_0 but also the assumption that
 $t = 0$ into account. Letting δ stand for the
 $i_0 \Delta \vartheta$ may be equivalently set as $k_0 \delta * \Delta \vartheta$.

hble sequence $\{k_{\varepsilon}\}_{{\varepsilon}>0}$ approximating

As far as the memory kernels are concerned, we assume them to be given by the sum of a decreasing exponential

$$
\frac{k_0}{\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} \qquad (t>0),\tag{1.6}
$$

the trivial extension of which converges to $k_0\delta$ in the sense of distributions, and a perturbation converging to 0 in a suitable topology.

Referring to $[7]$, we remark that this choice is motivated by the fact that, if k_{ϵ} is given by (1.6), then the heat flux constitutive assumption

$$
\mathbf{q}(x,t) = -\frac{k_0}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}} \nabla \vartheta(x,s) \, ds = \mathbf{q}(x,0) - (k_\varepsilon \ast \nabla \vartheta)(t)
$$

comes from the well-known Maxwell-Cattaneo law (cf. [4])

$$
\varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} = -k_0 \nabla \vartheta
$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small (for $\varepsilon = 0$ we have the Fourier law). Setting $w = 1 * (\vartheta + \ell \chi)$ and rewriting (1.5) in the form

$$
\partial_t^2 w - k * \Delta(\partial_t w - \ell \chi) = g
$$

I.e.

$$
\partial_t^2 w - k(0)\Delta w = g + k' * \Delta w - \ell k * \Delta \chi,
$$

we underline the hyperbolic character of (1.5), since the left-hand side of the previous equation is the wave operator if $k(0) > 0$ and $k' * \Delta w$ can be considered as a lower order term.

Now we are going to develop three sections. In Section 2, we give an explanation of our notation, the rigorous formulation of the problem, and the statement of our main results. In Section 3; we prove the convergence of the solution to the problem with memory to a solution to the limit problem. In the last, Section 4, we prove error estimates with respect to the parameter ε .

2. Main results

For the sake of convenience, we recall the same notation adopted in [9]. We set

$$
H = L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

\n
$$
V = H^{1}(\Omega)
$$

\n
$$
W = \{ v \in H^{2}(\Omega) : \partial_{\nu}v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \}
$$

and introduce the operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(V, V')$ given by

\n The equation is given by:\n
$$
\text{Cov}(x, y) = \int_0^x f(x) \, dx
$$
\n where $\int_0^x f(x) \, dx$ is the function $\int_0^x f(x) \, dx$ is the function $\int_0^x f(x) \, dx$, where $\int_0^x f(x) \, dx$ is the function $\int_0^x f(x) \, dx$ is the condition \int

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the duality pairing between V' and V . We denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the inner product in *H* (which is identified with its dual space *H'*), and by $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle$ the duality pairing between W' and W. Let W, V, H, V', W' be the subspaces of W, V, H, V', W' , respectively, of null-average elements *v*, that is $\langle v, 1 \rangle = 0$.

Now, we define the operator $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{W}$ that maps $v \in \mathcal{H}$ into the unique function $\mathcal{N}v \in \mathcal{W}$ which solves

$$
v\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \qquad (u, v \in V) \tag{2.1}
$$

ality pairing between V' and V. We denote by (.,) the
denified with its dual space H'), and by $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle$ the duality.
Let W, V, H, V', W' be the subspaces of W, V, H, V', W'
ements v, that is $\langle \langle v, 1 \rangle \rangle = 0$.
or $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{H} \to W$ that maps $v \in \mathcal{H}$ into the unique function
 $-\Delta(\mathcal{N}v) = v$ a.e. in Ω
 $\partial_{\nu}(\mathcal{N}v) = 0$ a.e. on Γ
 $\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{N}v = 0$

We remark that $\mathcal N$ is an isomorphism and can be extended to an isomorphism (stil denoted by \mathcal{N}) from \mathcal{V}' to \mathcal{V} given by

is an isomorphism and can be extended to an isomorphism (still
\n
$$
\mathcal{W}'
$$
 to \mathcal{V} given by
\n
$$
\mathcal{N}v \in \mathcal{V}, \qquad \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\mathcal{N}v) \cdot \nabla z = \langle v, z \rangle \quad (z \in \mathcal{V}).
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{W} \text{ is extended to an operator (which is denoted by } \mathcal{N} \text{ and is an\n
$$
\mathcal{W}' \text{ is } \mathcal{W}' \text{ is a linearly independent.}
$$
$$

By transposition, N is extended to an operator (which is denoted by N and is an isomorphism again) from W' to H as

$$
\mathcal{N}v \in \mathcal{V}, \qquad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{N}v) \cdot \mathcal{V}z = \langle v, z \rangle \quad (z \in \mathcal{V}).
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{N} \text{ is extended to an operator (which is denote})
$$
\n
$$
\text{from } \mathcal{W}' \text{ to } \mathcal{H} \text{ as}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{N}v \in \mathcal{H}, \qquad -\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{N}v)\Delta z = \langle\langle v, z \rangle\rangle \quad (z \in \mathcal{W}).
$$

Note that the norm

$$
\mathcal{N}v \in \mathcal{H}, \qquad -\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{N}v)\Delta z = \langle\!\langle v, z \rangle\!\rangle \quad (z \in \mathcal{W}).
$$
\nthe norm

\n
$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\mathcal{N}v)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \langle v, \mathcal{N}v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{is equivalent to} \quad \|v\|_{V'} \qquad (v \in \mathcal{V}') \tag{2.3}
$$

and we use this norm instead of $\|v\|_{V'}$ whenever it is more convenient. Besides,

$$
V(\mathcal{N}v)|^2 = (v, \mathcal{N}v)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
 is equivalent to $||v||_{V'}$ (to
norm instead of $||v||_{V'}$ whenever it is more convenient.

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{N}v|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
 is equivalent to $||v||_{W'}$ $(v \in \mathcal{W}')$.

Let us use the same notation $\|\cdot\|_H$ both for the norm in $H = L^2(\Omega)$ and for that in $H^N = L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Let us assume

$$
k_0, \ell \in (0, \infty) \tag{2.4}
$$

and let us consider the ε -problem (for all $\varepsilon > 0$)

s use the same notation
$$
|| \cdot ||_H
$$
 both for the norm in $H = L^2(\Omega)$ and for that in
\n $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.
\n
\nst us assume
\n
$$
k_0, \ell \in (0, \infty)
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t (\vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{\epsilon}) - \Delta(k_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon}) = g_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t (\vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{\epsilon}) - \Delta(k_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon}) = g_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t (\chi_{\epsilon} - \Delta(-\Delta \chi_{\epsilon} + \chi_{\epsilon}^3 - \chi_{\epsilon} - \ell \vartheta_{\epsilon}) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{\nu} (k_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon}) = \partial_{\nu} \chi_{\epsilon} = \partial_{\nu} (-\Delta \chi_{\epsilon} + \chi_{\epsilon}^3 - \chi_{\epsilon} - \ell \vartheta_{\epsilon}) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\vartheta_{\epsilon}(0) = \vartheta_{0,\epsilon} \text{ and } \chi_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0,\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}(0) = \Omega_{0,\epsilon} \text{ and } \Omega_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0,\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}(0) = \Omega_{0,\epsilon} \text{ and } \Omega_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0,\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}(0) = \Omega_{0,\epsilon} \text{ and } \Omega_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0,\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\Omega_{\epsilon}(0) = \Omega_{0,\epsilon} \text{ and } \Omega_{\epsilon}(0) = \Omega_{\epsilon}(0) = \Omega_{\epsilon}
$$

where $Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$ and $\Sigma_T = \partial \Omega \times (0,T)$. The kernel k_{ϵ} in $(2.5)_1$ is the unique solution to the singular perturbation problem

$$
\epsilon k_{\epsilon}^{\prime} + k_{\epsilon} = \pi_{\epsilon} \tag{2.6}
$$

$$
k_{\epsilon}(0) = \frac{k_0}{\epsilon} \tag{2.7}
$$

that is

$$
\vartheta_{\epsilon}(0) = \vartheta_{0,\epsilon} \text{ and } \chi_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0,\epsilon} \text{ in } \Omega
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma_{T} = \partial \Omega \times (0, T). \text{ The kernel } k_{\epsilon} \text{ in } (2.5)_{1} \text{ is the unique\nbation problem}
$$
\n
$$
\epsilon k_{\epsilon}' + k_{\epsilon} = \pi_{\epsilon} \qquad (2.6)
$$
\n
$$
k_{\epsilon}(0) = \frac{k_{0}}{\epsilon} \qquad (2.7)
$$
\n
$$
k_{\epsilon} = \frac{k_{0}}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon}} * \pi_{\epsilon} \qquad (2.8)
$$
\n
$$
\pi_{\epsilon} \in W^{2,1}(0, T). \qquad (2.9)
$$

for a given

$$
\pi_{\varepsilon} \in W^{2,1}(0,T). \tag{2.9}
$$

Let q_e satisfy the conditions

Asymptotic Justinization

\n
$$
957
$$
\nthe conditions

\n
$$
g_{\epsilon} \in L^{2}(0, T; H) \cap (W^{1,1}(0, T; H) + W^{2,1}(0, T; V'))
$$
\n
$$
g_{\epsilon}(0) \in H.
$$
\n
$$
\vartheta_{0,\epsilon} \in V
$$
\n
$$
(2.12)
$$

$$
g_{\epsilon}(0) \in H. \tag{2.11}
$$

Assume also

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\vartheta_{0,\epsilon} &\in V \\
\chi_{0,\epsilon} &\in V\n\end{aligned}\n\tag{2.12}
$$

and that the kernels k_{ε} are of positive type, that is

$$
\vartheta_{0,\epsilon} \in V
$$
\n
$$
\chi_{0,\epsilon} \in V
$$
\ne κ_{ϵ} are of positive type, that is\n
$$
\int_0^T \left(v(t), (k_{\epsilon} * v)(t) \right) dt \ge 0 \qquad (v \in L^2(0, T; H), T \in (0, +\infty)). \tag{2.13}
$$

Note that there is indeed at least one memory kernel given by $(2.\overline{8})$ which fulfils (2.13) , namely the one obtained for $\pi_{\epsilon} = 0$. Besides, a sufficient condition which guarantees that k_{ε} is of positive type is that π_{ε} is of positive type, since [13: p. 278/Theorem XX] ensures that the convolution of functions of positive type is of positive type.

