Existence and Asymptotic Behavior of Positive Solutions of a Non-Autonomous Food-Limited Model with Unbounded Delay

Yuji Liu and Weigao Ge

Abstract. Consider the non-autonomous logistic model

$$
\Delta x_n = p_n x_n \frac{1 - x_{n-k_n}}{1 + \lambda x_{n-k_n}}^r \qquad (n \ge 0)
$$

where $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$, $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers, $\{k_n\}$ is a sequence of non-negative integers such that $\{n - k_n\}$ is non-decreasing, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and r is the ratio of two odd integers. We obtain new sufficient conditions for the attractivity of the equilibrium $x = 1$ of the model and conditions that guarantee the solution to be positive, which improve and generalize some recent results established by Phios and by Zhou and Zhang.

Keywords: Global attractivity, difference equations, oscillation

AMS subject classification: Primary 34K20, 39A10, 39A11, 34K45, secondary 92D25

1. Introduction

The asymptotic behavior of solutions of difference equations with unbounded delay was studied in $[1 - 3]$. In the present paper we consider the non-autonomous logistic model \sqrt{r}

$$
\Delta x_n = p_n x_n \left(\frac{1 - x_{n-k_n}}{1 + \lambda x_{n-k_n}}\right)^r \qquad (n \ge 0)
$$
\n(1)

where $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$, $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers, $\{k_n\}$ is a sequence of non-negative integers such that $\{n-k_n\}$ is non-decreasing, $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and r is the ratio of two odd integers. Let

$$
\gamma = -\min\{n - k_n : n \ge 0\} \ge 0
$$

$$
\sigma_0 = \max\{n : n - k_n < 0\} + 1
$$

$$
\sigma = \max\{n : n - k_n < \sigma_0\} + 1.
$$

Yuji Liu: Yueyang Teacher's Univ., Dept. Math., Yueyang, Hunan 414000, and Beijing Inst. Techn., Dept. Math., Beijing 100081, P.R.China; liuyuji888@sohu.com Weigao Ge: Beijing Inst. Techn., Dept. Math., Beijing 100081, P.R. China; This work was supported by NNSF of China.

By a solution of equation (1) we mean a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is defined for $n \geq -\gamma$, satisfies (1) for $n \geq 0$ and which satisfies for given numbers a_i ($-\gamma \leq i \leq 0$) the initial condition $x_i = a_i > 0$ ($-\gamma \leq i \leq 0$).

Equation (1) contains as special case the equation

$$
\Delta x_n = p_n x_n (1 - x_{n-k_n}) \qquad (n \ge 0)
$$

The global attractivity of the equilibrium $x = 1$ of this equation has been well studied in [2, 3]. In most results of these papers it is supposed that the solution $\{x_n\}$ satisfies $x_n > 0$, but we find that this does not always succeed. We give an example as follows: Let

$$
\Delta x_n = 1.3x_n(1 - x_{n-1}) \qquad (n \ge 0)
$$

where $p_n \equiv 1.3$, $k_n = 1$, $\lambda = 0$ and the initial condition is $x_{-1} = 0.23$ and $x_0 = 0.2$. Then

 $x_1 = 0.4002$, $x_2 = 0.8164$, $x_3 = 1.453$, $x_4 = 1.7998$, $x_5 = 0.7399$

are positive but $x_6 = -0.62938...$ are negative.

Two problems appear naturally considering equation (1):

- 1. Under what conditions every solution (x_n) satisfies $x_n > 0$?
- 2. Under what conditions every positive solution converges to 1 ?

In Section 2 we answer the first problem and in Section 3 the second problem is settled. Our results improve the theorems in [2, 3].