A weak formulation of problem (2.5) can be stated as

e kernels
$$
k_{\epsilon}
$$
 are of positive type, that is\n
$$
\int_{0}^{T} \left(v(t), (k_{\epsilon} * v)(t) \right) dt \geq 0 \qquad \left(v \in L^{2}(0, T; H), T \in (0, +\infty) \right). \tag{2.13}
$$
\n\nhere is indeed at least one memory kernel given by (2.8) which fulfils (2.13), one obtained for $\pi_{\epsilon} = 0$. Besides, a sufficient condition which guarantees positive type is that π_{ϵ} is of positive type, since [13: p. 278/Theorem XX] the convolution of functions of positive type is of positive type. formulation of problem (2.5) can be stated as\n
$$
\vartheta_{\epsilon} \in W^{1,1}(0, T; W') \cap L^{\infty}(0, T; H) \cap L^{2}(0, T; V)
$$
\n
$$
\chi_{\epsilon} \in H^{1}(0, T; V') \cap L^{\infty}(0, T; V) \cap L^{2}(0, T; W)
$$
\n
$$
\eta_{\epsilon} := \vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell_{X\epsilon} \in C^{0}([0, T], V) \cap C^{1}([0, T]; H)
$$
\n
$$
\xi_{\epsilon} \in L^{2}(0, T; V) \tag{2.14}
$$

and

$$
\langle \langle \partial_t (\vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{\epsilon})(t), v \rangle \rangle - \int_{\Omega} (k_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon})(t) \Delta v = \int_{\Omega} g_{\epsilon}(t) v \quad (v \in W, \text{a.e. in } (0, T))
$$

\n
$$
\xi_{\epsilon} = -\Delta \chi_{\epsilon} + \chi_{\epsilon}^{3} - \chi_{\epsilon} - \ell \vartheta_{\epsilon}
$$

\n
$$
\langle \partial_{t} \chi_{\epsilon}(t), v \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \xi_{\epsilon}(t) \cdot \nabla v = 0 \quad (v \in V, \text{a.e. in } (0, T))
$$

\n
$$
\vartheta_{\epsilon}(0) = \vartheta_{0, \epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0, \epsilon}.
$$
 (2.15)

This has been proved in [12] (existence) and [9] (uniqueness) under weaker assumptions on the data $k_{\epsilon}, g_{\epsilon}, \vartheta_{0\epsilon}$ and, consequently, with less regularity than that stated above. On the other hand, assuming our hypotheses one can easily obtain regularity (2.14) for the solution to the ε -problem. In particular, to obtain the improved regularity $(2.14)_3$ claimed on η_{ε} , we set $w_{\varepsilon} = 1 * \eta_{\varepsilon}$ and rewrite (2.15) ₁ as

$$
w''_{\epsilon} + k_{\epsilon}(0)Aw_{\epsilon} = f_{\epsilon} - A(k'_{\epsilon} * w_{\epsilon})
$$

where $f_{\epsilon} = g_{\epsilon} - \ell \Delta (k_{\epsilon} * \chi_{\epsilon})$, with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mu_{\epsilon} + k_{\epsilon}(0) A w_{\epsilon} &= f_{\epsilon} - A(k_{\epsilon}' \ast w_{\epsilon}) \\
\text{with the initial conditions} \\
w_{\epsilon}(0) &= 0 \\
w_{\epsilon}'(0) &= \eta_{0,\epsilon} = \vartheta_{0,\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{0,\epsilon}\n\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{\epsilon} \in L^1(0, T; H) + W^{1,1}(0, T; V')$ and $\eta_{0,\epsilon} \in V$, we can apply [1: p. 62/Theorem 4.4] to get
 $w_{\epsilon} \in C^0([0, T]; V) \cap C^1([0, T]; H).$

Then we set $f_{\epsilon}^1 = f_{\epsilon} - A(k_{\epsilon}' * w_{\epsilon})$, thus we obtain 4.4] to get

 $w_{\epsilon} \in C^{0}([0, T]; V) \cap C^{1}([0, T]; H).$

$$
w_{\epsilon}^{"} + k_{\epsilon}(0)Aw_{\epsilon} = f_{\epsilon}^{1}
$$

$$
w_{\epsilon}(0) = 0
$$

$$
w_{\epsilon}'(0) = \eta_{0,\epsilon}
$$

Since $f_{\epsilon}^1 \in W^{1,1}(0,T;H) + W^{2,1}(0,T;V')$ and $f_{\epsilon}^1(0) \in H$, using [1: p. 74/Theorem 5.1] we have

 $w_{\epsilon} \in C^1([0,T];V) \cap C^2([0,T];H)$

and so $(2.14)_3$ is proved.

One can also see [8], where a generalized system is studied and regularity results are presented as well.

Thanks to $(2.14)_1$, ϑ_{ϵ} takes values into *V* so that problem (2.5) can be rewritten by means of the operator *A* as

d so (2.14)₃ is proved.
\nOne can also see [8], where a generalized system is studied and regularity results
\nthe greatest result. Thanks to (2.14)₁,
$$
\vartheta_{\epsilon}
$$
 takes values into V so that problem (2.5) can be rewritten by
\nans of the operator A as
\n
$$
\partial_{t}(\vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{\epsilon}) + A(k_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon}) = g_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{t}\chi_{\epsilon} + A\xi_{\epsilon} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\xi_{\epsilon} = A\chi_{\epsilon} + \chi_{\epsilon}^{3} - \chi_{\epsilon} - \ell \vartheta_{\epsilon}
$$
\n(in V', a.e. in (0, T)). (2.16)
\n
$$
\vartheta_{\epsilon}(0) = \vartheta_{0,\epsilon} \text{ and } \chi_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0,\epsilon}
$$
\n(hie unknown function ϑ_{ϵ} is replaced by the integrated enthalpy w_{ϵ} , namely
\n
$$
w_{\epsilon} = 1 * (\vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{\epsilon}),
$$
\n(2.17)
\n(b) ℓ

If the unknown function ϑ_{ϵ} is replaced by the integrated enthalpy w_{ϵ} , namely

$$
w_{\varepsilon} = 1 \ast (\vartheta_{\varepsilon} + \ell \chi_{\varepsilon}), \tag{2.17}
$$

problem (2.16) is transformed into

$$
(\partial \theta) = \vartheta_{0,\epsilon} \text{ and } \chi_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0,\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\text{unknown function } \vartheta_{\epsilon} \text{ is replaced by the integrated enthalpy } w_{\epsilon}, \text{ namely}
$$
\n
$$
w_{\epsilon} = 1 * (\vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{\epsilon}), \qquad (2.17)
$$
\n
$$
\text{m (2.16) is transformed into}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{t}^{2} w_{\epsilon} + A(k_{\epsilon} * \partial_{t} w_{\epsilon}) = g_{\epsilon} + A(k_{\epsilon} * \ell \chi_{\epsilon})
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{t} \chi_{\epsilon} + A\xi_{\epsilon} = 0
$$
\n
$$
A\chi_{\epsilon} + \chi_{\epsilon}^{3} + (\ell^{2} - 1)\chi_{\epsilon} - \ell \partial_{t} w_{\epsilon} = \xi_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{t} w_{\epsilon}(0) = \vartheta_{0,\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{0,\epsilon}, \chi_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0,\epsilon}, w_{\epsilon}(0) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\text{w consider the limit problem}
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{t}(\vartheta + \ell \chi) + k_{0} A \vartheta = g \qquad \text{in } W'
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{t} \chi + A\xi = 0 \qquad \text{in } V'
$$
\n
$$
A\chi + \chi^{3} - \chi - \ell \vartheta = \xi \qquad \text{in } V'
$$
\n
$$
\vartheta(0) = \vartheta_{0}, \chi(0) = \chi_{0}
$$
\n
$$
\text{as above, we introduce the integrated set of the system.} \qquad \text{(for a.e. } t \in (0, T)). \qquad (2.19)
$$

We now consider the limit problem

$$
\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell \chi) + k_0 A \vartheta = g \quad \text{in } W'
$$

der the limit problem

$$
\partial_t(\vartheta + \ell \chi) + k_0 A \vartheta = g \quad \text{in } W'
$$

$$
A\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell \vartheta = \xi \quad \text{in } V'
$$

$$
\vartheta(0) = \vartheta_0, \chi(0) = \chi_0
$$

$$
\text{over, we introduce the integrated enthalpy}
$$

$$
w = 1 * (\vartheta + \ell \chi) \quad (2.20)
$$

Arguing as above, we introduce the integrated enthalpy

$$
w = 1 * (\vartheta + \ell \chi) \tag{2.20}
$$

and write the limit problem in terms of to as

Asymptotic Justinization

\n959

\noblem in terms of
$$
w
$$
 as

\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\partial_t^2 w + k_0 A(\partial_t w - \ell_X) &= g \\
\partial_t x + A\xi &= 0 \\
A\chi + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell(\partial_t w - \ell_X) &= \xi \\
\partial_t w(0) &= \vartheta_0 + \ell \chi_0, \ \chi(0) = \chi_0, \ w(0) = 0\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(2.21)

\nconcerned, we assume

\n
$$
g \in L^2(0, T; H)
$$
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\vartheta_0 &\in V' \\
\chi_0 &\in H\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(2.22)

\nn: (ϑ, χ, ξ) to problem (2.19) such that

As far as the data are concerned, we assume

$$
\begin{aligned}\ng \in L^2(0, T; H) \\
\vartheta_0 \in V' \\
\chi_0 \in H\n\end{aligned}\n\bigg\} \tag{2.22}
$$

and look for a solution (ϑ, χ, ξ) to problem (2.19) such that

$$
A_X + \chi^3 - \chi - \ell(\partial_t w - \ell_X) = \xi
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t w(0) = \vartheta_0 + \ell \chi_0, \ \chi(0) = \chi_0, \ w(0) = 0
$$
\nare concerned, we assume

\n
$$
g \in L^2(0, T; H)
$$
\n
$$
\vartheta_0 \in V'
$$
\n
$$
\chi_0 \in H
$$
\nion (ϑ, χ, ξ) to problem (2.19) such that

\n
$$
\vartheta \in H^1(0, T; W') \cap L^{\infty}(0, T; H)
$$
\n
$$
\chi \in L^{\infty}(0, T; V) \cap H^1(0, T; V') \cap L^2(0, T; W)
$$
\n
$$
\xi \in L^2(0, T; V)
$$
\ne our results. The first one ensures the convergence of the conserved

Now, let us state our results. The first one ensures the convergence of the conserved phase-field model with memory to the conserved phase-field model without memory (classical model). For the uniqueness of the solution to the limit problem we refer to [3: p. 550/Theorem 3.1]. X; *V*) \cap *H*¹(0, *T*; *V'*) \cap *L*²(0, *T*; *W'*)
 X; *V*)
 X; *V*)
 Xo in *H*, we can assume ε < 1 and require boundedness of
 $<\varepsilon$ < 1.
 Xo in H, $\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon} \to \vartheta_0$ *in V'*
 Moreover, 9. Jone ensures the convergence of the conserved
nserved phase-field model without memory
ne solution to the limit problem we refer to
assume ε < 1 and require boundedness of
(2.4), (2.6) – (2.13), (2.22) and let (θ *i* one ensures the convergence of the conserved
nserved phase-field model without memory
in esolution to the limit problem we refer to
in assume ε < 1 and require boundedness of
(2.4), (2.6) – (2.13), (2.22) and let (θ

Without any loss of generality, we can assume $\varepsilon < 1$ and require boundedness of *converging terms to hold for* $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ *. xenerality, we can assume* $\varepsilon < 1$ and require boundedness of
 x $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, assume (2.4), (2.6) - (2.13), (2.22) and let $(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}, \chi_{\varepsilon}, \xi_{\varepsilon})$
 x (2.16) *satisfying* (2.14). *Moreover*, assume that
 ϑ_{0

Theorem 2.1. $For \epsilon \in (0, 1)$, assume $(2.4), (2.6) - (2.13), (2.22)$ and let $(\vartheta_{\epsilon}, \chi_{\epsilon}, \chi_{\epsilon})$ *be the solution to problem (2.16) satisfying (2.14). Moreover, assume that* $\begin{align} \text{and for } 0 \ \text{for } \epsilon \in (0 \ \text{dim } (2.1 \ \text{and } \text{X}_0, \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \end{align}$

$$
\chi_{0,\epsilon} \to \chi_0 \text{ in } H, \ \vartheta_{0,\epsilon} \to \vartheta_0 \text{ in } V' \tag{2.24}
$$

$$
g_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup g \text{ in } L^2(0,T;H) \tag{2.25}
$$

$$
\tau_{\varepsilon} \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad W^{1,1}(0,T) \tag{2.26}
$$

as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ and that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$,

$$
\chi_{\epsilon} \in C^0([0,T]; V) \tag{2.27}
$$

$$
\|\vartheta_{0,\epsilon}\|_{H} + \|\chi_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V} + \|g_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)} \leq c_0 \tag{2.28}
$$

11190,eTimes as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ and that, for any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$,
 $\chi_{\epsilon} \in C^{0}([0,T]; V)$ (2.26)
 i $\vartheta_{0,\epsilon} ||_{H} + ||\chi_{0,\epsilon}||_{V} + ||g_{\epsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,T;H)} \leq c_{0}$ (2.28)
 for some constant $c_{0} > 0$. Then, there exists a triplet $(\vartheta, \chi$ *that the strong, weak star and weak convergences*

*1 * i9 .—* 1 ** 19 *in C°([0,TI; H) fl H'(0,T; V') —* X in C°([0, T]; H) fl L2 (0, T; V) 9e19 in L(0,T;H)flH'(0,T;W') (2.29) XeX in L'(0, T; V) fl H'(0, T; V') fl L2 (0,T; W) in L2 (0,T;V) - x--x3 in L°°(0,T;H)*

hold. Moreover, the triplet (ϑ, χ, ξ) *yields the solution to problem* (2.19).

Sufficient conditions in order to ensure the validity of *(2.27)* are given in [8].

We next present an error estimate, for which we ask for additional bounds on the sequences ${g_{\varepsilon}, \{\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}\}, \{\chi_{0,\varepsilon}\}, \{\pi_{\varepsilon}\}, \text{ besides (2.28). Let } (\vartheta_{\varepsilon}, \chi_{\varepsilon}, \xi_{\varepsilon}) \text{ be the solution to}$ problem (2.16) satisfying (2.14) and (ϑ, χ, ξ) the solution to problem (2.19) satisfying (2.23). *g* (ϑ, χ, ξ) *yields the solution to problem* (2.19).

order to ensure the validity of (2.27) are given in [8].

for estimate, for which we ask for additional bounds on the
 g_{,e}}, $\{\pi_{\epsilon}\}$, besides (2.28). Let

Theorem 2.2. *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, assume*

$$
g_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(0, T; H) + W^{2,1}(0, T; V') \tag{2.30}
$$

and

e next present an error estimate, for which we ask for additional bounds on the
\nces {
$$
g_{\epsilon}
$$
}, { $\{\vartheta_{0,\epsilon}\}, {\{\chi_{0,\epsilon}\}, {\pi_{\epsilon}}\}$, besides (2.28). Let $(\vartheta_{\epsilon}, \chi_{\epsilon}, \xi_{\epsilon})$ be the solution to
\n m (2.16) satisfying (2.14) and (ϑ, χ, ξ) the solution to problem (2.19) satisfying
\n $g_{\epsilon} \in H^1(0, T; H) + W^{2,1}(0, T; V')$ (2.30)
\n
$$
\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} ||g_{\epsilon}(0)||_H + \varepsilon ||\vartheta_{0,\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{0,\epsilon}||_V + \varepsilon ||g_{\epsilon}||_{H^1(0, T; H) + W^{2,1}(0, T; V')}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left| \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{0,\epsilon} - \chi_0) \right| + ||\chi_{0,\epsilon} - \chi_0||_{V'} + ||\vartheta_{0,\epsilon} - \vartheta_0||_{V'}
$$
 (2.31)
\n
$$
+ ||\tau_{\epsilon}||_{W^{1,1}(0,T)} + ||g_{\epsilon} - g||_{L^2(0,T;H)} \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

for any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and for some constant $c_1 > 0$. Then the error estimate

$$
\|(1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) - (1 * \vartheta)\|_{H^1(0,T;H) \cap L^{\infty}(0,T;V)} + \|\chi_{\varepsilon} - \chi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V') \cap L^2(0,T;V)} \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.32}
$$

holds for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ with $C_1 > 0$ *a constant depending only on* $\Omega, T, c_0, c_1, \ell, k_0$ and *on the upper bounds for the data related to* $(2.24) - (2.26)$.

In the following proofs, for the sake of convenience, $c > 0$ denotes a constant which may vary from line to line, but it always depends on Ω , k_0 , ℓ , T and on the data at most. Constants like B_1 , B_2 etc. depend only on the data (not on the approximation parameter). We point out that $\sigma > 0$ always denotes a parameter which is chosen small enough in each step of the proofs and a symbol like c_{σ} is employed to stress the dependance on the parameter *a.* $\alpha_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)} + \beta_{L^{\infty}} = \lambda \beta_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)} \cap L^2(0,T;V)$
 $C_1 > 0$ a constant depending only on Ω, T

ata related to $(2.24) - (2.26)$.
 Γ the sake of convenience, $c > 0$ denotes a

but it always depends on Ω, k_0, ℓ, T

Moreover, we recall the formulas

$$
a * b = a(0)(1 * b) + a_t * 1 * b
$$

\n
$$
(a * b)_t = a(0)b + a_t * b
$$

\nmake sense, and the Young theorem
\n
$$
b||L_r(0,T;X) \le ||a||_{L^p(0,T)}||b||_{L^q(0,T;X)}
$$
\n
$$
c(2.34)
$$
\nspace and $p, q, r \in [1, \infty]$ with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1$. Finally, we use
\n
$$
ab \le \sigma a^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma} b^2 \qquad (a, b \ge 0, \sigma > 0)
$$
\n
$$
(2.35)
$$
\nthe Gronwall lemma (see [2: pp. 156 - 157]).

which hold whenever they make sense, and the Young theorem

$$
||a * b||_{L^{r}(0,T;X)} \leq ||a||_{L^{p}(0,T)} ||b||_{L^{q}(0,T;X)}
$$
\n(2.34)

where X is a real Banach space and $p,q,r \in [1,\infty]$ with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1$. Finally, we use the elementary inequality $2 * b \|_{L^r(0,T;X)} \leq \|a\|_L$
 2 apace and $p, q, r \in [1, 2a]$
 p
 $2ab \leq \sigma a^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma} b^2$

$$
2ab \le \sigma a^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma}b^2 \qquad (a, b \ge 0, \sigma > 0)
$$
 (2.35)

and extended versions of the Gronwall lemma (see *[2: pp. 156 - 157]).*

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

The scheme of the proof is the following. First of all we prove some a priori estimates for the solution $(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}, \chi_{\varepsilon}, \xi_{\varepsilon})$ to problem (2.16). Then we select some converging sequences by weak and weak star compactness. Finally, we show that the limits of these sequences solve problem (2.19). **3. Proof of Theorem 2.1**

The scheme of the proof is the following. First of all we prove son

the solution $(\vartheta_{\epsilon}, \chi_{\epsilon}, \xi_{\epsilon})$ to problem (2.16). Then we select som

by weak and weak star compactness. Finally, we show

First set of a priori estimates. The first a priori estimate, which we derive for the reader's convenience, is actually the same inequality deduced in [9: Theorem 2.1].
We sum $(2.16)_1$ tested by $\vartheta_{\epsilon}(t)$ (note that ϑ_{ϵ} takes values into V)
 $\langle \partial_t \vartheta_{\epsilon}(t), \vartheta_{\epsilon}(t) \rangle + \ell(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t), \vartheta_{\epsilon}(t)) + \int_{$ We sum (2.16) tested by $\vartheta_{\varepsilon}(t)$ (note that ϑ_{ε} takes values into *V*)

$$
\langle \partial_t \vartheta_{\epsilon}(t), \vartheta_{\epsilon}(t) \rangle + \ell \langle \partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t), \vartheta_{\epsilon}(t) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} (k_{\epsilon} * \nabla \vartheta_{\epsilon})(t) \cdot \nabla \vartheta_{\epsilon}(t) = \langle g_{\epsilon}(t), \vartheta_{\epsilon}(t) \rangle
$$

e. $t \in (0, T)$ with (2.16)₂ tested by $\mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon})(t)$ (note that $\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t) \in \mathcal{V}'$ by (1 by $v = 1$), i.e.

$$
\langle \partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t), \mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon})(t) \rangle + \langle A \xi_{\epsilon}(t), \mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t)) \rangle = 0
$$
 a.e. in (0, T).
 $\mathcal{N}(2, 2)$ (2, 3) and (2, 16), integrating with respect to time and remarking the

for a.e. $t \in (0,T)$ with $(2.16)_2$ tested by $\mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\varepsilon})(t)$ (note that $\partial_t \chi_{\varepsilon}(t) \in \mathcal{V}'$ by $(2.15)_3$

$$
\big\langle \partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t), \mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon})(t) \big\rangle + \big\langle A \xi_{\epsilon}(t), \mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t)) \big\rangle = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, T).
$$

Using (2.2) , (2.3) and $(2.16)_3$, integrating with respect to time and remarking that

e.
\n
$$
\mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon})(t) + \langle A\xi_{\epsilon}(t), \mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t)) \rangle = 0
$$
 a.e. i
\nand (2.16)₃, integrating with respect to time and i
\n
$$
\int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} (k_{\epsilon} * \nabla \vartheta_{\epsilon})(s) \cdot \nabla \vartheta_{\epsilon}(s) \right) ds \ge 0 \qquad (t > 0)
$$

Let
$$
d(x, y) = 1
$$
, i.e.

\n
$$
\langle \partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t), \mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon})(t) \rangle + \langle A\xi_{\epsilon}(t), \mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t)) \rangle = 0
$$
\nwhere $d(x, y) = 1$, i.e.

\n
$$
\langle \partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t), \mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon})(t) \rangle + \langle A\xi_{\epsilon}(t), \mathcal{N}(\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(t)) \rangle = 0
$$
\nwhere $d(x, y) = 1$.

\nUsing (2.2), (2.3) and (2.16), integrating with respect to time and remarking the

\n
$$
\int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} (k_{\epsilon} * \nabla \vartheta_{\epsilon})(s) \cdot \nabla \vartheta_{\epsilon}(s) \right) ds \ge 0 \quad (t > 0)
$$
\nby virtue of (2.13), we infer that

\n
$$
\frac{1}{2} \|\vartheta_{\epsilon}(t)\|_H^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{Y'}^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}(t)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\epsilon}^2(t) - 1)^2 \le \frac{1}{2} \|\vartheta_{0,\epsilon}\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \chi_{0,\epsilon}\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{0,\epsilon}^2 - 1)^2 + \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} g_{\epsilon}(s) \vartheta_{\epsilon}(s) \right) ds.
$$
\nApplying the generalized Gronwall lemma, we obtain

\n
$$
\|\vartheta_{\epsilon}(t)\|_H^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{Y'}^2 ds + \|\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}(t)\|_H^2 + \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\epsilon}^2(t) - 1)^2 \le c \left(\|\vartheta_{0,\epsilon}\|_H^2 + \|\nabla \chi_{0,\epsilon}\|_H^2 + \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{0,\epsilon}^2 - 1)^2 + \left(\int_0^t \|g_{\epsilon}(s)\|_H ds \right)^2 \
$$

Applying the generalized Gronwall lemma, we obtain

g the generalized Gronwall lemma, we obtain
\n
$$
\|\vartheta_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \|\partial_{t}\chi_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{V'}^{2} ds + \|\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\epsilon}^{2}(t) - 1)^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq c \left(\|\vartheta_{0,\epsilon}\|_{H}^{2} + \|\nabla \chi_{0,\epsilon}\|_{H}^{2} + \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{0,\epsilon}^{2} - 1)^{2} + \left(\int_{0}^{t} \|g_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H} ds \right)^{2} \right)
$$
\n9 being a constant.
\ne that, owing to the continuous embedding $H^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{4}(\Omega)$,
\n
$$
\int_{\Omega} (\chi_{0,\epsilon}^{2} - 1)^{2} \leq c \| \chi_{0,\epsilon} \|_{V}^{4} + |\Omega|
$$

with $c > 0$ being a constant.