By the way, equation (1) is the discrete type of the differential equation

$$
N'(t) = r(t)N(t)\left(\frac{1 - N(t - \tau)}{1 + \lambda N(t - \tau)}\right)^r \qquad (t \ge 0)
$$

which was called *generalized Food-Limited model*, posed in [4] and studied by many authors (see $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ and the references cited therein). If $r = 1$, the above equation becomes the well-known Food-Limited ecology mathematical model

$$
N'(t) = r(t)N(t)\frac{1 - N(t - \tau)}{1 + \lambda N(t - \tau)}
$$
 $(t \ge 0)$

which together with its discrete type

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n \exp\left(r_n \frac{1 - x_{n-k}}{1 + \lambda x_{n-k}}\right) \qquad (n \ge 0)
$$

was studied in [4, 5]. However, to the best of our knowledge, its discrete analogue

$$
\Delta x_n = r_n x_n \frac{1 - x_{n-k}}{1 + \lambda x_{n-k}} \qquad (n \ge 0)
$$

has not been studied. We call equation (1) the generalized *difference* Food-Limited model.

2. Positivity of solutions

Remember that in equation (1) $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and that x_i ($-\gamma \leq i \leq 0$) is the initial condition. In this section, we prove the following

Theorem 1. Suppose there are numbers $\beta > 0$ and $\theta > 1$ such that:

(i) $\sum_{j=n-k_n}^{n} p_j \leq \alpha$ ($n \geq \sigma_0$) and $p_n \leq \beta$ ($0 \leq n \leq \sigma$) where α is a real root of the transcendental equation

$$
e^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1+\lambda e^{-\alpha}} \right)^{-\frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda}} = \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda} \qquad (\lambda \in (0,1])
$$
 (2)

or for $\lambda = 0$ of the equation

$$
e^{\alpha - 1 + e^{-\alpha}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/r}}.\tag{3}
$$

(ii) $\frac{\theta \alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\theta \alpha^{1/r} - \lambda} (1 + \beta)^{\sigma} < \frac{1 + \alpha^{1/r}}{-\lambda + \alpha^{1/r}}$. (iii) $0 < x_i < \frac{\theta \alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\theta \alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}$ $\frac{\theta \alpha^{1/\tau}+1}{\theta \alpha^{1/\tau}-\lambda}$ $(-\gamma \leq i \leq 0).$

Then every solution (x_n) of equation (1) satisfies

$$
0 < x_n < \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}
$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. By (2) or (3) we see that $\alpha > 1$ and by (1) we get

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n \left[1 + p_n \left(\frac{1 - x_{n-k_n}}{1 + \lambda x_{n-k_n}} \right)^r \right].
$$

Since

$$
0 < x_{-r}, x_{-r+1}, \dots, x_0 < \frac{\theta \alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\theta \alpha^{1/r} - \lambda} < \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda},
$$

then by assumptions (ii) - (iii) we find

$$
x_1 = x_0 \left(1 + p_0 \left(\frac{1 - x_{-k_0}}{1 + \lambda x_{-k_0}} \right)^r \right)
$$

$$
\begin{cases} > x_0 \left(1 + p_0 \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}}{1 + \lambda \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}} \right)^r \right) \ge x_0 \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}}{1 + \lambda \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}} \right)^r \right) = 0 \\ \le \frac{\theta \alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\theta \alpha^{1/r} - \lambda} (1 + \beta) < \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}. \end{cases}
$$

Similarly we obtain

$$
x_2 = x_1 \left(1 + p_1 \left(\frac{1 - x_1 - x_1}{1 + \lambda x_1 - x_1} \right)^r \right)
$$

$$
\begin{cases} > x_1 \left(1 + p_1 \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}}{1 + \lambda \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}} \right)^r \right) \ge x_1 \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}}{1 + \lambda \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}} \right)^r \right) = 0 \\ \le x_1 (1 + p_0) \le \frac{\theta \alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\theta \alpha^{\frac{1}{r} - \lambda}} (1 + \beta)^2 < \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{r} - \lambda}} \end{cases}
$$

and so on. Finally we get

$$
x_{\sigma} = x_{\sigma-1} \left(1 + p_{\sigma-1} \left(\frac{1 - x_{\sigma-1-k_{\sigma-1}}}{1 + \lambda x_{\sigma-1-k_{\sigma-1}}} \right)^r \right)
$$

$$
\begin{cases} x_{\sigma-1} \left(1 + p_{\sigma-1} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}}{1 + \lambda \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}} \right)^r \right) \geq x_{\sigma-1} \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}}{1 + \lambda \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}} \right)^r \right) = 0 \\ \leq \frac{\theta \alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\theta \alpha^{1/r} - \lambda} (1 + \beta)^{\sigma} < \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}. \end{cases}
$$