Note that, owing to the continuous embedding $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^4(\Omega)$,

with
$$
c > 0
$$
 being a constant.
\nNote that, owing to the continuous embedding $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^4(\Omega)$,
\n
$$
\int_{\Omega} (\chi^2_{0,\epsilon} - 1)^2 \le c ||\chi_{0,\epsilon}||_V^4 + |\Omega|
$$
\nwhere $|\cdot|$ is the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N . Hence we find the estimates

$$
eing a constant.
$$
\nat, owing to the continuous embedding $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^4(\Omega)$,

\n
$$
\int_{\Omega} (\chi^2_{0,\epsilon} - 1)^2 \le c ||\chi_{0,\epsilon}||^4_V + |\Omega|
$$
\nthe Lebesgue measure in ℝ^N. Hence we find the estimates

\n
$$
||\vartheta_{\epsilon}(t)||^2_H + \int_0^t ||\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}(s)||^2_V ds + ||\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}(t)||^2_H + ||\chi_{\epsilon}(t)||^4_{L^4(\Omega)}
$$
\n
$$
\le c \left(||\vartheta_{0,\epsilon}||^2_H + ||\nabla \chi_{0,\epsilon}||^2_H + ||\chi_{0,\epsilon}||^4_V + 1 + ||g_{\epsilon}||^2_{L^1(0,T;H)} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\le c \left(||\vartheta_{0,\epsilon}||^2_H + 1 + ||\chi_{0,\epsilon}||^4_V + ||g_{\epsilon}||^2_{L^1(0,T;H)} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= cB(\epsilon)
$$
\n(8.1)

where

$$
B(\varepsilon) = \|\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{H}^{2} + 1 + \|\chi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{V}^{4} + \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(0,T;H)}^{2}.
$$
 (3.2)

Remarking that $\chi_{\epsilon} - \chi_{0,\epsilon} \in V$, by virtue of Poincaré inequality we obtain

$$
\| \chi_{\varepsilon}(t) \|_{V}^{2} \leq c \Big(\| \nabla \chi_{\varepsilon}(t) \|_{H}^{2} + \| \chi_{0,\varepsilon} \|_{V}^{2} \Big) \n\leq c \Big(\| \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon} \|_{H}^{2} + \| \chi_{0,\varepsilon} \|_{V}^{4} + 1 + \| g_{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{1}(0,T;H)}^{2} + \| \chi_{0,\varepsilon} \|_{V}^{2} \Big) \n\leq c \Big(\| \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon} \|_{H}^{2} + \| \chi_{0,\varepsilon} \|_{V}^{4} + 1 + \| g_{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{1}(0,T;H)}^{2} \Big) \n= cB(\varepsilon)
$$
\n(3.3)

where $c > 0$ is a constant. We point out that (2.28) yields $B(\varepsilon) \leq c_2$, $c_2 > 0$ being a constant.

Second set of a priori estimates. In order to deduce the second estimate we develop techniques which are similar to those employed in [7]. We first integrate $(2.16)_1$ with respect to time to get

$$
\vartheta_{\varepsilon} + \ell \chi_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon} - \ell \chi_{0,\varepsilon} + A(1 * k_{\varepsilon} * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = 1 * g_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } V'
$$

and multiply (2.16) ₁ itself by ε to get

 \bar{z}

$$
\varepsilon(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}+\ell\chi_{\varepsilon})'+\varepsilon A(k_{\varepsilon}*\vartheta_{\varepsilon})=\varepsilon g_{\varepsilon}\qquad\text{in }V'.
$$

Adding the two equalities obtained this way and noting that (2.6) - (2.7) yield $\varepsilon k_{\varepsilon} + 1$ * $k_{\epsilon} = k_0 + 1 * \pi_{\epsilon}$ we have

$$
\varepsilon(\vartheta_{\varepsilon} + \ell \chi_{\varepsilon})' + \vartheta_{\varepsilon} + \ell \chi_{\varepsilon} + A((k_0 + \Pi_{\varepsilon}) * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = F_{\varepsilon}
$$
\n(3.4)

where

$$
\Pi_{\epsilon} = 1 * \pi_{\epsilon} \in W^{3,1}(0,T)
$$

\n
$$
F_{\epsilon} = \epsilon g_{\epsilon} + \vartheta_{0,\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{0,\epsilon} + 1 * g_{\epsilon} \in L^{2}(0,T;H).
$$
\n(3.5)

We can rewrite (3.4) in terms of w_{ε} as

$$
\varepsilon \partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon} + \partial_t w_{\varepsilon} + k_0 A w_{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon} - A(\pi_{\varepsilon} * w_{\varepsilon}) + A((k_0 + \Pi_{\varepsilon}) * \ell \chi_{\varepsilon}). \tag{3.6}
$$

Testing this by $\partial_t w_{\epsilon} = \vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{\epsilon}$ and integrating with respect to t, we have

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H}^2 + \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{k_0}{2} \|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H}^2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon} + \ell \chi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{H}^2 + \int_0^t \langle F_{\varepsilon}(s), \partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(s) \rangle ds + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{I}_i(t) \tag{3.7}
$$

where $Q_t = \Omega$ $\lambda \times (0,t)$ $(t \in (0,T])$ and

$$
T_1(t) = \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} \nabla (k_0 * \ell \chi_{\epsilon})(s) \cdot \nabla (\partial_t w_{\epsilon}(s)) \right) ds
$$

\n
$$
T_2(t) = \ell \iint_{Q_t} (\Pi_{\epsilon} * \nabla \chi_{\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla (\partial_t w_{\epsilon})
$$

\n
$$
T_3(t) = - \iint_{Q_t} (\pi_{\epsilon} * \nabla w_{\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla (\partial_t w_{\epsilon}).
$$

We now estimate these quantities. Integrating by parts, we can treat \mathcal{I}_1 as

$$
I_1(t) = \int_{\Omega} (k_0 \cdot \nabla(\ell \chi_{\epsilon}))(t) \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}(t) - \iint_{Q_t} \ell k_0 \nabla \chi_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sigma \|\nabla w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + \frac{1}{4\sigma} \|k_0 \cdot \nabla(\ell \chi_{\epsilon})\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t;H)}^{2} - \iint_{Q_t} \ell k_0 \nabla \chi_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sigma \|\nabla w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + \frac{c}{4\sigma} \ell^{2} k_0^{2} \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}|^{2} + \frac{k_0 \ell}{2} \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}|^{2} + \frac{k_0 \ell}{2} \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla w_{\epsilon}|^{2}
$$

where the Young theorem and (2.35) have been employed.

To deal with 12, we use-integration by parts and the Young inequality to obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}_2(t) = \ell \int_{\Omega} (\Pi_{\epsilon} * \nabla \chi_{\epsilon})(t) \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}(t) - \ell \iint_{Q_t} \partial_t (\Pi_{\epsilon} * \nabla \chi_{\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
= \ell \int_{\Omega} (\Pi_{\epsilon} * \nabla \chi_{\epsilon})(t) \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}(t) - \ell \iint_{Q_t} (\pi_{\epsilon} * \nabla \chi_{\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sigma \|\nabla w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^2 + \frac{1}{4\sigma} \ell^2 \|\Pi_{\epsilon} * \nabla \chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0, t; H)}^2
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla w_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H}^2 ds + \ell^2 \int_{0}^{t} \|(\pi_{\epsilon} * \nabla \chi_{\epsilon})(s)\|_{H}^2 ds
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sigma \|\nabla w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \ell^2 c \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1(0, T)}^2 \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}|^2
$$
\n
$$
+ \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla w_{\epsilon}|^2 + \ell^2 \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1(0, T)}^2 \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}|^2.
$$

As far as \mathcal{I}_3 is concerned, after integrating by parts and in view of (2.33) and (2.35) *we have*

$$
\leq \sigma \|\nabla w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \ell^{2} c \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{1}(0,T)}^{2} \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}|^{2} \n+ \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla w_{\epsilon}|^{2} + \ell^{2} \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{1}(0,T)}^{2} \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}|^{2}.
$$
\nAs far as \mathcal{I}_{3} is concerned, after integrating by parts and in view of (2.33) and (2.35)
\nwe have\n
$$
\mathcal{I}_{3}(t) = - \int_{\Omega} (\pi_{\epsilon} * \nabla w_{\epsilon})(t) \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon}(t) + \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} (\pi_{\epsilon}(0) \nabla w_{\epsilon} + \pi_{\epsilon}' * \nabla w_{\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla w_{\epsilon} \n\leq \sigma \|\nabla w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + \frac{c}{\sigma} \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2} \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla w_{\epsilon}|^{2} + (|\pi_{\epsilon}(0)| + |\pi_{\epsilon}'|_{L^{1}(0,T)}) \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla w_{\epsilon}|^{2}.
$$
\nNow we collect all these estimates and add $\frac{k_{0}}{4} \|w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2}$ to both sides of (3.7). Re-
\nmarking that\n
$$
\frac{k_{0}}{4} \|w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \|w_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H} \|\partial_{t}w_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H} ds \leq \sigma \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\partial_{t}w_{\epsilon}|^{2} + \frac{c}{\sigma} \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |w_{\epsilon}|^{2}
$$

Now we collect all these estimates and add $\frac{k_0}{4} ||w_{\epsilon}(t)||_H^2$ to both sides of (3.7). Re*marking that*

llw(t)Il Cfo ll we(s)IIH II ^ô^t *we(s)llH ds <off ^a*t*wl ² ⁺ U*

we infer that

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{2} ||\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2 + \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{k_0}{2} ||\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2 + \frac{k_0}{4} ||w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2
$$
\n
$$
\leq \varepsilon ||\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}||_H^2 + \varepsilon \ell^2 ||\chi_{0,\varepsilon}||_H^2 + \sigma ||\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma} c k_0^2 \ell^2 \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \chi_{\varepsilon}|^2
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{k_0 \ell}{2} \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \chi_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{k_0 \ell}{2} \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{c}{\sigma} \int_0^t ||F_{\varepsilon}(s)||_H^2 ds
$$
\n
$$
+ \sigma \int_0^t ||\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(s)||_H^2 ds + \sigma ||\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \ell^2 c ||\pi_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1(0,T)}^2 \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \chi_{\varepsilon}|^2
$$
\n
$$
+ \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \ell^2 ||\pi_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1(0,T)}^2 \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \chi_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \sigma ||\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2
$$
\n
$$
+ c \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} ||\pi_{\varepsilon}||_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + |\pi_{\varepsilon}(0)| + ||\pi_{\varepsilon}'||_{L^1(0,T)} \right) \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^2
$$
\n
$$
+ \sigma \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{c}{\sigma} \iint_{Q_t} |w_{\varepsilon}|^2.
$$

If we choose $\sigma = \min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{k_0}{12}\}\$ and recall $(3.2) \cdot (3.3)$ we obtain

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{Q_{\varepsilon}} |\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \frac{k_{0}}{4} \|w_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{V}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \varepsilon \|\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{H}^{2} + \varepsilon \ell^{2} \| \chi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{H}^{2} + (c + c \| \pi_{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{1}(0,T)}^{2}) \iint_{Q_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \chi_{\varepsilon}|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ (c + c \| \pi_{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2} + |\pi_{\varepsilon}(0)| + \| \pi_{\varepsilon}' \|_{L^{1}(0,T)}) \iint_{Q_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla w_{\varepsilon}|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ c \int_{0}^{t} \|F_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{H}^{2} ds + c \iint_{Q_{\varepsilon}} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \varepsilon \|\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{H}^{2} + \varepsilon \ell^{2} \| \chi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{H}^{2} + cB(\varepsilon) \left(1 + \| \pi_{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{1}(0,T)}^{2}\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ c \|F_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)}^{2} + G(\varepsilon) \int_{0}^{t} \|w_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{V}^{2} ds
$$

where we have set

$$
G(\varepsilon)=c+c\|\pi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2+|\pi_{\varepsilon}(0)|+\|\pi_{\varepsilon}'\|_{L^1(0,T)}
$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Applying the Gronwall lemma we find the estimate

$$
\varepsilon \|\partial_t w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^2 + \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t w_{\epsilon}|^2 + \|w_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{V}^2
$$

$$
\leq c \Big(\varepsilon \|\vartheta_{0,\epsilon}\|_{H}^2 + \varepsilon \ell^2 \| \chi_{0,\epsilon}\|_{H}^2 + B(\varepsilon) \big(1 + \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1(0,T)}^2 \big) + \|F_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}^2 \big) e^{G(\epsilon)T}.
$$

Since (2.26) implies

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

$$
\|\pi_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,1}(0,T)} \le B_1 \tag{3.8}
$$

for some constant $B_1 > 0$, we have $G(\varepsilon) \leq B_2$ so that, recalling also (2.28), we infer

$$
\varepsilon ||\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2 + \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + ||w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_V^2 \le B_3 \tag{3.9}
$$

for some constant $B_3 > 0$ depending on Ω , k_0 , ℓ , T . Besides, the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned} || (1 * \vartheta_{\epsilon})(t) ||_{V} &\le || (1 * (\vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{\epsilon}))(t) ||_{V} + \ell || (1 * \chi_{\epsilon})(t) ||_{V} \\ &\le ||w_{\epsilon}(t)||_{V} + c ||\chi_{\epsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,T;V)} \\ &\le B_{3}^{\frac{1}{2}} + cB(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le B_{4} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}
$$

holds for some constant $B_4 > 0$ depending on Ω, k_0, ℓ, T .

Third set of a priori estimates. By $(2.16)_2$ tested by $v = \xi_e(t)$ and integrated over $(0, T)$, we have

$$
\|\nabla \xi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)}^{2} \leq \|\xi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V)} \|\partial_{t}\chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V')} \leq c \|\xi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V)} \tag{3.11}
$$

where (3.1) is used to get the second inequality. We put

$$
M_{\epsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \xi_{\epsilon}(t) \, dx.
$$

The Poincaré inequality, (3.11) amd (2.35) next yield

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\xi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 &\leq c \|\xi_{\varepsilon} - M_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 + c|M_{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \\ &\leq c \|\nabla \xi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}^2 + c|M_{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \\ &\leq c \|\xi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)} + c|M_{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\xi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 + c + c|M_{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(0,T)}^2. \end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\|\xi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 \leq c' + c|M_{\varepsilon}|_{L^2(0,T)}^2
$$

and it easily follows from (3.1) and (2.16)₃ that M_{ϵ} is bounded indipendently of ϵ in $L^{\infty}(0,T)$, hence

$$
\int_0^T \|\xi_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{V}^2 dt \le B_5 \tag{3.12}
$$

for some constant $B_5 > 0$ depending on Ω, k_0, ℓ, T . The continuous embedding $H^1(\Omega) \subset$ $L^6(\Omega)$ yields

$$
\|\chi_{\epsilon}^3(t)\|_{H}^2 = \|\chi_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^6 \leq c\|\chi_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{V}^6 \leq cB(\epsilon)^3
$$

and therefore

$$
\|\chi_e^3(t)\|_H \le B_6 \tag{3.13}
$$

for some constant $B_6 > 0$.

Weak convergence. The previous estimates yield

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{if} \\
\text{if } B_6 > 0. \\
\text{average: The previous estimates yield} \\
\|\vartheta_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H)} \\
\|\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)} \\
\|\chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)\cap H^{1}(0,T;V')\cap L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{4}(\Omega))} \\
\|\xi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V)} \\
\|\chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H)}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\|\zeta_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V)} \\
\|\xi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V)} \\
\|\chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H)}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
(3.14)
$$

Well-known weak or weak star compactness results ensure the existence of

$$
\vartheta \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H)
$$

\n
$$
\psi \in L^{\infty}(0, T; V)
$$

\n
$$
\chi \in L^{\infty}(0, T; V) \cap H^{1}(0, T; V') \cap L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{4}(\Omega))
$$

\n
$$
\xi \in L^{2}(0, T; V)
$$

\n
$$
\varphi \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H)
$$

such that, at least for a subsequence of $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, the convergences

$$
\vartheta \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H)
$$
\n
$$
\psi \in L^{\infty}(0, T; V)
$$
\n
$$
\chi \in L^{\infty}(0, T; V) \cap H^{1}(0, T; V') \cap L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{4}(\Omega))
$$
\n
$$
\xi \in L^{2}(0, T; V)
$$
\n
$$
\varphi \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H)
$$
\nt, at least for a subsequence of $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, the convergences\n
$$
\vartheta_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\star}{\rightarrow} \vartheta \quad \text{in} \quad L^{\infty}(0, T; H)
$$
\n
$$
1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\star}{\rightarrow} \varphi \quad \text{in} \quad L^{\infty}(0, T; V)
$$
\n
$$
\chi_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\star}{\rightarrow} \chi \quad \text{in} \quad L^{\infty}(0, T; V) \cap H^{1}(0, T; V') \cap L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{4}(\Omega))
$$
\n
$$
\xi_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\star}{\rightarrow} \varphi \quad \text{in} \quad L^{2}(0, T; V)
$$
\n
$$
\chi_{\varepsilon}^{3} \stackrel{\star}{\rightarrow} \varphi \quad \text{in} \quad L^{\infty}(0, T; H)
$$
\nis easy to show $\psi = 1 * \vartheta$, so that\n
$$
1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\star}{\rightarrow} 1 * \vartheta \quad \text{in} \quad L^{\infty}(0, T; V).
$$
\n(3.16)\nto prove $\varphi = \chi^{3}$, we would like χ_{ε} to converge to χ in a quite strong sense.
\n4: n. 89/Corollary 81 we obtain that { χ_{ε} } is relatively compact in C⁰(10 T): H)

hold. It is easy to show $\psi = 1 * \vartheta$, so that

$$
1 * \vartheta_{\epsilon} \stackrel{\star}{\rightharpoonup} 1 * \vartheta \qquad \text{in } L^{\infty}(0, T; V). \tag{3.16}
$$

In order to prove $\varphi = \chi^3$, we would like χ_{ϵ} to converge to χ in a quite strong sense. Using [14: p. 89/Corollary 8], we obtain that $\{\chi_e\}$ is relatively compact in $C^0([0,T];H)$ so that, possibly for a subsequence of $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, *x* would like
we obtain the we obtain the vector of $\varepsilon \downarrow x_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow x$ $\xi_{\epsilon} \to \xi$ in $L^2(0, T; V)$
 $\chi^3_{\epsilon} \to \varphi$ in $L^{\infty}(0, T; H)$

hold. It is easy to show $\psi = 1 * \vartheta$, so that
 $1 * \vartheta_{\epsilon} \to 1 * \vartheta$ in $L^{\infty}(0, T; V)$. (3.16)

In order to prove $\varphi = \chi^3$, we would like χ_{ϵ} to conver in $L^{\infty}(0, T; V)$. (3.16)
 χ_{ϵ} to converge to χ in a quite strong sense.

at $\{\chi_{\epsilon}\}$ is relatively compact in $C^{0}([0, T]; H)$,

1,

1,

2, $C^{0}([0, T]; H)$. (3.17)

a subsequence, a.e. in Q_T , and this implies
 Q_T

$$
\chi_{\varepsilon} \to \chi \qquad \text{in } C^0([0,T];H). \tag{3.17}
$$

 \rightarrow *x* in
 \rightarrow a.e. in t
 $\rightarrow \chi^3$
 \rightarrow (2.24), (

 $\chi^3 \stackrel{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \chi^3$ in $L^\infty(0,T;H)$.

Passage to limit. Owing to (2.24), (2.16)₄ and (3.17), we have
\n
$$
\chi_{\epsilon}(0) = \chi_{0,\epsilon} \to \chi_{0}
$$
\n
$$
\chi_{\epsilon}(0) \to \chi(0)
$$
\nin H

and consequently $\chi(0) = \chi_0$. Now we let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in $(2.16)_3$. Then $(3.15)_3$, (3.18) , $(3.15)_1$, $(3.15)_4$ and the fact that $A \in \mathcal{L}(V, V')$ allow us to pass to limit in $L^2(0, t, V')$ in a weak sense, thus obtaining $(2.19)_3$. Thanks to $(3.15)_3$ and $(3.15)_4$ we can pass to limit in $(2.16)_2$ with respect to the weak topology of $L^2(0,T;V')$ and we get $(2.19)_2$.

We now want to pass to the limit in (3.4) . First of all we can rewrite (3.4) as

$$
\varepsilon \partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon} + \partial_t w_{\varepsilon} + k_0 A (1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) + A (1 * \pi_{\varepsilon} * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = F_{\varepsilon}.
$$
 (3.19)

Next, by (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{split}\n\|\varepsilon \partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V')}^2 &\leq c \Big(\|\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V')}^2 + k_0^2 \|A\|_{L(V,V')}^2 \|1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V')}^2 + \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V')}^2 + \|\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + \|\chi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{V'}^2 \\
&\quad + \|A\|_{L(V,V')}^2 \|1 * \pi_{\varepsilon} * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}^2 \Big) \\
&\leq c \Big(\iint_{Q_{\varepsilon}} |\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + k_0^2 \|A\|_{L(V,V')}^2 \|1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}^2 \\
&\quad + \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}^2 + \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}^2 + \|\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{H}^2 + \|\chi_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{V}^2 \\
&\quad + \|A\|_{L(V,V')}^2 \|\pi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(0,T)}^2 \|1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}^2 \Big). \n\end{split}
$$

Therefore, from (3.9) , (2.28) , (3.10) and (3.8) we get

$$
\|\varepsilon \partial_t^2 w_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;V')} \leq B_7
$$

for some constant $B_7 > 0$. Then the previous estimate and (3.9) (which ensures that $\varepsilon \partial_t w_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ in the sense of distributions) allow us to conclude.

 $\epsilon \partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^2(0,T;V')$.

We can note that $(3.15)_1$ and $(3.15)_3$ imply $\partial_t w_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \vartheta + \ell \chi$ in $L^2(0,T;V')$ and (3.16) yields $A(1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \to A(1 * \vartheta)$ in $L^2(0,T;V')$. By (2.24) and (2.25) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\varepsilon g_{\varepsilon} &\to 0 &\text{in } L^2(0,T;V')\\
1 * g_{\varepsilon} &\to 1 * g &\text{in } L^2(0,T;V')\\
\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon} &\to \vartheta_0 &\text{in } V'\n\end{aligned}\bigg\}.
$$

Moreover, recalling the Young theorem, we get

$$
||A(1 * \pi_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon})||_{L^{2}(0,T;V')} \leq ||A||_{\mathcal{L}(V,V')}||1 * \pi_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,T;V)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||A||_{\mathcal{L}(V,V')}||1 * \vartheta_{\epsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,T;V)}||\pi_{\epsilon}||_{L^{1}(0,T)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq c||A||_{\mathcal{L}(V,V')}B_{4}||\pi_{\epsilon}||_{W^{1,1}(0,T)}
$$

and $\|\pi_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,1}(0,T)} \to 0$ thanks to (2.26). Thus $A(1 * \pi_{\varepsilon} * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \to 0$ in $L^2(0,T;V')$. Passing now to the limit in (3.19) we obtain

$$
\vartheta + \ell \chi + k_0 A (1 * \vartheta) = 1 * g + \vartheta_0 + \ell \chi_0 \tag{3.20}
$$

in $L^2(0,T;V')$ and consequently in V' , a.e. in $(0,T)$.