Now it suffices to prove that if $n_0 \geq \sigma$ and

$$
0 < x_n < \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda} \qquad (0 \le n \le n_0),
$$

then

$$
0 < x_{n_0+1} < \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}.\tag{4}
$$

In fact,

$$
x_{n_0+1} > x_{n_0} \left(1 + \alpha \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}}{1 + \lambda \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{1/r} - \lambda}} \right)^r \right) = 0,
$$

which is the left inequality in (4). Next we prove the right inequality in (4). Assume that contrary $x_{n_0+1} \geq \frac{\alpha^{1/r}+1}{\alpha^{1/r}-\lambda}$ $\frac{\alpha^{1/7}+1}{\alpha^{1/7}-\lambda}$, set $p(t) = p_n$ for $t \in [n, n+1)$ and $0 \le n \le n_0$ and

$$
x(t) = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } t = n \\ x_n \left(\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}\right)^{t-n} & \text{if } n \le t < n+1. \end{cases}
$$

The function x is positive and continuous on the interval $[0, n_0+1]$, $x(n) = x_n$ $(n \ge 0)$ and x is monotone on $[n, n + 1]$. Let $[\cdot]$ denote the maximum integer function and let x' stand for the left derivative of x . Then

$$
x'(t) = x(t) \ln \left\{ 1 + p(t) \left(\frac{1 - x([t - k_{[t]}])}{1 + \lambda x([t - k_{[t]}])} \right)^r \right\}
$$
(5)

for $0 \le t \le n_0 + 1$. Since $x([t - k_{[t]}]) > 0$ for these t, we get

$$
x'(t) \le x(t)\ln(1 + p(t)) \le p(t)x(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, n_0 + 1). \tag{6}
$$

By $\Delta x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} - x_{n_0} > 0$ and (1) we have $x_{n_0-k_{n_0}} < 1$. Then there exists $\xi \in [n_0 - k_{n_0}, n_0 + 1)$ such that $x(\xi) = 1$ and $x(t) > 1$ for $t \in (\xi, n_0 + 1]$. When $0 \le t \le \xi$, integrating (6) from t to ξ we get

$$
x(t) > \exp\left(-\int_t^{\xi} p(s) \, ds\right) \qquad (0 \le t \le \xi).
$$

If $\xi \leq t < n_0 + 1$ and $[t - k_{[t]}] \leq \xi$, we obtain

$$
x([t-k_{[t]}]) \ge \exp\bigg(-\int_{[t-k_{[t]}]}^{\xi} p(s) ds\bigg).
$$

Substituting this into (5), it follows

$$
x'(t) < x(t)p(t) \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\int_{[t-k_{[t]}]}^{\xi} p(s) \, ds\right)}{1 + \lambda \exp\left(-\int_{[t-k[t]]}^{\xi} p(s) \, ds\right)}.\tag{7}
$$

If $[t - k_{[t]}] > \xi$, since $[t] \leq n_0$, then $n_0 - k_{n_0} \geq [t] - k_{[t]} = [t - k_{[t]}] > \xi$. But this contridicts $\xi \in [n_0 - k_{n_0}, n_0 + 1]$. Hence this case is impossible.

Integrating (7) from ξ to $n_0 + 1$, noting that $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and $n_0 \ge \sigma$ implies $n_0 - k_{n_0} \ge \sigma_0$, thus $[t - k_{[t]}] \ge 0$ for $t \in [\xi, n_0 + 1]$, we get

$$
\ln x(n_0 + 1)
$$
\n
$$
< \int_{\xi}^{n_0 + 1} p(t) \frac{1 - \exp(-\int_{[t - k_{[t]}]}^{\xi} p(s) ds)}{1 + \lambda \exp(-\int_{[t - k_{[t]}]}^{\xi} p(s) ds)} dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\xi}^{n_0 + 1} p(t) \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha} \exp(\int_{\xi}^t p(s) ds)}{1 + \lambda e^{-\alpha} \exp(\int_{\xi}^t p(s) ds)} dt
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\xi}^{n_0 + 1} p(t) dt - (1 + \lambda) \int_{\xi}^{n_0 + 1} p(t) \frac{e^{-\alpha} \exp(\int_{\xi}^t p(s) ds)}{1 + \lambda \exp(-\alpha + \int_{\xi}^t p(s) ds)} dt
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{cases}\n\int_{\xi}^{n_0 + 1} p(t) dt - \frac{1 + \lambda}{\lambda} \ln \frac{1 + \lambda \exp(-\alpha + \int_{\xi}^{n_0 + 1} p(s) ds)}{1 + \lambda e^{-\alpha}} & \text{if } \lambda \in (0, 1] \\
\int_{\xi}^{n_0 + 1} p(t) dt - e^{-\alpha} \left[\exp(\int_{\xi}^{n_0} p(s) ds) - 1 \right] & \text{if } \lambda = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\xi}^{n_0+1} p(t) dt \le \sum_{j=n_0-k_{n_0}}^{n_0} p_j \le \alpha,
$$

the function

$$
x - \frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda} \ln \frac{1+\lambda e^{-\alpha+x}}{1+\lambda e^{-\alpha}} \qquad \text{or} \qquad x - e^{-\alpha}(e^x - 1)
$$

is increasing in $[0, \alpha]$. Then

$$
x_{n_0+1} = x(n_0+1)
$$

$$
< \begin{cases} \exp\left(\alpha - \frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda} \ln \frac{1+\lambda}{1+\lambda e^{-\alpha}}\right) = \frac{\alpha^{1/r}+1}{\alpha^{1/r}-\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda \in (0,1] \\ \alpha - 1 + e^{-\alpha} = 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^{1/r}} & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \end{cases}
$$

which contradicts the assumption $x_{n_0+1} \geq \frac{\alpha^{1/r}+1}{\alpha^{1/r}-\lambda}$ $\frac{\alpha^{1/7}+1}{\alpha^{1/7}-\lambda}$. This completes the proof

Remark 1. Since $1 < \frac{\theta \alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\theta \alpha^{1/r} + 1}$ $\frac{\theta \alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\theta \alpha^{1/r} - \lambda} < \frac{\alpha^{1/r} + 1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{r}} - \lambda}$ $\frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{r}}-1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{r}}-\lambda}$, Theorem 1 gives sufficient conditions which guarantee $x_n > 0$ for each solution $\{x_n\}$ of equation (1).

3. Global attractivity

In this section we give a sufficient condition that guarantees every positive solution of equation (1) to converge to 1 as $n \to +\infty$. Remember that in (1) $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

Theorem 2. Suppose there is a constant $\delta > 0$ such that:

(i) $\sum_{s=n-k_n}^{n} p_s \leq \delta(1+\lambda)$ for sufficiently large n. (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} p_n = +\infty$. (ii) $\delta(1+\lambda)$ $\int e^{\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2/2}-1$ $\frac{e^{\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2/2}-1}{\lambda e^{\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2/2}+1}$ ^r ≤ 1 .

Then every positive solution of equation (1) tends to 1 as $n \to +\infty$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a positive solution of equation (1). The following proof of $x_n \to 1$ as $n \to +\infty$ will be given in three steps.

Step 1: If $\{x_n\}$ is eventually greater than 1, we will prove that $x_n \to 1$ as $n \to +\infty$. Choose N_1 such that $x_{n-k_n} > 1$ for $n \ge N_1$. By (1) we see that $\Delta x_n \le 0$ for $n \geq N_1$, hence $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = \mu$ exists. We prove that $\mu = 1$. Assuming $\mu \neq 1$, we have $\mu > 1$. Then, for $n \geq N_1$,

$$
\Delta x_n \le p_n x_n \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1+\lambda\mu}\right)^r
$$

and

$$
\ln \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \le \ln \left[1 + p_n \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 + \lambda \mu} \right)^r \right] \le p_n \left(\frac{1 - \mu}{1 + \lambda \mu} \right)^r.
$$

Hence

$$
\ln \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_{N_1}} \le \left(\frac{1-\mu}{1+\lambda \mu}\right)^r \sum_{s=N_1}^n p_s.
$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$ we get a contradiction.