Introducing now the operator $\widetilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}(H, W')$ by

sequently in *V'*, a.e. in (0, *T*).

\ne operator
$$
\widetilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}(H, W')
$$
 by

\n
$$
\langle\langle \widetilde{A}v, z \rangle\rangle = -\int_{\Omega} v \Delta z \quad (z \in W, v \in H)
$$

we can observe that \widetilde{A} is an extension of A. Since $1*\vartheta \in H^1(0,T;H)$, we have $\widetilde{A}(1*\vartheta)$ = $A(1 * \vartheta) \in H^{1}(0, T; W')$. Since χ and $1 * g$ are in $H^{1}(0, T; W')$ too, by (3.20) we get $\vartheta \in H^1(0,T;W')$. Differentiating (3.20) with respect to t, we find $\partial_t(\vartheta+\ell_X)+k_0A\vartheta=g$ in $L^2(0, T; W')$ and thus in W', a.e. in $(0, T)$. Finally, (3.20) in $t = 0$ gives $\vartheta(0) = \vartheta_0$ in account of the fact that $\chi(0) = \chi_0$.

It remains to verify the strong convergence in $(2.29)_1$ and $(2.29)_2$, and the weak convergences of ϑ_{ε} to ϑ in $H^1(0,T;W')$ and of χ_{ε} to χ in $L^2(0,T;W)$.

First we prove $\{\chi_{\epsilon}\}\$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;W)$. By $(2.16)_3$ and $(3.14)_{1,3-5}$ we have

$$
\{W\}
$$
 and the W , and W , and W , and W is the $\{0,1\}$ and $\{2.29\}_1$ and $(2.29)_2$, and the weak $\cos \theta \vartheta_{\epsilon}$ to ϑ in $H^1(0,T;W')$ and of χ_{ϵ} to χ in $L^2(0,T;W)$. We prove $\{\chi_{\epsilon}\}$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;W)$. By (2.16)₃ and (3.14)_{1,3–5} we have $\|Ax_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}$ $\leq \|X_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} + \|X_{\epsilon}^3\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} + \ell \|\vartheta_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} + \|\xi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}$ $\leq c(\Omega, k_o, \ell, T)$. Thus, we can use $\|\chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;W)} \leq c(\Omega, k_o, \ell, T)$. Thus, we can use $\|\chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;W)} \leq c(\Omega, k_o, \ell, T)$. (3.21) using a subsequence of $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, there exists $u \in L^2(0,T;W)$ such that $L^2(0,T;W)$. From (3.15)₃ it is clear that $u = \chi$, so

Hence, thanks to well-known regularity results on elliptic equations, we conclude

$$
\|\chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;W)} \le c(\Omega,k_0,\ell,T). \tag{3.21}
$$

Then, possibly taking a subsequence of $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, there exists $u \in L^2(0,T;W)$ such that $\chi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(0,T;W)$. From $(3.15)_3$ it is clear that $u = \chi$, so possibly ta
 i in $L^2(0, T)$
 $\chi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \chi$
 χ_{ℓ} bown

$$
\chi_{\epsilon} \stackrel{\star}{\rightharpoonup} \chi \qquad \text{in } L^{\infty}(0,T;V) \cap H^1(0,T;V') \cap L^{\infty}(0,T;L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;W).
$$

Being $\{\chi_{\epsilon}\}\$ bounded in $L^2(0,T;W)$ and $\{\partial_t\chi_{\epsilon}\}\$ bounded in $L^2(0,T;V')$, by virtue of [14: p. 89/Corollary 8] we conclude (possibly for a subsequence) $\chi_{\epsilon} \to \chi$ in $L^2(0,T;V)$. Being, by $(3.14)_2$, $\{1 * \vartheta_{\epsilon}\}$ bounded in $L^{\infty}(0,T;V)$ and, by $(3.14)_1$, $\{\vartheta_{\epsilon}\}$ bounded in $L^{\infty}(0,T; H), \{1 * \vartheta_{\epsilon}\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,\infty}(0,T; H)$. Besides, $1 * \vartheta_{\epsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} 1 * \vartheta$ in $L^{\infty}(0,T; V)$ so that we can apply the Ascoli theorem and conclude $T; V) \cap H^1(0, T; V') \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; W).$
 $R^2(0, T; W)$ and $\{\partial_t \chi_{\epsilon}\}$ bounded in $L^2(0, T; V')$, by virtue of conclude (possibly for a subsequence) $\chi_{\epsilon} \to \chi$ in $L^2(0, T; V)$.

} bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; V)$ and, by

$$
1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon} \to 1 * \vartheta \qquad \text{in } C^{0}([0, T]; H). \tag{3.22}
$$

Since $k_{\varepsilon} * \vartheta_{\varepsilon}$ belongs to $C^{0}([0, T]; H)$ (and thus to $L^{2}(0, T; H)$) as $k_{\varepsilon} \in C^{0}([0, T])$ and $\vartheta_{\epsilon} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;H)$, we have $A(k_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon}) \in L^{2}(0,T;W')$. Thanks to $(2.16)_{1}$, we find $\partial_t \vartheta_\varepsilon \in L^2(0,T;W')$, and $(3.14)_1$ yields

$$
||A(k_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon})||_{L^{2}(0,T;W')} \leq ||\tilde{A}||_{\mathcal{L}(H,W')}||k_{\epsilon} * \vartheta_{\epsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,T;H)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq c||\tilde{A}||_{\mathcal{L}(H,W')}||\vartheta_{\epsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,T;H)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq c
$$

\nfor some constant $c > 0$ depending on Ω , k_{0} , ℓ , T , where $0 \leq \int_{0}^{T} k_{\epsilon}(t)$
\nused. Since by (2.28) { g_{ϵ} } is bounded in $L^{2}(0,T;W')$ and by (3.14)₃ || $\tilde{\vartheta}$

 $\int_0^T k_\epsilon(t) dt \leq c$ has been used. Since by (2.28) $\{g_{\varepsilon}\}\$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;W')$ and by $(3.14)_3 \|\partial_t \chi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;W')}$ is bounded we get $\|\partial_t \vartheta_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;W')} \leq c$. Thus $\partial_t \vartheta_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \partial_t \vartheta$ in $L^2(0,T;W')$ and so $\vartheta_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \vartheta$ in $H^1(0,T;W')$. Thanks to [14: p. 89/Corollary 8] again, $\{\vartheta_\varepsilon\}$ is relatively compact in $L^2(0,T;V')$. Hence, possibly for a subsequence, Asymptotic Justification 969
 $\leq c$. Thus $\partial_t \vartheta_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \partial_t \vartheta$ in $L^2(0,T;W')$ and so $\vartheta_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \vartheta$

2. 89/Corollary 8 again, $\{\vartheta_{\epsilon}\}$ is relatively compact in

a subsequence,
 $\rightarrow \vartheta$ in $L^2(0,T;V')$. (3.23)

$$
\vartheta_{\varepsilon} \to \vartheta \qquad \text{in } L^2(0, T; V'). \tag{3.23}
$$

Therefore (3.22) and (3.23) yield $1 * \vartheta_{\varepsilon} \to 1 * \vartheta$ in $H^1(0,T;V')$. Finally, let us remark that the uniqueness of the solution to the limit problem implies that the convergences stated above hold for the entire sequence \blacksquare

4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

First of all, we prove an estimate for

$$
\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}
$$

following the scheme of [7]. We differentiate (3.6) with respect to *t* and we would like testing it by $\epsilon \partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}$. Since this is not an admissible test function, we should approximate it by admissible test functions, e.g., as in *[6:* Appendix]. However, we prefer to proceed formally and use $\epsilon \partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}$ directly, since we are essentially allowed to do it. We integrate over $(0, t)$ and, recovering the initial value for $\partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}$ from $(2.18)_1$, we obtain

$$
\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}
$$

heme of [7]. We differentiate (3.6) with respect to t and we would like
 w_{ε} . Since this is not an admissible test function, we should approximate
t test functions, e.g., as in [6: Appendix]. However, we prefer to proceed
 $\varepsilon \partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}$ directly, since we are essentially allowed to do it. We integrate
recovering the initial value for $\partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}$ from (2.18)₁, we obtain

$$
\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|\partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_H^2 + \varepsilon \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{k_0 \varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla \partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_H^2
$$

$$
= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|g_{\varepsilon}(0)\|_H^2 + \frac{k_0 \varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla (\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon} + \ell \chi_{0,\varepsilon})\|_H^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{I}_i(t)
$$
(4.1)

where

$$
T_1(t) = \varepsilon \int_0^t \langle \partial_t F_{\epsilon}(s), \partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}(s) \rangle ds
$$

\n
$$
T_2(t) = -\varepsilon \int_0^t \langle A \partial_t (\pi_{\epsilon} * w_{\epsilon})(s), \partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}(s) \rangle ds
$$

\n
$$
T_3(t) = \varepsilon \int_0^t \langle A \partial_t ((k_0 + \Pi_{\epsilon}) * \ell \chi_{\epsilon})(s), \partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}(s) \rangle ds
$$

Now we estimate these quantities. Since *(2.30)* and (3.5) imply we split

 $F_{\varepsilon} \in H^1(0,T;H) + W^{2,1}(0,T;V')$

$$
F_{\epsilon} \in H^{1}(0, T; H) + W^{2,1}(0, T; V')
$$

$$
F_{\epsilon} = F_{\epsilon,1} + F_{\epsilon,2} \qquad \text{with } \begin{cases} F_{\epsilon,1} \in H^{1}(0, T; H) \\ F_{\epsilon,2} \in W^{2,1}(0, T; V') \end{cases}
$$

In $\mathcal{I}_1(t)$ we integrate by parts the second term, and owing to $(2.18)_4$ we have

$$
\mathcal{I}_1(t) \leq \sigma \varepsilon \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c_{\sigma} \varepsilon \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t F_{\varepsilon,1}|^2 + \sigma \varepsilon ||\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_V^2
$$

+ $c_{\sigma} \varepsilon ||\partial_t F_{\varepsilon,2}(t)||_V^2 + \varepsilon ||\partial_{0,\varepsilon} + \ell \chi_{0,\varepsilon}||_V^2 + \varepsilon ||\partial_t F_{\varepsilon,2}(0)||_V^2$
+ $\int_0^t \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\partial_t^2 F_{\varepsilon,2}(s)||_V \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(s)||_V ds.$