Step 2: If $\{x_n\}$ is eventually less than 1, we will prove that $x_n \to 1$ as $n \to +\infty$. Choose N_2 such that $x_{n-k_n} < 1$ for $n \ge N_2$. Then $\Delta x_n \ge 0$, so $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = \mu$ exists. We prove that $\mu = 1$. Assuming $\mu \neq 1$, we have $\mu < 1$. We choose $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that $\delta(1+\lambda)(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\lambda\varepsilon})^r \leq 1$ and $x_{n-k_n} < \varepsilon$ for $n \geq N_2$. Again, we have

$$
\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \ge 1 + p_n \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\lambda \varepsilon}\right)^r \qquad (n \ge N_2).
$$

Since $\ln(1+x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}x$ for $x \in [0,1]$, then

$$
\ln \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \ge \frac{1}{2} p_n \Big(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\lambda \varepsilon} \Big)^r \qquad (n \ge N_2).
$$

It is now easy to derive a contradiction to our assumption $\mu \neq 1$ but we omit the details.

Step 3: If $\{x_n\}$ is oscillatory about 1, we will also prove that $x_n \to 1$ as $n \to +\infty$. By a method similar to that in Theorem 1, we can prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Let $\ln x_n = y_n$ for $n \geq 0$. Then $\{y_n\}$ is oscillatory and bounded, and equation (1) becomes

$$
\Delta y_n = \ln\left(1 + p_n\left(\frac{1 - e^{y_{n-k_n}}}{1 + \lambda e^{y_{n-k_n}}}\right)^r\right) \qquad (n \ge 0).
$$
 (8)

We will prove now that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} y_n = 0$. Let $u = \limsup_{n\to+\infty} y_n$ and $v =$ $\liminf_{n\to+\infty}y_n$. Then $-\infty < v \leq 0 \leq u < +\infty$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is N_3 such that

$$
v_1 = v - \varepsilon < y_{n-k_n} < u + \varepsilon = u_1 \qquad (n \ge N_3).
$$

Then we get

$$
\Delta y_n \begin{cases} \leq \ln(1 + p_n(\frac{1 - e^{v_1}}{1 + \lambda e^{v_1}})^r) \leq \ln(1 + p_n(1 - e^{v_1})) \\ \geq \ln(1 + p_n(\frac{1 - e^{u_1}}{1 + \lambda e^{u_1}})^r) \end{cases} \qquad (n \geq N_3). \tag{9}
$$

Choose two subsequence of $\{y_n\}$, denoted by $\{y_{n_i}\}\$ and $\{y_{m_i}\}\$ with $N_3 \leq n_i \uparrow$, $m_i \uparrow$ such that $0 < y_{n_i} \uparrow u$ and $0 > y_{m_i} \uparrow v$. By (8) one gets $y_{n_i-1-k_{n_i-1}} \leq 0$ and then there is n_i^* with $n_i - 1 - k_{n_i-1} \leq n_i^* \leq n_i - 1$ such that $y_{n_i^*} \leq 0$ and $y_n > 0$ for $n_i^* + 1 \leq n \leq n_i$. Choose a number $\xi_i \in [0,1)$ such that

$$
y_{n_i^*} + \xi_i (y_{n_i^*+1} - y_{n_i^*}) = 0.
$$
\n(10)