In order to deal with \mathcal{I}_2 , we use (2.33), (2.1) and integrate by parts. Owing to (3.8) and (3.9) , we obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}_2(t) = -\varepsilon \iint_{Q_t} \nabla \big(\pi_{\varepsilon}(0) w_{\varepsilon} + \pi'_{\varepsilon} * w_{\varepsilon}\big) \cdot \nabla \partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}
$$
\n
$$
= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla \big(\pi_{\varepsilon}(0) w_{\varepsilon} + \pi'_{\varepsilon} * w_{\varepsilon}\big)(t) \cdot \nabla \partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)
$$
\n
$$
+ \varepsilon \iint_{Q_t} \nabla \big(\pi_{\varepsilon}(0) \partial_t w_{\varepsilon} + \pi'_{\varepsilon} * \partial_t w_{\varepsilon}\big) \cdot \nabla \partial_t w_{\varepsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sigma \varepsilon ||\nabla \partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2 + \varepsilon c_\sigma B_1^2 ||\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2
$$
\n
$$
+ c_\sigma \varepsilon ||(\pi'_{\varepsilon} * \nabla w_{\varepsilon})(t)||_H^2 + \varepsilon B_1 c \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \partial_t w_{\varepsilon}|^2
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sigma \varepsilon ||\nabla \partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2 + \varepsilon c_{\sigma, B_1} \left(B_3 + \iint_{Q_t} |\nabla \partial_t w_{\varepsilon}|^2\right).
$$

At last, we consider \mathcal{I}_3 and, in view of (2.34), (3.21) and (3.8), we get

$$
\mathcal{I}_3(t) \leq \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\Delta(k_0 \ell \chi_{\epsilon} + \pi_{\epsilon} * \ell \chi_{\epsilon})(s)\|_{H} \|\partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H} ds
$$

\n
$$
\leq c\varepsilon \int_0^t \|\Delta \chi_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H} \|\partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H} ds
$$

\n
$$
+ \ell \varepsilon \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \|\chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1(0,T;W)} \|\partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}\|_{L^1(0,T;H)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq c \int_0^t (\|\chi_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{W} + c)\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H} ds.
$$

Then we add $\frac{k_0 \varepsilon}{2} ||\partial_t w_{\varepsilon}(t)||_H^2$ to both sides of (4.1), choose σ small enough, and apply the generalized Gronwall lemma. Taking the infimum over all decompositions of F_{ϵ} we have in view of. (3.21) and (2.31)

$$
\varepsilon^{2} \|\partial_{t}^{2} w_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + \varepsilon \iint_{Q_{t}} |\partial_{t}^{2} w_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \|\partial_{t} w_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{V}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq c \| \chi_{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{1}(0,T;W)}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ c \Big(\varepsilon^{2} \| g_{\varepsilon}(0) \|_{H}^{2} + \varepsilon \| \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon} + \ell \chi_{0,\varepsilon} \|_{V}^{2} + \varepsilon \| F_{\varepsilon} \|_{H^{1}(0,T;H)+W^{2,1}(0,T;V')}^{2} + 1 \Big)
$$
\n
$$
\leq c.
$$
\n(4.2)

Let us introduce the notations

$$
\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} := \chi_{\epsilon} - \chi
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\vartheta}_{\epsilon} := \vartheta_{\epsilon} - \vartheta
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\eta}_{\epsilon} := (\vartheta_{\epsilon} + \ell \chi_{\epsilon}) - (\vartheta + \ell \chi) = \hat{\vartheta}_{\epsilon} + \ell \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
u_{\epsilon} := 1 * \vartheta_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
u := 1 * \vartheta
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\xi}_{\epsilon} := \xi_{\epsilon} - \xi
$$
\n
$$
\mu_{0,\epsilon} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{0,\epsilon} - \chi_{0}).
$$
\nscribed by the approximating problem is conserved, i.e.

\n
$$
\int_{\Omega} \chi_{\epsilon}(t)
$$
\nT]. We can pass to the limit here and get

\n
$$
\int_{\Omega} \chi(t) = \int_{\Omega} \chi_{0} \text{ for all}
$$
\nsee two relations we have

\n
$$
\mu_{0,\epsilon} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\epsilon} - \chi)(t) \qquad (t \in [0, T]). \tag{4.3}
$$
\nthe definition of

\n
$$
u_{\epsilon} \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\epsilon} - \chi(t) \qquad (t \in [0, T]). \tag{4.3}
$$

Note that the system described by the approximating problem is conserved, i.e. $\int_{\Omega} \chi_{\epsilon}(t)$ $\mu_{0,\epsilon} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{0,\epsilon} - \chi_0).$
Note that the system described by the approximating problem is conserved, i.e.
= $\int_{\Omega} \chi_{0,\epsilon}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. We can pass to the limit here and get $\int_{\Omega} \chi(t) = \int_{\Omega}$
 $t \in [0,$ $\chi_{\mathbf{0}}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Owing to these two relations we have $\mathcal{L}_t := \xi_{\epsilon} - \xi$
 $\mathcal{L}_t := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{0,\epsilon} - \chi_0).$

ibed by the approximating problem is conserved, i.e. $\int_{\Omega} \chi_{\epsilon}(t)$

We can pass to the limit here and get $\int_{\Omega} \chi(t) = \int_{\Omega} \chi_0$ for all

two relations we h *Dramating problem is conserved, i.e.* $\int_{\Omega} \chi_{\epsilon}(t)$
 n pass to the limit here and get $\int_{\Omega} \chi(t) = \int_{\Omega} \chi_0$ for all
 diations we have
 $\int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\epsilon} - \chi)(t)$ *(t* $\in [0, T]$). (4.3)

on of u_{ϵ} we can rewrite (3

$$
\mu_{0,\epsilon} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\epsilon} - \chi)(t) \qquad (t \in [0,T]). \tag{4.3}
$$

In view of (2.17) and the definition of u_{ε} we can rewrite (3.6) as

$$
\varepsilon \partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon} + \partial_t u_{\varepsilon} + k_0 A u_{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon} - \ell \chi_{\varepsilon} - A (\pi_{\varepsilon} * u_{\varepsilon}). \tag{4.4}
$$

Integrating (2.19) with respect to time we get

$$
\partial_t u + k_0 A u = F - \ell \chi \tag{4.5}
$$

where F is given by

$$
F = 1 * g + \vartheta_0 + \ell \chi_0 \in H^1(0, T; V').
$$

In order to estimate the term \hat{u}_{ϵ} , we take the difference between (4.4) and (4.5), i.e.

$$
F = 1 * g + \vartheta_0 + \ell \chi_0 \in H^1(0, T; V').
$$

rate the term \hat{u}_{ϵ} , we take the difference between (4.4)

$$
\epsilon \partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon} + \partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon} + k_0 A \hat{u}_{\epsilon} = (F_{\epsilon} - F) - \ell \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - A(\pi_{\epsilon} * u_{\epsilon}).
$$

ation by $\partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}$. As before, this formal procedure could

We test this equation by $\partial_t \hat{u}_\epsilon$. As before, this formal procedure could be made rigorous using [6: Appendix]. Thus, after integration over $(0, t)$ we get

respect to time we get
\n
$$
\partial_t u + k_0 A u = F - \ell \chi \qquad (4.5)
$$
\n
$$
F = 1 * g + \vartheta_0 + \ell \chi_0 \in H^1(0, T; V').
$$
\nterm \hat{u}_{ϵ} , we take the difference between (4.4) and (4.5), i.e.
\n
$$
\partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon} + k_0 A \hat{u}_{\epsilon} = (F_{\epsilon} - F) - \ell \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - A(\pi_{\epsilon} * u_{\epsilon}).
$$
\n
$$
\partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}
$$
. As before, this formal procedure could be made rigorous
\nus, after integration over $(0, t)$ we get
\n
$$
\iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}| + \frac{k_0}{2} ||\nabla \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t)||_H^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{I}_i(t) \qquad (4.6)
$$

where

$$
T_1(t) = \int_0^t \langle (F_{\epsilon} - F)(s), \partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s) \rangle ds
$$

\n
$$
T_2(t) = -\epsilon \iint_{Q_t} \partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon} \partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}
$$

\n
$$
T_3(t) = -\ell \iint_{Q_t} \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} \partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}
$$

\n
$$
T_4(t) = -\int_0^t \langle A(\pi_{\epsilon} * u_{\epsilon})(s), \partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s) \rangle ds.
$$

972 V. Felli

Then we split

 $\ddot{}$

$$
F_{\epsilon} - F = \Phi_{\epsilon,1} + \Phi_{\epsilon,2} \quad \text{with } \begin{cases} \Phi_{\epsilon,1} \in L^2(0,T;H) \\ \Phi_{\epsilon,2} \in H^1(0,T;V') \end{cases}
$$

and estimate $\mathcal{I}_1(t)$ after integration by parts as

$$
\mathcal{I}_1(t) = \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} \Phi_{\epsilon,1} \partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon} + \langle \Phi_{\epsilon,2}(t), \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t) \rangle - \int_0^t \langle \partial_t \Phi_{\epsilon,2}(s), \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s) \rangle ds
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sigma \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}|^2 + c_{\sigma} \|\Phi_{\epsilon,1}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}^2 + \sigma \|\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{V}^2
$$

\n
$$
+ c_{\sigma} \|\Phi_{\epsilon,2}\|_{H^1(0,T;V')}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Phi_{\epsilon,2}\|_{H^1(0,T;V')}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{V}^2 ds.
$$

As far as $\mathcal{I}_2(t)$ and $\mathcal{I}_3(t)$ are concerned, we have obviously

$$
\mathcal{I}_2(t) \leq \sigma \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t \hat{u}_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c_{\sigma} \varepsilon^2 \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon}|^2
$$

$$
\mathcal{I}_3(t) \leq \sigma \iint_{Q_t} |\partial_t \hat{u}_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c_{\sigma} \|\hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,t;H)}^2.
$$

To deal with $\mathcal{I}_4(t)$, we integrate by parts and use (2.1), (2.33), (2.35) and (3.10) to obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}_{4}(t) = -\langle A(\pi_{\epsilon} * u_{\epsilon})(t), \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t) \rangle + \int_{0}^{t} \langle \partial_{t} A(\pi_{\epsilon} * u_{\epsilon})(s), \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s) \rangle ds
$$
\n
$$
= -\int_{\Omega} \nabla(\pi_{\epsilon} * u_{\epsilon})(t) \cdot \nabla \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t) + \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} \nabla(\pi_{\epsilon}(0)u_{\epsilon} + \pi_{\epsilon}' * u_{\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla \hat{u}_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sigma \|\nabla \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + c_{\sigma} \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T)}^{2} \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla u_{\epsilon}|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla \hat{u}_{\epsilon}|^{2} + c \big(|\pi_{\epsilon}(0)|^{2} + ||\pi_{\epsilon}'||_{L^{1}(0,T)}^{2} \big) \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla u_{\epsilon}|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sigma \|\nabla \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + c_{\sigma} \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{W^{1,1}(0,T)}^{2} \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla u_{\epsilon}|^{2} + \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla \hat{u}_{\epsilon}|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sigma \|\nabla \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} + c_{\sigma} \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{W^{1,1}(0,T)}^{2} + \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\nabla \hat{u}_{\epsilon}|^{2}.
$$

Adding $\frac{k_0}{2} \|\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2}$ to both sides of (4.6) and observing that

$$
\frac{k_0}{2} \|\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t)\|_{H}^{2} \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \|\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H} \|\partial_{t}\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{H} ds \leq \sigma \iint_{Q_{t}} |\partial_{t}\hat{u}_{\epsilon}|^{2} + c_{\sigma} \iint_{Q_{t}} |\hat{u}_{\epsilon}|^{2}
$$

we obtain, after choosing σ small enough,

$$
\iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}|^2 + ||\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t)||_V^2
$$
\n
$$
\leq c ||\Phi_{\epsilon,1}||_{L^2(0,T;H)}^2 + c ||\Phi_{\epsilon,2}||_{H^1(0,T;V')}^2
$$
\n
$$
+ c\varepsilon^2 \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} |\partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}|^2 + c ||\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}||_{L^2(0,t;H)}^2 + c ||\pi_{\epsilon}||_{W^{1,1}(0,T)}^2
$$
\n
$$
+ c \int_0^t ||\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s)||_V^2 ds + c \int_0^t ||\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s)||_V^2 ds.
$$