By the inequality

$$
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_i^{\alpha_i}\right)^{1/\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i} \le \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i}
$$

we get

$$
-y_{j-k_j} = -y_{n_i^*} + \sum_{s=j-k_j}^{n_i^*-1} (y_{s+1} - y_s)
$$

= $\xi_i (y_{n_i^*+1} - y_{n_i^*}) + \sum_{s=j-k_j}^{n_i^*-1} \ln \left(1 + p_s \left(\frac{1 - e^{y_{s-k_s}}}{1 + \lambda e^{y_{s-k_s}}} \right)^r \right)$
 $\leq \xi_i \ln \left(1 + p_{n_i^*} (1 - e^{v_1}) \right) + \sum_{s=j-k_j}^{n_i^*-1} \ln \left(1 + p_s (1 - e^{v_1}) \right)$
 $\leq (n_i^* - j + k_j + \xi_i) \ln \left[1 + \frac{1 - e^{v_1}}{n_i^* - j + k_j + \xi_i} \left(\xi_i p_{n_i^*} + \sum_{s=j-k_j}^{n_i^*-1} p_s \right) \right].$

Then

$$
e^{y_{j-k_j}} \geq \left[1 + (1 - e^{v_1})\frac{1}{n_i^* - j + k_j + \xi_i}\left(\xi_i p_{n_i^*} + \sum_{s=j-k_j}^{n_i^* - 1} p_s\right)\right]^{-(n_i^* - j + k_j + \xi_i)}.
$$

By $(1+\frac{x}{n})^{-n} \ge 1-x$ for $n>0$ and $x \ge 0$ we get

$$
e^{y_{j-k_j}} \ge 1 - (1 - e^{v_1}) \left(\xi_i p_{n_i^*} + \sum_{s=j-k_j}^{n_i^* - 1} p_s \right). \tag{11}
$$

Thus by (9) - (11) we get

$$
y_{n_i} = y_{n_i^* + 1} + \sum_{s=n_i^* + 1}^{n_i - 1} (y_{s+1} - y_s)
$$

= $(1 - \xi_i)(y_{n_i^* + 1} - y_{n_i^*}) + \sum_{n=n_i^* + 1}^{n_i - 1} \ln\left(1 + p_n\left(\frac{1 - e^{y_{n - k_n}}}{1 + \lambda e^{y_{n - k_n}}}\right)^r\right)$
 $\leq (1 - \xi_i) \ln\left(1 + p_{n_i^*}(1 - e^{y_{n_i^*} - k_{n_i^*}})\right) + \sum_{n=n_i^* + 1}^{n_i - 1} \ln\left(1 + p_n(1 - e^{y_{n - k_n}})\right)$
 $\leq (1 - \xi_i) \ln\left[1 + p_{n_i^*}(1 - e^{v_1})\left(\xi_i p_{n_i^*} + \sum_{s=n_i^* - k_{n_i^*} + k_{n_i^*}}^{n_i^* - 1} p_s\right)\right]$
 $+ \sum_{n=n_i^* + 1}^{n_i - 1} \ln\left[1 + p_n(1 - e^{v_1})\left(\xi_i p_{n_i^*} + \sum_{s=n-k_n}^{n_i^* - 1} p_s\right)\right].$

By assumption (i) we get

$$
y_{n_i} \leq (1 - \xi_i) \ln \left[1 + p_{n_i^*} (1 - e^{v_1}) \left(\delta (1 + \lambda) - (1 - \xi_i) p_{n_i^*} \right) \right]
$$

+
$$
\sum_{n=n_i^*+1}^{n_i - 1} \ln \left[1 + p_n (1 - e^{v_1}) \left(\delta (1 + \lambda) - \sum_{s=n_i^*+1}^{n_i} p_s - (1 - \xi_i) p_{n_i^*} \right) \right]
$$

$$
\leq (n_i - n_i^* - \xi_i) \ln \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{n_i - n_i^* - \xi_i} (1 - e^{v_1}) \right\}
$$

$$
\times \left[(1 - \xi_i) p_{n_i^*} \left(\delta (1 + \lambda) - (1 - \xi_i) p_{n_i^*} \right) + \sum_{n=n_i^*+1}^{n_i - 1} p_n \left(\delta (1 + \lambda) - \sum_{s=n_i^*+1}^{n_i} p_s - (1 - \xi_i) p_{n_i^*} \right) \right] \right\}.
$$

Supposing $k_n \leq k$, since $n_i - n_i^* - \xi_i \leq k_{n_i-1} + 1 \leq k+1$ it results in

$$
y_{n_i} \le (k+1) \ln \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{k+1} (1 - e^{v_1}) \left[(1 - \xi_i) p_{n_i^*} \left(\delta (1 + \lambda) - (1 - \xi_i) p_{n_i^*} \right) \right] + \sum_{n=n_i^*+1}^{n_i - 1} p_n \left(\delta (1 + \lambda) - \sum_{s=n_i^*+1}^{n_i - 1} p_s - (1 - \xi_i) p_{n_i^*} \right) \right] \right\}.
$$

Let

$$
d_i = \sum_{n=n_i^*+1}^{n_i-1} p_n + (1 - \xi_i) p_{n_i^*}.
$$

Then by the inequality

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_s^2 \ge \frac{1}{m} \bigg(\sum_{s=1}^{m} x_s \bigg)^2
$$

we get

$$
y_{n_i} \le (k+1) \ln \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{k+1} \delta(1+\lambda)(1-e^{v_1})d_i - \frac{1}{k+1} (1-e^{v_1}) \right\}
$$

$$
\times \left[(1-\xi_i)^2 p_{n_i^*}^2 + (1-\xi_i) p_{n_i^*} \sum_{n=n_i^*-1}^{n_i-1} p_n + \sum_{n=n_i^*+1}^{n_i-1} p_n \sum_{s=n_i^*+1}^{n_i-1} p_s \right] \right\}
$$

$$
= (k+1) \ln \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{k+1} \delta(1+\lambda)(1-e^{v_1})d_i - \frac{1}{2(k+1)} (1-e^{v_1})d_i^2 - \frac{1}{2(k+1)} (1-e^{v_1})d_i^2 \right\}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2(k+1)} (1-e^{v_1}) \left[\sum_{n=n_i^*+1}^{n_i-1} p_n^2 + (1-\xi_i)^2 p_{n_i^*}^2 \right] \right\}
$$

$$
\le (k+1) \ln \left\{ 1 + \frac{\delta(1+\lambda)}{k+1} (1-e^{v_1})d_i - \frac{1}{2(k+1)} (1-e^{v_1})d_i^2 - \frac{1}{2(k+1)} (1-e^{v_1})d_i^2 \right\}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2(k+1)} (1-e^{v_1}) \frac{1}{n_i - n_i^*} d_i^2 \right\}
$$

$$
\le (k+1) \ln \left\{ 1 + \frac{\delta(1+\lambda)}{k+1} (1-e^{v_1})d_i - \frac{k+2}{2(k+1)^2} (1-e^{v_1})d_i^2 \right\}.
$$

Since

$$
\delta(1+\lambda)x - \frac{k+2}{2(k+1)}x^2 \uparrow \qquad \text{when} \ \ x \le \frac{k+1}{k+2}\delta(1+\lambda),
$$

the maximum point of the function is $x = \frac{k+1}{k+2} \delta(1+\lambda)$. Then

$$
y_{n_i} \le (k+1) \ln \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2 (1+\lambda)^2}{2(k+2)} (1-e^{v_1}) \right).
$$

It is easy to see that the function $x \ln(1 + \frac{\delta^2(1+\lambda^2)}{2(x+1)})$ is increasing on $(0, +\infty)$, hence

$$
(k+1)\ln\left(1+\frac{\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2}{2(k+2)}\right)\left\lceil\frac{\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2}{2}\right\rceil (k\to\infty).
$$

Letting $i\rightarrow +\infty$ and $\varepsilon\rightarrow 0$ we get

$$
u \le (k+1)\ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2}{2(k+2)}(1-e^v)\right).
$$
 (12)

Now, let $y_{n*} = \max\{0, y_n\}$. Again, since $\Delta y_{m_i-1} \leq 0$, by (8) we have $y_{m_i-1-k_{m_i-1}} \geq 0$ 0. Then

$$
y_{m_i} = y_{m_i-1-k_{m_i-1}} + \sum_{s=m_i-1-k_{m_i-1}}^{m_i-1} \ln\left(1+p_s\left(\frac{1-e^{y_{s-k_s}}}{1+\lambda e^{y_{s-k_s}}}\right)^r\right)
$$