Now we can apply the Gronwall lemma and, taking the infimum over all decompositions $F_{\epsilon} - F = \Phi_{\epsilon,1} + \Phi_{\epsilon,2}$ we get

$$
\iint_{Q_{t}} |\partial_{t} \hat{u}_{\epsilon}|^{2} + ||\hat{u}_{\epsilon}(t)||_{V}^{2} \leq c \Big(\varepsilon^{2} ||\partial_{t}^{2} w_{\epsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,T;H)}^{2} + ||F_{\epsilon} - F||_{L^{2}(0,T;H)+H^{1}(0,T;V')}^{2} + ||\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,t;H)}^{2} + ||\pi_{\epsilon}||_{W^{1,1}(0,T)}^{2} \Big).
$$
\n(4.7)

Taking the difference between $(2.16)_2$ and $(2.19)_2$ we get $\partial_t \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} + A \hat{\xi}_{\epsilon} = 0$. Owing to (4.3), we have $\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon} \in \mathcal{V}$. Hence $\mathcal{N}(\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon})$ is an admissible test function for the previous equation and, in view of (2.2) , we get

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}\big|\nabla \mathcal{N}(\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t)-\mu_{0,\epsilon})\big|^2+\big\langle\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t)-\mu_{0,\epsilon},\hat{\xi}_{\epsilon}(t)\big\rangle=0.
$$

Thanks to (2.18) ₃ and (2.21) ₃, the second term is given by

$$
\langle \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}, \hat{\xi}_{\epsilon}(t) \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \langle \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}, (A\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} + \chi_{\epsilon}^{3} - \chi^{3} + (\ell^{2} - 1)\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \ell \hat{\eta}_{\epsilon})(t) \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon})|^{2} + \int_{\Omega} ((\chi_{\epsilon}^{3} - \chi^{3})\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon})(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\epsilon}^{3} - \chi^{3})(t)
$$
\n
$$
+ (\ell^{2} - 1) \int_{\Omega} (\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon})\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \ell \langle \hat{\eta}_{\epsilon}(t), \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon} \rangle.
$$

After noting that

$$
\int_{\Omega} (\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}) \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) = \int_{\Omega} (\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon})^2
$$

we can deduce

÷.

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \mathcal{N}(\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t)-\mu_{0,\epsilon})|^2 + \int_{\Omega}|\nabla(\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t)-\mu_{0,\epsilon})|^2
$$

$$
+\int_{\Omega}((\chi_{\epsilon}^3-\chi^3)\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon})(t)+\ell^2\|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t)-\mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{H}^2
$$

$$
=\mu_{0,\epsilon}\int_{\Omega}(\chi_{\epsilon}^3-\chi^3)(t)+\langle \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t)-\mu_{0,\epsilon}+\ell\hat{\eta}_{\epsilon}(t),\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t)-\mu_{0,\epsilon}\rangle.
$$

Estimating the last term by

$$
c_{\sigma} || (\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon} + \ell \hat{\eta}_{\epsilon})(t) ||_{V'}^2 + \sigma || \hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon} ||_{V}^2
$$

thanks to (2.35), recalling (2.3), choosing $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, \ell^2\}$ and integrating over $(0, t)$, it is easy to obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, \ell^2\} \int_0^t \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(s) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 ds
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\chi_{0,\epsilon} - \chi_0 - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + \mu_{0,\epsilon} \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} (\chi_{\epsilon}^3 - \chi^3)
$$
\n
$$
+ c \int_0^t \left(\|(\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon})(s)\|_{V'}^2 + \|\hat{\eta}_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{V'}^2 \right) ds
$$

where the monotonicity of the function $x \mapsto x^3$ has been used.

Note that $\hat{\eta}_{\varepsilon} = \partial_t \hat{u}_{\varepsilon} + \ell \hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon}$, whence

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\eta}_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{V'}^2 &\leq c \left(\|\partial_t \hat{u}_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{V'}^2 + \|\hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{V'}^2 \right) \\ &\leq c \left(\|\partial_t \hat{u}_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{V'}^2 + \|\hat{\chi}_{\varepsilon}(s) - \mu_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + \mu_{0,\varepsilon}^2 \right). \end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{split} \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,t;V)}^2 \\ &\leq c\|\chi_{0,\epsilon} - \chi_0 - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + c\mu_{0,\epsilon} \iint_{Q_{\epsilon}} (\chi_{\epsilon}^3 - \chi^3) \\ &+ c \int_0^t \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(s) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 ds + c\mu_{0,\epsilon}^2 + c \int_0^t \|\partial_t \hat{u}_{\epsilon}(s)\|_{V'}^2 ds. \end{split} \tag{4.8}
$$

Note that

 $\ddot{}$

$$
c\mu_{0,\epsilon} \iint_{Q_{t}} (\chi_{\epsilon}^{3} - \chi^{3})
$$

\n
$$
= c\mu_{0,\epsilon} \iint_{Q_{t}} (\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon}) (\chi_{\epsilon}^{2} + \chi^{2} + \chi \chi_{\epsilon}) + c\mu_{0,\epsilon}^{2} \iint_{Q_{t}} (\chi_{\epsilon}^{2} + \chi^{2} + \chi \chi_{\epsilon})
$$

\n
$$
\leq 2c|\mu_{0,\epsilon}| \iint_{Q_{t}} |\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon}| (\chi_{\epsilon}^{2} + \chi^{2}) + 2c\mu_{0,\epsilon}^{2} \iint_{Q_{t}} (\chi_{\epsilon}^{2} + \chi^{2})
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} ||\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon}||_{L^{2}(0,t;H)}^{2} + c\mu_{0,\epsilon}^{2} \left(||\chi_{\epsilon}||_{L^{4}(\Omega \times (0,T))}^{4} + ||\chi||_{L^{4}(\Omega \times (0,T))}^{4} \right).
$$

\nThanks to (4.9) and (4.7), (4.8) yields

$$
\begin{split}\n\|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,t;V)}^2 \\
&\leq c\|\chi_{0,\epsilon} - \chi_0 - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,t;H)}^2 \\
&+ c\mu_{0,\epsilon}^2 \left(\|\chi_{\epsilon}\|_{L^4(\Omega \times (0,T))}^4 + \|\chi\|_{L^4(\Omega \times (0,T))}^4\right) \\
&+ c\int_0^t \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(s) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 ds \\
&+ c\mu_{0,\epsilon}^2 + c\left(\|F_{\epsilon} - F\|_{L^2(0,T;H) + H^1(0,T;V')}^2 + \epsilon^2\|\partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}^2 + \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{W^{1,1}(0,T)}^2\right) \\
&+ c\|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,t;H)}^2.\n\end{split}
$$

Note that, since $(\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon})(t) \in V$;

$$
\begin{split} \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}-\mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,t;H)}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle(\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}-\mu_{0,\epsilon})(s),(\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}-\mu_{0,\epsilon})(s)\right\rangle ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\sigma} \int_{0}^{t} \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(s)-\mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^{2}ds + \sigma \int_{0}^{t} \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(s)-\mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V}^{2}ds. \end{split}
$$

Hence, choosing σ small enough and recalling $(3.14)_3$, we have

$$
\begin{split} \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon} - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,t;V)}^2 \\ &\leq c\|\chi_{0,\epsilon} - \chi_0 - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 + c \int_0^t \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(s) - \mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^2 ds + c\mu_{0,\epsilon}^2 \\ &+ c \Big(\|F_{\epsilon} - F\|_{L^2(0,T;H) + H^1(0,T;V')}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\partial_t^2 w_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}^2 + \|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{W^{1,1}(0,T)}^2\Big). \end{split}
$$

Applying the Gronwall lemma and recalling (4.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}(t)-\mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{V'}^{2}+\|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}-\mu_{0,\epsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,t;V)}^{2} \\ &\leq c\Big(\mu_{0,\epsilon}^{2}+\|\chi_{0,\epsilon}-\chi_{0}\|_{V'}^{2}+\|F_{\epsilon}-F\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)+H^{1}(0,T;V')}^{2}+\|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{W^{1,1}(0,T)}^{2}\Big)+c\varepsilon \end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{split} \|\hat{\chi}_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;V')\cap L^{2}(0,T;V)} &\leq c\Big(\|\mu_{0,\epsilon}|+\|\chi_{0,\epsilon}-\chi_{0}\|_{V'}+\|F_{\epsilon}-F\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)+H^{1}(0,T;V')}+\|\pi_{\epsilon}\|_{W^{1,1}(0,T)}\Big) \tag{4.10} \\ &+c\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}
$$

Then (4.7) and (4.10) yield (2.32), thanks to (4.2) and (2.31) \blacksquare

Remark. The techniques used in the previous error estimate are similar to those employed in the proof of the continuous dependence of [9: Lemma 3.1].

References

- [1] Baiocchi, C.: Soluzioni ordinarie e generalizzate del problema di Cauchy per equazioni differenziali astratte lineari del secondo ordine in spazi di Hilbert. Ricerche Mat. 16 $(1967), 27 - 95.$
- [2] Brezis, H.: Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert (North-Holland Math. Stud.: Vol. 5). Amsterdam: North-Holland 1973.
- [3] Brochet, D., Hilhorst, D. and A. Novick-Cohen: Maximal attractor and inertial sets for a conserved phase field model. Adv. Diff. Equ. 1 (1996), 547 - 578.
- [4] Cattaneo, C.: Sulla conduzione del calore. Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 3 (1948), $83 - 101$.
- [5] Colli, P., Gilardi, C. and M. Grasselli: *Global smooth solution to the standard phase-field model with memory.* Adv. Duff. Equ. 2 (1997), 453 - 486.
- [6] Colli, P., Cilardi, C. and M. Grasselli: *Well-posedness of the weak formulation for the phase-field model with memory.* Adv. I)iff. Equ. 2 (1997), 487 - 508.
- [7] Colli, P., Gilardi, G. and M. Grasselli: *Asymptotic justification of the phase-field model with memory.* Comm. App!. Nonlin. Anal. (to appear).
- [8] Colli, P., Gilardi, C., Grasselli, M. and C. Schimperna: *The conserved phase-field system with memory.* Preprint. Politecnico Milano: Preprint 376/P (1999).
- [9] Colli, P., Gilardi, G., Laurençot, Ph. and A. Novick-Cohen: *Uniqueness and long-time behavior for the conserved phase-field system with memory.* Discrete Contin. l)ynam. Systems 5 (1999), 375 - 390.
- [10] Felli, V.: *Convergenza al rnodello classico di un problema di campo di fase conservativo con memoria.* Graduation thesis. Pavia 1999.
- [11] Gurtin, M. C. and A. C. Pipkin: *A general theory of heat conduction With finite wave speeds. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 31(1968), 113 - 126.*
- [12] Novick-Cohen, A.: *Conserved phase-field equations with memory.* In: Curvature flows and related topics (eds.: A. Damlamian et al.; GAKUTO Int. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl.: Vol. 5). Tokyo: Gakkötosho 1995, pp. 179 - 197.
- [13] Schwartz, L.: *Théorie des distributions.* Paris: Hermann 1966.
- [14] Simon, J.: *Compact sets in the space* $L^p(0,T;B)$ *.* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 146 (1987), 65 - 96.

Received 04.01.2000, in revised form 16.05.2000