\n
$$
\geq \sum_{s=m_i-1-k_{m_i-1}}^{m_i-1} \ln\left(1+p_s\left(\frac{1-e^{y_{(s-k_s)_*}}}{1+\lambda e^{y_{(s-k_s)_*}}}\right)^r\right)
$$

\n
$$
\geq \ln\left(1+\sum_{s=m_i-1-k_{m_i-1}}^{m_i-1} p_s\left(\frac{1-e^{y_{(s-k_s)_*}}}{1+\lambda e^{y_{(s-k_s)_*}}}\right)^r\right)
$$

\n
$$
\geq \ln\left(1+\delta(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{1-e^{u_1}}{1+\lambda e^{u_1}}\right)^r\right)
$$

and hence

$$
e^{y_{m_i}} \ge 1 + \delta(1+\lambda) \left(\frac{1-e^{u_1}}{1+\lambda e^{u_1}}\right)^r.
$$

Letting $i \to +\infty$ and $\varepsilon \to 0$, one gets

$$
e^v \ge 1 + \delta(1+\lambda) \left(\frac{1-e^u}{1+\lambda e^u}\right)^r.
$$
 (13)

.

.

If $u \neq 0$, then $u > 0$. By (12) - (13) we get

$$
u \le \ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta^3(1+\lambda)^3}{2(k+2)}\left(\frac{e^u - 1}{1+\lambda e^u}\right)^r\right)^{k+1}
$$

From (12),

$$
u < \ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta^2 (1+\lambda)^2}{2(k+2)}\right)^{k+1} = u_0. \tag{14}
$$

Let

$$
f(u) = u - \ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta^3(1+\lambda)^3}{2(k+2)}\left(\frac{e^u - 1}{1 + \lambda e^u}\right)^r\right)^{k+1}.
$$

Clearly, $f(0) = 0, f''(u) \le 0, f(u)$ has at most two zero points in $[0, +\infty)$ and

$$
f(u_0) = \ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2}{2(k+2)}\right)^{k+1} - \ln\left(1 + \frac{\delta^3(1+\lambda)^3}{2(k+2)}\left(\frac{e^{u_0}-1}{1+\lambda e^{u_0}}\right)^r\right)^{k+1}
$$

By (14), $u_0 \uparrow \frac{\delta^2 (1+\lambda)^2}{2}$ $\frac{(+\lambda)^2}{2}$, hence $e^{u_0} \leq e^{\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2/2}$. Using assumption (iii) we get $f(u_0) \geq 0$. We see that $f(u) > 0$ for $u \in (0, u_0)$ which contradicts (13). Then $u = 0$ and $v = 0$, which implies $\lim_{n \to +\infty} y_n = 0$. This completes the proof

Corollary 3. Suppose that assumption (ii) of Theorem 2 holds and that

$$
\sum_{s=n-k_n}^{n} p_s \le \frac{1}{2} (1+\lambda)
$$

for sufficiently large n. Then every positive solution of equation (1) tends to 1 as $n \to +\infty$.

Acknowledgement. The authors are very thankful to one of the referees for his valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] Zhang, B. G. and C. J. Tian: Nonexistence and existence of positive solutions for difference equations with unbounded delay. Comp. Math. Applic. 36 (1998), $1-8$.
- [2] Philos, Ch. G.: Oscillations in a non-autonomous delay logistic difference equation. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 35 (1992), 121 – 131.
- [3] Zhou, Zh. and Q. Q. Zhang: Global attractivity of a non-autonomous logistic equation with delays. Comp. Math. Appl. 38 (1999), $57 - 64$.
- [4] Gyori, I. and G. Ladas: Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications. Oxford: Clarendon Press 1991.
- [5] Kocic, V. L. and G. Ladas: Global behavior of nonlinear difference equations of higher order with applications. Boston: Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1993, pp. 75-80.

Received 08.04.2002; in revised form 17.07.2002